ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Prognostic Significance of Metabolic Tumor Volume Measured by ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in Operable Primary Breast Cancer

Jahae Kim • Su Woong Yoo • Sae-Ryung Kang • Sang-Geon Cho • Jong-Ryool Oh • Ari Chong • Jung-Joon Min • Hee-Seung Bom • Jung-Han Yoon • Ho-Chun Song

Received: 2 May 2012 / Revised: 24 July 2012 / Accepted: 26 July 2012 / Published online: 25 August 2012 © Korean Society of Nuclear Medicine 2012

Abstract

Purpose We investigated whether PET indices measured by ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) can predict prognosis in patients with operable primary breast cancer.

Methods We reviewed 53 patients with operable primary breast cancer who underwent pretreatment FDG PET/CT. PET indices, maximum standardized uptake value (SUV) and metabolic tumor volume (MTV), were measured in the primary breast tumor (P), metastatic lymph nodes (N) and total tumor (T). The Cox proportional hazards model was used with age, tumor size, clinical lymph node status, method of surgery, presence or absence of neoadjuvant chemotherapy, histological type, histological grade, hormone receptors and HER2 status to predict disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS).

Results Median follow-up period was 50 months (range, 17– 73 months), during which 17 patients had recurrent disease and nine of whom died. The univariate analysis showed that high SUV of N (N_{SUV}, P=0.011), MTV of N (N_{MTV}, P =0.011) and MTV of T (T_{MTV}, P=0.045) as well as high histological grade (P=0.008), negative estrogen (P=0.045)

J. Kim · S. W. Yoo · S.-R. Kang · S.-G. Cho · J.-R. Oh ·
A. Chong · J.-J. Min · H.-S. Bom · H.-C. Song (⊠)
Department of Nuclear Medicine,
Chonnam National University Medical School and Hospital,
42 Jebong-no,
Donggu, Gwangju 501-757, Republic of Korea
e-mail: songhc@jnu.ac.kr
J.-H. Yoon

Department of Surgery, Chonnam National University Medical School, 42 Jebong-no, Donggu, Gwangju, Republic of Korea and negative progesterone (P=0.029) receptor status were associated with shorter DFS. High N_{SUV} (P=0.035), N_{MTV} (P=0.035) and T_{MTV} (P=0.035) as well as high histological grade (P=0.012) and negative estrogen receptor status (P=0.009) were associated with shorter OS. N_{SUV}, N_{MTV} and T_{MTV} were found to be significantly associated with high histological grade (P=0.005). However, those failed to be statistically significant prognostic factors on multivariate analysis.

Conclusions PET indices seem to be useful in the preoperative evaluation of prognosis in patients with operable primary breast cancer. N_{SUV} , N_{MTV} and T_{MTV} might be considerable factors associated with patient outcome in operable breast cancer.

Keywords Breast cancer · FDG PET/CT · Maximum standardized uptake value · Metabolic tumor volume · Prognosis

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women, and its incidence is increasing. Prediction of prognosis is important for appropriate therapy [1]. Many factors have been indentified that affect a patient's prognosis. These include tumor size, histological tumor grade, hormone receptor status, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) over-expression and metastatic lymph node status [2].

Positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) using 2-deoxy-2-[¹⁸F]fluoro-D-glucose (FDG) has been widely used because of its usefulness in the diagnosis, staging, restaging and post-therapeutic follow-up of breast cancer [3–5]. Moreover, FDG PET/CT has been reported to be valuable in the assessment of prognosis. The standardized

uptake value (SUV) represents the degree of FDG uptake, and provides information about prognosis. High SUV of the primary tumor is a good marker for the prediction of disease progression [6]. Recently, metabolic tumor volume (MTV), defined as the volume of tumor tissues with increased FDG uptake, has been investigated. Several recent studies in patients with lung, cervical, ovarian and tonsilar cancers suggest MTV to be a prognostic indicator [7–12]. A few studies have investigated the prognostic value of SUV in breast cancer. However, there was no study that assessed disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) using MTV as a prognostic indicator in patients with breast cancer.

