ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Contamination assessment of ecotoxic metals in recent sediments from the Ergene River, Turkey

Sari Erol¹ · Cukrov Neven² · Frančišković-Bilinski Stanislav² · Kurt Mehmet Ali³ · Halli Mihri⁴

Received: 17 November 2015/Accepted: 20 June 2016/Published online: 27 June 2016 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

Abstract The Ergene River is a highly contaminated river that passes through the most heavily industrialized area in Turkey and receives municipal, industrial and agricultural effluents from Edirne, Kırklareli and Tekirdağ cities in the Thrace Basin. In order to investigate the pollution level of selected toxic metals in the river, 20 surface sediment samples were collected and analyzed. Concentrations of 29 elements were determined using ICP-MS in the fine fraction ($<63 \mu m$) of sediments. The degree of pollution in the sediments of the Ergene River was examined using enrichment factor (EF) and geo-accumulation index (Igeo). Intensification of agricultural and industrial activities within the river basin have caused a considerable increase in metal concentrations, such as Cu (EF = 12.1), Ni (EF = 7.89), Zn (EF = 5.73), As (EF = 4.63), Cr (EF = 3.62) and Ag (EF = 3.12) in the surface sediments of the Ergene River. This result indicated that the investigated samples were moderately contaminated with Ag, Cr and As, moderately to severely contaminated with Zn and Ni, and severely contaminated with Cu. Igeo values also suggest Cu, Ni, Zn, As, Ag and Cr enrichment in the Ergene River sediments.

Cukrov Neven ncukrov@irb.hr

- ² Division for Marine and Environmental Research, Institute "Ruder Bošković", Bijenička 54, 10002 Zagreb, Croatia
- ³ Department of Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Mersin University, 33358 Mersin, Turkey
- ⁴ Ethnography and Archeology Museum, 22020 Edirne, Turkey

Keywords Ergene River \cdot Maritza River \cdot Sediment pollution \cdot Heavy metals \cdot Mercury \cdot Geo-accumulation index

Introduction

Among the various contaminants, heavy metals are of particular concern due to their environmental persistence, biogeochemical recycling and ecological risks (Burton 2002). Heavy metals can be introduced into rivers and other aquatic environments by natural and anthropogenic processes such as chemical leaching of bedrocks in drainage basins, discharge of urban runoff, domestic and industrial wastewater, mining and smelting operations, and combustion of fossil fuels, processing and manufacturing industries, and atmospheric deposition across the air-sea interface. The highest metal values are generally determined in urbanized and industrialized areas (Schintu and Degetto 1999; Rothenberg et al. 2010; Franciskovic-Bilinski et al. 2011; Li and Feng 2012; Sari et al. 2013; Franciskovic-Bilinski and Cukrov 2014). Over the past decade, heavy metals have been discharged into the Ergene Rivers as a result of the rapid industrialization of the Corlu, Çerkezköy, Muratlı and Luleburgaz town (Günes et al. 2008; Hallı et al. 2014). In different parts of the world, heavy metals in fluvial systems can be transported along hydrologic gradients for hundreds of kilometers over short periods of times. On the other hand, in many places along the river, heavy metal concentrations in the sediments have been extensively used for the purposes of pollution monitoring (Sarı 2008; Zhang et al. 2009; Cukrov et al. 2011, 2014; Song et al. 2011; Shikazono et al. 2012). Moreover, knowledge of total heavy metal values in the river sediments can be useful in determining the sources of

¹ Institute of Marine Science and Management, Istanbul University, 34134 Istanbul, Turkey

pollution in the aquatic environments. Therefore, sediments have been used to evaluate the status of river pollution around the world. The Ergene River is the last tributary of the Maritza River and carries the pollution load from industrial cities and agricultural areas of the Thrace Basin (Günes et al. 2008; Seeliger et al. 2014). While the Ergene River is used for drinking water at its source, its water quality deteriorates and the water even loses its irrigation water quality after passing through the polluted urban sites. The high industrial activities are basically concentrated in the upper part of the river basin in Tekirdağ. The Ergene River runs through the rich industrial area of Çorlu, Çerkezköy, Muratlı and Lüleburgaz and receives pollution load from domestic and industrial wastewater (mainly textile, chemical, food, leather, metal, paper, plastic and wood). According to the Ministry of Environment and Forestry of Turkey (MEFT), approximately 2037 industrial plants in the region are concentrated in Corlu, Cerkezköy, Muratlı and Lüleburgaz districts in the Ergene drainage area. As a consequence of the rapid industrialization, urbanization and agriculture activities, environmental problems may have occurred in this basin (Halli et al. 2014). The concentrations of Al, As, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, V and Zn in freshly deposited sediments of Ergene River were determined in 2014 (Halli et al. 2014), but the spatial distributions of toxic metals in river sediments have not yet been investigated. Within this context, twenty sediment samples from the Ergene and its main tributaries were analyzed for this study to investigate the sources and distribution of heavy metals in the surface sediments of the Ergene River for better understanding of the sources of pollution in the Ergene drainage basin. The objectives of this study were to: (1) determine spatial distribution of ecotoxic metals in modern surface sediments of Ergene River and its tributaries and (2) assess metal pollution level using the EF (enrichment factor) and Igeo (geo-accumulation index) in the Ergene River sediments and sediment quality guidelines (SQGs) (Burton 2002; Hubner et al. 2009).

