**ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **Heavy metal contents of contaminated soils and ecological risk assessment in abandoned copper mine harbor in Yedidalga, Northern Cyprus**

**Mohammed Omer Barkett<sup>1</sup> · Ertan Akün2**

Received: 10 October 2017 / Accepted: 12 May 2018 / Published online: 18 May 2018 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

### **Abstract**

Total concentrations of heavy metals in the soil from Yedidalga mine harbor, one of the biggest copper export facilities in Cyprus having produced large amounts of mine wastes, were measured to determine the total contents of Cu, Pb, Cr, Cd and Zn. The study also evaluated the level of contamination and assessed the potential ecological risk posed by heavy metals. Soil samples were collected and heavy metal contents were determined using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS). Several quantitative indices were utilized to assess the soil pollution status. Results revealed that comparatively all heavy metals exceeded the background values. The peak values were observed in the soils from the locations close to the Yedidalga farming lands. Geo-accumulation index  $(I_{\text{geo}})$  showed that Cu had the highest contamination  $(I_{\text{geo}} > 3)$  among the other heavy metals. Pearson correlation and cluster analysis identified that Cd, Cr and Pb had a relationship, besides the significant correlation between Cu and Zn, the presence of which was mainly related to the mine wastes. Pollution load index (PLI) graded the overall studied area as moderately–heavily contaminated level. Potential ecological risk analysis manifested that the entire ecological risk level indicated that 55.6% of sampling locations exceeded 300 (RI > 300). These study results suggest that pollution control measures must be implemented. The main cause of accumulation of these metals is found to be associated with the presence of dispersed mine wastes in Yedidalga mine harbor.

**Keywords** Heavy metals · Soil contamination · Pollution assessment · Ecological risk · Yedidalga mine harbor

# **Introduction**

Soil plays an essential role in the global environment and acts as an ultimate reservoir for numerous pollutants (Adriano [2001\)](#page-11-0). Among pollutants, heavy metals are of serious concern worldwide and are considered to be the most hazardous pollutant groups, especially due to their toxicity, ecological risks, non-degradable nature, biogeochemical recycling nature and environmental persistence (Soylak

 $\boxtimes$  Ertan Akün eakun@ciu.edu.tr; mertanakun@gmail.com Mohammed Omer Barkett maame333@gmail.com; hockynorha123@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Cyprus International University, Haspolat, Mersin 10, Nicosia, Northern Cyprus, Turkey

Faculty of Engineering, Biotechnology Research Center, Cyprus International University, Haspolat, Mersin 10, Nicosia, Northern Cyprus, Turkey

et al. [2005;](#page-12-0) Nobi et al. [2010](#page-12-1); Zhang et al. [2016](#page-13-0)). Contamination of soils by trace metals have been a major concern to agricultural products and human health due to their possible transfer into the food chain. In general, heavy metals in soils may possibly be derived either from the weathering of soils (geogenic) or could be induced as a result of various contributions from anthropogenic activities, such as traffic emissions, industrial activities, mining and metallurgical operations and metropolitan wastes (Adriano [2001](#page-11-0); Hu et al. [2013\)](#page-12-2). Mining and smelting facilities have been found to be the most significant sources of a variety of toxic and potentially dangerous elements in the surrounding environments, resulting in the contamination of the soils. Particularly, mining operations have severe environmental impacts on the soils and cause persistent toxicological problems for the surrounding environment even after centuries (Zornoza et al. [2012](#page-13-1); Khalil et al. [2013](#page-12-3)).

Hence, accumulation of heavy metal contents in surface soils, due to continued exposure become high and provide perceptible metal contamination that can be equal to or higher than the natural environment input in various geochemical forms (Eisler [2004](#page-12-4); Hu et al. [2013](#page-12-2)). This can be associated with environmental quality, particularly in agricultural soils close to mining areas. Consequently, this may not only result in soil contamination, but also adversely affect agricultural productivity and safety, creating potential health risks to the inhabitants (El Hamiani et al. [2010;](#page-12-5) Moore et al. [2011\)](#page-12-6). Thus, determination of the soil pollution status and assessment of soil heavy metal contamination in abandoned mining sites become a significant issue (Loska et al. [2004\)](#page-12-7).

Lefke district in Northern Cyprus has been an area of severe mining and has been exploited for long periods in search of copper, gold and iron mineralization. Copper–iron mining activities and ore-processing started in the area in the early 1900s and continued until the mid-1970s. Huge quantities of Cu- and Fe sulfide ores were produced between 1930 and 1970s during the operation period predominantly around Lefke (Constantinou [1994](#page-11-1)). These extensive copper mining operations in Lefke district were massively carried out at the main Gemikonaği site located 50–100 m from the Mediterranean sea coast and used as a port termed Yedidalga mine harbor in the village of Yedidalga (Yükselen [2002\)](#page-13-2). The land area that was subjected to potential contamination has resulted in large heaps of abandoned mine wastes, covering approximately 2000 hectares (Cohen [2002\)](#page-11-2). After abandoning the mining operations in 1974, tons of mine waste (tailings, low grade ore) estimated to be approximately 10 million tons were left behind (Akün [2008\)](#page-11-3). These uncovered mine waste and residual heaps have not undergone any kind of environmental treatment, which also distorted the landscape (Yükselen [2002](#page-13-2)). These constitute extensive contaminations and the mine wastes left in the area gave way to a permanent heavy metal (Cu, Cd, Pb, Cr, Zn) pollution in the sea and soil environment, which resulted in a serious threat to agricultural land and extended risk inputs to nearby surface waters and ecosystem (Pyatt [2001](#page-12-8); Gucel et al. [2009](#page-12-9)). Nowadays, the Yedidalga harbor area covers a large thick layer of soil with gray and black colors, due to the input of mine wastes. In fact, this comprises a sign of reflection of soil pollution by metals present in mine wastes and transformed the area into a potentially contaminated area. This situation creates serious environmental risks related to agricultural land and surface waters and may finally cause potential health risks to local inhabitants (Zornoza et al. [2012](#page-13-1)). Thus, it became a critical issue to describe the current situation of heavy metal contamination status and the level of their contents in the surface soil, to suggest worthwhile remediation approaches. However, little information exists on the current status of the harbor area and there are no previous studies in the current area. Being the first research study at this location, it was thus vital to assess the total concentration of heavy metals and their ecological risks upon agricultural land and ecosystem.

The main objective of the current study was thus to determine the total concentration of selected metals (Cu, Cd, Pb, Cr, and Zn) in topsoil of Yedidalga mine harbor in Northern Cyprus for the first time and to assess the status of their potential hazards to the environment. Different pollution indices: geoaccumulation index  $(I_{\text{geo}})$ , pollution load index (PLI), potential ecological risk factor  $(E_r^i)$ , and potential ecological risk index (RI) were used to evaluate the contamination and risks associated with heavy metals in the soil. Multivariate analysis (PCA—principal component analysis; CA—cluster analysis) and correlation matrix were also applied to identify the association between metals and their possible contributing sources.

