ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Radar interferometry techniques for the study of ground subsidence phenomena: a review of practical issues through cases in Spain

R. Tomás • R. Romero • J. Mulas • J. J. Marturià • J. J. Mallorquí • J. M. Lopez-Sanchez • G. Herrera · F. Gutiérrez · P. J. González · J. Fernández · S. Duque · A. Concha-Dimas · G. Cocksley · C. Castañeda · D. Carrasco · P. Blanco

Received: 4 September 2012 / Accepted: 16 March 2013 / Published online: 31 March 2013 - Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2013

Abstract Subsidence related to multiple natural and human-induced processes affects an increasing number of areas worldwide. Although this phenomenon may involve surface deformation with 3D displacement components, negative vertical movement, either progressive or episodic, tends to dominate. Over the last decades, differential SAR interferometry (DInSAR) has become a very useful remote sensing tool for accurately measuring the spatial and temporal evolution of surface displacements over broad areas. This work discusses the main advantages and limitations of addressing active subsidence phenomena by means of

Departamento de Ingeniería Civil, Escuela Politécnica Superior, Universidad de Alicante, P.O. Box 99, 03080 Alicante, Spain e-mail: roberto.tomas@ua.es

R. Tomás · J. Mulas · J. M. Lopez-Sanchez · G. Herrera Unidad Asociada de investigación IGME-UA de movimientos del terreno mediante interferometría radar (UNIRAD), Universidad de Alicante, P.O. Box 99, 03080 Alicante, Spain e-mail: j.mulas@igme.es

J. M. Lopez-Sanchez e-mail: Juanma.lopez@ua.es

G. Herrera e-mail: g.herrera@igme.es

R. Romero - D. Carrasco INDRA Espacio, Mar Egeo 4, Pol. Industrial nº 1, San Fernando de Henares, 28830 Madrid, Spain e-mail: rromero@indra.es

D. Carrasco e-mail: dcarrasco@indra.es

J. Mulas - G. Herrera

Geohazards Remote Sensing Laboratory, Grupo de Riesgos Geológicos, Instituto Geológico y Minero de España, Ríos Rosas 23, 28003 Madrid, Spain

DInSAR techniques from an end-user point of view. Special attention is paid to the spatial and temporal resolution, the precision of the measurements, and the usefulness of the data. The presented analysis is focused on DInSAR results exploitation of various ground subsidence phenomena (groundwater withdrawal, soil compaction, mining subsidence, evaporite dissolution subsidence, and volcanic deformation) with different displacement patterns in a selection of subsidence areas in Spain. Finally, a cost comparative study is performed for the different techniques applied.

J. J. Marturià · A. Concha-Dimas Institut Geològic de Catalunya, Balmes 209-211, Barcelona, Spain e-mail: jmarturia@igc.cat

A. Concha-Dimas e-mail: aconcha@igc.cat

J. J. Mallorquí

Remote Sensing Lab, Departament de Teoria del Senyal I Comunicacions, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Campus Nord Ed. D3, Jordi Girona 1-3, 08034 Barcelona, Spain e-mail: mallorqui@tsc.upc.edu

J. M. Lopez-Sanchez

Departamento de Física, Ingeniería de Sistemas y Teoría de la Señal (DFISTS), Escuela Politécnica Superior, Universidad de Alicante, P.O. Box 99, 03080 Alicante, Spain

F. Gutiérrez

Department of Earth Sciences, University of Zaragoza, Pedro Cerbuna 12, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain e-mail: fgutier@unizar.es

R. Tomás (\boxtimes)

Keywords Subsidence - DInSAR - Settlement - Remote sensing - Spain - Technique-cost

Introduction

The term subsidence refers to the sudden sinking or gradual downward settling of the ground surface with not much or no horizontal motion (Jackson [1997](#page-17-0)). Active subsidence may be related to multiple natural and anthropogenic processes (Corapcioglu [1989](#page-16-0); Waltham [1989](#page-18-0); Galloway et al. [1999\)](#page-16-0). The risk to people and their infrastructures posed by subsidence phenomena in remote and noninhabited areas is generally negligible. However, active subsidence in developed areas may cause significant damage to human structures, often involving multi-million dollar losses (e.g. Kappel et al. [1999;](#page-17-0) Autin [2002](#page-16-0); Gutiérrez et al. [2009](#page-17-0); Mancini et al. [2009\)](#page-17-0). Wu ([2003\)](#page-18-0) points out that subsidence constitutes a hazard for bridges, roads, railways, storm drains, sewers, canals, levees, buildings and well pipes, and increases the susceptibility to tidal flooding in low-lying coastal areas. Moreover, catastrophic subsidence may result in human life lost (Guerrero et al. [2008](#page-17-0); Galve et al. [2012\)](#page-16-0). For instance, in the Far West Rand of South Africa, sudden sinkholes induced by dewatering of dolomite aquifers for gold mining have caused a total of 38 fatalities (De Bruyn and Bell [2001](#page-16-0)).

P. J. González

Department of Earth Sciences, University of Western Ontario, Biological and Geological Sciences Building, London, ON N6A 5B7, Canada e-mail: pgonzal4@uwo.ca

J. Ferna´ndez

Institute of Geosciences (CSIC-UCM), Facultad de Ciencias Matemáticas, Plaza de Ciencias 3, 28040 Madrid, Spain e-mail: jft@mat.ucm.es

S. Duque

German Aerospace Center (DLR), Remote Sensing Technology Institute, Oberpfaffenhofen, 82234 Weßling, Germany e-mail: Sergi.DuqueBiarge@dlr.de

G. Cocksley

Altamira Information, Còrsega 381-387, 08037 Barcelona, Spain e-mail: geraint.cooksley@altamira-information.com

C. Castañeda

Estación Experimental de Aula Dei (EEAD-CSIC), P.O. Box 13034, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain e-mail: ccastaneda@eead.csic.es

P. Blanco

Institut Cartografic de Catalunya, Balmes 209-211, Barcelona, Spain e-mail: pablo.blanco@icc.cat

Land subsidence is the surface evidence of shallow or deep-seated deformation induced by a wide variety of natural or anthropogenic subsurface processes. Following Prokopovich's genetic classification of subsidence (1979), endogenic subsidence is associated with internal geological processes, such as faulting, folding, isostatic adjustments and volcanism. Exogenic subsidence is related to anthropogenic or natural processes involving the creation of cavities and/or the removal of material from the subsurface. The main causal mechanisms of exogenic subsidence include dissolution, degradation of organic matter, piping, thawing of ground ice, bioturbation, piezometric falls related to reduced aquifer recharge, fluid withdrawal (e.g. water, petroleum, and gas), underground mining, tunnelling (Waltham [1989;](#page-18-0) Galloway et al. [1999](#page-16-0); Gonzalez de Vallejo and Ferrer [2011\)](#page-17-0).

In the pre-mitigation investigation phase, a combination of scientific understanding of these processes and a careful management can minimize the subsidence. Then, subsidence investigations are important to delineate the extent of the affected area, measuring the surface displacements (magnitude, rate and temporal and spatial variability), determining the strain mechanisms and identifying precursory/premonitory displacement indicative of potential catastrophic subsidence events in order to propose and design mitigation measures. Once mitigation measures are applied, subsidence monitoring allows evaluating the effectiveness of the adopted corrective or preventative measures, and forecasting the future behaviour of the subsidence phenomena. Numerous techniques are used for measuring and mapping spatial gradients and temporal rates of regional and local subsidence (Galloway et al. [1998](#page-16-0); Galloway and Burbey [2011](#page-16-0)). The approaching selection is generally based on several key factors (Tomás et al. [2008;](#page-18-0) Galloway and Burbey [2011\)](#page-16-0) including:

- 1. the cost, usually the most relevant conditioning parameter;
- 2. the required accuracy and resolution, conditioned by the type of subsidence phenomenon;
- 3. the type of data (punctual, linear, spatially distributed) and measuring frequency (time between measurement acquisitions), which are largely determined by the subsidence pattern (extent, rate, spatial and temporal variability);
- 4. land cover (rock outcrops, forest, urban, etc.), and weather conditions;
- 5. flexibility of the method, related to the possibility to selecting the time and location of the measurement acquisition, the data availability (ease of access to the data), as well as the acquisition time (time required to complete a measurement campaign); and
- 6. geometry and the kinematics of the subsidence phenomenon.

This paper reviews differential SAR interferometry (DInSAR) data exploitation related to different ground subsidence phenomena (groundwater withdrawal, soil compaction, mining and evaporite dissolution subsidence, and volcanic deformation) investigated in 19 areas of Spain (Fig. 1). Targeted subsidence areas differ in their extent, subsidence rates, and temporal evolution. This work highlights the main advantages and limitations of

addressing the investigation of active subsidence with DInSAR techniques from an end-user point of view; i.e. spatial and temporal resolution, precision of the measurements, and utility of the data. Finally, a discussion on the cost-effectiveness of the different monitoring techniques used in Spain is presented.

