ORIGINAL ARTICLE



# **Combined antibodies against internalins A and B proteins have potential application in immunoassay for detection of** *Listeria monocytogenes*

Leonardo Lopes-Luz<sup>1</sup> • Ernandes Silva-Filho<sup>1</sup> • Marcelo Mendonça<sup>2</sup> • Ângela Nunes Moreira<sup>4</sup> • Andressa Venceslau<sup>1</sup> · Dienny Rodrigues de Sousa<sup>1</sup> · Tatiana Galvez Sánchez<sup>1</sup> · Rodrigo Scaliante de Moura<sup>3</sup> · **Fabricio Rochedo Conceição<sup>4</sup> · André Kipnis1 · Mariane Martins de Araújo Stefani<sup>1</sup> · Samira Bührer‑Sékula[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5984-7770)**

Revised: 12 May 2022 / Accepted: 5 September 2022 / Published online: 6 December 2022 © Association of Food Scientists & Technologists (India) 2022

**Abstract** *Listeria monocytogenes* is a food-borne bacterium that causes listeriosis upon the ingestion of contaminated food. Traditional methods to detect *L. monocytogenes* require pre-enrichment broths to increase its concentration. To improve the screening of contaminated food and prevent listeriosis outbreaks, rapid, specifc and sensitive assays are needed to detect *L. monocytogenes.* This study developed a prototype lateral fow immunochromatographic assay (LFIA) employing antibodies against *L. monocytogenes* Internalin A (InlA) and Internalin B (InlB) proteins, that are involved in non-phagocytic cell invasion. The following antibodies were used to capture *L. monocytogenes* antigenic targets: mouse anti-Internalin A monoclonal antibody (MAb-2D12) conjugated to colloidal gold nanoparticles and a mouse anti-Internalin B polyclonal antibody*.* This test was able to detect

Leonardo Lopes-Luz and Ernandes Silva-Filho should be considered joint frst author.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-022-05597-9) [s13197-022-05597-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-022-05597-9).

- $\boxtimes$  Samira Bührer-Sékula samira@buhrer.net
- <sup>1</sup> Instituto de Patologia Tropical e Saúde Pública, Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiânia, GO 74605-050, Brasil
- <sup>2</sup> Universidade Federal Do Agreste Pernambuco, Curso de Medicina Veterinária, Garanhuns, Pernambuco 55292-270, Brasil
- <sup>3</sup> Associação Educativa Evangélica, Anápolis, GO 75085-230, Brasil
- <sup>4</sup> Centro de Desenvolvimento Tecnológico, Núcleo de Biotecnologia, Laboratório de Imunologia Aplicada, Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, RS 96010-610, Brasil

pure *L. monocytogenes* from culture with a limit of detection (LOD) ranging from  $5.9 \times 10^3$  to  $1.5 \times 10^4$  CFU/mL. In milk artifcially contaminated with *L. monocytogenes*, the LOD was  $1 \times 10^5$  CFU/mL. This prototype test discriminated *L. monocytogenes* from other bacterial species (*Listeria innocua*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Bacillus cereus*). Results indicate that this LFIA developed using antibodies against *L. monocytogenes* InlA and InlB proteins is a sensitive and specifc tool that can be potentially useful to rapidly detect *L. monocytogenes* in contaminated food.

**Keywords** *Listeria monocytogenes* · Listeriosis · Lateral flow immunoassay · Rapid test · Anti-Internalin A monoclonal antibody · Anti-Internalin B polyclonal antibodies

#### **Abbreviations**

- AuNP Colloidal Gold Nanoparticle
- BHI Brain Heart Infusion
- InlA Internalin A
- InlB Internalin B
- LFIA Lateral Flow Immunochromatographic Assay
- LM *Listeria Monocytogenes*
- LOD Limit of Detection
- MAb Monoclonal Antibody
- NC Nitrocellulose Membrane
- OD Optical Density
- PAb Polyclonal Antibody
- TSB Tryptic Soy Broth

## **Introduction**

*Listeria monocytogenes* is a Gram-positive invasive foodborne bacterial pathogen that upon ingestion of highly

contaminated food (up to  $\sim 10^9$  bacteria) by healthy adults causes listeriosis, a mild to severe gastroenteritis characterized by diarrhea, abdominal cramps and fu-like symptoms (Schlech [2019](#page-7-0)). However in children, elderly, immunocompromised individuals and pregnant women, even low levels of food contamination ( $\sim 10^2 - 10^4$  bacteria) can be potentially lethal (Mclauchlin [1990](#page-7-1)). Following the ingestion of contaminated food, *L. monocytogenes* cross the intestinal barrier, spread into the bloodstream through the lymph nodes and disseminate to target tissues, such as the liver and the spleen manifesting a plethora of efects on the cells due to the activity of potent virulence factors (Drolia and Bhunia [2019\)](#page-7-2). In immunocompromised individuals, *L. monocytogenes* can cross the blood–brain barrier or the fetoplacental barrier and cause potentially fatal meningitis, sepsis, premature birth or abortion. Despite its low incidence, the mortality rate of listeriosis ranges from 20 to 30% (Schlech [2019](#page-7-0)).