# **Materials and methods**

### **Study area**

This study was carried out at an old abandoned mine harbor in Yedidalga village in Cyprus. Yedidalga is located at a latitude of 35°08′39.22′′N and longitude of 32°48′28.96′′E, approximately 40 km west of Nicosia, 3.8 km north of central Lefke and 1.2 km north-west of Gemikonaği (35°08′34′′N–32°48′58′′E) in the Lefke district (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). It displays Mediterranean climate: hot, relatively dry, with rainfall mostly during November to March. The geological setting of the wide area encompasses Troodos Ophiolite Complex and is in compliance with the geological characteristics, consisting of an elongated dome resulting from uplift caused by emplacement of the central serpentinite diapir (Christodoulou [1959\)](#page-11-4). The major land surrounding the harbor is mostly used for agriculture and the surrounding is densely inhabited. There were a number of docks for mine exportation used by some American and English companies in the region. Besides, Yedidalga mine harbor was one of the biggest copper and gold export facilities in North Cyprus, which operated until 1974. After cessation of the mining operations and finally closing down of the harbor facilities in 1974, tons of mine wastes were left behind at the mining sites and exportation harbors. Such huge quantities of mine wastes had resulted in a legacy of abandoned mine wastes at Yedidalga mine harbor, without any environmental treatment (Baycu et al. [2015\)](#page-11-5). Thus, a vast quantity of mine wastes, seriously contaminated by trace metals (Cu, Cd, Pb, Cr, and Zn), could be easily transported from the source area by being exposed to wind and other environmental factors to nearby agricultural lands and the sea.



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Map of the study area and sampling locations

### **Soil sampling and analytical methods**

#### **Sampling and preparations**

Soil pollutants such as heavy metals display seasonal variations (Suthar et al. [2009\)](#page-13-3). The soil sampling was carried out during the dry period in 2016, collecting a total of 27 representative samples from 9 different sampling locations, 50 m apart from each other, covering the entire harbor area via a grid system designed. During sampling, GPS devices were utilized for recording the exact coordinates of the sampling locations at Yedidalga mine harbor (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). According to soil sampling strategy guidelines (Desaules et al. [2001](#page-12-10)), sampling was carried out in each of these representative locations, equally sub-divided into three and taken at three equivalent points within a 2 m diameter circle. These composite samples were retrieved by employing a spiral auger of 2.5 cm diameter at a depth of 0–20 cm. Besides, further soil samples were collected from another location, to serve as the control area (unpolluted) which is 40 km away from the abandoned mine area. Right after collection, the samples were placed in polyethylene bags, transported to the laboratory and were air-dried at room temperature for 5 days, homogenized and then sieved through  $a < 2$  mm sieve to remove stones, tree leaves, and coarse debris. Because of the affinity of trace metals toward fine-grained soil components, the samples were pulverized gently with agate pestle and mortar, and less than 63 µm fractions were stored in selfsealing polyethylene bags, to be ready for extraction and acid digestion methods.

#### **Analysis and quality assurance**

Soil samples were air-dried and sieved through  $\lt 2$  mm nylon sieves. The soil pH was measured by a pH meter (InoLab pH 7110-WTW) through a mixing process (1:2 w/v soil water suspension). Organic matter content (OM) was estimated by the mass differential method at 550 °C

in a furnace. Eutech Cond-600 (1:5 w/v soil–water suspensions) detected the electrical conductivity (EC) of soils. All investigations were carried out on the representative sample in triplicate.

To determine the total element concentrations of soil samples  $(< 63 \mu m$  fraction), closed digestion system was employed for the samples. 1 g of each soil sample was digested in a microwave unit (BERGHOF speed*wave* MWS-2, Germany) using strong acids according to the EPA 3050B method (USEPA [1996](#page-13-4)). Aqua regia + HF digestion (method B), in conformance with the EN13656 (CEN [2002](#page-12-11)) technique, was employed in this work. Weighed samples were placed in 100 mL Teflon vessels and a freshly prepared mixture of 2 mL  $HNO<sub>3</sub> (65%) + 6 mL HCl (37%)$  and 2 mL HF (40%) was added to the sample, manually shaken for 1 min, and then digested for 40 min. Each digested solution was filtered through a 0.8 µm Millipore membrane, taken into the 25 mL flask and diluted with triply Milli-Q water. A blank digest was carried out in a similar way with an absence of soil sample. The heavy metal (HM) detection was performed by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu AA-7000 Series Model, FAAS). To insure the accuracy of the method and validate the precision, standard reference material (SRM, GBW 08303) for polluted farmland soil was analyzed for corresponding elements. The accuracy of the certified and observed values of the metals is given in Table [1.](#page-3-0) The detection limit of each selected element was defined and expressed as three times the standard deviation  $(3 \times SD)$  of ten blanks concentrations. The detection limit values of the observed elements in AAS were found to be 0.12 µg/L for Cu, 0.01 µg/L for Cd, 0.43 µg/L for Pb, 0.07 µg/L for Cr, and 0.02 µg/L for Zn. The instrumental settings and the measurements of metal absorptions were in compliance with the recommended conditions in the user manual. Dilution of the standard stock solutions (Merck) of 1000 mg/L was realized for each trace metal (Pb, Cd, Cr, Cu, and Zn) calibration. Milli-Q water and analyticalgrade reagents (Suprapur, Merk) were utilized for all analyses. All the glassware and plastic materials were soaked in  $10\%$  (v/v) of diluted  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  overnight for cleaning and then rinsed with Milli-Q water. Quality control protocol approved by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for heavy

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1 The o**bserved and certified values and percentage recovery of elemental concentrations in SRM (GBW 08303 polluted farmland soil) as average  $\pm$  SD

Element	Observed value $(\mu g/g)$	Certified value $(\mu g/g)$	Recovery $(\%)$	
Cd	$1.15 + 0.05$	1.21	95	
Cu	$114 + 5.9$	110.2	103	
Zn	$263 + 13$	261.1	100.7	
Cr	$109 + 5.4$	112.3	97	
Ph	$74 + 2.1$	73.1	101	

metal analysis was employed as a part of quality control. All elemental analyses were performed in triplicate  $(n = 3)$  and the concentrations were calculated on dry weight (mg/kg dw) basis. According to data calculations so as to ensure the quality of results, the measurement accuracy of concentrations and errors were estimated at  $\pm$  5% for all selected elements.