A brief introduction to DInSAR

Synthetic aperture radar (SAR) and its derived techniques, like SAR interferometry (InSAR), have been widely addressed and reviewed in the scientific literature (Massonnet and Feigl [1998](#page-17-0); Bamler and Hartl [1998](#page-16-0); Ferretti et al. [2001;](#page-16-0) Hanssen [2001](#page-17-0); Crosetto et al. [2005b](#page-16-0); Kampes [2006;](#page-17-0) Simons and Rosen [2007](#page-18-0); Prati et al. [2010;](#page-18-0) Hooper et al. [2012](#page-17-0)). One of the main applications of SAR interferometry is the detection of Earth's surface displacements through DInSAR, which has shown to be a tool of great potential over the last decades. Initial single interferogram DInSAR techniques, commonly referred to as conventional DInSAR techniques, (Massonnet et al. [1993;](#page-17-0) Peltzer and Rosen [1995\)](#page-18-0) evolved to advanced DInSAR techniques which provide information on the temporal evolution of the ground displacement, with a theoretical millimetric precision under favourable conditions. According to Sansosti et al. [\(2010](#page-18-0)), advanced DInSAR techniques can be grouped into two main categories: Persistent scatterers (PS) methods that work on localized targets (Ferretti et al. [2001](#page-16-0); Arnaud et al. [2003;](#page-16-0) Werner et al. 2003), and small baseline (SB) methods that utilize spatially distributed targets (Lundgren et al. [2001;](#page-17-0) Berardino et al. [2002](#page-16-0); Mora et al. 2003 ; Schmidt and Bürgmann 2003 ; Prati et al. 2010) and such techniques have been applied to ground displacements related to active tectonics, seismic events, volcanism, anthropogenic subsidence and uplift, landsliding or glacier dynamics.

The basic concept of the DInSAR techniques is to monitor an area through time on a regular basis. The SAR images acquired in different dates are then combined in pairs to generate a set of differential interferograms that contain information on the interferometric phase (ψ_{int}) . Ideally, differential interferograms should contain only the ground displacement component between the acquisition times of the two SAR images. However, in practice, there are other terms contributing to the interferometric phase that can mask the desired ground displacement information, e.g. phase contributions from atmospheric water vapour (ψ_{atmos}). The goal of the different processing techniques is to accurately isolating the displacement term from the remaining components. The interferometric phase can be expressed as the sum of the following terms (Hanssen [2001](#page-17-0)):

$$
\psi_{\text{int}} = \psi_{\text{flat}} + \psi_{\text{topo}} + \psi_{\text{mov}} + \psi_{\text{atmos}} + \psi_{\text{noise}} \tag{1}
$$

where ψ_{flat} is the flat-earth component related to range distance differences in absence of topography, ψ_{topo} is the topographic phase, ψ_{mov} is the phase contribution due to ground displacement occurring between the two SAR image acquisitions, measured along the line of sight (LOS), ψ_{atmos} is the phase component due to atmospheric disturbances or artefacts, and ψ_{noise} includes the remaining noise sources. The first two terms in (1) can be expressed analytically and ψ_{topo} can be extracted from an independent DEM.

The degradation of the quality of the interferometric phase (decorrelation) has a non-uniform impact on the interferograms. Depending on the several factors, such as the land cover, the presence of human structures, surface changes due to human or natural activity, some areas may have a better quality phase. Consequently, a selection of the more reliable pixels from a set of interferograms has to be performed. The pixel selection criterion can be established based on the estimation of their phase quality using two different approaches: the coherence stability and the amplitude dispersion. For the former, a multi-looked pixel is selected if it presents coherence values higher than an established threshold in a certain percentage of interferograms (Berardino et al. [2002;](#page-16-0) Mora et al. [2003](#page-17-0)). For the latter, the phase standard deviation of each pixel is assumed to be related to its temporal radar signal amplitude stability (low dispersion) and selected if it exceeds a certain threshold (Ferretti et al. [2001](#page-16-0)). The selection criterion determines the nature of the targets to work with. Although the amplitude dispersion selects ideal point-like targets at the maximum spatial resolution of the SAR image, the coherence stability implies an averaging of a set of pixels, leading to a lower spatial resolution product. Depending on the setting, it may be necessary to decrease the number of selected points by employing a coherence approach, rather than having the maximum spatial resolution information provided by the amplitude approach. For instance, in volcanic areas, where rock outcrops have large extent and temporal stability, the coherence-based processing is generally more appropriate. In contrast, in urban areas, where man-made targets are more likely to be found, the amplitude-based processing is typically better suited. Another decisive issue is the number of available images. A reliable relationship between amplitude and phase stability cannot be obtained with a limited number of images. On the other hand, the coherence estimator is more robust when dealing with a low number of interferograms. Considering both criteria, a compromise between the number of pixels selected and their reliability should be found.

For measuring ground displacement, satellite-based DInSAR techniques present three immediate advantages compared to classical ground-based methods, such as the differential global positioning system (DGPS): low-cost, measurement repetitiveness, and availability of historical data. First, they provide, at a low cost, displacement measurements across wide areas and with a high spatial density, as opposed to the discrete point data supplied by instrumental techniques, restricted to benchmarks with a much lower density and generally covering smaller areas. For instance, the widely used SAR images acquired by the European ERS or ENVISAT and the German TerraSAR-X satellites cover an area of $100 \text{ km} \times 100 \text{ km}$ and $30 \text{ km} \times 50 \text{ km}$, respectively. Secondly, orbital sensors have a short revisiting time period, which makes it possible to monitor at selected locations with a high frequency. Thirdly, the low incidence angle (i.e. the angle between the satellite line-of-sight (LOS) and a line perpendicular to the land surface) makes InSAR technique very sensitive to vertical displacements produced by subsidence. Finally, the relatively long archive of SAR images acquired since 1992 allows studying, at least in Europe, almost any area since that date. Nevertheless, DInSAR techniques should be considered as complementary, rather than a complete replacement of the ground-based techniques.

Advantages and limitations of DInSAR from the enduser point of view

In the last 20 years, the importance of DInSAR as a subsidence monitoring tool has increased significantly. In Spain, 19 areas affected by active subsidence have been studied using different DInSAR techniques. These studies exploit radar data from seven sensors, which include satellite- and ground-based (Tables 1, [2](#page-5-0)). These case studies deal with subsidence due to groundwater withdrawal, mining activity, volcanism, impoundment of water reservoir, evaporite dissolution, and the superposition of some of the above mentioned processes. Although most of these subsidence cases were previously known and characterized, the application of DInSAR techniques allowed gaining greater insight into the deformation patterns, specially providing quantitative strain data. In this section, the main advantages and limitations of the DInSAR techniques from an end-user point of view are discussed and illustrated through subsidence case studies from Spain.

Spatial resolution

The spatial resolution of DInSAR data is crucial in subsidence studies with an applied objective. The spatial resolution of the ground displacement data depends on the radar sensor and the processing algorithm. The pixel selection methods based on amplitude criteria allow keeping the original resolution of the SAR image. On the other hand, by definition, coherence selection techniques involve an averaging of adjacent pixels of the original image with the consequent degradation in spatial resolution. Using the coherence approach, typical resolutions of DInSAR maps obtained from ERS and ENVISAT data are 60 m \times 60 m, 80 m \times 80 m and 100 m \times 100 m. These values correspond to the multilook averaging of 3×15 , 4×20 , and 5×25 pixels in azimuth and range, respectively. Spatially restricted subsidence phenomena, such as those related to evaporite dissolution-induced sinkholes in the Ebro Valley (Castañeda et al. [2009b\)](#page-16-0) or a salt mine below Sallent village (López et al. [2010\)](#page-17-0), usually affect areas smaller than 1 km^2 . Consequently, they require an appropriate compromise between resolution and electromagnetic response stability. As an example, the 80-m pixel-sized DInSAR map of Fig. [2](#page-5-0) provides partial displacement data on a subsidence basin induced by underground mining but does not allow analysing subsidence at a building scale (Herrera et al. [2012](#page-17-0)). DInSAR applications for built areas and infrastructures require very high