*Listeria* genus comprises 26 species and two of them, *L. monocytogenes* and *Listeria ivanovii* are pathogenic to humans and ruminants, respectively (Carlin et al. [2021](#page-7-3))*. L. ivanovii* has also been described as an opportunistic pathogen associated with gastroenteritis and bacteremia in humans (Snapir et al. [2006](#page-7-4)). *L. innocua* represents the most prevalent bacteria in listeria-contaminated foods and this poses a difficulty for the specific capture and detection of the pathogenic listeria (Oravcová et al. [2008\)](#page-7-5).

Traditional methods for *L. monocytogenes* detection in food include long time bacterial culture with selective pre-enrichment steps (Bhunia [2018](#page-7-6)). Sensitive and specifc molecular methods based on the detection of bacterial nucleic acid such as the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) have been proposed, but they are still considered expensive and complex for routine use (Wu [2019](#page-7-7)). In contrast, lateral flow immunochromatographic assay (LFIA) represents a simple, afordable, user- and feld-friendly diagnostic tool that can provide quick visual results for *L. monocytogenes* detection (Cho et al. [2015](#page-7-8); Li et al. [2017;](#page-7-9) Liu et al. [2017](#page-7-10)). Commercially available rapid tests for *L. monocytogenes* have low detection capacity, are time consuming and costly due to prior culture required to increase the amount of bacteria to detectable levels (Ueda et al. [2013](#page-7-11)).

The advances in the understanding of *L. monocytogenes* biology including the steps involved in host cell invasion revealed new potential targets for immunodiagnosis such as the internalin A (InlA) and InlB, two members of a family of 25 proteins known as internalins, which bind to eukaryotic cell membrane receptors (Radoshevich and Cossart [2018](#page-7-12)). *L. monocytogenes* can be uptaken by phagocytic cells or internalized into non-phagocytic cells, which is considered one of its hallmarks. In non-phagocytic cells, such as goblet cells, InlA binds the E-cadherin while in trophoblasts, InlB binds the hepatocyte growth factor receptor, inducing bacterial uptake through receptor-mediated endocytosis

(Drolia and Bhunia [2019](#page-7-2)). InlA and InlB proteins have been shown to be immunogenic representing important targets for antibody recognition (Banada and Bhunia [2008;](#page-7-13) Drolia and Bhunia [2019\)](#page-7-2). The production of anti-InlA monoclonal antibodies (MAb) and of anti-InlB polyclonal antibodies (PAb) and their application for the detection of *L. monocytogenes* in food samples has been reported (Banada and Bhunia [2008](#page-7-13); Tully et al. [2008](#page-7-14); Mendonça et al. [2012;](#page-7-15) Lathrop et al. [2014\)](#page-7-16). InlA and InlB in the *Listeria* genus have highly immunogenic and non-conserved amino acid sequences (Bierne and Cossart [2007](#page-7-17)).

The goal of this study was to develop a prototype lateral fow diagnostic tool for the detection of *L. monocytogenes* based on the use of a combination of antibodies against InlA and InlB proteins. As a proof of concept, the performance of this prototype was evaluated in pure culture of *L. monocytogenes* and in artifcially contaminated milk samples. The specificity of this test was also evaluated.

## **Materials and methods**

#### **Antibodies and bacteria**

The prototype LFIA employed a mouse anti-internalin A monoclonal antibody (MAb-2D12) previously described (Mendonça et al. [2012](#page-7-15)) and a mouse anti-internalin B polyclonal antibodies (PAb-InlB), which were kindly provided by the Laboratory of Applied Immunology (Federal University of Pelotas, Pelotas, RS, Brazil). The strains of *L. monocytogenes* (ATCC 7644 and 19,117), *Listeria innocua* (CLIP 12,612), *Enterobacter cloacae* (ATCC 13,047) and *Bacillus cereus* (ATCC 11,778) were provided by the Laboratory of Food Microbiology (Federal University of Pelotas, Pelotas, RS, Brazil).