#### **Quantification of soil pollution**

Soil pollution status is often assessed by comparing metal concentrations with the relevant geochemical background values or related environmental guidelines. There are various indices for evaluating the degree of HM contamination, and among those indices the index of geoaccumulation  $(I_{geo})$ , single contamination factor  $(C_f^i)$ , and pollution load index (PLI) were used in this study. Geoaccumulation index  $(I_{\text{geo}})$  is a tool that enables the evaluation of contamination by comparing the recent and pre-industrial concentrations of the metals in the earth crust (Srinivasa Gowd et al. [2010](#page-12-12); Müller [1969](#page-12-13)). It is calculated using the following mathematical equation (Eq. [1](#page-3-1)):

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
I_{\rm geo} = \log_2 \left[ \frac{C_n}{1.5 \times B_n} \right],\tag{1}
$$

where  $C_n$  is the concentration of the measured metal in the soil (mg/kg) and  $B<sub>n</sub>$  is the geochemical background value of the corresponding metal in the Earth's crust (mg/kg). The factor '1.5' is a constant value, used to detect and analyze the very tiny anthropogenic influences (Fei et al. [2011](#page-12-14)). The background reference value used in the current study is based on the average continental crust of worldwide soils (Kabata-Pendias  $2011$ ). The  $I_{\text{geo}}$  values proposed by Muller ([1969\)](#page-12-13) were interpreted as:  $I_{\text{geo}} \leq 0$ —uncontaminated; 0 <  $I_{\text{geo}} \leq 1$ —uncontaminated to moderately contaminated; 1 <  $I_{\text{geo}} \leq 2$ —moderately contaminated;  $2 < I_{\text{geo}} \leq 3$  —moderately to heavily contaminated;  $3 < I_{\text{geo}} \leq 4$ —heavily contaminated;  $4 < I_{\text{geo}} \leq 5$ —heavily to extremely contaminated;  $I_{\text{geo}}$  > 5—extremely contaminated.

The single contamination factor  $(C_f^i)$  was calculated by the mathematical formula (Eq. [2\)](#page-3-2) to assess the degree of contamination in soil.

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
C_i^i = (C_n^i/B_n^i), \qquad (2)
$$

where  $C^i$ <sub>n</sub> is a measured concentration of metal *i* in the soil sample (mg/kg) and  $B^i$ <sub>n</sub> is the background value of same metal *i* in the soil (Kabata-Pendias [2011\)](#page-12-15).

The pollution load index (PLI) of the metals proposed by Tomlinson et al. [\(1980](#page-13-5)) was calculated by the following formula  $(Eq. 3)$  $(Eq. 3)$  $(Eq. 3)$ :

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
PLI = (C_{f_1} \times C_{f_2} \times C_{f_3}, \dots, C_{f_n})^{1/n},
$$
\n(3)

where *n* is the number of parameters (i.e., 5 elements) and  $C_f^i$  is the single contamination factor of each metal. The results of the PLI values related to the quality of soil (Singh et al. [2003](#page-12-16); Zhang et al. [2011\)](#page-13-6) are shown in Table [2](#page-4-0).

Potential ecological risk index (RI), initially introduced by Hakanson ([1980\)](#page-12-17), was employed to estimate the degree of metal pollution in soil. RI represents the sensitivity of different biological communities to toxic metals and illustrates the potential eco-risks caused by heavy metals. The integrated RI is calculated as the sum of all single ecological risk factors of heavy metals in soils and is determined as follows (Eqs. [4](#page-4-1) and [5](#page-4-2)):

$$
RI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{r}^{i}, \qquad (4)
$$

where  $E_{\rm r}^i$  is the monomial ecological risk factor of metal *i*, and  $T_r^i$  is the toxic-response factor of metal *i* according to the toxicity of heavy metals and the response of the environment.

$$
E_{\rm r}^{\ i} = T_r^{\ i} \times \frac{C_n^{\ i}}{C_b^{\ i}}.\tag{5}
$$

Based on the report of Hakanson [\(1980](#page-12-17)), the toxic factor for Cu, Pb is 5, 30 for Cd, 2 for Cr, and 1 for Zn (Yu et al. [2012\)](#page-13-7).  $C_n^i$  is the measured concentration of metal *i* and  $C_b^i$  is the background value (Kabata-Pendias [2011\)](#page-12-15) of metal *i*. The higher the values of  $E_t^i$  and RI, the higher are the eco-risks of metals. The categorization of potential ecological risk indices and the corresponding risk grades is summarized in Table [2](#page-4-0).

All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v.20 with assisting OriginPro 9.0 software. GIS mapping technique was used to illustrate the spatial distribution of the PLI and RI in the study locations using ArcGIS 10.4. In addition, Pearson's correlation, principal component analysis (PCA), and cluster analysis (CA) were also performed. In the PCA, the principal components were calculated by using varimax-normalized rotation method on the analytical data to estimate the sources. Before execution of cluster analysis, raw data were standardized by means of the *Z* score (with a mean of 0 and standard variation of 1). The Euclidean distances for similarities were calculated by the following formula (Guan et al. [2014](#page-12-18)):

$$
\begin{cases}\nS_{ik} = (I_{Ni} \text{R} \text{I}_i) \\
S_{jk} = (I_{Nj} \text{R} \text{I}_j) \\
d_{ij} = \sqrt{(I_{Ni} - I_{Nj})^2 + (\text{R} \text{I}_i - \text{R} \text{I}_j)^2}\n\end{cases} (6)
$$

<span id="page-4-1"></span>After the hierarchical dendrogram was constructed, totally five heavy metals were then divided into clusters.

## **Results and discussion**

#### <span id="page-4-2"></span>**Soil properties**

The physicochemical results of the soil samples are listed in Table [3.](#page-5-0) In general, the total soil samples were widely exposed to distinct soil characteristics. Based on USDA ([1993\)](#page-13-8) for soil classification, the pH values across the soils in the entire study area showed a wide range as follows: (1) extremely acidic (3.5–4.4) soils: S1, S4, S5, S7, S8, and S9; (2) strongly acidic (5.1–5.5) soil: S6; and (3) moderately acidic (5.6–6.0) soil: S2. Several factors can influence the acidity of the soil. Within the scope of this study, the acid mine waste drainage from the mine is accepted to be one of the factors responsible for this. Moreover, the chemical reactions related with the ore-processing activities possibly altered the physiochemical properties of the soil (Monaci et al. [2011](#page-12-19)), while sulfides in mine tailings possibly reacted with water vapor to generate acid waters leading to solubilizing of heavy metals (Moreno and Nerretneiks [2006](#page-12-20)).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 2** Class indices of potential ecological risk index (RI), potential ecological risk factor  $(E_r^i)$ , contamination factor  $(C_f^i)$ , and pollution load index (PLI) in relation to soil quality

RI	Risk degree <sup>a</sup>	$E_{\cdot}^{\ i}$	Pollution degree <sup>a</sup> $C_f^i$		Contaminated level <sup><math>a</math></sup> PLI Soil quality <sup>b</sup>		
RI < 150	Low risk	$E_r^i$ < 40	Low	$C_{\epsilon}$ < 1	Low $C_{\epsilon}$	0	Background concentration
$150 \leq R$ I < 300 Moderate risk		$40 \le E_r^i < 80$	Moderate		$1 \leq C_{\epsilon} < 3$ Moderate $C_{\epsilon}$		0-1 Unpolluted
	$300 \leq R$ I < 600 Considerable risk $80 \leq E^2$ < 160		Considerable		$3 \leq C_f < 6$ Considerable $C_f$		1–2 Unpolluted to moderately polluted
$RI \geq 600$	Very high risk	$160 \le E_r^i < 320$ High risk		$C_f \geq 6$	High $C_f$		2-3 Moderately polluted
		$E_{r}^{i} \geq 320$	Very high				3–4 Moderately to highly polluted
							4–5 Highly polluted
							$> 5$ Very highly polluted

a Hakanson ([1980\)](#page-12-17)

 $<sup>b</sup>$ Singh et al. ([2003\)](#page-12-16), Zhang et al. [\(2011](#page-13-6))</sup>