Study area

The Ergene River originates in the Strandja Mountains in Tekirdağ City and lies between latitude $40^{\circ}42'$ to $41^{\circ}35'N$ and longitude $26^{\circ}03'$ to $28^{\circ}03'E$. It flows through Tekirdağ, Kırklareli and Edirne, joins the Maritza River at Adasarhanlı Village and then empties into the northeastern of Aegean Sea near the Gulf of Saroz (Fig. 1). The Ergene River has a length of approximately 285 km and a catchment area of approximately 11,325 km² (Günes et al. 2008). The climate of this region is cold and rainy in winter, while dry and hot in summer. The region has a mean annual temperature and rainfall between

3.5–23.4 °C and 530–726 mm, respectively (Balcı Akova 2002). Land use in the study area is primarily agricultural, covering about 73 % of the basin. In addition, 12 % of wheat, 61 % of sunflower and 54 % of rice productions of Turkey are produced in the basin. Inhabited areas, industrial regions, forest and lake surfaces form the remaining 27 % of the basin. The population and industrialization in Edirne, Kırklareli, Tekirdağ and its surroundings has been increasing since the 1980s. This phenomenon has caused increased amounts of wastewater to be introduced into the Ergene River (Günes et al. 2008). Agricultural and industrial activities, such as chemical, food, leather, metal, paper, plastic, textile and wood, are the main sources of river pollution along its drainage basin.

Materials and methods

Sediment sampling and collection

The toxic upper layer (0-1 cm) of 20 surface sediment samples was collected from the Ergene River and its tributaries using Ekman grab sampler during a 9-day period from November 21-29, 2012. Samples were numbered E1 to E20, and the geographical positions of the sampling sites were obtained using a Global Position System (GPS model Garmin's 12). The sampling design took into account the discharge points of municipal and industrial wastewater to identify the impacts of anthropogenic activities on sediment quality in the Ergene River. In the field, approximately 1 kg of sediments was collected and stored in polyethylene bags at 4 °C to await further analyses. In the laboratory, sediment samples were wet sieved through an acid washed 63 µm nylon mesh to remove coarse-grained particles in order to obtain a homogenous sample for metal analyses. Grain sizes of the fraction smaller than 63 µm were dried at 40 °C in an oven for 48 h and subsequently ground using an agate mortar. All data were calculated on a dry weight basis.

Digestion method

For heavy metal content determinations, approximately 0.2 g of dry sediments was totally digested with a HNO_3 – $HF-HCIO_4$ HCl mixed acid solution using a MARS 240/50 microwave digestion system (CEM, USA). The concentrations of all elements, excluding mercury, were measured in dissolved samples by Agilent 7500ce inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer ICP-MS (Tokyo, Japan). ICP-MS analyses were performed at the Department of Geological Engineering Laboratory of Mersin University, Turkey. The chemical analyses were

Fig. 1 Map of the investigated area with sediment sampling locations



performed as duplicate to estimate sampling error. Analytical precision of replicates (n = 5) was always better than 9 % relative standard deviation (RSD) at 95 % confidence level. The accuracy of analytical procedures for total metal determinations was checked using the reference material NIST SRM 2710 (Montana soil). Replicate analysis of NIST SRM 2710 showed good accuracy, with recovery rates for metals between 93 and 103 %. Our analytical precision of replicates and accuracy of replicate analysis are comparable to other similar studies worldwide. For example, in study of Wang et al. (2015) based on replicate analysis, the analytical precision expressed as coefficients of variation for each metal was <8 %, while the recoveries for each particulate metal ranged from 94 to 102 %.

Total mercury determination

A direct mercury analyzer (DMA 80, Milestone Inc., Italy) was used for mercury determination. Sample measurement was based on thermal decomposition, amalgamation and atomic spectrometric detection. The instrument calibration and analytical procedures were conducted according to US EPA Method 7473. Measurements were taken on ~ 0.1 –0.2 g of dried and ground solid material, without any pretreatment.