### **Bacterial cultures**

In order to obtain bacterial activation prior to use, *L. monocytogenes* and *L. innocua* strains were cultivated at 37 ºC for 16- 18 h in tryptic soy broth (TSB, 22,092, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) supplemented with 0.6% (w/v) yeast extract (Y1,625, Sigma-Aldrich). The strains of *E. cloacae* and *B. cereus* were cultivated in brain heart infusion broth (BHI, 53,286, Sigma-Aldrich) at 37 °C for 16- 18 h. The bacterial cultures were diluted ten-fold (ratio factor 1:5), and each dilution was plated onto TSB agar plates to count colony forming units (CFU). The optical density  $(OD_{600})$  of each dilution was recorded (Metter Toledo, Columbus, USA) and the OD values were plotted on graphs to generate standard curves and linear regression equations from bacteria growth (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0).



<span id="page-2-0"></span>

scheme). The optical density  $OD_{600}$  of each dilution was recorded, and values were plotted on standard curves and linear regression equations were based on the concentrations (CFU/mL) and respective ODs. Next, *L. monocytogenes* was cultivated in TSB-YE and eight diferent dilutions (2nd scheme) were tested with the prototype LFIA. Lastly, ten diferent dilutions of *L. monocytogenes* were artificially inoculated into pasteurized milk samples (3rd scheme) and these samples were tested in lateral fow prototypes. TSB-YE: Tryptic Soy Broth with Yeast Extract; BHI: Brain Heart Infusion; OD: optical density; T: test line; C: control line; CFU: colony formed units

# **Preparation of the** *L. monocytogenes* **Internalin lateral fow immunochromatographic assay (LM Internalin LFIA) prototype**

As depicted in Fig. [1](#page-2-0), the LFIA prototype developed in this study was composed of a sample pad, a conjugated pad containing 33 µg/mL of MAb-2D12 conjugated to colloidal gold nanoparticles (AuNP, 520,918, Sigma-Aldrich), a nitrocellulose (NC) membrane containing the test and the control lines and an absorbent pad. As previously described, the MAb-2D12 is an IgG2a antibody, highly specific to the *L. monocytogenes* Internalin A epitope that recognizes all *L. monocytogenes* serotypes (Mendonça et al. [2012](#page-7-15)). The conjugation of gold nanoparticles (AuNP) to the MAb-2D12 was performed as described elsewhere (Snowden and Hommel [1991](#page-7-18); Gussenhoven et al. [1997\)](#page-7-19). Anti-InlB polyclonal antibodies at 1 mg/mL and protein A were dropped on the NC membrane (Test/T and Control/C lines, respectively). A XYZ Airjet Dispenser System from BioDot Inc (Irvine, CA, USA) was used to deposit the capture agent in NC cards and to dispense the conjugate in the fiber-fleece. Lastly, the assembled card was cut into strips (5 mm width) using CM4000 BioDot Paper Cutter (Irvine, CA, USA) and stored at 4 °C until the strips were built into a proper plastic housing.

## **Determination of the limit of detection (LOD) of the LM Internalin LFIA prototype test**

To determine the LOD of the test, pure culture of *L. monocytogenes* (TSB medium, 37 °C for 16–18 h) was used in different concentrations that were calculated by linear regression equation:  $5.9 \times 10^3$ ,  $2.96 \times 10^4$ ,  $1.48 \times 10^5$ ,  $7.42 \times 10^5$ ,  $3.71 \times 10^6$ ,  $1.85 \times 10^7$ ,  $9.28 \times 10^7$ ,  $4.64 \times 10^8$ ,  $1 \times 10^9$  CFU/mL. The *L. monocytogenes* dilutions were prepared in TSB medium and PBS pH 7.2, and 35 µL of each dilution was tested with the LFIA prototype. Test results were visually read after 15 min and the test was considered valid when the control line was clearly visible. The tests were considered positive whenever a distinct purple staining of the test line was observed. To assess the prototype reproducibility, a second test batch and a second curve were prepared and tested by an independent researcher (data not shown) using a different lot and the respective concentrations of *L. monocytogenes* culture, prepared as previously described. To assess the test specificity, *L. innocua, E. cloacae* and *B. cereus* strains were cultivated in BHI at 37 °C for 24 h and different dilutions were tested as described for *L. monocytogenes* strain.

# **Preparation of** *L. monocytogenes* **contaminated sample food and detection**

A food sample, of artifcially contaminated pasteurized milk, purchased from a local market was tested with the prototype. For this, *L. monocytogenes* at  $5.0 \times 10^9$  CFU/ mL (TSB medium) was artifcially inoculated into 50 mL of the milk sample and homogenized for 1 min. Nine serial dilutions of the artifcially contaminated milk were prepared and each milk dilution was used as an individual sample for testing.