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 3** Physicochemical properties of the soil samples from the study area



*OM* organic matter, *EC* electrical conductivity, *SCL* sand–clay–loam, *SiL* silt–loam, *CL* clay–loam, *L* loam a USDA [\(1993](#page-13-8))

Besides, neutral pH mean values determined in control soil samples strengthened the above explanation for the acidity of the soil samples analyzed. The average organic matter contents (OM), on the other hand, ranged from 0.83 to 4.06% in the overall study locations. The organic matter contents in harbor soils are found to be much lower than the average organic content in the control soil. In fact, the differences in organic matter reveals a reduction of the organic content in soils due to soil disruptions caused by mine wastes (West and Post [2002](#page-13-9); Mccauley et al. [2017\)](#page-12-21). However, some sampling locations (e.g., S2, S5, and S6) showed a normal content (West and Post [2002](#page-13-9)) because of a slight vegetation cover in some parts in the vicinity. Widely varying high electrical conductivity (EC) values were observed at the samples. According to USDA triangle for soil classification, the soil samples from the study area were classified as silty-loam and sand–clay–loam, while the soil at the control location was classified as loam.

# **Total concentrations of heavy metals and spatial variations**

The results of statistical analysis (mean, range, standard deviation, skewness, and kurtosis) for heavy metals in the study soils are summarized in Table [4](#page-5-1). To make possible the evaluation and comparison measurements, the following are utilized together: the average control values (ACVs) of metals in the current study, Turkish Soil Pollution Control

Cu Pb Cr Cd Zn Min 154.6 41.2 5.7 1.9 90.4 Mean  $\pm$  SEM<sup>c</sup> 208.4  $\pm$  27.6 119.4  $\pm$  20.6 18.38  $\pm$  3.1 6.19  $\pm$  0.97 144.2  $\pm$  27.64 Max 421.3 208.3 32.9 10.3 357.1 Standard deviation 82.9 61.9 9.2 2.9 82.9 Skewness 2.6 0.2 0.08 − 0.36 2.59 Kurtosis  $7.2 \t-1.05 \t-0.98 \t-1.3 \t7.15$ Average control values 50.6 38.2 4.3 1.2 81.7 Clean soil  $(TSPCR)^{a}$  50 100 10 1 300 Polluted soil  $(TSPCR)^a$  100 150 250 5 500 Dutch standard target values  $36 \times 85 \times 100 \times 0.8 \times 140$ Limit of environment in the European Union (EEA) 2–100 2–200 1–1000 0.01–0.70 10–300 Limit of world unpolluted soil<sup>b</sup>  $13-24$  22-44 12-83 0.37-0.78 45-100

Mean of three determinations

a Turkish Soil Pollution Control Regulations TSPCR [\(2000](#page-13-10))

<sup>b</sup>Mean ranges of world unpolluted soil Fergusson [\(1990](#page-12-22)), Kabata-Pendias and Pendias [\(1992](#page-12-23))

c Standard error of the mean (SEM)

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Table 4** Summary statistics of elemental concentrations (dry-wt) in soil samples under the study area and some reference values (mg/kg)

Regulation (TSPCR [2000](#page-13-10)), Dutch target soil guideline (VROM [2000](#page-13-11)), standard threshold limits of European Union soils (EEA [1999](#page-12-24)), and world unpolluted soils (Fergusson [1990](#page-12-22); Kabata-Pendias and Pendias [1992](#page-12-23)). The total concentrations of the observed elements (Cu, Pb, Cr, Cd, and Zn) in the soil samples widely varied (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)). The average values (in mg/kg) were as follows: Cu, 208.4; Pb 119.4; Cr, 18.38; Cd, 6.19; and Zn, 144.2. In addition to these mean values, total metal concentration ranges were 154.6–421.3 for Cu, 41.2–208.3 for Pb, 5.7–32.9 for Cr, 1.9–10.3 for Cd, and 90.4–357.1 mg/kg for Zn. As Fig. [2](#page-6-0) shows, the distribution of heavy metal concentrations in the soil of the sampling locations followed the order  $Pb > Cu > Zn > Cr > Cd$  at the location S1, and  $Cu > Pb > Zn > Cr > Cd$  at the locations S2, S4, S7, and S8, while the order was  $Cu > Zn >$ Pb  $Cr > Cd$  at the locations S3, S5, S6, and S9. Among the accumulated elements from the soils, Cu, Pb, and Zn were found to be dominating. In fact, the soil polluted by heavy metals as a result of the continuous weathering of mine waste produced by the ore-processing activities over half a century was found to display values much higher than the corresponding average control values (ACVs). Except for Cr, almost the entire metal content values exceeded the world's unpolluted soil limits. Apart from Cu and Cd, the mean concentration of the examined elements was lower than the limit of 'polluted soil' established by the Turkish Soil Pollution Control Regulations (TSPCR [2000\)](#page-13-10). However, by utilizing Dutch standard limits (VROM [2000](#page-13-11)), which are based on a broad and expansive investigation of studies for both ecotoxicological and human effects from soil contaminants, it can be said that relatively 99.9% of soil samples were highly contaminated by Cu and Cd. On the other hand,



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Distribution of heavy metal concentrations in the soil of the Yedidalga study area

66.7% samples were contaminated by Pb and 33.3% for Zn were considered as contaminated. In fact, among the selected metals, the detected values significantly exceeded the corresponding limit background values (Table [4\)](#page-5-1). Unquestionably, Cu has the highest content levels in the studied soils, about fourfold higher than the limit values of soils suggested by the European Union of Environment (EEA [1999\)](#page-12-24) and 8.4 times more than the 'safe' limit of Turkish soil (TSPCR [2000\)](#page-13-10). On the other hand, the metal concentrations of the current study were relatively lower than the previous studies' results on soil metal contents in other sites of Cyprus soil near mining areas, such as CMC-abandoned copper mine in Lefke, North Cyprus (Baycu et al. [2015\)](#page-11-5) and Gemikonaği (Xeros) copper and gold mine, North Cyprus (Yükselen [2002\)](#page-13-2).