Table 1 Radar systems employed in the reported subsidence studies in Spain

Satellite- and ground-based SAR systems	Agency/ institution	Start-end	Band	Wavelength (cm)	Revisiting period (days)	Resolution (azimuth \times range)
ERS 1-SAR	ESA	1991-2000	C	5.6	35	$4 \text{ m} \times 20 \text{ m}$
ERS 2-SAR	ESA	1995-2010	C	5.6	35	$4 \text{ m} \times 20 \text{ m}$
ENVISAT-ASAR	ESA	$2002 - 2011$	C	5.6	35	$4 \text{ m} \times 20 \text{ m}$
TerraSAR-X	DLR	$2007 - 2012$	X	3.1	11	$2 m \times 3 m$
ALOS-PALSAR	JAXA	2005 -present	L	23.6	46	$10 \text{ m} \times 10 \text{ m}$
GBSAR	UPC	2007	X	3.1	User-defined	$0.5 \text{ m} \times 0.5 \text{ m}$
	IG	2008–present	Ku	1.8	User-defined	$0.5 \text{ m} \times 0.0044 \text{ rad}$
Cosmo-Skymed-1	ASI	2007 -present	X	3.1	$<$ 24 h	$<$ 1 m \times 1 m

ESA European Space Agency, DLR German Aerospace Center, JAXA Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, UPC Universidad Politécnica de Cataluña, IG Institut de Geomàtica, ASI Italian Space Agency

Technique	Pixel selection criteria	Software name	Developer	
Conventional DInSAR	Coherence	DIAPASON (Differential Interferometric Automated Process Applied to Survey Of Nature)	Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, France	
		SARscape	SARMAP, Switzerland	
		DORIS (Delft Object-Oriented Radar Interferometry Sotfware)	Technical University of Technology, The Netherlands	
		EPSIE	Indra, Spain	
Advanced DInSAR	Amplitude	SPN (Stable Point Network)	Altamira information, Spain	
		Delft PSI software	Technical University of Technology, The Netherlands	
	Amplitude and coherence	IGPSI (Persistent Scatters interferometry chain of the Institute of Geomatics)	Instituto de Geomática, Spain	
	Coherence	CPT (Coherent Pixel Technique)	Universidad Politécnica de Cataluña, Spain	
		DISSIC	Instituto Cartográfico de Cataluña, Spain	
		SBAS (Small Baseline)	Institute for Electromagnetic Sensing of the Environment (IREA-CNR.), Italy	
		Coherent Target Monitoring	Atlantis Scientific Inc., US.	
		Interferometric Stacking	Instituto de Astronomía y Geodesia, Spain	
		Multi-Temporal InSAR Analysis Package (MTIANPAC)	Instituto de Astronomía y Geodesia, Spain	
	Phase stability	Stanford Method for Persistent Scatterers (StaMPS)	Stanford University, US	

Table 2 DInSAR technique and pixel selection criteria implemented in the software packages applied to study subsidence in Spain

Software developer is also indicated

Fig. 2 Detail of the 80-m pixel-sized DInSAR map of mining subsidence in La Unión for the period 2005–2008. Grey line corresponds to the 1:5,000 topographic map

resolutions to obtain information on individual buildings or elements of a structure rather than an averaged subsidence rate for an area including several constructions. For Murcia city (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)), Herrera et al. ([2009b\)](#page-17-0) demonstrated that amplitude techniques, which work at full resolution, provide a higher density of reliable points than coherence-based techniques. Moreover, using different bands TSX has demonstrated to provide the highest PSs density (Crosetto et al. [2010;](#page-16-0) Herrera et al. [2010\)](#page-17-0). Figure [3](#page-6-0) shows that the X-band based PSs density is at least ten times higher than the PSs density provided by C-band satellites (Herrera et al. [2010\)](#page-17-0).

Temporal resolution

The temporal resolution of the ground displacement data depends on the satellite revisiting period (Table [1\)](#page-4-0) that determines the availability of SAR images of the study area. Consequently, generally the shorter the revisiting time the more accurate may be the analysis of the temporal evolution of the subsidence phenomenon. In areas with high subsidence rates, the revisiting period should be as short as possible to avoid aliasing problems. Aliasing is introduced when the sampling frequency is too low and the motion of ground targets or pixels with LOS displacement between the two dates under study is greater than the system resolution; i.e. half the radar wavelength $(\lambda/2)$. Moreover, shorter revisiting periods improve the ability to identify non-linear or seasonal displacement patterns. COSMO SkyMed and TerraSAR-X, with the shortest revisiting periods (Table [1\)](#page-4-0), are more appropriate systems to study non-linear and episodic subsidence phenomena than e.g. ALOS-PALSAR with longer revisiting periods, although they are more prone to temporal decorrelation in non-urban areas due to their sensitivity of phase values to any change in scatterers distribution (Prati et al. [2010](#page-18-0)). As an example, La Unión area (Fig. [4](#page-7-0)) exhibits significant gaps of displacement information due to high deformation rates (4.8 cm per month) related to mining subsidence (Herrera et al. [2007](#page-17-0)).

Fig. 3 DInSAR maps showing subsidence rates caused by aquifer overexploitation in the Vega Media of the Segura River (Spain) obtained from images acquired by different sensors and for three successive of time periods: a 1995–2005 period (ERS and ENVISAT sensors). b 2005–2008 period (ENVISAT sensor). c 2008–2009 period (TerraSAR-X sensor). d Temporal evolution of the

subsidence from 1995 to 2009, plotted alongside the variations in the piezometric level. $Syr^$ is the average number of SAR images per year, and dgp is the existing maximum temporal gap (expressed in days) between two SAR images

The acquisition time of terrestrial sensors (Ground based SAR- GBSAR), which is selected by the user, allows to define the time between successive acquisitions as much as few minutes. However, although radar sensors can be strategically placed in prominent locations to get an optimal LOS they are generally limited by the high incidence angle (Pipia et al. [2007](#page-18-0), [2008](#page-18-0); Monserrat [2012\)](#page-17-0). ERS and ENVISAT satellites provide a long historical archive of radar data for almost all the Spanish territory between 1992 and 2012 with a gap during 1994, allowing to retrospectively processing data in areas where ground-based data is lacking. Historical data are necessary for the long-term monitoring of areas with low subsidence rate and for the application of advanced DInSAR techniques which require a large number of images. In contrast, TerraSAR-X data are limited to the areas, where acquisitions have been previously requested; i.e. on-demand system. The same applies to GB-SAR, since also data availability is limited to planned images in monitored areas.

Another important issue for DInSAR subsidence analysis is the sensor wavelength (λ) . Most studies reviewed in this work are based on C-band sensors due to high data availability. However, DInSAR based on C-band radar data is frequently limited due to the incoherence/decorrelation related to the land covers. In this sense, in Sant Quirte del Valles (see location in Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0), Blanco et al. [\(2008](#page-16-0)) observed that L band-based DInSAR ($\lambda = 23$ cm) provides coherent information where C band-based DIn-SAR $(\lambda = 5.6$ cm) measurements are predominantly incoherent showing that a significant part of the backscattered echo arrives from the ground rather than from vegetation in agreement with other authors (Colesanti and Wasowski [2006](#page-16-0); e.g. Raucoules et al. [2007](#page-18-0); Hooper et al. [2012](#page-17-0)).

Influence of the terrain characteristics on persistent scatterers detection

The backscattering of the microwave signals depends on the characteristics of the terrain and the weather conditions at the acquisition time. Generally, vegetated areas and water bodies disperse the radar emitted SAR signals,

Fig. 4 Detail of C-band DInSAR map of La Unión, showing the effect of aliasing on the availability of persistent scatterers due to high subsidence rates related to mining. The lack of colored pixels (displacement data) in the urban area of Lo Tacón is due to the loss of coherence. Levelling isolines indicates cumulative displacement in cm during the time period 1998–2000 and show a displacement rates higher than 40 mm/year (Rodríguez-Estrella et al. [2000\)](#page-18-0)

reducing the amount of returned signal to the satellite (Ulaby et al. [1982;](#page-18-0) Henderson and Lewis [1998\)](#page-17-0). In some areas, the changes in the vegetation between two radar acquisitions can produce such a significant loss of coherence that the displacement information is almost impossible to obtain. In contrast, urban areas, or rock outcrops provide a stable electromagnetic response through time, being considered more suitable for applying DInSAR techniques. This circumstance is illustrated by studies carried out in the subsidence areas of Orihuela village (Tomás et al. [2007,](#page-18-0) [2010b\)](#page-18-0), where PS densities from 0 to 10 PS per km² have been obtained in rural areas, whereas more than 100 PS per $km²$ were obtained in urbanized areas and zones dominated by rock outcrops (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)). Rocky areas like the Tenerife Island and urban scenarios, such as Murcia city, Orihuela village or Sallent village provide a high amount of PS points. However, the proportion of PS points is reduced considerably in the agricultural areas of Vega Media and Baja of the Segura River (Herrera et al. [2009b;](#page-17-0) Tomás et al. [2010b\)](#page-18-0), Granada basin (Fernandez et al. [2009;](#page-16-0) Sousa et al. [2010\)](#page-18-0) and the Ebro valley (Castañeda et al. [2009b](#page-16-0)) (see Fig. [1](#page-2-0) for locations).