Therefore, we aimed to investigate whether the SUV and MTV in primary breast tumor, metastatic lymph nodes and total tumor volume can be used as prognostic indicators of the DFS and OS in patients with operable primary breast cancer.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Fifty-three patients with operable primary breast cancer who underwent pretreatment FDG PET/CT were enrolled from January 2006 to December 2008. Exclusion criteria were male gender, previous or a concurrent contralateral breast cancer and distant metastases. All subjects were surgically treated with either a modified radical mastectomy or wide local tumor resection, with sentinel lymph node biopsy or axillary lymph node dissection, followed by postoperative radiation therapy. Of the enrolled patients, 20 patients who had a primary tumor over 2 cm in size or clinically attached axillary lymph nodes received neoadjuvant chemotherapy with three cycles of Taxene (docetaxel or paclitaxel) and Anthracycline (doxorubicin or epirubicin) before the operation. The need for adjuvant systemic therapy (chemotherapy, endocrine or target therapy) was determined by axillary lymph node status, hormone receptor status, and menopausal status. All patients visited the hospital every 6 months for 5 years, and then once per year. The local Ethics Committee approved this study and all enrolled patients gave written informed consent for the FDG PET/CT study.

Imaging Acquisitions

FDG PET/CT studies were performed using a combined PET/CT scanner (Discovery ST System; GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA). All patients fasted for at least 6 h prior to the intravenous administration of FDG. Their blood glucose levels were measured before the injection of FDG; if the level was over 8.3 mmol/l, the FDG PET/CT was deferred. Image acquisition for torso scanning was started at approximately 1 h after the injection of 7.4 MBq

FDG per kilogram of body weight. CT images were acquired from the skull base to the upper thigh using parameters with a peak voltage of 120 kVp, a tube current automated from 10 to 130 mA, a rotation time of 0.7 s, a field of view of 50 cm, a scan length of 40–50 s, and a slice thickness of 3.75 mm. Immediately following the CT acquisition, the PET data were acquired in the same anatomical locations with 15.7 cm axial field of view acquired in two-dimensional (2-D) mode with 150 s/bed position. The CT data were used for attenuation correction and the images were reconstructed using a conventional iterative ordered subsets expectation maximization (OSEM) algorithm.

Image Analysis

Image display and analysis were performed using an Advantage Workstation 4.4 (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA), which provided multiplanar reformatted images. Maximum standardized uptake values (SUVmax) based on body weight and MTV were determined by the attenuation-corrected PET data using volume viewer software. Of the various methods described for determining metabolic volumes, a fixed threshold of SUV 2.5, as previously reported, was used [9, 13]. The boundaries of tumor were drawn large enough to incorporate each target lesion in the axial, coronal and sagittal FDG PET/CT images. The contour around the target lesions inside the boundaries was automatically produced, and the voxels presenting SUV intensity > 2.5 within the contouring margin were incorporated to define the tumor volumes. According to the location, P_{SUV} was defined as the SUV_{max} of primary breast tumor, N_{SUV} as that of metastatic nodes and T_{SUV} as the higher value between P_{SUV} and N_{SUV}. In the same manner, P_{MTV} was defined as the MTV of primary breast tumor, N_{MTV} as that of metastatic lymph nodes and T_{MTV} as the total summed values of PMTV and NMTV.

Pathologic Examination

We analyzed the patients' pathological data, including histologic type of tumor, histological grade, hormone receptor status, and axillary lymph node status. Histological grade was identified by a modified Scarff-Bloon-Richardson grading system. Lymph nodes were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and examined for tumor cell metastasis. Hormone receptor (estrogen [ER] and progesterone [PR] receptors) and HER2 status was determined by immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis using a tissue microarray. The immunohistochemical analyses used an ER antibody (1D5; DAKO, Carpinteria, USA), a PR antibody (PgR636; DAKO), and a HER2 antibody (4B5; DAKO). Hormone receptors were considered positive if expression was ≥ 10 %. The HER2 expression results by IHC analysis were scored as negative, 1+, 2+ or 3+ according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Cases with an HER2 IHC staining score of more than 2 were tested by HER2 gene amplification using the fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) method. Cases with an IHC staining score of 3+ were defined as HER2 positive, or in the case of an IHC staging score of 2+, FISH positive.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 19.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). Survival time was derived from the date of FDG PET/CT scan to the date of death/recurrence or last follow-up. Cox regression analysis was used to develop the univariate and multivariate models describing the association of the independent variables with DFS and OS. Independent variables analyzed included age, tumor size, lymph node status on physical examination, surgery, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, histology, histological grade, estrogen and progesterone receptors, HER2 status, P_{SUV}, P_{MTV}, N_{SUV}, N_{MTV}, T_{SUV} and T_{MTV}. OS and PFS curves were produced using Kaplan-Meier methods and survival difference between groups was assessed by the log-rank test. The median value of SUV or MTV was used to define the two groups. A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The 95 % confidence interval (CI) was determined for each index.

