ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Creep behavior of slip zone soil of the Majiagou landslide in the Three Gorges area

Miaojun $\mathrm{Sun}^1\cdot\mathrm{Huiming}\;\mathrm{Tang}^2\cdot\mathrm{Mingyuan}\;\mathrm{Wang}^1\cdot\mathrm{Zhigang}\;\mathrm{Shan}^1\cdot\mathrm{Xinli}\;\mathrm{Hu}^2$

Received: 9 December 2015/Accepted: 16 August 2016/Published online: 25 August 2016 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

Abstract The creep properties of slip zone soil are critical to deformation prediction and slope stability analysis. A series of triaxial drained creep tests were conducted on the slip band soil from a creeping landslide. The results indicate that soil creep occurs in two stages, with confining and deviatoric stresses being critical factors. The long-term strength of the soil was estimated to be 60-75 % of the conventional strength according to the isochronous curves. The soil creep characteristics were used to predict the creep strain using both the Burgers and the Singh-Mitchell model, and large discrepancies were found between the predicted strain and test results. Accordingly, a new empirical model based on the Morgan Mercer Flodin growth model has been developed to describe the creep behavior of gravely clay in the slip zone. Four parameters of this model are estimated by nonlinear regression. The deformations predicted by this model are in reasonable agreement with experimental data.

Keywords Landslide · Slip zone · Clayey gravel · Triaxial drained test · Creep behavior

Miaojun Sun sunmj816@hotmail.com

Introduction

Many landslides exhibit long-lasting, small-scale movements under gravity loads that are affected by the creep property of slope materials (Desai et al. 1995). Recent fatalities and economic damages have amplified interest in slowly creeping landslides (Crosta et al. 2014; Jarman et al. 2014; Klimeš et al. 2016; Wartman et al. 2016). Much progress has been made using available models to backanalyze and predict creep in landslides, e.g., the Kelvin model (Feng et al. 2003; Gasc-Barbier et al. 2004; Mitchell 2008; Tang and Wang 2008; Rutter and Green 2011), Burgers model (Jian et al. 2009; Bizjak and Zupancic 2009; Bozzano et al. 2012), Voellmy model (Manzella and Labiouse 2009; Welkner et al. 2010; Pirulli et al. 2011) and Bingham model (Gauer et al. 2005; Geertsema et al. 2006; Ulusay et al. 2007; Di Maio et al. 2013). Considerable effort has also been made to correlate creep with external triggers such as underground erosion (Furuya et al. 1999; Bhuiyan et al. 2016; Yu et al. 2016), rainfall and groundwater (Sasaki et al. 2000; D'Odorico and Fagherazzi 2003; Qi et al. 2006; Peng et al. 2009), reservoir level (Deng et al. 2000; Qi et al. 2006), temperature (Ladanyi 2006; Vlcko et al. 2009; Darrow et al. 2012; Krautblatter et al. 2013).

Previous studies have applied pre-existing constitute models to analyze the creep behavior of homogeneous soil or rock, sometimes involving the testing of small-scale rock or homogeneous soil samples (Yang et al. 2004; Petley et al. 2005; Ham et al. 2006; Ham 2006; Wang 2008). In contrast, few studies of the creep behavior of landslide materials containing coarse particles, as largescale experiments, are required. However, the creep properties of geological materials containing coarse particles, especially soil in the slip zone, play a crucial role in the evolution of slopes. In particular, many studies have shown

¹ Power China Huadong Engineering Corporation Limited, Hangzhou 311122, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China

² Faculty of Engineering, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan 430074, Hubei, People's Republic of China

that rock fragments have a significant effect on the mechanical properties of soils (Bagherzadeh-Khalkhali and Mirghasemi 2009; Ham et al. 2010; Ishikawa and Miura 2011; Venkatarama Reddy and Latha 2013). It is therefore necessary to execute large-scale creep tests and model quantitative expressions of relevant materials with large clasts.

This paper reports a series of compression experiments carried out on large-scale clayey gravel samples obtained from the slip zone of the Majiagou landslide, which is an unstable slowly creeping natural slope(200 mm/a on average). The slip zone contains abundant coarse particles which necessitate the use of a large triaxial apparatus for determining sample strength and other relevant properties. These test results were used to analyze the creep characteristics of the slip zone, employing a new empirical creep equation to describe the time-dependent behavior of coarse granular soils.

