#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **Spatial distribution of heavy metals and sources of soil contamination in southern Konya (Turkey): Insights from geochemistry, Pb and Sr–Nd isotope systematics**

**Yeşim Özen[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2302-1958)**

Received: 28 October 2021 / Accepted: 18 March 2022 / Published online: 7 May 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

#### **Abstract**

This geochemical study reports the concentrations, spatial distributions, and sources of heavy metals in soil samples from southern Konya. The investigation focused on topsoil  $(0-20 \text{ cm}; n=65)$ , two soil profile  $(20-100 \text{ cm}; n=5)$ , bedrock  $(n=12)$  and stream sediment  $(n=4)$  samples. A total of 70 soil samples were collected and in some samples determined to be enriched in Cd (5.38 ppm), Co (86.4 ppm), Cr (342 ppm), Cu (647 ppm), Fe (6.82%), Ni (755 ppm), Mn (2850 ppm), Zn (529 ppm) and As (89.8 ppm) relative to maximum allowable heavy metal concentrations in Turkey's soil. The heavy metals accumulated and enriched in stream sediments are mainly Cr, Ni, Co. The samples of soil profles collected from diferent depths show commonly geogenic and partially anthropogenic efects. According to calculated geoaccumulation index (*I*geo)*,* enrichment factor (EF), and contamination factor  $(C_f)$  to evaluate possible metal enrichment in soil, the enrichment of heavy metals in the study area shows in the decreasing order of  $As > Ni > Cd > Co > Cr > Cu > Mn$ . The topsoil samples have CIA (Chemical Index of Alteration; 4.34–68.27) and CIW (Chemical Index of Weathering; 4.36–74.37) values ranged indicative of low–medium weathering. The <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr and εNd values ranged from 0.705341 to 0.707491 and −0.62 to −4.95 in topsoil samples suggesting their bedrock sources. Lead isotopic data  $(^{207}Pb/^{206}Pb=0.816-0.852$ ;  $^{208}Pb/^{206}Pb=2.000-2.242$ ) of the topsoil and profle soil samples show mostly geogenic (natural), partially anthropogenic sources. Ni, Cr, Co, and Cu enrichments in soils and stream sediments are related extremely with weathering of ophiolitic rocks and partially with traffic and agricultural activities (fertilization, irrigation, etc.), while As and Cd enrichments in soils are related commonly with weathering of volcanic rocks and partially with similar human activities. The geochemical results of heavy metals, Pb and Sr–Nd isotope analyses indicate that the most important source of high amounts of As, Cd, Co, Cr, Ni, Cu, Mn in some of soils in southern Konya is dominantly geological materials (natural resources) and rarely human activities (anthropogenic).

**Keywords** Geochemistry · Heavy metal · Soil · Sr–Nd isotope · Pb isotope · Southern Konya (Turkey)

# **Introduction**

Many scientists focused on heavy metals of the soils and stream sediments as they are very useful tracers of environmental pollution (e.g. Walraven et al. [1997](#page-23-0); Manta et al. [2002;](#page-21-0) Yalçın et al. [2007;](#page-23-1) Guo et al. [2012](#page-21-1); Cicchella et al. [2014,](#page-21-2) [2015;](#page-21-3) Tóth et al. [2016](#page-22-0); Cuvier et al. [2016,](#page-21-4) Ungureanu et al. [2017](#page-22-1); Rezza et al. [2018\)](#page-22-2). Heavy metal enrichment in soils and stream sediments is related to physical

 $\boxtimes$  Yeşim Özen yozen@ktun.edu.tr and chemical conditions such as natural weathering, pH, organic matter, etc. (e.g. McLean and Bledsoe [1992;](#page-21-5) Dube et al. [2001\)](#page-21-6). Some potentially toxic metals (e.g. chromium, nickel, copper, molybdenum, selenium and zinc) at low concentrations are essential to the healthy functioning and reproduction of microorganisms for humans, animals and plants (Alloway [1995](#page-20-0)). However, these same essential elements at high concentrations may cause direct toxicity efects to biota and human health (Förstner [1995\)](#page-21-7). Some elements (e.g. arsenic, lead and mercury) are also non-essential and even low concentrations of these elements in the environment can cause toxicity to humans, animals, and plants (Alloway [1995](#page-20-0)). Soils with naturally high concentrations of some elements (e.g. serpentine soils) also cause toxicity (Adamo and Zampella [2008](#page-20-1)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Geological Engineering Department, Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey

The geochemistry and heavy metal accumulation of stream sediments, which are controlled by various factors such as lithological, geomorphological, hydrological, and climatic (Salomons and Förstner [1984\)](#page-22-3), occur depending on the alteration in the rocks (Patyk-Kara et al. [2001](#page-22-4)). Stream sediment geochemistry is also used to explore bedrock geochemistry (Van der Oever [2000](#page-22-5)).

Geoaccumulation index  $(I_{\text{geo}})$ , Enrichment factor (EF) and Contamination factor  $(C_f)$  were used to evaluate the accumulation of heavy metals and to determine anthropogenic sourced accumulations (e.g. Feng et al. [2004](#page-21-8); Rajmohan et al. [2014](#page-22-6); Vural [2014;](#page-23-2) Okay et al. [2016;](#page-22-7) Zhu et al. [2018](#page-23-3); Lermi and Sunkari [2020](#page-21-9); Solgun et al. [2021;](#page-22-8) Öztürk and Arıcı [2021](#page-22-9)).

In addition to the investigation of heavy metals in the soil, the source of these heavy metals and/or soils has been studied by many researchers (e.g. Hansmann and Köppel [2000;](#page-21-10) Reimann et al. [2012](#page-22-10); Sun et al. [2018;](#page-22-11) Kong et al. [2018;](#page-21-11) Lermi and Sunkari [2020\)](#page-21-9). Lead (Pb) isotope ratios only vary according to their geological/natural sources, and the isotopic compositions of the samples refect those of the Pb sources or results of mixing if multiple Pb sources exist (Cheng and Hu [2010](#page-21-12)). The lead isotope ratios are useful tools to identify the various contributions from natural and anthropogenic sources (e.g. Veysseyre et al. [2001](#page-22-12); Ayuso et al. [2008](#page-20-2); Shetaya et al. [2019](#page-22-13)). Pb-isotopic compositions are not afected by industrial and environmental processes such as biological, physical, and chemical in the near-surface environment, and are useful tools to investigate the sources and mobility of lead, and other geochemically similar metals, and always refects the source of origin (e.g. Ayuso et al. [2008](#page-20-2); Cicchella et al. [2014](#page-21-2)).

The minerals and rocks have distinct <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr and <sup>143</sup>Nd/<sup>144</sup>Nd ratios depending on their geological derivation. So, the Sr and Nd isotopes are important as source area fngerprints. The Sr–Nd isotope ratios are less altered than elemental composition during transport in the atmosphere or after deposition. So, these elements can be used as traceability indexes to determine their origins (e.g. Asahara [1999](#page-20-3); Nakano et al. [2004](#page-22-14); Nakano [2016](#page-22-15)).

Many studies have been carried out on assessment of soil pollution in eastern and northern Konya (Önder et al. [2007](#page-22-16); Dursun and Önder [2008](#page-21-13); Horasan and Arık [2019;](#page-21-14) Öztürk and Arıcı [2021\)](#page-22-9). The comprehensive geochemical investigations of the soils in southern Konya were studied for the frst time. This study aims to describe the spatial distribution of heavy metals in soils in southern Konya, to determine whether there is the contamination of soils and the degree of contamination, to fnd out possible sources of soil and pollution, and to evaluate their impact on soil geochemistry and rock-soil relationship. This paper presents the results of comprehensive heavy metal geochemistry, Pb and Sr–Nd isotopes in mainly topsoil, profle soil, stream sediment, and bedrock samples to determine the pedogenesis, geogenic and/or anthropogenic efect of soils in southern Konya.

# **Description of the study area**

#### **Location**

The investigation area located in southern part of Konya (Turkey) city covers a surface of  $459 \text{ km}^2$  which contains situated between Hatıp-Çayırbağı and Çatören (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). The study area is characterized by a semi-arid (steppe) climate with an annual average temperature of 11.6 °C and an average rainfall amount of 323.3 mm [\(http://www.mgm.gov.tr](http://www.mgm.gov.tr)).

#### **Geological settings**

The geology of the investigation area is dominated by sedimentary rocks (carbonated) in the center of the study area, ophiolitic rocks in the northwest of the study area and volcanite in the southwest of the study area (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). The morphology of the investigation area can be subdivided into several areas, consisting of volcanic terrains, and hills formed by the sedimentary and ophiolitic rocks.

The Upper Triassic–Lower Cretaceous limestone, dolomitic limestone and dolomite, and the Upper Cretaceous aged clayey limestone, radiolarite, shale and marl are the basements of the study area. These units contain tectonic contact with the Upper Cretaceous ophiolitic melange and ophiolite. The ophiolitic rocks are tectonically overthrusted on Jura–Triassic carbonate, also intercalated by ophiolites. The rocks from the ophiolitic sequence in the area also outcrop northwest of the area, near the village of Hatıp, Çayırbağı and Karadiğin. They comprise peridotite, dunite, gabbro, serpentinite, magnesite, diabase, mudstone, radiolarite and chert. Upper Miocene–lower Pliocene volcanite consisting of andesite, dacite, tuff and tuffite, occur in the southwest part of the area and near Hatunsaray and Çatören towns. The upper Miocene–lower Pliocene marl, claystone, sandstone, conglomerate, and limestone outcrop in the center of the study area. The Quaternary alluvial sediments which are the youngest unit in the region and consist of conglomerate, sandstone, alluvial fans and terrestrial clastics, cover all old deposits with angular unconformity (Hakyemez [1992](#page-21-15); Aksoy and Eren [2004;](#page-20-4) Turan [2010\)](#page-22-17).

# **Materials and methods**

#### **Sampling methodology**

For the geochemical data, 65 topsoil (0–20 cm), 5 profle soil in two soil profiles  $(20-150 \text{ cm and } 20-130 \text{ cm})$ , 12



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Geological map of the investigation area (modifed after Hakyemez, [1992](#page-21-15) and Özen, [2021](#page-22-18)).

whole-rock, and 4 stream sediment samples were collected in the study area (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). About 2–3 kg of soil sample was taken at depths of 0–20 cm for topsoil and of 0–150 cm for profle samples in each sampling location. The 8 soils developed on volcanite, 22 soils developed on ophiolitic rocks, 35 soils occurred on the sedimentary rocks and 5 profle soils from a total of 70 selected soil samples were examined.

The soil sampling from topsoil was performed with stainless-steel materials to avoid contamination of the samples. A total of 70 soil samples were carefully stored in a thick, clean plastic bag and were labeled with the sampling number and location in the feld. The information that can help further interpretations of the fnal results was noted. Sample locations were identifed using a Global Positioning System (GPS).

The soil samples were air-dried in a clean laboratory at room temperature, and roots and animal residues were removed from soil samples. The soil samples were pulverized with an agate pestle and then sieved to 75–125 µm particle size. The sieved soil samples were stored in locked clean small plastic bags and vessels for chemical (trace element), Pb, Sr–Nd isotopes, and X-ray difractometer (XRD) analyses.

#### **Determination of the pH value**

The pH measurements were conducted with soil and pure water, stirring for 1 min, and settling for 30 min. For each sample, the pH of the soil samples was measured using a standard pH meter (SX-620 pH meter) in an aqueous solution using a soil:water ratio of 1:2. Calibration of the pH meter was carried out with buffer solutions of pH 4, 7, and 10 at the beginning of each set of analyses.

# **X‑ray difraction (XRD)**

The mineralogical analyses of the soil samples were performed using XRD analysis for topsoil (*n*=35) and rock  $(n=10)$  samples in the XRD Laboratory of the General Directorate of Mineral Research and Exploration (MTA, Ankara). The XRD analyses were carried out using the PANalytical X'Pert Powder difractometer equipped with a Ni-fltered Cu X-ray tube with a wavelength of 1.544 Å between 4 and 70° and max. 60 kV and 50 mA, a real-time multiple strip PIXcel3D detector and at 2θ, 0.039 step interval and 0.25 s count analytical conditions. X-ray patterns were evaluated using Panalytical High Score Plus software and ICSD Database.