## **Results**

# **Evaluation of the performance of the LM Internalin Prototype LFIA to detect** *L. monocytogenes* **and of its sensitivity**

The prototype LM Internalin LFIA was evaluated for its capacity to detect diferent concentrations of *L. monocytogenes* obtained from pure cultures. For the quantitative determination of *L. monocytogenes* in culture samples, the linear relationship between the optical density  $(OD<sub>600</sub>)$  and the log concentrations was developed which ranged from  $1 \times 10^{1}$  to  $1 \times 10^{10}$  (linear regression equation  $y = 4.754 \times 10^9 x - 2.570 \times 10^8$  $y = 4.754 \times 10^9 x - 2.570 \times 10^8$  $y = 4.754 \times 10^9 x - 2.570 \times 10^8$ ;  $R^2 = 0.9975$ ) (Fig. 2) and Fig. S1)**.** When the two batches of cultures were tested, the LOD of the LM Internalin LFIA prototype was  $5.9 \times 10^3$  $5.9 \times 10^3$  CFU/mL (Fig. 3a) and  $1.5 \times 10^4$  CFU/mL (Fig. [3b](#page-4-0)) of *L. monocytogenes*, respectively.



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Correlation between optical density of *L. monocytogenes* cultures and bacterial concentration. *L. monocytogenes* grown in tryptic soy broth were serially diluted and plated to determine CFU. A graph correlating the ODs and CFU/mL was plotted and the linear regression equation was determined. CFU: colony forming units



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Evaluation of the limit of detection (LOD) of the LM Internalin LFIA prototype for *L. monocytogenes* detection employing diferent culture concentrations from batches 1 (A, LOD 5.9  $\times$  10<sup>3</sup>) and 2 (B, LOD 1.5  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup>). T: test line; C: control line; CFU: colony forming units

## **LM internalin LFIA and the detection of** *L. monocytogenes* **in artifcially contaminated milk**

Commercially available pasteurized milk contaminated in the laboratory with diferent concentrations of *L. monocytogenes,* obtained from cultures, were tested with the LM Internalin LFIA. *L. monocytogenes* concentrations in milk samples ranged from  $5 \times 10^4$  CFU/mL to  $4 \times 10^{10}$  CFU/ mL and as depicted in Fig. [4](#page-4-1) *L. monocytogenes* in milk was detected in the range of  $1 \times 10^5$  to  $4 \times 10^{10}$  CFU/mL concentrations.

## **Evaluation of the specifcity of the LM Internalin LFIA prototype**

*L. innocua, E. cloacae* and *B. cereus* strains at concentrations of  $5 \times 10^9$  CFU/mL tested negative with the LM Internalin LFIA (Fig.  $5$ ).

### **Discussion**

This study presents the results of a sensitive and specifc prototype rapid lateral fow immunoassay for *L monocytogenes* detection (LM Internalin LFIA) which was developed based on the use of anti-Internalin A and B antibodies. Highly specifc and sensitive antibodies produced against immunogenic virulence/adhesion factors of *L. monocytogenes,* such as the Internalin A and B, are considered key reagents for the development of immunodetection tools (Li et al. [2017\)](#page-7-9). *L. monocytogenes* is



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 4** Evaluation of the limit of detection (LOD) of the LM Internalin LFIA prototype for *L. monocytogenes* in artifcially contaminated pasteurized milk. The following concentrations were used:  $4 \times 10^{10}$  $9 \times 10^9$ ,  $1 \times 10^9$ ,  $3 \times 10^8$ ,  $7 \times 10^7$ ,  $1 \times 10^7$ ,  $3 \times 10^6$ ,  $6 \times 10^5$ ,  $1 \times 10^5$ ,  $5 \times 10^4$  CFU/mL)\; CFU: colony forming units

a ubiquitous opportunistic pathogen found in food-processing environments and food products (Bhunia [2018\)](#page-7-6) representing both a constant threat to the food industry and also a challenge to detect and eliminate this pathogen from fnal products and from the processing environments (Phraephaisarn et al. [2017](#page-7-20)). The advantage of a qualitative rapid test for *L. monocytogenes* detection, as the one <span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Evaluation of the specificity of LM Internalin LFIA prototype using culture samples of *L. innocua* (CLIP 12,612 at 5× 10<sup>9</sup> CFU/mL), *B. cereus* (ATCC 11,778 at  $5 \times 10^9$  CFU/ mL) and *E. cloacae* (ATCC 13,047 at  $5 \times 10^9$  CFU/mL). T: test line; C: control line



