

# **Unlocking the Secrets of Rhizosphere Microbes: A New Dimension for Agriculture**

Mony Thakur<sup>1</sup> · Khushboo<sup>2,3</sup> · Sujit Shah<sup>3,8</sup> · Priyanka Kumari<sup>1</sup> · Mohit Kumar<sup>1</sup> · Raj Kamal Vibhuti<sup>1</sup> · Avijit Pramanik<sup>1</sup> · Vinod Yadav<sup>1</sup> • Meenakshi Raina<sup>4</sup> • Neelam Prabha Negi<sup>5</sup> • Vibhav Gautam<sup>6</sup> • Anjana Rustagi<sup>7</sup> • Satish Kumar Verma<sup>3</sup> • **Deepak Kumar[3](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1160-4534)**

Received: 11 December 2022 / Accepted: 14 February 2024 / Published online: 13 March 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2024

## **Abstract**

Rhizospheric microbes help plants to acquire and assimilate nutrients, improve soil texture and modulate extracellular molecules. Rhizosphere bacteria regularly encounter a copious number of variables, such as temperature, pH, nutrients, pest resistance mechanisms, etc. The extracellular concentration of chemical messengers fabricated by plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPB) in a system is directly proportional to the bacterial population. To dwindle the use of chemically synthesised pesticides, plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) are new arsenals of imperishable agricultural practises for managing plant pathogens and resistance. This review aims to harness the rhizosphere milieu to raise climate smart crops. The PGPB mediated hormonal control of plant stress management pathway could be potentially modifed for the beneft of plants. Nutrient solubilisation strengthens the rhizomicrobiome for phytoremediation and pathogen control. Quorum sensing as well as the role of enzymes and siderophores in rhizo-microbiome has been discussed. With advent of metagenomics, the understanding of soil microbiome ecology has acquired new dimensions and has enabled us to modify the microbiome for sustainable agriculture and enhanced productivity.

**Keywords** Plant growth promoting bacteria · Rhizosphere · Biofertilizer · Biocontrol agents · Quorum sensor · Climate change

# **1 Introduction**

A community of micro-organisms neighbouring plant roots is critical for verdure. The environmental conditions exhibit a temporal and spatial control over the cast and roleplay of

Mony Thakur and Khushboo contributed equally.

 $\boxtimes$  Deepak Kumar deepakkumar@bhu.ac.in

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Microbiology, Central University of Haryana, Mahendergarh, Haryana, India
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Biotechnology, Central University of Haryana, Mahendergarh, Haryana, India
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Botany, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh 221005, India
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Biosciences, Institute of Management Studies, Ghaziabad (University Courses 12 Campus), NH09, Adhyatmik Nagar, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh 201015, India

these microbes (Islam et al. [2020\)](#page-14-0). Metabolites secreted by roots determine the type of microbiome of the rhizosphere. The plants being sessile, adapt to stress by altering the composition and amount of their metabolites during neighbour rivalry, pathogenic infections and herbivore attacks (Jan et al. [2021\)](#page-14-1). Root tissue exudates, volatile chemicals along

- <sup>5</sup> University Institute of Biotechnology, Chandigarh University, Mohali, Punjab, India
- Centre of Experimental Medicine & Surgery, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India
- Department of Botany, Gargi College, University of Delhi, Sirifort Road, New Delhi 110049, India
- <sup>8</sup> Present Address: Department of Plant Science and Soil Science, Texas Tech University, 22 Lubbock, Texas, USA

with carbon and nitrogen-rich rhizodeposits, contribute to the formation of rhizomicrobiomes (Jiang et al. [2022](#page-14-2)).

Plant-associated microbiota can be transferred vertically, as in endophytes, where they establish strong symbiotic relation directly with plants through the secretion of compounds responsible for plant growth, synthesis of metabolic products and rapid host adaptation under biotic and abiotic stress conditions. Similar to PGPR these endophytes synthesize different class of metabolic products, enzymes and molecules to degrade contaminants and helps host plant to breed even at polluted sites. Horizontal transfer of microbes occurs, by recruiting microbes from a pool of soil species into the rhizosphere. It afects plant's immune system, metabolism, and hormone balance. These plant-associated microorganisms modify pathways such as stress responses, and growth regulation, contributing to the smooth functioning of these essential processes (Meena et al. [2020;](#page-15-0) Anand et al. [2023](#page-13-0)). Constant secretion of low and high molecular weight natural substances from roots changes the chemical and biological conditions in the soil near the roots. Conducive intercommunications like nutrient availability, growth stimulation, and disease suppression between roots and rhizospheric bacteria lead to healthy growth of plants. Among the complex microfora, plants prefer bacteria the most for their growth by releasing organic compounds through root exudates (Adedeji and Babalola [2020;](#page-12-0) Chai and Schachtman [2022](#page-13-1)). Autogenetic disease suppression mechanisms mediated by rhizomicrobiomes proactively entail disease control (Jamil et al. [2022](#page-14-3)). With the advances in metagenomics, gene expression and metabolomics, the trend of comprehending the plant microbiome has changed. The most recent fndings in the feld of plant microbiome research demonstrate an ongoing compatibility between plants and microbes in terms of nutritional availability. Such interaction is constantly taking place and has either a direct or indirect impact on plant health.

The present review summarizes a comprehensive description of mechanisms used by rhizobacteria for plant growth promotion resulting from soil–plant-microbe interactions. We also discuss the signifcant role of PGPR in the nutrient cycle, secretion of phytohormones, mineral solubilization, and biocontrol applications for further use in modern agriculture. Consequently, we compile the key strategies employed by PGPR to enhance soil health and shed light on functional genomics, aiming to broaden the sustainable utilization of these advantageous microorganims. The potential target areas for future research and use of Rhizobacteria in agriculture have also been projected. The overview of plants and PGPB and their dynamics in nature has been depicted in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0)

# **2 Root exudates determine the diversity of Rhizospheric bacteria**

Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) reside intracellularly in nodules and extracellularly between the cellular region of root cortex and alter the entire microbial community in the pool by synthesising various chemicals (Behera et al. [2021](#page-13-2); Pandita [2022\)](#page-15-1).



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Major roles of rhizospheric bacteria in plant growth promotion and defence. PGPBs produce signalling molecules like growth hormones for establishing advantageous rhizospheric interactions with the host, which helps to improve nutrient uptake, seed germination, root and shoot elongation, abiotic stress tolerance, and biocontrol efficacy. Phytopathogen growth is constrained by the production of siderophores, HCN, antibiotics, and lytic enzymes. Quorum sensing results as cell-to-cell chemical communication between rhizospheric microbes through the synthesis, release, detection, and response to small biomolecules



<span id="page-2-0"></span>Fig. 2 Schematic diagram depicting significant strategies for stimulating plant development by PGPB. These strategies can be widely examined under biocontrol, biofertilization processes including min-

Antagonistic competition among PGPR, in which one microbe preys on other is crucial to impede the growth of phytopathogens through the secretion of bacteriocins and lytic enzymes. The function of PGPR as a biocontrol agent can be enhanced by studying the interactions between various microbes in the rhizosphere (Niu et al. [2020;](#page-15-2) Boro et al. [2022](#page-13-3)). The involvement of PGPR in plant development and defence against pathogen is illustrated in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0)

The majority of root exudates are composed of high and low molecular weight molecules, as well as carbon and secondary metabolites. The two main mechanisms of exudation are the 1) Root seep out the primary metabolites by concentration gradient difusion process where plant and microbes are actively involved that depend on the nutritional availability in the soil; (2) The transuded metabolites concentrate at the tip of the root to direct the signal cascade process for development and alteration of the root structure (Canarini et al. [2019\)](#page-13-4). These root exudates penetrate cell membranes and seep into the rhizosphere. The extent of these exudates is determined by the physiological condition, age, and availability of nutrients in the soil. The low molecular weight exudates released by the plants attract microbial consortia into the rhizosphere (Volkov and Schwenke [2020;](#page-17-0) Maurer et al. [2021](#page-15-3)). *Arthrobacter*, *Enterobacter*, *Azobacter*, *Pseudomonas* and *Azospirillum* are some of the beneficial bacteria that play a predominant role in plant growth. These bacteria act as biofertilizers by facilitating N, P and vital minerals that directly affect plant growth. On the other hand,

erals solubilization, enzymes production. Diferent low molecular weight compounds produced by root exudates attracts the PGPB to improve the ftness of plants under stress conditions

they also produce antibacterial substances, volatile compounds (HCN), siderophores and lytic enzymes in an indirect manner which works as biocontrol agents and prevent the spread of phytopathogens (Carballo-Sánchez et al. [2022](#page-13-5)). Rotting of root caused by *Bipolaris sorokiniana* is a major threat worldwide due to the long life of its spores in the soil. *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* spp. has been found to be efective against this cereal disease pathogen (Morales-Cedeño et al. [2021\)](#page-15-4). *Pseudomonas fuorescens* inhibit the growth of Pythium, Phytophthora, and Alternaria(Ghadamgahi et al. [2022;](#page-14-4) Volynchikova and Kim [2022](#page-17-1)), *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* produce antifungal compounds against *Botrytis cinerea* and *Rhizoctonia solani* (Liu et al. [2023\)](#page-15-5), *Bacillus subtilis* induce systemic resistance (ISR) in plants against *Fusarium* and *Rhizoctonia* (Madhi and Jumaah [2020](#page-15-6)), *Serratia plymuthica* produces prodigiosin against *Botrytis cinerea* and *Colletotrichum* spp., (Trejo-López et al. [2022](#page-17-2)). Successful biocontrol applications may require proper formulation and application methods to ensure their efficacy in the field.

# **3 Rhizomicrobiome induces Plant Growth via molecular relaying**

The molecular mechanisms underlying plant growth promotion are intricate and multifaceted processes infuenced by various factors, including microbial interactions, nutrient availability, hormonal regulation, and environmental conditions. Understanding these mechanisms provides valuable insights into harnessing nature's potential to optimize agricultural practices and foster sustainable plant growth. The various molecules secreted by plants in root exudates and by the rhizomicroorganisms are key messengers of signalling pathways.

### **3.1 Phytohormones**

Phytohormones are structurally unrelated and are produced by rhizospheric bacteria to regulate plant growth. They act as chemical messengers of the plant and boost plant development. Phytohormones are co-produced by soil microbes, plants, and plant microbes. Plant hormones are divided into three categories: Auxins, Gibberellins (GA), and Cytokinins (Huang et al. [2020](#page-14-5); Eichmann et al. [2021\)](#page-14-6). Brassinosteroids, jasmonate, salicylic acid, nitric oxide, strigolactones, and other semi- and synthetic phytohormones are involved in plant defence, and auxin has a direct impact on root growth and development (Anfang and Shani [2021\)](#page-13-6).

#### **3.1.1 Auxin**

Auxin is the most-used phytohormone fabricated in the shoot apical meristem of plants. Plant tissues release difusible auxin (free auxin) after hydrolysis, autolysis, and enzymolysis (Primo et al. [2015](#page-16-0)). Indole acetic acid (IAA) hinders cell division, extension, diferentiation, and tuber germination in plants. It regulates organogenesis, tropic reactions, and cellular responses such as cell expansion, gene regulation, lateral adventitious root formation, and stress resistance (Spaepen and Vanderleyden [2011](#page-16-1)).