# **The chemical index of alteration (CIA) and chemical index of weathering (CIW)**

CIA values calculated the intensity of chemical weathering in soil by comparing the changes in major and trace element concentrations as ratios of mobile and immobile elements in the soil, rock or parent material (Düzgören-Aydın et al. [2002](#page-21-16)) are controlled by the mineralogy of the source rocks. CIA values were classifed as very slightly weathered (50–60), slightly weathered (60–70), moderately weathered (70–80), highly weathered (80–90), and extremely weathered (90–100) by Nesbitt and Young [\(1982\)](#page-22-19). Worldwide average shale CIA values range between about 70 and 75 (Visser and Young [1990](#page-22-20)); fresh and unweathered basalt has a CIA of ∼30 to 40 (Ryan [2020](#page-22-21)), whereas granite has CIA values of ∼45–50 (Ryan [2020](#page-22-21); Visser and Young [1990](#page-22-20)). Stream sediments have a CIA range of 60–70 because they have experienced some chemical alteration since being eroded from parent rock (Ryan [2020](#page-22-21)). The diference between CIW index values for source rock and soil refects the amount of chemical weathering experienced by the weathered material (Harnois [1988\)](#page-21-17). Major oxide values were converted to molecular ratios in the CIA and CIW formulas.

$$
CIA = 100x \left( \frac{Al_2O_3}{Al_2O_3 + CaO^* + Na_2O + K_2O} \right),
$$
  
\n
$$
CIW = 100x \left( \frac{Al_2O_3}{Al_2O_3 + CaO^* + Na_2O} \right).
$$

## **Geoaccumulation index (***I***geo)**

The geoaccumulation index calculated using the equation proposed by Müller ([1969](#page-22-22), [1986\)](#page-22-23) was used to quantify the degree of anthropogenic contamination and the metal pollution in the soil. The geoaccumulation index was calculated using the following equation:

$$
I_{\rm geo} = \log_2\bigg(\frac{C_n}{1.5xB_n}\bigg),\,
$$

 $C_n$  is the concentration of the element  $(n)$  in the analyzed soil.  $B<sub>n</sub>$  is the geochemical background value of the element  $(n)$ . The constant 1.5 is an empirical coefficient suggested to consider the possible natural fuctuations caused by the efects of the soil. Background values can be estimated from in-situ soil or published data on the upper continental crust or the average element contents of the soil. Average upper continental crustal values (Taylor and McLennan, [1995\)](#page-22-24) were used as background values for all calculations in this study. Müller ([1986](#page-22-23)) was defined seven classes for the  $I_{\text{geo}}$ values: Class  $0$  ( $I_{geo} \le 0$ ) is practically unpolluted; class 1  $(0 < I<sub>geo</sub> < 1)$  is unpolluted to moderately polluted; class 2  $(1 < I<sub>geo</sub> < 2)$  is moderately polluted; class 3 ( $2 < I<sub>geo</sub> < 3$ ) is moderately to heavily polluted; class  $4 (3 < I_{\text{geo}} < 4)$  is heavily polluted; class 5 ( $4 < I_{\text{geo}} < 5$ ) is heavily to extremely polluted; class 6 ( $I_{\text{geo}}$ >5) is extremely polluted.

#### **Enrichment factor (EF)**

Enrichment factor (EF) is one of the valuable indices used in understanding the contribution of metals other than lithogenic origin and estimating the level of anthropogenic input for metal pollution in soils. Several authors used iron to normalize the heavy metals (e.g. Sinex and Helz [1981;](#page-22-25) Feng et al. [2011](#page-21-18); Rajmohan et al. [2014](#page-22-6); Okay et al. [2016;](#page-22-7) Zhu et al. [2018;](#page-23-3) Öztürk and Arıcı [2021;](#page-22-9) Coşkun et al. [2021\)](#page-21-19). Fe is used as the element for normalization and EF calculation in this current study because anthropogenic sources are small compared to natural sources (Helz [1976\)](#page-21-20). It was calculated using the equation (Zoller et al. [1974](#page-23-4)) below:

# $EF = (Meta/Fe)_{soil} / (Meta/Fe)_{background}$

The background values were obtained from Taylor and McLennan [\(1995](#page-22-24)). If an EF value is between 0.5 and 1.5  $(i.e., 0.5 < EF < 1.5)$ , it suggests that the trace metals may be entirely from crustal material contribution or natural weathering processes (Zhang and Liu, [2002](#page-23-5)). However, if a value of EF is greater than 1.5, it suggests that a signifcant portion of trace metal is delivered from non-crustal materials or non-natural weathering processes. Likewise, Andrews and Sutherland ([2004\)](#page-20-5) classifed EF values as metal pollution evaluation, where  $EF < 2$  indicates minimal pollution; EF 2–5 indicates moderate pollution; EF 5–20 indicates signifcant pollution; EF 20–40 indicates high pollution; EF > 40 indicates extreme pollution.

# Contamination factor (*C<sub>f</sub>*)

Contamination factor  $(C_f)$  suggested by Hakanson ([1980\)](#page-21-21) is employed in several studies to determine the level of contamination of heavy metals in soils.  $C_f$  is a ratio of the metal contents in the soil samples and the background value of the corresponding metal.  $C_{\text{metal of sample}}$  is the concentration of a metal in analyzed soil, and C<sub>metal of backgraound</sub> is geochemical background value of the metal. Upper continental crust (UCC) values are used for this calculation (Taylor and McLennan [1995\)](#page-22-24) as background values.

$$
C_f = C_{\text{metal of sample}} / C_{\text{metal of background}}
$$

The  $C_f$  was classified into four groups by (Hakanson [1980\)](#page-21-21): low contamination  $(C_f < 1)$ , moderate contamination (1 ≤  $C_f$ <3), considerable contamination (3 ≤  $C_f$ <6), and very high contamination  $(C_f \ge 6)$ .

# **Geochemistry**

70 soil samples (topsoil and profle soil), 12 whole-rock and 4 stream sediments selected from the study area were analyzed for super-trace element analysis in ALS Global Laboratories (Vancouver, Canada) with Inductively Coupled Plasma—Mass Spectroscopy (ICP-MS). Samples were leached in 75% aqua regia digestion (3:1 ratio of  $HCl: HNO<sub>3</sub>$ ). The samples were analyzed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS) after digestion. The analyses included the following 53 elements: Au, Ag, Al, As, B, Ba, Be, Bi, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, Fe, Ga, Ge, Hf, Hg, In, K, Li, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Nb, Ni, P, Pb, Pd, Pt, Rb, Re, S, Sb, Sc, Se, Sn, Sr, Ta, Te, Th, Ti, Tl, U, V, W, Zn, Zr, and Pb isotopes  $(^{204}Pb, ^{206}Pb, ^{207}Pb, ^{208}Pb)$  and Si were analyzed by Sodium Peroxide Fusion & ICP-AES.

#### **Sr–Nd isotopes**

Strontium and Neodymium isotope analyses for the 5 topsoil (0–20 cm), 5 profile soil (20–100 cm) and 4 wholerock samples were performed at the Radiogenic Isotope Laboratory of Central Laboratory, Middle East Technical University (METU), Ankara, Turkey. Chemical treatment and column chemistry were performed in 100-class clean laboratory with ultrapure chemical agents. Powdered rock samples (approximately 120 mg) were leached with 4 ml of 52% HF for 4 days on the hot plate ( $> 100$  °C). These samples were dried and dissolved overnight in 4 ml 6 N HCl on the hot plate. Afterward, samples were dried, and one-third of the samples were separated, and the remaining parts were dissolved in 2.5 N HCl for Sr and Nd chromatography. Strontium was separated from other elements in 2 ml volume BioRad AG50 W-X8 (100–200 mesh) resin in tefon columns in a 2.5 N HCl medium. After separation of Sr, excessive Ba was removed by using 2.5 N  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ . Subsequently, REE fraction was enriched with 6 N HCl in these columns. Neodymium was separated from REE fraction in 2 ml HDEHP (bis-ethyexyl phosphate) coated biobeads (BioRad) resin by using 0.22 N HCl in teflon columns. Strontium was loaded on single Re flaments with 0.005 N  $H_3PO_4$  and Ta activator to improve efficiency. Neodymium, on the other hand, was loaded on double flaments with 0.005 N H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios are normalized with  ${}^{86}Sr/{}^{88}Sr = 0.1194$ , and  ${}^{143}Nd/{}^{144}Nd$ ratios were normalized with  $146Nd^{144}Nd = 0.7219$ . During the analyses, Sr NBS 987 standart and Nd La Jolla standards were measured as  ${}^{87}Sr/{}^{86}Sr = 0.710252 \pm 12 (n = 2)$ and  $^{143}$ Nd/<sup>144</sup>Nd = 0.511849  $\pm$  5 (*n* = 3), respectively, and no bias correction was applied on the measured Sr and Nd isotope data. Quality control of the Sr and Nd isotope analyses was checked by applying the same procedures to the USGS rock standards. All isotopic ratios were measured by using a Thermo-Fisher Triton thermal ionization mass spectrometer, and standard errors were presented at a 2-sigma level.

# **Results and discussion**

# **Soil pH**

The soil pH in the study area ranges from 7.45 to 8.45, which indicated slightly-moderately alkaline soils except for one sample ( $pH = 8.83$ ; strongly alkaline soil).

#### **Soil and bedrock mineralogy (X‑ray difraction)**

The X-ray difractometry analysis was used to identify minerals in the soil samples and support the chemical and isotopic interpretations (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). The volcanic, ophiolitic and sedimentary rocks, which are the bedrock of soils, were also analyzed for mineralogical compositions and are shown in Table [1](#page-6-0). The XRD analyses of the soil samples in southern Konya show that soils are dominated by calcite, quartz, dolomite, plagioclase and kaolinite (Table [1](#page-6-0)). The soils located on ophiolitic units mainly comprise serpentinite (antigorite), magnetite, titanomagnetite, amphibole, chlorite, chloritoid, hematite, goethite, talc, smectite (vermiculite), prehnite, pumpellyite, diopside, quartz, calcite, dolomite, and Cr-rich minerals, indicating ophiolitic rocks (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). The soils located on volcanic rocks mainly comprise quartz, plagioclase, alkali feldspar, amphibole, calcite, jarosite, magnetite, mica/illite, chlorite and kaolinite (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). The XRD spectrum of the soils located on carbonate rocks which consist mostly of limestone was mostly showed the presence of calcite, dolomite and quartz (Table [1\)](#page-6-0).

# **Geochemistry and spatial distributions of heavy metals**

The levels and distributions of heavy metals in the soils, rocks and stream sediments in the investigated area were identifed, compare the results with the maximum limits in Turkey legislation (MoEF [2005](#page-22-26)) were examined, and potential sources (geogenic or anthropogenic) of the pollution.

#### **Distribution of heavy metals in topsoil, bedrock and stream sediment**

The statistical summary of the analytical results of the bedrock (volcanic ophiolitic and sedimentary), stream sediment and the topsoil samples are presented in Tables [2](#page-8-0) and [3,](#page-9-0) respectively. The chemical concentrations of the analyzed topsoils were compared with the Regulation on the Control of Soil Pollution of Turkey (MoEF [2005\)](#page-22-26), Earth's crust, the target value of European soil, and the

natural concentration of soil (Table [4](#page-10-0)). The spatial distribution maps of As, Cu, Pb, Zn, Cd, Co, Ni, Cr, Fe, Ca, Al, and Mg are presented in Fig. [2](#page-11-0).

The ophiolitic rocks, outcropping in the commonly northwest part of the study area (Hatıp, Çayırbağı, Karadiğin), are associated with soils controlled the spatial distribution of Co, Cr, Fe, Cu, Mn and Ni (Fig. [2\)](#page-11-0). The highest concentrations of Co (86.4 ppm), Cr (342 ppm), Fe (6.82%), Mn (2050 ppm) and Ni (755 ppm) appear in topsoil samples near the occurrences of ophiolitic rocks (Fig. [2](#page-11-0)).