Statistical analyses

Many researchers used geo-statistical analysis such as EF and Igeo to determine the degree of contamination

originating from natural materials or human activities in the sediments (Cukrov et al. 2011, 2014; Alkan et al. 2015). Either Al or Fe has been used as a conservative element for EF calculations in many studies to reflect the status of environmental contamination. In this study, Al was selected as a reference metal. The EF values of Hg, Cd, Pb, Cu, Zn, Cr, Ni, As, Ag and Sn in the Ergene River sediments were calculated using the following formula:

$$EF = \left(\frac{\left(\frac{Cn}{Al}\right) \text{ sample}}{\left(\frac{Cn}{Al} \text{ background}\right)}\right)$$

where Cn and Al are the concentrations of metals and aluminum in the sample of interest and in the background materials, respectively. Background values for studied metals in the region have not yet been established. Although it is informative to normalize the metal concentrations of the sediments with those of shale or soil background values, we have chosen the lowest values of metals in the study area sediments as background values for calculation (Sun and Xu 2012). These values were used as the reference baselines and are presented in Table 1. EF values were interpreted as suggested by Birth (2003), where: The value of enrichment factor <1 indicates no enrichment, 1–3 is minor, 3–5 is moderate, 5–10 is moderately severe, 10–25 is severe, 25–50 is very severe, and >50 is extremely severe enrichment.

The Igeo, originally introduced by Muller (1979), was used as a determination of metal contamination in each sediment sample, by comparing present metal contents with pre-industrial concentrations. The values of Igeo can be calculated using the following mathematical equation:

Igeo =
$$\log_2\left(\frac{Cn}{1.5 * Bn}\right)$$

where Cn is the measured concentration of the examined metal n in a sample and Bn is the geochemical background value of this metal. As in EF calculations, the lowest values of metals in the study area sediments were used as the background levels for Igeo calculations. Factor 1.5 was used as a background matrix correction due to lithological discrepancies (Muller 1979). The resulting Igeo values were evaluated according to the Muller scale (1981) which consists of seven grades ranging from class 0 (unpolluted, Igeo \leq 0) to class 6 (extremely polluted, Igeo > 5).

All statistical analyses performed in this paper were obtained using Statistica 6.0 (StatSoft, 2001). The following statistical analyses were performed:

(a) Determination of basic statistical parameters: N (number of cases), mean, geometric mean, median, mode, frequency, minimum, maximum, standard deviation, skewness and kurtosis.

- (b) A boxplot was used to determine anomalies in the sediment samples. Normal or lognormal boxplots are constructed on bases of the empirical cumulative distribution plots. The box length was of interquartile range, where outlier values were defined between 1.5 and 3 box lengths from the upper or lower edge of the box. Extremes are values more than 3 box lengths from the edge of the box (Tukey 1977; Reimann et al. 2005).
- (c) Cluster analysis of Q-modality was performed to find groups which contain similar samples. Cluster analysis is a form of multivariate statistics and represents a hierarchical method. There are two modes of cluster analysis: Q-modality, in which clusters of samples are sought, while in the R-modality, clusters of variables (in our case, elements) are desired. More details about cluster analysis can be found in Kaufman and Rousseeuw (1990).
- (d) Factor analysis was also performed in order to reduce the number of variables and to set up a model of several factors, each of them describing one anthropogenic or natural influence. In factor analysis, the relation between a set of m variables is assumed to reflect correlations of every one of the variables with p mutually non-correlated main factors. The general assumption is that p < m. Variance of m variables is derived from the variance of the p factor. More details about factor analysis can be found in Halamić et al. (2001) and Davis (2002).

Results and discussion

Heavy metal distribution

The range and average (in parentheses) concentrations of toxic metals and major elements measured in mg kg⁻ were 2.1–6.8 (3 \pm 1) for Ag, 40,610–91,340 (72,848 \pm 11,730) for Al, 11–52 (25 ± 10) for As, 1.1–1.7 (1.34 ± 0.2) for Cd, 95–304 (160 ± 49) for Cr, 23–203 (65 ± 46) for Cu, 13,950–39,560 (26,935 \pm 7373) for Fe, $1.8-2.5 (2.2 \pm 0.14)$ for Hg, 133-865 (356 \pm 167) for Mn, 3.2-6.2 (3 ± 0.7) for Mo, 19–155 (64 ± 35) for Ni, 77–145 (99 \pm 15) for Pb, 258–966 (486 \pm 177) for V and 74–385 (177 \pm 101) for Zn. A comparison of the metal concentrations with average shale values reveals that most of the samples from the Ergene River are polluted with As, Ag, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mo, Ni, Pb, V and Zn. On the contrary, the samples studied had Al, Fe and Mn values, which indicates that there are no major sources of pollution for these elements in the Ergene River area similar to those for average shale values (Krauskopf 1985). The station near