The biosynthetic pathways for auxins production is different in the plants and microbes. More than 80% of rhizospheric soil bacteria can produce auxins, It can afect root growth by afecting endogenous levels (Spaepen et al. [2007](#page-16-2)). IAA improves root surface area and length, allowing the plant to obtain more nutrients from the soil and increasing the crop's growth and output. Auxins have concentrationdependent effects on plant seedlings, i.e., low amounts may stimulate development while large concentrations may restrict growth (Sarwar and Kremer [1995](#page-16-3)). *Rhizobium, Microbacterium, Sphingomonas,* and *Mycobacterium* produce IAA (Tsavkelova et al. [2007](#page-17-3)). IAA-producing microbes increase N, P, K, Ca, and Mg uptake in crops and stimulate plant growth. (Sivasakthi et al. [2014\)](#page-16-4). IAA produced by benefcial microbes such as *Azospirillum*, *Rhizobium* and *Bacillus* stimulates root branching, enhance seed germination and ultimately promoting overall plant growth. *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* helps plants cope with stressed environment, mitigate nematode infestations by promoting root health and triggers systemic resistance that makes plants more resistant to disease in plants (Meena et al. [2020](#page-15-0); Swarnalakshmi et al. [2020\)](#page-16-5). Certain *Trichoderma* species produce IAA, and besides promoting plant growth, they also act as mycoparasites, attacking and suppressing pathogenic fungi in the rhizosphere (Fazeli-Nasab et al. [2022\)](#page-14-7).

#### **3.1.2 Gibberellin**

Gibberellins (GAs) are tetracyclic diterpenoid carboxylic acids that act as plant growth hormones. First identifed as byproducts of the rice pathogen *Fusarium fujikuroi*, which causes overgrowth ("bakanae") in rice seedlings. Japanese scientists isolated GA3, which restored normal growth to dwarf mutant plants, suggesting that GAs can improve the growth in higher plants (Tudzynski [2005](#page-17-4)). Gibberellins afect abiotic stress response, stem elongation, fower-ing, and other physiological effects (Gusmiaty et al. [2019](#page-14-8)). They promote root growth, root hair abundance, and plant cell ageing. Gibberellins break seed dormancy exogenously and endogenously. Gibberellins can replace temperature or light stimulus in some plant species. Gibberellins afect food reserves and the embryo's growth potential during germination (Debeaujon and Koornneef [2000\)](#page-14-9). Gibberellins also afect the foral induction, foral bud diferentiation, and fower development in woody angiosperms (Rub et al. [2004\)](#page-16-6). Some strains of *Rhizobium*, *Bacillus, Azospirillum, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Pseudomonas* spp. and *Actinomycetes* produce gibberellins. These well-known PGPRs infuence the plant growth positively and suppress phytopathogens, however their efects on plant growth may difer depending on the specifc bacterial strain and plant species involved (Adeleke et al. [2022;](#page-12-1) Kumar et al. [2022](#page-15-7); Mitra et al. [2022](#page-15-8); Tsotetsi et al. [2022\)](#page-17-5).

#### **3.1.3 Cytokinin**

Plants use cytokinins, which are N6-substituted aminopurines, as PGPRs to afect cell division, seed germination, root growth, synthesis of chlorophyll, leaf expansion, and the delay of senescence (Kieber and Schaller [2014\)](#page-14-10). Rootproduced cytokinins are transported to the shoots in the xylem. Their levels fuctuate with changes in root and soil conditions (Ko et al. [2014](#page-14-11)). Cytokinins are found in microorganism biomass (free or coupled to tRNAs) and in culture media as isoprenylated adenine derivatives or ribosides, such as 6-benzyladenine, N-6 -isopentenyladenosine, and zeatinriboside. Inoculating droughted plants with bacteria producing cytokinin stimulates late shoot growth and lowers the root/shoot ratio (Hussain and Hasnain [2009](#page-14-12)). Stay-green genotypes may demonstrate higher stress tolerance, particularly during periods of water stress during grain loading. This was related to a rise in cytokinin levels in the xylem sap. This hormone can promote cell division and growth, which contribute to stress tolerance in plants (Zhang et al. [2010](#page-17-6)). Reduced carbon intake and assimilation are caused by ABA-induced stomatal closure, and under stressful circumstances, the up-regulation of cytokinin oxidase may also result in decreased carbon metabolism. Heavy metals hamper the seedling's growth. Rhizospheric microorganisms can indirectly impact plant health and responses to stressors by afecting nutrient availability, hormone levels, and carbon metabolism in the rhizosphere (Mohapatra et al. [2011](#page-15-9)).

#### **3.1.4 ABA (Abscisic acid)**

ABA is synthesised in the plastids (an organelle not found in fungi or animals) from 40-carbon carotenoid precursor molecules via the 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate (MEP) pathway. (Finkelstein [2013](#page-14-13)). ABA in soil afects plant growth, resistance, and ftness. Plants may be able to grow in drier soil by accumulating ABA. Depending on concentration, exogenous ABA can either prevent or encourage the growth of well-watered plant roots (Yuzikhin et al. [2021](#page-17-7)). ABA is produced by higher plants, algae, fungi, and bacteria and its synthesis is increased by salinity, cold temperature, dehydration, and injury (Primo et al. [2015\)](#page-16-0). ABA impacts pest resistance by controlling stomata closure to deny pathogens entry (Hewage et al. [2020](#page-14-14)). *Azospirillum* sp. might be helpful for maize plants to tolerate water stress by producing ABA. *A. brasilense Sp* 245 in *Arabidopsis* and *A. lipoferum* USDA 59b in maize boost ABA levels (Cassán et al. [2014\)](#page-13-7). In lettuce, *B. subtilis* and *B*. *licheniformis* Rt4M10 in grapes also improves ABA level (Cohen et al. [2009\)](#page-13-8). Several phytopathogenic fungi and PGPR species, including *Azospirillum brasilense* strains Cd, Az39, and Sp245 and *Azospirillum lipoferum* USA 59b, can synthesise ABA (Martins et al. [2018](#page-15-10)). From *Prosopis strombulifera* plants raised in very high salinity, the bacteria *Achromobacter xylosoxidans, Bacillus licheniformis, Bacillus pumilus, Brevibacterium halotolerans,* and *Lysinibacillus fusiformis* were recovered. Under osmotic stress, it was proposed that bacteria produce more ABA and this enhanced ABA levels empower the host plant to withstand higher sodicity of soil (Belimov et al. [2014\)](#page-13-9).

#### **3.1.5 Ethylene**

Exposure to ethylene may lead to suppression of *Rhizobia* spp. nodule formation and hindering mycorrhiza-plant interaction, while boosting root initiation, fruit ripening, seed germination, leaf abscission, and responses to biotic and abiotic stresses (Kaur and Gera [2016\)](#page-14-15). Volatile ethylene can infltrate through soil pores that are flled with gas and water. It affects legume-rhizobia symbioses and arbuscular



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Schematic diagram depicting the role of ACC deaminase producing Rhizobacteria in plant growth and development

mycorrhizal root colonisation. Ethylene interacts with soilspecifc microbes near growing roots. Gaseous compounds attract bacteria to roots from far away in the soil (Schulz-Bohm et al. [2018](#page-16-7)). Plant physiology depends on precisely controlling cellular ethylene levels. Stress-derived ethylene triggers adaptive responses and afects hormonal signalling, such as growth inhibition and late fowering (Bleecker and Kende [2000\)](#page-13-10). During normal plant development and stressed conditions, ethylene is produced from S-adenosyl-Met by ACC synthase in all higher plants. ACC deaminase regulate ethylene level and plays a crucial role in bacterial physiological activities that support plant growth under stress (Gamalero and Glick [2015](#page-14-16)). High ethylene levels can inhibit plant growth, cause chlorosis, or kill them. Thus, by converting ethylene's precursor (ACC) into  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  and β-ketobutyrate (Fig. [3](#page-4-0)) and reducing its efects brought on by waterlogging, bacterial ACC deaminase probably plays a crucial role in reducing ethylene (Ali and Kim [2018\)](#page-13-11). Thereby it could be concluded that the rhizospheric bacteria provide ethylene mediated stress tolerance to the host plant and these microbes could potentially be harnessed to develop climate smart crops.

## **3.2 Nutrient Mobilization and Uptake**

Benefcial microorganisms can infuence nutrient availability by solubilizing nutrients present in the soil in forms that are more easily accessible to plants. Some key mechanisms of nutrient mobilization include:

#### **3.2.1 Nitrogen fxation & Ammonia production**

Plant species cannot convert dinitrogen directly into ammonia for growth (Egamberdieva and Kucharova [2008\)](#page-14-17). Diazotrophic bacteria fix atmospheric nitrogen into  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ , the nitrifcation substrate (Mylona et al. [1995](#page-15-11); Cheng [2008](#page-13-12)). Ammonia production is linked to nitrogen fxation in leguminous rhizobacteria. Biochemical reactions of organic nitrogen fxation occur via symbiotic bacteria with legumes that convert  $N_2$  into ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) (Upadhyay [2016\)](#page-17-8). Symbiotic and non-symbiotic nitrogen-fxing bacteria boost plant growth. Biological nitrogen fxing (BNF) bacteria such as *Anabaena, Bradyrhizobium*, *Clostridium*, *Azospirillum*, *Frankia*, *Mesorhizobium* spp., benefts agroecosystems by transforming  $N_2$  to  $NH_3$  and help in stress tolerance (Nag et al. [2020;](#page-15-12) Cruz-Hernández et al. [2022\)](#page-13-13). Symbiotic nitrogen fxation is a microbe-plant mutualism. Rhizobia are rhizobacteria that can build symbiotic partnerships with leguminous plants by inhabiting root nodules and linking nitrogen to ammonia. *Frankia* are free-living diazotrophs that can accelerate the growth of non-legume plants including rice and wheat (Ladha et al. [2022](#page-15-13)). A group of non-symbiotic nitrogen-fxing rhizospheric bacteria from the *Azoarcus* and *Acetobacter* genera, as well as *Azospirillum* and *Burkholderia,* have no mutual relationship with plant roots. About 20% of the total fxed nitrogen is fxed by naturally occurring nitrogen fxing bacteria (Sivasakthi et al. [2014](#page-16-4)). *Azospirillum* species are aerobic heterotrophs that restore  $N_2$ in microaerobic conditions (Hayat et al. [2010](#page-14-18)).There are two steps involved in nitrogen fxation. The oxidation process is catalysed by ammonium monooxygenase, which requires two electrons to decrease an  $O_2$  atom in H<sub>2</sub>O. Bacteria that oxidise ammonium create hydroxylamine oxidoreductase, which produces  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ . Second, chemolithoautotrophic nitriteoxidizing bacteria use the chemical energy of nitrifcation to fix  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (Science and Conservation [2016](#page-16-8)). Morphological transformation in the roots are because of Nod factors, resulting in the formation of a globular structure known as a "nodule." *Rhizobia* can fix atmospheric  $N_2$  in nodules (Zhang et al. [2018](#page-17-9)). Rhizobia (*Rhizobium*, *Mesorhizobium*, and *Bradirhizobium*) can create symbiotic relationships with legume roots. Legumes generate flavonoids that are recognised by rhizobia for the induction of nodulation factor synthesis (Dixon and Kahn [2004](#page-14-19)). Identifcation of the *nifH* gene, which encodes the nitrogenase Fe protein, helps to assess nitrogen-fxing capacity. As a result, nitrifcation and nitrogen fxation are essential processes in the nitrogen cycle as they serve a signifcant ecological function (Santoyo et al. [2021](#page-16-9)).

