ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Geoenvironmental appraisal of groundwater quality in Bengal alluvial tract, India: a geochemical and statistical approach

Tathagata Ghosh · Rolee Kanchan

Abstract Groundwater is an essential natural resource which has enormous use throughout the world, but with the enhanced population pressure, its quality and quantity gets affected. Consequently, assessment and categorization of groundwater quality is necessary and the availability of safe water for utilization is to be ensured. The present study was based on groundwater samples, collected over 5,324 km^2 from the alluvial tract of Bengal plain, India. Ten geochemical parameters viz. *arsenic, pH, total dissolved solids, electrical conductivity, iron, total hardness as calcium carbonate, sulphate, nitrite* and *depth* were analysed, and multivariate statistical analyses were performed on the data set. Factor analysis depicted four factors, which explained 66.57 % of total variability of data. Factor 1 represented high positive loadings on *total dissolved solids* and *electrical conductivity*. Factor 2 was associated with *depth*, *arsenic* and *iron* and indicated process of reduction in groundwater. Over extraction of groundwater showed probable relationship with arsenic concentration in groundwater. Parameters of Factor 3 and 4 had been related with agricultural activities and local geological conditions. Further, four clusters observed from hierarchical cluster analysis, assisted in grouping groundwater geochemistry of the region. The results coupled with GIS facilitated in categorizing and mapping the groundwater quality.

Introduction

The rapid increase of population is a cause of concern because it enhances the pressure on all natural resources. Groundwater is one of such indispensable natural resource which has vast uses. Globally, it is being exploited for agriculture, industries, irrigation and drinking purpose. It is widely known that the human interferences such as industrial and domestic waste disposal and excessive use of fertilizers contribute in contaminating the water. Rahim et al. (2010) discussed the impact of anthropogenic effects such as solid waste disposal and its effects on the shallow groundwater in west Malaysia. Elevated concentration of different elements as well as considerable ionic balance error was found in the groundwater. Hosono et al. (2009) studied the human impacts on groundwater flow as well as the contamination in South Korea. Multiple isotopes were used in the study to demonstrate the human-induced degradation of groundwater. Khalil et al. (2008) studied the heavy metal contamination and metal content in soil runoff and groundwater in the mining sites of South Morocco, while Takamatsu et al. (2010) worked on the similar aspect of heavy metal contamination in Kanto, Japan. Simeonov et al. (2003) and Kanchan and Ghosh (2012) discussed the impact of excessive withdrawal of groundwater and associated problems of lowering of water table, poorer quality of water and restricted availability of uncontaminated water. On the other hand, natural factors of leaching of minerals and chemical reactions of surface and subsurface rocks with water were studied by Oinam et al. (2011) in Bishnupur district of Manipur, India.

T. Ghosh · R. Kanchan (✉)
Department of Geography, Faculty of Science, The
M. S. University of Baroda, Vadodara 390002, Gujarat, India
e-mail: roleekanchan@gmail.com

T. Ghosh
e-mail: tathagata.geo@gmail.com

Harvey et al. (2005) studied the health effects of groundwater arsenic contamination in the Ganges delta, while Mukherjee and Bhattacharya (2001) reported the high health risk of millions of people in Bangladesh due to groundwater arsenic contamination. According to the study by Kanchan and Ghosh (2011), presence of different elements, ions and minerals are valuable for human health, but at the same time if the presence of ion and minerals exceeds the permissible limit and is consumed for longer period of time then it may create serious health issues. The vital parameters of the groundwater are interdependent; hence, with the increase in the number of variables, their complexity also increases. Use of appropriate statistical techniques is one of the ways of reaching to probable generalization. Multivariate statistical analyses such as factor analysis (FA) and cluster analysis (CA) are some of the statistical tools, which are adopted to deal with large amount of data and number of parameters. According to the study of Simeonov et al. (2003), the multivariate statistical analyses helped in interpreting the underlying variable structure by deriving much simpler groups. Liu et al. (2003) performed a study in blackfoot diseased area of Taiwan and applied multivariate statistical analyses for the assessment of groundwater quality. Stüben et al. (2003) discussed about the geochemical characteristics of groundwater of Murshidabad district of West Bengal incorporating factor analysis. Oinam et al. (2011) studied spatio-temporal pattern of groundwater quality using cluster analysis in Bishnupur district of Manipur state, India, taking arsenic, iron and other parameters into considerations. Yammani et al. (2008) discussed the seasonal variability of different parameters and factors that controlled the groundwater quality in the hard terrain of Andhra Pradesh, India, using factor analysis. Giridharan et al. (2008) also worked on the similar line, and studied the seasonal pattern of geochemical parameters of groundwater quality in Chennai, India. Spatio-temporal pattern of Dianchi Lake basin water pollution was studied by Yang et al. (2010) using multivariate statistical analyses. Güler et al. (2002) examined the advantages and disadvantages of different types of clustering techniques along with the principal component analysis in parts of Southwestern USA. Yidana and Yidana (2010) have also applied factor analysis technique for the formulation of water quality indexing in Voltaian sedimentary aquifers of Ghana, while Singh et al. (2011) and Lake et al. (2003) attempted spatial distribution of groundwater quality using the statistical technique associated with the mapping technique for interpretation of groundwater quality. Techniques of quality index using statistical method, as well as conventional graphical scheme for the analysis of groundwater quality in the Volta region of Ghana was discussed by Yakubo et al. (2009).

Groundwater is one of the major sources of consumption for drinking as well as other purposes, in countries like India. Therefore, a detailed study is necessary to demarcate the zones of groundwater according to the level of contamination. The present study was carried in the central alluvial tract of Bengal plain, India, based on the analysis of ten geochemical groundwater parameters viz. *arsenic* (As), *pH, total dissolved solids* (TDS), *electrical conductivity* (EC), *iron* (Fe), *chloride* (Cl^-), *sulphate* (SO_4^{-2}), *total hardness as calcium carbonate* (will be used in the rest of the text as *total hardness* only), *nitrite* (NO_2^-) and *depth*. In association with the understanding of geochemical characteristics, geology and hydrological setup, synthesis of multivariate statistical analyses such as factor and cluster analysis provided better opportunity to analyse these multifaceted relationship.