However, some of the metal values measured in this study were higher than those measured in other areas of the region such as Mount Olympus in Troodos area, Cyprus (Pyatt [1999\)](#page-12-25), as well as than those in some international soils: gold mine in Wadi El-Allaqi, Egypt (Rashed [2010\)](#page-12-26), 'and Mn mining' in Drama District, Northern Greece (Sofianska and Michailidis [2016](#page-12-27)). Based on the current study results, it can be observed that Yedidalga soil was highly contaminated by Cu, Cd, Zn, Pb, and Cr. Comparatively, Cu (421.3 mg/kg), possessing the maximum concentration in the overall measured metals, was almost 2.4 times lower than the Cu content (1023 mg/kg) of the CMC-abandoned copper mine area in North Cyprus (Baycu et al. [2015](#page-11-5)). However, this amount was observed to exceed the concentration of Cu (3.1 mg/ kg) measured in Egypt soil in the Wadi El-Allaqi gold mine area (Rashed [2010](#page-12-26)). The same behavior was observed for Cr, which ranged between 5.7 and 32.9 mg/kg, being lower than the contents of Cr (40 mg/kg) in the soil of Gemikonaği-Xeros in North Cyprus (Yükselen [2002](#page-13-2)). However, Cd was lower than that in the findings (Cd 73.6 mg/kg) of Baycu et al. ([2015](#page-11-5)) in the CMC copper mining area. Moreover, Pb presence seems to be highly significant and 4.7 times higher than the international soil values (world unpolluted soil). In fact, the value obtained for Pb displayed contamination signals to a certain extent and possesses the capability of changing the quality of water and other ecosystems. Although metals such as Cd and Pb are categorized as two of the most toxic and harmful elements for human beings that have no essential biological functions, they are further considered as heavy metals of public concern (Tchernitchin et al. [1998\)](#page-13-12). The mean value of Cd exceeded almost all the limit references (Table [4](#page-5-1)). Indeed, there is developing environmental worries about cadmium being among those eco-toxic heavy metals exhibiting hazardous impacts on soil health, plants, and other living things. Further, chronic accumulation of Cd in the human body can cause health complexity for kidneys, induce osteotoxicity, and damage the immune system (Wilson and Pyatt [2007](#page-13-13); Volpe et al. [2009](#page-13-14)). This heavy metal is also known to possess a triggering effect on breast cancer. Thus, based on the current study observations, the distribution of heavy metals and their concentration differences in the soil can influence several parameters. Based on Table [2](#page-4-0), low pH, low clay, and higher silt and sand content values enhance the transportation of soluble metals to other areas via acid mine drainage. Moreover, as numerous authors have reported (Burt et al. [2003;](#page-11-6) Conesa et al. [2006](#page-11-7)), mine dust and other particulate matters from mining plants are possibly transported by the wind. This in turn can be regarded as another crucial factor influencing the spread of metal-induced pollution.

In a different way, under superficial conditions of the environment and continuous weathering of mine waste, metals such as Cu, Pb, and Zn are often found in the nature in the form of sulfides, which are rapidly oxidized by air. Thus, they are separated from sulfur and a huge quantity of various metal pollutants escape from the source (mine waste and tailings) and move into the soil in the surrounding environment (He et al. [2005;](#page-12-28) Teng et al. [2010](#page-13-15)). Hence, the highest values in soils for the measured elements were observed in the sampling locations close to Yedidalga agricultural lands. Therefore, without controlling the continuous spread of metal contaminants and remediating the probable consequent mine pollution for the past several decades, the soil in Yedidalga vicinity and the surrounding local environment may influence agricultural products and negatively affect human health.

#### **Overview of pollution indices**

 $I_{\text{geo}}$  is the quantitative measure of the contamination index in the soils. Any increment in the current Igeo result levels verifies the anthropogenic nature. As shown in Table [5](#page-8-0) and Fig. [3](#page-8-1), apart from Cr which was uncontaminated for the entire sampling locations, the  $I_{\text{geo}}$  values for the majority of sampling locations (55.6%) were moderately to heavily contaminated by Cd (S1, S2, S4, S7, S8), 22.2% of the sampling locations by Pb  $(S1, S4)$ , and  $11\%$  of the sampling locations (S9) moderately by Zn. However, around 78% of the sampling locations (S1, S4, S5, S6, S9) were classified as heavily contaminated, while 11% (S9) were extremely contaminated by Cu. The maximum  $I_{\text{geo}}$  value determined was 4.32 for Cu, followed by 2.64 for Cd, 2.47 for Pb, and 1.94 for Zn. Therefore, the accumulation of these trace metals is associated with the presence of dispersed mine wastes in Yedidalga mine harbor.

The single pollution factor and overall pollution load in the soil of each sampling locations are presented in Table [6](#page-8-2) and Fig. [4](#page-9-0)a. Based on the result of the calculated  $C_f$  values for Cu, Cd, Pb, Cr, and Zn, wide variations of Cu and Cd values were found. The average Cu values exceeded the maxi-mum standard values more than twice, as given in Table [2.](#page-4-0) The entire locations displayed high contamination with Cu,

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 5** Geocontamination index  $(I_{\text{geo}})$  and the level of different heavy metals in the study soils





<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Fig. 3** Geoaccumulation index  $(I_{\text{geo}})$  of the selected metals at the different locations in the Yedidalga soils

followed by 56% of the study locations which showed high contamination with Cd, followed by 22% of the study locations which showed high contamination with Pb, while 11% of the sample locations were classified as considerably contaminated by Zn and Cr showed lower contamination. The highest values of metal contaminations were encountered at the north and south-western part of the harbor, close to the farming area and sea coasts. These values suggested that the Yedidalga soil was extensively influenced by mining activities, indicating exceptionally extreme concentrations of Cu.

The overall assessment of metal pollution load at each of the locations was based on PLI. The GIS-based spatial distribution of PLI values (Fig. [4](#page-9-0)a) illustrated that serious metal pollution load values were encountered at locations close to the seashore and dispersed extensively near agricultural

<span id="page-8-2"></span>**Table 6** Single pollution factor  $(C_f^i)$  and pollution load index (PLI) in the soil of the study area

Sample location		Single pollution factor indices for heavy metals $(C_f^i)$				
	Cu	Pb	Cr	C <sub>d</sub>	Zn	heavy metals (PLI)
s1	13.79	8.33	0.66	9.37	2.07	4.30
s2	11.05	5.16	0.40	7.25	1.45	2.99
s <sub>3</sub>	12.0	2.13	0.52	2.67	1.67	2.26
s4	14.68	8.17	0.43	7.1	2.27	3.83
s5	16.05	5.08	0.27	5.38	2.57	3.13
s6	12.77	2.05	0.18	1.68	1.85	1.71
s7	11.45	5.24	0.78	7.18	1.55	3.49
s8	11.45	5.15	0.57	7.28	1.70	3.34
s <sub>9</sub>	30.09	1.65	0.13	2.78	5.76	2.54
Min-max	11.05-30.09	$1.65 - 8.33$	$0.13 - 0.78$	1.68-9.37	1.46–5.76	$1.71 - 4.3$
Average	14.81	4.77	0.44	5.63	2.32	3.06
Pollution status	High	Considerable	Low	Considerable	Moderate	Moderately to highly polluted



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Spatial distribution of **a** pollution load index (PLI) and **b** potential ecological risk index (RI) in soils in the Yedidalga study area

areas, indicating that the area received a massive amount of mine waste from the mine operations in Yedidalga harbor area. Owing to pollution load index (PLI) classification (Table [2](#page-4-0)), about 33% of the sampling locations were classified as moderately polluted with metals, 56% of the sampling locations were uncontaminated to highly polluted, and 11% close to the sea coast were highly polluted. Therefore, based on general pollution status, the area of Yedidalga harbor close to the farming areas is graded as highly polluted, and it was observed that Cu, Cd, and Pb contributed most to the level PLI of the vicinity soils, while there was moderate soil contamination by Zn and lower levels of Cr influenced the soil pollution.