Results

Patient Characteristics

The patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. The median age of the patients was 52 years (range, 32–83 years), and the median follow-up period from the time of FDG PET/CT scan for all patients was 50 months (range, 17–73 months). The size of the primary tumor was T stage 1 in 15 (28 %), T stage 2 in 30 (57 %) and T stage 3 in 8 (15 %). Axillary lymph node involvement by physical examination was observed in 26 patients (49 %). TNM classification was stage I in 5 (9 %), stage II in 15 (28 %) and stage III in 33 (62 %).

During the follow-up, the patient number of locoregional recurrences or distant metastases was 17 (33 %) and that of distant metastases with or without locoregional recurrence was 11 (21 %). The distribution of distant metastases was five (46 %) in lung, three (27 %) in bone and three (27 %) in liver. Nine (17 %) patients died because of breast cancer during the course of this study. Five-year OS and PFS were 79 % and 65 %, respectively.

Survival Analysis

Table 1 Patient characteristics

Variables	No. of patients $(n=53)$	%
Age (years)		
Median (range)	52 (32-83)	-
Tumor size		
T1	15	28 %
T2	30	57 %
Т3	8	15 %
Lymph node status (clinical)		
N0	27	51 %
N1-2	26	49 %
Surgery		
Breast conserving surgery	22	42 %
Mastectomy	31	58 %
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy		
Not done	32	60 %
Done	21	40 %
Histology		
Invasive ductal carcinoma	43	81 %
Invasive lobular carcinoma	4	8 %
Others	6	11 %
Histological grade		
Ι	10	19 %
II	22	42 %
III	21	39 %
Estrogen receptor		
Positive	34	64 %
Negative	19	36 %
Progesterone receptor		
Positive	32	60 %
Negative	21	40 %
HER2		
Positive	21	40 %
Negative	32	60 %
Follow-up period (months)		
Median (range)	50 (17-73)	-

PET variables. Five-year DFS was significantly shorter in higher N_{SUV} (82 % vs 48 %; P=0.011, Fig. 1a), N_{MTV} (82 % vs 48 %; P=0.011, Fig. 2a) and T_{MTV} (74 % vs 56 %; P=0.045, Fig. 3a). Five-year OS was also significantly shorter in higher N_{SUV} (96 % vs 61 %; P=0.035, Fig. 1b), N_{MTV} (96 % vs 61 %; P=0.035, Fig. 2b) and T_{MTV} (95 % vs 63 %; P=0.035, Fig. 3b). Of the clinicopathological variables, histological grade III (P=0.008), negative estrogen (P=0.045) and negative progesterone receptor (P=0.029) status were significant predictors for PFS, and histological grade III (P=0.012) and negative estrogen receptor status (P=0.009) were significant predictors for OS. However, age, tumor size, lymph node status, treatment modality,

Fig. 1 Kaplan-Meier analyses of disease-free (**a**) and overall survival (**b**) according to N_{SUV}



histology, HER2, P_{SUV} , P_{MTV} and T_{SUV} did not influence PFS and OS. The multivariate analysis showed that higher N_{SUV} , N_{MTV} , T_{MTV} , histological grade and negative hormone receptor status were not significant prognostic factors

Relationship between PET indices and Clinicopathological indices

 N_{SUV} , N_{MTV} and T_{MTV} were found to be significantly associated with high histological grade (*P*=0.005) but not with tumor size, lymph node status, histology, estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor and HER2 status (Table 4).

Discussion

(Tables 2 and 3).

In the present study, we demonstrate that PET indices are useful in the preoperative evaluation of prognosis in patients

with operable primary breast cancer, along with well-known clinicopathologic indices. Among the PET indices, high N_{SUV} , N_{MTV} and T_{MTV} were able to predict poorer outcomes on univariate analysis. However, these failed to be statistically significant prognostic factors on multivariate analysis.

