**ORIGINAL RESEARCH**



# **Alleviation of salt stress in** *Triticum aestivum* **by biopriming with** *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*

Hanan El-Sayed Dief<sup>1</sup> • El-Sayed A. Hashem<sup>1</sup> • Salwa Fawzan<sup>1</sup> • Ashraf S. A. El-Sayed<sup>1</sup>

Accepted: 31 July 2020 / Published online: 10 August 2020 © Korean Society of Crop Science (KSCS) 2020

### **Abstract**

Wheat is one of the most important cereals, vital basic crop in Egypt, covering approximately 32.6% of the total winter land. However, sensitivity to abiotic stress especially salinity is one the major current hurdles that reduce the global yield of this crop. Plant biopriming with fungi is the recent technology that might alleviate the salt stress efect. Thus, the objective of this work was to examine the efect of *Triticum aestivum* grains biopriming with *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* on the response of wheat to salt stress. From the physiological and biochemical parameters, biopriming of wheat grains with *P. chrysosporium* signifcantly alleviates the salt stress and markedly increases the growth parameters, photosynthetic pigments and osmolytes (soluble sugars, soluble protein and proline) contents. In addition, it alleviates the oxidative damage, as indicated by the lower accumulation of malondealdehyde and increasing the activity of antioxidant enzymes; superoxide dismutase, catalase, and ascorbate peroxidase in wheat seedlings. Results indicate the potential of using *P. chrysosporium* biopriming for reducing the deteriorating effects of salinity.

**Keywords** Biopriming · *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* · *Triticum aestivum* · Salt stress

# **Introduction**

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the essential grown cereal crop, bread wheat is the main food crop in most of the countries including Egypt (Maha et al. [2017\)](#page-12-0). Egypt is one of the countries that sufer severe salinity problems, 33% of the cultivated land which comprises only 3% of total land area in Egypt is already salinized (El-Hendawy [2004](#page-11-0)). Salinity afects water and nutrient absorption as well as membrane permeability, refecting on water relations and nutrient balance, and in turn afecting the plant metabolism, hormonal balance, gas exchange, and reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Nawaz et al. [2010;](#page-12-1) Gheyi et al. [2016](#page-12-2)). Salinity causes reduction in plant growth through four predicted pathways (1) Osmotically induced water stress, (2) Specifc ion toxicity owing to high sodium and chloride concentrations, (3) Nutrient ion imbalance, because of high level of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>−</sup> which reduces the absorption of K<sup>+</sup>, NO<sup>-</sup>, and  $PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>, (4) Increased production of ROS which damages the$  macromolecules (Nawaz et al. [2010](#page-12-1)). Visual symptoms of salt injury in plant growth appear progressively such as wilting, yellowed leaves and stunted growth, then followed by chlorosis of green parts, leaf tip burning, and necrosis of leaves and the old leaves appear scorched (Machado and Serralheiro [2017\)](#page-12-3).

Salinity diminishes net photosynthesis due to decrease in stomatal conductance, intercellular partial pressure of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ in leaves, and chlorophyll content, altered ultrastructure of chloroplasts, decreased photochemical and carboxylation reactions, and increase in the level of soluble sugars in the tissues (Iqbal et al. [2014](#page-12-4)). Under salinity, plants accumulate osmotically active compounds called osmolytes like proline, protein, mannitol, sorbitol, glycine betaine, so forth so as to bring down the osmotic potential. These are referred to as compatible metabolites that maintain cell turgor and thus providing the driving gradient for water uptake (Rasool et al. [2013](#page-12-5)). Plants possess complex antioxidative defence system comprising ascorbate and glutathione (*γ*-glutamyl-cysteinylglycine, tocopherol, carotenoids, and phenolic compounds, in addition to enzymatic components such as superoxide dismutase, catalase, guaiacol peroxidase, ascorbate glutathione ascorbate peroxidase, monodehydroascorbate reductase, and glutathione reductase to scavenge ROS (Sharma et al. [2012](#page-12-6)).

 $\boxtimes$  Ashraf S. A. El-Sayed ash.elsayed@gmail.com

 $1$  Botany and Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University, Zagazig 44519, Egypt

Seed priming theory was frstly reported by Heydecker et al. [\(1973](#page-12-7)) to alleviate the environmental stresses. Several priming techniques have been reported including hydropriming, osmopriming, hormopriming, solid matrix, thermopriming, nutripriming, chemopriming, and biological priming (Lutts et al. [2016\)](#page-12-8). A combination of seed hydration and inoculation of the seeds with advantageous microbes is referred to as biopriming or biological priming. It improves the seed viability, germination, vigour indices, plant growth and subsequent protection against diseases (Afzal et al. [2016](#page-11-2); Lutts et al. [2016;](#page-12-8) Prasad et al. [2016\)](#page-12-9).

Endophytic fungi can interact with several plant species and in that way signifcantly participate in the tolerance of these plants to a number to environmental stresses. These conditions include salinity, drought, heat, pathogens, herbivores, or limiting nutrients to the extent that some plants cannot endure stress conditions in the absence of their associated microbes (De Zelicourt et al. [2013;](#page-11-3) El-Sayed et al. [2017a\)](#page-11-4). Symbiotically -mediated stress alleviation imparted to plants involves two mechanisms; activation of host stress response systems, and biosynthesis of anti-stress compounds by endophytes such as phytohormones that promote the growth of root hairs and increase total root area, facilitating the nutrients uptake, in addition to increasing the activity of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase (ACC) to reduce the level of ethylene and osmolytes as antioxidative defence (El-Sayed and Shindia [2011;](#page-11-5) Singh et al. [2011](#page-12-10); Milošević et al. [2012](#page-12-11); Prasad et al. [2016;](#page-12-9) Vardharajula et al. [2017](#page-13-0); Lata et al. [2018\)](#page-12-12). Thus, the objective of the present study was to evaluate the efect of wheat grains biopriming with diferent endophytic fungal isolates on the amelioration of salt stress through exploring the growth attributes and biochemical mechanisms of the plant in response to salinity stress.

# **Materials and methods**

# **Fungal inocula, plant materials and biopriming conditions**

Five endophytic fungal isolates were selected from our Lab Fungal cultural stock (El-Sayed et al. [2018a](#page-11-6), [b,](#page-11-7) [2019a](#page-11-8), [b](#page-11-9), [c](#page-11-10)), to assess their biopriming efect with the tested plant. These fungal isolates were maintained on potato dextrose broth, incubated for 10 days at 30 °C, the entire fungal cultures were collected and washed thoroughly with sterile saline solution (El-Sayed and Ali [2020](#page-11-11)). The fungal biomass was dried overnight at 40 °C, then the mycelia were powdered by mechanical mortar, and the fne powdered fungal biomass

was used for further biopriming experiments with wheat grains.

