# **The efects of ectomycorrhizal inoculation on survival and growth of** *Pinus thunbergii* **seedlings planted in saline soil**

Zhugui Wen<sup>1,2</sup> • Jincheng Xing<sup>1</sup> • Chong Liu<sup>1</sup> • Xiaomei Zhu<sup>1</sup> • Baoquan Zhao<sup>1</sup> • Jing Dong<sup>1</sup> • Tingting He<sup>1</sup> • **Xiaohui Zhao1 · Lizhou Hong1**

Received: 7 March 2021 / Accepted: 26 November 2021 / Published online: 27 January 2022© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2021

## **Abstract**

Soil salinization is one of the important factors for agriculture and considered to be responsible for the loss of cultivated land worldwide. Ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi can improve the tolerance of their hosts to adverse conditions. Therefore, the objective of this study was to analyse the efects of three widespread and broad host range ECM fungi, *Laccaria amethystina* (La), *Pisolithus tinctorius* (Pt) and *Cenococcum geophilum* (Cg), inoculation on growth and nutrient uptake of *Pinus thunbergii* seedlings under salt stress. Results indicated that, under saline conditions, compared to non-ectomycorrhizal seedlings, ECM fungi inoculation, especially La, signifcantly increased seedling biomass, chlorophyll a, nutrient elements (like phosphorous, nitrogen, and potassium) in shoots, as well as maintained a low ratio of  $Na^+/K^+$  in roots under salt stress. Inoculation with ECM fungi could assist the host to overcome salt stress, but the efectiveness of the symbiosis in salt conditions might depend on ECM species. In-depth study of the efects of diferent strains on the salt tolerance associated with diferent host plants will become an important direction for the research of subsequent ECM.

**Keywords** Salt stress · Ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi · Chlorophyll · Nutrient uptake · Reforestation

# **1 Introduction**

Global water resources shortage, environmental pollution and soil and water salinization are the most pressing problems in the current century. And among them, soil salinization is a major limiting factor for agriculture, like crop productivity, in arid and semi-arid regions. Salinity is considered to be responsible for the loss of cultivated land worldwide, and the amount is increasing day by day (Chandrasekaran et al. [2014;](#page-8-0) Gupta and Huang [2014](#page-8-1); Bencherif et al. [2015](#page-7-0)). It may directly or indirectly inhibit the growth, productivity and yield of the whole plant (James et al. [2011](#page-8-2); Sinclair et al. [2014](#page-9-0)) by reducing its ability to uptake water and nutrients. One of the most harmful infuences of salinity

 $\boxtimes$  Zhugui Wen wenzhugui@163.com

 $\boxtimes$  Lizhou Hong ychonglz@163.com

<sup>1</sup> Jiangsu Coastal Area Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Yancheng 224002, Jiangsu, China

<sup>2</sup> Yancheng Teachers University, Yancheng 224007 Jiangsu, China

stress to living plants planted in high  $Na<sup>+</sup>$ -content soil is the accumulation of  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  in tissues, which leads to severe ionic imbalance and signifcant physiological disorders (Dagar and Tomar [2002;](#page-8-3) James et al. [2011](#page-8-2); Gupta and Huang [2014](#page-8-1)).

*Pinus* plantations fail to establish without mycorrhizal fungi, especially the coevolved ECM fungi (pine-specifc suilloid ECM fungi: *Suillus* and *Rhizopogon*) (Dickie et al. [2010](#page-8-4)), which was an important limitation to pine establishment and growth. *Pinus thunbergii* Parl., commonly known as Japanese black pine, grows naturally in Japan and Korea and has been introduced in China. It had been widely naturalized in sandy land owning to its feature of salinity and drought resistance, adapted to nutrient-poor environment with strong sand-fxing capacity. In addition, it can grow in difficult areas such as coastal zones (Kataoka et al. 2009) with no substitutes in the construction of warm temperate coastal shelterbelts. Additionally, like other pine species, *P. thunbergii* could be colonized by ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi easily and ECM symbiosis is necessary for pine trees. In the Tottori sand dune, Japan, Kataoka et al. [\(2008](#page-8-5)) found more than 90% of *P. thunbergii* seedling roots associated with ECM fungi, of which *C. geophilum* was most dominant species, indicating that ECM fungi, especially *C. geophilum*,



might play an important role in the growth of *P. thunbergii* planted in coastal areas. Besides, due to its melanin production, *C. geophilum*, was considered to be drought tolerant and abundant in water-stressed habitats (Fernandez and Koide, [2013\)](#page-8-6).

Soil microbes are essential components of forest ecosystems, among which ECM fungal species are especially important for their benefcial ability of forming symbiotic association, ectomycorrhizae, with host plants. Recent researches showed that soil carbon sequestration (Clemmensen et al. [2013;](#page-8-7) Averill et al. [2014\)](#page-7-1), tree population dynamics (Bennett et al. [2017\)](#page-8-8) and mitigation of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ fertilization (Terrer et al. [2016\)](#page-9-1) have recently been linked to ectomycorrhizae, which play an important role in host plant growth and terrestrial ecosystems (Smith and Read [2008](#page-9-2); Bennett et al. [2017](#page-8-8)). Estimates of ECM fungal species suggest that there are c. 20,000 ECM fungi associated with c. 6000 plant species (Rinaldi et al. [2008](#page-8-9); Tedersoo et al. [2010,](#page-9-3) [2012](#page-9-4)). Therefore, ECM fungi are ubiquitous in forested soils, including saline ones (Taniguchi et al. [2007](#page-9-5); Ishida et al. [2009;](#page-8-10) Matsuda et al. [2009b](#page-8-11); Botnen et al. [2015](#page-8-12)). In addition, ECM fungi can acquire large amounts, which sometimes represent up to 80% of plant N and 75% of plant P (Simard et al. [2002;](#page-9-6) Read and Perez-Moreno [2003;](#page-8-13) Hobbie and Hobbie [2006](#page-8-14)), and can improve the survival and tolerance of their hosts to adverse conditions like biotic (e.g. pathogen attack) and abiotic (e.g. drought, salinity, heavy metals) stresses (Brazanti et al. [1999;](#page-8-15) Adriaensen et al. [2003](#page-7-2); Sousa et al. [2012;](#page-9-7) Fernandez and Koide, [2013](#page-8-6); Herzog et al. [2013](#page-8-16); Ma et al. [2014](#page-8-17); Chen et al. [2015\)](#page-8-18).