The volcanite, outcropping near the town of Hatunsaray area in the southwest of the study area is one of the main sources of Fe, Cu, Zn, Ba, Sr, Mn and V and control the spatial distribution of these elements (Table [2](#page-8-0)). The topsoil samples collected from volcanic region have maximum concentrations of Zn (529 ppm), Ba (522 ppm), Sr (330 ppm), Mn (2850 ppm), V (111 ppm) (Table [3\)](#page-9-0).

The Sr and Ca are characterized by maximum concentrations (Sr = 707 ppm, Ca =  $>$  25%) in sedimentary rocks of the study area (Table [2](#page-8-0)). The highest values of Ca  $(>25\%)$ , Ba (1225 ppm), Sr (2670 ppm) and Mn (981 ppm) are found in the sedimentary soil collected from near the limestones (Table [3\)](#page-9-0).

In stream sediment samples collected from the studied region, the average concentrations of Cr (141.5 ppm), Ni (355.15 ppm) and Co (30.1 ppm) are relatively enriched (Table [2\)](#page-8-0). Ni, Cr and Co are higher in stream sediments in ophiolitic region of the study area, while Ba and Mn are higher in stream sediments in volcanic region of the study area (Table [2\)](#page-8-0).

Some topsoil samples from the present study contain maximum concentrations of Fe (6.82%), As (89.8 ppm), Co (86.4 ppm), Cr (342 ppm), Cu (647 ppm), Mn (2850 ppm), Ni (755 ppm), Cd (5.38 ppm), Zn (529 ppm), and Cu (647 ppm) exceeding the maximum limit values of both Turkey and European soils (Tables [3,](#page-9-0) [4\)](#page-10-0).

Arsenic (As) concentration in topsoil ranges from 0.87 to 89.8 ppm, with a mean of 10.13 ppm, a median of 7.14 ppm (Tables [3,](#page-9-0) [4](#page-10-0)). The highest As concentrations in topsoils have been determined in associated with volcanic rocks (89.8 ppm) (Tables [3](#page-9-0), [4,](#page-10-0) Fig. [2\)](#page-11-0). Approximately 7.7% of the topsoil samples have As values higher than 20 ppm determined by Regulation on Control of Soil Pollution of Turkey (MoEF, [2005](#page-22-26)).

In topsoil samples, Cd ranges from 0.05 to 5.38 ppm with a median of 0.20 ppm (Tables [3,](#page-9-0) [4](#page-10-0)). Only one soil sample (5.38 ppm) associated with volcanic rocks is higher than MoEF ([2005\)](#page-22-26) for Cd.

Cobalt content in the topsoil ranges from 6.08 to 86.4 ppm with a median of 16.[4](#page-10-0)5 ppm (Tables  $3, 4$  $3, 4$ ). About 26.2% of samples exceed the maximum limit value of the MoEF ([2005\)](#page-22-26) (20 ppm) for Co. The higher Co values are observed in soils associated with the ophiolitic rocks (Fig. [2](#page-11-0)).

<span id="page-6-0"></span>



Cr concentrations in topsoils range from 11.95 to 342 ppm with a median of 46.4 ppm and a mean of 66.23 ppm (Tables [3,](#page-9-0) [4\)](#page-10-0). About 16.9% of samples exceed the maximum limit value of the MoEF ([2005](#page-22-26)) (100 ppm). The higher Cr concentrations in topsoils were determined in soils associated with ophiolitic rocks (Fig. [2\)](#page-11-0). Copper (Cu) concentrations range from 6.72 to 647 ppm with median of 24.0 ppm (Tables [3,](#page-9-0) [4\)](#page-10-0). Only one soil sample (647 ppm) associated with the ophiolitic rocks is higher than the upper limit of MoEF [\(2005](#page-22-26)) (140 ppm) for Cu (Fig. [2\)](#page-11-0).

Mn concentrations in topsoils range from 191 to 2850 ppm with a median of 640 ppm (Tables [3,](#page-9-0) [4](#page-10-0)). About 14% of samples exceed the average Earth's crust value (950 ppm) and 83% of samples exceed the average natural concentration of soil (500 ppm). The highest Mn concentra tions occur in soils associated with ophiolitic and volcanic rocks (Table [3,](#page-9-0) Fig. [2](#page-11-0)).

Lead, which is naturally present in rocks and soils, in top soil samples of the study area varies from 1.18 to 32.3 ppm with a mean of 11.22 and median of 10.65 ppm (Tables [3,](#page-9-0) [4](#page-10-0)). The lead values in the topsoil are not exceeded the upper limit determined by MoEF ([2005\)](#page-22-26) (Table [4](#page-10-0), Fig. [2\)](#page-11-0).

Mercury (Hg) concentrations in topsoils range from 0.004 to  $0.93$  ppm (Tables  $3, 4$  $3, 4$ ) with a median value of  $0.02$  ppm. [All of](#page-22-26) the sam[ple](#page-10-0)s have lower Hg concentrations than MoEF ([2005](#page-22-26)) (Table [4](#page-10-0)). Selenium (Se) in soils ranges from val[u](#page-10-0)es  $< 0.1$  up to 1.4 ppm with median of 0.5 ppm (Tables [3,](#page-9-0) [4](#page-10-0)). Se values in the topsoil are not also exceeded the upper limit of the determined by MoEF [\(2005\)](#page-22-26).

Nickel in topsoils ranges from 7.01 to 755 ppm with a mean of 125.69 ppm and a median of 81.20 ppm (Tables [3,](#page-9-0) [4](#page-10-0)[\). Ab](#page-22-26)out 52.3% of samples exceed the upper limit of MoEF ([2005\)](#page-22-26). The highest Ni concentrations occur in soils associated with ophiolitic and sedimentary rocks (Fig. [2](#page-11-0)).

Zn concentrations in soils vary from 22.2 to 529 ppm, with a median of 55.2 ppm (Tables  $3, 4$  $3, 4$ ). The maximum Zn value (529 ppm) is observed in the soil associated with vol canic and ophiolitic rocks (Fig. [2\)](#page-11-0). Only two samples exceed the uppermost limit of the MoEF [\(2005](#page-22-26)) for Zn (Table [4](#page-10-0)).

#### **Distribution of heavy metals in soil profles**

The vertical distribution of element concentrations for two diferent soil profles is presented in Fig. [3.](#page-12-0) While KHPR soil profle (0–130 cm) is mainly located at the ophiolitic area, HPPR soil profle (0–150 cm) is mainly located at the sedimentary area. The element contents of the profle soil samples show a progressive decrease with depth (Table [5,](#page-13-0) Fig. [3](#page-12-0)). Fe and Mn are also dominant metals in the profle soil samples, which was expected based on their higher abundances in the Earth's crust. Ni and Co in the soil pro fle samples are higher than the uppermost limits defned by MoEF ([2005\)](#page-22-26) (Table [4](#page-10-0)). Co content in the KHPR soil

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 2** Summary statistics of analyzed elements from bedrock and stream sediment samples from southern Konya

|            | Sedimentary rocks $(n=4)$ |                   |       | Ophiolitic rocks $(n=4)$ |        |       | Volcanic rocks $(n=4)$ |       |        | Stream sediments $(n=4)$ |                   |        |
|------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------|--------------------------|--------|-------|------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------|
|            | Mean                      | Min               | Max   | Mean                     | Min    | Max   | Mean                   | Min   | Max    | Mean                     | Min               | Max    |
| Al $(\%)$  | 0.1                       | 0.02              | 0.35  | 3.53                     | 0.30   | 8.41  | 1.81                   | 0.40  | 2.78   | 1.89                     | 1.40              | 2.85   |
| Fe $(\%)$  | 0.26                      | 0.07              | 0.64  | 4.41                     | 2.26   | 6.26  | 6.76                   | 3.37  | 15.55  | 3.62                     | 2.95              | 4.00   |
| Ca $(\%)$  | >24.0                     | 21.80             | >25.0 | 5.21                     | 0.06   | 13.0  | 0.73                   | 0.21  | 1.42   | 3.08                     | 0.69              | 7.76   |
| $Mg(\%)$   | 2.29                      | 0.18              | 10.55 | 5.91                     | 1.12   | 19.15 | 0.26                   | 0.05  | 0.38   | 3.59                     | 0.44              | 6.16   |
| Na $(\%)$  | 0.01                      | 0.01              | 0.02  | 0.13                     | 0.004  | 0.47  | 0.20                   | 0.03  | 0.32   | 0.03                     | 0.01              | 0.04   |
| $K(\%)$    | 0.03                      | 0.01              | 0.07  | 0.1                      | < 0.01 | 0.19  | 1.09                   | 0.14  | 3.43   | 0.22                     | 0.08              | 0.32   |
| $P(\%)$    | 0.01                      | 0.004             | 0.03  | 0.09                     | 0.004  | 0.21  | 0.22                   | 0.05  | 0.69   | 0.13                     | 0.07              | 0.20   |
| $Si$ (%)   |                           | $0.70 \quad 0.70$ | 0.70  | 19.63                    | 18.0   | 19.80 | 24.2                   | 19.4  | 26.4   | $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ | $\qquad \qquad -$ |        |
| Ti (ppm)   |                           | 0.001 < 0.001     | 0.007 | 0.24                     | 0.004  | 0.48  | 0.18                   | 0.17  | 0.18   | 0.14                     | 0.09              | 0.18   |
| $Mn$ (ppm) | 45.58                     | 31.60             | 77.70 | 617.8                    | 485    | 721   | 10,209                 | 171   | 39,700 | 779.25                   | 658.0             | 1035.0 |
| $Cr$ (ppm) | 4.23                      | 1.52              | 7.18  | 198.9                    | 64.60  | 508   | 19.24                  | 14.75 | 27.90  | 141.50                   | 19.0              | 252.0  |
| $Ni$ (ppm) | 4.73                      | 2.20              | 11.15 | 548.8                    | 28.2   | 2060  | 12.56                  | 4.20  | 27.90  | 355.15                   | 22.1              | 688.0  |
| $Cd$ (ppm) | 0.23                      | 0.02              | 0.6   | 0.11                     | 0.02   | 0.24  | 2.80                   | 0.03  | 10.95  | 0.14                     | 0.06              | 0.22   |
| $Co$ (ppm) | 0.75                      | 0.29              | 1.42  | 35.96                    | 11.65  | 88.5  | 39.72                  | 2.51  | 143.5  | 30.10                    | 11.80             | 41.60  |
| Mo (ppm)   | 0.19                      | 0.04              | 0.65  | 0.45                     | 0.13   | 1.24  | 9.44                   | 0.91  | 29.4   | 0.26                     | 0.14              | 0.43   |
| Pb (ppm)   | 1.17                      | 0.62              | 1.83  | 2.30                     | 0.5    | 5.36  | 139.01                 | 2.40  | 544.0  | 8.20                     | 2.59              | 13.45  |
| $Zn$ (ppm) | 4.1                       | 2.3               | 8.1   | 36.35                    | 20.40  | 52.40 | 222.10                 | 8.20  | 774.0  | 58.83                    | 39.40             | 75.00  |
| $Cu$ (ppm) | 3.59                      | 1.17              | 7.89  | 31.52                    | 6.29   | 54.10 | 38.81                  | 6.38  | 100.5  | 41.91                    | 17.45             | 54.80  |
| As (ppm)   | 2.10                      | 0.38              | 4.93  | 2.15                     | 0.17   | 4.08  | 115.8                  | 1.05  | 450.0  | 3.45                     | 1.43              | 5.87   |
| Rb (ppm)   | 1.92                      | 0.17              | 6.97  | 0.55                     | 0.06   | 1.88  | 14.02                  | 6.51  | 23.10  | 16.97                    | 3.57              | 45.70  |
| Ba (ppm)   | 15.52                     | 2.90              | 35.50 | 34.5                     | 0.9    | 62.2  | 744.6                  | 50.1  | 2500   | 147.00                   | 66.80             | 282.00 |
| $Sr$ (ppm) | 289.9                     | 149               | 707   | 47.7                     | 0.79   | 91.5  | 1114.3                 | 229   | 3340   | 78.03                    | 53.30             | 125.00 |
| Se (ppm)   | 0.78                      | 0.5               | 0.9   | 0.47                     | < 0.1  | 0.70  | 0.17                   | < 0.1 | 0.2    | 0.33                     | 0.20              | 0.50   |
| Th (ppm)   | 0.26                      | 0.05              | 0.74  | 1.47                     | 0.01   | 4.25  | 17.41                  | 4.41  | 48.10  | 4.06                     | 0.96              | 10.65  |
| $U$ (ppm)  | 1.02                      | 0.12              | 3.83  | 0.65                     | 0.005  | 1.57  | 1.86                   | 1.15  | 3.28   | 0.68                     | 0.27              | 1.48   |
| $V$ (ppm)  | 5.0                       | 1.2               | 10.8  | 106                      | 25.3   | 186   | 152.95                 | 83.20 | 239.0  | 71.48                    | 54.20             | 89.40  |
| $Zr$ (ppm) | 1.73                      | 0.6               | 3.12  | 14.14                    | 0.36   | 41.5  | 14.13                  | 3.82  | 22.7   | 7.97                     | 4.35              | 12.90  |

profle ranges from 22.5, 15.7, and 15.2 ppm from upper to bottom (Table [5](#page-13-0)). The topsoil sample (KHPR-1) exceeds the maximum limit value of the MoEF [\(2005\)](#page-22-26) for Co. Ni content in HPPR soil profle ranges from 77.2 to 69.1 ppm from topsoil to deep soil (Table [5](#page-13-0)). A topsoil sample (HPPR-1) relatively exceeds the maximum limit value of the MoEF [\(2005\)](#page-22-26) for Ni.