The weather conditions also affect the transmission of the microwaves producing atmospheric artefacts that may limit the use of DInSAR techniques. Variations in water vapour, temperature, and pressure along the distance travelled by the signal within the atmosphere can produce a delay in the transmission of the microwaves affecting the interferometric phase and distorting the phase related to the actual ground displacement. This fact has been observed in the Ebro valley (Fig. 6), where a significant proportion of the interferograms was affected by atmospheric artefacts (Castañeda et al. [2011\)](#page-16-0).

Type of results

Generally, DInSAR provides a great deal of information on subsidence distribution, magnitude, and kinematics, as well as on the processing quality. These data, measured along satellite LOS, are generally represented as maps that show the displacement spatial distribution, either average rate or accumulated magnitude. The former corresponds to the average displacement rate for the considered period of time, expressed in mm/year or cm/year (e.g. Fig. 4), whereas the latter is the total amount of subsidence with respect to the first SAR acquisition, usually expressed in mm or cm (e.g. Figs. [2](#page-5-0) and 4). When conventional interferometry is used, the results can be also depicted using fringes that represent a 2π phase change (Fig. [6\)](#page-9-0), which corresponds to a displacement of $\lambda/4\pi$ meters, where λ is the wavelength (in meters) of the microwave used by satellite. Note that ALOS-PALSAR satellite (L-band) has a wavelength of 23.6 cm whilst TerraSAR-X or Cosmo-Skymed-1 satellites (X-band) have a wavelength of 3.1 cm (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). As a consequence, it can be stated that L-band satellite is less sensitive to the displacement (one fringe Fig. 5 Detail of DInSAR map based on the Coherent Pixel Technique (CPT) showing the water withdrawal induced subsidence measured along the LOS in the city of Orihuela and surrounding areas from 1993 to 2009. Note the high and a low density of PSs in the urban/ rocky and agricultural areas, respectively. The lack of PSs in the SE slope of the mountain is related to its non-favorable orientation with respect to that of the LOS

Deformation [cm]

corresponds to 11.8 cm instead of the 1.6 cm of X-band satellites).

The temporal evolution of subsidence for a given point can be represented when a set of images is used in the processing. Therefore, for every radar measurement, we provide: (1) the position of the PS: three geographical coordinates and (2) the temporal evolution of the displacement over the processed/analysed time period (e.g. Fig. [3](#page-6-0)d).

Applications of DInSAR information

A close cooperation between DInSAR specialists and endusers (geoscientists, civil engineers, land-use planners, Civil Protection Authorities, insurance companies, etc.) is necessary in order to fully exploit the high capability and practicality of these remote sensing techniques. In Spain, DInSAR has been used for the monitoring of known subsiding areas, providing spatially denser displacement information of the area of interest than ground-based techniques. However, one of the most interesting applications of these interferometric techniques is the early detection of unknown ground motion (e.g. Crosetto et al. [2005a;](#page-16-0) Mora et al. [2007;](#page-17-0) Castañeda et al. [2009a](#page-16-0), [b;](#page-16-0) Fer-nandez et al. [2009;](#page-16-0) González et al. [2010](#page-17-0); González and Fernández [2011a;](#page-17-0) Pulido-Bosch et al. [2011](#page-18-0)). Some Spanish institutions, such as the Institut Geologic de Catalunya, IGC, (Mora et al. [2007](#page-17-0)) have periodically and systematically monitored wide geographical areas in order to recognize areas affected by subsidence or other ground instability processes in Catalonia. The Geological Hazard Prevention Map of Catalonia (MPRGC 1:25,000) includes the DInSAR information. This open-accesses cartographic database allows the public to consult, via the IGC, ground displacement results (Oller et al. [2011\)](#page-17-0).

Another interesting application of DInSAR in Spain is the incorporation of ground displacement data in the development of susceptibility and risk maps. In Sallent village, severely affected by subsidence due to salt mining, DInSAR data have been integrated into a geographical information system (GIS) together with abundant spatial data (geological, geotechnical, geophysical, topographic

Fig. 6 a Location of three areas affected by active ground deformation in the Ebro Valley analysed using conventional (interferograms) and SBAS techniques. b Mixed urban-agricultural area with active sinkholes related to evaporite dissolution in a mantled karst setting. c Mixed agricultural and natural vegetated area showing active landslides in a gypsum escarpment affected by river undercutting.

d Area with natural xerophytic vegetation showing subsidence induced by salt room and pillar mining. On the numbers on the left images, indicate subsidence rates measured using SBAS. In the central images, every color fringe corresponds to a 2π phase change (2.6 cm). The plots show displacement time series for selected points (highlighted in green) from 1995 to 2000

Fig. 7 Modelling of subsidence caused by groundwater withdrawal in Murcia city. The model has been calibrated using InSAR data for the period 1993–1995 and extrapolated for 1995–2007

levelling, extensometer and inclinometer measurements, etc.) to analyse and manage different scale spatial data for risk analysis and mitigation (Marturia` et al. [2006](#page-17-0); Pala` et al. [2006;](#page-17-0) Marturia et al. [2010\)](#page-17-0). Subsidence modelling, aimed at reproducing and/or predicting displacements under certain conditions, is generally a complicated task. In Spain, DInSAR has shown to be an useful tool for calibrating and validating subsidence models. In Murcia city, affected by subsidence due to groundwater withdrawal and aquitard consolidation (Mulas et al. [2003\)](#page-17-0), InSAR data have been used to validate numerical geotechnical models (Herrera et al. [2009a](#page-17-0)) and to calibrate hydrological models that predict future scenarios of piezometric level change (Tomás et al. [2010a\)](#page-18-0) (Fig. 7). DInSAR data, jointly with in situ measurements (piezometric level and geologicalgeotechnical information), are being used by the Vega Baja and Media of the Segura river local authorities for water supply management. In Sallent, the geometry of mining and karstic cavities in a salt formation have been modelled to match topographic levelling (López et al. 2010). In the Sant Feliu del Llobregat pilot site, water extraction volumes have been incorporated into geological models to match DInSAR data with water pumping points and volumes (Concha et al. [2010](#page-16-0)). In Murcia and Orihuela DIn-SAR data have been used for building damage mapping (Herrera et al. [2010;](#page-17-0) Bru et al. [2013;](#page-16-0) Herrera et al. [2012](#page-17-0); Tomás et al. [2012\)](#page-18-0) (Fig. [8\)](#page-11-0). DInSAR displacement measurements have also allowed the identification of damage on buildings and other man-made structures (bridges, sidewalks, walls, etc.). This application has been substantially improved since the launch of the TerraSAR-X satellite that provides a high spatial resolution and allows computing the angular distortions and the differential settlement affecting the individual buildings.

Recent works (Tomás et al. [2010b](#page-18-0), [2011](#page-18-0)) have analysed the influence of different triggering and conditioning factors on subsidence phenomena by integrating DInSAR data from the Segura River valley with multiple variables in a GIS environment. The cross analysis of the different factors and the subsidence maps reveals some interesting relationships between the different factors that influence subsidence. These findings can be used as the basis for the hydraulic management of the watershed.

Studies conducted in the Canary Islands (Fernández et al. [2002](#page-16-0), [2003](#page-16-0), [2005,](#page-16-0) [2009](#page-16-0); González et al. [2010](#page-17-0); González and Fernández [2011b](#page-17-0)) have shown that DInSAR is a very powerful technique for the volcano activity monitoring in an operative and systematic way.

Polarimetric SAR Interferometry (PolInSAR) has been recently used by several researchers (Navarro-Sanchez et al. [2010;](#page-17-0) Navarro-Sanchez and Lopez-Sanchez [2012](#page-17-0)) to increase the number of PS candidates. This approach allows increasing the PS density by the identification of pixels with good phase quality after a search in the available polarimetric space.