### **Sources identification of heavy metals**

Table [7](#page-9-1) shows the inter-elemental relationships of heavy metals in the soil matrix and provides interesting information on the sources and pathways of the metals. According to the Pearson correlation analysis, very strong significant positive relationships existed between Cu and Zn (*r* = 1.000) and Cd and Pb  $(r = 0.907)$  at the  $P < 0.01$  significance level. Moderate positive correlation was also detected between Cd and Cr with  $r = 0.686$ , at  $P < 0.05$  level. The significantly correlated coefficients between metals could result from the same sources of pollution (Li and Feng [2012\)](#page-12-29). The statistical results also indicated that EC values showed positive correlation with soluble metals (e.g., Pb and Cr), suggesting the possibility of change related to the alternation process (Li and Feng [2012\)](#page-12-29).

To expose the analysis results better, PCA was also used for this study to further identify the sources of metal pollutants. Table [8](#page-10-0) shows the efficiency of the PCA method and the first four factor loadings of metals and their variances. The PC1, which accounted for 66.37% of the total variance, was positively dominated by Cr, Cd, and Pb, respectively. Cr and Pb were not as high as Cr in PC3 and Pb in PC4. However, PC1 showed a significant relationship and their average concentrations were higher than the corresponding background contents. Therefore, it suggested that PC1 findings

<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Table 7** Pearson's correlation coefficients among heavy metal contents, pH, OM, and EC values in the soil samples

Items pH OM EC Cu Pb Cr Cd Zn pH 1 OM 0.391 1 EC  $0.346 - 0.024$  1 Cu  $-0.382 -0.470 -0.481 1$ Pb − 0.172 − 0.419 0.481 − 0.363 1 Cr − 0.236 − 0.268 0.494 − 0.594 0.534 1 Cd  $-0.251 -0.418$  0.600  $-0.375$  0.907<sup>a</sup> 0.686<sup>b</sup> 1 Zn − 0.382 − 0.470 − 0.481 1.000a − 0.363 − 0.594 − 0.375 1

a Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

<sup>b</sup>Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Table 8** Results of principal component analysis **(**PCA) for sources of selected metals in the soils

	PC <sub>1</sub>	PC <sub>2</sub>	PC <sub>3</sub>	PC <sub>4</sub>
Eigenvalue	3.32	1.22	0.4	0.065
% Total variance	66.37	24.34	7.99	1.30
% Cumulative variance	66.37	90.71	98.7	100.0
Cu	$-0.452$	0.502	0.204	0.051
Pb	0.423	0.499	$-0.4454$	0.612
Cr	0.461	0.045	0.847	0.261
C <sub>d</sub>	0.448	0.495	$-0.041$	$-0.744$
Zn	$-0.452$	0.502	0.204	0.051



<span id="page-10-1"></span>**Fig. 5** Dendrograms of five different elements produced by hierarchical clustering

show the influence of mine activities in the region. Cu and Zn were highly correlated, demonstrating moderate positive factor loadings in PC2 with 24.34% of the total variance. Some studies showed that Cu was classically anthropogenically influenced (McMartin et al. [2002](#page-12-30)). PC3 accounted for 7.99% of the total variance dominated by strong positive loading of Cr, having the highest factor (0.847) in the overall PC loadings, whereas PC4 explained 1.3% of the total variance also indicating strong positive loading for Pb. Thus, within the scope of this study, the method can as well be identified as a further tracer of anthropogenic sources.

Hierarchical cluster analysis was also carried out to further divide the clusters of metal pollutants to identify the possible resources. The results were illustrated in a hierarchical dendrogram (Fig. [5](#page-10-1)). The degree of the association between heavy metals was determined by a distance cluster: the lower the value on the distance cluster, the more significant was the association (Fan-xin et al. [2016\)](#page-12-31). Three different clustering can be identified from Fig. [5.](#page-10-1) The first cluster includes Cd and Cr with a low distance cluster criterion (less than 5), indicating that Cd and Cr have a relationship. It was allied with the second cluster containing Pb, with their presence mainly associated with mining operations and atmospheric depositions (Peng et al. [2014\)](#page-12-32). The third cluster including Cu and Zn was also significantly influenced by mining activities. Cu, which indeed resulted from the deterioration of mine waste, also was found at the highest concentration in the Yedidalga harbor soils (Table [4\)](#page-5-1). Obviously, the results obtained are acceptably in conformance with the indications of descriptive statistics, cluster analysis, and correlation analysis (PC).

#### **Potential ecological risk analysis**

The single ecological risk indices and potential ecological toxicity response indices of soil heavy metals in Yedidalga harbor area are shown in Table [9](#page-11-8) and Fig. [4b](#page-9-0). The ecological risk  $(E_r^i)$  values of Cr and Zn in all locations were lower than 40, which indicated that these heavy metals posed low ecological risk to soils. The main elements causing potential ecological risks were Cu (40  $\leq E_r^i$  < 80) and Cd ( $E_r^i$  > 160), indicating moderate to high ecological risk. Pb demonstrated low eco-risk on the average. However, two locations (S1, S4) indicated moderate ecological risk. Among the metals displaying the highest potential ecological risk, Cd exhibited serious ecological risk ( $E_r^i > 160$ ), especially at locations S1 and S8. In addition, the elevated values of Cd and Cu in the study area were probably the result of mineral activities in the harbor. Generally, high ecological risk grades for Cu, Cd, and Pb were identified at the sample locations close to the farming areas.

Computed RI indices showed that 56% of the sampling locations posed considerable potential ecological risk, while 44% were classified as low-to-moderate risk (RI < 300) and 33% had  $150 \leq RI < 300$  values. Based on GIS-mapped spatial distribution, higher RI values were found in sampling locations (S1, S2, S4, S7, and S8) close to the Mediterranean Sea coast (50–100 m) and Yedidalga farming lands in the vicinity of the study area and relatively showed similar distribution as PLI (Figs. [1,](#page-2-0) [4b](#page-9-0)). Hence, soils around the obviously contaminated areas are more vulnerable for further potential ecological risks and eventually may create serious health problems to the local habitants. Therefore, this information concerning the polluted area of Yedidalga harbor soil enforces the need of essential intervention to reduce risks associated with heavy metals.



# **Conclusions**

The results of the current study illustrate the impact of for mer mine harbor activities on the abundance of heavy metal in the soils of the Yedidalga harbor area. The average con tents of Cu, Cd, Pb and Zn were detected to be far higher than those of international threshold values, while Cr was moderately low. Specially, this was valid for the sampling locations which were at a close proximity to the Yedidalga farming lands and Mediterranean Sea coast. The results of the correlation matrix and multivariate analyses indicated that the heavy metals in the soils were mainly present due to anthropogenic sources. These soils were significantly affected by pollutants associated with mine waste from Yedidalga mine harbor. In the vicinity of Yedidalga mine harbor, pollution assessment methods including  $I_{\text{geo}}$ , PLI, and  $C_f$  classify the soils as moderately to heavily contaminated with Cu, Cd, Zn, and Pb, but not Cr. According to RI results, these soils display moderate to considerable poten tial ecological risk to the environment, generated mainly by Cd, Cu, and Pb. Therefore, immediate intervention measures are recommended to control heavy metal pollution problems in the area, and developing a proper pollution protection and management strategy is suggested.

**Acknowledgements** This study was materially supported by the Envi ronmental Research Center of Cyprus International University. How ever, the study did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies.