Several studies suggested that a high SUV of primary tumor is associated with a worse prognosis in patients with breast cancer. According to Song et al. [6], the SUV_{max} of the primary tumor could be a useful marker to predict prognosis in patients with invasive ductal carcinoma of the breast. Other studies suggested that a high FDG uptake by breast tumor was correlated with poor prognostic factors such as histological grade and type, tumor size, invasive-ness, hormonal receptor negativity and triple negativity [14–20]. This study differs from these prior investigations. The SUV_{max} of the primary tumor as well as the SUV_{max} of the metastatic lymph nodes were evaluated in this study. In addition, our patients' outcomes were directly assessed by clinical follow-up, not by correlation with known clinical









Table 2Univariate and multi-
variate analysis for disease-free
survival

Variables	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis		
	HR (95 % CI)	Р	HR (95 % CI)	Р	
Age (years)					
≥ 52	0.929 (0.358-2.410)	0.880			
Tumor size					
Т3	0.831 (0.190-3.634)	0.805			
Lymph node status (clinical)					
Positive	2.165 (0.797-5.878)	0.130			
Surgery					
Mastectomy	1.585 (0.585-4.296)	0.365			
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy					
Done	2.128 (0.818-5.537)	0.122			
Histology					
Invasive ductal carcinoma	0.941 (0.270-3.277)	0.924			
Histological grade					
III	3.852 (1.420-10.448)	0.008	2.404 (0.740-7.813)	0.145	
Estrogen receptor					
Positive	0.376 (0.145-0.978)	0.045	0.689 (0.080-5.926)	0.734	
Progesterone receptor					
Positive	0.339 (0.129-0.895)	0.029	2.470 (0.320-19.075)	0.386	
HER2					
Positive	1.897 (0.730-4.929)	0.189			
P _{SUV}					
≥7.3	1.463 (0.556–3.853)	0.441			
$P_{\rm MTV}$ (cm ³)					
≥11.1	2.082 (0.769-5.638)	0.149			
N _{SUV}					
≥2.6	4.275 (1.387-13.177)	0.011	3.082 (0.967-9.816)	0.057	
N _{MTV} (cm ³)					
≥0.1	4.275 (1.387–13.177)	0.011	3.082 (0.967-9.816)	0.057	
T _{SUV}					
≥7.3	1.463 (0.556–3.853)	0.441			
$T_{\rm MTV}$ (cm ³)					
≥13.8	2.912 (1.024-8.279)	0.045	2.013 (0.663-6.108)	0.217	

Table 3Univariate and multi-
variate analysis for overall
survival

Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis		
HR (95 % CI)	Р	HR (95 % CI)	Р	
1.998 (0.498-8.008)	0.329			
1.890 (0.391–9.133)	0.429			
2.507(0.616-10.208)	0.199			
2.980(0.607-14.617)	0.178			
2.249 (0.600-8.423)	0.229			
1.420 (0.292-6.895)	0.664			
14.380 (1.797–115.064)	0.012	3.598 (0.389-33.264)	0.259	
0.064 (0.008-0.510)	0.009	7.571 (0.849–67.544)	0.070	
0.008 (0.000-2.432)	0.098			
1.329 (0.350-5.047)	0.676			
1.261 (0.338-4.709)	0.730			
4.112 (0.852-19.846)	0.078			
9.419 (1.176–75.459)	0.035	5.238 (0.633-43.378)	0.125	
9.419 (1.176–75.459)	0.035	5.238 (0.633-43.378)	0.125	
1.261 (0.338-4.709)	0.730			
9.419 (1.176–75.459)	0.035	5.238 (0.633-43.378)	0.125	
	HR (95 % CI) 1.998 (0.498–8.008) 1.890 (0.391–9.133) 2.507(0.616–10.208) 2.980(0.607–14.617) 2.249 (0.600–8.423) 1.420 (0.292–6.895) 14.380 (1.797–115.064) 0.064 (0.008–0.510) 0.008 (0.000–2.432) 1.329 (0.350–5.047) 1.261 (0.338–4.709) 4.112 (0.852–19.846) 9.419 (1.176–75.459) 9.419 (1.176–75.459) 9.419 (1.176–75.459) 9.419 (1.176–75.459)	HR (95 % CI) P 1.998 (0.498–8.008) 0.329 1.890 (0.391–9.133) 0.429 2.507(0.616–10.208) 0.199 2.980(0.607–14.617) 0.178 2.249 (0.600–8.423) 0.229 1.420 (0.292–6.895) 0.664 14.380 (1.797–115.064) 0.012 0.064 (0.008–0.510) 0.009 0.008 (0.000–2.432) 0.098 1.329 (0.350–5.047) 0.676 1.261 (0.338–4.709) 0.730 4.112 (0.852–19.846) 0.078 9.419 (1.176–75.459) 0.035 9.419 (1.176–75.459) 0.035 1.261 (0.338–4.709) 0.730	HR (95 % CI) P $HR (95 % CI)$ $1.998 (0.498-8.008)$ 0.329 $1.890 (0.391-9.133)$ 0.429 $2.507(0.616-10.208)$ 0.199 $2.980(0.607-14.617)$ 0.178 $2.249 (0.600-8.423)$ 0.229 $1.420 (0.292-6.895)$ 0.664 $14.380 (1.797-115.064)$ 0.012 $3.598 (0.389-33.264)$ $0.064 (0.008-0.510)$ 0.009 $7.571 (0.849-67.544)$ $0.008 (0.000-2.432)$ 0.098 $1.329 (0.350-5.047)$ 0.676 $1.261 (0.338-4.709)$ 0.730 $4.112 (0.852-19.846)$ 0.078 $9.419 (1.176-75.459)$ 0.035 $5.238 (0.633-43.378)$ $1.261 (0.338-4.709)$ 0.730 $4.19 (1.176-75.459)$ 0.035 $5.238 (0.633-43.378)$ $9.419 (1.176-75.459)$ 0.035 $5.238 (0.633-43.378)$	