## **Geoaccumulation index (***I***geo)**

Table [6](#page-14-0) shows the  $I_{\text{geo}}$  values and classes of ophiolitic, volcanic and sedimentary topsoil samples collected from the study area.  $I_{\text{geo}}$  values of all topsoil samples are −2.48 to 4.11 for Cu, − 1.37 to 5.32 for As, − 2.24 to 1.66 for Mn, − 2.14 to 2.70 for Cr, − 4.67 to 0.11 for Pb, − 2.26 to 2.31 for Zn, − 1.30 to 2.53 for Co, − 2.10 to 4.65 for Ni, −1.56 to 5.19 for Cd. As, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni have generally positive values in the ophiolitic soils, while As, Ni have positive values in the sedimentary soils and commonly As has positive values in the volcanic soils. Cd has slightly positive

values in soils in southern Konya. In the study area, heavy metals such as Pb and Zn have extensively  $I_{\text{geo}}$  index less than zero which suggests that the soils are not polluted by these metals (Table  $6$ ). In contrast, some soils have positive  $I_{\text{geo}}$  index of As, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni and Cd, indicating that these metals also may be enriched from a diferent source besides lithogenic origin. Positive *I*<sub>geo</sub> values are reported for Ni in all ophiolitic and commonly sedimentary soil samples, and in two samples (KYT-13, HC-3) from volcanic soils.  $I_{\text{geo}}$  values for Cu indicate unpolluted and moderately polluted ( $I_{\text{geo}}$  values < 0, 0–1 and 1–2) in commonly ophiolitic soils.  $I_{\text{geo}}$  values for As indicate the unpolluted—moderately polluted to extremely polluted soils.  $I_{\text{geo}}$  values for Pb and Zn indicate the unpolluted soils except for HK-1 and HK-5 soil samples. The sampling point for HK-5 is located near a thin and small Cu vein in ophiolitic rocks. The average  $I_{\text{geo}}$ values of the heavy metals in soil samples are in the order of  $As > Ni > Cd > Co.$ 

At different depths in profile 1 (HPPR),  $I_{\text{geo}}$  values indicate unpolluted to moderately polluted soils for As and Ni.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Table 4** Summary statistics of topsoil (0–20 cm) samples of southern Konya (S.D.: standard deviation, S.E.: standard error) and comparison with the maximum allowable limit values for soils of Turkey, the target value for soils of European, Earth's crust, natural concentration of soil



<sup>I</sup>Regulation on control of soil pollution of Turkey (MoEF, [2005\)](#page-22-26) (ppm);  $*$ pH=5–6;  $**$ pH>6

IIDenneman and Robberse [1990;](#page-21-22) Ministry of Housing Netherlands [1994](#page-22-27) (ppm)

IIKomatina 2004 (ppm)

In profile 2 (KHPR),  $I_{\text{geo}}$  values have unpolluted to moder-ately polluted soils for As and Co (Table [7\)](#page-15-0).  $I_{geo}$  values of the analyzed stream sediment samples indicate in order of Ni>Cr>Co> As>Cd. KDPL-1, KDPL-2 collected from a stream in the ophiolitic region have mainly Co, Cr, Ni and Cu enrichments. HCP-2 collected from a stream in the volcanic region has mainly As and Cd enrichments. KVPL-1 collected from close to the volcanic and sedimentary region has mainly As, Co, Cr, Ni, Mn, Cu and Cd enrichments (Table [8](#page-15-1)).

#### **Enrichment factor (EF)**

The *EF* values calculated for the topsoil samples of the study area are given in Table [6.](#page-14-0) The *EF* values from this study show that enrichment factors of Cu, Pb and Zn are generally lower than  $1.5$  (Table  $6$ ). The average values of *EF* show that heavy metals in the study area are in the order of  $As > Ni > Cd > Co > Cr.$  While *EF* values in ophiolitic topsoils are in the order of Ni> As>Cr>Co>Cu, in volcanic soils *EF* values are in



<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Spatial distribution maps for As, Cu, Pb, Zn, Al, Cd, Co, Fe, Ni, Cr, Ti, Mn, Ca and Mg in topsoils



**Fig. 2** (continued)



<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Heavy metal concentrations in soil profles at diferent depths



order of As >Mn >Zn >Ni. In sedimentary soils, *EF* values range from As >Ni >Cd >Co >Cr. In topsoil samples, *EF* values mostly indicate that the soils ranged from minimal pollution to extremely pollution. In soil profle 1 (HPPR), *EF* values indicate moderate to significant pollution for As, Ni, and Cd. In soil profle 2 (KHPR), *EF* values indicate moderate to significant pollution for As and Co (Table [7](#page-15-0)).

While KDPL-1, KDPL-2 collected from a stream in the ophiolitic region have mainly Co, Cr, Ni and Cu enrich ments, HCP-2 collected from a stream in the volcanic region have mainly As and Cd enrichments. KVPL-1 collected from close to the volcanic and sedimentary region has mainly Co, Cr, Ni, Mn, Cu and Cd enrichments (Table [8\)](#page-15-1).

# **Contamination factor (C f )**

 $C_f$  values of topsoil samples in the study area range between 0.58 and 59.87 for As, 0.61 and 8.64 for Co, 0.34 and 9.77 for Cr, 0.32 and 4.75 for Mn, 0.35 and 37.75 for Ni, 0.27 and 25.88 for Cu, 0.06 and 1.62 for Pb, 0.31 and 7.45 for Zn, and 0.51 and 54.90 for Cd (Table [6](#page-14-0)). According to Hakanson [\(1980\)](#page-21-21) classification,  $C_f$  values of the soil samples in the study area indicate that the soils ranged from low contamination to very high contamination (Table [6](#page-14-0)). The average  $C_f$  values of the heavy metals in soil samples are in the order of  $As > Ni > Cd > Co > Cr > Cu > Mn$ . While  $C_f$  values in ophiolitic topsoils are in the order of  $Ni > As > Cr > Cu \geq Co$ ,  $C_f$  values in volcanic soils are in order of  $As > Cd > Mn > Zn > Ni > Co$ . In sedimentary soils,  $C_f$  values range from  $As > Ni > Cd > Co > Cr > Cu > Mn$ .

 $C_f$  values of soil samples at different depths in soil profle 1 (HPPR) indicate considerable contamination for As and Ni, and moderate contamination for Cd. Soil profle 2 (KHPR) indicates considerable contamination for As, and moderate contamination for Co and Cd (Table [7](#page-15-0)).

In the stream sediment samples, KDPL-1, KDPL-2 in the ophiolitic region have mainly As, Co, Cr, Ni, Mn and Cu enrichments, HCP-2 in the volcanic region have mainly As, Co, Mn, Ni and Cd. KVPL-1 collected from a stream close to the volcanic and sedimentary region has mainly As, Co, Cr, Ni, Mn, Cu and Cd enrichments (Table [8\)](#page-15-1).

#### **Chemical weathering index (CIA, CIW)**

<span id="page-13-0"></span>The degree of weathering in source rocks can be obtained by various chemical indices, based on their major ele ment compositions. In the present study, the CIA (Chemi cal Index of Alteration calculated as  $CIA = (100^*Al_2O_3)$ /  $(AI_2O_3 + CaO^* + Na_2O + K_2O)$ ; Nesbitt and Young [1982\)](#page-22-19) and CIW (Chemical Index of Weathering calculated as  $CIW = [Al_2O_3/(Al_2O_3 + CaO + Na_2O)] \times 100$ ; Harnois [1988\)](#page-21-17) indexes were used to measure the degree of weath ering. The CIA and CIW indexes of topsoils and profle

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Table 6** Average, minimum (min) and maximum (max) geoaccumulation index (*Igeo*), enrichment factor (EF) and contamination factor (CF) of the topsoil samples in southern Konya



soils are given in Tables [9](#page-16-0) and [10](#page-16-1), respectively. The topsoil samples of southern Konya have CIA between 4.34 and 68.27 indicative of low-medium weathering. The Chemical Index of Weathering (CIW) values are ranged between 4.36 and 74.37. While the CIA values of the profle soils are between 5.24 and 18.07, the CIW values of the profle soils are between 5.26 and 18.34 (Table [10](#page-16-1)). The CIA values of the stream sediments are ranged between 21.99 and 63.93, and CIW values are ranged between 22.36 and 69.54. The CIA and CIW indices for soils and stream sediments developed from diferent parent materials show similar values in southern Konya.

#### **Sr–Nd isotopes**

The 87Sr/86Sr ratios of topsoil and profle soil samples range from 0.705341 to 0.707491 and 0.705827 to 0.707890, respectively (Table [11\)](#page-16-2). The  ${}^{87}Sr/{}^{86}Sr$  ratios of volcanic rock in the studied region is 0.705032. The  $87Sr/86Sr$  ratios of ophiolitic rock in the studied region is 0.704913 (Table [11](#page-16-2)). The 87Sr/86Sr ratios of sedimentary rocks in the studied region range from 0.707779 to 0.708066. The 143Nd/144Nd values of topsoil samples vary between 0.512384 and 0.512610, and the εNd ranged from−4.95 to−0.62. The <sup>143</sup>Nd/<sup>144</sup>Nd values of profile soil samples vary between

<span id="page-15-0"></span>**Table 7** Geoaccumulation index (*Igeo*), enrichment factor *(EF)* and contamination factor  $(C_f)$ of the profle soil samples in southern Konya



<span id="page-15-1"></span>**Table 8** Geoaccumulation index (*I*geo), enrichment factor (EF) and contamination factor  $(C_f)$  of the stream sediment samples in southern Konya

|               | As      | Co      | Cr      | Mn      | Ni      | Cu      | Pb      | Zn      | C <sub>d</sub> |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|
| $I_{\rm geo}$ |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |                |
| $KDPL-1$      | $-0.10$ | 1.46    | 1.99    | $-0.44$ | 4.14    | 0.52    | $-2.72$ | $-0.69$ | $-0.91$        |
| KDPL-2        | $-0.65$ | 1.47    | 2.26    | $-0.45$ | 4.52    | 0.16    | $-3.53$ | $-1.43$ | $-1.29$        |
| KVPL-1        | 1.38    | 0.78    | 0.71    | 0.20    | 2.60    | 0.55    | $-1.16$ | $-0.51$ | 0.58           |
| $HCP-2$       | 0.96    | $-0.35$ | $-1.47$ | $-0.25$ | $-0.44$ | $-1.10$ | $-1.30$ | $-0.95$ | 0.33           |
| EF            |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |                |
| KDPL-1        | 1.24    | 3.65    | 5.29    | 0.98    | 23.39   | 1.90    | 0.20    | 0.82    | 0.71           |
| KDPL-2        | 0.93    | 4.07    | 7.04    | 1.07    | 33.63   | 1.63    | 0.13    | 0.54    | 0.60           |
| KVPL-1        | 3.42    | 2.26    | 2.15    | 1.51    | 7.98    | 1.92    | 0.59    | 0.92    | 1.96           |
| $HCP-2$       | 3.46    | 1.40    | 0.64    | 1.50    | 1.31    | 0.83    | 0.72    | 0.92    | 2.24           |
| $C_f$         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |                |
| KDPL-1        | 1.40    | 4.12    | 5.97    | 1.11    | 26.40   | 2.14    | 0.23    | 0.93    | 0.80           |
| KDPL-2        | 0.95    | 4.16    | 7.20    | 1.10    | 34.40   | 1.67    | 0.13    | 0.55    | 0.61           |
| KVPL-1        | 3.91    | 2.58    | 2.46    | 1.73    | 9.13    | 2.19    | 0.67    | 1.06    | 2.23           |
| $HCP-2$       | 2.92    | 1.18    | 0.54    | 1.27    | 1.11    | 0.70    | 0.61    | 0.78    | 1.89           |