#### **3.2.2 Phosphate solubilization**

Phosphorus (P), the second-most crucial nutrient for plants after nitrogen, can be found in organic and inorganic soil types (Kumar et al. [2015\)](#page-15-14). Most of this P is insoluble and cannot support plant growth (Rodríguez et al. [2006](#page-16-10)). It is involved in photosynthesis, energy transmission, signal transduction, cell division, respiration, and macromolecular biosynthesis (Vassileva et al. [2000](#page-17-10)). It can be found in two diferent forms and is degraded by bacteria or fungi. Innate phosphorus (30 to 50% of the total) can be found as inositol hexaphosphate or phytate. With Ca, Al, and Mn, inorganic phosphorus creates insoluble mineral complexes. Most soils have 1 mg/kg of soluble phosphorus, insufficient for plant growth (Khan et al. [2014\)](#page-14-20).

Monobasic ( $H_2PO_4$ ) and dibasic ( $HPO_4^2$ ) ions are plantaccessible forms of phosphorus. Flowers & microbes compete for this element through desorption, absorption, solubilization, and precipitation. Mineralization of organic phosphorus is possible as a byproduct of soil natural processes or by specialised enzymes regulated by nutrient demand (Khatoon et al. [2020\)](#page-14-21) Organic acid synthesis and exudation by soil bacteria are key to solubilizing inorganic phosphate. These organic acids are made by oxidising glucose (Santoyo et al. [2021\)](#page-16-9). Through direct phosphorus uptake or bioavailability, PGPR with phosphate-solubilizing behaviour can reside in the rhizosphere and improve plant and root health (Hooker et al. [1994\)](#page-14-22). PGPR solubilizes inorganic phosphate which is insoluble into its soluble form, such as orthophosphate, and makes it available to the plant. These P-solubilizing PGPR increase the crop yield by providing a sufficient amount of soluble phosphorus to the plant (Shukla et al. [2011\)](#page-16-11). P-solubilizing PGPR bacteria are used as bio fertilizers to reduce agrochemical use and promote environmentally friendly crop production (Kour et al. [2020](#page-14-23)).

## **3.3 Enzymes**

Production of lytic enzymes is an important method used by biocontrol agents to infuence crop diseases. Rhizobacteria that stimulate plant development use enzymatic activity as a growth strategy. These enzymes breakdown or deform cell wall components of fungal pathogens. This is one of the most important mechanisms for controlling soil pathogens in an environment-friendly manner (Hyder et al. [2022](#page-14-24)). Chitinases, dehydrogenases, glucanases, lipases, phosphatases, proteases, and other enzymes produced by PGPB strains have hyperparasitic activity. The lytic enzymes produced by myxobacteria are efective against fungal plant diseases. With the lytic behaviour of these enzymes, PGPR reduce pathogenic fungi such as *Rhizoctonia solani, Pythium ultimum*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Sclerotium rolfsii*, *Phytophthora* sp., and *Botrytis cinerea* (Babalola et al. [2021](#page-13-14); Boro et al. [2022\)](#page-13-3). *Bacillus* spp., *Trichoderma virens* are used to promote plant growth and due to broad range of lytic enzymes producing abilities these strains are widely used against *R*. *solani*, *F*. *oxysporum* (Shameer and Prasad [2018;](#page-16-12) Mazrou et al. [2020\)](#page-15-15). *Lysobacter* can develop glucanase, which aids in the treatment of diseases associated with *Bipolaris* and *Pythium* sp. *Pseudomonas stutzeri* produces chitinase and laminarinase extracellularly that digest and lyse *Fusarium solani* mycelia, preventing crop damage from root rot caused by this fungus (Meena et al. [2020;](#page-15-0) Xu et al. [2021\)](#page-17-11).

### **3.4 Siderophores**

A wide variety of bacterial, fungal, and plant species produce siderophores (Ahmed and Holmström [2014\)](#page-13-15). Low-molecularweight molecules that chelate  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  ions and transport it into microbial cells on iron scarcity, are siderophores. PGPR has been associated with a number of benefts, especially in limiting circumstances during iron uptake in rhizosphere. In addition, it may prevent the spread of pathogens that harm the plant and shield it from harmful weather conditions. (Crowley [2006](#page-13-16)). In rhizospheres with minimal iron, PGPR that creates siderophores can hitch accessible iron and lessen the pathogens' access to it, inadvertently promoting plant growth (Alberto and Jose [2012](#page-13-17)). Siderophore-producing bacteria are mostly found in the genus *Pseudomonas*, with the siderophores pyochelin and pyoverdine being produced by *Pseudomonas fuorescens* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, respectively (Buysens et al. [1996\)](#page-13-18). When iron is low, pyoverdines as constructive siderophore reduce the growth rate of bacteria and fungi in vitro. Pseudomonads have been discovered to control soilborne plant diseases like chickpea and wheat root rot disease (Santos et al. [2011](#page-16-13)). *Pseudomonas* strain colonised the roots of numerous crops quickly after producing a specifc siderophore, resulting in enhanced output. Pseudomonad-induced plant growth was typically accompanied by a decrease in pathogen populations on the roots (Singh and Jha [2017](#page-16-14)). As a result of the iron chelation, siderophores are efficient in lowering phytopathogen proliferation and boosting plant development through increased iron uptake (Olanrewaju et al. [2017\)](#page-15-16).

A number of enzymes involved in critical physiological activities, such as nitrogen fxation and photosynthesis, use iron as a cofactor (Lankford [1994\)](#page-15-17). The oxidised form of  $Fe<sup>+3</sup>$  is the most common chemical form that interacts with organic matter to generate insoluble oxides and hydroxides (Goswami et al. [2016](#page-14-25)). Iron-chelating chemicals are released into the rhizosphere by some rhizospheric bacteria such as *Pseudomonas fluorescens, Bacillus subtilis, Azospirillum brasilense, Azotobacter chroococcum* and *Streptomyces* spp., drawing iron to the rhizosphere and allowing it to be absorbed by the plant (Trapet et al. [2016](#page-17-12); Marastoni et al. [2019;](#page-15-18) Chen et al. [2023\)](#page-13-19). Dual methods have been developed by plants for efficiently absorbing iron. First method involves the release of organic molecules that chelate iron, making it soluble and allowing it to penetrate into the plant, where it is reduced and captivate by an enzyme system located in the cell membrane (Ahmed and Holmström [2014](#page-13-15)). Other way involves the intake of organic component and  $Fe^{+3}$  complex, which reduces and easily absorbs iron (Colombo et al. [2014\)](#page-13-20). Rhizobacteria boosts plant growth by multiple routes. PGPR fxes nitrogen, produces plant hormones, and delivers iron to iron-defcient plants (Rosier et al. [2018](#page-16-15)). Bio-antagonism involves rivalries for space or resources (iron), anti—fungal secondary metabolites (HCN), and hydrolytic enzymes (chitinases, glucanases) (Gull and Hafeez [2012\)](#page-14-26). By creating siderophores, some bacterial strains can operate as biocontrol agents. In this situation, PGPR siderophores can prevent phytopathogens from obtaining enough iron, restricting their ability to multiply (Kloepper et al. [1980](#page-14-27))**.** Due to the lack of iron, PGPB creates siderophores that have a greater propensity for iron than pathogenic fungi, which inhibits their establishment in the host plant's rhizosphere. This is because they efficiently compete with fungi for available iron (Glick [2012](#page-14-28)). Since most plants can grow at far lower concentrations than most bacteria, they are unafected by the iron depletion in the rhizosphere brought on by biocontrol PGPB siderophores. Numerous plants can attach to, take up, and utilise the iron siderophore complex (O'Sullivan and O'Gara [1992\)](#page-15-19). In the rhizosphere, microbially produced siderophores may give iron to crops connected with siderophore-producing PGPR (Santoyo et al. [2021\)](#page-16-9). Chemicals called iron-chelating polycyclic organic compounds generated by plant growth promoting bacteria can be used to gain an advantage over organisms that are unable to synthesise them (Poonam Pandurang [2021](#page-16-16)).

# **4 Rhizosphere is a site for biocontrol of pathogens**

The rhizosphere is a pool of microbial interplay, wherein the rhizospheric microbes may function as biocontrol agents (Chase et al. [2016](#page-13-21)). Rhizobacteria limit the growth of phytopathogens by producing siderophores, bacteriocins, antibiotics, and lytic enzymes (Sindhu et al. [2009\)](#page-16-17). This antagonistic pursuit of PGPR's where one bacterium acts as a predator of another bacteria is of fundamental importance because it interferes with pathogen growth (Jaiswal et al. [2019](#page-14-29)).

Various microbiological control agents have proven efective in controlling plant diseases. The EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) approved *Agrobacterium radiobacter* strain K84 for the treatment of crown gall in 1979. *Trichoderma harzianumi* ATCC 20476 was approved ten years later for plant disease control. There are 14 bacteria and 12 fungi registered for plant disease control at EPA (Samada and Tambunan [2020;](#page-16-18) Ahmed et al. [2022](#page-13-22)). Pseudomonads have received the most attention as potential biocontrol agents. These bacteria can successfully compete with soil microorganisms and produce a large amount of antifungal secondary metabolites. More than 100 aromatic antibiotics and antibiotic-like compounds have been discovered in *Pseudomonas* species. VSMKU3054, an isolate of *P. fluorescens* proved effective for the seed germination of the tomato seedlings with antagonistic efect towards phytopathogens (Suresh et al. [2021;](#page-16-19) Lahlali et al. [2022](#page-15-20)). The number of biocontrol products used in plant disease control is increasing. Some of the major antibiotics produced by PGPB are listed in Table [1](#page-8-0). However, they account for only 1% of agricultural control measures, while fungicides account for 15% of all agricultural pesticides.

#### **4.1 Antimicrobial compounds**

remnants have yielded antibiotics with varied mechanisms of action, including those that impair pathogen cell wall assembly, modify cell membrane architecture, and block ribosome initiation complex formation (Maksimov et al. [2011](#page-15-21)). They might afect the cell membrane, membrane integrity, zoospores linked to fungal diseases, and the electron transport chain (PRN, PHZ) (Whipps [2001\)](#page-17-13). Genes involved in antibiotic production are highly conserved across bacterial species (Fernando et al. [2006](#page-14-30)). Fluorescent Pseudomonads produce 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG), an antifungal, antibacterial, and phytotoxic antibiotic (Bangera and Thomashow [1999\)](#page-13-24) (Jan et al. [2011](#page-14-31)). DAPG helps *Pseudomonas* sp. prevent plant diseases and reduces *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *tritici's* wheat disease (Mishra and Arora [2018](#page-15-22)). Pyoluteorin (PLT) is a polyketide antibiotic produced by *Pseudomonas* with a resorcinol ring and a bichlorinated pyrrole moiety (Figueiredo et al. [2010\)](#page-14-32)*.* It controls pathogenic fungus-caused plant disorders and possesses bactericidal and herbicidal properties. PLT is synthesised from proline by a series of linked condensation, chlorination, and oxidation steps catalysed by at least 10 enzymes encoded by 10 PLT operon genes (plt L, M, R, A-G) (Nowak-Thompson et al. [1999](#page-15-23)). The PLT production is connected to oomycete fungal inhibition, including *Phythium ultimum*, the causative microbe of Phythium damping disease (Weller et al. [2007](#page-17-14)). Circulin, polymyxin, and colistin are antibiotics produced by *Bacillus* spp. (Amin et al. [2012\)](#page-13-25). Antibiotics produced by *Bacillus subtilis*, such as fengycin and iturins, suppress the growth of fungi, such as *Podosphaera fusca*. Antibiotics, which have been used as biocontrol agents but have their limits, are vital in the treatment of infections and infection management (M 2017).