Wheat grains (*Triticum aestivum* L.) were purchased from Wheat Research Department, Field Crops Research Institute (FCRI), Agriculture Research Centre, Egypt, and this study was conducted from 30th November 2017 to 19th January 2018 in the greenhouse of Botany Department, Zagazig University, Egypt. The grains of wheat were surface sterilized with 1% sodium hypochlorite for 3 min, rinsed with sterile water and re-dried under shade for 10 min (Afzal et al. [2008\)](#page-11-12). The grains were presoaked in sterilized distilled water (1:5 *w*/*v*) for 12 h at room temperature (Farooq et al. [2006](#page-12-13)), and surface-dried on air to their approximately original weight (Basra et al. [2002](#page-11-13)). The powdered mycelial were mixed with the prepared grains at ratio 1:0.1 (*w*/*w*) (10 g powdered mycelia and 1 kg plant grains) in presence of 1% carboxy methylcellulose as adhesive agent. The treated grains were put as a heap, covered with a moist jute sack to maintain high humidity for 48 h at 28 °C, under moist conditions until pre-radical emergence. The primed grains were stored at 4 °C until use. Non-primed seeds were used as control. Four salt levels (0, 50, 100 and 150 mM NaCl) were applied to soil in plastic pots  $(23 \times 17 \text{ cm})$ , filled with 5 kg of air-dried soil disinfected with 4% formalin (Cardona and Rodriguez [2006](#page-11-14)) and passed through 2 mm sieve. Leaf samples were harvested at 15 days interval until the end of the experiment (45th day) for estimation of various growth and biochemical parameters.

## **Molecular identifcation of the fungal endophyte**

Fungal genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted according to our previous studies (El-Sayed et al. [2015a](#page-11-15), [b](#page-11-16)), and used as a template for PCR amplifcation with the primer set ITS4 5′-GGAAGT AAAAGTCGTAACAAGG-3′ and ITS5 5′-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3′ (Schoch et al. [2012](#page-12-14)). The PCR amplicons were analysed by 1.5% agarose gel in  $1 \times$ TBE buffer (Ambion Cat# AM9864) comparing to DNA ladder (Thermo Scientific™ GeneRuler™ 50). Negative control PCR reactions without fungal gDNA were used. The amplicons were visualized by gel documentation system (Vilber Lourmat, France), purifed and sequenced by Applied Biosystems Sequencer, HiSQV Bases, Version 6.0 using the same primer sets. The obtained ITS sequences were non-redundantly BLAST searched with the NCBI database sequences. The quality of retrieved sequences was visually inspected from the sequence chromatograms. For the multiple sequences alignments, FASTA sequences were imported into MEGA 6.0 software and aligned with Clustal W muscle algorithm (Edgar [2004](#page-11-17)). The phylogenetic tree of the target sequences was constructed with neighbour-joining method of MEGA 6.0 with 1000 bootstrap replication (Tamura et al. [2007](#page-12-15)).

## **Determination of various plant growth parameters in response to fungal priming**

#### **Plant growth assessments**

Soil was washed off from the roots, then lengths and fresh weights (FW) of shoots and roots were determined. Whole plant samples were oven dried at 70 °C for 72 h for dry weight (DW) calculations.

## **Photosynthetic pigments, total soluble sugar, free proline and total protein contents**

Fifty mg fresh weight of leaves were homogenised with 5 ml DMF (*N*, *N*-dimethylformamide). After centrifugation, the supernatants were separated and the pigment contents were determined by measuring the absorbance of chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*), chlorophyll *b* (Chl *b*), and carotenoids (Car) at 663.8, 646.8, and 470 nm, respectively (Vicas et al. [2010\)](#page-13-1).

Total soluble sugars were estimated by the method of Dey [\(1990](#page-11-18)). Half gram of fresh leaf material was kept in 10 ml of 90% ethanol for 1 h at 60 °C. The extract was then decanted into a 25 mL volumetric fask and the residue was re-extracted. Final volume was made up to 25 mL by adding 90% ethanol. An aliquot (1 mL) was then transferred to a thick-walled test tube and 1.0 mL of 5% phenol was added and mixed thoroughly and 5 mL of analytical grade sulfuric acid (97–99%) was then added and mixed thoroughly by vertical agitation with a glass rod. For exothermic reaction, the test tube was cooled in the air and absorbance was recorded at 485 nm.

Proline content was determined using dry leaf material, according to the ninhydrin-acetic acid method (Bates et al. [1973](#page-11-19)). Extracts were prepared in 3% aqueous sulfosalicylic acid, mixed with acid ninhydrin solution, incubated for 1 h at 100 °C, cooled on ice and then extracted with toluene. After centrifugation, the absorbance of the organic phase was read at 520 nm using toluene as a blank.

Fresh leaf tissue was collected from stressed and wellwatered plants of both transgenic and control lines. Approximately 1 g of leaf tissue was weighed and ground to a fine powder in liquid nitrogen using a precooled mortar and pestle. The exact weight of each powdered sample was determined before it was thoroughly homogenized in 6 mL of  $0.2$  M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8) with  $0.1$  mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). The samples were centrifuged at 15,000×*g* for 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was removed, the pellet resuspended in 4 mL of the same bufer, and the suspension centrifuged for another 15 min at 15,000×*g*. The combined supernatants were stored on ice and used to determine diferent antioxidant enzyme activities (Elavarthi and Martin [2010](#page-11-20)). Protein estimation was done by following the method of (Lowry et al. [1951\)](#page-12-16).

# **Determination of antioxidative enzyme activities and lipid peroxidation**

The activity of superoxide dismutase (SOD) was estimated by the method of Giannopolitis and Ries ([1977](#page-12-17)). Reaction mixture contains 50 mM potassium phosphate bufer, 12 mM methionine, 75 µM nitro-blue tetrazolium (NBT) and 50 mM  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  and enzyme extract of 50 µg protein. At the end, 30 µl of 1 µM riboflavin was added to the mixture. The enzyme extracts were then placed under fuorescent light and removed after 15 min, the absorbance was recorded at 560 nm. One unit of SOD activity was represented by the amount of enzyme inhibiting 50% of the photochemical reaction.

The activity of catalase was assayed (Aebi [1983](#page-11-21); Kebeish et al. [2016](#page-12-18)), with slight modifcations. Briefy, the rate of decomposition of  $H_2O_2$  was followed by a decrease in absorbance at 240 nm for 3 min in a reaction mixture containing 50 mM phosphate bufer (PH 7), 10 mM hydrogen peroxide and enzyme extract containing 50 μg protein. The enzyme activity was calculated using the  $H_2O_2$  extinction coefficient of (39.4 mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>).

Ascorbate peroxidase (APX) activity was determined spectrophotometrically according to the method of Nakano and Asada ([1981](#page-12-19)). The reaction mixture contained 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 1 mM sodium ascorbate and enzyme extract containing 50 μg protein. The reaction was started by the addition of 0.5 mM  $H_2O_2$ . The decrease in the concentration of ascorbate was recorded at 290 nm for 3 min. The enzyme activity was calculated using the extinction coefficient of ascorbate  $(2.8 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$  (El-Sayed et al. [2017b](#page-11-22)).

Lipid peroxidation was determined by measuring the amount of MDA produced by the thiobar bituric acid reaction as described by (Heath and Packer [1968\)](#page-12-20).

#### **Fungal deposition**

The potential fungal isolate *P. chrysosporium* was deposited at Assiut University Mycology Centre AUMC14235, as well as on genbank with accession # MN736179.1.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was carried out using the personal computer software packages SPSS version 16 using twoway ANOVA, post hoc comparisons were made using the Tukey HSD test. Diferences were considered to be significant at  $P < 0.05$ .