<span id="page-16-0"></span>**Table 9** CIA (Chemical Index of Alteration) and CIW (Chemical Index of Weathering) values of topsoils and stream sediments in southern Konya

|     | Ophiolitic soils | Volcanic soils | Sedimentary soils | Stream sediments |
|-----|------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|
| CIA |                  |                |                   |                  |
| Max | 57.01            | 68.27          | 52.58             | 63.93            |
| Min | 9.56             | 30.68          | 4.34              | 21.99            |
| CIW |                  |                |                   |                  |
| Max | 60.53            | 74.37          | 54.64             | 69.54            |
| Min | 9.67             | 31.91          | 4.36              | 22.36            |

<span id="page-16-1"></span>**Table 10** CIA (Chemical Index of Alteration) and CIW (Chemical Index of Weathering) values of profle soils in southern Konya



0.512285 and 0.512617, and the εNd ranged from−6.89 to −0.41. The  $^{143}$ Nd/ $^{144}$ Nd ratios of volcanic rock in the studied region is 0.512630 and  $\varepsilon$ Nd is -0.16. The <sup>143</sup>Nd/<sup>144</sup>Nd ratios of ophiolitic rock in the studied region are 0.512716

and  $\varepsilon$ Nd is 1.52. The <sup>143</sup>Nd/<sup>144</sup>Nd ratios of sedimentary rocks in the studied region range from 0.512275 to 0.512399 with the  $\varepsilon$ Nd ranging from  $-7.08$  to  $-4.66$ . The isotopic data of the analyzed samples were compared with several regions using the  ${}^{87}Sr/{}^{86}Sr$  vs.  $\epsilon$ Nd diagram. The Sr–Nd iso-tope diagram (Fig. [4](#page-17-0)a) and  ${}^{87}Sr/{}^{86}Sr$  vs.  ${}^{208}Pb/{}^{206}Pb$  diagram (Fig. [4](#page-17-0)b) show that the analyzed samples were extensively formed by the weathering of parent rocks.

#### **Pb isotopic compositions**

The amount of Pb in the analyzed soils in southern Konya does not exceed the limit values, and Pb isotope analysis was performed to evaluate it in terms of the origin of the lead. The Pb isotope ratios of the soils and bedrock are shown in Table [12](#page-17-1). The Pb isotopic ratios of the soil samples vary from 0.816 to 0.852 for  $^{207}Pb/^{206}Pb$ , and from 2.000 to 2.242 for

<span id="page-16-2"></span>**Table 11** Sr–Nd isotopes of the topsoils, profle soils and bedrocks from southern Konya region

| Sample              |        | ${}^{87}Sr/{}^{86}Sr$ |       | std. error          | $143$ Nd/ $144$ Nd |       | std. error         | εNd     | Rb/Sr | <b>CIA</b> |
|---------------------|--------|-----------------------|-------|---------------------|--------------------|-------|--------------------|---------|-------|------------|
| Profile soil        |        |                       |       |                     |                    |       |                    |         |       |            |
| <b>HPPR</b>         |        |                       |       |                     |                    |       |                    |         |       |            |
| HPPR-1              |        | 0.706120              | $\pm$ | $6 \times 10^{-6}$  | 0.512460           | $\pm$ | $2 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-3.47$ | 0.188 | 27.29      |
| HPPR-2              |        | 0.707615              | $\pm$ | $16 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.512459           | $\pm$ | $2 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-3.49$ | 0.200 | 28.08      |
| HPPR-3              |        | 0.705970              | $\pm$ | $14 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.512527           | $\pm$ | $9 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-2.17$ | 0.068 | 9.03       |
| HPPR-4              |        | 0.705827              | $\pm$ | $8 \times 10^{-6}$  | 0.512285           | $\pm$ | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-6.89$ | 0.124 | 14.08      |
| KHPR-1              |        | 0.707890              | $\pm$ | $19 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.512543           | $\pm$ | $2 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-1.85$ | 0.035 | 26.49      |
| <b>KHPR</b>         |        |                       |       |                     |                    |       |                    |         |       |            |
| KHPR-2              |        | 0.707028              | $\pm$ | $15 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.512522           | $\pm$ | $8 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-2.26$ | 0.020 | 15.33      |
| KHPR-3              |        | 0.706838              | $\pm$ | $15 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.512617           | $\pm$ | $6 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-0.41$ | 0.005 | 16.63      |
| Topsoil             |        |                       |       |                     |                    |       |                    |         |       |            |
| KYT-5 (Ophiolitic)  |        | 0.706433              | $\pm$ | $5 \times 10^{-6}$  | 0.512610           | $\pm$ | $2 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-0.55$ | 0.108 | 51.98      |
| KDT-4 (Ophiolitic)  |        | 0.705485              | $\pm$ | $4 \times 10^{-6}$  | 0.512606           | $\pm$ | $2 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-0.62$ | 0.099 | 38.09      |
| SRT-1 (Sedimentary) |        | 0.707491              | $\pm$ | $14 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.512384           | $\pm$ | $2 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-4.95$ | 0.067 | 10.96      |
| YBT-3 (Sedimentary) |        | 0.705341              | $\pm$ | $5 \times 10^{-6}$  | 0.512525           | $\pm$ | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-2.20$ | 0.106 | 22.41      |
| HCT-4 (Volcanic)    |        | 0.705951              | $\pm$ | $4 \times 10^{-6}$  | 0.512530           | $\pm$ | $2 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-2.11$ | 0.257 | 66.28      |
| <b>Bedrock</b>      |        |                       |       |                     |                    |       |                    |         |       |            |
| Volcanic            | $EV-1$ | 0.705032              | $\pm$ | $6 \times 10^{-6}$  | 0.512630           | $\pm$ | $3 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-0.16$ | 0.028 |            |
| Ophiolitic          | $H-4$  | 0.704913              | $\pm$ | $15 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.512716           | $\pm$ | $2 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.52    | 0.024 |            |
| Sedimentary         | $L-1$  | 0.707779              | $\pm$ | $9 \times 10^{-6}$  | 0.512275           | $\pm$ | $3 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-7.08$ | 0.002 |            |
|                     | $P-2$  | 0.708066              | $\pm$ | $19 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.512399           | $\pm$ | $8 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-4.66$ | 0.001 |            |



<span id="page-17-0"></span>**Fig. 4** (**a**) 87Sr/86Sr vs. εNd for soil and rock samples from southern Konya (this study) and whole-rock Sr and Nd isotopic data plotted along with compositional felds of potential source rocks from the Himalaya–Tibet region (Singh et al. [2008](#page-22-28) Wu et al. [2010\)](#page-23-6) and Central Anatolia volcanic rocks (Alpaslan et al. [2004](#page-20-6)), Central Anatolia gran-

itoid (Köksal and Göncüoğlu, [2007](#page-21-23)), Greater Himalaya, Tethyan Himalaya and Lesser Himalaya (Bracciali et al. [2015](#page-21-24)); (**b**) <sup>208</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb vs. 87Sr/86Sr for soil and rock samples from southern Konya (this study) comparison with vehicle exhaust, coal and sludge (Sun et al. [2018](#page-22-11))

<span id="page-17-1"></span>**Table 12** Pb isotope ratios of the soil, bedrock and stream sediment samples in southern Konya region

|                 | $^{206}Ph/^{204}Ph$ | $^{207}Ph/^{204}Ph$ | $^{208}Ph/^{204}Ph$ | $^{206}Pb/^{207}Pb$ | $^{208}Ph/^{207}Ph$ | $^{207}$ Ph/ $^{206}$ Ph | $^{208}Ph/^{206}Ph$ |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Soil            |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                          |                     |
| All             | 18.111-19.322       | 15.167-16.000       | 37.444-41.954       | 1.174-1.226         | 2.430-2.689         | $0.816 - 0.852$          | $2.000 - 2.242$     |
| Volcanic        | 18.763-19.322       | 15.581-15.736       | 38.701-41.954       | 1.198-1.216         | $2.463 - 2.672$     | $0.823 - 0.835$          | $2.053 - 2.209$     |
| Ophiolitic      | 18.111-18.983       | 15.167-15.845       | 37.444-41.796       | 1.174-1.212         | 2.444-2.689         | $0.825 - 0.852$          | $2.042 - 2.243$     |
| Sedimentary     | 18.409-19.322       | 15.455-16.000       | 37.969-40.439       | $1.191 - 1.226$     | $2.430 - 2.537$     | $0.816 - 0.834$          | $2.000 - 2.105$     |
| Bedrock         |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                          |                     |
| Volcanic        | 18.512-19.599       | 15.357-16.235       | 38.214-41.656       | $1.200 - 1.210$     | 2.488-2.566         | $0.827 - 0.833$          | $2.064 - 2.125$     |
| Ophiolitic      | 18.290-19.143       | 15.273-16.429       | 37.895-40.000       | $1.165 - 1.230$     | $2.435 - 2.542$     | $0.813 - 0.858$          | $2.033 - 2.090$     |
| Sedimentary     | 18.267-19.522       | $15.111 - 15.870$   | 38.667-39.783       | 1.186-1.230         | $2.507 - 2.563$     | $0.813 - 0.843$          | 2.038-2.131         |
| Stream sediment | 18.436-18.895       | 15.179-15.698       | 37.692-38.895       | 1.192-1.215         | $2.469 - 2.483$     | $0.823 - 0.839$          | $2.045 - 2.072$     |

<sup>208</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb (Table [12](#page-17-1), Fig. [5\)](#page-18-0). The <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb values of the volcanic, ophiolitic and sedimentary rock samples vary from 0.827 to 0.833, 0.813 to 0.858, 0.813 to 0.843, respectively (Table [12\)](#page-17-1). The  $^{208}Pb^{206}Pb$  values of the volcanic, ophiolitic and sedimentary rock samples vary from 2.064 to 2.125, 2.033 to 2.090, 2.038 to 2.131, respectively (Table [12](#page-17-1)). The lead isotopic ratios of the stream sediment samples range from 0.823 to 0.839 for 207Pb/206Pb, and 2.045 to 2.072 for 208Pb/206Pb (Table [12\)](#page-17-1). Figure [5](#page-18-0)a shows the Pb isotopic ratios of the soil samples and stream sediments in comparison to bedrocks

(volcanic, ophiolitic and sedimentary) from southern Konya. There is an overlap between the bedrock feld and the soil feld. Figure [5b](#page-18-0) shows Pb isotope ratios of the soils, stream sediments and bedrocks in southern Konya in comparison to Neapolitan rocks, soils and leaded gasoline from western Europe. Figure [5](#page-18-0)b commonly represents the natural geological components (geogenic) for Pb isotopic ratios. The Pb isotope ratio values of this study are commonly similar to those of the geogenic values as well as a small number of anthropogenic components.