Enterobacter cloacae ATCC 13047



described here in, lies on the fact that immunochromatography represents the simplest and quickest method for pathogen detection in a sample requiring around 15 min for fnal results (Liu et al. [2017;](#page-7-10) Wu [2019\)](#page-7-7). Also, the use of colloidal gold nanoparticles as a detection label, allows the easy monitoring of the color reaction which can be scored by naked eye, without the use of any costly equipment. Although outbreaks are relatively rare, consumption of *L. monocytogenes* tainted food products can cause overwhelming health and economic consequences (Hofmann et al. [2014\)](#page-7-21). Therefore, a high-throughput, rapid, specifc and sensitive method to detect *L. monocytogenes* is always highly needed to guarantee the safety and quality of food, especially meat and dairy products. Considering the features of the LM Internalin LFIA reported in this study, it represents a potential point-of-care (POC) diagnostic tool for *L. monocytogenes* detection*.*

Another potential advantage of the use of a sensitive, rapid lateral fow tests is the direct detection without the need of previous conventional culture methods, that although simple, of low cost and reliable, may take 5– 7 days for its detection and confrmation in food products (Bhunia [2014](#page-7-22) [2018](#page-7-6)). The prototype LM Internalin LFIA developed using a combination of Internalin A and B antibodies was able to directly detect *L. monocytogenes* both from culture and in artifcially contaminated milk with good sensitivity. Although other food products were not tested, it is possible that it may be able to identify the pathogen in processed food products without the need of previous culture. Usually, due to the low number of contaminating bacteria in food samples, pre-enrichment cultures are needed to expand bacterial growth to detectable numbers, however, besides the long time needed, during the culture time, the suspected sample can be contaminated by sample residues and by other bacteria (Ueda et al. [2013;](#page-7-11) Bhunia [2014;](#page-7-22) Li et al. [2017\)](#page-7-9). An ideal diagnostic tool for the detection of *L. monocytogenes* and the prevention of listeriosis outbreaks should be simple, specific, sensitive, affordable and provide rapid results after testing as the lateral flow test provides.

We consider that the use of highly specifc and sensitive anti-Internalin antibodies employed in this LFIA was crucial for achieving good sensitivity, especially the use of the monoclonal antibody Mab-2D12 which was conjugated to colloidal gold nanoparticles and employed as a label signal. The anti-InlA MAb-2D12 was previously described to be specifc for *L. monocytogenes* and *L. ivanovii* and when used in immunomagnetic separation assay provided highly specific capture efficiency for both bacteria enabling their detection at low levels from bufer or food using fber-optic sensor (Mendonça et al. [2012](#page-7-15)). In immunodiagnostic tests, antibodies have been employed as affinity ligands to separate and concentrate the target analyte from sample matrices when coupled to paramagnetic beads (Banada and Bhunia [2008](#page-7-13); Mendonça et al. [2012](#page-7-15); Bhunia [2014](#page-7-22)) or as recognition or reporter molecules (Tully et al. [2008](#page-7-14); Dwivedi and Jaykus [2011](#page-7-23)). Diferent immunologic detection methods including ELISA (Mendonça et al. [2012](#page-7-15); Lv et al. [2019\)](#page-7-24), colloidal gold immunochromatography (Ueda et al. [2013](#page-7-11); Cho et al. [2015](#page-7-8); Liu et al. [2017](#page-7-10); Wu [2019](#page-7-7)) and immunomagnetic separation method (Uusitalo et al. [2016](#page-7-25)) have been described for the detection of pathogens and toxins. However, one the greatest pitfalls of immunologic methods to detect *L. monocytogenes* is their low sensitivity characterized by a high bacteria concentration for their LOD. The prototype LM Internalin LFIA presented in this study showed good sensitivity in two batches of bacterial cultures  $(5.9 \times 10^3 \text{ and}$  $1.5 \times 10^4$  CFU/mL) and from these concentrations, the color signals produced by gold nanoparticles at the test line on the nitrocellulose immunostrip increased. The LOD of commercially available lateral fow rapid tests (Dupont Qualicon, Neogen Corp., and Oxoid Ltd) ranged from  $10^5$  to  $10^6$ cells/mL (Ueda et al. [2013\)](#page-7-11). Other studies have reported tests with lower sensitivity for *L. monocytogenes* detection: Ueda et al. ([2013\)](#page-7-11) (LOD 6.9 × 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/mL), Li et al. [\(2017\)](#page-7-9)  $(LOD 4.0 \times 10^5 CFU/mL)$ . A previous study reported that the use of immunomagnetic beads as bacterial pre-treatment increased the LOD by one  $log(4.0 \times 10^4 \text{ CFU/mL})$  however, this increase in sensitivity required an additional step of

pre-enrichment, making it more complex and costly (Li et al. [2017](#page-7-9)). Therefore, the good sensitivity of the LM Internalin LFIA without pre-enrichment step increases the chances of its applicability in the feld as a POC.

