



# Contemporary coronary drug-eluting and coated stents: an updated mini-review (2023)

Yuichi Saito<sup>1</sup> · Yoshio Kobayashi<sup>1</sup>

Received: 14 August 2023 / Accepted: 18 August 2023 / Published online: 1 September 2023

© The Author(s) under exclusive licence to Japanese Association of Cardiovascular Intervention and Therapeutics 2023

## Abstract

Percutaneous coronary intervention has become a standard-of-care procedure in patients with acute and chronic coronary syndromes, in which coronary stent technology is commonly used. In this updated mini-review article, we list and summarize the characteristics of contemporary coronary drug-eluting and coated stents in 2023.

**Keywords** Drug-eluting stent · Drug-coated stent · Percutaneous coronary intervention

The introduction of drug-eluting stents (DES) into the armamentarium of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) has reduced in-stent restenosis. Currently, PCI with DES has become a standard-of-care procedure in patients with acute and chronic coronary syndromes. Table 1 lists the features of contemporary coronary stents available in Japan in 2023. The first-generation DES were made of stainless steel, while contemporary DES mainly consist of different kind of alloys such as cobalt chromium and platinum chromium. In the current generation DES, thinner struts are employed than in the first-generation DES (> 130 µm) with preserved radial strength and radio-opacity. The lower strut thickness is believed to be associated with better stent-related outcomes including target lesion revascularization, myocardial infarction, and stent thrombosis [1, 2]. The number of links (connectors) between hoops has an impact on stent flexibility, deliverability and conformability, and possibly clinical events [2]. Current generation DES include both durable and biodegradable polymer-coated stents, and the lifelong presence of durable polymer is reported to be related to chronic inflammation and neoatherosclerosis [3]. To overcome this potential limitation of durable polymer, biodegradable polymer DES have been developed. To date, numerous clinical trials have shown the safety of biodegradable polymer DES compared with durable polymer DES, but their clinical

benefit is unclear [4, 5]. DES polymer is applied to the surface circumferentially or only at the abluminal side. Limiting the polymer to the abluminal aspect of the stent reduces total polymer burden, although whether this technology leads to better clinical outcomes is also unknown. Different immunosuppressive and anti-cancer agents are used as anti-restenotic drugs in DES to inhibit smooth muscle proliferation. There is a stent that has an additional circumferential layer of anti-CD 34 antibodies on the stent struts on top of the polymer to capture circulating endothelial progenitor cells, conceptually leading to better endothelial healing. However, the clinical evidence is limited [6]. Beyond polymer-based DES, polymer-free DES and a drug coated coronary stent have been emerged. With no polymer, abluminally coated probucol regulates the release of sirolimus in the former, while the latter has the micro-structured abluminal surface in which an anti-restenotic drug is directly applied.

Coronary stent technologies have evolved enormously in the past decades from bare metal stents to contemporary DES, achieving safer and more effective devices for all patient and lesion subsets undergoing PCI. However, short- and long-term stent-related adverse events continue to accrue even in PCI with contemporary DES [7]. In the future, the use of novel technologies may provide better clinical outcomes.

✉ Yuichi Saito  
saitoyuichi1984@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine, 1-8-1 Inohana, Chuo-ku, Chiba, Chiba 260-8677, Japan

**Table 1** Drug-eluting and coated stents

Stents	Manufacturer	Strut material	Strut thickness (μm)	Number of links	Polymer	Polymer thickness (μm)	Coating method	Absorption time (m)	Drug time (m)	Stent diameter (mm)	Stent length (mm)
DES											
XIENCE	Abbott	CoCr (L605)	81	3	PVDF-HFP	Durable	4.7–7.8 <sup>a</sup>	Circumferential	—	Everolimus	4
Skypoint					PLLA <sup>d</sup>	Bioresorbable	3.5–7.4	Circumferential	15	Sirolimus	3
Orsiro Mission	BIOTRONIK	CoCr (L605)	60 or 80 <sup>b</sup>	3 <sup>c</sup>	PLGA	Bioresorbable	4	Abluminal	3–4	Everolimus	3
Synergy XD	Boston Scientific	PtCr	74, 79, or 81 <sup>e</sup>	2 <sup>f</sup>	BioLink <sup>h</sup>	Durable	6	Circumferential	—	Zotarolimus	6
Resolute Onyx	Medtronic	CoCr with Pt-Ir	81	NA <sup>g</sup>	PLGA block co-polymer <sup>i</sup>	Bioresorbable	≤5	Abluminal	3	Sirolimus	1
COMBO Plus	OrbusNeich	Stainless steel (316L)	87	2	PDLLA-PCL	Bioresorbable	≤15	Abluminal	3–4	Sirolimus	3
Ultimaster	Terumo	CoCr (L605)	80	2	—	—	—	—	—	Sirolimus	3
Polymer-free DES											
Coroflex ISAR Neo	B.Braun Biosensors Ultra	CoCr (L605)	55 or 65 <sup>j</sup>	3	NA <sup>k</sup>	—	4 <sup>k</sup>	Abluminal <sup>k</sup>	—	Sirolimus	3
Drug coated stent											
BioFreedom Ultra		CoCr (ASTM F562)	84 or 88 <sup>l</sup>	3	—	—	—	Abluminal <sup>m</sup>	—	Biolimus A9 1	2.5–4.0
										9–38	9–38