Materials and method

Study area

The study area extends between 23°43′30″ N to 24°50′20″ N latitude and 87°46′17″ E to 88°46′00″ E longitude with areal coverage of about 5,324 km² in the central Bengal alluvial tract covering the entire Murshidabad district of West Bengal, India (District Census Hand Book 2001). Northeast and east of the study area shares the international boundary with Bangladesh, whereas, northern, western and southern boundaries are shared with Malda, Birbhum, Bardhaman and Nadia districts of West Bengal, respectively (Fig. 1). Northwestern region of the study area is demarcated by the state boundary between West Bengal and Jharkhand. Geomorphologically, the terrain is almost flat having elevation varying between 10 and 50 m above the mean sea level. (District Resource Map 2008)

Hydrogeological setup

The study area falls in central alluvial tract of Bengal plain, India, and it comprises of unconsolidated sediments of Late Pleistocene to Late Holocene times. Stratigraphically, the area is mainly comprised of quaternary sediments belonging to Rampurhat, Kandi and Bhagirathi formations, whereas older basaltic rock occurs in the northwestern region covering a small patch of Rajmahal trap (District Resource Map 2008). The western part of the study area is dominated by the sandy and silty clay of Rampurhat formations and Kandi formations, which show the alternate layering of sand, silt and clayey sediments, extensively spread over the study region (Fig. 2). The Bhagirathi formation denoting the present day flood plain deposits and marked by the fine-grained sediments mainly silt and clay.

Fig. 1 Location map of the study area

Fig. 2 Geological setup of the study area (Source: District Resource Map 2008)

Hydrological framework of the region is mainly controlled by river Ganga and its two distributaries, river Bhagirathi and river Bhairab. The river Ganga flows in northwest to southeast direction along the northwestern periphery of the Murshidabad district through Bhagirathi formation, while river Bhagirathi, which is draining in north–south direction, roughly midway of the region. River Bhairab also follows north–south direction in a highly meandered path on the east of river Bhagirathi. The river Ganga shows development of large bars and meandering in upper reach, whereas the lower reach is characterized by large meandering pattern with narrowed channel close to eastern part. As a part of Bengal plain, the study area comprises of three major aquifer systems. East of river Bhagirathi is associated with the thick unconfined aquifer, while the western tract is related to thick semi-confined aquifer (Fig. 3). Lithologically, eastern segment of river Bhagirathi is associated with recent alluvium, whereas older alluvium of Upper Tertiary period is found in the western segment of the region (Groundwater Information Booklet 2007). Only a small patch of unconfined aquifer consisting of basaltic rock of Upper Cretaceous period is situated in the northwestern part of the study area. Saturated groundwater zone is found as far down as 150 m in the eastern part of the region due to lack of any significant impermeable layer.

Water table is generally found within 2–5 m below the surface. Groundwater potential is greater than 42 yield (L/s) in the eastern and southern part. (District Planning Map Series 2002; Deshmukh and Goswami 1973).

Sample collection and geochemical analysis

78 groundwater samples were collected from the Bengal alluvial tract during the pre-monsoon period of May 2011. Sampling locations were marked using GPS of Garmin e-Trex Vista make. Later, the data from GPS were downloaded to computer, using "Mapsource" software. Depths of the hand pumps were known with the help of local authorities as well as Public Health Engineering Departments (PHED). Parameters of the water quality were chosen on the basis of their significance for attaining groundwater condition. *pH* value is a measure of acidity or alkalinity of water, and changes in level convey the geochemical reactions, which may change the characteristics of groundwater (Yammani et al. 2008). *TDS, EC, iron, total hardness, chloride* and *sulphate* are the natural constituents of water and needed to be monitored, as the primary portability in terms of drinking and for other purposes

Fig. 3 Hydrological setup of the study area (Source: Groundwater Information Booklet 2007)

depended upon these parameters (Mathes and Rasmussen 2006). The parameters such as *arsenic* and *nitrite* are very hazardous, as a minute concentration above the permissible limit can create serious health issues (Oinam et al. 2011; Mishima et al. 2010).

Concentration of *pH*, *total dissolved solids* and *electrical conductivity* was examined on field using digital pH metre (Hanna, Model No. HI-9827), TDS tester (Hanna, Dist 1, Model No. HI 98300) and digital EC metre (Hanna, Dist 4, Model No. HI 98303). Before collection of samples, hand pumps were mechanically pumped continuously for 10 min to flush out the water in the upper part of the pipe of the hand pumps. Samples were collected in 500-ml PET (Polyethylene terephthalate) bottles, and pH level of water was maintained below 2 using HCl. The samples were stored at low temperature (4° C) until further chemical analyses were undertaken.

Concentration of *arsenic, iron* and *nitrite* was analysed through spectrophotometric techniques using Molybdenum Blue Complex method (Jeffery et al. 1989), 1/10 Phenanthroline method (APHA 1989) and Cadmium Reduction technique (APHA 1989), respectively, by Elico Double Beam UV–Vis Spectrophotometer (Model sl-210). *Total Hardness* was determined through EDTA Titration (APHA 1989), *chloride* by Argentometric method using silver nitrate (APHA 1989), and *sulphate* by Iodometric titration

method (APHA 1989), respectively. The results from the analyses were tabulated in Table 1.

Data treatment

All data were subjected to statistical analysis using statistical package SPSS 19. Shapiro–Wilk (W) test showed that data set had a positive skewness, which indicated non-normal distribution of data. To ensure normality, standardization had been done by 'z-score' (Liu et al. 2003). Standardized data set was further used for factor analysis (FA). Ward's linkage method was applied for hierarchal cluster analysis (HCA) using squared euclidian distance.

Spatial interpolation and mapping

Arc GIS $10^{®}$ software was used for mapping of groundwater parameters and different factors. All 78 GPS locations were plotted on the base map of the study area. Factor scores collected from the statistical analysis were tabulated with respect to their locations in the attribute table. Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) method was applied for generation of isolines. Class interval of 1, both positive and negative, was used to identify the high as well as low potential contamination zones. Graded shades were applied to indicate the values of the factors wherein, lighter shades were used to indicate lower concentration and darker shades for higher concentration of parameters. These maps were superimposed and final composite picture was drawn. For each of the factors, individual maps were generated. Cluster numbers of each variable analysed from cluster analysis were tabulated according to their respective locations and applied for mapping of different clusters. For comparison with the factor distribution in GIS environment, individual parameters were also taken into considerations and interpolated maps were prepared using IDW technique.