### **References**

- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Adriano D (2001) Trace elements in terrestrial environments: bio geochemistry, bioavailability and risks of metals. Springer, New York, p 867
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Akün (2008) First Geosciences and Mining Activitites Conference (in Turkish). Scientific Perspective of Lefke—CMC improvement Study, kyrenia, TRNC
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Baycu G, Tolunay D, Ozden H, Csatari I, Karadag S, Agba T, Rognes SE (2015) An abandoned copper mining site in cyprus and assessment of metal concentrations in plants and soil. Int J Phytoremedi ation 17:622–631. [https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2014.92292](https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2014.922929) 9
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Burt R, Wilson MA, Keck TJ, Dougherty BD, Strom DE, Lindahl JA (2003) Trace element speciation in selected smelter-con taminated soils in Anaconda and Deer Lodge Valley, Montana, USA. Adv Environ Res 8:51–67. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1093](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1093-0191(02)00140-5) [-0191\(02\)00140-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1093-0191(02)00140-5)
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Christodoulou D (1959) The evolution of the rural land use pattern in Cyprus. Geographical Publication Limited, Cornwall, pp 7–18
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Cohen A (2002) Preliminary Report for Environmental Assessment of the Lefka-Xeros Area of Northern Cyprus. United Nation Office. Proj. Serv. Progr. Manag. Unit, Cyprus, pp 108
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>Conesa HM, Faz A, Arnaldos R (2006) Heavy metal accumulation and tolerance in plants from mine tailings of the semiarid Cartagena-La Unión mining district (SE Spain). Sci Total Environ 366:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.12.008>
- <span id="page-11-8"></span><span id="page-11-1"></span>Constantinou G (1994) Ancient copper mining in Cyprus and its effect on the environment. Geological Survey of Cyprus, Nicosia CRF

40 (1990) Code of Federal Regulations 40 Office of the Federal Register National Archives and Records Administration, Ch 1. Part 261 App II

- <span id="page-12-10"></span>Desaules A, Sprengart J, Wagner G, Muntau H, Theocharopoulos S (2001) Description of the test area and reference sampling at Dornach. Sci Total Environ 264:17–26. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00609-4) [S0048-9697\(00\)00609-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00609-4)
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>EEA (European Environment Agency) (1999) Environmental assessment No.2, Prepared in collaboration with a large number of individuals in EEA, EIONET and other institutions", Published by the European Environment Agency, Co. Copenhagen, 446 pp, ISBN: 92-9167-202-0, Catalogue No: GH-18-98-784-EN-C
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Eisler R (2004) Arsenic hazards to humans, plants, and animals from gold mining. Springer, New York, pp 133–165. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-21729-0_3) [org/10.1007/0-387-21729-0\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-21729-0_3)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>El Hamiani O, Khalil H, El Lounate K, Sirguey C, Hafidi M, Bitton G, Schwartz C, Boularbah A (2010) Toxicity assessment of garden soils in the vicinity of mining areas in Southern Morocco. J Hazard Mater 177:755–761. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazm](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.12.096) [at.2009.12.096](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.12.096)
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>EN13656 (2002) Characterization of waste—microwave assisted digestion with hydrofluoric (HF), nitric (HNO3) and hydrochloric (HCl) acid mixture for subsequent determination of elements. European Committee for Standardization (CEN), Brussels Critical. Aspects of biomass ashes utilization in soils: Composition, leachability
- <span id="page-12-31"></span>Fan-xin Q, Chao-fu W, Shou-qin Z, Xian-fei H, Wen-pin P, Xin J (2016) Soil heavy metal(loid)s and risk assessment in vicinity of a coal mining area from southwest Guizhou, China. J Cent South Univ 23:2205–2213. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11771-016-3278-7>
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>Fei L, Xiao-yu W, Fu-qiang T (2011) Potential ecological risk assessment of heavy metals in the suburban farmland soil from Xinxiang city. J Henan Norm 39: 84–87
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>Fergusson J (1990) Heavy elements: chemistry, environmental impact and health effects. Pergamon Press, New York, p 379
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>Guan Y, Shao C, Ju M (2014) Heavy metal contamination assessment and partition for industrial and mining gathering areas. Int J Environ Res Public Health 11:7286–7303. [https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerp](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph11070728) [h11070728](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph11070728)
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>Gucel S, Ozturk M, Yucel E, Kadis C, Guvensen A, Gücel S, Öztürk M, Yücel E, Güvensen A (2009) Studies on trace metals in soils and plants growing in the vicinity of copper mining area—lefke, Cyprus. Fresenius Environ Bull 18:360–368
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>Hakanson L (1980) An ecological risk index for aquatic pollution control. A sedimentological approach. Water Res 14:975–1001. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(80)90143-8) [://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354\(80\)90143-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(80)90143-8)
- <span id="page-12-28"></span>He ZL, Yanga XE, Stoffella b PJ (2005) Trace elements in agroecosystems and impacts on the environment. Review. J Trace Elem Med Biol 19:125–140.<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JTEMB.2005.02.010>
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Hu Y, Liu X, Bai J, Shih K, Zeng EY, Cheng H (2013) Assessing heavy metal pollution in the surface soils of a region that had undergone three decades of intense industrialization and urbanization. Environ Sci Pollut Res 20:6150–6159. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1135](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-1668-z) [6-013-1668-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-1668-z)
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>Kabata-Pendias A (2011) Trace elements in soils and plants, 4th edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp 41–42
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>Kabata-Pendias A, Pendias H (1992) Trace elements in soils and plants, 2nd edn. CRC Press, Boca Roton, p 365
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>Khalil A, Hanich L, Bannari A, Zouhri L, Pourret O, Hakkou R (2013) Assessment of soil contamination around an abandoned mine in a semi-arid environment using geochemistry and geostatistics: prework of geochemical process modeling with numerical models. J Geochemical Explor 125:117–129. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexpl](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2012.11.018) [o.2012.11.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2012.11.018)
- <span id="page-12-29"></span>Li X, Feng L (2012) Multivariate and geostatistical analyzes of metals in urban soil of Weinan industrial areas, Northwest of China.