Independent validation of the DInSAR results: measurements precision

Strong efforts have been done to assess independently the precision of the DInSAR subsidence measurements. This independent validation process is usually performed by comparing DInSAR data with in situ measurements. Consequently, in situ displacements have to be projected along the LOS to be able to make direct comparisons. The precision of DInSAR techniques, defined as the dispersion of the displacement estimates around the expected value, depends on a number of parameters (e.g. González and Fernández [2011b;](#page-17-0) Hooper et al. [2012\)](#page-17-0) whose exposition is out of the scope of this work. However, some authors (Colesanti and Wasowski [2006;](#page-16-0) Lanari et al. [2007](#page-17-0); Raucoules et al. [2007;](#page-18-0) Prati et al. [2010](#page-18-0); e.g. Ferretti et al. [2011](#page-16-0); Hooper et al. [2012](#page-17-0)) suggested a typical precision for average displacement rate and LOS displacements values of up to ± 1 mm/year and ± 5 mm, respectively. So far, the direct comparison of DInSAR subsidence data with displacement values measured in situ is the most common way to evaluate the precision of these techniques. Some subsidence areas in Spain monitored with DInSAR have been compared with geodetic or topographical measure-ments (e.g. Tenerife Island; Fernández et al. [2003,](#page-16-0) [2009\)](#page-16-0) resulting in good sub-centimetre agreements (Fig. [9](#page-12-0)). Table [3](#page-12-0) shows the precisions of DInSAR measurements obtained by several authors.

Cost analysis of InSAR

A comparative summary of the different techniques most frequently used for measuring subsidence is presented in Table [4](#page-13-0). The characteristics summarized for each

Fig. 8 Detail of DInSAR map of Murcia city applied for building damage monitoring. Above subsidence rates measured from 1995 to 2008 (left) and from 2006 to 2010 (right). Center cross-section depicting the surface damage observed in three adjacent buildings with different foundations along the transect $X-X'$ indicated in the detailed DInSAR map. Below profile of the subsidence magnitude recorded along X-X'

technique include accuracy, displacement component, survey scale, conditions and characteristics of the operating environments, degree of automation, and sampling frequency. A detailed description of some of these techniques employed for subsidence monitoring can be found in Galloway ([1998\)](#page-16-0) and Galloway and Burbey [\(2011\)](#page-16-0).

A comparative study of the eight techniques used for monitoring the subsidence in 11 case studies in Spain was performed for estimating their cost. Monitoring parameters not considered in Table [4,](#page-13-0) such as the temporal frequency of the measurements (time interval between consecutive measurements) and the mapped point density (number of measurements per unit area), were also included. The evaluation of the cost for the different techniques (levelling, InSAR, GPS, etc.) is heterogeneous because of the distinct operational context. For this reason, we assume a

Fig. 9 a Comparison of subsidence measurements in Tenerife Island obtained by Small Baseline InSAR and GPS. The GPS values have been projected along the LOS for direct comparison. b Location of the comparison points, colorcoded according to the correlation index between the time series of displacements from the two techniques

Table 3 Estimated precision of subsidence measurements obtained with DInSAR in the analysed areas of Spain (see Fig. [1](#page-2-0) for locations)

The error is computed as the average absolute difference between the in situ and InSAR measurements for the whole available data

similar post-processing cost for the different techniques. Therefore, the cost calculation is based on the commercial (non-scientific) SAR image price or the value of every field campaign. In the case of the geodetic station of Lanzarote and the automatic extensometer of Sallent, the value has been computed considering the annual maintenance cost of these instruments.

The following economic parameters have been estimated: (1) the annual cost per measurement point; (2) the difference between the annual costs of each approach and the cost using ERS-ENVISAT-based InSAR. This parameter provides an idea about how costly or inexpensive are the considered techniques in comparison with InSAR ERS-ENVISAT processing through a year; (3) the annual cost per unit area (km^2) with respect to ERS-ENVISAT-based InSAR processing; and (4) the annual cost per measurement point relative to the price estimated for monitoring the same point by means of ERS-ENVISAT images. For all of them, the maximum, minimum, and mean values have been computed.

The results of the analysis are shown in Fig. [10.](#page-14-0) Figure [10a](#page-14-0) shows the mean measurement frequency (per year) of eight techniques considered. The acquisition frequency is crucial for identifying and analysing subsidence phenomena with non-linear or episodic kinematics. Excluding the

Fig. 10 Comparative cost analysis of the eight techniques used for measuring the subsidence in Spain. See explanation in the text. *The continuous record of the extensometer installed in Sallent has not been considered for mean estimation. **The measuring network extends partially within the area with DInSAR detected movements and it has set focusing in areas with detected intensive subsidence

continuous acquisition systems that are usually installed in areas affected by rapid subsidence and where a high risk for population exists, the highest measurement frequencies correspond to CosmoSkyMed (up to 15 possible measurements per year) and TerraSAR-X (8.7–21.6 measurements per year). ERS-ENVISAT processings provide between 6 and 10 measurements per year. Usually, levelling, GPS and extensometers are used for providing 1 or 2 measurements per year.

The point density (number of points with subsidence measurement per square kilometre) is critical to identify the spatial subsidence patterns (Fig. 10b). The highest point density is provided by the TerraSAR-X satellite (average, minimum, and maximum density of 825, 701, and 916 points per square kilometre, respectively) due to its high resolution. CosmoSkyMed and the automatic total stations also provide a high point density. However, the latter has the disadvantage of measuring benchmarks located at short distances $(\langle 1 \text{ km})$. Levelling and ERS-ENVISAT InSAR provide a similar point density, with mean values of 93 and 51 points per square kilometre, respectively. GPS, extensometer and geodetic stations provides the lowest density of subsidence measurements, with maximum values of four points per square kilometre. The geodetic station has been included in the cost analysis. It is a singular laboratory located under exceptional environmental conditions which includes high-precision geodetic instrumentation (e.g., tiltmeters, strainmeters, gravimeters, GPS, etc.) with continuous acquisition data systems. The geodetic station is not only used to carry out the study of the geodynamics processes, but also the instrumental research. As example, the geodetic station located in Lanzarote Island (Vieira et al. [1991](#page-18-0); Fernández et al. [1992\)](#page-16-0) includes three instrumental locations dedicated to the study of the solid earth deformations, earth tides, sealevel variations, etc.

A relevant parameter from the economic feasibility perspective is the annual cost per point, given by the ratio between the total annual cost of the implementation of the

technique in Euros, and the available number of information points. The results show that the four case studies analysed by means of TSX-InSAR provide the lowest annual cost (0.65 ϵ per year per point) in comparison with the average cost of the eleven cases analyzed with ERS-ENVISAT-InSAR (1.20 ϵ per year and point), and the remaining techniques (Fig. [10c](#page-14-0)). Levelling, extensometers and GPS have the highest prices per measurement point and year, ranging from 220 to 1007 Euros. Figure [10f](#page-14-0) shows the annual cost per measurement point compared with InSAR. Although TSX-InSAR provides the lowest mean cost per point (Fig. [10c](#page-14-0)), the relative cost per point is lower for the three case studies where both sensors (ERS-ENVISAT and TSX) were used.

The annual costs of the different techniques has been also computed and compared with that of the ERS-ENVISAT-InSAR (Fig. [10](#page-14-0)d). Obviously, this cost strongly depends on the number of measurements obtained each year, especially for instrumental techniques (extensometers and levelling) and for GPS. For this reason, the extensometers installed over a salt mine in Sallent, Barcelona, which provide a continuous record (8,760 measurements per year) have not been considered in the analyses. The results show that most of the techniques considered are from 4 to 10 times more expensive than ERS-ENVISAT-InSAR. However, TSX-InSAR and GPS provides the highest mean annual costs (22 and 26 times higher, respectively).

Figure [10e](#page-14-0) shows the annual cost per square kilometre of each technique compared with that of ERS-ENVISAT-InSAR. These estimates depend to a large extent on the area extent surveyed. The InSAR techniques yield the lowest annual cost per square kilometre, in addition to their high point density, as mentioned above. The geodetic stations provide a low cost (six times higher than ERS-EN-VISAT-InSAR) because the whole Lanzarote Island (845 km^2) is monitored with only three measurement points. Consequently, in this case, although the annual cost unit per square kilometre is low, the spatial density of data is very poor. Owing to the coverage of SAR images $(100 \times 100, 30 \times 50$ and 20×20 km for ERS-ENVI-SAT, TSX and CosmoSkyMed, respectively), DInSAR techniques are considered of low-cost for large study areas.