## **Results**

# **Screening for the potent biopriming fungal isolates with wheat**

Five endophytic fungal isolates namely *P. chrysosporium, Fusarium* sp.*, Penicillium* sp.*, Pestalotia* sp *and Trichoderma* sp were bio-primed with the seeds of wheat at different concentrations of NaCl. The percentage of seeds germination and shoot and root lengths of seedlings were measured. From the obtained results (Table [1](#page-3-0)), the highest germination percentage of wheat seeds was reported in presence of *P. chrysosporium* at the experimented salt concentrations (50, 100, 150 mM), followed by *Penicillium* sp EFBL1 and *Trichoderma* sp, *Fusarium* sp, and *Pestalotia* sp (Figs. [1](#page-5-0), [2](#page-5-1)). Based on the higher germination percentage of wheat seeds, physiological parameters of wheat seeds bio-primed with *P. chrysosporium* and *Penicillium* sp EFBL1 were for further assessed based on the root and shoot systems lengths. From the results (Table [2](#page-4-0)), *P. chrysosporium* displayed the highest enhancing effect on the lengths of the shoot and root systems of wheat seedlings along with the experimented salt concentrations, comparing to controls. Thus, *P. chrysosporium* has been selected for biopriming with wheat seeds, for further physiological analyses.

#### **Growth parameters**

One goal of seed biopriming research is to improve growth parameters under adverse conditions such as salinity. In light of these results, the infuence of *P. chrysosporium* priming on plant growth markers such as root and shoot lengths, fresh and dry weights of wheat plants were measured. Root growth was found to be less sensitive to salt stress than shoot growth. The results showed that root length signifcantly declined with the increase in salinity concentration. However, EFB28 biopriming increased root growth by 10% after 45 days of stress. This increment was found as 8, 20, and 23% for plants pre-treated with *P. chrysosporium* then exposed to NaCl when compared to 50, 100, and 150 mM NaCl irrigated the only group, respectively, at the end of the experiment (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)a).

In addition to that, shoot elongation reduced with the increment in salt dosage. In *P. chrysosporium* biopriming, only plants, an increment in shoot growth at a rate of 5% was recorded as compared to those untreated (control) plants, in the last harvest of plant material. However, when EFB28 seed biopriming was performed before NaCl expose, the adverse efects of salinity were alleviated, showing an increase in shoot length by 11, 13, and 21% in comparison to the non-bioprimed ones under 50, 100, and 150 mM NaCl stress, respectively, after 45 days of stress (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)b).

Accordingly, fresh and dry weights of the whole plant also signifcantly decreased in plants under salt stress with the increase in salinity level. *P. chrysosporium* bioprimed plants induced an increase in FW at a rate of 59% as compared to control plants, after 45 days of stress. Seed biopriming of *P. chrysosporium*, however, signifcantly prevented the deleterious effects of NaCl on fresh weight by 32, 77, and 58% as compared to 50, 100, and 150 mM NaCl-stressed only plants, respectively, after 45 days of salinity imposition (Fig. [4a](#page-7-0)).

The exposure of wheat plants to salt resulted also in a progressive, concentration-dependent inhibition of growth, in relation to the non-stressed controls, as shown by a relative reduction in dry weight with increasing external NaCl concentrations. Dry weight was enhanced up to 11% in *P. chrysosporium* bioprimed plants relative to the control group of plants, after 45 days of treatment. Again, EFB28 biopriming caused amelioration of salt-induced adverse impact on dry weight via elevating it up to 6, 47, and 51% when compared to those 50, 100, and 150 mM NaCl irrigated only plants at the age of 45 days after stress imposition (Fig. [4](#page-7-0)b).

## **Photosynthetic pigments**

A decline in the content of photosynthetic pigments under abiotic stress like salinity is an obvious outcome. Taking into consideration, the mitigation efects of *P. chrysosporium* priming on the content of chlorophyll a, Chlorophyll b, and carotenoid were quantifed. With one exception (50 mM

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1** Efect of seed fungal bio-priming with diferent fve isolates



F1, *Phanerochaete chrysosporium,* F2: *Fusarium* sp.*,* F3: *Penicillium* sp.*,* F4: *Pestalotia* sp*.,* F5: *Trichoderma* sp*.* on percentage of germination at 10 days after sowing in wheat seedlings

NaCl after 15 days of stress), all photosynthetic pigments content declined steadily in the dose and age-dependent manner in plants subjected to NaCl only, compared to normal condition (0 mM). After 45 days of stress, compared to the control, the values of chlorophyll a (chl a) content were enhanced with the application of *P. chrysosporium* without salt stress at a rate of 44%. However, in wheat plants preinoculated with *P. chrysosporium* then exposed to NaCl chl an increased by 211, 295, and 320%, relative to those treated with 50, 100, and 150 mM NaCl alone after 45 days of treatment (Fig. [5a](#page-8-0)).

Chlorophyll b (chl b) contents were found to be displaying a similar trend for *P. chrysosporium* bioprimed plants, as the increase was 72% comparing to control group. Beyond that, EFB28 seed biopriming notably increased the chl b content of 50, 100, and 150 mM NaCl stressed plants (50 mM, 100, and 150 mM) in presence of *P. chrysosporium* in compared with 50, 100 and 150 mM NaCl treated the only group of plants by 279, 517, and 425% at the end of the experiment (Fig. [5b](#page-8-0)).

After 45 days of stress, 65% was recorded as the increment rate of the carotenoid (car) content in the *P. chrysosporium* primed plants as compared to the control (untreated) ones. *P. chrysosporium* seed biopriming increased carotenoid content in the seedlings treated with 50, 100, and 150 mM NaCl by 40, 51, and 35%, respectively, regarding the non-primed but saltstressed ones at the end of the experiment (Fig. [5c](#page-8-0)).

#### **Osmolytes contents**

Accumulation of osmotically active compounds gives rise to osmotic adjustment in plants subjected to salt stress. In view of this, ameliorating efects of biopriming under salinity were further confrmed by analysing total soluble sugars, proline, and protein.

When we analysed the total soluble sugars (TSS) data, the content of TSS at the end of the experiment decreased down to 44, and 54% after the 100 and 150 mM NaCl treatment alone, respectively, compared to the control, while no significant change was detected under lower dose (50 mM). TSS content increased by 7.5% when treated with *P. chrysosporium* alone, and increased by 66, and 88%, respectively, when treated with *P. chrysosporium* in combination with 100, and 150 mM of NaCl, compare to the NaCl-stressed plants, while no signifcant change was observed under 50 mM concentration (Fig. [6](#page-8-1)a).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>The results regarding the outcome of salt stress and *P. chrysosporium* priming on proline content that serves also as osmotic marker of salinity stress are demonstrated in (Fig. [6](#page-8-1)b). Proline content signifcantly increased in the seedlings exposed to the NaCl. However, it is obvious that the seed biopriming of *P. chrysosporium* under salt stress leads to a remarkable increase in proline content in comparison





<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Efect of seed biopriming using diferent endophytic fungal isolates on salt tolerance under 150 mM NaCl concentration on the growth of wheat seedlings. Photograph was taken at 15th day of salt treatment. Ctrl: control, F1: *Phanerochaete chrysosporium,* F2: *Fusarium* sp*.,* F3: *Penicillium* sp*.,* F4: *Pestalotia* sp*.,* F5: *Trichoderma.* sp

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Fig. 2** Efect of *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* biopriming under various concentrations of NaCl (0, 50, 100, 150) mM on root and shoot length of wheat plants. Photographs were taken at the end of salt treatment (45 days): **a** normal condition (left), fungal biopriming only (right), **b** 50 mM NaCl treatment (left), fungal biopriming+50 mM (right), **c** 100 mM NaCl (left), fungal biopriming+100 mM (right), and **d** 150 mM NaCl (left), fungal biopriming+150 mM (right)



to the salt stress alone. The increment of proline content in the seedlings obtained from *P. chrysosporium* bioprimed seeds and stressed with 50, 100, and 150 mM NaCl was 41, 31 and 26% with regard to NaCl stressed only plants after 45 days of treatment.