Nature of investigated landslide

The Majiagou landslide is located on the left bank of the Zhaxi River which forms a tributary of the Yangtze River (Fig. 1). The landslide is composed of slide mass #1 and #2 (Fig. 2). Investigated here is slide mass #1, where slow and continuous deformation has been observed by GPS monitoring since 2009. The tongue-shaped mass is approximately 538 m long, 180 m wide and 13 m thick, indicating a volume of about 1.3×10^6 m³. The crown has formed at an elevation of 280 m above sea level (a.s.l), and the toe is 135 m.a.s.l. Slope angles in the landslide vary from 30° at the crown to 10° near to the toe. The landslide mass is comprised of clayey and silty gravel lying above flysch layers of argillaceous siltstones and silty mudstones of the Jurassic Suining Formation (J₃s), which have a dip of about 25°–30° in the direction of 280°–290° (Zhang and Tan. 2013). A monitoring network was established in October 2014 to improve understanding of the mechanism and deformation characteristics of this landslide. In order to install the monitoring instruments, two 40-m-deep trial wells (TW-A and TW-B) were excavated at the middle part of the landslide (see Fig. 2). During excavations, samples were collected from a profile across the slip zone exposed in TW-A (Fig. 3).

The triaxial creep experiments

Test equipment

An initial series of drained triaxial compression tests were conducted by a static strain-controlled triaxial machine (Fig. 4) to obtain the peak strengths. The maximum vertical force of the static testing system is 300 kN, and the maximum confining pressure is 1.5 MPa.

The triaxial creep tests were performed by a self-developed stress-controlled apparatus (Fig. 5). This creep testing device consists of a loading frame, a triaxial cell, an



Fig. 2 Photograph of Majiagou landslide, looking NE







Fig. 3 Close-up of the slip zone exposed in TW-A



Fig. 4 SY250 strain-controlled machine

electronic signal conditioning and control system, and a data acquisition and display system. Specially, it is equipped with a power container to supply stable pressure during loading. The maximum vertical force of the testing system is 100 kN, and the maximum confining pressure is 1.5 MPa. The testing time, the testing load (including the confining pressure and axial force), the axial and volume deformations of each specimen are automatically recorded.

Samples preparation and test scheme

Physical properties for the slip zone materials are listed in Table 1. The diameter (D) and height (H) of the cylindrical



Fig. 5 YLSZ150-3 stress-controlled machine

specimens were measured after preparation, and they averaged 149.8 and 300.5 cm, corresponding to H/D = 2.01. Figures 6 and 7 show the stress–strain and volume–axial strain curve of the static triaxial compression tests, respectively. The peak deviatoric stress was 206.76, 376.41, 686.57, 982.17 for confining stresses of 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6 MPa, respectively.

The time-dependent behavior of the soil was observed for a variety of drained conditions. Drained creep triaxial tests were conducted at four confining stresses (CS = 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, and 0.6 MPa) and four stress levels (SL = 0.30, 0.45, 0.60 and 0.75). Stress level (SL) was defined as the ratio of the creep stress with respect to the strength at a corresponding confining stress. A multiple-step loading scheme was used for this test, and the Boltzmann linear superposition law (Tan et al. 1989) was used to process the data obtained from the stepped loading. The stress level was defined to be the ratio of axial stress in the creep test to the peak stress in the drained triaxial compression tests under corresponding confining pressure. According to a large amount of test data, the axial deformation always becomes stable later than the volume deformation (Gao et al. 2012). Therefore, the axial deformation was chosen to be the criterion of the experiments. The load was maintained until the axial creep deformation became larger than 0.15. The interval for each deviatoric stress increment was 21-22 days in this study. To maintain a constant temperature inside the triaxial cell, the temperature in the laboratory was continuously maintained at 20 \pm 0.5 °C. The total test arrangement is shown in Table 2.

Sets	Particle size fractions (%)				Liquid limit (LL)	Plasticity index (I _p)	Particle density (ρ_s)	Water content (w)	Dry density (ρ_d)	
	Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay	(%)	(/)	(g/cm^3)	(%)	(g/cm^3)	
CT-1	38.3	13.4	23.3	25.0	28.1	10.2	2.71	12.4	1.84	
CT-2	39.0	13.7	22.1	25.2	28.5	10.3	2.73	12.7	1.86	
CT-3	38.9	13.0	22.8	25.3	27.8	9.2	2.72	12.6	1.85	
CT-4	39.2	13.5	21.9	25.4	29.1	11.0	2.72	12.8	1.84	

Table 1 Physical properties of the specimen sets



Fig. 6 Axial stress-axial strain curve of drained compression tests



Fig. 7 Volume strain-axial strain curve of drained compression tests

Table 2 Loading scheme of triaxial creep test

Creep tests of clayey gravel

Creep axial and volume deformation-time relationship curves of clayey soil under different confining pressures and increasing axial stresses are shown in Figs. 8 and 9, respectively. According to the Boltzmann superposition law, the strain-time relationship can be plotted as Fig. 10. From these figures, the deformation characteristics of the slip zone soil are as follows:

- The slip zone soil experienced two creep stages, i.e., the decay creep stage (primary stage) and constant speed creep stage (secondary stage), and none of the soil specimen got into an accelerated deformation stage during the experiments. Following each loading step, the soil specimen entered the decay creep stage after immediate strain and deformation increased persistently as creep time increased. The figures also indicate that time effects were more pronounced at higher deviatoric stresses. The relation between strain rate of the second creep stage and SL is shown in Fig. 11. It can be seen that the average creep rate of the secondary creep stage increased linearly with the increase of SL.
- 2. Experimental data allow us to identify the beginning of a constant rate creep stage because the creep curves clearly define the subsequent stage of decreasing strain rate. The start of the constant creep rate was taken as

Confining stress (MPa)	Stress level	Deviatoric stress (kPa)	Confining stress (MPa)	Stress level	Deviatoric stress (kPa)
0.1	0.30	62.03	0.4	0.30	205.97
	0.45	93.04		0.45	308.96
	0.60	124.06		0.60	411.94
	0.75	155.07		0.75	514.93
0.2	0.30	112.92	0.6	0.30	294.65
	0.45 169.38	169.38		0.45	441.98
	0.60	225.85		0.60	589.30
	0.75	282.31		0.75	736.63



Fig. 8 Axial strain-time relationship curves



Fig. 9 Volumetric strain-time relationship curves

36 h on average from the beginning of each loading step. The general relation is that the duration of the decayed creep stage increased linearly with the increase of deviatoric stress. Studies have suggested that soil grains may keep their position until breakage due to static fatigue of a number of particles located in the force chain during creep (Karimpour and Lade 2010, 2013; Karimpour 2012). Because the stresses on the boundaries are constant, rearrangement and reorientation of the broken particles will culminate in formation of new force chains and creep deformation is observed (Augustesen et al. 2004; Liingaard et al. 2004; Karimpour and Lade 2013). As particles fracture and fit into available voids, the coordination numbers increase and the critical forces passing through the particles decrease with time (Lade et al. 1998, Lade and Liu 1998; Karimpour and Lade 2010; Karimpour 2012). Therefore, the rates of particle breakage and creep deformations decrease with time. Once the stable packing structure and force chains have developed after a period of time, the same creep deformation rate is obtained (Lade 2007; Lade et al. 2009; Lade and Karimpour 2010). The observed creep behavior can be related to the mechanism presented above. When the SL increased, there were more particles to fracture in the specimen and it took more time for the specimen to form a stable structure, so the interval required for the strain rate to remain constant increased with SL in all tests.

3. Taking the axial strain and deviatoric stress values from Fig. 10 at a given time (such as t = 2, 4 h and so on), the isochronous stress-strain curves can be obtained, as shown in Fig. 12. These isochronous stress-strain curves of clayey gravel appear to be piecewise curves. For low values of loading stress, the relationship between stress and strain was approximately linear. As the deviatoric stress level increased, the deformation modulus of the soil decreased and the curve evolved into a hyperbola. The long-term strength of the clavey gravel, which is defined as the inflection point in the isochronous stress-strain curve (Yan et al. 2008), can be estimated to range from 0.60 to 0.75 of the instant strength. Moreover, we can conclude that the long-term strength decreased sharply as the creep time increased when the creep time is less than 136 h (Fig. 12).

Creep constitutive equation of clayey gravel

According to the characteristics of the creep curves, two well established creep models, the Burgers model and Singh–Mitchell model, were used to describe the timedependent behavior of the clayey gravel soil.

Comparisons of test data with established models

The Burgers model is composed of a Maxwell element and a Kelvin element (Fig. 13). The Maxwell element can be used to simulate the instantaneous and stationary creep strains, and the decreasing creep strain can be simulated by the Kelvin element (Barnes 2000).

The creep equation of the Burgers model is given as:

$$\varepsilon(t) = \frac{\sigma}{\eta_2}t + \left[\frac{1}{E_1} + \frac{1}{E_2}(1 - e^{-\frac{E_2}{\eta_1}t})\right]\sigma\tag{1}$$

where σ and ε are stress and strain; η_1 and E_1 are viscosity and modulus of the dashpot and spring elements in serial; and η_2 and E_2 are the viscosity and modulus of the dashpot and spring elements in parallel. The dashpot element can describe the linear relationship between creep stress and strain rate, while the spring element can describe the linear relationship between creep stress and strain.