Concluding remarks

Because the first application of DInSAR to identify soil swelling (Gabriel et al. [1989\)](#page-16-0), this useful technique has become a widespread tool for subsidence monitoring, providing a high amount of ground displacement data for wide areas and at low cost compared with ground-based techniques. Nineteen subsidence areas (mining, groundwater withdrawal, evaporite dissolution, volcanism and load-induced compaction) in Spain have been recognized and/or studied using DInSAR techniques during the last 20 years. In some cases, DInSAR has allowed the identification of previously unknown subsidence areas providing information on distribution and rate of the settlement process. In other cases, DInSAR has been used as a tool for the analysis, modelling and management of potentially hazardous subsidence processes in combination with other complementary information. The principal limitations of DInSAR techniques are the loss of coherence between two acquisitions caused by temporal decorrelation (especially in agricultural and vegetated areas), the atmospheric artefacts that affect the displacement estimation, the availability of images that depends on the satellites repeat-orbit cycle, and the low capability to measure horizontal displacements. However, the main advantages of DInSAR are the high performance measuring vertical displacements, the low cost in comparison with other techniques especially when studying large areas, the short revisiting period as compared to field techniques, the large spatial coverage, the ability to operate even at night or under adverse weather conditions, and the possibility of analysing areas retrospectively using historical data since 1992 using the ESA's SAR archives. The cost analysis performed has allowed us to identify the strongest points of the InSAR techniques compared with other conventional techniques: (1) higher data acquisition frequency and spatial coverage; and (2) lower annual cost per measurement point and per square kilometre. The obtained results show that in many cases the clear advantages of DInSAR compensate and even get over the limitations of this technique.

In Spain, more than ten different DInSAR techniques have been used for the study of subsidence phenomena. Although advanced techniques are widely used due to their capability to minimize atmospheric artefacts, in some cases, conventional DInSAR techniques are required due to the high velocity of the subsidence. As a consequence, DInSAR has become an indispensable tool to satisfactorily address many subsidence studies. In the future, the development of new algorithms, the launch of new satellites, the integration InSAR data with ground-based measurements and the joint performance of ground and airborne platforms will allow improving substantially the resolution and precision of DInSAR techniques and the monitoring and managing of ground subsidence hazards.

Acknowledgments The authors thank O. Monserrat and M. Crosetto (Institut de Geomàtica) for their useful comments and the review of first version of the manuscript. The ERS and ENVISAT images mentioned in this work were provided by the European Space Agency (ESA) in the framework of the EO Cat.1-2494 and Cat.1-3462 projects and the Terrafirma GMES Project. The TerraSAR-X images were provided by DLR in the framework of the scientific project GEO0389. The different research areas included in this paper has been supported by the projects: CGL2005-05500-C02, CGL2008-06426-C01-01/BTE, AYA2 010-17448, IPT-2011-1234-310000, TEC-2008-06764, ACOMP/2010/ 082, AGL2009-08931/AGR, 2012GA-LC-036, 2003-03-4.3-I-014, CGL2006-05415, BEST-2011/225, CGL2010-16775, TEC2011- 28201, 2012GA-LC-021 and the Banting Postdoctoral Fellowship to PJG. This research is a contribution of the Moncloa Campus of International Excellence (UCM-UPM, CSIC).

References

- Arnaud A, Adam N, Hanssen R, Inglada J, Duro J, Closa J, Eineder M ASAR ERS interferometric phase continuity. In: Geoscience and remote sensing symposium, 2003. IGARSS '03. Proceedings. 2003 IEEE International, 21–25 July 2003 vol 1132 pp 1133–1135 doi: [10.1109/igarss.2003.1294035](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/igarss.2003.1294035)
- Autin WJ (2002) Landscape evolution of the Five Islands of south Louisiana: scientific policy and salt dome utilization and management. Geomorphology 47(2–4):227–244. doi[:10.1016/s0169-555](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0169-555x(02)00086-7) [x\(02\)00086-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0169-555x(02)00086-7)
- Bamler R, Hartl P (1998) Synthetic aperture radar interferometry. Inverse Prob 14(4):R1
- Berardino P, Fornaro G, Lanari R, Sansosti E (2002) A new algorithm for surface deformation monitoring based on small baseline differential SAR interferograms. IEEE Trans Geosci Remote Sens 40(11):2375–2383. doi[:10.1109/tgrs.2002.803792](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/tgrs.2002.803792)
- Blanco P, Arbiol R, Pala` V (2008) ALOS-PALSAR performances on a multiple sensor DInSAR scenario for deformation monitoring applications. Paper presented at the ALOS 2008 Symposium, Rhodes, 3–7 November
- Bru G, Herrera G, Tomás R, Duro J, De la Vega R, Mulas J (2013) Control of deformation of buildings affected by subsidence using persistent scatterer interferometry. Struct Infrastruct Eng 9:188–200. doi[:10.1080/15732479.2010.519710](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15732479.2010.519710)
- Castañeda C, Gutiérrez F, Galve JP, Pourthié N, Souyris JC, Manunta M (2009a) Comparación de dos técnicas de interferometría radar mediante deformaciones generadas por disolución de evaporitas, minería y deslizamientos (Valle del Ebro, España). In: Montesinos S, Fernández L (eds) Teledetección: agua y desarrollo sostenible. Zaragoza, Spain, pp 261–264
- Castañeda C, Gutiérrez F, Manunta M, Galve JP (2009b) DInSAR measurements of ground deformation by sinkholes, mining subsidence, and landslides, Ebro River, Spain. Earth Surf Process Landf 34(11):1562–1574. doi[:10.1002/esp.1848](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/esp.1848)
- Castañeda C, Pourthie['] N, Souyris J-C (2011) Dedicated SAR interferometric analysis to detect subtle deformation in evaporite areas around Zaragoza, NE Spain. Int J Remote Sens 32(7):1861–1884. doi[:10.1080/01431161003631584](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01431161003631584)
- Colesanti C, Wasowski J (2006) Investigating landslides with spaceborne Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) interferometry. Eng Geol 88(3–4):173–199. doi[:10.1016/j.enggeo.2006.09.013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2006.09.013)
- Concha A, Ripoll J, Piña J, Gabàs A, Piña E (2010) Two-dimensional coupled numerical modelling of subsidence due to water extraction at the Lower Llobregat River, Spain. Paper presented at the Land Subsidence, Associated hazards and the role of natural resources development. Proceedings of EISOLS 2010, Querétaro, Mexico
- Corapcioglu MY (1989) Land subsidence a state of the art review. In: Bear M, Corapcioglu MY (eds) Fundamentals of transport phenomena in porous media Nato. ASI Series. Martinus Nijhott Publishers, Dordrecht, pp 369–444
- Crosetto M, Crippa B, Biescas E (2005a) Early detection and in-depth analysis of deformation phenomena by radar interferometry. Eng Geol 79(1–2):81–91. doi:[10.1016/j.enggeo.2004.10.016](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2004.10.016)
- Crosetto M, Crippa B, Biescas E, Monserrat O, Agudo M, Fernández P (2005b) Land deformation monitoring using SAR interferometry: state-of-the-art. Photogramm Fernerkundung, Geoinformation 6:497–510
- Crosetto M, Monserrat O, Iglesias R, Crippa B (2010) Persistent Scatterer Interferometry: potential, limits and initial C- and X-band comparison. Photogramm Eng Remote Sens 76(9):9
- De Bruyn IA, Bell FG (2001) The occurrence of sinkholes and subsidence depressions in the far west Rand and Gauteng Province, South Africa, and their engineering implications. Environ Eng Geosci 7(3):281–295. doi[:10.2113/gseegeosci.7.3.281](http://dx.doi.org/10.2113/gseegeosci.7.3.281)
- Fernandez P, Irigaray C, Jimenez J, El Hamdouni R, Crosetto M, Monserrat O, Chacon J (2009) First delimitation of areas affected by ground deformations in the Guadalfeo River Valley and Granada metropolitan area (Spain) using the DInSAR technique. Eng Geol 105(1–2):84–101. doi:[10.1016/j.enggeo.2008.12.005](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2008.12.005)
- Fernández J, Vieira R, Díez JL, Toro C (1992) Investigations on crustal thickness, heat flow and gravity tide relationship in Lanzarote Island. Phys Earth Planet Inter 74(3–4):10. doi: [10.1016/0031-9201\(92\)90010-S](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-9201(92)90010-S)
- Fernández J, Romero R, Carrasco D, Luzón F, Araña V (2002) InSAR volcano and seismic monitoring in Spain. Results for the period 1992–2000 and possible interpretations. Opt Lasers Eng 37(2–3):285–297. doi[:10.1016/s0143-8166\(01\)00085-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0143-8166(01)00085-9)
- Fernández J, Romero R, Carrasco D, Tiampo KF, Rodríguez-Velasco G, Aparicio A, Araña V, González-Matesanz FJ (2005) Detection of displacements on Tenerife Island, Canaries, using radar interferometry. Geophys J Int 160(1):33–45. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2005.02487.x) [246X.2005.02487.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2005.02487.x)
- Fernández J, Tizzani P, Manzo M, Borgia A, González PJ, Martí J, Pepe A, Camacho AG, Casu F, Berardino P, Prieto JF, Lanari R (2009) Gravity-driven deformation of Tenerife measured by InSAR time series analysis. Geophys Res Lett 36(4):L04306. doi[:10.1029/2008gl036920](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008gl036920)
- Ferretti A, Prati C, Rocca F (2001) Permanent scatterers in SAR interferometry. IEEE Trans Geosci Remote Sens 39(1):8–20. doi[:10.1109/36.898661](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/36.898661)
- Fernández J, Yu T-T, Rodriguez-Velasco G, González-Matesanz J, Romero R, Rodriguez G, Quirós R, Dalda A, Aparicio A, Blanco MJ (2003) New geodetic monitoring system in the volcanic island of Tenerife, Canaries, Spain. J Vol Geotherm Res 124(3–4):241–253. doi[:10.1016/s0377-0273\(03\)00073-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0377-0273(03)00073-8). ISSN 0377-0273
- Ferretti A, Tamburini A, Novali F, Fumagalli A, Falorni G, Rucci A (2011) Impact of high resolution radar imagery on reservoir monitoring. Energy Proced 4:3465–3471. doi:[10.1016/j.egypro.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2011.02.272) [2011.02.272](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2011.02.272)
- Gabriel AK, Goldstein RM, Zebker HA (1989) Mapping small elevation changes over large areas: differential radar interferometry. J Geophys Res 94(B7):9183–9191. doi:[10.1029/JB09](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/JB094iB07p09183) [4iB07p09183](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/JB094iB07p09183)
- Galloway D, Burbey T (2011) Review: regional land subsidence accompanying groundwater extraction. Hydrogeol J 19(8):1459– 1486. doi[:10.1007/s10040-011-0775-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10040-011-0775-5)
- Galloway DL, Hudnut KW, Ingebritsen SE, Phillips SP, Peltzer G, Rogez F, Rosen PA (1998) Detection of aquifer system compaction and land subsidence using interferometric synthetic aperture radar, Antelope Valley, Mojave Desert, California. Water Resour Res 34(10):2573–2585. doi[:10.1029/98wr01285](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/98wr01285)
- Galloway DL, Jones DR, Ingebritsen SE (eds) (1999) Land Subsidence in the United States US geological survey circular vol 1182. US Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia
- Galve JP, Gutiérrez F, Guerrero J, Alonso J, Diego I (2012) Optimizing the application of geosynthetics to roads in sinkhole-prone areas on the basis of hazard models and cost-benefit analyses. Geotext Geomembr 34:80–92. doi[:10.1016/j.geote](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geotexmem.2012.02.010) [xmem.2012.02.010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geotexmem.2012.02.010)