and biological prognostic parameters. DFS and OS analyses were done during the longer follow-up.

MTV, which is defined as the volume of tumor tissues with increased FDG uptake, is a recently investigated index in FDG PET. There are some studies that show MTV is a better prognostic indicator than clinical outcomes in ovarian, cervical, tonsilar and lung malignancies [7, 9, 10, 13]. However, there was no study that assessed DFS and OS using MTV as a pretreatment prognostic indicator in patients with breast cancer. Furthermore, previous investigators did not evaluate the MTV of the metastatic lymph nodes or total tumor volume; they evaluated the MTV of the primary tumor alone.

This study shows that high N_{SUV} , N_{MTV} and T_{MTV} can predict significantly poorer outcomes in patients with operable primary breast cancer on univariate analysis, but P_{SUV} ,

 P_{MTV} and T_{SUV} cannot. The reason why both P_{SUV} and P_{MTV} are not prognostic factors of poorer outcomes may relate to the histopathological diagnosis which was made before the FDG PET/CT scan. In this study, all subjects had needle or excisional biopsies, and the mean time from the procedure to the FDG PET/CT scan was 10.9 ± 6.3 days. Preceding procedures can affect the P_{SUV} of the primary tumor because of their inflammatory reaction. The removal of tumor tissue can also lead to underestimation of MTV of the real primary tumor. Consequently, P_{SUV} and P_{MTV} failed to show the statistical significance in this study. This result for P_{SUV} is in good agreement with a previous study [21]. In contrast to P_{SUV} and P_{MTV} , both N_{SUV} and N_{MTV} are significant prognostic factors. Many studies of breast cancer have suggested that axillary lymph node metastasis is strongly

Table 4 Relationship betweenPET indices and clinicopatho-
logical indices

Variables	riables $\frac{N_{SUV}}{Low}$		P High	N _{MTV}		Р	T _{MTV}		Р
		Low High		Low	w High		Low	High	
Tumor size			0.250			0.250			0.250
T1-2	24	21		24	21		24	21	
T3	2	6		2	6		2	6	
Lymph node status (clinical)			0.173			0.173			0.056
Negative	16	11		16	11		17	10	
Positive	10	16		10	16		9	17	
Histology			0.728			0.728			0.728
Invasive ductal carcinoma	21	22		21	22		21	22	
Others	6	4		6	4		6	4	
Histological grade			0.005			0.005			0.005
1-2	21	11		21	11		21	11	
3	5	16		5	16		5	16	
Estrogen receptor			0.254			0.254			0.569
Negative	7	12		7	12		7	12	
Positive	19	15		19	15		19	15	
Progesterone receptor			0.093			0.093			0.264
Negative	7	14		7	14		8	13	
Positive	19	13		19	13		18	14	
HER2			0.093			0.093			0.264
Negative	19	13		19	13		18	14	
Positive	7	14		7	14		8	13	