The biocontrol abilities of *Pseudomonad* are critical for aggressive root colonisation, antifungal antibiotic production and the induction of systemic resistance in plants. Fungal antibacterials have varied mechanisms of action. Polymyxin, circulin, and colistin, antibiotics produced by most *Bacillus* species, are potent against  $G + ve$  and  $G - ve$  bacteria along with fungi (Beneduzi et al. [2012\)](#page-13-23).

## **4.2 HCN**

Hydrogen cyanide (HCN) is a volatile molecule that is detrimental to plants and prevents key metabolic enzymes from working, and it's one of the most common characteristics of rhizobacterial isolates. It is a secondary metabolite generated by soil bacteria with an ecological function that gives selection advantage to the producing strains. HCN inhibits fungal development and brings about Sagar antagonism (Sagar et al. [2018](#page-16-20)). It doesn't participate in growth, energy storage, or primary metabolism (Ahemad and Kibret [2014](#page-13-26)). In solution, cyanide exists as free cyanide, consisting of the

<span id="page-8-0"></span>



314 M. Thakur et al.

cyanide anion (CN) and the non-dissociated HCN (Upad hyay [2016](#page-17-8)). HCN, which is created in the rhizosphere of seedlings with the help of selected rhizobacteria, is a manageable and eco-friendly way to organically reduce weeds while minimising negative efects on favoured plant growth (Gusain et al. [2015](#page-14-33)). Many plant-associated fuorescent pseudomonads employ HCN to biologically manage root disease.

Certain rhizobacteria's plasma membrane is connected to the HCN synthetase enzyme which converts glycine to HCN (Shameer and Prasad [2018\)](#page-16-12). Numerous bacte rial genera can manufacture HCN, including *Alcaligenes, Aeromonas, Bacillus, Pseudomonas, Enterobacter*, and *Rhizobium* species (Kumar et al. [2015\)](#page-15-14). According to some studies, *Pseudomonas* isolated from potato and wheat rhizospheres may produce HCN in vitro (Ghadam gahi et al. [2022\)](#page-14-4). Biocontrol ability could be attributed to HCN's ability to bind iron in the rhizosphere, despite phy topathogen competition. Iron and cyanide have also been known to improve plant phosphate availability (Sagar et al. [2018](#page-16-20)).

### **4.3 Quorum sensing**

The method of native cell-to-cell communication known as quorum sensing (QS) occurs in the community of bacteria and is used to exchange information (Shrestha et al. [2020\)](#page-16-21). The QS controls the sporulation, biolumi nescence, pathogenicity, conjugation, and bioflm for mation in rhizospheric bacteria (Baltenneck et al. [2021](#page-13-27)). The QS regulates several transcription factors and genes in bacteria that are important for their growth, defence, and adaptability to changing environmental conditions (Li et al. [2021](#page-15-24); Thakur et al., [2023\)](#page-16-22). Versatile signalling molecules known as auto inducers play a crucial role in communication between bacterial species (Stephens and Bentley [2020](#page-16-23)).

QS is critical for plant-bacteria interactions and is mediated by a number of important chemical compounds (Ma et al.  $2021$ ; Paasch and He  $2021$ ; Thoms et al. [2021](#page-17-15)). The function of quorum sensing molecule N-acyl homoserine lactone (AHL), for plant growth and inter action with bacteria has been thoroughly studied. The two components of AHL are an acyl side chain with 4 to 18 C-atoms and a homoserine lactone ring which plays a role in gene expression in plant defence mechanisms as well as in auxin and cytokinin signalling pathways. AHL is important for enhanced stomata closure and cal lose and lignin deposition in the cell wall, which helps plants resist infections caused by *Pseudomonas syrin gae* and *Blumeria granminis*. This role is demonstrated in a recent study in *Arabidopsis* (Shrestha et al. [2020](#page-16-21); Wang et al. [2021](#page-17-16); Babenko et al. [2022\)](#page-13-28). A combination

of four AHL molecules interacting with *Arabidopsis thaliana* was successfully used to show how the AHL complex was confrmed in nature as a disease-resistant and plant growth promoting factor. Similarly, a recent study showed that benzoxazinoids secreted by maize root infuences the microbial community present in the root rhizosphere (Cadot et al. [2021\)](#page-13-29). Nanogypsum, which refers to nanosized particles of gypsum (calcium sulfate dihydrate), has gained interest in agriculture due to its potential benefts in promoting plant growth and soil health. Nanogypsum enhance soil structure by promoting focculation, reducing aluminum toxicity by binding with aluminum ions and reducing soil dispersion making plants more resilient to diseases. In the presence of nanogypsum the contribution of bacterium *Pseudomonas taiwanensis* to plant growth was demonstrated (Chaudhary et al. [2021\)](#page-13-30). Metagenomic analysis demonstrated the possible contribution of nanogysum to the proliferation of many bacteria that aid in plant growth, including Proteobacteria, Bacteriodetes, Planctomycetes, Acidobacteria, and Nitrospirae.

# **5 Rhizobacteria for Bioremediation**

An enticing and less expensive method of cleaning contaminated soil and water is bioremediation (Singha and Pandey [2021](#page-16-24)). Overuse of pesticides, insecticides, hazardous chemicals in agricultural felds and increased anthropogenic activities leads to high level of pollutants in the environment. Bioremediation mainly includes the use of biological processes to remove, reduce, or transform these pollutants. Pollutant-degrading rhizobacteria colonize near roots and use root exudate as their main food source. PGPB and other rhizosphere-dwelling bacteria are used in rhizoremediation, a type of phytoremediation (Medfu Tarekegn et al. [2020](#page-15-27); Yadav and Thakur [2021\)](#page-17-17). The bacterium *Pseudomonas putida* PCL1444 utilises root exudate to break down the naphthalene surrounding the root and shield seeds from its hazardous efects*. \*Phytoremediation although reduces chemical risks from organic and inorganic contaminants, but has limitations that hinder large-scale use in the feld. Stress caused by contamination reduces seed germination. Soil can be contaminated by industrial pollution, petrochemical spills, hazardous wastes, leaded gasoline, mine tailings, chemical products, industrial wastes, atmospheric deposition, and the burning of coal residues having huge amount of metalloids and trace metals (Saeed et al. [2021](#page-16-25); Oladoye et al. [2022\)](#page-15-28). PGPR changes harmful chemical compounds into nontoxic ones. Microbial species have the potential to work along with plants to break down both organic and inorganic contaminants (Katiyar et al. [2020](#page-14-34)). Complex pollutants can be removed with the help of PGPR and pollutant-degrading bacteria.

## **6 Biofertilizer**

Agriculture is one of the human activities that contribute most to the increase in chemical pollution due to extensive use of synthetic fertilizers as well as pesticides, which negatively afect the environment and threaten human health. Farmers use large amounts of agrochemicals to meet nutrient needs and to protect crops from phytopathogens (Mącik et al. [2020\)](#page-15-29). Superfuous use of chemicals in the form of herbicides, insecticides, and fungicides provokes potentially harmful biological effects such as acute and chronic toxicity, mutagenicity, and carcinogenesis. The alternative to agrochemicals is biofertilizers that contain PGPB (Amenyogbe et al. [2021](#page-13-31); Basu et al. [2021](#page-13-32)). Utilization of chemical fertilizers leads to eutrophication and consequent pollution of air and ground water. This practice also harms the roots of the crops as they can no longer absorb nutrients. Due to improved knowledge about the relationship of the plants with soil microbes, the use of microbes-based fertilizers has increased worldwide. A biofertilizer possesses microbes that populate the rhizosphere and boosts growth by escalating nutrient supply to the host plant (Ortiz and Sansinenea [2021](#page-15-30); Nur Maisarah Mohamad Sarbani and Yahaya [2022](#page-16-26)).

PGPBs are commonly used as biofertilizers. They are used as substitutes for synthetic fertilizers, which are becoming more common (Kang et al. [2021\)](#page-14-35). *Rhizobium*, *Azotobacter, Azospirillum*, and blue-green algae are examples of biofertilizers that have been used for a long time. Endophytic and rhizospheric relationships are the two most common types of PGPR-host relationships. Endophytic means that the PGPB live inside the host plants (Basu et al. [2021](#page-13-32)). Biofertilizers can dissolve plant nutrients like phosphate, zinc, and potassium, fix atmospheric  $N_2$  through nitrogen fxation (BNF), and release hormones that stimulate plant growth (Aasfar et al. [2021](#page-12-2)). They colonize the plant's rhizosphere, which increases mineral accessibility and uptake. Some biofertilizers are specifc for crops such as *Rhizobium* (Pea, soybean, pulses legumes), *Azospirillum* (sugarcane, millet), *Blue-green algae* (rice), *Azotobacter* (Mustard, banana, tomato), and *Arbuscular mycorrhizal* fungi (wheat, corn) (Nosheen et al. [2021;](#page-15-31) Daniel et al. [2022\)](#page-14-36). They are "eco-friendly" organic agro-inputs that convert unavailable essential elements into usable forms (Asoegwu et al. [2020](#page-13-33)).

# **7 Impact of climate change on rhizospheric bacterial diversity and their colonization**

Soil microbes have an important function to resist the adverse environmental conditions and enriching the organic matter, carbon and nitrogen cycles (Chen et al. [2021;](#page-13-34) Macabuhay et al. [2022;](#page-15-32) Sandrini et al. [2022\)](#page-16-27). Since soil microbes make all these signifcant contributions to the ecosystem, their importance is underlined.

Global climate change decreases productivity owing to adverse environmental conditions such as temperature rise, precipitation, imbalance in carbon and nitrogen cycles, shift in vegetation, melting of glaciers, use of chemicals and pesticides, etc. It is imperative to investigate the efect of climate change on the health of soil microbes and the dynamics of their communities (Tiedje et al. [2022](#page-17-18)). Climate change may favour the growth of some soil microbes and at the same time can inhibit the growth of other microbiota or change the metabolism to adapt (Naylor et al. [2020](#page-15-33)). In addition, the development of an ecological model based on climate change will help to understand that climate change has the frst impact on microbial communities throughout the ecosystem (Dwibedi et al. [2022](#page-14-37)).

The temperature affects microbial metabolism, particularly soil mineralization and ATP generation. The mycorrhiza decreases with an increase in temperature. The rising atmospheric carbon dioxide and soil moisture afects the microbial population, in particular the ammonium oxidizing bacteria. The fungi's ability to colonize deeper soil layers increases with increase in soil carbon dioxide level. The temperature can be a potential game changer in the area of plant and microbial diversity (Nottingham et al. [2022\)](#page-15-34). Bardgett and Shine [1999,](#page-13-35) demonstrated that increased temperatures have an impact on the microbial communities found in *Cardamine hirsute, Poa annua, Senecio vulgaris*, and *Spergula arvensi* over the course of three plant generations. The microbial biomass in the previous generation of plants was greater mostly due to fast-growing bacteria, but it afterwards decreased primarily due to slow-growing fungi and bacteria (Finlay et al. [2020;](#page-14-38) Adingo et al. [2021](#page-13-36); Meena et al. [2022](#page-15-35)). The changing temperature can help the community stability and impact the soil productivity, biotranformation and disease control as studied in three bacterial species: *Pseudomonas koreensis, Flavobacterium johnsoniae* and *Bacillus* (Burman and Bengtsson-Palme [2021](#page-13-37)). Even a slight rise in temperature can afect the diversity of the phyllospheric bacteria present in the plant leaves. The impact is such that plant benefcial bacteria such as *Sphingomonas* spp. and *Rhizobium* spp. community reduce significantly whereas the plant pathogenic bacteria community increase manifold (Aydogan et al. [2018](#page-13-38)).