<span id="page-18-0"></span>**Fig. 5** 207Pb/206Pb vs. 208Pb/206Pb isotope ratios (**a**) in soils, bedrocks and stream sediments from southern Konya (this study), (**b**) in ophiolitic, volcanic and sedimentary soils and rocks, and stream sediments from southern Konya (in this study), Neapolitan rocks and soils (Cicchella, [2008\)](#page-21-35), and leaded gasoline from western Europe (Monna et al. [1999](#page-22-33); Teutsch et al. [2001;](#page-22-34) Ayuso et al. [1998](#page-20-8); Gilg et al. [2001](#page-21-36); Somma et al. [2001](#page-22-35); D'Antonio et al. [1995](#page-21-37); Cicchella [2008\)](#page-21-35)

# **Discussion**

# **Spatial distribution and origin of heavy metals, topsoil‑bedrock relationship**

The topsoil samples of southern Konya have CIA between 4.34 and 68.27 indicative of low–medium weathering. The CIW index values, which refect the chemical weathering amount exposed to the decomposed material (Harnois, [1988](#page-21-17)), show similar values to the CIA values and vary between 4.36 and 74.37 in the topsoils in southern Konya. Low-medium CIA values are associated with arid or temperate climates with little rainfall while high CIA values are associated with humid tropical or temperate climates with heavy rainfall, favoring the development of extensive

vegetation (Goldberg and Humayun, [2010\)](#page-21-25). The CIA in sediments primarily refects the integrated chemical weathering history from source areas (Potter et al. [2005;](#page-22-29) Li et al. [2010](#page-21-26)). The average CIA of soil and stream sediment samples is generally lower-moderate, suggesting slightly-moderate weathering processes.

Natural soil pH, whose spatial distribution is largely dependent on the nature of the parent material (Reuter et al. [2008](#page-22-30)), refects the combined efects of soil-forming factors (e.g. parent material, topography, climate). Soils from arid climates are commonly alkaline with a high soil pH, overlying areas of mostly limestone (Fabian et al. [2014\)](#page-21-27) consistent with soils of southern Konya, while soils from humid climates are commonly acidic with a low soil pH due to leaching from heavy rainfall (Brady and Weil [2002](#page-21-28)). The studied soils in southern Konya have an average pH value of 8.08, which indicated slightly-moderately alkaline soils. Because of the neutral subalkaline environment (Manta et al. [2002\)](#page-21-0) and low annual precipitation, and low average annual temperature (Li et al. [2008](#page-21-29)), soil samples are slightly-moderately alkaline in southern Konya. Many sorption processes for metals are pH-dependent. Sorption is highest in less acidic soils, while acidic conditions favor desorption and release of the metals back into solution (Rodríguez-Eugenio et al. [2018](#page-22-31)). This shows that heavy metals are mostly absorbed in topsoils and their release into the solution is reduced due to the alkalinity of the soils in southern Konya.

While parent material and climatic conditions are dominant factors on element distribution in soil (Kabata-Pendias [2011\)](#page-21-30), anthropogenic actions (e.g. mining, fossil fuel and waste burning, fertilizers, volcanic emissions, atmospheric emissions) may also be efective factors for arsenic enrichment (e.g. Kabata-Pendias and Pendias [2001;](#page-21-31) Bhattacharya et al. [2002;](#page-20-7) Kabata-Pendias [2011](#page-21-30)). The volcanic rocks are rich in As and Cd in the study area and therefore their weathering can also be a source of As and Cd in high concentrations. So, according to the Regulation on the Control of Soil Pollution of Turkey (MoEF [2005](#page-22-26)), As (max. 89.8 ppm) and Cd (max. 5.38 ppm) are higher in soils around the volcanic rocks in southern Konya. This suggests that the high amounts of As and Cd in the studied soils in volcanic region commonly originate from volcanic rocks. At the same time, as Cd absorbed in soils is generally immobile in soils above pH 7.5 (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias [2001\)](#page-21-31), higher Cd concentrations were observed in alkaline soil samples from southern Konya.

The ultramafic rocks and soils in southern Konya are mostly composed of serpentinite. The serpentine soil derived from ultramafc rock or serpentinite is known to contain high concentrations of potentially harmful elements including Cr, Ni, Co and Mn (e.g. Brooks [1987](#page-21-32); Oze et al. [2008](#page-22-32); Cheng et al. [2011](#page-21-33); Kanellopoulos et al. [2015\)](#page-21-34). The higher Co, Ni and Cr values are observed in soils associated extensively

with the ophiolitic rocks in southern Konya. Serpentinite, magnetite, amphibole, chlorite, hematite, goethite, talc, smectite, prehnite, pumpellyite, Cr-rich minerals which are signs for ophiolitic rocks, were identifed in soil samples in ophiolitic region. According to Garnier et al. [\(2008\)](#page-21-38), these minerals derived from ultramafc rocks are a typical source of Cr in soil. In analyzed soils, the higher Cr concentrations (11.95–342 ppm) according to maximum allowable limits for Turkey's soil (MoEF [2005\)](#page-22-26) are mainly associated with the ophiolitic rocks in the region.

Ni enrichment occurred in southern Konya soils are associated with mainly ophiolitic and rarely sedimentary rocks. In soils of southern Konya, Mn concentrations which are commonly higher than the average Earth's crust value (Komatina [2004](#page-21-39)) and the average natural concentration of soil (Komatina [2004](#page-21-39)) are mainly associated with mafc rocks. Mn is also accumulated in soils as a result of its fxation with organic matter. High Mn levels are often reported for soils over mafc rocks, for soils rich in Fe and/or organic matter and for soils from arid or semi-arid regions. It is known that highly alkaline soils (at about pH 8) can also produce Mn toxicity (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias [2001\)](#page-21-31). It is concluded that the high Mn content in the majority of the soils in southern Konya is due to the ophiolitic and volcanic rocks containing high amounts of Mn in the semi-arid climate. While it tends to be excluded from sedimentary rocks, Cu, which is most abundant in mafc and intermediate rocks (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias [2001](#page-21-31)), varies between 6.72 and 647 ppm in the soils of southern Konya. Cu was enriched in a small amount of soil samples in the north of the study area due to ophiolitic rocks containing thin and small Cu vein. Zn distributed uniformly in igneous rocks (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias [2001\)](#page-21-31), in two soil samples in the volcanic and ophiolitic soils southern Konya is higher than the maximum allowable limit values for Turkish soil.

While As, Cd, Ni, Cr, Mn, Cu and Zn values, which are higher than the maximum allowable limit values for Turkey's soil, are observed in a small part of the analyzed soils, these heavy metals are also high according to *Igeo, EF* and  $C_f$  values calculated using the background values. According to the calculated *Igeo*,  $EF$  and  $C_f$  values, As, Ni, Cd pollution in the topsoil of the HPPR profle and As and Co pollution in the topsoil of the KHPR profle indicate partially anthropogenic pollution. The heavy metals can be derived from not only geogenic origin but also from other sources such as fertilization, irrigation, etc. in the agricultural field, traffic. These elements may be transported to the soils by wind, rain and therefore may cause pollution. Stream sediment samples collected from the ophiolitic region (KDPL-1 and KDPL-2) were enriched in Co, Cr, Ni and Cu similar to ophiolitic soil samples. Stream sediment samples collected from the volcanic region (HCP-2) were enriched in As and Cd similar to volcanic soil samples. Heavy metal enrichments in

stream sediments are geologically (geogenic) originated by rocks containing heavy minerals (Solgun et al. [2021\)](#page-22-8). It is observed that heavy metals, which are commonly found in the soil (As, Cd, Cr, Ni, Co, Cu, Mn) and partially in the stream sediments (Cr, Ni, Cu), are partially enriched due to the weathering, transport, erosion and deposition factors of the volcanic, ophiolitic and sedimentary rocks in the region. So, these heavy metals have mostly of geogenic origin. There is no urban area with a dense population in the study area. At the same time, according to geochemical evaluations, the efect of the anthropogenic factor on the heavy metal accumulation in the study area, where there are small settlements with less population and relatively agricultural activities, is seen to be less than the geogenic factor.

# **Evaluation of the Pb and Sr–Nd isotope signature in soils and bedrocks**

Based on the isotopic data of the soil and rock samples in southern Konya, all possible sources for soils are divided into three isotopic regions. Whereas  $87\text{Sr}/86\text{Sr}$  ratios of the ophiolitic rock (0.7049) and ophiolitic soil (0.7055–0.7064) are similar, the εNd of the ophiolitic rock (1.52) is higher than ophiolitic soils (−0.62 to −0.55). The  $87$ Sr/ $86$ Sr ratios of the volcanic rock (0.7050) are similar to volcanic soil  $(0.7060)$  whereas εNd of the volcanic rock  $(-0.16)$  is higher than soil (− 2.11). The  ${}^{87}Sr/{}^{86}Sr$  ratios of the sedimentary rock (0.7078–0.7081) and one of the sedimentary soil sample (0.7075) is similar. The other sedimentary topsoil sample does not show a very different  $87Sr/86Sr$  ratio to sedimentary soil and rock, but a ratio of  $87\text{Sr}/86\text{Sr}$  (0.7053) closer to volcanic and ophiolitic soil and rock. The εNd of sedimentary topsoil  $[(-4.95) - (-2.20)]$  is generally similar to sedimentary rocks'  $[(-7.08) - (-4.66)]$ . So, the comparison of Sr–Nd isotope ratios of one of the sedimentary topsoil and bedrocks shows diferences explained mainly by the diferent chemical and mineralogical compositions of the two topsoil samples. The granitic rocks (continental crust) have higher Rb/Sr and 87Sr/86Sr ratios while the mantle-derived basalts (oceanic crust) have lower Rb/Sr and  $87$ Sr/ $86$ Sr ratios. Volcanic rocks within island arc and continental settings also have low <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios, again reflecting their derivation from mantle material (Nakano [2016\)](#page-22-15). Based on this, comparing the volcanic and ophiolitic rocks in Konya, it is seen that the  $87Sr/86Sr$  ratios are similar, while the Rb/Sr ratio of the volcanic rock is higher than that of the ophiolitic rocks. The variation in topsoil  ${}^{87}Sr/{}^{86}Sr$  ratios (0.7053–0.7075) in southern Konya indicates that bedrocks play a complex role in these topsoils that is partially dependent on the location of the soil sample.

While bedrock, groundwater, atmospheric dry and wet depositions are among natural resources, fertilizers, vehicle exhausts, and smokestacks from industrial plants are among anthropogenic sources (Castorina and Masi [2013](#page-21-40)). It is observed that the topsoils in southern Konya are widely afected by the parent materials, except for a small number of anthropogenic sources.

In southern Konya, analyzed topsoil samples except for five samples  $[1.17 (n=1), 1.18 (n=1); 1.19 (n=3)]$  have 1.20 and above  $^{206}Pb/^{207}Pb$  ratios. In European agricultural soil (2106 samples, topsoil:  $0-20$  cm), the  $^{206}Pb^{207}Pb$ isotope ratio ranges between 1.12 and 1.73 with a median of 1.20 (Reimann et al. [2012;](#page-22-10) Reimann et al. [2016\)](#page-22-36). This median of 1.20 numerically coincides with the literature value most frequently used for "average continental crust" (Komàrek et al. [2008\)](#page-21-41). The  $^{206}Pb/^{207}Pb$  isotope ratios of the topsoils in southern Konya, ranging from 1.17 to 1.23 with a median of 1.21, are quite similar to the European topsoils. Most non-metamorphic sediments in central Western Europe have  $^{206}Pb^{207}Pb$  ratios ranging from 1.18 to 1.22 indicating that their Pb content is derived from geogenic/natural origin and can be regarded as the natural  $^{206}Pb^{207}Pb$  range for Western Europe (Elbaz-Poulichet et al. [1986](#page-21-42); Monna et al. [1995;](#page-22-37) Steinmann and Stille [1997](#page-22-38)). At the same time, the soils and bedrocks have quite similar lead isotope signatures. In the present study, topsoil samples yield  $^{206}Pb/^{207}Pb$ ratios ranging from 1.17 to 1.23 which is clearly the natural/ geogenic Pb. The anthropogenically afected samples deviate from the unaffected samples towards lower  $^{208}Pb^{207}Pb$ and higher 206Pb/207Pb (Oskierski et al. [2009](#page-22-39)). Also, the  $206Pb/207Pb$  ratios of the anthropogenic sources of Pb generally range from 0.96 to 1.20, whereas the  $206Pb/207Pb$  ratios of naturally occurring Pb have generally higher values ( $>1.20$ ; Sturges and Barrie [1987](#page-22-40)). So, the <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>207</sup>Pb values of topsoils in southern Konya are mostly controlled by the natural/geogenic component.