When the LM Internalin LFIA was performed with artificially contaminated milk, the obtained LOD  $(1 \times 10^5 \text{ CFU})$ mL) was lower than the sensitivity of a previously described lateral fow enzyme concentration assay using artificially contaminated milk samples (LOD of  $1 \times 10^2$  CFU/ mL) (Cho and Irudayaraj [2013\)](#page-7-26). However, this test required a special equipment because of the additional step for bacterial separation and concentration by magnetic nanoparticles. A fuorescent lateral fow using immunomagnetic separation of bacteria in artifcially contaminated milk reported a LOD of  $1 \times 10^4$  CFU/mL (Li et al. [2017\)](#page-7-9), nevertheless with the drawback of additional time and cost. The sensitivity of the tests mentioned above was improved by combining other non-immunological techniques which added complex reading steps, while the LOD of our prototype defned by visual readings was established by the use of highly specifc antibodies against InlA and InlB proteins that are present on the bacterial surface (Radoshevich and Cossart [2018;](#page-7-12) Drolia and Bhunia [2019\)](#page-7-2).

Another important feature of a diagnostic tool refers to its specifcity. No cross reaction was observed when pure culture of *B. cereus*, *E. cloacae* and *L. innocua* at concentration of  $5 \times 10^9$  CFU/mL were tested indicating that the LM Internalin LFIA can discriminate *L. monocytogenes* from other *Listeria* species and from other non-*Listeria* gastroenteric bacteria. The absence of cross-reaction with *L. innocua* is also an advantage of the prototype described, as *L. innocua* is the most frequently found bacteria in *Listeria*contaminated food, representing a difficulty for the specific capture and detection of the pathogenic Listeria (Oravcová et al. [2008](#page-7-5)). Also, food products tainted with *L. monocytogenes* may often be contaminated with other *Listeria* spp. both pathogenic and non-pathogenic as well as other background microbiota and, as *L monocytogenes* grows slowly, other bacteria may outcompete, so lower concentrations of *L. monocytogenes* may be expected and lead to false-negative results (Gnanou Besse et al. [2010;](#page-7-27) O'Connor et al. [2010\)](#page-7-28). As our prototype did not require pre-enrichment step, the possibility of false negative results due to overgrowth of other species is reduced. As previously mentioned, the Mab used in the LM Internalin LFIA is specifc for both human pathogenic bacteria, *L. monocytogenes* and *L. ivanovii* (Mendonça et al. [2012](#page-7-15)), therefore although not tested, this prototype has also the potential to detect the pathogenic *L. ivanovii* that is an opportunistic pathogen that is associated with gastroenteritis and bacteremia in humans (Snapir et al. [2006](#page-7-4)).

Although promising, we acknowledge that this study has limitations such as the need to test if the LM Internalin LFIA can detect *L. monocytogenes* in other types of food besides milk. However, a previous study demonstrated that the MAb-2D12 was used as a capture agent and reporter antibody in a fber-optic sensor test that was able to detect *L. monocytogenes* in hot dogs and soft cheese (Mendonça et al. [2012\)](#page-7-15). It is known that the recovery of low numbers of pathogens from complex food matrices can interfere with their rapid and sensitive detection (Bhunia [2008](#page-7-29)). Moreover, the use of polyclonal antibodies limits the detection of low populations of *L. monocytogenes* because antigen recognition is less specifc, and antibodies can cross-react with the food matrix (Bhunia [2008](#page-7-29)). There is no availability of anti-InlB monoclonal antibodies on the market, limiting our current capacity to improve the LOD of our prototype. We also acknowledge that the capacity of the LM Internalin LFIA to discriminate other pathogens should be tested.

### **Conclusions**

We have developed a sensitive and specific rapid lateral flow immunochromatographic assay to detect *L. monocytogenes* based on the use of anti-Internalin A monoclonal antibodies and anti-Internalin B polyclonal antibodies. Our prototype test detected *L. monocytogenes* from cultures and in artifcially contaminated milk with good sensitivity compared to commercially available tests. No cross reaction was observed with the non-pathogenic *L. innocua* and other gastro-enteric bacteria (*B. cereus*, *E. cloacae).* Preliminary data on the sensitivity and specificity of this prototype test, which provides visual results within 15 min, indicate its potential use as a point-of-care test, for the rapid detection of *L. monocytogenes* in contaminated food or industry environment.