Fig. 10 Axial strain-time relationship curves according to Boltzmann superposition law. $\mathbf{a} \sigma_3 = 0.1$, $\mathbf{b} \sigma_3 = 0.2$, $\mathbf{c} \sigma_3 = 0.4$, $\mathbf{d} \sigma_3 = 0.6$



Fig. 11 Strain rate-stress level relationship

The Singh–Mitchell model is an empirical equation with three parameters based on many drained and undrained tests (Singh and Mitchell 1969). It is valid for a variety of soils with stress levels in the range of 30–90 %. The Singh–Mitchell model combines exponential stress–strain rate and power strain rate–time relationships, which is expressed as:

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = \frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} = A e^{\alpha D} \left(\frac{t_1}{t}\right)^m \tag{2}$$

where ε is axial strain, *t* the creep time, and *D* the deviatoric stress level; and t_1 is the elapsed time at which *A* is defined. Moreover, *A* is the intercept on a plot of $\ln(d\varepsilon/dt)$ versus *D* when $t = t_1$; *m* is the absolute value of slope of $\ln(d\varepsilon/dt)$ versus $\ln t$, and α is the absolute value of the slope of $\ln(d\varepsilon/dt)$ versus $\ln D$.

Equation (2) is integrated to obtain strain. If the lower limit of integral is taken as t_1 , the simplified form can be written as:

$$\varepsilon = B_1 e^{\beta D} \left(\frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{t}_1}\right)^{\lambda} \tag{3}$$

$$B_1 = \frac{A_1 t_1}{1 - m}, \quad \beta = \alpha, \quad \lambda = 1 - m \tag{4}$$

where λ is the slope of ln ε against ln *t* at any fixed value of *D* and β is the slope of ln *t* against *D*, at any fixed time. *B*₁ is the intercept of ln ε against *D* at $t = t_1$.

The Singh–Mitchell and the Burgers models were used to fit the creep data (Fig. 14). The Burgers model can fit the initial sharp rising strain and the decayed strain better than the Singh–Mitchell model, but it cannot predict the trend of the second creep stage as well as the Singh–Mitchell model. Figure 14 reveals that both models can capture some aspects of the soil long-term behavior, but neither model accurately describes the long-term behavior of the



Fig. 12 Isochronous stress-strain curves under different confining stress. **a** CS = 0.1 MPa, **b** CS = 0.2 MPa, **c** CS = 0.4 MPa, **d** CS = 0.6 MPa



Fig. 13 Elements of a Burger's model

soil. Because they were developed by fitting the creep curves, these empirical models may not be general enough and their applicability tends to be limited to specific boundary and loading conditions, as pointed out by Liingaard et al. (2004).

A new empirical model

The above comparisons show that both models can describe certain time-dependent behaviors, but that discrepancies remain between the fits and the experimental data. Therefore, a new semiempirical creep formulation



Fig. 14 Creep model fitting for confining pressure = 0.1 MPa

was developed based on the experimental studies. The details of function selection and parameters estimates are provided below.

Morgan-Mercer-Flodin function

Decaying exponential, hyperbolic and power functions are widely used to describe the time-dependent response of soils (Singh and Mitchell 1969; Semple 1973; Mesri et al. 1981), but they might not be the most appropriate. When the deformation is predicted by a power or exponential law, the value of creep is generally too high in the early creep stages and too low in the later stages. The opposite occurs for creep deformation modeled by a hyperbolic formula (Fig. 15). Abundant data indicate that the shape of creep curves with two creep stages is often convex with an approach to an upper asymptote (Mesri et al. 1981). Therefore, a function with these characteristics can be a candidate for modeling test data.

Growth models have been widely used to describe timedependent responses (Tjørve 2003; Pandey and Chand 2004; Phoon et al. 2007; Kondraivendhan et al. 2013; Organtini and Russo 2013). It is reasonable to apply a nonlinear growth model to the creep strain of soil. The MMF model proposed by Morgan et al. (1975) has been used to describe a wide variety of nutrient–response relationships in higher organisms; this is an asymmetrical sigmoidal function with four parameters (Morgan et al. 1975; Seber and Wild 2003). The general form of the MMF function is:

$$y = \frac{ab + ct^{\delta}}{b + t^{\delta}} \tag{5}$$

The initial value of Eq. (5) is:

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{ab + ct^{\delta}}{b + t^{\delta}} = a \tag{6}$$

And the ultimate value of Eq. (5) is:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{ab + ct^{\delta}}{b + t^{\delta}} = c \tag{7}$$

In order to interpret the parameters physically, Eq. (5) is recast:

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\infty} - \frac{\varepsilon_{\infty} - \varepsilon_0}{1 + (kt)^{\delta}} \tag{8}$$

The creep strain, which subtracts the instant strain from the total strain, can be expressed as:



Fig. 15 Fitting results of different functions

$$\varepsilon_c = (\varepsilon_{\infty} - \varepsilon_0) - \frac{(\varepsilon_{\infty} - \varepsilon_0)}{1 + (kt)^{\delta}}$$
(9)

Defining $\varepsilon_{\infty} - \varepsilon_0$ as ε_f , we get Eq. (10):

$$\varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_f - \frac{\varepsilon_f}{1 + (kt)^{\delta}} \tag{10}$$

where ε_c is creep strain at a fixed time t; $\varepsilon_0 = a$ the strain at t = 0; $\varepsilon_{\infty} = c$ the upper asymptote; $k = (1/b)^{1/\delta}$ affects the creep rate and the duration of the first creep stage; and δ a parameter that controls the point of inflection. The point of inflection is located at: $t = [(\delta - 1)/(\delta + 1)]^{\delta}$, and $\varepsilon_t = (\delta - 2)/2\delta$. Figure 16 shows example plots of the MMF curves for different values of δ . There is no point of inflection for $\delta < 1$, and then the curve degenerates to a convex shape.