related to poor prognosis [22, 23], even on evaluation by using FDG PET/CT scan [24]. We found that N_{MTV} is also a prognostic factor in breast cancer as well as N_{SUV}. In the measurement of MTV of axillary lymph nodes, we set a fixed SUV cutoff value of 2.5 in the same manner as with measurement of MTV of primary tumor. Metastatic lymph nodes were defined as the lymph nodes with $SUV_{max} \ge 2.3$, which was the proven threshold value in previous study [24]. Although metastatic lymph node volumes between 2.3 and 2.5 of SUV_{max} were not included in measurement of N_{MTV}, there was highly significant association between N_{SUV} and N_{MTV} (chi-square test, P<0.001). Thus, we expect that N_{MTV} also could predict poor outcome in this study. T_{SUV} could not predict prognosis, while T_{MTV} could predict it well. T_{SUV} reflects P_{SUV} rather than N_{SUV}, because most of the subjects had a higher PSUV than NSUV except for five cases. However, T_{MTV} can reflect the systemic tumor burden, as it incorporates the volumes of the primary tumor and metastatic lymph nodes. Because we enrolled operable breast cancer patients without distant metastasis in this study, T_{MTV} indicates whole-body metabolic tumor volume in this study. Recent studies showed that whole-body metabolic tumor volume is a strong prognostic factor in lung cancers [8, 12]. Similar to other studies, this study showed that high T_{MTV} was a prognostic factor of poorer outcomes in breast cancer without distant metastasis.

However, this study failed to show any significance of PET indices for the outcome by the Cox multivariate survival analysis. The cause of such a discrepancy might be due to a small sample size and the correlation between PET indices and histologic grade. In a sub-study, exclusive of histological grade, N_{SUV} and N_{MTV} were significant prognostic factors for disease progression (*P*=0.026). Further study is needed to determine the usefulness of N_{SUV} and N_{MTV} as prognostic factors in patients with breast cancer.

The present study had several limitations. First, a small number of patients were included retrospectively. However, we enrolled only patients with operable breast cancer to standardize treatment modalities. Second, MTV can be affected by the partial volume effect, the time between tracer injection and imaging, and plasma glucose levels; also, the methods of measurement of MTV are under controversy. Further prospective studies on the accurate measurement of MTV involving a larger number of patients will be needed to confirm the prediction of prognosis in patients with breast cancer.

In conclusion, PET indices seem to be useful in the preoperative evaluation of prognosis in patients with operable primary breast cancer. N_{SUV} , N_{MTV} and T_{MTV} might be considerable factors associated with patient outcome in operable breast cancer. PET indices are expected to enable better follow-up of patients with operable breast cancer and aid in the making of appropriate treatment decisions for these patients.

Conflicts of interest None.

References

- Yoo K, Shin H, Park S, Yoon H, Shin A, Kang D, et al. Is Breast Cancer Incidence Rate Further Increasing in Korea? Korean J Epidemiol. 2001;23(2):1–7.
- Megale Costa LJ, Soares HP, Gaspar HA, Trujillo LG, Santi PX, Pereira RS. Ratio between positive lymph nodes and total dissected axillaries lymph nodes as an independent prognostic factor for disease-free survival in patients with breast cancer. Am J Clin Oncol. 2004;27(3):304–6.
- 3. Uematsu T, Kasami M, Yuen S. Comparison of FDG PET and MRI for evaluating the tumor extent of breast cancer and the impact of FDG PET on the systemic staging and prognosis of patients who are candidates for breast-conserving therapy. Breast Cancer. 2009;16 (2):97–104.
- Emmering J, Krak NC, Van der Hoeven JJ, Spreeuwenberg MD, Twisk JW, Meijer S, et al. Preoperative [¹⁸F] FDG-PET after chemotherapy in locally advanced breast cancer: prognostic value as compared with histopathology. Ann Oncol. 2008;19(9):1573–7.
- Cermik TF, Mavi A, Basu S, Alavi A. Impact of FDG PET on the preoperative staging of newly diagnosed breast cancer. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2008;35(3):475–83.
- Song B-I, Hong CM, Lee HJ, Kang S, Jeong SY, Kim HW, et al. Prognostic value of primary tumor uptake on F-18 FDG PET/CT in patients with invasive ductal breast cancer. Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2011;45(2):117–24.
- Chung HH, Kwon HW, Kang KW, Park NH, Song YS, Chung JK, et al. Prognostic value of preoperative metabolic tumor volume and total lesion glycolysis in patients with epithelial ovarian cancer. Ann Surg Oncol. 2011. doi:10.1245/s10434-011-2153-x.
- Oh JR, Seo JH, Chong A, Min JJ, Song HC, Kim YC, et al. Whole-body metabolic tumour volume of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT improves the prediction of prognosis in small cell lung cancer. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2012. doi:10.1007/s00259-011-2059-7.
- Chung HH, Kim JW, Han KH, Eo JS, Kang KW, Park NH, et al. Prognostic value of metabolic tumor volume measured by FDG-PET/CT in patients with cervical cancer. Gynecol Oncol. 2011;120 (2):270–4.
- Moon SH, Choi JY, Lee HJ, Son YI, Baek CH, Ahn YC et al. Prognostic value of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the tonsil: Comparisons of volume-based metabolic parameters. Head Neck. 2012; doi:10.1002/hed.22904.
- Liao S, Penney BC, Zhang H, Suzuki K, Pu Y. Prognostic value of the quantitative metabolic volumetric measurement on ¹⁸F-FDG