# **Conclusions**

As, Cd, Co, Cr, Ni enrichments were identifed in some soil samples in southern Konya region. Ni, Cr, Cu, Co and Mn mostly have the highest value in ophiolitic soils while As, Cd, Zn mostly have the highest value in volcanic soils. Similar distributions and concentrations of the trace elements in soils and bedrocks indicate in situ weathering of the bedrock as well as anthropogenic efects. Also, soils have lowmedium weathering according to chemical weathering indices (CIA and CIW). The pH values of soil samples indicate slightly moderately alkaline. According to the  $C_f$ , EF and Igeo values of heavy metal concentrations in the southern Konya, the heavy metals show the order of  $As > Ni > Cd >$  $Co > Cr > Cu > Mn$ . The higher enrichment of As, Co, Ni and Cd in topsoils than deep soils indicates that slightlymoderately alkaline soils partially adsorb heavy metals in topsoils, and anthropogenic factors are partially efective.

While Co, Cr, Ni and Cu enrichments were observed in the stream sediments located in the ophiolitic region, as in the ophiolitic soils, As and Cd enrichments were observed in the stream sediments in the volcanic region, as in the volcanic soils. The results of soil assessment suggest that the soils were mostly defned to be uncontaminated to moderately contaminated. Pb and Sr–Nd isotopes indicate that bedrock plays the main role in the soils of southern Konya that is partially dependent on the location of the soil samples. It is shown that the soils in southern Konya are mostly controlled by geogenic (natural) resources besides the partially anthropogenic effect such as fertilizer, irrigation, traffic and other human efects.

**Acknowledgements** The author wishes to thank Selçuk University (Konya Technical University) for the project supported by the Scientifc Research Projects Coordination (Project No: 16401124).

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** None.

# **References**

- <span id="page-20-1"></span>Adamo P, Zampella M (2008) Chemical speciation to assess potentially toxic metals' (PTMs') bioavailability and geochemical forms in polluted soils. In: De Vivo B, Belkin HE, Lima A (eds) Environmental geochemistry, site characterization data analysis and case histories. Elsevier, Amsterdam, p 429
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>Aksoy R, Eren Y (2004) The Konya Fault Zone, Selçuk University. J Eng Faculty 19(2):49–60
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>Alloway BJ (1995) Soil processes and the behaviour of metals. In: Alloway BJ (ed) Heavy Metals in Soils, 2nd edn. Blackie Academic and Professional, London, pp 11–37
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>Alpaslan M, Frei R, Boztuğ D, Kurt MA, Temel A (2004) Geochemical and Pb-Sr-Nd isotopic constraints indicating an enriched-mantle source for late cretaceous to early tertiary volcanism, central Anatolia. Int Geol Rev 46:1022–1041
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>Andrews S, Sutherland RA (2004) Cu, Pb and Zn contamination in Nuuanu watershed, Oahu. Hawaii Sci Total Environ 324:173–182
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>Asahara Y (1999) <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr variation in north Pacific sediments: a record of the Milankovitch cycle in the past 3 million years. Earth Planet Sci Lett 171:453–464
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>Ayuso RA, De Vivo B, Rolandi G, Seal RR II, Paone A (1998) Geochemical and isotopic (Nd-Pb-Sr-O) variation bearing on the genesis of volcanic rocks from Vesuvius, Italy. Special issue, Vesuvius. J Volcanol Geoth Res 82:53–78
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>Ayuso RA, Foley NK, Lipfert G (2008) Lead isotopes as monitors of anthropogenic and natural sources affecting the surficial environment. In: De Vivo B, Belkin HE, Lima A (eds) Environmental geochemistry site characterization data analysis and case histories. Elsevier, Amsterdam, p 429
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>Bhattacharya P, Jacks G, Frisbie SH, Smith E, Naidu R, Sarkar B (2002) Arsenic in the environment: a global perspective. In: Sarkar B (ed) Handbook of heavy metals in the environment. Dekker, New York, pp 147–215
- <span id="page-21-24"></span>Bracciali L, Najman Y, Parrish RR, Akhter SH, Millar I (2015) The Brahmaputra tale of tectonics and erosion: early Miocene river capture in the Eastern Himalaya. Earth Planet Sci Lett 415:25–37
- <span id="page-21-28"></span>Brady NC, Weil RR (2002) In the Nature and Properties of Soils 15th edn, Pearson Education, 375–419.
- <span id="page-21-32"></span>Brooks RR (1987) Serpentine and its vegetation. Dioscorides Press, Portland, OR
- <span id="page-21-40"></span>Castorina F, Masi U (2013) REY and Sr-Nd isotopic ratios of aqua regia extracts to assess pedogenic processes and pollution in soils from Ravenna (North Italy), Periodico di Mineralogia, An International Journal of mineralogy, crystallography, geochemistry, ore deposits, petrology, volcanology and applied topics on environment. Archaeom Cult Herit 82(2):331–351
- <span id="page-21-12"></span>Cheng H, Hu Y (2010) Lead (Pb) isotopic fngerprinting and its applications in lead pollution studies in China: a review. Environ Pollut 158:1134–1146
- <span id="page-21-33"></span>Cheng C-H, Jien S-H, Lizuka Y, Tsai H, Chang Y-H, Hseu Z-Y (2011) Pedogenic chromium and nickel partitioning in serpentine soils along a toposequence. Soil Sci Soc Am J 75:659–668
- <span id="page-21-35"></span>Cicchella D, De Vivo B, Lima A, Albanese S, McGill RAR, Parrish RR (2008) Heavy metal pollution and Pb isotopes in urban soils of Napoli, Italy. Geochem Explor Environ Anal 8:103–112
- <span id="page-21-2"></span>Cicchella D, Giaccio L, Lima A, Albanese S, Cosenza A, Civitillo D, De Vivo B (2014) Assessment of the degree of contamination of top soils in the Sarno river basin, South Italy. Environ Earth Sci 71:5129–5143
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>Cicchella D, Giaccio L, Dinelli E, Albanese S, Lima A, Zuzolo D, Valera P, De Vivo B (2015) GEMAS: Spatial distribution of chemical elements in agricultural and grazing land soil of Italy. J Geochem Explor 154:129–142
- <span id="page-21-19"></span>Coşkun A, Horasan BY, Öztürk A (2021) Heavy metal distribution in stream sediments and potential ecological risk assessment in Konya Northeast region. Environ Earth Sci 80(5):1–18
- <span id="page-21-4"></span>Cuvier A, Pourcelot L, Probst A, Prunier J, Le Roux G (2016) Trace elements and Pb isotopes in soils and sediments impacted by uranium mining. Sci Total Environ 566–567:238–249
- <span id="page-21-37"></span>D'Antonio M, Tilton GR, Civetta L (1995) Petrogenesis of Italian alkaline lavas deduced from Pb-SrNd isotope relationships. In: Basu A, Hart SR (eds) Isotopic studies of crust-mantle evolution. American Geophysical Union, Monograph, pp 253–267
- <span id="page-21-22"></span>Denneman PRJ and Robberse JG (1990) Ecotoxicological risk assessment as a base for development of soil quality criteria. In: Arendt F, Hinsenfeld H, vab den Brink WB (Eds.) Contaminated Soils "90". Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 157–164
- <span id="page-21-6"></span>Dube A, Zbytniewski R, Kowalkowski T, Cukrowska E, Buszewski B (2001) Adsorption and migration of heavy metals in soil. Pol J Environ Stud 10(1):1–10
- <span id="page-21-13"></span>Dursun Ş, Önder S (2008) Determination of heavy metal pollution at Mevlana Park (Konya-Turkey) by analysis of soil, grass and cedar tree needles. Asian J Chem 20(5):4092–4100
- <span id="page-21-16"></span>Düzgören-Aydın N, Aydın A, Malpas JG (2002) Re-assessment of chemical weathering indices: case study on pyroclastic rocks of Hong Kong. Eng Geol 63(1–2):99–119
- <span id="page-21-42"></span>Elbaz-Poulichet F, Holliger P, Martin JM, Petit D (1986) Stable lead isotopes ratios in major French rivers and estuaries. Sci Total Environ 54:61–76
- <span id="page-21-27"></span>Fabian C, Reimann C, Fabian K, Birke M, Baritz R, Haslinger E et al (2014) GEMAS: Spatial distribution of the pH of European agricultural and grazing land soil. Appl Geochem 48:207–216
- <span id="page-21-8"></span>Feng H, Han X, Zhang W, Yu L (2004) A preliminary study of heavy metal contamination in Yangtze River intertidal zone due to urbanization. Mar Pollut Bull 49:910–915
- <span id="page-21-18"></span>Feng H, Jiang H, Gao W, Weinstein MP, Zhang QWZ, Yu L, Yuan D, Tao J (2011) Metal contamination in sediments of the western Bohai Bay and adjacent estuaries China. J Environ Manag 92:1185–1197
- <span id="page-21-7"></span>Förstner U (1995) Land contamination by metals—Global scope and magnitude of problem. In: Allen HE, Huang CP, Bailey GW, Bowers AR (eds) 'Metal speciation and contamination of soil.' Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, pp 1–33
- <span id="page-21-38"></span>Garnier J, Quantin C, Guimarães E, Becquer T (2008) Can chromite weathering be a source of Cr in soils? Mineral Mag 72(1):49–53
- <span id="page-21-36"></span>Gilg HA, Lima A, Somma R, Belkin HE, De Vivo B, Ayuso RA (2001) Isotope geochemistry and fuid inclusion study of skarns from Vesuvius. Mineral Petrol 73:145–176
- <span id="page-21-25"></span>Goldberg K, Humayun M (2010) The applicability of the chemical index of alteration as a paleoclimatic indicator: an example from the Permian of the Parana Basin, Brazil. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 293:175–183
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>Guo G, Wu F, Xie F, Zhang R (2012) Spatial distribution and pollution assessment of heavy metals in urban soils from southwest China. J Environ Sci 24(3):410–418
- <span id="page-21-21"></span>Hakanson L (1980) An ecological risk index for aquatic pollution control: a sedimentological approach. Water Res 14(8):975–1001
- <span id="page-21-15"></span>Hakyemez Y, Elibol E, Umut M, Bakırhan B, Kara İ, Dağıstan H, Metin T, Erdoğan N (1992) Geology of Konya‐Çumra‐Akören; M.T.A. Rep. No: 9449, 73s (unpublished)
- <span id="page-21-10"></span>Hansmann W, Köppel V (2000) Lead-isotopes as tracers of pollutants in soils. Chem Geol 171:123–144
- <span id="page-21-17"></span>Harnois L (1988) The CIW index: a new chemical index of weathering. Sed Geol 55(3–4):319–322
- <span id="page-21-20"></span>Helz GR (1976) Trace element inventory for the northern Chesapeake Bay with emphasis on the infuence of man. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 40:573–580
- <span id="page-21-14"></span>Horasan BY, Arık F (2019) Assessing heavy metal pollution in the surface soils of central Anatolia Region of Turkey. Carpathian J Earth Environ Sci 14(1):107–118