Determination of the parameters

For each creep curve, the four parameters were estimated using least squares (Newton–Gauss also known as Marquardt method). The following constraints apply to the fit coefficients:

$$\varepsilon_{\infty} > 0, \varepsilon_0 > 0, k > 0, \delta > 0, \varepsilon_{\infty} > \varepsilon_0$$

The fitting curves (Fig. 17) and associated parameters were determined for the MMF model (Table 3). Table 3 shows that ε_f depends on both the confining stress and the stress level, while *k* and δ only depend on the stress level.

The parameter ε_{f} , which is defined as $(\varepsilon_{\infty} - \varepsilon_{0})$, increases with the confining stress. When ε_{f} is plotted versus confining stress, as shown in Fig. 18, a linear relationship can be established as:

$$\varepsilon_f = \alpha \cdot \sigma_3 + \beta \tag{11}$$

where α and β are the slope and intercept of the straight line, respectively. From Fig. 18, $\beta = -0.0072$, -0.0171, -0.0238, -0.0308 for $\sigma_3 = 0.1$, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6 MPa,



Fig. 16 MMF curves for different values of δ



Fig. 17 MMF model fitting for different confining stresses. a Confining stress = 0.1 MPa, b confining stress = 0.2 MPa, c confining stress = 0.4 MPa, **d** confining stress = 0.6 MPa

Table 3 Parameters andcoefficients of determination R^2 derived from MMF model	Confining stress (MPa)	Stress level	ϵ_{∞}	£0	\mathcal{E}_{f}	k	δ	Correlation coefficient
	0.1	0.30	0.116	0.038	0.078	0.082	1.212	0.972
		0.45	0.201	0.050	0.151	0.053	1.072	0.990
		0.60	0.357	0.070	0.287	0.050	0.962	0.989
		0.75	0.576	0.101	0.475	0.041	0.844	0.987
	0.2	0.30	0.329	0.056	0.273	0.070	1.645	0.996
		0.45	0.655	0.122	0.533	0.066	1.352	0.991
		0.60	1.050	0.214	0.836	0.057	1.094	0.987
		0.75	1.470	0.266	1.204	0.043	0.899	0.985
	0.4	0.30	0.550	0.081	0.469	0.082	1.737	0.994
		0.45	1.245	0.290	0.955	0.075	1.393	0.988
		0.60	2.055	0.479	1.576	0.070	1.122	0.980
		0.75	3.002	0.804	2.198	0.046	0.810	0.977
	0.6	0.30	0.768	0.183	0.585	0.080	1.554	0.993
		0.45	1.794	0.319	1.475	0.073	1.366	0.989
		0.60	2.984	0.572	2.412	0.065	1.089	0.982
		0.75	4.151	0.595	3.556	0.053	0.898	0.979

 $\alpha = \gamma \cdot S_L +$

respectively. Compared to the strain obtained in the test, the value of β is much smaller and it is reasonable to ignore the value of β to simplify the model.

The relationship between α and stress level is shown in Fig. 19. The expression of α can be obtained by formula (3): where $\gamma = 11.50$ and $\eta = -2.67$ fitted from Fig. 19. By substituting Eq. (12) into Eq. (11), the expression of

 ε_f can be obtained as:

(12)



Fig. 18 Relationship between ε_f and confining stress



Fig. 19 Relationship between α and stress level

$$\varepsilon_f = (\gamma \cdot SL + \eta) \cdot \sigma_3 \tag{13}$$

Table 3 indicates that k and δ appear to be independent of confining stress. Thus, the average values of k and δ in Eq. (10) for different confining stresses can be plotted against shear stress level SL, as shown in Fig. 20 and Fig. 21. The fitting curve suggests the relation between k and SL is

$$k = \frac{\mathrm{SL}}{\theta_1 \cdot \mathrm{SL} + \theta_2} \tag{14}$$

and that between δ and SL is

$$\delta = \lambda \cdot \mathrm{SL} + \mu \tag{15}$$

By combining Eqs. (13), (14) and (15) with Eq. (10), the empirical creep model can be established as

$$\varepsilon_t = (\gamma \cdot \mathbf{SL} + \eta) \cdot \sigma_3 - \frac{(\gamma \cdot \mathbf{SL} + \eta) \cdot \sigma_3}{1 + (\frac{\mathbf{SL}}{\theta_1 \cdot \mathbf{SL} + \theta_2} \cdot t)^{(\lambda \cdot \mathbf{SL} + \mu)}}$$
(16)