 Table 1
 Basic statistical

 parameters
 Parameters

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Median	Range	SD
Gravel cum.	1.29	59.8	20.0	15.8	58.5	17.35
Silt %	9.81	37.9	24.2	23.3	28.1	7.74
Clay %	30.4	74.9	55.8	53.3	44.5	14.1
TOC %	0.96	6.80	4.43	4.25	5.84	1.69
В	7.77	46.7	24.4	25.9	38.9	11.7
Al	40,610	96,090	73,961	73,260	55,480	12,987
Si	6.42	1740	352	226	1734	422
Κ	11,490	20,440	17,111	17,915	8950	2912
Ca	10,930	52,730	25,330	23,940	41,800	10,994
Ti	1061	2828	2087	2137	1767	470
V	258	966	495	496	708	175
Cr	95	304	160	163	209	49.4
Mn	133	865	348	326	732	164
Fe	13,950	41,790	28,341	29,145	27,840	8324
Со	0.41	19.7	11.0	11.7	19.3	4.92
Ni	18.8	155	72.9	64.7	136	37.2
Cu	22.6	203	66.8	49.7	180	45.9
Zn	74.2	388	183	151	313	102
As	115	517	258	269	403	99.1
Se	0.43	4.75	1.86	1.73	4.31	1.04
Rb	38.7	108	77.0	76.2	68.9	15.4
Sr	105	225	134	126	120	27.1
Zr	31.1	70.7	51.9	53.9	39.6	12.0
Мо	3.16	6.18	3.88	3.71	3.02	0.69
Ag	2.13	6.79	3.01	2.75	4.67	1.00
Cd	1.06	1.72	1.34	1.29	0.66	0.19
Sn	65.8	168	120	115	103	28.2
Sb	1.50	11.20	4.62	4.41	9.70	2.19
Ba	314	635	511	539	321	79.2
La	13.1	42.0	28.8	27.1	28.9	7.16
Hg	1.84	2.47	2.22	2.21	0.63	0.14
Tl	4.40	12.65	7.99	7.80	8.25	2.00
Pb	77.0	124	97.6	98.0	47.2	11.00

Elements concentrations in µg/g

the industrial plants (E2) in the Çorlu Stream had the highest values of Ag (6.8 mg/kg), As (52 mg/kg), Cr (304 mg/kg), Mo (6.2 mg/kg) and V (966 mg/kg). This area is affected by the wastewater and water runoff from local industrial and agricultural activities (Halli et al. 2014). Çorlu, Çerkezkoy and Muratlı town are the industrial area, which are a major source of pollution for the Ergene River. As a result, there is a noteworthy increase in the concentrations of Ag, As, Cr, Cu, Mo, Ni, and Zn in the upper part of the Ergene River (points E2, E3, E5 and E8). Intensification of agricultural and industrial activities within the river basin have caused considerable increase in heavy metals such as Cu (EF = 12), Ni (EF = 7.9), Zn (EF = 5.7) As (EF = 4.6), Cr (EF = 3.6) and Ag (EF = 3.1) in the surface sediments of the upper Ergene River. Arsenic and Cr appeared to be the pollutants with the greatest potential to cause adverse effects on biota, while Cu, Pb and Zn may adversely affect some benthic species occasionally, as suggested by the SQGs.

Basic statistical parameters

Table 1 presents the basic statistical parameters (minimum, maximum, mean, median, range and standard deviation) for the studied elements including Halli et al. (2014) data

(Al, As, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, V and Zn) from the Ergene River (Turkey).

Determination of anomalies using boxplot method

The anomaly data from the boxplot statistical method are presented in Fig. 2 and Table 2. In total, 32 parameters were evaluated, including the chemical elements and three additional parameters (silt, clay and TOC). Of those, 16 parameters had a very regular distribution, demonstrating no anomaly, while 16 other parameters had at least one anomaly. Statistically speaking, those anomalies were mostly of low intensity, i.e., all were outliers, while only four elements (Si, Sr, Mo and Ag) showed extremes, staggered on only two locations (E1 and E2). Of the 20 locations, only E9 had no anomalies. The location of greatest interest was E2, which had three extremes and four outliers, some of which were potentially toxic heavy metals. E2 could be under significant anthropogenic influence, while minor anthropogenic influence could also be present at locations E5, E6, E8, E18 and E19. The minor anomalies present at other locations were most probably of natural origin. The Si anomaly at the E1 location was probably of natural origin, derived from Strandja Massif including metamorphic and granitoid rocks which can be observed in the northeastern most part of the study area. These rocks are highly enriched by Si (53 %), Sr (936 ppm), Mo (4.3 ppm) and Ag (1.2 ppm) (Eraslan 2010). Additional river enrichment by Si, Sr, Mo and Ag may also be a result of surface drainage of both solid and liquid wastes, having limited treatment for organic matter, originating from the large industrial plants surrounding the Ergene River. Storage tanks for various solvents used in petroleum, paint, plastic and pesticide industries are located mostly along the northeast of the river (Günes et al. 2008; Halli et al. 2014).