Gonzalez de Vallejo L, Ferrer M (2011) Geol Eng 1edn

- González PJ, Fernández J (2011a) Drought-driven transient aquifer compaction imaged using multitemporal satellite radar interferometry. Geology. doi[:10.1130/g31900.1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/g31900.1)
- González PJ, Fernández J (2011b) Error estimation in multitemporal InSAR deformation time series, with application to Lanzarote. Canary Islands. J Geophys Res 116(B10):B10404. doi[:10.1029/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011jb008412) [2011jb008412](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011jb008412)
- González PJ, Tiampo KF, Camacho AG, Fernández J (2010) Shallow flank deformation at Cumbre Vieja volcano (Canary Islands): implications on the stability of steep-sided volcano flanks at oceanic islands. Earth Planet Sci Lett 297(3–4):545–557. doi[:10.1016/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.07.006) [j.epsl.2010.07.006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.07.006)
- Guerrero J, Gutiérrez F, Bonachea J, Lucha P (2008) A sinkhole susceptibility zonation based on paleokarst analysis along a stretch of the Madrid–Barcelona high-speed railway built over gypsum- and salt-bearing evaporites (NE Spain). Eng Geol 102(1–2):62–73. doi:[10.1016/j.enggeo.2008.07.010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2008.07.010)
- Gutiérrez F, Galve J, Lucha P, Bonachea J, Jordá L, Jordá R (2009) Investigation of a large collapse sinkhole affecting a multi-storey building by means of geophysics and the trenching technique (Zaragoza city, NE Spain). Environ Geol 58(5):1107–1122. doi: [10.1007/s00254-008-1590-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00254-008-1590-8)
- Hanssen RF (2001) Radar interferometry. Data interpretation and error analysis, vol 2. Remote sensing and digital image processing. Springer, New York
- Henderson FM, Lewis AJ (eds) (1998) Principles and application of imaging radar. Manual of remote sensing, vol 2. Wiley, New York
- Herrera G, Tomás R, Lopez-Sanchez JM, Delgado J, Mallorqui JJ, Duque S, Mulas J (2007) Advanced DInSAR analysis on mining areas: la Union case study (Murcia, SE Spain). Eng Geol 90(3–4):148–159
- Herrera G, Fernández JA, Tomás R, Cooksley G, Mulas J (2009a) Advanced interpretation of subsidence in Murcia (SE Spain) using A-DInSAR data—modelling and validation. Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci 9(3):647–661. doi:[10.5194/nhess-9-647-2009](http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/nhess-9-647-2009)
- Herrera G, Tomás R, Lopez-Sanchez JM, Delgado J, Vicente F, Mulas J, Cooksley G, Sanchez M, Duro J, Arnaud A, Blanco P, Duque S, Mallorqui JJ, De la Vega-Panizo R, Monserrat O (2009b) Validation and comparison of advanced differential interferometry techniques: Murcia metropolitan area case study. ISPRS J Photogramm Remote Sens 64(5):501–512
- Herrera G, Tomás R, Monells D, Centolanza G, Mallorquí JJ, Vicente F, Navarro VD, Lopez-Sanchez JM, Sanabria M, Cano M, Mulas J (2010) Analysis of subsidence using TerraSAR-X data: Murcia case study. Eng Geol 116(3–4):284–295
- Herrera G, Álvarez Fernández MI, Tomás R, González-Nicieza C, López-Sánchez JM, Álvarez Vigil AE (2012) Forensic analysis of buildings affected by mining subsidence based on Differential Interferometry (Part III). Eng Fail Anal 24:67–76. doi: [10.1016/j.engfailanal.2012.03.003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2012.03.003)
- Hooper A, Bekaert D, Spaans K, Arıkan M (2012) Recent advances in SAR interferometry time series analysis for measuring crustal deformation. Tectonophysics 514–517:1–13. doi[:10.1016/j.tecto.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2011.10.013) [2011.10.013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2011.10.013)
- Jackson JA (1997) Glossary of geology, 4th edn edn. American Geological Institute, Alexandria
- Kampes BM (2006) Radar Interferometry. Persistent Scatterer Technique, vol 12. Remote sensing and digital image processing. Springer
- Kappel WM, Yager RM, Todd MS (1999) The Retsof Salt Mine Collapse. In: Galloway DL, Jones DR, Ingebritsen SE (eds) Land Subsidence in the United States, vol 1182., US Geological Survey CircularUS Geological Survey, Reston, pp 111–120
- Lanari R, Casu F, Manzo M, Zeni G, Berardino P, Manunta M, Pepe A (2007) An Overview of the Small Baseline Subset Algorithm: A DInSAR Technique for Surface Deformation Analysis. In: Wolf D, Fernández J (eds) Deformation and Gravity Change: Indicators of Isostasy, Tectonics, Volcanism, and Climate Change. Pageoph Topical Volumes. Birkhäuser Basel, pp 637-661. doi[:10.1007/978-3-7643-8417-3_2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7643-8417-3_2)
- López F, Buxó P, Palau J, Marturià J, Concha A, Martínez P (2010) Evaluation of the subsidence and risk of collapse in the estacio´ neighbourhood of the Sallent City (Spain). Paper presented at the Land Subsidence, Associated hazards and the role of natural resources development. Proceedings of EISOLS 2010, Querétaro, Mexico
- Lundgren P, Usai S, Sansosti E, Lanari R, Tesauro M, Fornaro G, Berardino P (2001) Modeling surface deformation observed with synthetic aperture radar interferometry at Campi Flegrei caldera. J Geophys Res 106((B9)):19355–19366. doi:[10.1029/2001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2001jb000194) [jb000194](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2001jb000194)
- Mancini F, Stecchi F, Zanni M, Gabbianelli G (2009) Monitoring ground subsidence induced by salt mining in the city of Tuzla (Bosnia and Herzegovina). Environ Geol 58(2):381–389. doi: [10.1007/s00254-008-1597-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00254-008-1597-1)
- Marturia J, Ripoll J, Concha A, Barberà M (2010) Monitoring techniques for analysing subsidence: a basis for implementing an early warning system. Paper presented at the Land Subsidence, Associated hazards and the role of natural resources development. Proceedings of EISOLS 2010, Querétaro, Mexico
- Marturià J, de Diego J, Martínez P, Roca A (2006) Implementation of a subsidence risk management system. Paper presented at the 5th European Congress on Regional Geoscience Cartography and Informations Systems, Barcelona
- Massonnet D, Feigl KL (1998) Radar interferometry and its application to changes in the Earth's surface. Rev Geophys 36(4):441–500. doi:[10.1029/97rg03139](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/97rg03139)
- Massonnet D, Rossi M, Carmona C, Adragna F, Peltzer G, Feigl K, Rabaute T (1993) The displacement field of the Landers earthquake mapped by radar interferometry. Nature 364(6433):138–142
- Monserrat O (2012) Deformation measurement and monitoring with Ground-Based SAR. Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona
- Mora O, Mallorqui JJ, Broquetas A (2003) Linear and nonlinear terrain deformation maps from a reduced set of interferometric SAR images. IEEE Trans Geosci Remote Sens 41(10):2243–2253
- Mora O, Arbiol R, Pala` V (2007) The experience of the cartographic Institute of Catalonia (ICC) on continuous DInSAR monitoring of large areas. Paper presented at the Envisat symposium Montreux, Switzerland