**Acknowledgements** Students' fellowships were granted by: the Brazilian National Research Council (grant # 133188/2016-7 2016-2018), the Brazilian Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES grant # 88882.385457/2007-01 2019-2023) and the Alliances Program for Education and Training (Scholarships Brazil—PAEC OAS-GCUB). AK is a research fellow from the Brazilian Research Council/CNPq ("Bolsista de Produtividade em Pesquisa, Grant # 314366/2020-2). MMAS is PVN-II research fellow from FAPEAM/Amazonas (PECTI-AM/SAÚDE Program, Grant #004/2020) and a research fellow from the Brazilian Research Council/CNPq ("Bolsista de Produtividade em Pesquisa, Grant # 311986-2019-6).

**Authors' contributions** Conception and design: RSM, ESF, MM, FRC, MMAS, SBS; Acquisition of data: RSM, ESF, AV, DRS, ANM, TGS; Analysis and interpretation of data: LLL, ESF, RSM, AK, MMAS, SBS; Drafting the manuscript: LLL, ESF, SBS, MMAS; Revising the manuscript critically: LLL, RSM, AK, MM, FRC, MMAS, SBS; Approval of the fnal manuscript: LLL, ESF, MM, ANM, AV, DRS, TGS, RSM, MMAS, FRC, AK, SBS.

**Funding** Not Applicable.

**Data Availability** Not Applicable.

**Code Availability** Not Applicable.

**Declarations**

**Conficts of interest** The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

**Consent to participate** Not Applicable.

**Consent for publication** Not Applicable.

**Ethics approval** Not Applicable.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-7-13"></span>Banada PP, Bhunia AK (2008) Antibodies and immunoassays for detection of bacterial pathogens. Princ bact detect biosensors recognit recept microsystems. Springer, London, pp 567–602
- <span id="page-7-29"></span>Bhunia AK (2008) Biosensors and bio-based methods for the separation and detection of foodborne pathogens. Adv Food Nutr Res 54:1–44. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1043-4526\(07\)00001-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1043-4526(07)00001-0)
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>Bhunia AK (2014) One day to one hour: How quickly can foodborne pathogens be detected? Future Microbiol 9:935–946. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb.14.61) [org/10.2217/fmb.14.61](https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb.14.61)
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>Bhunia AK (2018) Foodborne microbial pathogens. Springer, New York
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>Bierne H, Cossart P (2007) Listeria monocytogenes Surface Proteins: from genome predictions to function. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 71:377–397. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.00039-06>
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>Carlin CR, Liao J, Weller D et al (2021) Listeria cossartiae sp Nov, listeria immobilis sp nov, listeria portnoyi sp nov and listeria rustica sp nov, isolated from agricultural water and natural environments. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. [https://doi.org/10.1099/](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.004795) [ijsem.0.004795](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.004795)
- <span id="page-7-26"></span>Cho I, Irudayaraj J (2013) Lateral-fow enzyme immunoconcentration for rapid detection of listeria monocytogenes. Anal Bioanal Chem 405:3313–3319. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-013-6742-3) [s00216-013-6742-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-013-6742-3)
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>Cho IH, Bhunia A, Irudayaraj J (2015) Rapid pathogen detection by lateral-fow immunochromatographic assay with gold nanoparticle-assisted enzyme signal amplifcation. Int J Food Microbiol 206:60–66.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.04.032>
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>Drolia R, Bhunia AK (2019) Crossing the intestinal barrier via listeria adhesion protein and Internalin A. Trends Microbiol 27:408–425. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2018.12.007>
- <span id="page-7-23"></span>Dwivedi HP, Jaykus LA (2011) Detection of pathogens in foods: The current state-of-the-art and future directions. Crit Rev Microbiol 37:40–63. <https://doi.org/10.3109/1040841X.2010.506430>
- <span id="page-7-27"></span>Gnanou Besse N, Barre L, Buhariwalla C et al (2010) The overgrowth of Listeria monocytogenes by other Listeria spp. in food samples undergoing enrichment cultivation has a nutritional basis. Int J Food Microbiol 136:345–351. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2009.10.025) [1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2009.10.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2009.10.025)
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>Gussenhoven GC, Van Der Hoorn MAWG, Goris MGA et al (1997) LEPTO dipstick, a dipstick assay for detection of Leptospiraspecifc immunoglobulin M antibodies in human sera. J Clin Microbiol 35:92–97
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>Hofmann S, Batz MB, Junior Morris G (2014) Annual Cost of Illness and Quality-Adjusted Life Year Losses in the United States