Q-modality cluster analysis

Table 3 presents the results of Q-modality cluster analysis with members of each of three extracted clusters and mean values of each studied parameter and element for each cluster. Cluster 1, containing only three samples, could be considered a "carbonate cluster," because it contained the highest concentrations of calcium. The locations in this cluster were mostly unpolluted, indicating it was not under high anthropogenic pressure, as concentrations of almost all heavy metals were lowest in this cluster. Carbonate gravels predominated this cluster as evidenced by the large grain size and low clay percentages. Cluster 3 was under significant anthropogenic influence since the concentrations of the majority of heavy metals were the highest at these locations. Most of the element concentrations for Cluster 2 were "transitional," i.e., between the concentrations of those found in Clusters 1 and 3. Cluster 2 also had the highest concentration of Si, obviously under the influence of silicate rocks such as flysch, metagranite and gneiss schist in the Thrace Basin (Gorur and Okay 1996; Turgut and Eseller 2000; Siyako and Huvaz 2007).

Factor analysis

Table 4a, b presents factor loadings and factor scores, respectively, for each sampling station. Three factors (varimax normalized) were extracted, and the results fall well within the requirements (Morrison 1967) that main components should explain at least 75 % of the total variance (in our dataset, 75.83 % of the total variance were explained with three factors).

Factor 1 correlated positively with clay, Al, Fe, Co, Zr and Hg and negatively with gravel. It could be a natural factor bound to some coal rocks and lignite occurrences and igneous rocks of Istranca Mountains north of the Thrace Basin close to Saray, Vize, Kırklareli and Demirhanlı (Eraslan 2010). There are also some anthropogenic factors bound to some industry such as chlorine-alkali plants, paper, pulp and antifouling plants, which have been discharging effluents into river. Factor 2 correlated with V, Cr and As. The main anthropogenic sources of arsenic are agricultural and industrial activities; chromium is used in stainless steel and other alloys, pigment manufacturing in the textile industries which are mostly concentrated in study area. On the other hand, vanadium is used in alloys for making rust-resistant steel, manufacturing tools, engines and gears in the drainage basin of the Ergene River. Factor 3 was correlated with TOC, Cu, Zn and Cd and is an obvious anthropogenic factor, but associated with pollutants other than those associated with Factor 1.

The source of these elements is agricultural, municipal and industrial (electroplating, steel works and textile) wastewater discharges in Çorlu, Çerkezköy and Muratlı.

EF and Igeo

Results of EF and Igeo are presented in Table 5 for 10 toxic metals: Hg, Cd, Pb, Cu, Zn, Cr, Ni, As and Ag. For each toxic metal, basic statistical parameters (minimum, maximum, mean, median and range) are presented to provide a brief overview of the studied region.

Based on EF values, the majority of elements showed minor or moderate enrichment at all locations. Only three elements (Cu, Zn and Ni) show higher EF values. The maxim EF value for Cu (12.1) was at location E6; according to the EF scale, it belongs to severe enriched sediments, indicating significant pollution at this location. At E8 and E14 locations, EF values for Cu indicate moderately severe enriched sediments. At most locations, Zn



Fig. 2 Boxplot of selected elements which showed anomalous values

 Table 2
 Anomalies (extremes and outliers) determined by the boxplot method

 Table 3 Cluster means for three obtained clusters by Q-modality cluster analysis

Location	Extreme	Outlier
E1	Si	Se
E2	Sr, Mo, Ag	V, Cr, As, Sb
E3	-	-
E4	-	-
E5	-	Pb
E6	-	Ca, Cu
E7	-	-
E8	-	Zn
E9	-	Ca
E10	-	-
E11	-	Si
E12	-	-
E13	-	-
E14	-	-
E15	-	-
E16	-	Sr
E17	-	Rb
E18	-	Mn, Rb
E19	-	Zn, Rb, Tl
E20	-	-

EF values indicated minor or moderate enrichment, but at two stations (E8 and E14), the values were characteristic of moderately severe enriched sediments. At seven locations (E7, E10, E11, E14, E15, E19 and E20), Ni had EF values characteristic of moderately severe enriched sediments.