Contemporary coronary DES and a drug coated stent used in Japan as of 2023 are listed. Different stent sizes may be available outside Japan

<sup>a</sup>CoCr cobalt-chromium, <sup>b</sup>DES drug-eluting stents, <sup>c</sup>NA not applicable, <sup>d</sup>PCL poly-(ε-caprolactone), <sup>e</sup>PDLLA poly-D, L-lactic acid, <sup>f</sup>PLGA poly-l-lactic acid, <sup>g</sup>PtCr platinum-chromium, <sup>h</sup>Pt-Ir platinum-iridium, <sup>i</sup>PVDF-HFP co-polymer of vinylidene fluoride and hexafluoropropylene

<sup>j</sup>4.7 and 7.8 μm on the abluminal and luminal sides

<sup>k</sup>60 μm for 2.25–3.0 mm stents and 80 μm for 3.5–4.0 mm stents

<sup>l</sup>4 links for both edge sides

<sup>m</sup>Coated with amorphous silicon carbide

<sup>n</sup>74 μm for 2.25–2.75 mm stents, 79 μm for 3.0–3.5 mm stents, and 81 μm for 4.0 mm stents

<sup>o</sup>Proximal two segments have 4 (2.25–3.5 mm) or 5 (4.0 mm) links

<sup>p</sup>Every 4th crown laser fused (every 5th crown fused in the 2.75 and 3.0 mm platforms). Approximately 1.5 links for 2.0–3.0 mm stents and approximately 2.5 links for 3.5–4.0 mm stents

<sup>q</sup>A blend of the medtronic proprietary components (a hydrophilic C10 polymer, a hydrophobic C19 polymer, and water-soluble polyvinyl pyrrolidone)

<sup>r</sup>An additional circumferential layer of anti-CD 34 antibodies is applied on the stent struts on top of the polymer to capture circulating endothelial progenitor cells

<sup>s</sup>55 μm for 2.25–3.0 mm stents and 65 μm for 3.5–4.0 mm stents

<sup>t</sup>Probucol (abluminal coating) mimics the function of a polymer by retarding the release of sirolimus

<sup>u</sup>84 μm for 2.5–3.0 mm stents and 88 μm for 3.5–4.0 mm stents

<sup>v</sup>Biolimus A9 is directly coated to the micro-structured abluminal surface with no polymer

**Funding** None.

## Declarations

**Conflict of interest** Yuichi Saito reports nothing to disclose. Yoshio Kobayashi reports research grants from Abbott Medical Japan, Japan Lifeline, and Terumo, and honoraria from Abbott Medical Japan, Boston Scientific, and Terumo.

## References

1. Bangalore S, Toklu B, Patel N, Feit F, Stone GW. Newer-generation ultrathin strut drug-eluting stents versus older second-generation thicker strut drug-eluting stents for coronary artery disease. *Circulation*. 2018;138:2216–26.
2. Iannaccone M, Gatti P, Barbero U, Bassignana A, Gallo D, de Benedictis M, et al. Impact of strut thickness and number of crown and connectors on clinical outcomes on patients treated with second-generation drug eluting stent. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2020;96:1417–22.
3. Nakazawa G, Shinke T, Ijichi T, Matsumoto D, Otake H, Torii S, et al. Comparison of vascular response between durable and biodegradable polymer-based drug-eluting stents in a porcine coronary artery model. *EuroIntervention*. 2014;10:717–23.
4. El-Hayek G, Bangalore S, Casso Dominguez A, Devireddy C, Jaber W, Kumar G, et al. Meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials comparing biodegradable polymer drug-eluting stent to second-generation durable polymer drug-eluting stents. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2017;10:462–73.
5. Kobayashi T, Sotomi Y, Suzuki S, Suwannasom P, Nakatani S, Morino Y, et al. Five-year clinical efficacy and safety of contemporary thin-strut biodegradable polymer versus durable polymer drug-eluting stents: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 9 randomized controlled trials. *Cardiovasc Ther*. 2020;35:250–8.
6. Saito S, Krucoff MW, Nakamura S, Mehran R, Maehara A, Al-Khalidi HR, et al. Japan–United States of America Harmonized Assessment by Randomized Multicentre Study of OrbusNEich's Combo StEnt (Japan–USA HARMONEE) study: primary results of the pivotal registration study of combined endothelial progenitor cell capture and drug-eluting stent in patients with ischaemic coronary disease and non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome. *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39:2460–8.
7. Madhavan MV, Kirtane AJ, Redfors B, Généreux P, Ben-Yehuda O, Palmerini T, et al. Stent-related adverse events > 1 year after percutaneous coronary intervention. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020;75:590–604.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.