Due to 14 Foodborne Pathogens. J Food Prot 75:1292–1302. <https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X>

- <span id="page-7-16"></span>Lathrop AA, Bailey TW, Kim K-P, Bhunia AK (2014) Pathogenspecifc antigen target for production of antibodies produced by comparative genomics. Antib Technol J 4:13–22. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.2147/anti.s54848) [org/10.2147/anti.s54848](https://doi.org/10.2147/anti.s54848)
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>Li Q, Zhang S, Cai Y et al (2017) Rapid detection of Listeria monocytogenes using fuorescence immunochromatographic assay combined with immunomagnetic separation technique. Int J Food Sci Technol 52:1559–1566. [https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.](https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.13428) [13428](https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.13428)
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>Liu H, Du X, Zang Y-X et al (2017) SERS-based lateral fow strip biosensor for simultaneous detection of *listeria monocytogenes* and *salmonella enterica* serotype enteritidis. J Agric Food Chem 65:10290–10299.<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b03957>
- <span id="page-7-24"></span>Lv X, Huang Y, Liu D et al (2019) Multicolor and ultrasensitive ELISA based on fuorescence hybrid chain reaction for simultaneous detection of pathogens. J Agric Food Chem 67:9390– 9398.<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.9b03414>
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>Mclauchlin J (1990) Human listeriosis in Britain, 1967–85, a summary of 722 cases: 1. Listeriosis during pregnancy and in the newborn. Epidemiol Infect 104:181–189. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268800059343) [1017/S0950268800059343](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268800059343)
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>Mendonça M, Conrad NL, Conceição FR et al (2012) Highly specifc fber optic immunosensor coupled with immunomagnetic separation for detection of low levels of Listeria monocytogenes and L ivanovii. BMC Microbiol. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-12-275) [1471-2180-12-275](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-12-275)
- <span id="page-7-28"></span>O'Connor L, O'Leary M, Leonard N et al (2010) The characterization of Listeria spp. isolated from food products and the foodprocessing environment. Lett Appl Microbiol 51:490–498. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2010.02928.x>
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>Oravcová K, Trnčíková T, Kuchta T, Kaclíková E (2008) Limitation in the detection of Listeria monocytogenes in food in the presence of competing Listeria innocua. J Appl Microbiol 104:429– 437. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03554.x>
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>Phraephaisarn C, Khumthong R, Takahashi H et al (2017) A novel biomarker for detection of Listeria species in food processing factory. Food Control 73:1032–1038. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.10.001) [foodcont.2016.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.10.001)
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>Radoshevich L, Cossart P (2018) Listeria monocytogenes: towards a complete picture of its physiology and pathogenesis. Nat Rev Microbiol 16:32–46. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2017.126>
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>Schlech WF (2019) Epidemiology and clinical manifestations of listeria monocytogenes infection. Gram-postive pathogens. ASM press, Washington, pp 793–802
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>Snapir YM, Vaisbein E, Nassar F (2006) Low virulence but potentially fatal outcome-Listeria ivanovii. Eur J Intern Med 17:286– 287. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2005.12.006>
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>Snowden K, Hommel M (1991) Antigen detection immunoassay using dipsticks and colloidal dyes. J Lmmunological Methods 140:57–65. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1759\(91\)90126-z](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1759(91)90126-z)
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>Tully E, Higson SP, O'Kennedy R (2008) The development of a "labeless" immunosensor for the detection of Listeria monocytogenes cell surface protein, Internalin B. Biosens Bioelectron 23:906–912. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2007.09.011>
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>Ueda S, Iwase M, Kuwabara Y (2013) Evaluation of Immunochromatography for the rapid and specifc identifcation of listeria monocytogenes from food. Biocontrol Sci 18:157–161. [https://](https://doi.org/10.4265/bio.18.157) [doi.org/10.4265/bio.18.157](https://doi.org/10.4265/bio.18.157)
- <span id="page-7-25"></span>Uusitalo S, Kögler M, Välimaa AL et al (2016) Detection of: Listeria innocua on roll-to-roll produced SERS substrates with gold nanoparticles. RSC Adv 6:62981–62989. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1039/c6ra08313g) [10.1039/c6ra08313g](https://doi.org/10.1039/c6ra08313g)
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>Wu Z (2019) Simultaneous detection of listeria monocytogenes and salmonella typhimurium by a SERS-based lateral fow

immunochromatographic assay. Food Anal Methods 12:1086– 1091.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-019-01444-4>

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.