Compared to some specifc ones, many ECM fungi have a broad host range (Molina et al. [1992](#page-8-19)) including three ECM fungi, *Laccaria amethystina* (La), *Pisolithus tinctorius* (Pt) and *Cenococcum geophilum* (Cg)*.* These three ECM fungal species are widespread, and among them, *L*. *amethystina* and *C*. *geophilum* could associate with a broad variety of hosts including *Quercus*, *Pinus*, *Populus*, *Betula* and *Castanea*, could associate with many *Pinus* species. Besides, the efects of them on host plant salinity tolerance have been confrmed in many studies (Carney and Chambers [1997](#page-8-20); Ashkannejhad and Horton [2006;](#page-7-3) Taniguchi et al. [2007;](#page-9-5) Roy et al. [2008](#page-8-21); Obase et al. [2009](#page-8-22); Matsuda et al. [2009a](#page-8-23), [b;](#page-8-11) Vincenot et al. [2012](#page-9-8)). Additionally, under laboratory conditions, these species could form ectomycorrhizae with host plants easily

(Martin et al. [2002;](#page-8-24) Park et al. [2006](#page-8-25); Douhan et al. [2007](#page-8-26); Sebastiana et al. [2013](#page-9-9); Chen et al. [2015](#page-8-18); Shi et al. [2017](#page-9-10); Wen et al. [2017](#page-9-11); Zhang et al. [2019\)](#page-9-12). Because of their ubiquity in forests, grow rapidly, wide geographic distribution, large host range, easily cultivated and readily form ECM associations, we intentionally selected three ECM fungal species as Shi et al. ([2017\)](#page-9-10) studied (Three ECM fungal isolates: *C*. *geophilum*, KY075873; *P*. *tinctorius*, KY075875 and *L*. *amethystina*, KY075878) to inoculate *P. thunbergii* to assess their potential in improving the growth of host seedlings planted in saline soil, expecting some common patterns that imply inoculation may have broad applications in forestry reconstruction under salt stress along coastal areas.

# **2 Materials and methods**

## **2.1 Soil collection**

Soils for the pot experiments were collected from Jinhai Farm (32°59'N, 120°49′E), located southeast of Dafeng, Yancheng (Jiangsu, China). We collected soil from the cultivation layer (0–20 cm) from saline and conventional (normal) soils located more than 10 km apart. The chemical properties of the two soil types for the pot experiments are summarized in Table [1.](#page-1-0)

Pot experiments.

Seedlings were prepared as Wen et al. ([2017\)](#page-9-11) described. Surface-sterilized Japanese black pine seeds (Obtained from College of Life Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University) were soaked overnight in demineralized water and surfacesterilized in 30%  $H_2O_2$  for 15 min, and were germinated in 500-mL pots containing a mixture of vermiculite, soil, and sand ( $v/v/v = 1/1/1$ ), which had previously been autoclaved at 120 °C for 2 h. The pots were cultivated in a clean room with a filter system in a glass greenhouse at 25 °C/20 °C during the day/night under natural light conditions. And we generally followed the protocols described in Wen et al. ([2017](#page-9-11)) for ECM fungi inoculation. The mycelial culture was prepared by pure cultivation of the fungus in 20 mL of MMN medium for one month at room temperature in the dark. Then, the mycelium was separated from the broth medium and washed several times with distilled water. The mycelium was placed in 250-mL fasks containing 50 mL

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Cond: Conductivity; OM: Organic Matter

of distilled water and blended to prepare a slurry. In total, 25 mL of slurry containing mycelium was added near the seedling roots. After 100 days inoculation, the seedlings for the pot experiments, based on inoculation rates and growth, were chosen. The percentage of ECM fungal colonization was determined by the proportion of root tips with ECM to total fne root tips per seedling. Except the control seedlings, the inoculation rate ranged from 55% to 82% (Data not shown) with no signifcant diferences among the seedlings  $(F = 0.235, p = 0.792)$ . They were approximately the same as the diferences between the non-ectomycorrhizal (NM) control and the ECM-inoculated seedlings were negligible, so as to facilitate the follow-up study on the efects of ECM fungi inoculation and saline stress on the growth (height, weight, nutrients absorption etc.) of seedlings. In total, 80 (4 [*L. amethystine, P. tinctorius, C. geophilum, NM*]  $\times$  10 [replicates]  $\times$  2 [saline and normal soil]) pots were prepared. The seedlings were cultivated in a glass greenhouse at 25 °C/20 °C during the day/night under natural light conditions. The pots were watered as needed with tap water.

# **2.2 Sampling**

One year and a half after transplanting, all roots of a survive seedling were washed carefully under tap water, examined and classifed based on their surface color, texture, and emanating hyphae under a dissecting microscope. Due to the distinctive features of the fungi we studied (Pt: yellow, Cg: black, La: purple or translucent, Fig. [1,](#page-2-0) Supplemental Figs), it is possible for us to distinguish by their shape and color of the ectomycorrhizae using dissecting microscope.



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Inoculation rates of *P. thunbergii* seedlings planted in normal and saline soils colonized by three ectomycorrhizal fungi (Pt, Cg, La) and the appearance of the Non-ectomycorrhizal (NM) and mycorrhizal (Pt, Cg, La) root-tips. Diferent letters above bars indicate signifcant differences based on Tukey test ( $p < 0.05$ ). (Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error of 3 replicates). A, Non-ectomycorrhizal; B, C and D, inoculated with Pt, Cg and La

After that, three seedlings of each treatment were randomly selected and used for chlorophyll and inoculation measurements. Another three were harvested and washed in distilled water to remove soil from the roots, then each individual plant sample was divided into shoots and roots subsamples, dried at  $60 \pm 2$  °C for 72 h, weighed and milled for chemical analysis.