Current research has inadequate data to understand the efect of climate change on soil microbes. The analysis of two years' data from a temperate mountain soil microbial community showed a considerable rise in microbial metabolic activity. However, microbial stress biomarkers got regulated signifcantly (Schindlbacher et al. [2011\)](#page-16-28). Rudgers et al. [2014](#page-16-29) reported 20 years of experimental study on the efect of warming on graminoid and soil fungi. They reported the increased root colonization by AM fungi in the two grass species but no efect on other three graminoids species. Suseela et al. [2014](#page-16-30) reported that warming and precipitation signifcantly regulate enzyme activity which modifes the chemical reaction during litter decomposition. Similar activity showing that climate change may have direct physiological change in enzyme mediate decomposition have been reported by Sorouri and Allison [2022.](#page-16-31) To understand more about the enzyme activity with climatic factor. In southwest China, the impact of seasonal shift on soil microorganisms and enzymes was studied. A total of 36 distinct phospholipid fatty acids were found, with 16:0 fatty acid being the most prevalent throughout all seasons. In contrast, the levels of invertase and catalase were high and low in the autumn and winter. In a similar manner, the activity of the enzymes urease and phosphates acid was shown to be high and low in the spring and winter, respectively. The reliance of microorganisms' enzyme activity on temperature and season suggests that they play a controlling function in the microbial population in response to changes in the environment (Luo et al. [2020](#page-15-36)).

# **8 Functional metagenomics to explore endophytic symbiosis**

The endophytic or rhizospheric bacteria associated with the plants have a critical impact on plant growth either directly or indirectly (Saeed et al. [2021;](#page-16-25) Shah et al. [2021\)](#page-16-32). Most of these bacteria fail to grow in an axenic environment. Therefore, the metagenomics approach could suitably be taken to comprehend their diversity, abundance, and function. These bacteria regulate gene expression and the production of proteins and bioactive chemicals in such a way that they are critical to the plant's ability to adapt to harsh environment. The microbial ecology of the Datura plant was studied using a metagenomic technique that revealed the presence of 14 bacterial families, belonging to mainly Sphingomonadaceae, Flavobacterium and Pedobacter (Senn et al. [2022](#page-16-33)). An intriguing discovery about the microbial ecology in the rhizospheric soil associated with roots during root growth from the root tip, hairy root, to lateral root was made by (Rüger et al. [2021\)](#page-16-34). They discovered a complex relationship between the root microbiome, lateral roots, and the nutrients in the soil, as well as the pattern of microbiome community formation. Compared to lateral roots, where betadiversity is limited and deterministic, root tips and root hair zone exhibit high variation in beta variety. This may be due to the availability of abundant food resources in root tips and root hair zone from the soil as compared to the lateral roots. Therefore, the lateral roots develop a community that is deterministic due to low resources. The co-occurrence pattern of prokaryotic community and deterministic (competitor and predators) was strongest in the lateral roots. Such microbiome assembly in the root system is very important to understand a healthy root microbiome for plant growth and development. Plant host gene and their interaction may infuence the dynamic of microbiotic components which need to be understood with further research and innovation. In this regard, the research must be carried out in the native environmental condition which has been least explored. Roux et al. (2023) studied the genome-environmental association of plant microbiota of 141 whole-genome sequences of wild *Arabidopsis thaliana* to explore bacterial communities residing in leaf and roots in two diferent seasons (autumn and spring). The study revealed that most of the bacterial community was governed by host genetics than any other factor. Moreover, the bacterial community was able to the plant tissue regardless of seasons due to the polygenic efect. Their fnding indicates the plant's health and growth is the major factor for genetic variation that helps in bacteria assembly in *A. thaliana*. Zhang et al. ([2022](#page-17-19)) demonstrated the recruitment of highly conserved endophytic bacteria dominated by Gammaproteobacteria in the stem xylem of maize plants from the soil or roots or leaves. About 25 bacterial taxa carrying nitrogenase gene (*nifH*) are actively involved in nitrogen fxation in the stem xylem. The core endophytic bacteria contribute to the nutrient supply to plant development.

# **9 Conclusion**

Root exudates serve as a central orchestrator in shaping the intricate web of microbial interactions within the rhizosphere, the specialized zone of soil directly infuenced by the root system of plants. This interplay gains complexity from the diverse and thriving community of microorganisms that call the rhizosphere home. These microorganisms actively contribute to this dynamic ecosystem by secreting phytohormones and engaging in intricate biomolecular exchanges, which go well beyond the conventional understanding of roots as mere anchors for plants and conduits for water and nutrient uptake. Recent scientifc explorations have uncovered the immense potential of PGPR in revolutionizing sustainable agricultural practices. These beneficial microorganisms have emerged as formidable allies in the quest to bolster crop productivity while simultaneously reducing the reliance on chemical pesticides. This aligns perfectly with the growing global emphasis on adopting eco-friendly and environmentally conscious farming methods. To gain a comprehensive understanding of the intricate microbial ecology within soil, researchers advocate for a synergistic approach that combines axenic culture techniques and metagenomic analyses. Such an approach promises a more holistic perspective on soil health and fertility, providing invaluable insights for farmers as they make informed decisions about soil quality before embarking on cultivation. Ultimately, this integrated approach holds the potential to fortify agricultural sustainability by fostering healthier soils and promoting environmentally-friendly farming practices.

The exploration of the phytomicrobiome represents a frontier rich with potential for the development of novel and sustainable crop management techniques. Nevertheless, further indepth research is imperative to unravel the intricacies of PGPR interactions with native PGPR and other microbial inhabitants within the plant rhizosphere. Additionally, as climate change continues to exert its infuence, it is paramount to investigate how this phenomenon impacts the well-being and community dynamics of soil bacteria. Future studies must delve into the changing states of soil microbes and how microbial communities dynamically respond to adverse climate conditions. Furthermore, the creation of an ecological model that considers the impact of climate change will enhance our comprehension of how microbial communities within ecosystems are often the frst to bear the brunt of these environmental shifts.

**Acknowledgements** Dr. Deepak Kumar is thankful to Institution of Eminence Seed Grant (Dev. No. 6031 (B), Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi for providing the fnancial support to the laboratory. DK also acknowledges Centre of Advanced study in Botany, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University, for providing necessary facilities and infrastructural support. The DK laboratory is funded by the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB)-EMEQ grant (EEQ/2021/000593). VG extends his appreciation for the fnancial assistance granted to his research laboratory via the Institution of Eminence Seed Grant at Banaras Hindu University in Varanasi, India. Khushboo acknowledges DBT-RA program (fellowship) of Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India.

**Author contribution** The work presented here was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Conceived and designed the article: DK; wrote the draft manuscript: MT, Khushboo, SS, PK, MY, RKV, AP, VY; and reviewed, edited and provided valuable input in the manuscript: SS, VY, NPN, VG and DK. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** Authors declare that they have not any known conflict of interest.