Results and discussions

General geochemical properties and spatial distribution

The *arsenic* concentration in the groundwater in the study area varied between Below Detection Limit (BDL) and 0.98 mg/L (Table 1) with mean value 0.10 mg/L indicating a critical condition according to the permissible limit (0.05 mg/L), set by Bureau of Indian Standard (BIS). Although standard deviation was as low as 0.19, but the skewness and kurtosis both are highly positive (Table 2). The concentration of arsenic in the eastern segment of river Bhagirathi showed higher values exceeding the permissible limit of BIS. In the western segment of the region,

Table 1 Values of geochemical parameters

Block name	Location code	Latitude	Longitude	Depth (m)	Arsenic (As) (mg/L)	pH	TDS (mg/L)	Electrical conductivity EC (S/cm⁻¹ × 10⁻³)	Iron (Fe) (mg/L)	Chloride (Cl⁻) (mg/L)	Sulphate (SO₄⁻²) (mg/L)	Total hardness as CaCO₃ (mg/L)	Nitrite (NO₂⁻) (mg/L)
Baharampur	1	23.97250	88.16940	30	0.05	7.2	894.3	1.41	8.1	30.5	1000.2	702.3	16.96
Baharampur	2	24.04490	88.26700	60	B.D.L	7.4	451.3	0.71	7.4	30.3	451.2	452.4	15.17
Baharampur	3	24.10330	88.35820	45	0.03	8.2	556.4	0.86	7.5	25.8	906.5	503.2	12.50
Beldanga-1	4	23.90530	88.27190	20	0.02	7.1	502.2	0.78	14.3	55.4	72.3	53.4	22.32
Beldanga-1	5	23.90260	88.25310	40	0.04	8.1	610.1	0.93	25.0	60.7	181.2	45.4	17.85
Beldanga-1	6	23.93280	88.21410	24	0.06	8.0	552.5	0.86	18.3	45.2	201.4	52.3	17.85
Beldanga-2	7	23.81930	88.27970	75	0.06	8.0	607.2	0.93	7.2	65.5	505.4	63.4	31.25
Beldanga-2	8	23.84450	88.25100	60	0.05	7.5	509.1	0.78	4.1	40.7	300.2	63.4	31.35
Beldanga-2	9	23.86260	88.19270	35	0.06	8.1	511.4	0.78	2.1	50.2	320.2	68.5	19.64
Bhagwangola-1	10	24.35630	88.32170	60	0.06	7.2	753.4	1.17	6.2	21.5	706.5	325.4	56.25
Bhagwangola-1	11	24.32810	88.28870	60	0.03	7.1	652.4	1.02	4.0	40.1	600.3	470.5	55.35
Bhagwangola-1	12	24.26780	88.29250	90	B.D.L	7.0	855.2	1.33	5.3	90.8	610.5	475.3	60.17
Bhagwangola-2	13	24.26000	88.35580	75	0.04	7.4	504.2	0.78	5.4	120.5	702.4	504.5	56.25
Bhagwangola-2	14	24.23810	88.31110	18	0.01	7.3	512.4	0.78	9.0	120.6	710.3	403.4	55.35
Bhagwangola-2	15	24.23870	88.36260	45	0.05	7.5	601.2	0.93	4.2	120.4	705.3	433.4	69.64
Bharatpur-1	16	23.87330	88.11900	30	0.04	8.2	510.2	0.78	5.6	125.0	155.3	702.4	22.32
Bharatpur-1	17	23.84470	87.97790	55	B.D.L	7.3	405.4	0.63	3.2	110.4	103.2	762.3	52.67
Bharatpur-1	18	23.91690	88.06910	20	0.02	7.4	430.2	0.63	3.6	30.3	123.2	601.2	18.75
Bharatpur-2	19	23.76140	88.17410	30	B.D.L	7.5	402.3	0.62	4.0	25.5	20.0	642.5	6.25
Bharatpur-2	20	23.82860	88.10960	30	0.04	7.2	310.2	0.46	2.2	10.0	42.1	782.3	8.92
Bharatpur-2	21	23.82430	88.05380	15	0.02	7.4	348.4	0.55	3.2	20.5	32.1	722.3	18.75
Burwan	22	23.82830	87.94130	25	0.02	7.1	452.1	0.73	3.9	15.7	62.3	472.3	25.00
Burwan	23	23.90300	87.93940	30	B.D.L	7.5	520.1	0.78	2.5	15.3	41.2	401.2	25.00
Burwan	24	24.01060	87.92490	15	0.02	8.5	402.2	0.62	1.9	10.5	41.6	432.3	14.28
Domkal	25	24.07910	88.59360	10	0.73	7.0	552.1	0.86	4.3	16.3	402.3	46.3	17.85
Domkal	26	24.03160	88.58080	20	0.12	7.5	602.2	0.93	38.0	110.6	254.3	40.3	13.39
Domkal	27	24.01560	88.63050	18	0.98	8.5	403.2	0.81	36.5	40.7	810.3	52.4	14.28
Farakka	28	24.74110	87.85590	55	0.09	8.5	510.2	0.78	3.1	20.4	44.3	321.2	34.82
Farakka	29	24.75870	87.88490	20	0.08	8.0	452.3	0.71	5.5	15.7	81.5	42.3	18.75
Farakka	30	24.76010	87.95010	15	0.09	8.1	420.1	0.63	5.2	20.3	72.6	812.3	16.96
Hariharpara	31	24.09570	88.44560	60	0.16	7.1	451.2	0.72	3.6	46.5	602.5	203.4	19.64
Hariharpara	32	24.02670	88.38240	25	0.07	7.5	301.2	0.46	3.1	50.1	506.3	162.3	13.39
Hariharpara	33	24.02780	88.33250	60	0.09	8.2	452.1	0.75	5.2	42.7	751.4	204.3	16.96
Jalangi	34	24.19410	88.66980	35	0.06	7.5	450.6	0.71	2.8	25.4	203.6	212.3	27.67