[http://www.mgm.gov.tr.](http://www.mgm.gov.tr) Accessed 2021

- <span id="page-21-30"></span>Kabata-Pendias A (2011) Trace elements in soils and plants, 4th edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton, London, New York
- <span id="page-21-31"></span>Kabata-Pendias A, Pendias H (2001) Trace elements in soils and plants, 3rd edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton, p 403
- <span id="page-21-34"></span>Kanellopoulos C, Argyraki A, Mitropoulos P (2015) Geochemistry of serpentine agricultural soil and associated groundwater chemistry and vegetation in the area of Atalanti, Greece. J Geochem Explor 158:22–33
- <span id="page-21-23"></span>Köksal S, Göncüoğlu C (2007) Sr and Nd isotopic characteristics of some S-, I- and a-type granitoids from central Anatolia. Turk J Earth Sci 17:111–127
- <span id="page-21-41"></span>Komarek M, Ettler V, Chrastný V, Mihaljevic M (2008) Lead isotopes in environmental studies: a review. Environ Int 34:562–577
- <span id="page-21-39"></span>Komatina MM (2004) Medical geology efects of geological environments on human health. Elsevier, Amsterdam, p 488
- <span id="page-21-11"></span>Kong H, Teng Y, Song L, Wang J, Zhang L (2018) Lead and strontium isotopes as tracers to investigate the potential sources of lead in soil and groundwater: a case study of the Hun River alluvial fan. Appl Geochem 97:291–300
- <span id="page-21-9"></span>Lermi A, Sunkari ED (2020) Geochemistry, risk assessment, and Pb isotopic evidence for sources of heavy metals in stream sediments around the Ulukışla Basin Niğde, Southern Turkey. Turk J Earth Sci 29:1167–1188
- <span id="page-21-29"></span>Li C, Kang S, Wang X, Ajmone-Marsan F, Zhang Q (2008) Heavy metals and rare earth elements (REEs) in soil from the Nam Co Basin. Tibetan Pleteau Environ Geol 53:1433–1440
- <span id="page-21-26"></span>Li C, Yang SY (2010) Is chemical index of alteration a reliable proxy for chemical weathering in global drainage basins? Am J Sci 310:111–127
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>Manta DS, Angelone M, Bellanca A, Neri R, Sprovieri M (2002) Heavy metals in urban soils: a case study from the city of Palermo (Sicily), Italy. Sci Total Environ 300:229–243
- <span id="page-21-5"></span>McLean JE and Bledsoe BE (1992) Behaviour of metals in soils. Groundwater issue, USEPA, Washington, DC., p 25
- <span id="page-22-27"></span>Ministry of Housing Netherlands (1994) Dutch Intervention Values for Soil Remediation (Report HQ 94–021). Environmental Quality Objectives in the Netherlands, Ministry of Housing, The Hague.
- <span id="page-22-26"></span>MoEF (Ministry of Environment and Forestry) (2005). Regulation on the control of soil pollution of Turkey, Official Newspaper, 25831, 31.05.2005.
- <span id="page-22-37"></span>Monna F, Ben Othman D, Luck JM (1995) Pb isotopes and Pb, Zn and Cd concentrations in the rivers feeding a coastal pond (Thau, southern France): constraints on the origin(s) and flux(es) of metals. Sci Total Environ 166:19–34
- <span id="page-22-33"></span>Monna F, Aiuppa A, Varrica D, Dongarra G (1999) Pb isotopic compositions in lichens and aerosols from Eastern Sicily: insights on the regional impact of volcanoes on the environment. Environ Sci Technol 33:2517–2523
- <span id="page-22-22"></span>Müller G (1969) Index of geoaccumulation in sediments of the Rhine River. GeoJournal 2:108–118
- <span id="page-22-23"></span>Müller G (1986) Schadstofe in Sedimenten - Sedimente als Schadstofe. Mitteilungen Der Österreichischen Geologischen Gesellschaft, Umweltgeologie-Band 79:107–126
- <span id="page-22-15"></span>Nakano T (2016) Potential uses of stable isotope ratios of Sr, Nd, and Pb in geological materials for environmental studies. Proc Jpn Acad Ser B 92:167–184
- <span id="page-22-14"></span>Nakano T, Yokoo Y, Nishikawa M, Koyanagi H (2004) Regional Sr–Nd isotopic ratios of soil minerals in northern China as Asian dust fngerprints. Atmos Environ 38:3061–3067
- <span id="page-22-19"></span>Nesbitt HW, Young GM (1982) Early Proterozoic climates and plate motions inferred from major element chemistry of lutites. Nature 299:715–717
- <span id="page-22-7"></span>Okay OS, Özmen M, Güngördü A, Yılmaz A, Yakan SD, Karacık B, Tutak B, Schramm KW (2016) Heavy metal pollution in sediments and mussels: assessment by using pollution indices and metallothionein levels. Environ Monit Assess 188:352
- <span id="page-22-16"></span>Önder S, Dursun S, Gezgin S, Demirbaş A (2007) Determination of Heavy Metal Pollution in Grass and Soil of City Centre Green Areas (Konya, Turkey). Pol J Environ Stud 16(1):145–154
- <span id="page-22-39"></span>Oskierski HC, Sindern S, Lima RFS, Petta RA (2009) Geogenic and anthropogenic lead isotope signatures in the urban environment of Natal (NE-Brazil). Environ Geol 58:1811–1817
- <span id="page-22-32"></span>Oze C, Skinner C, Schroth A (2008) Growing up green on serpentine soils: biogeochemistry of serpentine vegetation in the Central Coast Range of California. Appl Geochem 23(12):3391–3403
- <span id="page-22-18"></span>Özen Y (2021). Heavy metal pollution and geochemical investigation on rocks and soils between Karadiğin - Hatunsaray - Kayhüyük - Boyalı - Yenibahçe (Meram-Konya), Selçuk University Scientifc Research Projects Coordination (Project number: 16401124), 60 p.
- <span id="page-22-9"></span>Öztürk A, Arıcı ÖK (2021) Carcinogenic-potential ecological risk assessment of soils and wheat in the eastern region of Konya (Turkey). Environ Sci Pollut Res 28:15471–15484
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>Patyk-Kara NG, Gorelikova NV, Bardeeva EG et al (2001) Mineralogy of placers: modern approaches and solutions. Lithol Min Resour 36:393–405
- <span id="page-22-29"></span>Potter PE, Maynard JB, Depetris PJ (2005) Mud and mudstones. Springer, Berlin, pp 157–174
- <span id="page-22-6"></span>Rajmohan N, Prathapar SA, Jayaprakash M, Nagarajan R (2014) Vertical distribution of heavy metals in soil profle in a seasonally waterlogging agriculture feld in Eastern Ganges Basin. Environ Monit Assess 186:5411–5427
- <span id="page-22-10"></span>Reimann C, Filzmoser P, Fabian K, Hron K, Birke M, Demetriade A, Dinelli E, Ladenberger A, Gemas Project Team (2012) The concept of compositional data analysis in practise total major element concentrations in agricultural and grazing land soils of Europe. Sci Total Environ 426:196–210
- <span id="page-22-36"></span>Reimann C, Fabian K, Flem B, Schilling J, Roberts D, Englmaier P (2016) Pb concentrations and isotope ratios of soil O and C

horizons in Nord-Trøndelag, central Norway: anthropogenic or natural sources? Appl Geochem 74:56–66

- <span id="page-22-30"></span>Reuter HI, Lado LR, Hengl T, Montanarella L (2008) Continentalscale digital soil mapping using European soil profle data: soil pH. Hamburger Beitrage Zur Physischen Geographie Und Landschaftsokologie 19:91–102
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>Rezza C, Albanese S, Ayuso R, Lima A, Sorvari J, De Vivo, B (2018) Geochemical and Pb isotopic characterization of soil, groundwater, human hair, and corn samples from the Domizio Flegreo and Agro
- <span id="page-22-31"></span>Rodríguez-Eugenio N, McLaughlin M, Pennock D (2018) Soil Pollution: a hidden reality. FAO, Rome, p 142
- <span id="page-22-21"></span>Ryan P (2020) Environmental and Low-temperature Geochemistry, 2nd edn. Wiley Blackwell, Hoboken, p 352
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>Salomons W, Förstner U (1984) Metals in the hydrocycle. Springer Verlag, Berlin
- <span id="page-22-13"></span>Shetaya WH, Marzouk ER, Mohamed EF, Bailey EH, Young SD (2019) Chemical and isotopic fractionation of lead in the surface soils of Egypt. Appl Geochem 106:7–16
- <span id="page-22-25"></span>Sinex SA, Helz GR (1981) Regional geochemistry of trace elements in Chesapeake Bay Sediments. Environ Geol 3:315–323
- <span id="page-22-28"></span>Singh SK, Rai SK, Krishnaswami S (2008) Sr and Nd isotopes in river sediments from the Ganga Basin: sediment provenance and spatial variability in physical erosion. J Geophys Res 113:F03006
- <span id="page-22-8"></span>Solgun E, Horasan BY, Öztürk A (2021) Heavy metal accumulation and potential ecological risk assessment in sediments from the southwestern Konya district (Turkey). Arab J Geosci 14:730
- <span id="page-22-35"></span>Somma R, Ayuso RA, De Vivo B, Rolandi G (2001) Major, trace element and isotope geochemistry (Sr-Nd-Pb) of interplinian magmas from Mt. Somma-Vesuvius (Southern Italy). Mineral Petrol 73:121–143
- <span id="page-22-38"></span>Steinmann M, Stille P (1997) Rare earth element behavior and Pb Sr, Nd Isotope Systematics in a Heavy Metal Contaminated Soil. Appl Geocherm 12:607–623
- <span id="page-22-40"></span>Sturges WT, Barrie LA (1987) Lead-206/207 isotope ratios in the atmosphere of North America as tracers of US and Canadian emissions. Nature 329:144–146
- <span id="page-22-11"></span>Sun J, Yu R, Hu G, Su G, Zhang Y (2018) Tracing of heavy metal sources and mobility in a soil depth profle via isotopic variation of Pb and Sr. CATENA 171:440–449
- <span id="page-22-24"></span>Taylor SR, McLennan SM (1995) The geochemical evolution of the continental crust. Rev Geophys 33:241–265
- <span id="page-22-34"></span>Teutsch N, Erel Y, Halicz L, Banin A (2001) Distribution of natural and anthropogenic lead in Mediterranean soils. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 65(17):2853–2864
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>Tóth G, Herman T, Da Silva MR, Montanarella L (2016) Heavy metals in agricultural soils of the European Union with implications for food safety
- <span id="page-22-17"></span>Turan A (2010) Tectono-Stratigraphy of Alakova-Kavak Area (South of Konya). J Fac Eng Arch 25:3
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>Ungureanu T, Iancu GO, Pintilei M, Chicoș MM (2017) Spatial distribution and geochemistry of heavy metals in soils: a case study from the NE area of Vaslui County, Romania. J Geochem Explor 176:20–32
- <span id="page-22-5"></span>Van den Oever F (2000) Aruba—a geochemical baseline study. Neth J Geosci GeoL En Mijnbouw 79(4):467–477
- <span id="page-22-12"></span>Veysseyre AM, Bollhöfer AF, Rosman KJR, Ferrari CP, Boutron CF (2001) Tracing the origin of pollution in French Alpine snow and aerosols using lead isotopic ratios. Environ Sci Technol 35(22):4463–4469
- <span id="page-22-20"></span>Visser JNJ, Young GM (1990) Major element geochemistry and paleoclimatology of the Permo-Carboniferous glacigene Dwyka Formation and post-glacial mudrocks in southern Africa. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 81(1):49–57
- <span id="page-23-2"></span>Vural A (2014) Trace/heavy metal accumulation in soil and in the shoots of Acacia Tree Gümüşhane-Turkey. Bull MTA 148:85–106
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>Walraven N, Van Os BJH, Klaver GT, Baker JH, Vriend SP (1997) Trace element concentrations and stable lead isotopes in soils. J Geochem Explor 59(1):47–58
- <span id="page-23-6"></span>Wu W, Xu S, Yang J, Yin HW, Lu H, Zhang K (2010) Isotopic characteristics of river sediments on the Tibetan. Plateau 269(3–4):406–413
- <span id="page-23-1"></span>Yalçın MG, Narin İ, Soylak M (2007) Heavy metal contents of the karasu creek sediments, Niğde, Turkey. Environ Monit Assess 128:351–357
- <span id="page-23-5"></span>Zhang J, Liu CL (2002) Riverine composition and estuarine geochemistry of particulate metals in China—Weathering Features Anthropogenic Impact and Chemical Fluxes Estuarine. Coast Shelf Sci 54:1051–1070
- <span id="page-23-3"></span>Zhu H, Bing H, Yi H, Wu Y, Sun Z (2018) Spatial distribution and contamination assessment of heavy metals in surface sediments of the Caofeidian Adjacent Sea after the Land Reclamation, Bohai Bay Hindawi. J Chem, Article ID 2049353, 13 p
- <span id="page-23-4"></span>Zoller WH, Gladney ES, Gordon GE, Bors, JH (1974) Emissions of trace elements from coal fred power plants. In: Hemphill DD (ed.) Trace substances in environmental health. University of Missouri-Colombia, 8, 167–172

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.