Concerning the content of protein, it significantly decreased after the treatment of NaCl alone. However, the application of *P. chrysosporium* increased the protein content in the wheat seedlings grown under salt stress or nonstress compared to the unbioprimed. After 45 days of salt processing, the content of protein was increased by 16.5% upon treatment with *P. chrysosporium* alone compared to the control and increased by 62, 117, and 168%, respectively, when treated with *P. chrysosporium* in combination <span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Efect of *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* biopriming on **a** root and **b** shoot length (cm/ plant) after 15, 30 and 45 days of salt treatment (DAT) in wheat plants. Values are shown in (mean  $\pm$  SE,  $n=6$ ). Different letters are signifcantly diferent from each other according to the Tukey test  $(P < 0.05)$ 



with 50 mM, 100 mM, and 150 mM of NaCl, over the NaCl-stressed plants, in the third harvest of plant material (Fig. [6c](#page-8-1)).

plants, respectively, in the third harvest at 45 days (Fig. [7](#page-8-2)).

## **Lipid peroxidation**

Malondialdehyde (MDA) is a product of membrane lipid peroxidation and a reliable biomarker of oxidative stress. On account of this, MDA content was analysed to prove the important role played by *P. chrysosporium* priming against damage caused by salt stress. A signifcant increase in MDA levels was detected in plants watered with saline solutions alone in parallel with external salinity and in a time-dependent manner. However, when *P. chrysosporium* seed biopriming exist in advance, the level of MDA production decreased down to 11.4% of the corresponding controls at 45 days of treatment. *P. chrysosporium* biopriming substantially modifed the lipid oxidation percentage by decreasing the production of MDA at a rate of 23, 28.6, and 31% with respect

## **Antioxidant enzyme activity**

**Salinity level (mM)**

Plants have evolved an efficient defense system by which the ROS is scavenged by antioxida nt enzymes. Thereby, correlations between salt tolerance via biopriming and activity of superoxide dismutase, calatase, and ascorbate peroxidase have been examined. There was a remarkable and gradual increase in the activities of all antioxidant enzymes measured in the leaves of the *P. chrysosporium* bioprimed plants under either dose of salinity stress compared to the control conditions. Maximum activity increments were commonly found in a higher dose of salt concentrations after 45 days, our last experimental period in this study.

to the 50 mM, 100 mM, and 150 mM NaCl only irrigated

SOD activity elevated in the dose and age-dependent manner in both NaCl-stressed only and *P. chrysosporium* treated plants. *P. chrysosporium* seed biopriming right before salt stress for each dose showed a rise at a rate of 4%

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Efect of *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* biopriming on **a** total plant fresh and **b** dry weight (g/p) after 15, 30 and 45 days of salt treatment in wheat plants. Values are shown in (mean  $\pm$  SE,  $n=6$ ). Different letters are signifcantly diferent from each other according to the Tukey test  $(P < 0.05)$ 



when compared to non-inoculated controls. Plants inoculated with *P. chrysosporium* under salt stress had higher efficiency in increasing the activity of SOD at rates of 12.6, 7, and 9% in comparison with 50, 100, and 150 mM NaClstressed plants, respectively (Fig. [8](#page-9-0)a).

In parallel, compared with the control plants, catalase (CAT) activity increased linearly with increasing levels of salt treatment alone and age of the plant. CAT activity was measured higher in the *P. chrysosporium* bioprimed plants with regard to the control group at a rate of 12.5% after 45 days of stress. Also, CAT activity rose 40, 28.5, and 62.5% in EFB28 bioprimed plus NaCl stressed plants as compared to the non-bioprimed but salt-stressed only ones (50, 100, 150 mM NaCl), consecutively, at 45 days after salt supplementation (Fig. [8](#page-9-0)b).

At last, we had the identical dose and age-dependent increment trend in the activity of ascorbate peroxidase (APOX) in the NaCl treated only and EFB28 bioprimed groups in comparison with the control group. EFB28 biopriming alone (without NaCl) resulted in an increase in APOX activity is compared with the control group at a rate of 35% after 45 days of stress. The increment in the leaves of the plants stressed with 50, 100, and 150 mM NaCl right after bioprimed with EFB28 was detected as 58, 62, and 74%, respectively, as compared to the non-bioprimed but salt-stressed only ones after 45 days of stress (Fig. [8c](#page-9-0)).

# **Discussion**

In the current investigation, it was intriguing to fnd that although the fact that the roots were directly exposed to the Na<sup>+</sup>-rich environments it appeared significant that shoot growth was infuenced more than the root growth. In agreement with our results, Sharma ([2015\)](#page-12-21) observed that the wheat shoot growth was frequently inhibited more than the root growth under saline stress. In parallel, (Agarwal et al. [2015\)](#page-11-23) found that soybean shoot growth was afected more adversely than root growth. Djanaguiraman et al. ([2006\)](#page-11-24) has also reported that rice shoots had higher sensitivity than the roots to a lethal dosage of salinity. This might be ascribed to the fact that root growth is commonly sensitive to a lesser extent to saline stress than shoot growth, an augmented root/shoot ratio is repeatedly witnessed when plants are confronted to saline conditions. The escalation in root/ shoot proportion lessens the need for element provision to



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Efect of *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* biopriming on levels of chlorophyll a (**a**), chlorophyll b (**b**) and total carotenoids (**c**) after 15, 30 and 45 days of salt treatment in wheat plants. Values are shown in (mean $\pm$ SE, *n*=3). Different letters are significantly different from each other according to the Tukey test  $(P < 0.05)$ 

the shoot and by this means has a capacity to gain the root aptitude to provide those elements and present an adaptive beneft. A possibly undesirable outcome of such an alteration is the reduced ability of the shoot to provide the root and the growing tissues with assimilates, that is conceivably under the long-term salinity to infuence plant development and subsistence (Bernstein et al. [2013\)](#page-11-25). Although the whole plant growth is withdrawn in many agriculturally key species throughout water shortage, but the root system is more resilient than shoots and grows continually under low water potentials which are entirely retardants for shoot growth (Ali et al. [2015](#page-11-26); Sánchez-Calderón et al. [2013](#page-12-22)). Maximum growth parameters of wheat plants were observed in plants issued from seeds bioprimed with EFB28. Faster growth of plants produced from bioprimed seeds may be analyzed by



<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Fig. 6** Efect of *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* biopriming on soluble sugars (**a**), proline (**b**) and soluble protein (**c**) after 15, 30 and 45 days of salt treatment in wheat plants. Values are shown in (mean $\pm$ SE,  $n=3$ ). Different letters are significantly different from each other according to the Tukey test  $(P < 0.05)$ 



<span id="page-8-2"></span>**Fig. 7** Efect of *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* biopriming on levels of malondialdehyde (MDA) after 15, 30 and 45 days of salt treatment in wheat plants. Values are shown in (mean $\pm$ SE, *n*=3). Different letters are signifcantly diferent from each other according to Tukey test  $(P < 0.05)$ 



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 8** Efect of *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* (EFB28) biopriming on antioxidant enzymes SOD (**a**), CAT (**b**), and APOX (**c**) after 15, 30 and 45 days of salt treatment in wheat plants. Values are shown in (mean $\pm$ SE,  $n=3$ ). Different letters are significantly different from each other according to the Tukey test  $(P < 0.05)$ 

an enhanced nutrient use efficiency and cell cycle regulation and cell elongation processes (Debbarma and Priyadarshinee Das [2017](#page-11-27)).