#### **2.3 Chemical analysis**

To measure chlorophyll content, cleaned and cut needles (0.2 g) were weighed, and then put in a test tube with a mixture of acetone and ethanol ( $v$ : $v = 2$ :1). Tubes were kept in the dark at room temperature for 16 h for chlorophyll extraction. The light absorption was determined by UV spectrophotometry according to Hiscox and Israelstam [\(1979](#page-8-27)).

The milled pine materials were digested with  $H_2SO_4$ according to Zhang et al.  $(2014)$  $(2014)$  for total nitrogen (N) and total phosphorus (P) test. Total N was determined using the Kjeldahl method, and total P using the Vanado-Molybdate colorimetric method. To test the remaining macroelements (Na, K, Ca, Mg), fame-atomic absorption spectroscopy (Jena nov AA 400, Germen) was employed after the milled pine materials fnished digesting with a mixture of spectrally pure concentrated  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $HClO<sub>4</sub>$  (v:v = 87:13) (Zhao et al. [1994](#page-9-14)).

## **2.4 Statistical analysis**

Two factors, ECM type (i.e., NM seedlings and ECM seedlings) and soil type (i.e., normal and saline) were set up with three replicates per group. The data were analyzed using the SPSS software package for Mac (ver. 22.0, IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Two- and one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) with honest signifcant diference (HSD) of Tukey test at  $p < 0.05$  was applied to determine significant differences in inoculation rates, growth and nutritional element concentrations in the seedlings.

# **3 Results**

#### **3.1 Ectomycorrhizal (ECM) formation**

After cultivating for one year and a half, survival seedlings were counted and most of them (83.8%) were alive at the end of the experiment (Table [1](#page-1-0)). ECM colonization of the root tips of every inoculation seedling was examined by dissecting microscope based on their surface color (Pt: yellow, Cg: black, La: purple or translucent, Fig. [1](#page-2-0)) (Shi et al. [2017\)](#page-9-10). Figure [1](#page-2-0) showed the colonization of *P. thunbergii* seedlings by ECM fungi under diferent soil treatments. The roots of control seedlings were inoculated by native ECM fungi. However, the inoculation rate was signifcantly lower than the ECM fungi treated ones, and ECM colonization was signifcantly decreased by soil saline stress. There was no diference among ECM fungi species colonization rates under the normal soil condition. And the colonization rate for pine seedlings planted in saline soil were lower that that in normal soil (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0).

#### **3.2 Seedlings growth**

The height of seedlings was significantly affected by soil condition ( $F = 10.045$ ,  $p = 0.006$ ) and ECM fungal species  $(F = 80.226, p < 0.001)$  in two-way ANOVA. There were no signifcant diferences between Cg-seedlings and NMseedlings, both in normal and saline soil conditions. But, the other two ECM fungi (*P. tinctorius* and *L. amethystina*) improved the height of the host seedlings signifcantly in both soil conditions (Fig. [2A](#page-3-0)).

The biomass (Dry weight, DW here after) of seedlings was significantly affected by ECM inoculation, especially those planted in saline soil. Compared to the seedlings without inoculation (NM), the growth (DW) of seedlings was significantly increased (Normal:  $F = 21.250$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; Saline:  $F = 131.317$ ,  $p < 0.001$ , data not show) by ECM fungi inoculation, especially *L*. *amethystina* (Fig. [2B](#page-3-0)). Under saline soil conditions, ECM fungi improved the DW of the host signifcantly, but only *L*. *amethystina* afected the growth of seedlings under normal soil conditions. Except Cg-seedlings planted in normal soil, DW of shoots was signifcantly increased by ECM fungi inoculation in diferent soil conditions. DW of the roots was increased by ECM



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Hight and dry weight (Total seedlings, shoots, roots) of noninoculated (NM) and inoculated (Pt, Cg, La) *P. thunbergii* seedlings planted in normal and saline soils. Diferent letters above bars indi-

cate significant differences based on Tukey test ( $p < 0.05$ ). (Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error of 3 replicates)

fungal inoculation planted in saline soil and decreased in normal soil (Fig. [2C, D](#page-3-0)).

# **3.3 Nutrients**

In two-way analysis, both ECM inoculation and soil condition could impact nutrient absorption on host seedlings as shown in Table [2](#page-4-0). In the present study, saline stress resulted in a signifcant decrease in nutrients, like Mg, Ca, N and K in shoot, in contrast, resulted in a signifcant increase in roots. But, saline stress increased Na contents both in shoot and root. There was no diference in the concentration of N in interaction efects of ECM inoculation and soil condition both in root and shoot in seedlings planted in saline soil (Tables [2,](#page-4-0) [3\)](#page-5-0). Compared to NM and the other two ECM fungi, ECM fungus *L. amethystina* has the most positive efects in assisting host to absorb nutrients, like Mg, Ca and N. The ECM fungi, studied in our system, could maintain a low concentration of  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  in roots to reduce the toxicity to plants when planted in saline soils (Table [2\)](#page-4-0). The  $Na^+/K^+$ ratio was afected by main treatments (ECM fungi and salt stress) and their interactions both in shoot and root (Table [3](#page-5-0)).

#### **3.4 Chlorophyll**

As shown in Fig. [3](#page-6-0), in two-way ANOVA, the total chlorophyll (chlorophyll a and b) content of NM-seedling needles was significantly affected by ECM fungi inoculation  $(F =$ 24.390,  $p < 0.001$ ), but not by soil condition ( $F = 4.314$ ,  $p$ = 0.054). When planted in normal soil, except *C. geophilum*, *P. tinctorius*, and *L*. *amethystina* inoculation could improve the chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b content in needles significantly. But in saline soil, all three ECM fungi could improve the chlorophyll a content, but only *L. amethystina* could improve chlorophyll b in the needles of seedlings (Fig. [3A](#page-6-0)). In addition, *L. amethystina* inoculation could improve the chlorophyll a/b ratio of seedlings when planted in saline soil. In contrast, there was no signifcant diference in the chlorophyll a/b ratio of NM- and ECM-seedlings planted in normal soil (Fig. [3D\)](#page-6-0). In two-way ANOVA, soil conditions afected chlorophyll a and chlorophyll a/b, and ECM species afected chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and chlorophyll a and b, while their interactions afected chlorophyll a and chlorophyll a and b (Table [3](#page-5-0)).