- Mulas J, Aragón R, Martínez M, Lambán J, García-Aróstegui JL, Fernández-Grillo AI, Hornero J, Rodríguez J, Rodríguez JM (2003) Geotechnical and hydrogeological analysis of land subsidence in Murcia (Spain). Mater Geoenviron 50:249–252
- Navarro-Sanchez VD, Lopez-Sanchez JM (2012) Improvement of Persistent-Scatterer Interferometry performance by means of a polarimetric optimization. Geosci Remote Sens Lett IEEE 9(4):609–613. doi[:10.1109/lgrs.2011.2176715](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/lgrs.2011.2176715)
- Navarro-Sanchez VD, Lopez-Sanchez JM, Vicente-Guijalba F (2010) A contribution of Polarimetry to Satellite Differential SAR Interferometry: increasing the number of pixel candidates. Geosci Remote Sens Lett IEEE 7(2):276–280. doi[:10.1109/lgrs.2009.2033013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/lgrs.2009.2033013)
- Oller P, Gonzalez M, Pinyol J, Marturià J, Martínez P (2011) Geohazards mapping in Catalonia. Journal Torrent, Avalanche, Landslide Rock Fall. Engineering 74:8
- Palà V, Mora O, Arbiol R, Marturià J (2006) Products derived from an advanced DInSAR-GIS application for risk management. In: ISPRS (ed) Geospatial databases for sustainable development. Goa, p 5
- Peltzer G, Rosen P (1995) Surface Displacement of the 17 May 1993 Eureka Valley, California, Earthquake Observed by SAR Interferometry. Science 268(5215):1333–1336. doi:[10.1126/science.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.268.5215.1333) [268.5215.1333](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.268.5215.1333)
- Pipia L, Aguasca A, Fabregas X, Mallorqui JJ, Lopez-Martinez C, Marturia J (2007) Mining induced subsidence monitoring in urban areas with a ground-based SAR. In: urban remote sensing joint event, 11–13 April 2007, pp 1–5. doi:[10.1109/urs.2007.371881](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/urs.2007.371881)
- Pipia L, Fabregas X, Aguasca A, Duque S, Mallorqui JJ, Lopez-Martinez C (2008) Polarimetric deformation maps retrieval of urban areas using ground-based SAR acquisitions. In: Geoscience and remote sensing symposium, 2008. IGARSS 2008. IEEE International, 7–11 July 2008, pp IV-327–IV-330. doi[:10.1109/igarss.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/igarss.2008.4779724) [2008.4779724](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/igarss.2008.4779724)
- Prati C, Ferretti A, Perissin D (2010) Recent advances on surface ground deformation measurement by means of repeated spaceborne SAR observations. J Geodyn 49(3–4):161–170. doi: [10.1016/j.jog.2009.10.011](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2009.10.011)
- Prokopovich NP (1979) Genetic classification on land subsidence. Paper presented at the international conference on evaluation and prediction of subsidence, Pensacola Beach
- Pulido-Bosch A, Delgado J, Sola F, Vallejos Á, Vicente F, López-Sánchez JM, Mallorquí JJ (2011) Identification of potential subsidence related to pumping in the Almería basin (SE Spain). Hydrol Process 26(5):731–740. doi[:10.1002/hyp.8181](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hyp.8181)
- Raucoules D, Colesanti C, Carnec C (2007) Use of SAR interferometry for detecting and assessing ground subsidence. CR Geosci 339(5):289–302. doi:[10.1016/j.crte.2007.02.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2007.02.002)
- Rodríguez-Estrella T, Manteca JL, García C (2000) Subsidencia minera, en relación con sismotectónica, en La Unión (Murcia). Geotemas 1:150–153
- Sansosti E, Casu F, Manzo M, Lanari R (2010) Space-borne radar interferometry techniques for the generation of deformation time series: an advanced tool for Earth's surface displacement analysis. Geophys Res Lett 37(20):L20305. doi[:10.1029/2010gl044379](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010gl044379)
- Schmidt DA, Bürgmann R (2003) Time-dependent land uplift and subsidence in the Santa Clara valley, California, from a large interferometric synthetic aperture radar data set. J Geophys Res 108(B9):2416. doi:[10.1029/2002jb002267](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2002jb002267)
- Simons M, Rosen PA (2007) 3.12 Interferometric synthetic aperture radar geodesy. In: Gerald S (ed) Treatise on geophys. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 391-446
- Sousa JJ, Ruiz AM, Hanssen RF, Bastos L, Gil AJ, Galindo-Zaldívar J, Sanz de Galdeano C (2010) PS-InSAR processing methodologies in the detection of field surface deformation—Study of the Granada basin (Central Betic Cordilleras, southern Spain). J Geodyn 49 (3–4):181–189. doi[:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2009.12.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2009.12.002)
- Tomás R, Lopez-Sanchez JM, Delgado J, Vicente F, Cuenca A, Mallorqui JJ, Blanco P, Duque S (2007) DInSAR monitoring of land subsidence in Orihuela City, Spain: comparison with geotechnical data. In: Geoscience and remote sensing symposium, IGARSS 2007. IEEE International, 23–28 July 2007. p 3027–3030
- Tomás R, Lopez-Sanchez JM, Delgado J, Mallorquí JJ, Herrera G (2008) DInSAR monitoring of aquifer compaction due to water withdrawal: Vega Baja and Media of the Segura river (SE, Spain) case study. In: Sánchez JM (ed) Drought: causes, effects and predictions. NOVA Publishers, New York, pp 253–276
- Tomás R, Herrera G, Delgado J, Lopez-Sanchez JM, Mallorquí JJ, Mulas J (2010a) A ground subsidence study based on DInSAR data: calibration of soil parameters and subsidence prediction in Murcia City (Spain). Eng Geol 111(1–4):19–30
- Tomás R, Herrera G, Lopez-Sanchez JM, Vicente F, Cuenca A, Mallorquı´ JJ (2010b) Study of the land subsidence in Orihuela City (SE Spain) using PSI data: distribution, evolution and correlation with conditioning and triggering factors. Eng Geol 115(1–2):105–121
- Tomás R, Herrera G, Cooksley G, Mulas J (2011) Persistent Scatterer Interferometry subsidence data exploitation using spatial tools: the Vega Media of the Segura River Basin case study. J Hydrol 400(3–4):411–428
- Tomás R, García-Barba J, Cano M, Sanabria MP, Ivorra S, Duro J, Herrera G (2012) Subsidence damage assessment of a gothic church using Differential Interferometry and field data. Struct Health Monit 11:751–762
- Ulaby FT, Moore RK, Fung AK (1982) Microwave remote sensing: active and passive. Advanced book program. Addison-Wesley, Massachusetts
- Vieira R, Van Ruymbeke M, Fernández J, Arnoso J, Toro C (1991) The Lanzarote underground laboratory. In: van Ruymbeke M, d'Oreye N (eds) Geodynamical Instrumentation applied to Volcanic Areas, vol 4., Cahiers du Centre Européen de Géodynamique et de SéismologieWalferdange, Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg, pp 71–86
- Waltham T (1989) Ground subsidence. Chapman & Hall, New York Werner C, Wegmuller U, Strozzi T, Wiesmann A (2003) Interfero-
- metric point target analysis for deformation mapping. In: Geoscience and remote sensing symposium, IGARSS '03. Proceedings. 2003 IEEE International, 21–25 July 2003 pp 4362–4364 vol.4367. doi[:10.1109/igarss.2003.1295516](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/igarss.2003.1295516)
- Wu Y (2003) Mechanism analysis of hazards caused by the interaction between groundwater and geo-environment. Environ Geol 44(7):811–819. doi[:10.1007/s00254-003-0819-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00254-003-0819-9)