As anticipated, salt stress caused a decrease in chlorophyll content which agrees with research done on rice (Jamil et al. [2012](#page-12-23)), fenugreek (Kapoor and Pande [2015](#page-12-24)), and sorghum (Sayyad-Amin et al. [2016\)](#page-12-25). It seems to be a common impact of the suppression of chlorophyll biosynthesis enzymes like Rubisco and PEP carboxylase (Zorrig et al. [2013\)](#page-13-2), and the activation of chlorophyllase, an enzyme involved in chlorophyll degradation by cleaving the phytol tail of chlorophyll (Santos [2004](#page-12-26)). In the present work, EFB28 seed bioprimed plants were capable of ensuring the chlorophyll and carotenoids better. These results are in good agreement with a previous report showing that when *Trichoderma atroviride* seed biopriming was conducted before NaCl expose, the chlorophyll and carotenoid contents increased in maize seedlings (Durmus et al. [2017](#page-11-28)). Although *Trichoderma citrinoviride* biopriming resulted in a dose-dependent decline in both chlorophyll and carotenoids contents in salt-stressed maize plants but their concentrations were still much higher than in unbioprimed ones (Yesilyurt et al. [2018\)](#page-13-3).

Our results of an increase in carotenoids content with increasing salt concentration corroborated with the fndings of Khosravinejad et al. [\(2008\)](#page-12-27) who reported an augmentation in carotenoids content in barely. Similarly, Chaparzadeh and Hosseinzad-Behboud [\(2015](#page-11-29)) noticed also an increase in the content of carotenoids in *Raphanus sativus* under saline conditions. Findings of the current research indicate also that accumulation of carotenoids content in EFB28 primed plants was higher than unbioprimed plants, thereby they performed better than the latter. This is supported by the fact that carotenoids are nonenzymatic antioxidants play multifunctional roles in oxidative stress tolerance by protecting the photosynthetic machinery through reacting with lipid peroxidation products to end the chain reactions, scavenging  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  and generating heat as a byproducts, preventing the formation of singlet oxygen  $({}^{1}O_{2})$  by reacting with triplet chlorophyll  $({}^{3}Chl^*)$  and excited chlorophyll  $(Chl^*)$ , and dissipating the excess excitation energy, via the xanthophyll cycle (Gill and Tuteja [2010](#page-12-28); Sharma et al. [2012;](#page-12-6) Das and Roychoudhury [2014](#page-11-30)).

Strengthened plant growth under stress arises from released soluble sugars which lead to turgor maintenance, stabilizing proteins and cell structures, carbon storage, osmoprotection, osmotic homeostasis as well as scavenging of free radicals (Bartels and Sunkar [2005;](#page-11-31) Sami et al. [2016](#page-12-29); Thalmann and Santelia [2017](#page-13-4)). This trend is confrmed in our results which proved an increase in soluble sugars content in wheat seedlings from primed seeds under salt conditions. This same favourable efect was found on wheat (Zhang et al. [2016\)](#page-13-5), and pea (Ghezal et al. [2016](#page-12-30)), and due possibly to an enhancement of α-amylase and/or β-amylase activity in primed seeds which gives rise to boosted starch degradation and sugar accumulation, which in turn results in higher respiration rates, seed viability, germination rates, and seedling establishment than for non-primed seeds (Savvides et al. [2016](#page-12-31)).

Exposure of wheat plants to salinity stress resulted in a drastic decline in protein content. This result is supporting the fact that high  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  affects plants by disrupting protein synthesis (Batool et al. [2014](#page-11-32)). Build-up of osmolytes for instance proline, glycine betaine (GB), soluble proteins and soluble sugars, is another tactic to overcome osmotic stress induced by salinity (Rasool et al. [2013;](#page-12-5) Ahmad et al. [2016](#page-11-33)). In our case results showed that, EFB28 seed biopriming increased the contents of total soluble proteins that may play a major role in plant salt tolerance, where the proteins may function as a reservoir of energy or may be adjuster of osmotic potential in plants undergoing salinity (Torabi [2014\)](#page-13-6). Our results were supported by Zhang et al. [\(2016\)](#page-13-5) who reported that application of *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* increased the protein contents in the wheat seedlings grown under salt stress or non-saline stress, compared to the control.

Our results pointed out that proline accumulation in wheat seedlings obtained from EFB28 bioprimed seeds was comparatively higher as compared to the NaCl irrigated only plants as expected. In harmony with these fndings, earlier studies in maize (Yesilyurt et al. [2018](#page-13-3)), rice (Rawat et al. [2012](#page-12-32)), and pea (Ghezal et al. [2016\)](#page-12-30) reported that proline is augmented in bioprimed plants with *Trichoderma citrinoviride*, *Trichoderma harzianum,* and *Typha angustifolia* leaves aqueous extract, respectively, compared to salt-stressed only plants. Reportedly proline serves as an osmolyte for osmotic adjustment, contributes to stabilizing subcellular structures, scavenging free radicals and bufering cellular redox potential under stress conditions primarily drought and salinity stress (Chinnusamy et al. [2005;](#page-11-34) Carillo et al. [2011;](#page-11-35) Chakraborty et al. [2013](#page-11-36); Rasool et al. [2013](#page-12-5); Batool et al. [2014](#page-11-32); Gupta and Huang, [2014;](#page-12-33) Hasanuzzaman et al. [2017](#page-12-34)).

Stress-prone environments disturb the balance and enhance the production of ROS (Pang and Wang, [2008](#page-12-35)). Hence, it appears that a concerted action of ROS detoxifying enzymes including superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) is required for scavenging process (Abogadallah [2010](#page-11-37)). Earlier studies on oleander (Kumar et al. [2017](#page-12-36)), maize and rice (Yasmeen and Siddiqui [2017](#page-13-7)) have suggested that response of plants to salt stress is associated with the induction of antioxidant enzymes. Nevertheless, the use of EFB28 additionally increased the free radical scavenging enzymes SOD, APOX, and CAT activity in wheat seedlings as compared to that without EFB28 priming, which was in accordance with the fndings of Zhang et al. ([2016\)](#page-13-5) who demonstrated that the role of *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* in wheat was to mitigate NaCl stress by signifcant upregulation of the relative expression of SOD, POD, and CAT genes in these wheat seedlings. Baltruschat et al. [\(2008\)](#page-11-38) demonstrated that a highsaline environment is well tolerated by salt-sensitive barley when previously inoculated with the mutualistic basidiomycete *Piriformospora indica.*

One of the fnal products of peroxidation of unsaturated fatty acids in phospholipids is malondialdehyde (MDA) that is responsible for cell membrane damage (Sharma et al. [2012\)](#page-12-6). In this regard, salinity induced increase in lipid peroxidation as reported by Kumar et al. ([2017\)](#page-12-36) and Abdelgawad et al. ([2016](#page-11-39)). Priming used in this study reduced signifcantly this accumulation. Similar results were observed in wheat (Rawat et al. [2011;](#page-12-37) Zhang et al. [2016](#page-13-5)), soybean (Khomari and Davari [2017\)](#page-12-38) and pea plants (Ghezal et al. [2016\)](#page-12-30). Cconsequently, a decrease in MDA content in primed seedlings in normal or salt conditions, suggesting a membrane protection.