Igeo values were low for all studied elements. For Hg, Cd, Pb and Sn, Igeo values indicated no enrichment (values are <1). All other elements' Igeo values ranged from 1 to 3, characteristic of minor enrichment.

EF was shown to be a more sensitive tool for the estimation of toxic metal enrichment in sediments.

Sediment quality criteria

There have been numerous sediment quality guidelines developed during the past 20 years to assist regulators in dealing with contaminated sediments. Unfortunately, most of these have been developed in North America and have errors of 25 % or greater (Burton 2002). Due to the dearth of Turkish regulations, for use in this study, metal concentrations in the sediments were compared to various sediment quality guidelines (Burton 2002; Hubner et al. 2009).

Although SQG alone should not be applied to causeand-effect evaluations (Chapman et al. 1999), we use them here for basic toxic evaluation.

All Hg (Table 1) values obtained in the sediments were above higher limits using any SQG (Burton 2002)

	Cluster no. 1	Cluster no. 2	Cluster no. 3
Cluster members	E6, E7, E9	E1, E3, E4, E5, E8, E11, E12, E13, E14, E16	E2, E10, E15, E17, E18, E19, E20
Gravel cum.	35.6	26.1	4.61
Silt %	20.6	22.8	27.9
Clay %	43.7	51.2	67.5
TOC %	4.2	4.47	4.48
В	15.4	22.0	31.7
Al	55,330	70,360	87,090
Si	190	470	254
Κ	14,663	17,325	17,853
Ca	46,530	20,495	23,150
Ti	1551	2004	2436
V	448	464	560
Cr	161	154	168
Mn	259	312	439
Fe	19,170	24,985	37,064
Со	6.87	9.55	14.8
Ni	54.9	61.4	97.0
Cu	91.5	60.8	64.8
Zn	113	172	229
As	268	235	287
Se	0.71	2.05	2.08
Rb	54.8	74.9	89.5
Sr	133	132	137
Zr	42.8	47.2	62.5
Мо	3.87	3.82	3.98
Ag	2.79	2.54	3.77
Cd	1.22	1.35	1.38
Sn	107	110	138
Sb	3.23	4.37	5.58
Ba	426	530	521
La	21.9	27.1	34.3
Hg	2.03	2.21	2.32
Tl	5.66	8.06	8.9
Pb	84.4	99.6	101

indicating a significant possibility of negative impacts upon the biota. This is in contrast to sediment cadmium concentrations where SQG indicates a very low possibility of negative effects. For lead and copper, negative effects are possible, but are not very probable. Zinc, chromium and nickel, each has a greater possibility of having a negative impact when compared with copper and lead. Similar to mercury, all measured concentrations of arsenic and silver were above ERM guidelines, indicating a great possibility of negative effects.

Table 4	Factor loadings	for three	factors	obtained	by	factor	analys	is
---------	-----------------	-----------	---------	----------	----	--------	--------	----

	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3
(A)			
Gravel cum.	-0.86	-0.01	-0.35
Clay %	0.88	-0.05	0.29
TOC %	-0.08	0.23	0.77
Al	0.93	0.21	0.01
Si	-0.08	0.54	-0.46
Ca	-0.34	-0.41	0.13
V	0.17	0.96	0.07
Cr	-0.04	0.96	0.13
Fe	0.93	0.10	0.22
Co	0.84	-0.04	0.13
Cu	0.07	0.01	0.77
Zn	0.37	-0.02	0.81
As	0.05	0.95	0.10
Zr	0.91	-0.05	-0.06
Cd	0.46	-0.13	0.70
Hg	0.75	0.32	0.09
Expl. Var.	5.86	3.43	2.86
Prp. Total	0.37	0.21	0.18
(B)			
E1	-0.41	1.95	-1.10
E2	-0.19	2.55	1.40
E3	0.43	0.63	0.88
E4	-0.74	-0.63	-1.65
E5	0.04	1.13	-0.68
E6	-0.78	-0.03	0.81
E7	-2.39	-0.15	0.32
E8	0.02	-0.56	2.03
E9	-0.75	-0.75	-0.38
E10	1.39	-1.43	-0.91
E11	0.28	-0.05	-0.44
E12	-0.64	-0.88	-0.15
E13	-1.43	-0.81	-0.27
E14	-0.10	-1.30	1.77
E15	0.63	0.28	-0.49
E16	-0.27	0.28	-1.33
E17	0.92	0.31	-0.09
E18	1.31	0.05	-0.44
E19	1.46	-0.03	0.56
E20	1.23	-0.57	0.17