Values of the six parameters in Eq. (16) are provided in Table 4. The comparisons between the proposed model and



Fig. 20 Relationship between k and stress level



Fig. 21 Relationship between k and stress level

 Table 4
 Values of parameters in the model

γ	η	θ_1	θ_2	λ	μ
11.50	-2.67	26.94	-4.41	-1.50	1.98

test data for different confining pressures are shown in Fig. 17. As can be graphically seen in Fig. 17, the model correlates relatively well with the test data. Quantitatively, the average absolute difference was 0.1 in item of the strains. We conclude that MMF models can quantify the time-dependent response of gravel soils.

Conclusion and discussion

The creep behavior of gravel clay in the slip zone of the Majiagou landslide was investigated. A series of triaxial drained creep tests were conducted on the slip zone soil at different confining and deviatoric stresses. The test results indicate that the slip zone soil had two creep stages, namely the decay creep stage and the constant speed creep stage. We conclude that the average creep rate of the secondary creep stage and the duration of the decayed creep stage increase with the stress level. The tests also show that the long-term strength of the slip soil is about 60-75 % of the instant strength.

The empirical Burgers and Singh–Mitchell models were used to simulate the creep strain of the slip zone soil. The Burgers method can model the initial sharp rising strain and the decayed strain better than the Singh–Mitchell method, but it cannot predict the trend of the second creep stage as closely as the latter. Overall, large discrepancies exist between the strain predicted by these models and that obtained from the tests.

As a consequence a new empirical creep model has been developed to describe the drained creep behavior of the soil tested under triaxial conditions. Based on the creep characteristics of the soil, the MMF growth model was chosen to predict the time-dependent strains. The values of four parameters in the model were obtained through curve fitting. These four parameters were then expressed as functions of confining stress and stress level. The proposed creep model was compared with test data, revealing that a better prediction of the creep strain was achieved.

The primary purpose of this study was to establish that the MMF model assists in displacement prediction of the Majiagou landslide, and can help analyze the interactions between anti-slide piles and the sliding mass; our purpose was not to establish the general applicability of this model. Although the empirical models developed in this paper may not be general enough, this is acceptable in view of the role of the model in this study. Hereafter, the empirical model will be added to numerical simulations. Comparison of simulation results and field data will be performed to further verify this model after a period of monitoring.

Acknowledgments This research was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, Grant No. 2011CB710604) and Zhejiang Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. LY14D020001).

References

- Augustesen A, Liingaard M, Lade P (2004) Evaluation of timedependent behavior of soils. Int J Geomech 4(3):137–156
- Bagherzadeh-Khalkhali A, Mirghasemi AA (2009) Numerical and experimental direct shear tests for coarse-grained soils. Particuology 7(1):83–91
- Barnes HA (2000) A handbook of elementary rheology. University of Wales, Institute of Non Newtonian Fluid Mechanics, Aberystwyth SY23 3BZ
- Bhuiyan I, Azam S, Khaled S, Patrick Landine (2016) Geotechnical behavior of uranium mill tailings from Saskatchewan, Canada. Int J Min Sci Technol 26(3):369–375
- Bizjak KF, Zupančič A (2009) Site and laboratory investigation of the Slano blato landslide. Eng Geol 105:171–185