*Phanerochaete chrysosporium* belongs to wood-decay fungi which possess the efficacious capacity to break down lignin to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . In part, this ability is due to the fact that they secrete  $H_2O_2$  and a family of peroxidases which catalyse the free-radical dependent oxidation and depolymerization of lignin (Aust [1990\)](#page-11-40). No reports on the potential utility of *P. chrysosporium* in seed biopriming for reducing the effects of salt stress on plants have been found. Yet, a recent study Yan et al. ([2018\)](#page-13-8), reported that plants engineered to accumulate less lignin or xylan are more tolerant to drought and activate drought responses faster than control plants. This fnding is an important because it demonstrates that modifcation of secondary cell walls does not inescapably render the plants robustness less in the environment. Gallego‐Giraldo et al*.* ([2011\)](#page-12-39) also postulated that HCT (hydroxycinnamoyl transferase) downregulated alfalfa plants exhibit reduced lignin levels, massive upregulation of pathogenesis and abiotic stress-related genes, and enhanced tolerance to fungal infection and drought, might be explained by taking into account that as a result of impaired secondary cell wall integrity release of bioactive cell wall fragments and production of hydrogen peroxide take place.

Translating these results, one can conclude that the present research offers a new approach to alleviate salinity stress in wheat through seed biopriming with the salinity tolerant white-rot fungus *P. chrysosporium*.

## **Conclusion**

This is the frst report regarding the role of fungal biopriming by the salinity tolerant white-rot fungus *P. chrysosporium* (EFB28). Microbial seed priming helped in alleviating the inhibitory impact of salt stress on growth and physiological processes of the salt-sensitive wheat line Gemmeza 12. Bioprimed grains were better capable to develop into seedlings under salt stress by moderating photosynthetic pigments, osmolytes and antioxidant enzymes. Translating these fndings, one can conclude that the present research opens a new way to ameliorate abiotic stress in wheat through seed biopriming with *P. chrysosporium*. In perspective, it is essential to persist iiin the study by examining seed bio-priming with *P. chrysosporium* infuence on alteration of genes related to stress and seed yield.

#### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

### **References**

- <span id="page-11-39"></span>Abdelgawad H, Zinta G, Hegab MM, Pandey R, Asard H et al (2016) High salinity induces diferent oxidative stress and antioxidant responses in maize seedlings organs. Front Plant Sci 7:276
- <span id="page-11-37"></span>Abogadallah GM (2010) Antioxidative defense under salt stress. Plant Signal Behav 5:369–374
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>Aebi HE (1983) Catalase. In: Bergmeyer HU (ed) Methods of enzymatic analysis. Verlag Chemie, Weinhem, pp 273–286
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>Afzal I, Rauf S, Basra S, Murtaza G (2008) Halopriming improves vigor, metabolism of reserves and ionic contents in wheat seedlings under salt stress. Plant Soil Environ 54:382–388
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Afzal I, Rehman HU, Naveed M, Basra SMA (2016) Recent advances in seed enhancements. In: New challenges in seed biology-basic and translational research driving seed technology (InTech)
- <span id="page-11-23"></span>Agarwal N, Kumar A, Agarwal S, Singh A (2015) Evaluation of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) cultivars under salinity stress during early vegetative growth. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 4:123–134
- <span id="page-11-33"></span>Ahmad P, Abdel Latef AA, Hashem A, Abd Allah EF, Gucel S et al (2016) Nitric oxide mitigates salt stress by regulating levels of osmolytes and antioxidant enzymes in chickpea. Front Plant Sci 7:347
- <span id="page-11-26"></span>Ali GS, Norman D, El-Sayed ASA (2015) Soluble and volatile metabolites of plant growth-promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPRs): role and practical applications in inhibiting pathogens and activating Induced Systemic Resistance (ISR). Adv Bot Res 75:241–284
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Anjum NA, Sofo A, Scopa A, Roychoudhury A, Gill SS et al (2015) Lipids and proteins—major targets of oxidative modifcations in abiotic stressed plants. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22:4099–4121
- <span id="page-11-40"></span>Aust SD (1990) Degradation of environmental pollutants byPhanerochaete chrysosporium. Microb Ecol 20:197–209
- <span id="page-11-38"></span>Baltruschat H, Fodor J, Harrach BD, Niemczyk E, Barna B et al (2008) Salt tolerance of barley induced by the root endophyte Piriformospora indica is associated with a strong increase in antioxidants. New Phytol 180:501–510
- <span id="page-11-31"></span>Bartels D, Sunkar R (2005) Drought and salt tolerance in plants. Crit Rev Plant Sci 24:23–58
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>Basra SMA, Zia MN, Mehmood T, Afzal I, Khaliq A (2002) Comparison of diferent invigoration techniques in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) seeds. Pak J Arid Agric 5:11–16
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>Bates LS, Waldren RP, Teare I (1973) Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. Plant Soil 39:205–207
- <span id="page-11-32"></span>Batool N, Shahzad A, Ilyas N, Noor T (2014) Plants and salt stress. Int J Agric Crop Sci 7:582
- <span id="page-11-25"></span>Bernstein N (2013) Effects of salinity on root growth. In: Eshel A, Beeckman T (eds) Plant roots: the hidden half, 4th edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, p 848.<https://doi.org/10.1201/b14550-42>
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>Cardona R, Rodriguez H (2006) Efects of Trichoderma harzianum fungus on the incidence of the charcoal rot disease on sesame. Rev Fac Agron 23:44–50
- <span id="page-11-35"></span>Carillo P, Annunziata MG, Pontecorvo G, Fuggi A, Woodrow P (2011) Salinity stress and salt tolerance. In Abiotic stress in plants-mechanisms and adaptations (Intech)
- <span id="page-11-36"></span>Chakraborty K, Singh A, Bhaduri D, Sairam R (2013) Mechanism of salinity stress tolerance in crop plants and recent developments. Adv Plant Physiol 14:466–496
- <span id="page-11-29"></span>Chaparzadeh N, Hosseinzad-Behboud E (2015) Evidence for enhancement of salinity induced oxidative damages by salicylic acid in radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). J Plant Physiol Breed 5:23–33
- <span id="page-11-34"></span>Chinnusamy V, Jagendorf A, Zhu J-K (2005) Understanding and improving salt tolerance in plants. Crop Sci 45:437–448
- <span id="page-11-30"></span>Das K, Roychoudhury A (2014) Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and response of antioxidants as ROS-scavengers during environmental stress in plants. Front Environ Sci 2:53
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>De Zelicourt A, Al-Yousif M, Hirt H (2013) Rhizosphere microbes as essential partners for plant stress tolerance. Mol Plant 6:242–245
- <span id="page-11-27"></span>Debbarma M, Priyadarshinee Das S (2017) Priming of seed: enhancing growth and development. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 6:2390–2396
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>Dey P (1990) Oligosaccharides. Carbohydrates. Methods Plant Biochem 2:189–218
- <span id="page-11-24"></span>Djanaguiraman M, Sheeba JA, Shanker AK, Devi DD, Bangarusamy U (2006) Rice can acclimate to lethal level of salinity by pretreatment with sublethal level of salinity through osmotic adjustment. Plant Soil 284:363–373
- <span id="page-11-28"></span>Durmus N, Yesilyurt AM, Pehlivan N, Karaoglu SA (2017) Salt stress resilience potential of a fungal inoculant isolated from tea cultivation area in maize. Biologia 72:619–627
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>Edgar RC (2004) MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and space complexity. BMC Bioinf 5:113
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>Elavarthi S, Martin B (2010) Spectrophotometric assays for antioxidant enzymes. In: Plants in plant stress tolerance. Springer, Berlin, pp 273–280
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>El-Hendawy SE-S (2004) Salinity tolerance in Egyptian spring wheat genotypes. Technische Universität München, Universitätsbibliothek
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>El-Sayed ASA, Ali GS (2020) Aspergillus flavipes is a novel efficient biocontrol agent of Phytophthora parasiticus. Biol Control 140:104072
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>El-Sayed ASA, Shindia AA (2011) Characterization and immobilization of purifed *Aspergillus favipesl-methioninase*: continuous production of methanethiol. J Appl Microbiol 111:54–69
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>El-Sayed ASA, Hassan MN, Nada HMS (2015a) Purifcation, immobilization, and biochemical characterization of L-arginine deiminase from thermophilic *Aspergillus fumigatus* KJ434941: anticancer activity in vitro. Biotechnol Progress 31(2):396–405
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>El-Sayed ASA, Yassin MA, Ali GS (2015b) Transcriptional and proteomic profling of *Aspergillus favipes* in response to sulfur starvation. PLoS ONE 10:e0144304
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>El-Sayed ASA, Abdel-Ghany SE, Ali GS (2017a) Genome editing approaches: manipulating of lovastatin and taxol synthesis of flamentous fungi by CRISPR/Cas9 system. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 101:3953–3976
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>El-Sayed ASA, Ruf L, Ghany SA, Ali GS, Esener E (2017b) Molecular and spectroscopic characterization of *Aspergillus favipes* and *Pseudomonas putidaL*-Methionine *γ*-Lyase in vitro. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 181:1513–1532
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>El-Sayed ASA, Safan S, Mohamed NZ, Shaban L, Ali GS, Sitohy MZ (2018a) Induction of Taxol biosynthesis by *Aspergillus terreus*, endophyte of *Podocarpus gracilior* Pilger, upon intimate interaction with the plant endogenous microbes. Process Biochem 71:31–40
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>El-Sayed ASA, Akbar A, Iqrar I, Ali R, Norman D, Brennan M, Ali GS (2018b) A glucanolytic *Pseudomonas* sp. associated with Smilax bona-nox L. displays strong activity against *Phytophthora parasitica*. Microbiol Res 207:140–152
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>El-Sayed ASA, Ali DMI, Yassin MA, Zayed RA, Ali GS (2019a) Sterol inhibitor "Fluconazole" enhance the Taxol yield and molecular expression of its encoding genes cluster from *Aspergillus favipes*. Process Biochem 76:55–67
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>El-Sayed ASA, Mohamed NZ, Safan S, Yassin MA, Shaban L, Shindia AA et al (2019b) Restoring the Taxol biosynthetic machinery of *Aspergillus terreus* by *Podocarpus gracilior* Pilger microbiome, with retrieving the ribosome biogenesis proteins of WD40 superfamily. Sci Rep 9:11534
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>El-Sayed ASA, Shindia AA, Abou Zaid AA, Yassin AM, Shad Ali G, Sitohy MZ (2019c) Biochemical characterization of peptidylarginine deiminase like orthologs from thermotolerant *Emericella dentata* and *Aspergillus nidulans*. Enzyme Microb Technol. 124:41–53
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>Farooq M, Basra S, Afzal I, Khaliq A (2006) Optimization of hydropriming techniques for rice seed invigoration. Seed Sci Technol 34:507–512
- <span id="page-12-39"></span>Gallego-Giraldo L, Jikumaru Y, Kamiya Y, Tang Y, Dixon RA (2011) Selective lignin downregulation leads to constitutive defense response expression in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.). New Phytol 190:627–639
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Gheyi H, Dias NDS, Lacerda CD, Gomes Filho E (2016) Physiology and biochemistry of plants growing under salt stress. Manejo da salinidade na agricultura: Estudos básicos e aplicados ISBN 978-85-420-0948-4
- <span id="page-12-30"></span>Ghezal N, Rinez I, Sbai H, Saad I, Farooq M et al (2016) Improvement of Pisum sativum salt stress tolerance by bio-priming their seeds using Typha angustifolia leaves aqueous extract. South Afr J Botany 105:240–250
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>Giannopolitis CN, Ries SK (1977) Superoxide dismutases: I. Occurrence in higher plants. Plant Physiol 59:309–314
- <span id="page-12-28"></span>Gill SS, Tuteja N (2010) Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. Plant Physiol Biochem 48:909–930
- <span id="page-12-33"></span>Gupta B, Huang B (2014) Mechanism of salinity tolerance in plants: physiological, biochemical, and molecular characterization. Int J Genom 1:701596
- <span id="page-12-34"></span>Hasanuzzaman M, Nahar K, Rahman A, Anee TI, Alam MU, Bhuiyan TF, Oku H, Fujita M (2017) Approaches to enhance salt stress tolerance in wheat. In: Wanyera R, Owuoche J (eds) Wheat improvement, management and utilization. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/67247>.
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>Heath RL, Packer L (1968) Photoperoxidation in isolated chloroplasts: I. Kinetics and stoichiometry of fatty acid peroxidation. Arch Biochem Biophys 125:189–198
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>Heydecker W, Higgins J, Gulliver R (1973) Accelerated germination by osmotic seed treatment. Nature 246:42
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Iqbal N, Umar S, Khan NA, Iqbal M, Khan R (2014) A new perspective of phytohormones in salinity tolerance: regulation of proline metabolism. Environ Exp Bot 100:34–42
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>Jamil M, Bashir S, Anwar S, Bibi S, Bangash A et al (2012) Efect of salinity on physiological and biochemical characteristics of diferent varieties of rice. Pak J Bot 44:7–13
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>Kapoor N, Pande V (2015) Effect of salt stress on growth parameters, moisture content, relative water content and photosynthetic pigments of fenugreek variety RMt-1. J Plant Sci 10:210–221
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>Kebeish R, El-Sayed ASA, Fahmy H, Abdel-Ghany A (2016) Molecular cloning, biochemical characterization, and antitumor properties of a novel L-asparaginase from *Synechococcus elongatus* PCC6803. Biochemistry (Moscow) 81:1173–1181
- <span id="page-12-38"></span>Khomari S, Davari M (2017) Trichoderma-induced enhancement of soybean seedling performance in response to salt stress. J Plant Physiol Breed 7:27–39
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>Khosravinejad F, Heydari R, Farboodnia T (2008) Efects of salinity on photosynthetic pigments, respiration, and water content in two barley varieties. Pak J Biol Sci 11:2438–2442
- <span id="page-12-36"></span>Kumar D, Al Hassan M, Naranjo MA, Agrawal V, Boscaiu M et al (2017) Efects of salinity and drought on growth, ionic relations, compatible solutes and activation of antioxidant systems in oleander (*Nerium oleander* L.). PLoS ONE 12:e0185017
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>Lata R, Chowdhury S, Gond SK, White JF Jr (2018) Induction of abiotic stress tolerance in plants by endophytic microbes. Lett Appl Microbiol 66:268–276
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr AL, Randall RJ (1951) Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J Biol Chem 193:265–275
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>Lutts S, Benincasa P, Wojtyla L, Kubala S, Pace R, Lechowska K, Quinet M, Garnczarska M (2016) Seed priming: new comprehensive approaches for an old empirical technique. In: New challenges in seed biology-basic and translational research driving seed