Table 1 continued

Block name	Location code	Latitude	Longitude	Depth (m)	Arsenic (As) (mg/L)	pH	TDS (mg/L)	Electrical conductivity EC ($S/cm^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$)	Iron (Fe) (mg/L)	Chloride (Cl^-) (mg/L)	Sulphate (SO_4^{-2}) (mg/L)	Total hardness as $CaCO_3$ (mg/L)	Nitrite (NO_2^-) (mg/L)
Jalangi	35	24.17250	88.63680	30	0.81	7.6	601.2	0.93	4.3	12.6	207.4	322.3	27.67
Jalangi	36	24.10620	88.69060	12	0.41	7.8	600.4	0.93	3.2	30.7	252.5	403.5	26.78
Jiaganj	37	24.14720	88.33820	60	0.01	8.1	802.3	1.25	1.3	120.4	702.5	321.1	71.42
Jiaganj	38	24.15760	88.24610	75	0.02	7.2	900.0	1.41	1.1	100.2	603.4	183.4	35.71
Jiaganj	39	24.18460	88.30030	30	0.03	7.5	403.2	0.62	1.7	100.5	551.4	352.3	59.82
Kandi	40	23.95990	88.06670	45	0.03	7.0	452.5	0.76	4.2	27.6	172.5	850.0	25.89
Kandi	41	23.97400	88.11280	30	0.04	7.8	502.5	0.78	30.2	35.3	142.5	706.3	26.78
Kandi	42	24.09730	88.14330	12	0.04	7.5	601.2	0.93	18.3	28.4	82.4	742.3	33.03
Khargram	43	24.06200	87.96730	90	0.01	6.4	255.4	0.39	1.4	30.6	35.6	601.3	26.78
Khargram	44	24.10680	88.06160	35	0.03	6.0	253.4	0.39	2.1	31.3	71.5	545.7	25.00
Khargram	45	24.18150	87.96620	15	0.02	7.3	302.3	0.46	3.6	30.2	45.8	512.3	25.89
Lalgola	46	24.42640	88.18650	30	0.05	7.1	410.2	0.62	2.1	110.6	552.0	55.7	19.64
Lalgola	47	24.43910	88.21730	45	0.05	7.5	900.0	1.41	2.6	95.7	1000.0	62.3	25.89
Lalgola	48	24.41370	88.28130	60	0.07	8.5	402.3	0.61	1.4	30.4	603.6	46.3	25.89
Nabagram	49	24.17150	88.15150	30	0.02	8.4	255.4	0.39	5.5	20.5	1,100.0	61.2	36.60
Nabagram	50	24.21870	88.06880	35	0.04	8.0	302.3	0.46	3.1	24.7	702.3	163.4	19.64
Nabagram	51	24.24950	88.04900	55	0.01	7.1	255.4	0.39	2.1	18.3	1,005.4	182.3	10.71
Nawada	52	23.97740	88.48240	30	0.04	6.5	262.3	0.93	2.05	74.5	60.3	632.3	15.17
Nawada	53	23.93980	88.37520	25	0.03	8.1	400.3	0.68	5.42	90.4	142.3	401.4	9.82
Nawada	54	23.85390	88.41310	18	0.04	6.1	502.4	0.78	4.2	120.6	310.4	669.7	17.85
Raghunathganj-1	55	24.42260	88.00630	30	0.04	7.5	550.0	0.86	4.2	60.3	52.3	122.3	26.78
Raghunathganj-1	56	24.38680	88.07220	45	0.04	6.2	600.7	0.93	9.4	21.4	202.3	104.3	25.89
Raghunathganj-1	57	24.43520	88.11950	35	0.02	6.5	354.2	0.53	4.2	50.7	112.4	52.4	18.75
Raghunathganj-2	58	24.44090	88.13380	45	0.05	7.1	602.3	0.93	7.3	65.3	1,100.0	708.6	18.75
Raghunathganj-2	59	24.51850	88.08200	35	0.08	7.6	615.3	0.93	2.5	52.5	752.3	82.3	25.00
Raghunathganj-2	60	24.41470	88.10520	90	0.05	7.6	505.3	0.93	7.3	65.3	1,100	703.5	18.75
Raninagar-1	61	24.25780	88.44630	25	0.05	7.5	500.2	0.78	2.7	50.5	204.3	62.6	33.03
Raninagar-1	62	24.21000	88.49880	09	0.04	7.1	452.3	0.75	3.8	55.4	143.4	35.2	50.00
Raninagar-1	63	24.16590	88.46690	18	B.D.L	8.2	459.2	0.74	4.4	100.3	122.3	30.0	19.64
Raninagar-2	64	24.28520	88.59030	25	0.80	7.9	502.3	0.78	6.5	26.6	62.3	122.3	34.82
Raninagar-2	65	24.30760	88.51180	18	0.09	7.2	610.2	0.93	0.4	65.3	403.5	102.4	39.28
Raninagar-2	66	24.24270	88.58260	21	0.05	7.8	601.2	0.93	5.0	15.6	32.3	352.3	18.75
Sagardighi	67	24.33240	88.10560	12	0.06	7.6	555.3	0.86	1.9	95.5	104.5	217.5	50.89
Sagardighi	68	24.28630	88.14580	18	0.04	8.0	652.3	1.02	5.3	100.4	82.3	410.4	28.57

Table 1 continued

Block name	Location code	Latitude	Longitude	Depth (m)	Arsenic (As) (mg/L)	pH	TDS (mg/L)	Electrical conductivity EC ($S/cm^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$)	Iron (Fe) (mg/L)	Chloride (Cl^-) (mg/L)	Sulphate (SO_4^{-2}) (mg/L)	Total hardness as $CaCO_3$ (mg/L)	Nitrite (NO_2^-) (mg/L)
Sagardighi	69	24.25090	88.12940	12	B.D.L	6.1	610.2	0.93	5.0	65.2	66.3	520.0	26.78
Samsheganj	70	24.62750	87.91830	45	0.04	7.1	801.2	1.25	3.2	30.8	252.3	730.5	20.53
Samsheganj	71	24.60910	87.96200	25	0.07	7.5	700.2	1.09	3.3	20.2	304.3	620.0	26.78
Samsheganj	72	24.60921	87.88336	60	0.04	8.0	700.2	1.09	4.3	36.4	603.2	645.0	27.67
Suti-1	73	24.54110	87.93980	90	B.D.L	7.5	610.1	0.93	2.1	95.6	105.4	540.0	21.67
Suti-1	74	24.56600	88.05050	30	0.04	7.4	508.6	0.78	1.3	86.4	73.6	750.3	21.67
Suti-1	75	24.52360	88.01580	22	0.03	7.9	600.2	0.93	5.3	100.3	752.3	600.3	25.89
Suti-2	76	24.60280	87.98920	12	0.07	7.1	452.2	0.73	3.2	80.4	66.5	502.3	19.64
Suti-2	77	24.55550	87.99910	22	0.06	8.0	304.2	0.60	4.1	100.2	202.3	400.0	25.00
Suti-2	78	24.57890	88.05960	22	0.02	7.2	503.4	0.78	4.3	85.5	120.5	430.0	26.78

B.D.L. below detection level

concentration of *arsenic* was considerably low and in most of the places, it was even below permissible limit. Patches of higher concentration were also observed in the north-western tip of the region (Fig. 4a).

The *pH* value of the groundwater varied between the minimum and maximum value of 6 and 8.5 with a mean value of 7.49 and low standard deviation of 0.57 indicating slightly alkaline condition. The skewness of *pH* was moderately negative (−0.47), and kurtosis was positive with a value (+0.33). The *pH* value of the groundwater in the north-central region was found between 7 and 7.5 except for one continuous patch from south central to east where *pH* value was between 7.5 and 8 (Fig. 4b).

The *total dissolved solids* were in the range from 253.40 to 900 mg/L with a mean of 514.95 mg/L, and standard deviation of 152.01 indicated a considerable variability in concentration of throughout the region. Both skewness (+0.53) and kurtosis (+0.41) were slightly positive. The higher concentration of *total dissolved solids* was observed along the river Bhagirathi (Fig. 4c). With the increasing distance from the river, the concentration of *total dissolved solids* decreased considerably and reached to its minimum level in the western end.