To investigate the effects of ECM fungi and saline stress on the ability of seedlings to absorb minerals, we analyzed height, DW, macroelement (P, Mg, Ca, N, Na and K) levels in shoots and chlorophyll contents in needles (supported in Fig. [2;](#page-3-0) Table [2\)](#page-4-0) using principal component analysis (PCA) based on individual replicates. In the PCA biplot (Fig. [4](#page-7-4)), the eigenvalues of PCA 1 and 2 were 8.14 and 2.46, respectively. The results revealed two main components, which explained

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 2** Efects of ectomycorrhizal fungi (EMF) and saline stress on the macroelements (P, Mg, Ca, N, Na and K) concentrations of *P. thunbergii* seedlings

Part	Soil	Species	P g/kg	Mg g/kg	Ca g/kg	N g/kg	Na g/kg	K g/kg	Na/K
	Pt	$4.33 \pm 0.54b$	$5.67 \pm 0.94$	$5.82 \pm 0.70c$	$17.04 \pm 0.73$ bc	$2.23 \pm 0.21e$	$12.23 \pm 1.25b$	$0.18 \pm 0.04$ f	
	Cg	$5.66 \pm 0.27a$	$5.43 \pm 0.44$ bc	$6.70 \pm 0.19$	$15.25 \pm 1.60c$	$3.48 \pm 0.41$ d	$11.95 \pm 0.30$	$0.29 \pm 0.03e$	
	La	$5.74 \pm 0.55a$	$7.29 \pm 0.55a$	7.39±0.43a	$19.76 \pm 1.96a$	$4.32 \pm 0.28$ cd	$14.93 \pm 0.66a$	$0.29 \pm 0.02e$	
Saline	<b>NM</b>	$2.10 \pm 0.17c$	$3.41 \pm 0.32$ d	$1.80 \pm 0.23$ f	$11.95 \pm 0.30d$	$4.69 \pm 0.86$ bc	$5.78 + 0.72e$	$0.81 \pm 0.08$	
	Pt	$4.95 \pm 0.81$ ab	$4.63 \pm 0.31c$	$2.98 \pm 0.13e$	$14.93 \pm 0.66c$	7.04±0.99a	$7.31 \pm 0.80$ d	$0.96 \pm 0.04a$	
	Cg	$2.26 \pm 0.11c$	$4.83 \pm 0.13$ bc	$2.39 \pm 0.06$ ef	$11.90 \pm 1.27$ d	$5.56 \pm 0.55$ b	$8.36 \pm 0.23$ cd	$0.66 \pm 0.05c$	
	La	$4.83 \pm 0.91$ ab	$5.24 \pm 0.36$ bc	$2.54 \pm 0.11e$	$18.79 \pm 1.05b$	$4.22 \pm 0.14$ cd	$9.12 \pm 0.69c$	$0.46 \pm 0.02d$	
Root	Normal	<b>NM</b>	$1.44 \pm 0.26b$	$0.91 \pm 0.08$ d	$1.14 \pm 0.18e$	$5.60 \pm 0.53c$	$0.73 \pm 0.06$ de	$3.78 + 0.48c$	$0.20 \pm 0.04c$
		Pt	$3.09 \pm 0.62a$	$1.02 \pm 0.16$ cd	$1.66 \pm 0.20$ de	$5.72 \pm 0.86c$	$0.50 \pm 0.08e$	$3.54 \pm 0.70c$	$0.14 \pm 0.02d$
		Cg	$1.54 \pm 0.21$	$1.03 \pm 0.16$ cd	$1.93 \pm 0.27d$	$5.54 \pm 0.48c$	$0.78 \pm 0.08$ d	$3.23 \pm 0.67c$	$0.25 + 0.07$ bc
		La	$3.00 \pm 0.21a$	$1.05 \pm 0.09$ cd	$1.76 \pm 0.22d$	$8.29 \pm 1.01$ ab	$0.86 \pm 0.06d$	$3.50 \pm 0.24c$	$0.25 + 0.01$ bc
	Saline	NM	$2.49 \pm 0.37a$	$1.54 \pm 0.18$ bc	$3.59 \pm 0.35c$	$7.79 \pm 0.75$ b	$3.30 \pm 0.18a$	$7.74 \pm 0.25a$	$0.43 \pm 0.05a$
		Pt	$2.48 + 0.40a$	$1.56 \pm 0.47$ bc	$4.39 \pm 0.43$ ab	$7.98 + 0.92ab$	$1.57 + 0.09c$	$5.72 \pm 0.86$	$0.28 \pm 0.02b$
		Cg	$2.42 \pm 0.45a$	$1.80 \pm 0.46$	$3.97 \pm 0.55$ bc	$7.16 \pm 0.38$	$1.78 + 0.27$ bc	$8.56 \pm 1.28a$	$0.21 \pm 0.02$ bc
		La	$2.33 \pm 0.51a$	$2.91 \pm 0.38a$	$4.68 \pm 0.35a$	$9.23 \pm 0.24a$	$1.88 + 0.06b$	$8.82 \pm 0.60a$	$0.21 \pm 0.01$ bc

Different letter in same treatment indicate significant differences by Tukey test ( $p < 0.05$ ). (Data are means  $\pm$  standard error of 3 replicates)

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 3** Signifcance of the main treatment efects (ECM fungi and soil conditions) and their interactions based on two-way ANOVA on tested variables (the macroelements and Chlorophyll a, b, a+b, and a/b) of seedlings



58.1% (PCA1) and 17.5% (PCA2) of the variation. PCA1 (R  $= 0.658$ ) clearly separated the effects of ECM fungi, whereas PCA2 ( $R = -0.702$ ) separated the effects of saline stress.