## **References**

- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Aasfar A, Bargaz A, Yaakoubi K et al (2021) Nitrogen Fixing Azotobacter Species as Potential Soil Biological Enhancers for Crop Nutrition and Yield Stability. Front Microbiol 12:1–19. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.628379) [doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.628379](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.628379)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Adedeji AA, Babalola OO (2020) Secondary metabolites as plant defensive strategy: a large role for small molecules in the near root region. Planta 252:61
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Adeleke BS, Ayangbenro A, Babalola OO (2022) Efect of endophytic bacterium, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia JVB5 on sunfowers. Plant Prot Sci 58:185–198
- <span id="page-13-36"></span>Adingo S, Yu J-R, Xuelu L et al (2021) Variation of soil microbial carbon use efficiency (CUE) and its Influence mechanism in the context of global environmental change: a review. PeerJ 9:e12131
- <span id="page-13-26"></span>Ahemad M, Kibret M (2014) Mechanisms and applications of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: Current perspective. J King Saud Univ Sci 26:1–20. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2013.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2013.05.001) [05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2013.05.001)
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>Ahmed E, Holmström SJM (2014) Minireview Siderophores in Environmental Research : Roles and Applications. Microbial Biotechnol. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12117>
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>Ahmed M, Javeed A, Sajid AR et al (2022) Biopesticides: A healthy alternative of hazardous chemical pesticides, current development and status in China. Biomed Lett 8:98–108
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>Alberto M, Jose MJ (2012) Burkholderia Cepacia XXVI Siderophore with Biocontrol Capacity against Burkholderia Cepacia XXVI Siderophore with Biocontrol Capacity against Colletotrichum Gloeosporioides. World J Microbiol Biotechnol. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-012-1071-9) [10.1007/s11274-012-1071-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-012-1071-9)
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>Ali S, Kim WC (2018) Plant growth promotion under water: Decrease of waterlogging-induced ACC and ethylene levels by ACC deaminase-producing bacteria. Front Microbiol 9. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01096) [3389/fmicb.2018.01096](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01096)
- <span id="page-13-31"></span>Amenyogbe E, Huang J, Chen G, Wang Z (2021) An overview of the pesticides' impacts on fshes and humans. Int J Aquat Biol 9:55–65
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>Amin A, Ayaz Khan M, Ehsanullah M et al (2012) Production of peptide antibiotics by Bacillus SP. GU 057 indigenously isolated from saline soil. Braz J Microbiol 43:1340–1346. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822012000400015) [10.1590/S1517-83822012000400015](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822012000400015)
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Anand U, Pal T, Yadav N et al (2023) Current scenario and future prospects of endophytic microbes: promising candidates for abiotic and biotic stress management for agricultural and environmental sustainability. Microb Ecol 86:1455–1486. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-023-02190-1) [1007/s00248-023-02190-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-023-02190-1)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Anfang M, Shani E (2021) Transport mechanisms of plant hormones. Curr Opin Plant Biol 63:102055
- <span id="page-13-33"></span>Asoegwu CR, Awuchi CG, Nelson K et al (2020) A review on the role of biofertilizers in reducing soil pollution and increasing soil nutrients. Himalayan Journal of Agriculture 1:34–38
- <span id="page-13-38"></span>Aydogan EL, Moser G, Müller C et al (2018) Long-term warming shifts the composition of bacterial communities in the phyllosphere of Galium album in a permanent grassland feld-experiment. Front Microbiol 9:144
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>Babalola OO, Emmanuel OC, Adeleke BS et al (2021) Rhizosphere microbiome cooperations: strategies for sustainable crop production. Curr Microbiol 78:1069–1085
- <span id="page-13-28"></span>Babenko LM, Kosakivska IV, Romanenko КO (2022) Molecular mechanisms of N-acyl homoserine lactone signals perception by plants. Cell Biol Int 46:523–534
- <span id="page-13-27"></span>Baltenneck J, Reverchon S, Hommais F (2021) Quorum sensing regulation in phytopathogenic bacteria. Microorganisms 9:239
- <span id="page-13-24"></span>Bangera MG, Thomashow LS (1999) Identifcation and characterization of a gene cluster for synthesis of the polyketide antibiotic 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol from pseudomonas fuorescens q2–87. J Bacteriol 181:3155–3163. [https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.](https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.181.10.3155-3163) [181.10.3155-3163](https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.181.10.3155-3163)
- <span id="page-13-35"></span>Bardgett RD, Shine A (1999) Linkages between plant litter diversity, soil microbial biomass and ecosystem function in temperate grasslands. Soil Biol Biochem 31:317–321
- <span id="page-13-32"></span>Basu A, Prasad P, Das SN et al (2021) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (Pgpr) as green bioinoculants: Recent developments, constraints, and prospects. Sustainability (switzerland) 13:1–20. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13031140>
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>Behera B, Das TK, Raj R et al (2021) Microbial consortia for sustaining productivity of non-legume crops: prospects and challenges. Agricultural Research 10:1–14
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>Belimov AA, Dodd IC, Safronova VI et al (2014) Abscisic acid metabolizing rhizobacteria decrease ABA concentrations in planta and alter plant growth. Plant Physiol Biochem 74:84–91. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2013.10.032) [org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2013.10.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2013.10.032)
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>Beneduzi A, Ambrosini A, Passaglia LMP (2012) <Sziderofórpgpr. Pdf>. Genet Mol Biol 4:1044–1051
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Bleecker AB, Kende H (2000) Ethylene: A gaseous signal molecule in plant. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 16:1–18. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.16.1.1) [1146/annurev.cellbio.16.1.1](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.16.1.1)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Boro M, Sannyasi S, Chettri D, Verma AK (2022) Microorganisms in biological control strategies to manage microbial plant pathogens: a review. Arch Microbiol 204:666
- <span id="page-13-37"></span>Burman E, Bengtsson-Palme J (2021) Microbial community interactions are sensitive to small changes in temperature. Front Microbiol 12:672910. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.6729101326) [6729101326](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.6729101326)
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>Buysens S, Heungens K, Poppe J, Hofte M (1996) Involvement of pyochelin and pyoverdin in suppression of pythium-induced damping-off of tomato by Pseudomonas aeruginosa 7NSK2. Appl Environ Microbiol 62:865–871. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.62.3.865-871) [aem.62.3.865-871](https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.62.3.865-871)
- <span id="page-13-29"></span>Cadot S, Guan H, Bigalke M et al (2021) Specifc and conserved patterns of microbiota-structuring by maize benzoxazinoids in the feld. Microbiome 9:1–19
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Canarini A, Kaiser C, Merchant A et al (2019) Root exudation of primary metabolites: mechanisms and their roles in plant responses to environmental stimuli. Front Plant Sci 10:157
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Carballo-Sánchez MP, Alarcón A, Pérez-Moreno J, Ferrera-Cerrato R (2022) Agricultural and Forestry Importance of Microorganism-plant Symbioses: A Microbial Source for Biotechnological Innovations. Reviews in Agricultural Science 10:344–355
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Cassán F, Vanderleyden J, Spaepen S (2014) Physiological and agronomical aspects of phytohormone production by model plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) belonging to the genus Azospirillum. J Plant Growth Regul 33:440–459
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Chai YN, Schachtman DP (2022) Root exudates impact plant performance under abiotic stress. Trends Plant Sci 27:80–91
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>Chase MW, Christenhusz MJM, Fay MF et al (2016) An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classifcation for the orders and families of fowering plants: APG IV. Bot J Linn Soc 181:1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/boj.12385>
- <span id="page-13-30"></span>Chaudhary P, Khati P, Chaudhary A et al (2021) Cultivable and metagenomic approach to study the combined impact of nanogypsum and Pseudomonas taiwanensis on maize plant health and its rhizospheric microbiome. PLoS ONE 16:e0250574
- <span id="page-13-34"></span>Chen X, Liu M, Xu Z, Wei H (2021) Infuences of temperature and moisture on abiotic and biotic soil CO2 emission from a subtropical forest. Carbon Balance Manag 16:1–8
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>Chen J, Guo Y, Wu Q et al (2023) Discovery of New Siderophores from a Marine Streptomycetes sp. via Combined Metabolomics and Analysis of Iron-Chelating Activity. J Agric Food Chem 71:6584–6593
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>Cheng Q (2008) Perspectives in Biological Nitrogen Fixation. Research 50:784–796. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7909.2008.00700.x>
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Cohen AC, Travaglia CN, Bottini R, Piccoli PN (2009) Participation of abscisic acid and gibberellins produced by endophytic Azospirillum in the alleviation of drought efects in maize. Botany 87:455–462. <https://doi.org/10.1139/B09-023>
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>Colombo C, Palumbo G, He J, et al (2014) Review on iron availability in soil : interaction of Fe minerals , plants , and microbes. 1:538–548. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-013-0814-z>
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>Crowley DE (2006) Chapter 8 Microbial siderophores in the plant rhizosphere. 169– 198
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>Cruz-Hernández MA, Mendoza-Herrera A, Bocanegra-García V, Rivera G (2022) Azospirillum spp. from plant growth-promoting bacteria to their use in bioremediation. Microorganisms 10:1057
- <span id="page-14-36"></span>Daniel AI, Fadaka AO, Gokul A et al (2022) Biofertilizer: the future of food security and food safety. Microorganisms 10:1220
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>Debeaujon I, Koornneef M (2000) Gibberellin requirement for Arabidopsis seed germination is determined both by testa characteristics and embryonic abscisic acid. Plant Physiol 122:415–424. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.122.2.415>
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>Dixon R, Kahn D (2004). Reviews.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro954>
- <span id="page-14-37"></span>Dwibedi V, Rath SK, Joshi M et al (2022) Microbial endophytes: Application towards sustainable agriculture and food security. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 106:5359–5384
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>Egamberdieva D, Kucharova Z (2008) Cropping efects on microbial population and nitrogenase activity in saline arid soil. Turk J Biol 32:85–90
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>Eichmann R, Richards L, Schäfer P (2021) Hormones as go-betweens in plant microbiome assembly. Plant J 105:518–541
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>Fazeli-Nasab B, Shahraki-Mojahed L, Piri R, Sobhanizadeh A (2022) Trichoderma: improving growth and tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses in plants. In: Trends of applied microbiology for sustainable economy. Academic Press, pp 525–564.[https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-91595-3.00004-5) [doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-91595-3.00004-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-91595-3.00004-5)
- <span id="page-14-30"></span>Fernando WGD, Nakkeeran S, Zhang Y (2006) Biosynthesis of antibiotics by PGPR and its relation in biocontrol of plant diseases. PGPR: Biocontrol and Biofertilization 67–109. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-4152-7_3) [10.1007/1-4020-4152-7\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-4152-7_3)
- <span id="page-14-32"></span>Figueiredo M do VB, Seldin L, de Araujo FF, Mariano R de LR (2010) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: fundamentals and applications. In: Plant growth and health promoting bacteria. 18:21–43. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-13612-2\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-13612-2_2)
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>Finkelstein R (2013) Abscisic Acid Synthesis and Response. Arabidopsis Book 11:e0166.<https://doi.org/10.1199/tab.0166>
- <span id="page-14-38"></span>Finlay RD, Mahmood S, Rosenstock N et al (2020) Reviews and syntheses: Biological weathering and its consequences at diferent spatial levels–from nanoscale to global scale. Biogeosciences 17:1507–1533
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>Gamalero E, Glick BR (2015) Bacterial modulation of plant ethylene levels. Plant Physiol 169:13–22. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.00284>
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>Ghadamgahi F, Tarighi S, Taheri P et al (2022) Plant growth-promoting activity of Pseudomonas aeruginosa FG106 and its ability to act as a biocontrol agent against potato, tomato and taro pathogens. Biology (basel) 11:140
- <span id="page-14-28"></span>Glick BR (2012) Plant growth-promoting bacteria: mechanisms and applications. 2012. <https://doi.org/10.6064/2012/963401>
- Glick B, Glick B, Cheng L, et al Promotion of plant growth by ACC deaminase-producing 808 soil bacteria soil bacteria. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-007-9162-4) [org/10.1007/s10658-007-9162-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-007-9162-4)
- <span id="page-14-25"></span>Goswami D, Thakker JN, Dhandhukia PC (2016) Portraying mechanics of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria ( PGPR ): A review Portraying mechanics of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria ( PGPR ): A review. Cogent Food Agric 19. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2015.1127500) [1080/23311932.2015.1127500](https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2015.1127500)
- <span id="page-14-26"></span>Gull M, Hafeez FY (2012) Characterization of siderophore producing bacterial strain Pseudomonas fuorescens Mst 8. 2 as plant growth promoting and biocontrol agent in wheat. African J Microbiol Res 6:6308–6318. [https://doi.org/10.5897/AJMR12.](https://doi.org/10.5897/AJMR12.1285) [1285](https://doi.org/10.5897/AJMR12.1285)
- <span id="page-14-33"></span>Gusain P, Ahemad M, Nguyen D (2015) Plant growth promoting Rhizobacteria ( PGPR ): a review RESEARCH · JUNE 2015 Plant growth promoting Rhizobacteria ( PGPR ): a review. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.5171.2164>
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>Gusmiaty, Restu M, Bachtiar B, Larekeng SH (2019) Gibberellin and IAA Production by Rhizobacteria from Various Private Forest. IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci 270. [https://doi.org/10.1088/](https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/270/1/012018) [1755-1315/270/1/012018](https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/270/1/012018)
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>Hayat R, Ali S, Amara U (2010) Soil benefcial bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion : a review. 579–598. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-010-0117-1) [10.1007/s13213-010-0117-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-010-0117-1)
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>Hewage KAH, Yang J, Wang D et al (2020) Chemical manipulation of abscisic acid signaling: a new approach to abiotic and biotic stress management in agriculture. Advanced Science 7:2001265
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>Hooker JE, Jaizme-Vega M, Atkinson D (1994) Biocontrol of plant pathogens using arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Impact of arbuscular mycorrhizas on sustainable agriculture and natural ecosystems. 191–200
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>Huang S, Zhang X, Fernando WGD (2020) Directing trophic divergence in plant-pathogen interactions: antagonistic phytohormones with NO doubt? Front Plant Sci 11:600063
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>Hussain A, Hasnain S (2009) Cytokinin production by some bacteria : its impact on cell division in cucumber cotyledons. Afr Microbiol Res 3:704–712
- <span id="page-14-24"></span>Hyder S, Rizvi ZF, los Santos-Villalobos S de et al (2022) Applications of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria for increasing crop production and resilience. J Plant Nutr 1–30
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>Islam W, Noman A, Naveed H et al (2020) Role of environmental factors in shaping the soil microbiome. Environ Sci Pollut Res 27:41225–41247
- <span id="page-14-29"></span>Jaiswal SK, Mohammed M, Dakora FD (2019) Microbial community structure in the rhizosphere of the orphan legume Kersting's groundnut [Macrotyloma geocarpum (Harms) Marechal & Baudet]. Mol Biol Rep 46:4471–4481. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-019-04902-8) [s11033-019-04902-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-019-04902-8)
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>Jamil F, Mukhtar H, Fouillaud M, Dufossé L (2022) Rhizosphere signaling: Insights into plant–rhizomicrobiome interactions for sustainable agronomy. Microorganisms 10:899
- <span id="page-14-31"></span>Jan AT, Azam M, Ali A, Rizwanul Haq QM (2011) Novel approaches of benefcial Pseudomonas in mitigation of plant diseases - an appraisal. J Plant Interact 6:195–205. [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/17429145.2010.541944) [17429145.2010.541944](https://doi.org/10.1080/17429145.2010.541944)
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>Jan R, Asaf S, Numan M, Kim K-M (2021) Plant secondary metabolite biosynthesis and transcriptional regulation in response to biotic and abiotic stress conditions. Agronomy 11:968
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>Jiang G, Zhang Y, Gan G et al (2022) Exploring rhizo-microbiome transplants as a tool for protective plant-microbiome manipulation. ISME Communications 2:1–10. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-022-00094-8) [s43705-022-00094-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-022-00094-8)
- <span id="page-14-35"></span>Kang Y, Kim M, Shim C et al (2021) Potential of algae–bacteria synergistic efects on vegetable production. Front Plant Sci 12:656662
- <span id="page-14-34"></span>Katiyar P, Pandey N, Sahu KK (2020) Biological approaches of fuoride remediation: potential for environmental clean-up. Environ Sci Pollut Res 27:13044–13055
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>Kaur H, Gera R (2016) Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria: A Boon to Agriculture. Int J Cell Sci Biotechnol E-ISSN Int J Cell Sci Biotechnol 5:2320–7574
- <span id="page-14-20"></span> Khan S, Zaidi A, Ahmad E (2014) Mechanism of phosphate solubilization and physiological functions of phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms. In: Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms: principles and application of microphos technology, pp 31–62
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>Khatoon Z, Huang S, Rafique M et al (2020) Unlocking the potential of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on soil health and the sustainability of agricultural systems Unlocking the potential of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on soil health and the sustainability of agricultural systems. J Environ Manage 273:111118.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111118>
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>Kieber JJ, Schaller GE (2014) Cytokinins Arabidopsis Book 12:e0168. <https://doi.org/10.1199/tab.0168>
- <span id="page-14-27"></span>Kloepper JW, Leong J, Teintze M, Schrotht MN (1980) Pseudomonas Siderophores : A Mechanism Explaining Disease-Suppressive Soils. Current Microbiol 4:317–320
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>Ko D, Kang J, Kiba T et al (2014) Arabidopsis ABCG14 is essential for the root-to-shoot translocation of cytokinin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:7150–7155.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1321519111>
- <span id="page-14-23"></span>Kour D, Rana KL, Yadav AN et al (2020) Microbial biofertilizers: Bioresources and eco-friendly technologies for agricultural
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>Kumar A, Bahadur I, Maurya BR et al (2015) Does a plant growth promoting rhizobacteria enhance agricultural sustainability? J Pure Appl Microbiol 9:715–724
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>Kumar S, Sindhu SS, Kumar R (2022) Biofertilizers: An ecofriendly technology for nutrient recycling and environmental sustainability. Curr Res Microb Sci 3:100094
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>Ladha JK, Peoples MB, Reddy PM et al (2022) Biological nitrogen fxation and prospects for ecological intensifcation in cerealbased cropping systems. Field Crops Res 283:108541. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2022.108541) [doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2022.108541](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2022.108541)
- <span id="page-15-20"></span>Lahlali R, Ezrari S, Radouane N et al (2022) Biological control of plant pathogens: A global perspective. Microorganisms 10:596
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>Lankford ICE (1994) Copyright © 1994 by Academic Press, Inc., All fghts of reproduction in any form reserved. Methods In Enzymology 235:329–344
- <span id="page-15-24"></span>Li J, Wang Y, Du Y et al (2021) mRNA-Seq reveals the quorum sensing system luxS gene contributes to the environmental ftness of Streptococcus suis type 2. BMC Microbiol 21:1–10
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>Liu S, Tang M, Cheng J (2023) Fermentation optimization of surfactin production of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens HM618. Biotechnol Appl Biochem 70:38–50
- <span id="page-15-36"></span>Luo S, He B, Zeng Q et al (2020) Efects of seasonal variation on soil microbial community structure and enzyme activity in a Masson pine forest in Southwest China. J Mt Sci 17:1398–1409
- <span id="page-15-25"></span>Ma K-W, Niu Y, Jia Y et al (2021) Coordination of microbe–host homeostasis by crosstalk with plant innate immunity. Nat Plants 7:814–825
- <span id="page-15-32"></span>Macabuhay A, Arsova B, Watt M et al (2022) Plant Growth Promotion and Heat Stress Amelioration in Arabidopsis Inoculated with Paraburkholderia phytofrmans PsJN Rhizobacteria Quantifed with the GrowScreen-Agar II Phenotyping Platform. Plants 11:2927
- <span id="page-15-29"></span>Mącik M, Gryta A, Frąc M (2020) Biofertilizers in agriculture: An overview on concepts, strategies and efects on soil microorganisms. Adv Agron 162:31–87
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>Madhi QH, Jumaah AM (2020) Afectivity evaluation of Bacillus subtilis in controlling eggplant root rot caused by Rhizoctonia solani and Fusarium solani. In: IOP conference series: earth and environmental science. IOP Publishing, 553:012026. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/553/1/012026) [org/10.1088/1755-1315/553/1/012026](https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/553/1/012026)
- <span id="page-15-21"></span>Maksimov IV, Abizgil RR, Pusenkova LI (2011) Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria as Alternative to Chemical Crop Protectors from Pathogens ( Review ). Appl Biochem Microbiol 47:373– 385. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0003683811040090>
- <span id="page-15-18"></span>Marastoni L, Pii Y, Maver M et al (2019) Role of Azospirillum brasilense in triggering diferent Fe chelate reductase enzymes in cucumber plants subjected to both nutrient defciency and toxicity. Plant Physiol Biochem 136:118–126
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>Martins MR, Jantalia CP, Reis VM et al (2018) Impact of plant growthpromoting bacteria on grain yield, protein content, and urea-15 N recovery by maize in a Cerrado Oxisol. Plant Soil 422:239–250
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>Maurer D, Malique F, Alfarraj S et al (2021) Interactive regulation of root exudation and rhizosphere denitrifcation by plant metabolite content and soil properties. Plant Soil 467:107–127
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>Mazrou YSA, Makhlouf AH, Elseehy MM et al (2020) Antagonistic activity and molecular characterization of biological control agent Trichoderma harzianum from Saudi Arabia. Egypt J Biol Pest Control 30:1–8
- <span id="page-15-27"></span>Medfu Tarekegn M, Zewdu Salilih F, Ishetu AI (2020) Microbes used as a tool for bioremediation of heavy metal from the environment. Cogent Food Agric 6:1783174
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Meena M, Swapnil P, Divyanshu K et al (2020) PGPR-mediated induction of systemic resistance and physiochemical alterations