The *electrical conductivity* showed a similar pattern of total dissolved solids ranging from 0.39×10^{-3} to 1.41×10^{-3} S/cm^{-1} having a mean value of 0.81×10^{-3} S/cm^{-1} with standard deviation of 0.23 (Fig. 4d).

Figure 4e revealed the concentration of *iron* and varied between 0.40 and 38.0 mg/L with a standard deviation of 7.04 and mean value of 5.98 mg/L. Both values of skewness and kurtosis were high and positive, i.e. +3.25 and +10.92, indicating a wide variation in the concentration in the study area. The major concentration of *iron* was observed in the eastern and southern segment of the studied region. Concentration of *iron* was found below 5 mg/L in rest of the region.

The concentration of *chloride* has been shown in Fig. 4f. The variation was from 10 to 125 mg/L with standard deviation of 35.12 and mean value 55.51 mg/L indicating substantial variation of concentration throughout the region. Skewness showed a moderate value of +0.85, while the kurtosis indicated −0.49. The concentration of *chloride* was observed in a continuous patch from north-west to south-central portion of the study area. In the western segment of the region, the concentration of the *chloride* was relatively less. Similarly, the *sulphate* concentration widely varied between 20 and 1,100 mg/L with a mean value of 354.18 mg/L and standard deviation 321.17. The skewness was observed positive (+0.85) and kurtosis was having a value of −0.49. *Sulphate* concentration was extended spatially from the central portion to the western region of the area (Fig. 4g).

Total Hardness was determined by EDTA titration and the its value was observed in the range from 30 to 850 mg/L

Table 2 Summery statistics of the 78 groundwater samples

Parameters	Mean	Min	Max	SD	Skewness	Kurtosis
Depth (m)	36.32	9.00	90.00	21.09	1.00	0.29
Arsenic (mg/L)	0.10	0.01	0.98	0.19	3.63	12.43
pH	7.49	6.00	8.50	0.57	−0.47	0.33
TDS (mg/L)	514.95	253.40	900.00	152.01	0.53	0.41
Electrical conductivity ($S/cm^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$)	0.81	0.39	1.41	0.23	0.53	0.67
Iron (mg/L)	5.98	0.40	38.00	7.04	3.25	10.92
Chloride (mg/L)	55.51	10.00	125.00	35.12	0.57	−1.06
Sulphate (mg/L)	354.98	20.00	1,100.00	321.17	0.85	−0.49
Total hardness of $CaCO_3$ (mg/L)	370.41	30.00	850.00	255.61	0.12	−1.35
Nitrite (mg/L)	27.45	6.25	71.42	14.28	1.40	1.48

Fig. 4 Spatial distribution of parameters **a** arsenic, **b** pH, **c** TDS, **d** EC, **e** iron, **f** chloride, **g** sulphate, **h** TH as $CaCO_3$, **i** nitrite

and mean value of 370.41 mg/L. Very high standard deviation of 255.61 and skewness of +0.12 and kurtosis −1.35 were observed. The hardness was widely spread in the western end and in the northwestern tip of the region with considerably higher value, while the rest of the area revealed the low concentration values of *total hardness* (Fig. 4h).

Nitrite concentration, which is responsible for the 'Blue Babies syndrome' (Mishima et al. 2010), was also analysed and found to be in the range from 6.25 to 71.42 mg/L with an average of 27.45 mg/L and standard deviation of 14.28 with skewness and kurtosis as +1.40 and +1.48, respectively. Higher concentration of nitrite in the central part of the study area gradually decreased in all directions (Fig. 4i).

Factor analysis (FA)

Factor analysis is a multivariate statistical analysis, which helps in detecting the similarity among the variables. The major aim of this analysis is to reduce the dimensionality of the data and reproduce set of related variables without losing any information (Farnham et al. 2002). This statistical approach had been adopted by Helena et al. (2000) to interpret the interrelated complex processes, which were controlling the general water chemistry. Factor analysis technique was used in the present study to extract the factors using "Kaiser Criterion" where *eigenvalues* greater than the unity (1) were taken into consideration (Davis 1986). *Scree* test was applied though decreasing order of the *eigenvalues* in respect to the factors. Break in the *scree* plot represented the number of factors to be considered. To ensure the maximum variability, "varimax rotation" was applied. With extracted factors, the interrelationships among the variables were analysed in much efficient way as the number of variables was grouped into lesser number.

In the present analysis, four significant factors were extracted using varimax rotation with *eigenvalues* more than 1 explaining 66.57 % of the total variability of the data (Table 3). Higher factor loading value for factor 1 showed that *total dissolved solids* (+0.955) and *electrical conductivity* (+0.957) accounted for 26.22 % of the total variation among the sample. Factor 2 had 16.80 % of variance with higher positive loadings on *arsenic* (+0.668) and *iron* (+0.685), while negative loadings on *depth* (−0.609) parameter. Third and fourth factors had been observed having 12.84 and 10.70 % variability, respectively. The third factor depicted positive loadings on *chloride* (+0.855) and *nitrite* (+0.739). The fourth factors showed higher positive loadings on *pH* (+0.609) and *sulphate* while negative loading on *total hardness* (−0.634). The last two factors depicted relatively lower percentage of variance, which indicated more local effects than the first two factors. Factor loadings of the four factors of the data set are listed in the Table 4.

Interdependence between the factors

Inter-factorial relationship can be better interpreted through the scatter plot of factors, which is essential for understanding the importance of each factor and also the interdependence between the factors. High positive loadings were observed in all the parameters of factor 1, i.e. *total dissolved solids* and *electrical conductivity* and factor 2 having *iron* and *arsenic* excluding *depth* as shown in Fig. 5a. Positive loadings of *iron* and *arsenic* showed a relationship with *total dissolved solids* and *electrical conductivity*. The negative loading on *depth* indicated an inverse relationship which controls the concentration of *arsenic* and *iron*. A high positive loading of *iron* in both unconfined and semi-confined aquifer is a better indication of dissolution of iron oxides under reducing conditions (Akai et al. 2004; Ravenscroft et al. 2001). Fig. 5b showed that the *iron* and *arsenic* are mostly concentrated in the shallow aquifer with higher *total dissolved solids* and *electrical conductivity*. Positive loadings on both factor 1 (*total dissolved solids* and *electrical conductivity*) and factor 3 (*chloride* and *nitrite*) showed strong control on both the factors (Fig. 5b). Control of *arsenic*, *iron* and *depth* on *pH* is indicated by high positive loadings and determined through interrelationship between factor 2 and factor 4 (Fig. 5c). Inverse relation was noticed between *arsenic*, *iron* (Factor 2) and *sulphate*, *total hardness* and *pH* (factor 4).

Hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA)

Among several clustering techniques, HCA is widely applied in earth science studies (Davis 1986). It is one of the key techniques, which is widely used for grouping of hydrochemical data having similar characteristics and applied by several workers (Mencio and Mas-Pla 2008; Forina et al. 2002). The grouping of variables was done by the Euclidian distance method and linking up on the basis of overall similarity. The data set can be classified easily by HCA and direct way with representation through dendrogram (Davis 1986). In the present study, Ward's linkage agglomeration schedule coefficient was applied for the identification of number of classes. Dendrogram represented the actual pattern of data (Fig. 6), and 78 samples were clustered into four groups (Table 5). As per Table 5, cluster 1 was distinguished from others on the basis of presence of higher *electrical conductivity* (0.75 $S/cm^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$), *chloride* (52.83 mg/L) and *total hardness* with 55 % of the total sampling locations. Figure 7 showed the cluster 2 in the central and southern part of the district with alkaline condition (7.61), moderate *total dissolved solids* (405.68 mg/L) and high *sulphate* (714.78 mg/L) concentration. Cluster 3, though observed in only 6 % locations, but is problematic because of very high concentration of *arsenic* (0.75 mg/L) and *iron* (10.96 mg/L) in shallow aquifers. The amount of *sulphate* (342 mg/L) was moderate and that of *chloride* (24.80 mg/L) was low in this

Table 3 Factor analysis

Components	Initial eigenvalues			Extraction sums of squared loadings			Rotation sums of squared loadings		
	Total	% of variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of variance	Cumulative %
1	2.622	26.222	26.222	2.622	26.222	26.222	2.203	22.030	22.030
2	1.681	16.808	43.029	1.681	16.808	43.029	1.551	15.513	37.542
3	1.284	12.841	55.870	1.284	12.841	55.870	1.462	14.623	52.165
4	1.071	10.706	66.576	1.071	10.706	66.576	1.441	14.411	66.576
5	0.874	8.744	75.321						
6	0.809	8.088	83.408						
7	0.647	6.468	89.877						
8	0.532	5.323	95.200						
9	0.473	4.732	99.932						
10	0.007	0.068	100.000						

Table 4 Rotated component matrix

	Components			
	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4
Depth	0.395	**−0.609**	−0.024	0.393
Arsenic	0.074	**0.668**	−0.210	0.228
pH	−0.082	0.116	−0.141	**0.609**
Total dissolved solids	**0.955**	0.052	0.162	−0.019
Electrical conductivity	**0.957**	0.093	0.181	0.002
Iron	0.150	**0.685**	−0.058	0.063
Chloride	0.096	−0.019	**0.855**	−0.044
Sulphate	0.324	−0.208	0.166	**0.669**
Total hardness as calcium carbonate	0.153	−0.402	−0.177	**−0.634**
Nitrite	0.213	−0.187	**0.739**	0.093

Extraction method: principal component analysis. Rotation method: varimax with Kaiser normalization. Rotation converged in six iterations

Significant loadings are boldface

cluster. Fourth cluster showed higher amount of *total dissolved solids* (636.12 mg/L), *nitrite* (49.59 mg/L) and *electrical conductivity* (1.01 S/cm^{-1} × 10^{-3}) while the *sulphate* (615.28 mg/L) concentration was moderately present.

## Categorization of groundwater quality

Factor 1 score distribution map is associated with high positive loadings on *total dissolved solids* and *electrical conductivity* (Fig. 8a). Therefore, this factor can be assigned as the turbidity factor, which might be originated from the point sources such as agricultural wastes and runoff water with high load of solids that mixed with the groundwater through percolation. High scores of factor 1 are mostly associated with the thick unconfined aquifer situated in the eastern part of the study area. Influence of river Ganga on *total dissolved solids* and *EC* concentration is clearly confirmed in Fig. 8a by the continuous stretch throughout the northern peripheral region. The factor scores were substantially higher along a narrow trail extended from north to south and followed the path of river Bhagirathi. Groundwater mixing with the river water might be one of the controlling factors in this respect. The increased concentration of solids was observed at the confluence of river Bhagirathi with river Ganga. The scores were decreasing gradually towards western part where the tributaries of river Ganga were scanty. The hand pump no. 1, 12, 38 and 47, which are located along the river, had higher *total dissolved solids* as well as *EC*.

Figure 8b represents factor 2, which is associated with variables of *depth*, *arsenic* and *iron*. The higher positive scores of *arsenic* and *iron* and negative loading on *depth* were observed in the eastern end and southern segment. The presence of higher concentration of *arsenic* and *iron* in the shallower depth depicted a typical redox condition largely because of reduction dissolution (Chapagain et al. 2010). In this region, the factor score showed more than 1 which indicated an elevated concentration of the parameters. Over pumping of groundwater might be the governing factor as it is the major source of drinking water as well as irrigation in that region. Over extraction introduces excess amount of oxygen, which helps in greater amount of oxidation of the minerals and release of *arsenic* in the groundwater (Liu et al. 2003). The eastern side of the river Bhagirathi is composed of thick unconfined aquifer. There is no significant intervening layer between the surface and subsurface, and this leads to percolate the water directly into the aquifers through these surface and subsurface layer. This might be the governing factor of arsenic release into the groundwater (Ghosh and Kanchan 2011). The hand

Fig. 5 Interfactoral relationship. **a** factor 1 and factor 2, **b** factor 1 and factor 3, **c** factor 2 and factor 4



Fig. 6 Cluster dendrogram

pumps no. 25, 26 and 27 are some of the locations located in the eastern part of the region, with very high concentration of *arsenic* in the shallower depth with considerably high concentration of *iron*. On the other hand, location no. 40, 41 and 43 showed considerably low *arsenic* concentration below permissible limit in the higher *depth* with low *iron* concentration. The result indicated a definite relationship between the parameters.