# **4 Discussion**

ECM fungal colonization is sensitive to soil conditions, such as nutritional and pollution status (McAfee and Fortin [1989](#page-8-28); Hrynkiewicz and Baum [2012](#page-8-29); Averill et al. [2014](#page-7-1); Chen et al. [2015;](#page-8-18) Hrynkiewicz et al. [2015](#page-8-30); Wen et al. [2017](#page-9-11)). It is well known that soil salinity has a negative impact on the growth of fungal hyphae (Aggarwal et al. [2012;](#page-7-5) Hameed et al. [2014\)](#page-8-31), and it has already been confrmed that the level of fungal colonization capacity decreased with soil salinity (Aggarwal et al. [2012;](#page-7-5) Hrynkiewicz et al. [2015](#page-8-30)). In the present study, even our investigation showed that *P. thunbergii* could form good symbiotic relationships with ECM fungi. However, the inoculation rates were reduced by saline stress as the results show. But, interesting, Thiem et al. ([2018\)](#page-9-15) identified that soil salinity could only effect on fungal taxa signifcantly but not on fungal colonization rates. ECM root colonization varies with the ECM fungus, suggesting that some strains are able to grow and have the ability of colonize the host in some stressful condition such as saline stress as in our study system. Therefore, the mechanism by which ECM fungi colonize host under stress is not known, and further investigations are necessary to clarify this.

Salinity, as one of the most important abiotic stressors, can limit plant growth by affecting nutrient absorption (Ishida et al. [2009](#page-8-10); Chen et al. [2014\)](#page-8-32). Many studies (Langenfeld-Heyser et al. [2007;](#page-8-33) Hanin et al. [2016](#page-8-34)) have shown that the salinity tolerance of many plants is improved by symbiotic associations formed between ECM fungi and plant roots. In this study, we analyzed the impact of ECM fungi inoculation on the salt tolerance of *P. thunbergii* seedlings. One year and a half after transplanting, compared to NMseedlings, inoculated ones, especially *P. tinctorius* and *L. amethystina* in this study, showed higher survival rate, height and dry weight, suggesting that pine seedlings inoculated with ECM fungi were well-adapted to saline stress. ECM fungal inoculation can reduce the salt impact to *P. thunbergii* seedlings and increase host plant tolerance to soil salinity stress by excluding salts and improving nutrient uptake. Considering the difficulty of forest restoration under such salt stress conditions, nursery inoculation with ECM fungi can be an advantage for improving seedling performance after transplanting in saline areas.

Firstly, many previous studies (Smith and Read [2008](#page-9-2); Marschner [2012](#page-8-35); Herzog et al. [2013](#page-8-16); Wen et al. [2017\)](#page-9-11) showed that pine seedlings developed more biomass when inoculated with ECM fungi, which was confrmed by our obtained results. The improvement in host growth could be explained by increasing mineral nutrient contents in response to ECM fungi inoculation, especially P and N (Chen et al. [2014;](#page-8-32) Shi et al. [2017\)](#page-9-10). These results were



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Efects of ectomycorrhizal fungal inoculation on chlorophyll content and the chlorophyll a/b ratio in needles of *P. thunbergii* seedlings. Diferent letters above bars indicate signifcant diferences

based on Tukey test ( $p < 0.05$ ). (Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error of 3 replicates)

confrmed by other studies on other soil conditions, like heavy-metal contaminated ones (Chen et al. [2015;](#page-8-18) Wen et al. [2017](#page-9-11)). Results showed that ECM fungal inoculation leads to a low concentration of  $Na^+$  and affects  $Na^+/K^+$  ratio of host seedlings. Na<sup>+</sup> and  $K^+$  are the main inorganic metal ions involved in plant osmotic regulation, thus, maintaining a balance of intracellular  $Na^+/K^+$  concentration is the key to keep normal physiological metabolism of plants under salt stress (James et al. [2011](#page-8-2); Hasegawa [2013\)](#page-8-36). Uptake of  $K^+$ , an essential element for growth and development of plants, is always inhibited by high  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  concentration (James et al. [2011](#page-8-2); Gupta and Huang [2014](#page-8-1)), resulting in lower productivity and possible death. Accordingly, plants always maintain the activity of cytoplasmic enzymes by accumulating  $K^+$  under salt stress (Zhang and Shi [2013\)](#page-9-16). Thus, uptake  $K^+$  enhanced by the ECM associations seems to be crucial for host plants adapt to salt stress environment (Wang et al. [2013](#page-9-17); Guerrero-Galán et al. [2019](#page-8-37)).

Secondly, tests of the photosynthetic pigment composition revealed that a signifcantly higher concentration of chlorophyll (a and b), indicating more active light harvesting by the ECM fungi inoculated plants. And some previous fndings (Song and Wu [2011;](#page-9-18) Shi et al. [2017](#page-9-10)) were consist-ent with our results. Guerrero-Galán et al. ([2019](#page-8-37)) summarized that the inoculation of salt-tolerant ECM fungi could prevent  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  from flowing into the photosynthetic tissues, which would decrease the photosynthetic rate, the Hill reaction rate and ATPase activity in chloroplast. Moreover, chlorophyll a and b contents in seedlings inoculated with *L. amethystina* were signifcantly higher than with *P. tinctorius* or



<span id="page-7-4"></span>**Fig. 4** Principal component analysis (PCA) plots of height, DW, macroelements (P, Mg, Ca, N, Na and K) levels in shoots and chlorophyll contents in needles in non-ectomycorrhizal (NM) or mycorrhizal (Pt, Cg, La) *P. thunbergii* exposed to normal (open symbols) or saline (flled symbols) soil with 3 individual replicates. The mean data of height, DW, macroelements and chlorophyll contents were presented in Table [2](#page-4-0) and Fig. [2](#page-3-0)

*C. geophilum* when planted in saline soil (Fig. [3C](#page-6-0)). Plants exhibit greater shade-tolerance by increasing in chlorophyll content to enhance the absorption and utilization of light energy (Huang et al. [2011](#page-8-38); Shi et al. [2017\)](#page-9-10). Thus, ECM fungi may improve the shade tolerance of *P. thunbergii* seedlings via this way, and help the pine seedlings survive in the gloom of the thick forest. Moreover, it was reported that chlorophyll content in conifers responded positively to increased canopy openness (Sun et al. [2016](#page-9-19)).