Atmos Environ 47:58–65. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmos](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.11.041) [env.2011.11.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.11.041)

- <span id="page-12-7"></span>Loska K, Wiechulła D, Korus I (2004) Metal contamination of farming soils affected by industry. Environ Int 30:159–165. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120(03)00157-0) [org/10.1016/S0160-4120\(03\)00157-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120(03)00157-0)
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>Mccauley A, Jones Cl, Kathrin O (2017) Soil pH and Organic Matter.Montana State University-Nurtrient management, Mod. No-8
- <span id="page-12-30"></span>McMartin I, Henderson P, Plouffe A, Knight R (2002) Comparison of Cu–Hg–Ni–Pb concentration in soils adjacent to anthropogenic point sources: examples from four Canadian sites. Geochem Explor Environ Anal 2:57–73. [https://doi.org/10.1144/1467-](https://doi.org/10.1144/1467-787302-007) [787302-007](https://doi.org/10.1144/1467-787302-007)
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>Monaci F, Leidi EO, Mingorance MD, Valdés B, Oliva SR, Bargagli R (2011) Selective uptake of major and trace elements in Erica andevalensis, an endemic species to extreme habitats in the Iberian Pyrite Belt. J Environ Sci 23:444–452. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(10)60429-9) [org/10.1016/S1001-0742\(10\)60429-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(10)60429-9)
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>Moore F, Esmaeili K, Keshavarzi B (2011) Assessment of heavy metals contamination in stream water and sediments affected by the Sungun Porphyry Copper Deposit, East Azerbaijan Province, Northwest Iran. Water Qual Expo Heal 3:37–49. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12403-011-0042-y) [doi.org/10.1007/s12403-011-0042-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12403-011-0042-y)
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>Moreno L, Neretnieks I (2006) Long-term environmental impact of tailings deposits. Hydrometallurgy 83:176–183. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2006.03.052) [org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2006.03.052](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2006.03.052)
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>Muller G (1969) Index of geoaccumulation in sediments of the Rhine River. *Geo*. J 2:108–118
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Nobi E, Dilipan E, Thangaradjou T, Sivakumar K, Kannan L (2010) Geochemical and geo-statistical assessment of heavy metal concentration in the sediments of different coastal ecosystems of Andaman Islands, India. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 87:253–264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2009.12.019>
- <span id="page-12-32"></span>Peng S-B, Cai L, Li LS (2014) Remediation methods of cadmium contaminated soil and research progress on bioremediation. Inner Mong Environ Prot 26:86–91
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>Pyatt FB (1999) Comparison of foliar and stem bioaccumulation of heavy metals by *Corsican Pines* in the Mount Olympus Area of Cyprus. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 42:57–61. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1006/eesa.1998.1726) [org/10.1006/eesa.1998.1726](https://doi.org/10.1006/eesa.1998.1726)
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>Pyatt FB (2001) Copper and lead bioaccumulation by *Acacia retinoides* and *Eucalyptus torquata* in sites contaminated as a consequence of extensive ancient mining activities in Cyprus. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 50:60–64. [https://doi.org/10.1006/](https://doi.org/10.1006/eesa.2001.2087) [eesa.2001.2087](https://doi.org/10.1006/eesa.2001.2087)
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>Rashed MN (2010) Monitoring of contaminated toxic and heavy metals, from mine tailings through age accumulation, in soil and some wild plants at Southeast Egypt. J Hazard Mater 178:739–746. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.01.147>
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>Singh AK, Hasnain SI, Banerjee DK (2003) Grain size and geochemical partitioning of heavy metals in sediments of the Damodar River—a tributary of the lower Ganga, India. Environ Geol 39:90–98. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002540050439>
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>Sofianska E, Michailidis K (2016) Assessment of heavy metals contamination and potential ecological risk in soils affected by a former Mn Mining activity, Drama District, Northern Greece. Soil Sediment Contam 25:296–312. [https://doi.org/10.1080/15320](https://doi.org/10.1080/15320383.2016.1133560) [383.2016.1133560](https://doi.org/10.1080/15320383.2016.1133560)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Soylak M, Saraçoğlu S, Tüzen M, Mendil D (2005) Determination of trace metals in mushroom samples from Kayseri. Turkey Food Chem 92:649–652. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodc](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.08.032) [hem.2004.08.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.08.032)
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>Srinivasa Gowd S, Reddy MR, Govil PK (2010) Assessment of heavy metal contamination in soils at Jajmau (Kanpur) and Unnao industrial areas of the Ganga Plain, Uttar Pradesh, India. J Hazard Mater 174:113–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.09.024>
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Suthar S, Nema AK, Chabukdhara M, Gupta SK (2009) Assessment of metals in water and sediments of Hindon River, India: impact of industrial and urban discharges. J Hazard Mater 171:1088–1095. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.06.109>
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>Tchernitchin NN, Villagra A, Tchernitchin AN (1998) Antiestrogenic activity of lead. Environ Toxicol Water Qual 13:43–53. https://doi.org/10.1002/ (SICI)1098-2256(1998)13:1<43::AID-TOX2>3.0.CO;2-7
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>Teng YG, Ni SJ, Wang JS, Zuo R, Yang J (2010) A geochemical survey of trace elements in agricultural and non-agricultural topsoil in Dexing area, China. J Geochem Explor 104:118–127. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2010.01.006) [org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2010.01.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2010.01.006)
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Tomlinson DL, Wilson JG, Harris CR, Jeffrey DW (1980) Problems in the assessment of heavy-metal levels in estuaries and the formation of a pollution index. Helgol Meeres 33:566–575. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02414780) [org/10.1007/BF02414780](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02414780)
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>TSPCR (2000) Turkish soil pollution control regulations (in Turkish). Ministry of the Environment, Ankara
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>USDA (1993) Soil survey division staff: soil survey manual, 18th edn, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>USEPA (1996) Acid Digestion of Sludges, Solids and Soils, USEPA 3050B, SW-846 Pt.1 Office of Solid and Hazardous Wastes, USEPA, Cincinnati, OH
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>Volpe MG, Cara F, La Volpe F, Mattia A, De Serino V et al (2009) Heavy metal uptake in the enological food chain. Food Chem 117:553–560
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>VROM (2000) Circular on target values and intervention values for soil remediation, Annex A. In: Dutch Ministry of Housing Spatial Planning and Environment (VROM) (Ed.), The Hague
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>West TO, Post WM (2002) Soil organic carbon sequestration rates by tillage and crop rotation. Soil Sci Soc Am J 66:6. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2002.1930) [org/10.2136/sssaj2002.1930](https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2002.1930)
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>Wilson B, Pyatt FB (2007) Heavy metal dispersion, persistence, and bioaccumulation around an ancient copper mine situated in Anglesey, UK. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 66:224–231. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2006.02.015) [org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2006.02.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2006.02.015)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Yu J, Huang Z, Chen T, Qin D, Zeng X, Huang Y (2012) Evaluation of ecological risk and source of heavy metals in vegetable-growing soils in Fujian province, China. Environ Earth Sci 65:29–37. [https](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-011-1062-4) [://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-011-1062-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-011-1062-4)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>Yukselen MA (2002) Characterization of heavy metal contaminated soils in Northern Cyprus. Environ Geol 42:597–603. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00254-002-0556-5) [org/10.1007/s00254-002-0556-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00254-002-0556-5)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Zhang C, Qiao Q, Piper JDA, Huang B (2011) Assessment of heavy metal pollution from a Fe-smelting plant in urban river sediments using environmental magnetic and geochemical methods. Environ Pollut 159:3057–3070. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpo](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.04.006) [l.2011.04.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.04.006)
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Zhang Z, Juying L, Mamat Z, Qingfu Y (2016) Sources identification and pollution evaluation of heavy metals in the surface sediments of Bortala River, Northwest China. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 126:94–101.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2015.12.025>
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Zornoza R, Carmona DM, Acosta JA, Martínez-Martínez S, Weiss N, Faz Á (2012) The effect of former mining activities on contamination dynamics in sediments, surface water and vegetation in El Avenque stream, SE Spain. Water Air Soil Pollut 223:519–532. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-011-0879-5>