Whole of the northern region showed dominance of factor 3. Higher positive loading on both *chloride* and *nitrite* is observed in the north-central part of the study area (Fig. 8c) with factor score more than 1. The origin of *chloride* from local natural sources has moderate concentration, while, nitrite is one of the important components of

Table 5 Mean of clusters of parameters

Cluster	Depth (ft.)	Arsenic (mg/l)	pH	TDS (mg/l)	Electrical conductivity $(S/cm^{-1} \times 10^{-3})$	Iron (mg/l)	Chloride (mg/l)	Sulphate (mg/l)	Total hardness of $CaCO_3$ (mg/l)	Nitrite (mg/l)	n^x
1	89.19	0.04	7.17	479.69	0.75	8.06	52.83	142.68	376.51	23.36	43
2	163.07	0.05	7.61	405.68	0.63	4.10	37.91	714.78	227.96	21.18	15
3	62.00	0.75	7.30	530.00	0.86	10.96	24.80	342.00	187.60	24.28	5
4	154.72	0.03	7.11	636.12	1.01	4.33	84.84	615.28	454.72	49.59	15

n^x total number of sampling locations in each clusters

Fig. 7 Cluster distribution map

fertilizers. Excessive use of fertilizers and untreated sewage contamination might have caused the concentration of nitrogen bearing elements in the groundwater (Chanakya and Sharathandra 2008; Schmoll et al. 2006). *Nitrite,* having a positive relationship with the wastewater discharge and pesticides, excessively used in agriculture, contributed to anthropogenic local pollution (Kumazawa 2002; Gupta et al. 2008). Higher concentration of *chloride* and *nitrite* had been observed from hand pump no. 14, 15 and 16. On the other hand, the hand pump nos. 1, 19 and 20, which are considerably away from the river, had shown a low amount of *chloride* and *nitrite.* This is an indication of a probable relation with the river water.

Fourth factor is associated with high positive loadings on *pH*, *sulphate* and high negative loadings on *total hardness* (Fig. 8d). Variation in *pH* of water was considered to be a critical parameter as it triggers hydrochemical reactions in groundwater. Throughout the region, *pH* value

varied between 6 and 8.5. *Total hardness* showed a range from 30 to 850 mg/L, which was considerably high. According to Sawyer and McCarthy (1967), this might be due to the weathering of sedimentary rocks, calcium bearing elements and use of excessive lime in agricultural land. Hand pump nos. 1, 14, 15 and 16 are some of the locations, which also follow the above said conditions. Moreover, factor 3 and factor 4 are showed the influence of agricultural activities.

Composite results after superimposition of the analysed factors, groundwater zones were categorized. Major contaminated zones were identified in the north central and in the eastern part of the study area where factor score showed very high value of more than 2. However, the higher factor scores were extended from central part to the eastern end of the region (Fig. 8e). The contact zone between thick unconfined and semi-confined aquifer has an important role to play in this regard. As per the results, the entire thick unconfined aquifer situated in the eastern part of river Bhagirathi is a matter of concern in terms of groundwater contamination. Hand pump nos. 35, 34, 13, 14 and 15 showed the higher scores, which indicated the highest contaminated zones. Entire region of the eastern part had positive composite factor score seemed to be the most problematic zone because of the highest composite factor of more than 2 in the eastern end region. Gradual decrease in factor scores was observed from east to western part. Here the factor scores are negative indicating the less contaminated groundwater regions.

Conclusion

In the present study, geochemical analysis of different parameters coupled with statistical analysis ascribed the contamination zones of groundwater in the central alluvial tract of Bengal plain of India. Result showed that the rivers are playing an important role in controlling the geochemical properties of groundwater of the region. In areas closer to the recharge zones of river Ganga, its tributaries and distributaries, higher concentration of *total dissolved solids* and *electrical conductivity* was observed. Higher

Fig. 8 Factor score distribution maps. **a** factor 1 (TDS and EC), **b** factor 2 (depth, arsenic and iron), **c** factor 3 (chloride and nitrite), **d** factor 4 (sulphate, pH and TH as CaCO$_3$), **e** composite factor

concentration of *arsenic* and *iron* in shallower aquifer was found in the eastern end, while it decreased considerably in the western segment. Southern part showed higher values of *pH* in the water samples, while the groundwater in the central part extended up to the southern end of the studied area that had higher concentration of *Chloride*. The concentration of *sulphate* was spread from the central to the western part. However, *hardness* of groundwater was noted in the entire region of the central alluvial tract except eastern part and *nitrite* concentration in the north-central portion of the region.

The factor scores ascribed the abundance of higher scores from eastern segment of river Bhagirathi to the western segment in a gradually decreasing trend. Turbidity factor related to *TDS* and *EC* showed higher concentration along the path of river Bhagirathi. It was observed that the eastern most segment of the studied area had hazardous elements viz., *arsenic* and *iron* in the shallower *depth* and agricultural factor i.e. *nitrite* and *chloride* was concentrated in the north-central portion. *Hardness* factor comprising of *total hardness, pH* and *sulphate* was spread over almost whole eastern segment of river Bhagirathi. Cluster analysis also confirmed the presence of *arsenic* and *iron* in the eastern most part in the shallower *depth* and higher concentration of *TDS* and *total hardness* at the confluence of river Bhagirathi and river Ganga in the north-central part. The present results concluded the need of the groundwater quality categorization to ensure availability of groundwater that can be used for drinking and other purposes. Further,

characterization of groundwater quality in different seasons may depict the seasonal pattern of groundwater processes. For the identification of subsurface hydrological characteristics, subsurface lithological modelling accompanied by the geochemical data and statistical analysis may be useful.

References

Akai J, Izumi K, Fukuhara H, Masuda H, Nakano S, Yoshimura T, Ohfuji H, Md Anawar H, Akai K (2004) Mineralogical and geomicrobiological investigations on groundwater arsenic enrichment in Bangladesh. Appl Geochem 19:215–230

APHA (American Public Health Association) (1989) Standard methods for the examination of water and waste waters, 20th edn. APHA, Washington, DC

Chanakya HN, Sharathandra HC (2008) Nitrogen pool, flows, impact and sustainability issues of human waste management in the city of Bangalore. Curr Sci 94(11):1447–1454

Chapagain SK, Pandey VP, Shrestha S, Nakamur T, Kazama F (2010) Assessment of deep groundwater quality in Kathmandu Valley using multivariate statistical techniques. Water Air Soil Pollut 210:277–288

Davis JC (1986) Statistics and data analysis in geology, 2nd edn. Wiley, New York

Deshmukh DS, Goswami AB (1973) Geology and groundwater resources of Alluvial areas of West Bengal. Bulletin of Geological Survey of India. Series B, No. 34

District Census Hand Book (2001) Murshidabad District, Census of India

District Planning Map Series, Murshidabad, West Bengal (2002) National Atlas & thematic mapping organisation. Department of Science & Technology, Government of India

District Resource Map (2008) Murshidabad, West Bengal

Farnham IM, Singh AK, Stetzenbach KJ, Johannesson KH (2002) Treatment of nondetects in multivariate analysis of groundwater geochemistry data. Chemometr Intell Lab Syst 60:265–281

Forina M, Armanino C, Raggio V (2002) Clustering with dendrograms on interpretation variables. Anal Chim Acta 454:13–19

Ghosh T, Kanchan R (2011) Spatio-temporal pattern of groundwater arsenic concentration in thick unconfined aquifer of Murshidabad District, West Bengal, India. Univers J Environ Res Technol 1(3):311–319