Lastly, we highlighted the fact that the benefts of ECM inoculation are usually better evidenced under stressful conditions, salt stress for instance in our study system. Compared to host *P. thunbergii* seedlings planted in normal soil conditions, ECM inoculation showed a better promotion efect in host seedlings planted in saline soils based on the increased ratios of DW (shoot, root and total), chlorophyll a and chlorophyll a and b (Figs. [2](#page-3-0), [3](#page-6-0)). In addition, our results suggested that among the three ECM fungi, *L. amethystina* showed the most signifcant promoting efect on *P. thunbergii* seedling growth when planted under salt stress. But, in the vitro pure culture experiment, *C. geophilum* exhibited the highest salt tolerance among these three ECM fungi (Under-review). Besides, along peatland–forest gradient, the abundance of *C. geophilum* on the roots of pines was similar while the medium and long distance exploration types of ectomycorrhizae shift with the water content increase (Aučina et al. [2019\)](#page-7-6). We supposed that there is no correlation between in vitro salt tolerance of ECM strains and their efectiveness in association with plants under salt-stressed conditions based on our results. Thus, positive facilitation of ECM symbioses to saline stress is primary dependent on the salt tolerance of the host plant and the interaction effects between ECM strains and hosts. In our study system, studies were carried out under controlled greenhouse condition. Accordingly, we recommend further investigations in the feld environment to fully understand the competitive mechanisms of ECM fungal species in improving host tolerance to salt stress.

In summary, we tested the efects of three ECM fungi in *P. thunbergii* seedlings. Our results indicated that the ECM fungi studied here had beneficial effects in pine seedlings transplanted in saline soil through the enhancement of excluding salts, improving nutrient uptake (like, P and N in shoot of seedlings inoculated with *P. tinctorius* and *L. amethystina*), maintaining the balance of  $Na^{+}/K^{+}$  concentration, and increasing chlorophyll contents. Moreover, according to our results, diferent ECM fungi had diferent efects, suggesting that the efectiveness of the symbiosis in salt conditions depends on ECM species. In-depth study of the efects of diferent strains (pine-specifc suilloid ECM fungi, especially) on the salt tolerance associated with different host plants will become an important direction for the research of subsequent ECM, and it will provide a theoretical basis and technical support for saline soils reforestation and rehabilitation along sea areas.

**Acknowledgements** This study was fnancially supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31800525), Jiangsu Agriculture Science and Technology Innovation Fund cx(19)3096). Special thanks to [Proof-Reading-Service.com](http://proof-reading-service.com) for English language editing.

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare no confict of interest associated with this manuscript.

# **References**

- <span id="page-7-2"></span>Adriaensen K, Van Der Lelie D, Van Laere A, Vangronsveld J, Colpaert JV (2003) A zinc-adapted fungus protects pines from zinc stress. New Phytol 161:549–555
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>Aggarwal A, Kadian N, Tanwar A, Gupta KK (2012) Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis and alleviation of salinity stress. J Appl Natural Sci 4(1):144–155
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>Ashkannejhad S, Horton TR (2006) Ectomycorrhizal ecology under primary succession on coastal sand dunes: interactions involving *Pinus contorta*, suilloid fungi and deer. New Phytol 169:345–354
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>Aučina A, Rudawska M, Wilgan R, Janowski D, Skridaila A, Dapkūnienė S, Leski T (2019) Functional diversity of ectomycorrhizal fungal communities along a peatland–forest gradient. Pedobiologia 74:15–23
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>Averill C, Turner BL, Finzi AC (2014) Mycorrhiza-mediated competition between plants and decomposers drives soil carbon storage. Nature 505:543–545
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>Bencherif K, Boutekrabt A, Fontaine J, Laruelle F, Dalpè Y, Sahraoui AL (2015) Impact of soil salinity on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi biodiversity and microflora biomass associated with Tamarix

articulata Vahll rhizosphere in arid and semi-arid Algerian areas. Sci Total Environ 533:488–494