in plants against the pathogens: Current perspectives. J Basic Microbiol 60:828–861

- <span id="page-15-35"></span>Meena M, Yadav G, Sonigra P, et al (2022) Multifarious responses of forest soil microbial community toward climate change. Microb Ecol 86:49–74[.https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-022-02051-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-022-02051-3)
- <span id="page-15-22"></span>Mishra J, Arora NK (2018) Secondary metabolites of fuorescent pseudomonads in biocontrol of phytopathogens for sustainable agriculture. Appl Soil Ecol 125:35–45. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.12.004) [apsoil.2017.12.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.12.004)
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>Mitra D, Mondal R, Khoshru B et al (2022) Actinobacteria-enhanced plant growth, nutrient acquisition, and crop protection: Advances in soil, plant, and microbial multifactorial interactions. Pedosphere 32:149–170
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>Mohapatra PK, Panigrahi R, Turner NC (2011) Physiology of spikelet development on the rice panicle: is manipulation of apical dominance crucial for grain yield improvement? Adv Agron 110:333–359. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385531-2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385531-2.00005-0) [00005-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385531-2.00005-0)
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>Morales-Cedeño LR, del Carmen O-M, Loeza-Lara PD et al (2021) Plant growth-promoting bacterial endophytes as biocontrol agents of pre-and post-harvest diseases: Fundamentals, methods of application and future perspectives. Microbiol Res 242:126612
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>Mylona P, Pawlowski K, Bisseling T (1995) Symbiotic Nitrogen Fixation 7:869–885
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>Nag P, Shriti S, Das S (2020) Microbiological strategies for enhancing biological nitrogen fxation in nonlegumes. J Appl Microbiol 129:186–198
- <span id="page-15-33"></span>Naylor D, Sadler N, Bhattacharjee A et al (2020) Soil microbiomes under climate change and implications for carbon cycling. Annu Rev Environ Resour 45:29–59
- Ngalimat MS, Mohd Hata E, Zulperi D et al (2021) Plant growthpromoting bacteria as an emerging tool to manage bacterial rice pathogens. Microorganisms 9:682
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>Niu B, Wang W, Yuan Z et al (2020) Microbial interactions within multiple-strain biological control agents impact soil-borne plant disease. Front Microbiol 11:585404
- <span id="page-15-31"></span>Nosheen S, Ajmal I, Song Y (2021) Microbes as biofertilizers, a potential approach for sustainable crop production. Sustainability 13:1868
- <span id="page-15-34"></span>Nottingham AT, Gloor E, Bååth E, Meir P (2022) Soil carbon and microbes in the warming tropics. Funct Ecol 36:1338–1354
- <span id="page-15-23"></span>Nowak-Thompson B, Chaney N, Wing JS et al (1999) Characterization of the pyoluteorin biosynthetic gene cluster of Pseudomonas fuorescens Pf-5. J Bacteriol 181:2166–2174. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.181.7.2166-2174) [1128/jb.181.7.2166-2174](https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.181.7.2166-2174)
- <span id="page-15-19"></span>O'Sullivan DJ, O'Gara F (1992) Traits of fuorescent Pseudomonas spp. involved in suppression of plant root pathogens. Microbiol Rev 56:662–676. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.56.4.662-676>
- <span id="page-15-28"></span>Oladoye PO, Olowe OM, Asemoloye MD (2022) Phytoremediation technology and food security impacts of heavy metal contaminated soils: A review of literature. Chemosphere 288:132555
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>Olanrewaju OS, Glick BR, Babalola OO (2017) Mechanisms of action of plant growth promoting bacteria. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 33:0.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-017-2364-9>
- <span id="page-15-30"></span>Ortiz A, Sansinenea E (2021) Recent advancements for microorganisms and their natural compounds useful in agriculture. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 105:891–897
- <span id="page-15-26"></span>Paasch BC, He SY (2021) Toward understanding microbiota homeostasis in the plant kingdom. PLoS Pathog 17:e1009472
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>Pandita D (2022) Plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPB): applications and challenges in bioremediation of metal and metalloid contaminated soils. In: Metals metalloids soil plant water systems. pp 485–500. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-91675-2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-91675-2.00002-0) [00002-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-91675-2.00002-0)
- <span id="page-16-16"></span>Poonam Pandurang K (2021) Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR): A Review. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 10:882–886. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2021.1004.093>
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>Primo ED, Ruiz F, Masciarelli O, Giordano W (2015) Bioflm formation and biosurfactant activity in plant-associated bacteria. In: Bacterial metabolites in sustainable agroecosystem. pp 337–349
- Rani V, Prasanna R, Kaushik R (2022) Prospecting the signifcance of methane-utilizing bacteria in agriculture. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 38:176
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>Rodríguez H, Fraga R, Gonzalez T, Bashan Y (2006) Genetics of phosphate solubilization and its potential applications for improving plant growth-promoting bacteria. Plant Soil 287:15–21. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-9056-9) [doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-9056-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-9056-9)
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>Rosier A, Medeiros FH V, Bais HP (2018) Defning plant growth promoting rhizobacteria molecular and biochemical networks in benefcial plant-microbe interactions. Plant Soil 428:35–55. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-018-3679-5>
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>Rub M, Cass F, Piccoli P (2004) Gibberellin production by bacteria and its involvement in plant growth promotion and yield increase. 497–503. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-004-1696-1>
- <span id="page-16-29"></span>Rudgers JA, Kivlin SN, Whitney KD et al (2014) Responses of highaltitude graminoids and soil fungi to 20 years of experimental warming. Ecology 95:1918–1928
- <span id="page-16-34"></span>Rüger L, Feng K, Dumack K et al (2021) Assembly patterns of the rhizosphere microbiome along the longitudinal root axis of maize (Zea mays L.). Front Microbiol 12:614501
- <span id="page-16-25"></span>Saeed Q, Xiukang W, Haider FU, et al (2021) Rhizosphere bacteria in plant growth promotion, biocontrol, and bioremediation of contaminated sites: A comprehensive review of efects and mechanisms. Int J Mol Sci 22. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms221910529>
- <span id="page-16-20"></span>Sagar A, Dhusiya K, Shukla PK et al (2018) Comparative analysis of production of hydrogen cyanide with production of siderophore and phosphate solubilization activity in plant growth promoting bacteria. Vegetos 31:130–135. [https://doi.org/10.5958/2229-](https://doi.org/10.5958/2229-4473.2018.00064.2) [4473.2018.00064.2](https://doi.org/10.5958/2229-4473.2018.00064.2)
- <span id="page-16-18"></span>Samada LH, Tambunan USF (2020) Biopesticides as promising alternatives to chemical pesticides: A review of their current and future status. Online J Biol Sci 20:66–76
- <span id="page-16-27"></span>Sandrini M, Nerva L, Sillo F et al (2022) Abiotic stress and belowground microbiome: The potential of omics approaches. Int J Mol Sci 23:1091
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>Santos SN, Kavamura VN, De MIS, Andreote FD (2011) Plant Growth and Health Promoting Bacteria 18:251–272. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-13612-2) [1007/978-3-642-13612-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-13612-2)
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>Santoyo G, Urtis-Flores CA, Loeza-Lara PD et al (2021) Rhizosphere colonization determinants by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (Pgpr). Biology (basel) 10:1–18. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/biology10060475) [biology10060475](https://doi.org/10.3390/biology10060475)
- <span id="page-16-26"></span>Sarbani NMM, Yahaya N (2022) Advanced Development of Biofertilizer Formulations Using Microorganisms as Inoculant for Sustainable Agriculture and Environment – A Review. Malaysian Journal of Science Health & Technology 8:92–101
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>Sarwar M, Kremer RJ (1995) Enhanced suppression of plant growth through production of L-tryptophan-derived compounds by deleterious rhizobacteria. Plant Soil 172:261–269. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00011328) [10.1007/BF00011328](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00011328)
- <span id="page-16-28"></span>Schindlbacher A, Rodler A, Kufner M et al (2011) Experimental warming efects on the microbial community of a temperate mountain forest soil. Soil Biol Biochem 43:1417–1425
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>Schulz-Bohm K, Gerards S, Hundscheid M et al (2018) Calling from distance: Attraction of soil bacteria by plant root volatiles. ISME J 12:1252–1262. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-017-0035-3>
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>Science C, Conservation R (2016) A review of chemical reactions of nitrifcation intermediates and their role in nitrogen cycling and