- Bozzano F, Martino S, Montagna A (2012) A back analysis of a rock landslide to infer rheological parameters. Eng Geol 131–132:45–56
- Crosta GB, di Prisco C, Frattini P, Frigerio G, Castellanza R, Agliardi F (2014) Chasing a complete understanding of the triggering mechanisms of a large rapidly evolving rockslide. Landslides 5:747–764
- Darrow MM, Bray MT, Huang SL (2012) Analysis of a deep-seated landslide in permafrost, Richardson highway, South-Central Alaska. Environ Eng Geosci 18(3):261–280
- Deng Q, Zhu Z, Cui Z, Wang X (2000) Mass rock creep and landsliding on the Huangtupo slope in the reservoir area of the Three Gorges Project, Yangtze River, China. Eng Geol 58(1):67–83
- Desai CS, Samtani NC, Vulliet L (1995) Constitutive modeling and analysis of creeping slopes. J Geotech Eng 121(1):43–56
- Di Maio C, Vassallo R, Vallario M (2013) Plastic and viscous shear displacements of a deep and very slow landslide in stiff clay formation. Eng Geol 165:53–66
- D'Odorico P, Fagherazzi S (2003) A probabilistic model of rainfalltriggered shallow landslides in hollows: A long-term analysis. Water Resour Res 39(9):ESG61–ESG614
- Feng J, Chuhan Z, Gang W, Guanglun W (2003) Creep modeling in excavation analysis of a high rock slope. J Geotech Geoenviron Eng 129(9):849–857
- Furuya G, Sassa K, Hiura H, Fukuoka H (1999) Mechanism of creep movement caused by landslide activity and underground erosion in crystalline schist, Shikoku Island, southwestern Japan. Eng Geol 53:311–325
- Gao H, Chen Y, Liu H, Liu J, Chu J (2012) Creep behavior of EPS composite soil. Sci China Technol Sci 55(11):3070–3080
- Gasc-Barbier M, Chanchole S, Bérest P (2004) Creep behavior of Bure clayey rock. Appl Clay Sci 26:449–458
- Gauer P, Kvalstad TJ, Forsberg CF, Bryn P, Berg K (2005) The last phase of the Storegga Slide: simulation of retrogressive slide dynamics and comparison with slide-scar morphology. Mar Petrol Geol 22:171–178
- Geertsema M, Hungr O, Schwab JW, Evans SG (2006) A large rockslide–debris avalanche in cohesive soil at Pink Mountain, northeastern British Columbia, Canada. Eng Geol 83:64–75
- Ham G (2006) Numerical simulation and engineering-geological assessment of a creeping slope in the Alps. Dissertation, Universität Karlsruhe (TH)
- Ham G, Rohn J, Meier T, Czurda K (2006) A method for modeling of a creeping slope with a visco-hypoplastic material law. Math Geol 38(6):711–719
- Ham T, Nakata Y, Orense R, Hyodo M (2010) Influence of gravel on the compression characteristics of decomposed granite soil. J Geotech Geoenviron Eng 136(11):1574–1577
- Ishikawa T, Miura S (2011) Influence of freeze-thaw action on deformation-strength characteristics and particle crushability of volcanic coarse-grained soils. Soils Found 51(5):785–799
- Jarman D, Calvet M, Corominas J, Delmas M, Gunnel Y (2014) Large-scale rock slope failures in the Eastern Pyrenees: identifying a sparse but significant population in paraglacial and parafluvial contexts. Geogr Ann A Phys. Geogr 96:357–391
- Jian W, Wang Z, Yin K (2009) Mechanism of the Anlesi landslide in the Three Gorges Reservoir, China. Eng Geol 108:86–95
- Karimpour H (2012) Time effects in relation to crushing in sand. Dissertation, The Catholic University of America
- Karimpour H, Lade PV (2010) Time effects relate to crushing in sand. J Geotech Geoenviron Eng 136(9):1209–1219
- Karimpour H, Lade PV (2013) Creep behavior in Virginia Beach sand. Can Geotech J 50(11):1159–1178

- Klimeš J, Yepes J, Becerril L (2016) Development and recent activity of the San Andrés landslide on El Hierro, Canary Islands, Spain. Geomorphology 261:119–131
- Kondraivendhan B, Divsholi BS, Teng S (2013) Estimation of strength, permeability and hydraulic diffusivity of pozzolana blended concrete through pore size distribution. J Adv Concr Technol 11(9):230–237
- Krautblatter M, Funk D, Günzel FK (2013) Why permafrost rocks become unstable: a rock-ice-mechanical model in time and space. Earth Surf Proc Land 38(8):876–887
- Ladanyi B (2006) Creep of frozen slopes and ice-filled rock joints under temperature variation. Can J Civil Eng 33(6):719–725
- Lade PV (2007) Experimental study and analysis of creep and stress relaxation in granular materials. Proceedings of Sessions of Geo-Denver 2007: Advances in Measurement and Modeling of Soil Behavior (GSP 173). Reston, USA, pp 1–11
- Lade PV, Karimpour H (2010) Static fatigue controls particle crushing and time effects in granular materials. Soils Found 50(5):573–583
- Lade PV, Liu C (1998) Experimental Study of Drained Creep Behavior of Sand. J. Eng. Mech. 124(8):912–920
- Lade PV, Liggio C, Yamamuro JA (1998) Effects of non-plastic fines on minimum and maximum Void ratios of sand. Geotech Test J 21(4):336–347
- Lade PV, Liggio CD, Nam J (2009) Strain rate, creep and stress dropcreep experiments on crushed coral sand. J Geotech Geoenviron Eng 135(7):941–953
- Liingaard M, Augustesen A, Lade PV (2004) Characterization of models for time-dependent behavior of soils. Int J Geomech 4(3):157–177
- Manzella I, Labiouse V (2009) Flow experiments with gravel and blocks at small scale to investigate parameters and mechanisms involved in rock avalanches. Eng Geol 109:146–158
- Mesri G, Febres-Cordero E, Shields DR (1981) Shear stress-straintime behaviour of clays. Géotechnique 31(4):537–552
- Mitchell JK (2008) Aging in sand-a continuing enigma? In: Proceedings of the 6th international conference on case histories in geotechnical engineering, Arlington, USA, pp 1–21
- Morgan PH, Mercer IP, Flodin NW (1975) General model for nutritional responses of higher organisms. In: Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, USA, pp 4327–4331
- Organtini P, Russo F (2013) Forecast of CMOS imagers yield learning by the Gompertz model. IEEE Trans Semiconduct Manuf 26(3):393–399
- Pandey KN, Chand S (2004) Fatigue crack growth model for constant amplitude loading. Fatigue Fract Eng Mater Struct 27(6):459–472
- Peng T, Wang C, Hsu S, Wang G, Su T, Lee J (2009) Geomechanical studies on slow slope movements in Parma Apennine. Eng Geol 109:31–44
- Petley DN, Higuchi T, Petley DJ, Bulmer MH, Carey J (2005) Development of progressive landslide failure in cohesive materials. Geology 33(3):201–204
- Phoon KK, Tan TS, Chong PC (2007) Numerical simulation of Richards equation in partially saturated porous media: underrelaxation and mass balance. Geotech Geol Eng 25:525–541
- Pirulli M, Colombo A, Scavia C (2011) From back-analysis to run-out prediction: a case study in the Western Italian Alps. Landslides 8(2):159–170