- <span id="page-8-8"></span>Bennett JA, Maherali H, Reinhart KO, Lekberg Y, Hart MM, Klironomos J (2017) Plant-soil feedbacks and mycorrhizal type infuence temperate forest population dynamics. Science 355:181–184
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>Botnen S, Kauserud H, Carlsen T, Blaalid R, Høiland K (2015) Mycorrhizal fungal communities in coastal sand dunes and heaths investigated by pyrosequencing analyses. Mycorrhiza 25:447–456
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>Brazanti MB, Rocca E, Pisi E (1999) Effect of ectomycorrhizal fungi on chestnut ink disease. Mycorrhiza 9:103–109
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>Carney JWG, Chambers SM (1997) Interactions between *Pisolithus tinctorius* and its hosts: a review of current knowledge. Mycorrhiza 7:117–131
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>Chandrasekaran M, Boughattas S, Hu SJ, Oh SH, Sa TM (2014) A meta-analysis of arbuscular mycorrhizal efects on plants grown under salt stress. Mycorrhiza 24(8):611–625
- <span id="page-8-32"></span>Chen S, Hawighorst P, Sun J, Polle A (2014) Salt tolerance in Populus: signifcance of stress signaling networks, mycorrhization, and soil amendments for cellular and whole-plant nutrition. Environ Exp Bot 107:113–124
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>Chen YH, Nara K, Wen ZG, Shi L, Xia Y, Shen ZG, Lian CL (2015) Growth and photosynthetic responses of ectomycorrhizal pine seedlings exposed to elevated cu in soils. Mycorrhiza 25:561–571
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>Clemmensen KE, Bahr A, Ovaskainen O, Dahlberg A, Ekblad A, Wallander H, Stenlid J, Finlay RD, Wardle DA, Lindahl BD (2013) Roots and associated fungi drive long-term carbon sequestration in boreal forest. Science 339:1615–1618
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>Dagar JC, Tomar OS (2002) Utilisation of salt afected soils and poor quality waters for sustainable biosaline agriculture in arid and semiarid regions of India. 12th ISCO conference, Beijing, pp 8
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>Dickie IA, Bolstridge N, Cooper JA, Peltzer DA (2010) Co-invasion by Pinus and its mycorrhizal fungi. New Phytol 187(2):475–484
- <span id="page-8-26"></span>Douhan GW, Huryn KL, Douhan LI (2007) Signifcant diversity and potential problems associated with inferring population structure within the *Cenococcum geophilum* species complex. Mycologia 99:812–819
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>Fernandez CW, Koide RT (2013) The function of melanin in the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Cenococcum geophilum* under water stress. Fungal Ecol 6(6):479–486
- <span id="page-8-37"></span>Guerrero-Galán C, Calvo-Polanco M, Zimmermann SD (2019) Ectomycorrhizal symbiosis helps plants to challenge salt stress conditions. Mycorrhiza 29:291–301
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>Gupta B, Huang B (2014) Mechanism of salinity tolerance in plants: physiological, biochemical, and molecular characterization. Int J Genomics 1:701596
- <span id="page-8-31"></span>Hameed A, Dilfuza E, Abd-Allah EF, Hashem A, Kumar A, Ahmad P (2014) Salinity stress and arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis in plants in: Miransari M (ed) use of microbes for the alleviation of soil stresses, vol 1. Springer, New York, pp. 139–159
- <span id="page-8-34"></span>Hanin M, Ebel C, Ngom M, Laplaze L, Masmoudi K (2016) New insights on plant salt tolerance mechanisms and their potential use for breeding. Front Plant Sci 7:1787
- <span id="page-8-36"></span>Hasegawa PM (2013) Sodium ( $Na<sup>+</sup>$ ) homeostasis and salt tolerance of plants. Environ Exp Bot 92(8):19–31
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>Herzog C, Peter M, Pritsch K, Günthardt-Goerg MS, Egli1 S (2013) Drought and air warming afects abundance and exoenzyme profles of *Cenococcum geophilum* associated with *Quercus robur*, *Q petraea* and *Q pubescens* Plant Biology 15 (Suppl. 1):230–237
- <span id="page-8-27"></span>Hiscox JD, Israelstam GF (1979) A method for the extraction of chlorophyll from leaf tissue without maceration. Can J Bot 57:1332–1334
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>Hobbie JE, Hobbie EA (2006) 15N in symbiotic fungi and plants estimates nitrogen and carbon fux rates in Arctic tundra. Ecology 87:816–822
- <span id="page-8-29"></span>Hrynkiewicz K, Baum C (2012) The potential of rhizosphere microorganisms to promote the plant growth in disturbed soils. In: Malik a, Grohmann E (red) environmental protection strategies for sustainable development. Springer Netherlands, pp 35–64
- <span id="page-8-30"></span>Hrynkiewicz K, Szymańska S, Piernik A, Thiem D (2015) Ectomycorrhizal community structure of Salix and Betula spp. at a saline site in Central Poland in relation to the seasons and soil parameters. Water Air Soil Poll 226(4):99
- <span id="page-8-38"></span>Huang D, Wu L, Chen JR (2011) Morphological plasticity, photosynthesis and chlorophyll fuorescence of *Athyrium pachyphlebium* at diferent shade levels. Photosynthetica 49:611–618
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>Ishida TA, Nara K, Ma S, Takano T, Liu S (2009) Ectomycorrhizal fungal community in alkaline-saline soil in northeastern China. Mycorrhiza 19(5):329–335
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>James RA, Blake C, Byrt CS, Munns R (2011) Major genes for Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion, Nax1 and Nax2 (wheat HKT1;4 and HKT1;5), decrease Na+ accumulation in bread wheat leaves under saline and waterlogged conditions. J Exp Bot 62(8):2939–2947
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>Kataoka R, Taniguchi T, Ooshima H, Futai K (2008) Comparison of the bacterial communities established on the mycorrhizae formed on *Pinus thunbergii* root tips by eight species of fungi. Plant Soil 304(1–2):267–275
- <span id="page-8-33"></span>Langenfeld-Heyser R, Gao J, Ducic T, Tachd P, Lu CF, Fritz E, Gafur A, Polle A (2007) *Paxillus involutus* mycorrhiza attenuate Nacl-stress responses in the salt-sensitive hybrid *Poplarpopulus*×*canescens*. Mycorrhiza 17(2):121–131
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>Ma Y, He J, Ma CF, Luo J, Li H, Liu TX, Polle A, Peng CH, Luo ZB (2014) Ectomycorrhizas with *Paxillus involutus* enhance cadmium uptake and tolerance in *Populus* × *canescens*. Plant Cell Environ 37:627–642
- <span id="page-8-35"></span>Marschner H (2012) Marschner's mineral nutrition of higher plants. In Marschner P (ed). Academic press, London
- <span id="page-8-24"></span>Martin F, Díez J, Dell B, Delaruelle C (2002) Phylogeography of the ectomycorrhizal *Pisolithus species* as inferred from nuclear ribosomal DNA ITS sequences. New Phytol 153:345–357
- <span id="page-8-23"></span>Matsuda Y, Hayakawa N, Ito S (2009a) Local and microscale distributions of *Cenococcum geophilum* in soils of coastal pine forests. Fungal Ecol 2:31–35