technology, susana araujo and alma balestrazzi, IntechOpen. [https](https://doi.org/10.5772/64420) [://doi.org/10.5772/64420](https://doi.org/10.5772/64420)

- <span id="page-12-3"></span>Machado R, Serralheiro R (2017) Soil salinity: effect on vegetable crop growth. Management practices to prevent and mitigate soil salinization. Horticulturae 3:30
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Maha A, Sanaa I, Mabrook Y, Amira Y, Gouda M (2017) Evaluation of some egyptian bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cultivars under salinity stress. Alex Sci Exch J 38:260
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>Milošević NA, Marinković JB, Tintor BB (2012) Mitigating abiotic stress in crop plants by microorganisms. Zbornik Matice srpske za prirodne nauke 2012:17–26
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>Nakano Y, Asada K (1981) Hydrogen peroxide is scavenged by ascorbate-specifc peroxidase in spinach chloroplasts. Plant Cell Physiol 22:867–880
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Nawaz K, Hussain K, Majeed A, Khan F, Afghan S et al (2010) Fatality of salt stress to plants: Morphological, physiological and biochemical aspects. Afr J Biotechnol 9:5475–5480
- <span id="page-12-35"></span>Pang C-H, Wang B-S (2008) Oxidative stress and salt tolerance in plants. In: Lüttge U, Beyschlag W, Murata J (eds) Progress in botany. Springer, Heidelberg, pp 231–245
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>Prasad SR, Kamble UR, Sripathy K, Bhaskar KU, Singh D (2016) Seed bio-priming for biotic and abiotic stress management. In: Microbial inoculants in sustainable agricultural productivity. Springer, Berlin, pp 211–228
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>Rasool S, Hameed A, Azooz M, Siddiqi T, Ahmad P (2013) Salt stress: causes, types and responses of plants. In: Ecophysiology and responses of plants under salt stress. Springer, Berlin, pp 1–24
- <span id="page-12-37"></span>Rawat L, Singh Y, Shukla N, Kumar J (2011) Alleviation of the adverse efects of salinity stress in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) by seed biopriming with salinity tolerant isolates of Trichoderma harzianum. Plant Soil 347:387
- <span id="page-12-32"></span>Rawat L, Singh Y, Shukla N, Kumar J (2012) Seed biopriming with salinity tolerant isolates of Trichoderma harzianum alleviates salt stress in rice: growth, physiological and biochemical characteristics. J Plant Pathol 94:353–365
- <span id="page-12-29"></span>Sami F, Yusuf M, Faizan M, Faraz A, Hayat S (2016) Role of sugars under abiotic stress. Plant Physiol Biochem 109:54–61
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>Sánchez-Calderón L, Ibarra-Cortés M, Zepeda-Jazo I (2013) Root development and abiotic stress adaptation. In: Abiotic stress-plant responses and applications in agriculture. Kourosh Vahdati and Charles Leslie, IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/55043>
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>Santos CV (2004) Regulation of chlorophyll biosynthesis and degradation by salt stress in sunfower leaves. Sci Hortic 103:93–99
- <span id="page-12-31"></span>Savvides A, Ali S, Tester M, Fotopoulos V (2016) Chemical priming of plants against multiple abiotic stresses: mission possible? Trends Plant Sci 21:329–340
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>Sayyad-Amin P, Jahansooz M-R, Borzouei A, Ajili F (2016) Changes in photosynthetic pigments and chlorophyll-a fuorescence attributes of sweet-forage and grain sorghum cultivars under salt stress. J Biol Phys 42:601–620
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>Schoch CL, Seifert KA, Huhndorf S, Robert V, Spouge JL et al (2012) Nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region as a universal DNA barcode marker for Fungi. Proc Natl Acad Sci 109:6241–6246
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>Sharma R (2015) Genotypic response to salt stress: I-Relative tolerance of certain wheat cultivars to salinity. Adv Crop Sci Technol. 3:192.<https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-8863.1000192>
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>Sharma P, Jha AB, Dubey RS, Pessarakli M (2012) Reactive oxygen species, oxidative damage, and antioxidative defense mechanism in plants under stressful conditions. J Bot 2012:1–26
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>Singh LP, Gill SS, Tuteja N (2011) Unraveling the role of fungal symbionts in plant abiotic stress tolerance. Plant Signal Behav 6:175–191
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S (2007) MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol Biol Evol 24:1596–1599
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Thalmann M, Santelia D (2017) Starch as a determinant of plant ftness under abiotic stress. New Phytol 214:943–951
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Torabi M (2014) Physiological and biochemical responses of plants to salt stress. Paper presented at: The 1 st International Conference on New Ideas in Agriculture
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Vardharajula S, Skz A, Shiva KP, Vurukonda S, Shrivastava M (2017) Plant growth promoting endophytes and their interaction with plants to alleviate abiotic stress. Curr Biotechnol 6:252–263
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Vicas S, Laslo V, Pantea S, Bandici G (2010) Chlorophyll and carotenoids pigments from Mistletoe (*Viscum album*) leaves using diferent solvents. Analele Universitatii din Oradea, Fascicula Biologie 17:213–218
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Yan J, Aznar A, Chalvin C, Birdseye DS, Baidoo EE et al (2018) Increased drought tolerance in plants engineered for low lignin and low xylan content. Biotechnol Biofuels 11:195
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Yasmeen R, Siddiqui ZS (2017) Physiological responses of crop plants against Trichoderma harzianum in saline environment. Acta Botanica Croatica 76:154–162
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Yesilyurt AM, Pehlivan N, Durmus N, Karaoglu SA (2018) Trichoderma citrinoviride: a potent biopriming agent for the alleviation of salt stress in maize. Hacettepe J Biol Chem 46:101–111
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Zhang S, Gan Y, Xu B (2016) Application of plant-growth-promoting fungi Trichoderma longibrachiatum T6 enhances tolerance of wheat to salt stress through improvement of antioxidative defense system and gene expression. Front Plant Sci. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01405) [org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01405](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01405)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>Zorrig W, Attia H, Msilini N, Ouhibi C, Lachaâl M et al (2013) Photosynthetic behaviour of Arabidopsis thaliana (Pa-1 accession) under salt stress. Afr J Biotechnol 12:4594–4602