- Qi S, Yan F, Wang S, Xu R (2006) Characteristics, mechanism and development tendency of deformation of Maoping landslide after commission of Geheyan reservoir on the Qingjiang River, Hubei Province, China. Eng Geol 86(1):37–51
- Rutter EH, Green S (2011) Quantifying creep behaviour of claybearing rocks below the critical stress state for rapid failure: Mam Tor landslide, Derbyshire, England. J Geol Soc Lond 168:359–372
- Sasaki Y, Fujii A, Asai K (2000) Soil creep process and its role in debris slide generation-field measurements on the north side of Tsukuba Mountain in Japan. Eng Geol 56:163–183
- Seber GAF, Wild CJ (2003) Nonlinear regression. Wiley Interscience, Hoboken
- Semple RM (1973) The effect of time-dependent properties of altered rock on the tunnel support requirements. Dissertation, University of Illinois, Urbana, III
- Singh A, Mitchell JK (1969) General stress-strain-time function for soils. J Soil Mech Found Div 95:1526–1527
- Tan TK, Shi ZO, Yu ZH, Yang WX (1989) Dilatancy creep and relaxation of brittle rocks measured with the 8000 kn multipurpose triaxial apparatus. Phys Earth Planet Inter 55(3–4):335–352
- Tang M, Wang Z (2008) Experimental study on rheological deformation and stress properties of limestone. J Cent South Univ Technol 15(s1):475–478
- Tjørve E (2003) Shapes and functions of species-area curves: a review of possible models. J Biogeogr 30(6):827-835
- Ulusay R, Aydan O, Kilic R (2007) Geotechnical assessment of the 2005 Kuzulu landslide (Turkey). Eng Geol 89:112–128
- Venkatarama Reddy BV, Latha MS (2013) Influence of soil grading on the characteristics of cement stabilised soil compacts. Mater Struct. doi:10.1617/s11527-013-0142-1
- Vlcko J, Greif V, Grof V, Jezny M, Petro L, Brcek M (2009) Rock displacement and thermal expansion study at historic heritage sites in Slovakia. Environ Geol 58(8):1727–1740
- Wang Z (2008) Rheological experimental study and mechanism research on gentle dipped landslides of Jurassic red strata in Wanzhou city. Dissertation, China University of Geosciences
- Wartman J, Montgomery DR, Scott Anderson (2016) The 22 March 2014 Oso landslide, Washington, USA. Geomorphology 253:275–288
- Welkner D, Eberhardt E, Hermanns RL (2010) Hazard investigation of the Portillo Rock Avalanche site, central Andes, Chile, using an integrated field mapping and numerical modelling approach. Eng Geol 114:278–297
- Yan S, Xiang W, Tang H, Man Z, Xu R (2008) Research on creep behavior of slip band soil of Dayantang landslide. Rock Soil Mech 29(1):58–68
- Yang T, Xu T, Rui R, Tang C (2004) The deformation mechanism of a layered creeping coal mine slope and the associated stability assessments. Int J Rock Mech Min 41:827–832
- Yu M, Mao XB, Hu XY (2016) Shear creep characteristics and constitutive model of limestone. Int J Min Sci Technol 26(3):423–428
- Zhang X, Tan JH (2013) Research on Majiagou landslide stability analysis and control design. In: Proceedings of the 2012 international conference on cybernetics and informatics. Springer, New York, 2014:595–602