nitrogen trace gas formation in soil. 23–39. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12306) [1111/ejss.12306](https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12306)

- <span id="page-16-33"></span>Senn S, Pangell K, Bowerman AL (2022) Metagenomic Insights into the Composition and Function of Microbes Associated with the Rootzone of Datura inoxia. Biotech 11:1
- <span id="page-16-32"></span>Shah S, Chand K, Rekadwad B et al (2021) A prospectus of plant growth promoting endophytic bacterium from orchid (Vanda cristata). BMC Biotechnol 21:1–9
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>Shameer S, Prasad TNVKV (2018) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria for sustainable agricultural practices with special reference to biotic and abiotic stresses. Plant Growth Regul 84:603–615. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-017-0365-1>
- <span id="page-16-21"></span>Shrestha A, Grimm M, Ojiro I et al (2020) Impact of quorum sensing molecules on plant growth and immune system. Front Microbiol 11:1545
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>Shukla KP, Sharma S, Singh NK et al (2011) Nature and role of root exudates: Efficacy in bioremediation. Afr J Biotechnol 10:9717–9724.<https://doi.org/10.5897/ajb10.2552>
- <span id="page-16-17"></span>Sindhu SS, Rakshiya YS, Sahu G (2009) Biological control of soilborne plant pathogens with rhizosphere bacteria. Pest Technol 3:10–2112
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>Singh RP, Jha PN (2017) The PGPR stenotrophomonas maltophilia SBP-9 augments resistance against biotic and abiotic stress in wheat plants. Front Microbiol 8:. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01945) [fmicb.2017.01945](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01945)
- <span id="page-16-24"></span>Singha LP, Pandey P (2021) Rhizosphere assisted bioengineering approaches for the mitigation of petroleum hydrocarbons contamination in soil. Crit Rev Biotechnol 41:749–766
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>Sivasakthi S, Usharani G, Saranraj P (2014) Biocontrol potentiality of plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPR) - Pseudomonas fuorescens and Bacillus subtilis: A review. Afr J Agric Res 9:1265–1277. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR2013.7914>
- <span id="page-16-31"></span>Sorouri B, Allison SD (2022) Microbial extracellular enzyme activity with simulated climate change. Elem Sci Anth 10:76
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>Spaepen S, Vanderleyden J, Remans R (2007) Indole-3-acetic acid in microbial and microorganism-plant signaling. FEMS Microbiol Rev 31:425–448. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00072.x) [00072.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00072.x)
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>Spaepen S, Vanderleyden J (2011) Auxin and Plant-Microbe Interactions. Cold Spring Harb perspect biol 3(4):p.a001438
- <span id="page-16-23"></span>Stephens K, Bentley WE (2020) Synthetic biology for manipulating quorum sensing in microbial consortia. Trends Microbiol 28:633–643
- <span id="page-16-19"></span>Suresh P, Vellasamy S, Almaary KS et al (2021) Fluorescent pseudomonads (FPs) as a potential biocontrol and plant growth promoting agent associated with tomato rhizosphere. J King Saud Univ Sci 33:101423. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2021.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2021.101423) [101423](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2021.101423)
- <span id="page-16-30"></span>Suseela V, Tharayil N, Xing B, Dukes JS (2014) Warming alters potential enzyme activity but precipitation regulates chemical transformations in grass litter exposed to simulated climatic changes. Soil Biol Biochem 75:102–112
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>Swarnalakshmi K, Yadav V, Tyagi D et al (2020) Signifcance of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in grain legumes: Growth promotion and crop production. Plants 9:1596
- Tariq M (2017) Antagonistic features displayed by Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) A Review. J Plant Sci Phytopathol 1:038–043. [https://doi.org/10.29328/journal.jpsp.](https://doi.org/10.29328/journal.jpsp.1001004) [1001004](https://doi.org/10.29328/journal.jpsp.1001004)
- <span id="page-16-22"></span>Thakur M, Khushboo, Kumar Y, Yadav V, Pramanik A, Dubey KK (2023) Understanding resistance acquisition by Pseudomonas aeruginosa and possible pharmacological approaches in palliating its pathogenesis. Biochem Pharmacol 215:115689. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2023.11568>
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>Thoms D, Liang Y, Haney CH (2021) Maintaining symbiotic homeostasis: how do plants engage with benefcial microorganisms while at the same time restricting pathogens? Mol Plant Microbe Interact 34:462–469
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>Tiedje JM, Bruns MA, Casadevall A et al (2022) Microbes and climate change: a research prospectus for the future. Mbio 13:e00800-22.<https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00800-22>
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>Trapet P, Avoscan L, Klinguer A et al (2016) The Pseudomonas fuorescens siderophore pyoverdine weakens Arabidopsis thaliana defense in favor of growth in iron-defcient conditions. Plant Physiol 171:675–693
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>Trejo-López JA, Rangel-Vargas E, Gómez-Aldapa CA et al (2022) Isolation and molecular identifcation of Serratia strains producing chitinases, glucanases, cellulases, and prodigiosin and determination of their antifungal efect against Colletotrichum siamense and Alternaria alternata in vitro and on mango fruit. Int J Plant Biol 13:281–297
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>Tsavkelova EA, Cherdyntseva TA, Klimova SY et al (2007) Orchidassociated bacteria produce indole-3-acetic acid, promote seed germination, and increase their microbial yield in response to exogenous auxin. Arch Microbiol 188:655–664. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-007-0286-x) [10.1007/s00203-007-0286-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-007-0286-x)
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>Tsotetsi T, Nephali L, Malebe M, Tugizimana F (2022) Bacillus for plant growth promotion and stress resilience: What have we learned? Plants 11:2482
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>Tudzynski B (2005) Gibberellin biosynthesis in fungi: Genes, enzymes, evolution, and impact on biotechnology. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 66:597–611. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-004-1805-1) [s00253-004-1805-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-004-1805-1)
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>Upadhyay SK (2016) Mechanism and understanding of PGPR: an approach for sustainable agriculture under abiotic stresses. Plant Sci 134(2):24–75
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>Vassileva M, Azcon R, Barea JM, Vassilev N (2000) Rock phosphate solubilization by free and encapsulated cells of Yarowia lipolytica. Process Biochem 35:693–697. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592(99)00132-6) [S0032-9592\(99\)00132-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592(99)00132-6)
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>Volkov V, Schwenke H (2020) A quest for mechanisms of plant root exudation brings new results and models, 300 years after Hales. Plants 10:38
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>Volynchikova E, Kim KD (2022) Biological control of oomycete soilborne diseases caused by Phytophthora capsici, Phytophthora infestans, and Phytophthora nicotianae in solanaceous crops. Mycobiology 50:269–293
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>Wang N, Gao J, Liu Y et al (2021) Realizing the role of N-acylhomoserine lactone-mediated quorum sensing in nitrifcation and denitrifcation: A review. Chemosphere 274:129970
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>Weller DM, Landa BB, Mavrodi OV et al (2007) Role of 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol-producing fuorescent Pseudomonas spp. in the defense of plant roots. Plant Biol 9:4–20. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-924473) [10.1055/s-2006-924473](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-924473)
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>Whipps JM (2001) Microbial interactions and biocontrol in the rhizosphere. J Exp Bot 52:487–511. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/52.suppl_1.487) [jxb/52.suppl\\_1.487](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/52.suppl_1.487)
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>Xu K, Lin L, Shen D et al (2021) Clp is a "busy" transcription factor in the bacterial warrior, Lysobacter enzymogenes. Comput Struct Biotechnol J 19:3564–3572
- <span id="page-17-17"></span> Yadav V, Thakur M (2021) Environmental risk monitoring assessment and management. In: Basic concepts in environmental biotechnology. CRC Press, pp 213–222
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>Yuzikhin OS, Gogoleva NE, Shaposhnikov AI et al (2021) Rhizosphere bacterium rhodococcus sp. P1y metabolizes ab-scisic acid to form dehydrovomifoliol. Biomolecules 11:1–16. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom11030345) [doi.org/10.3390/biom11030345](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom11030345)
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>Zhang P, Wang WQ, Zhang GL et al (2010) Senescence-Inducible Expression of Isopentenyl Transferase Extends Leaf Life, Increases Drought Stress Resistance and Alters Cytokinin Metabolism in Cassava. J Integr Plant Biol 52:653–669. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7909.2010.00956.x) [doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7909.2010.00956.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7909.2010.00956.x)
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>Zhang X, Han L, Wang Q et al (2018) The host actin cytoskeleton channels rhizobia release and facilitates symbiosome accommodation during nodulation in medicago truncatula. New Phytologist. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15423>
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>Zhang L, Zhang M, Huang S et al (2022) A highly conserved core bacterial microbiota with nitrogen-fxation capacity inhabits the xylem sap in maize plants. Nat Commun 13:1–13

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.