Bold values indicates significant correlations

Conclusions

The River Ergene is subjected to varying degrees of pollution caused by numerous untreated and partially treated waste inputs of Çorlu, Çerkezköy, Muratlı and Lüleburgaz Districts and industrial effluents. The river is highly influenced due to ecotoxic metals, which enter the river

Table 5 Enrich	ment factor and I	geo for selected t	toxic metals							
	Hg	Cd	Pb	Cu	Zn	Cr	Ni	A_{S}	Ag	Sn
EF										
Min	1.08	1.07	1.00	1.11	1.22	0.99	1.31	1.07	1.04	1.04
Max	1.97	2.26	1.97	12.1	5.73	3.61	7.89	4.63	3.12	2.82
Mean	1.34	1.40	1.40	3.29	2.64	1.88	4.16	2.49	1.55	2.00
Median	1.32	1.32	1.34	2.59	2.28	1.77	4.04	2.32	1.44	1.95
Range	0.89	1.20	0.97	11.0	4.51	2.62	6.57	3.56	2.08	1.78
Igeo										
Min	-0.58	-0.58	-0.58	-0.58	-0.58	-0.58	-0.58	-0.58	-0.59	-0.58
Max	-0.16	0.12	0.10	2.58	1.80	1.09	2.45	1.59	1.09	0.77
Mean	-0.31	-0.26	-0.25	0.71	0.53	0.11	1.17	0.48	-0.14	0.24
Median	-0.32	-0.30	-0.24	0.55	0.43	0.19	1.20	0.65	-0.22	0.22
Range	0.42	0.70	0.69	3.17	2.39	1.67	3.04	2.18	1.67	1.36

system by direct discharges of municipal, agricultural and industrial effluents and surface runoff.

The metal enrichment factor (EF) and geo-accumulation index (Igeo) of Cu, Ni, As, Cr and Ag indicated concentrations above background levels in the eastern part of the study area in proximity to Çorlu, Çerkezköy and Muratlı districts, while concentrations of other ecotoxic metals were generally within background concentration ranges.

Factor and cluster analyses results indicated that Cu, Ni, As and Cr were associated with anthropogenic activities, whereas enriched Si, Sr, Mo and Ag in the study area were most probably of natural origin, derived from Strandja Massif.

Acknowledgments The present work was supported by Research Fund of İstanbul University, project number UDP-52872, and we hereby extend our wholehearted thanks for their invaluable financial supports. Special thanks go to Renee E. Bishop, PhD, Associate Professor of Biology from Penn State Worthington Scranton who edited the English language of the manuscript.

References

- Alkan N, Alkan A, Akbas U, Fisher A (2015) Metal pollution assessment in sediments of the southeastern Black Sea Coast of Turkey. Soil Sediment Contam 24:290–305
- Balcı Akova S (2002) Geographical potential of the Ergene basin. Çantay Kitabevi, İstanbul
- Birth G (2003) A scheme for assessing human impacts on coastal aquatic environments using sediments. Wollongong University Papers in Center for Maritime Policy, Australia
- Burton JGA (2002) Sediment quality criteria in use around the world. Limnology 3:65–75
- Chapman PM, Wang F, Adams WJ, Green A (1999) Appropriate applications of sediment quality values for metals and metalloids. Environ Sci Technol 33:3937–3941
- Cukrov N, Franciskovic-Bilinski S, Hlaca B, Barisic D (2011) A recent history of metal accumulation in the sediments of Rijeka harbor, Adriatic Sea, Croatia. Mar Pollut Bull 62:154–167
- Cukrov N, Franciskovic-Bilinski S, Bogner D (2014) Metal contamination recorded in the sediment of the semi-closed Bakar Bay (Croatia). Environ Geochem Health 36:195–208
- Davis JC (2002) Statistics and data analysis in geology, 3rd edn. Wiley, New York
- Eraslan CE (2010) Effects of Kücükdoğanca region and its near vicinity lignite deposits on Groundwater and Surface Water (Keşan-Edirne). Istanbul Technical University, Institute of Science, İstanbul
- Franciskovic-Bilinski S, Cukrov N (2014) A critical evaluation of using bulk sediment instead of fine fraction in environmental marine studies, investigated on example of Rijeka harbor, Croatia. Environ Earth Sci 71:341–356
- Franciskovic-Bilinski S, Juracic M, Tibljas D (2011) Rjecina River sediments (Croatia): from captured spring to polluted prodelta. Environ Earth Sci 64:1755–1761
- Gorur N, Okay AI (1996) A fore-arc origin for the Thrace Basin, NW Turkey. Geol Rundsch 85:662–668
- Günes EH, Günes Y, Talınıe İ (2008) Toxicity evaluation of industrial and land base sources in a river basin. Desalination 226:348–356