PET/CT in Stage IV nonsurgical small-cell lung cancer. Acad Radiol. 2012;19(1):69–77.

- 12. Huang W, Zhou T, Ma L, Sun H, Gong H, Wang J, et al. Standard uptake value and metabolic tumor volume of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT predict short-term outcome early in the course of chemoradiotherapy in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2011;38(9):1628–35.
- Kim K, Kim SJ, Kim IJ, Seong Kim Y, Pak K, Kim H. Prognostic value of volumetric parameters measured by F-18 FDG PET/CT in surgically resected non-small-cell lung cancer. Nucl Med Commun. 2012. doi:10.1097/MNM.0b013e328351d4f5.
- Kim BS, Sung SH. Usefulness of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake with clinicopathologic and immunohistochemical prognostic factors in breast cancer. Ann Nucl Med. 2012;26(2):175–83.
- Sanli Y, Kuyumcu S, Ozkan ZG, Isik G, Karanlik H, Guzelbey B et al. Increased FDG uptake in breast cancer is associated with prognostic factors. Ann Nucl Med. 2012;26(4):345-50.
- 16. Groheux D, Giacchetti S, Moretti JL, Porcher R, Espie M, Lehmann-Che J, et al. Correlation of high ¹⁸F-FDG uptake to clinical, pathological and biological prognostic factors in breast cancer. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2011;38(3):426–35.
- Osborne JR, Port E, Gonen M, Doane A, Yeung H, Gerald W, et al. ¹⁸F-FDG PET of locally invasive breast cancer and association of estrogen receptor status with standardized uptake value: microarray and immunohistochemical analysis. J Nucl Med. 2010;51(4):543–50.
- Mavi A, Cermik TF, Urhan M, Puskulcu H, Basu S, Yu JQ, et al. The effects of estrogen, progesterone, and C-erbB-2 receptor states on ¹⁸F-FDG uptake of primary breast cancer lesions. J Nucl Med. 2007;48(8):1266–72.
- Heudel P, Cimarelli S, Montella A, Bouteille C, Mognetti T. Value of PET-FDG in primary breast cancer based on histopathological and immunohistochemical prognostic factors. Int J Clin Oncol. 2010;15(6):588–93.
- Buck A, Schirrmeister H, Kuhn T, Shen C, Kalker T, Kotzerke J, et al. FDG uptake in breast cancer: correlation with biological and clinical prognostic parameters. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2002;29(10):1317–23.
- Inoue T, Yutani K, Taguchi T, Tamaki Y, Shiba E, Noguchi S. Preoperative evaluation of prognosis in breast cancer patients by [¹⁸F]2-Deoxy-2-fluoro-D-glucose-positron emission tomography. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2004;130(5):273–8.
- Andersson Y, Frisell J, Sylvan M, de Boniface J, Bergkvist L. Breast cancer survival in relation to the metastatic tumor burden in axillary lymph nodes. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(17):2868–73.
- Jatoi I, Hilsenbeck SG, Clark GM, Osborne CK. Significance of axillary lymph node metastasis in primary breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 1999;17(8):2334–40.
- 24. Chung A, Liou D, Karlan S, Waxman A, Fujimoto K, Hagiike M, et al. Preoperative FDG-PET for axillary metastases in patients with breast cancer. Arch Surg. 2006;141(8):783–8.