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>Matsuda Y, Noguchi Y, Ito S (2009b) Ectomycorrhizal fungal community of naturally regenerated *Pinus thunbergii* seedlings in a coastal pine forest. J Forest Res 14:335–341
- <span id="page-8-28"></span>McAfee BJ, Fortin JA (1989) Ectomycorrhizal colonization on black spruce and jack pine seedlings outplanted in reforestation sites. Plant Soil 116(1):9–17
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>Molina R, Massicotte H, Trappe JM (1992) Specifcity phenomena in mycorrhizal symbioses: community-ecological consequences and practical implications. In: Allen MF (ed) Mycorrhizal functioning: an integrative plant–fungal process. New York, NY, USA: Chapman and Hall, pp. 357–423
- <span id="page-8-22"></span>Obase K, Cha JY, Lee JK, Lee SY, Lee JH, Chun KW (2009) Ectomycorrhizal fungal communities associated with *Pinus thunbergii* in the eastern coastal pine forests of Korea. Mycorrhiza 20:39–49
- <span id="page-8-25"></span>Park SH, Jeong HS, Lee YM, Eom AH, Lee CS (2006) Identifcation of ectomycorrhizal fungi from Pinus densifora seedlings at an abandoned coal mining spoils. J Ecol Environ 29:143–149
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>Read DJ, Perez-Moreno J (2003) Mycorrhizas and nutrient cycling in ecosystems–a journey towards relevance? New Phytol 157:475–492
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>Rinaldi AC, Comandini O, Kuyper TW (2008) Ectomycorrhizal fungal diversity: separating the wheat from the chaf. Fungal Divers 33:1–45
- <span id="page-8-21"></span>Roy M, Dubois MP, Proffit M, Vincenot L, Desmarais E, Selosse MA (2008) Evidence from population genetics that the ectomycorrhizal basidiomycete *Laccaria amethystina* is an actual multi-host symbiont. Mol Ecol 17:2825–2838
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>Sebastiana M, Pereira VT, Alcântara A, Pais MS, Silva AB (2013) Ectomycorrhizal inoculation with *Pisolithus tinctorius* increases the performance of *Quercus suber* L. (cork oak) nursery and feld seedlings. New Forest 44(6):937–949
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>Shi L, Wang J, Liu BH, Nara K, Lian CL, Shen ZG, Xia Y, Chen YH (2017) Ectomycorrhizal fungi reduce the light compensation point and promote carbon fxation of *Pinus thunbergii* seedlings to adapt to shade environments. Mycorrhiza 27:823–830
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>Simard SW, Jones MD, Durall DM (2002) Carbon and nutrient fuxes within and between mycorrhizal plants. In: van der Heijden MGA, Sanders IR (eds) Mycorrhizal ecology. Heidelberg, Germany, Springer, Berlin, pp 33–74
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>Sinclair G, Charest C, Dalpé Y, Khanizadeh S (2014) Infuence of colonization by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on three strawberry cultivars under salty conditions. Agric Food Sci 23:146–158
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>Smith SE, Read DE (2008) Mycorrhizal Symbiosis, 3rd edn. Academic, London
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>Song W, Wu XQ (2011) Effect of ectomycorrhizal fungi on photosynthesis of poplar NL-895. Acta Bot Boreal—Occident Sin 31:1474–1478 (In Chinese)
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>Sousa NR, Ramos MA, Marques APGC, Castro PML (2012) The efect of ectomycorrhizal fungi forming symbiosis with *Pinus pinaster* seedlings exposed to cadmium. Sci Total Environ 414:63–67
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>Sun YR, Zhu JJ, Sun OJ, Yan QL (2016) Photosynthetic and growth responses of *Pinus koraiensis* seedlings to canopy openness: implications for the restoration of mixed-broadleaved Korean pine forests. Environ Exp Bot 129:118–126
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>Taniguchi T, Kanzaki N, Tamai S, Yamanaka N, Futai K (2007) Does ectomycorrhizal fungi community structure vary along a Japanese black pine (*Pinus thunbergii*) to black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia*) gradient? New Phytol 173:322–334
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>Tedersoo L, Bahram M, Toots M, Diedhiou AG, Henkel TW, Kjoller R, Morris MH, Nara K, Nouhra E, Peay KG, Põlme S, Ryberg M, Smith ME, Kõljalg U (2012) Towards global patterns in the diversity and community structure of ectomycorrhizal fungi. Mol Ecol 21:4160–4170
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>Tedersoo L, May TW, Smith ME (2010) Ectomycorrhizal lifestyle in fungi: global diversity, distribution, and evolution of phylogenetic lineages. Mycorrhiza 20:217–263
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>Terrer C, Vicca S, Hungate BA, Phillips RP, Prentice IC (2016) Mycorrhizal association as a primary control of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  fertilization efect. Science 353:72–74
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>Thiem D, Golebiewski M, Hulisz P, Piernik A, Hrynkiewicz K (2018) How does salinity shape bacterial and fungal microbiomes of *Alnus glutinosa* roots? Front Microbiol 9:651
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>Vincenot L, Kazuhide N, Sthultz C, Labbe J, Dubois MP, Tedersoo L, Martin F, Selosse MA (2012) Extensive gene flow over Europe and possible speciation over Eurasia in the ectomycorrhizal basidiomycete Laccaria amethystina complex. Mol Ecol 21:281–299
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>Wang M, Zheng Q, Shen Q, Guo S (2013) The critical role of potassium in plant stress response. Int J Mol Sci 14:7370–7390
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>Wen ZG, Shi L, Tang YZ, Shen ZG, Xia Y, Chen YH (2017) Efects of *Pisolithus tinctorius* and *Cenococcum geophilum* inoculation on pine in copper-contaminated soil to enhance phytoremediation. Int J Phytoremediat 19:387–394
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>Zhang JL, Shi H (2013) Physiological and molecular mechanisms of plant salt tolerance. Photosynth Res 115(1):1–22
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>Zhang S, Vaario LM, Xia Y, Matsushita N, Geng Q, Tsuruta M, Kurokochi H, Lian CL (2019) The efects of co-colonising ectomycorrhizal fungi on mycorrhizal colonisation and sporocarp formation in *Laccaria japonica* colonising seedlings of *Pinus densifora*. Mycorrhiza. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-019-00890-6>
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>Zhang ZF, Zhang JC, Huang YQ (2014) Efects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on the drought tolerance of *Cyclobalanopsis glauca* seedlings under greenhouse conditions. New Forest 45(4):545–556
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>Zhao FJ, McGrath SP, Crosland AR (1994) Comparison of three wet digestion methods for the determination of plant Sulphur by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AEC). Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 25:407–418

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.