- Halamić J, Peh Z, Bukovec D, Miko S, Galović L (2001) A factor model of the relationship between stream sediment geochemistry and adjacent drainage basin lithology, Medvednica Mt, Croatia. Geol Croat 54:37–51
- Halli M, Sari E, Kurt MA (2014) Assessment of arsenic and heavy metal pollution in surface sediments of the Ergene River, Turkey. Pol J Environ Stud 23:1581–1590
- Hubner R, Astin KB, Herbert RJH (2009) Comparison of sediment quality guidelines (SQGs) for the assessment of metal contamination in marine and estuarine environments. J Environ Monit 11:713–722
- Kaufman L, Rousseeuw P (1990) Finding groups in data. Wiley, New York
- Krauskopf KB (1985) Introduction to geochemistry, 2nd edn. Keong Printing Co. Pte. Ltd, New york
- Li X, Feng L (2012) Multivariate and geostatistical analyzes of metals in urban soil of Weinan industrial areas, Northwest of China. Atmos Environ 47:58–65
- Morrison DF (1967) Multivariate statistical methods. McGraw Hill, New York, pp 1–76
- Muller G (1979) Schwermetalle in den sedimenten des Rheins-Veranderungen seitt 1971. Umschan 79:778–783
- Muller G (1981) Die Schwermetallbelastung der sedimente des Neckars und seiner Nebenflusse: eine Bestandsaufnahme. Chem Ztg 105:157–164
- Reimann C, Filzmoser P, Garrett RG (2005) Background and threshold: critical comparison of methods of determination. Sci Total Environ 346:1–16
- Rothenberg SE, Kirby ME, Bird BW, DeRose MB, Lin C-C, Feng X, Ambrose RF, Jay JA (2010) The impact of over 100 years of wildfires on mercury levels and accumulation rates in two lakes in southern California, USA. Environ Earth Sci 60:993–1005
- Sarı E (2008) Source and distribution of heavy metals in river sediments from the southern drainage basin of the sea of Marmara, Turkey. Fresenius Environ Bull 7:2007–2019
- Sari E, Unlu S, Balci N, Apak R, Kurt MA, Koldemir B (2013) Evaluation of contamination by selected elements in a Turkish Port. Pol J Environ Stud 22:841–847
- Schintu M, Degetto S (1999) Sedimentary records of heavy metals in the industrial harbour of Portovesme, Sardinia (Italy). Sci Total Environ 241:129–141
- Seeliger M, Brill D, Feuser S, Bartz M, Erkul E, Kelterbaum D, Voett A, Klein C, Pirson F, Brueckner H (2014) The purpose and age of underwater walls in the Bay of Elaia of Western Turkey: a multidisciplinary approach. Geoarchaeol Int J 29:138–155
- Shikazono N, Tatewaki K, Mohiuddin KM, Nakano T, Zakir HM (2012) Sources, spatial variation, and speciation of heavy metals in sediments of the Tamagawa River in Central Japan. Environ Geochem Health 34:13–26
- Siyako M, Huvaz O (2007) Eocene stratigraphic evolution of the Thrace Basin, Turkey. Sed Geol 198:75–91
- Song Y, Ji J, Yang Z, Yuan X, Mao C, Frost RL, Ayoko GA (2011) Geochemical behavior assessment and apportionment of heavy metal contaminants in the bottom sediments of lower reach of Changjiang River. CATENA 85:73–81
- Sun L, Xu D (2012) Multivariate statistical evaluation of heavy metals in pond sediments from a rural area in Sixian County, Northern Anhui Province, China. Green communications and networks. Springer, pp 729–736
- Tukey JW (1977) Exploratory data Analysis, vol XVI. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Reading, Mass, Menlo Park, Cal., London, Amsterdam, Don Mills, Ontario, Sydney, p 688

- Turgut S, Eseller G (2000) Sequence stratigraphy tectonics and depositional history in eastern Thrace Basin, NW Turkey. Mar Pet Geol 17:61–100
- Wang CY, Liang SK, Li YB, Li KQ, Wang XL (2015) The spatial distribution of dissolved and particulate heavy metals and their response to land-based inputs and tides in a semi-enclosed

industrial embayment: Jiaozhou Bay, China. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22:10480–10495

Zhang W, Feng H, Chang J, Qu J, Xie H, Yu L (2009) Heavy metal contamination in surface sediments of Yangtze River intertidal zone: an assessment from different indexes. Environ Pollut 157:1533–1543