Giridharan L, Venugopal T, Jayaprakash M (2008) Evaluation of the seasonal variation on the geochemical parameters and quality assessment of the groundwater in the proximity of River Cooum, Chennai, India. Environ Monit Assess 143:161–178

Groundwater Information Booklet (2007) District Murshidabad (Arsenic Affected Area) West Bengal. Central Groundwater Board, Eastern Region, Kolkata

Güler C, Thyne GD, McCray JE, Turner AK (2002) Evaluation of graphical and multivariate statistical methods for classification of water chemistry data. Hydrogeol J 10:455–474

Gupta S, Mahato A, Roy P, Datta JK, Saha RN (2008) Geochemistry of groundwater, Burdwan District, West Bengal, India. Environ Geol 53:1271–1282

Harvey CF, Swartz CH, Badruzzaman ABM, Keon-Blute N, Yu W, Ali MA, Jay J, Beckie R, Niedan V, Brabander D, Oates PM, Ashfaque KN, Islam S, Hemond HF, Ahmed MF (2005) Groundwater arsenic contamination on the Ganges Delta: biogeochemistry, hydrology, human perturbations, and human suffering on a large scale. CR Geosci 1–2(337):285–296

Helena B, Pardo R, Vega M, Barrado E, Fernandez JM, Fernandez L (2000) Temporal evolution of groundwater composition in an alluvial aquifer (Pisuerga River, Spain) by principal component analysis. Water Res 34(3):807–816

Hosono T, Ikawa R, Shimada J, Nakano T, Saito M, Onodera S, Lee K, Taniguchi M (2009) Human impacts on groundwater flow and contamination deduced by multiple isotopes in Seoul City, South Korea. Sci Total Environ 407(9):3189–3197

Jeffery GH, Bassett J, Mendham J, Denney RC (1989) Vogel's textbook of quantitative chemical analysis, 5th edn. pp 681–683

Kanchan R, Ghosh T (2011) Groundwater arsenic contamination and health status in Nadia District, West Bengal, India. Deccan Geographer 1(49):51–63

Kanchan R, Ghosh T (2012) Identification of groundwater arsenic contaminated vulnerability zones in alluvial tract of West Bengal, India. J Energy Environ Carbon Credits 2(1):1–12

Khalil HE, Ouafae EH, Gabriel B, Naaila O, Ali B (2008) Heavy metal contamination from mining sites in South Morocco: monitoring metal content and toxicity of soil runoff and groundwater. Environ Monit Assess 136:147–160

Kumazawa K (2002) Nitrogen fertilization and nitrate pollution in groundwater in Japan: present status and measures for sustainable agriculture. Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst 2–3(63):129–137

Lake IR, Lovett AA, Hiscock KM, Betson M, Foley A, Sünnenberg G, Evers S, Fletcher S (2003) Evaluating factors influencing groundwater vulnerability to nitrate pollution: developing the potential of GIS. J Environ Manag (68):315–328

Liu CW, Lin KH, Kuo YM (2003) Application of factor analysis in the assessment of groundwater quality in a Blackfoot disease area in Taiwan. Sci Total Environ 313:77–89

Mathes SE, Rasmussen TC (2006) Combining multivariate statistical analysis with geographic information systems mapping: a tool for delineating groundwater contamination. Hydrogeol J 14:1493–1507

Mencio A, Mas-Pla J (2008) Assessment by multivariate analysis of groundwater-surface water interactions in urbanized mediterranean streams. J Hydrol 353:355–366

Mishima Y, Yakada M, Kitagawa R (2010) Evaluation of intrinsic vulnerability to nitrate contamination of groundwater: appropriate fertilizer application management. Environ Earth Sci 63(3):571–580

Mukherjee AB, Bhattacharya P (2001) Arsenic in groundwater in Bengal Delta plain: slow poisoning in Bangladesh. Environ Rev 9(3):198–220

Oinam JD, Ramanathan AL, Linda A, Singh G (2011) A study of arsenic, iron and other dissolved ion variations in the groundwater of Bishnupur District, Manipur, India. Environ Earth Sci 62:1183–1195

Rahim BEE, Yusoff I, Samsudin AR, Yaacob WZW, Rafek AGM (2010) Deterioration of groundwater quality in the vicinity of an active open-tipping site in West Malaysia. Hydrogeol J 18(4):997–1006

Ravenscroft P, McArthur JM, Hoque BA (2001) Geochemical and paleohydrological controls on pollution of groundwater by arsenic. In: Chappell W, Abernathy CO, Calderon R (eds) Arsenic exposure, health effects (IV). Elsevier, Oxford, pp 78–83

Sawyer CN, McCarthy PL (1967) Chemistry for sanitation engineering. 2nd edn. McGrawHill, New York

Schmoll O, Howard G, Chilton J, Chorus I (2006) Protecting groundwater for health: managing the quality of drinking water sources. WHO/IWA, Publishing, UK, London

Simeonov V, Stratis JA, Samara C, Zachariadis G, Voutsa D, Anthemidis A, Sofoniou M, Kouimtzis Th (2003) Assessment of the surface water quality in Northern Greece. Water Res 37:4119–4124

Singh CK, Shashtri S, Mukherjee S (2011) Integrating multivariate statistical analysis with GIS for geochemical assessment of groundwater quality in Shiwaliks of Punjab, India. Environ Earth Sci 62:1387–1405

Stüben D, Berner Z, Chandrasekharam D, Karmakar J (2003) Arsenic enrichment in groundwater of West Bengal, India: geochemical evidence for mobilization of as under reducing condition. Appl Geochem 18(9):1417–1434

Takamatsu T, Watanabe M, Koshikawa MK, Murata T, Yamamura S, Hayashi S (2010) Pollution of montane soil with Cu, Zn, As, Sb, Pb, and nitrate in Kanto, Japan. Sci Total Environ 408:1932–1942

Yakubo BB, Yidana SM, Emmanuel N, Akabzaa T, Asiedu D (2009) Analysis of groundwater quality using water quality index and conventional graphical methods: the Volta region, Ghana. Environ Earth Sci 59:867–879

Yammani SR, Reddy TVK, Reddy MRK (2008) Identification of influencing factors for groundwater quality variation using multivariate analysis. Environ Geol 55:9–16

Yang YH, Zhou F, Guo HC, Sheng H, Liu H, Dao X, He CG (2010) Analysis of spatial and temporal water pollution patterns in Lake Dianchi using multivariate statistical methods. Environ Monit Assess 170:407–416

Yidana SM, Yidana A (2010) Assessing water quality using water quality index and multivariate analysis. Environ Earth Sci 59:1461–1473