ORIGINAL PAPER

Valorization of Jute Biomass: Performance of Fiber–Cement Composites Extruded with Hybrid Reinforcement (Fibers and Nanofbrils)

Camila Soares Fonseca¹ [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0412-994X) Mário Vanoli Scatolino²[®] · Luiz Eduardo Silva² · Maria Alice Martins³ · Mário Guimarães Júnior⁴ · Gustavo Henrique Denzin Tonoli²

Received: 11 June 2020 / Accepted: 10 February 2021 / Published online: 23 February 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract

In this study, the characteristics of jute fbers and cellulose nanofbrils (CNFs) and their impacts on mechanical strength were compared, particularly from the standpoint of their application as reinforcement in extruded fber–cement composites. Raw jute fbers were subjected to an alkaline treatment before being fbrillated into CNFs. The fber–cement composites were produced with jute fbers (0.5% and 2%) and CNFs (0.5% and 2%) via extrusion process. Both percentages of reinforcement were based on the cement mass. In addition, hybrid composites with a mixture of fbers and CNFs were produced. Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose and carboxylic polyether were used as additives to improve the mixture's rheology. The composites were subjected to natural weathering for 5 months before being analyzed for their physical and mechanical properties. CNFs and jute fbers subjected to NaOH treatment presented a higher initial degradation temperature (*Tonset*). The apparent porosity decreased for all compositions studied, reaching a 75% reduction for the sample reinforced with 2% CNFs. Fiber–cement with a hybrid reinforcement of 1.5% CNFs + 0.5% fibers exhibited the strongest mechanical performance. All compositions showed a decrease in the modulus of elasticity after natural weathering. In contrast, the modulus of rupture and the limit of proportionality showed an average gain of 1 MPa for fber–cement composites produced with hybrid reinforcements. The better mechanical performance of the hybrid formulations may be owing to the synergistic work of the fbers and CNFs, suggesting the potential of cellulose CNFs for use as reinforcement in cement-based composites.

 \boxtimes Mário Vanoli Scatolino mario_paraiso@hotmail.com; marioufla@estudante.ufla.br

Camila Soares Fonseca camilasfonseca8@hotmail.com

Luiz Eduardo Silva lesilvaforestal@gmail.com

Maria Alice Martins maria-alice.martins@embrapa.br

Mário Guimarães Júnior mgjunior@cefetmg.br

Gustavo Henrique Denzin Tonoli gustavotonoli@ufa.br

- ¹ Department of Engineering, Federal University of Lavras, Lavras, MG, Brazil
- Department of Forestry Science, Federal University of Lavras, Lavras, MG, Brazil
- ³ Embrapa Instrumentação, São Carlos, SP, Brazil
- ⁴ Department of Eletromechanical, Federal Center for Technological Education of Minas Gerais, Araxa, MG, Brazil

Graphic Abstract

Keywords Mechanical fbrillation · CNF · Cellulose fbers · Lignocellulose fber

Statement of Novelty

Studies that characterize composites reinforced with CNFs which present networks that can provide excellent mechanical properties to fber–cement, especially after weathering, remain scarce. This work reports on a study that investigated how natural weathering, including exposure to rain, wind, humidity, and sunlight, can infuence the mechanical properties of fber–cement composites. The results obtained may contribute to the production of natural weathering-resistant fber–cement composites using natural and renewable materials, which are widely available and at low cost.

Introduction

Jute plants (*Corchorus* sp*.*) are an attractive source of fbers because they are easily obtained, highly available, and inexpensive [\[1](#page-15-0)]. Originally from India, the jute plant is a resilient vegetable fber source widely cultivated in some regions of Asia, such as China, India, and Bangladesh. Additionally, it is one of the most important temporary crops produced in Brazil, mainly in the states of Amazonas and Bahia [[2\]](#page-15-1).

In Brazil, jute cultivation has become one of the main economic activities for the Amazon population. Jute can be cultivated in river gutters without causing deforestation or water degradation. The planting cycle takes 6 months and coincides with the food and ebb periods of the rivers. Owing to their high mechanical strength [\[3\]](#page-15-2), these fbers are used to produce fabrics, packaging, belts, and twine, in addition to rugs and carpets. The strong mechanical properties of this fber also enable its use in polymer composites, which has been widely studied by several research groups [[4\]](#page-15-3). The use of jute in composite materials has been increasingly gaining popularity in recent years, such as its use in composites associated with glass fibers [[5\]](#page-15-4), reinforcement in polymeric composites [[6](#page-15-5), [7\]](#page-15-6), cementitious matrices [[8,](#page-15-7) [9](#page-15-8)], and new advances in nanotechnology that enable the use of jute fbers in the development of new materials [[10,](#page-15-9) [11](#page-15-10)]. Because jute fibers are rich in cellulose (45–72%) [\[12\]](#page-15-11), they can be used as feedstock for the production of CNFs. These structures are produced from the fbers after being subjected to chemical, enzymatic, or mechanical treatments, with fnal diameters measuring less than 100 nm [[11\]](#page-15-10). CNFs are formed by a linear association of cellulose chains that form both amorphous and crystalline regions and may form entangled networks with a high surface area.

The preparation of CNFs from cellulose fbers includes several methods that can be used in combination or independently, such as refining $[13]$ $[13]$, cryocrushing $[14]$, microfluidization $[15]$ $[15]$ $[15]$, milling $[16]$ $[16]$ $[16]$, steam explosion $[17]$ $[17]$, TEMPO oxidation [[18](#page-15-17)], and mechanical fbrillation [[19,](#page-15-18) [20](#page-15-19)]. Mechanical fbrillation allows for the delamination of the fber cell wall by multiple shearing cycles. The high shear is caused by the action of two closely placed circular stones inside the grinder, one being stationary and the other rotating at a high frequency. Mechanical methods can be carried out with a lower reagent content than that in the case of chemical hydrolysis to obtain cellulose nanocrystals/nanowhiskers [[21](#page-15-20)]. CNF production can be facilitated by pretreatments, which increase the yield and reduce energy consumption during fbrillation. Alkaline pretreatment has been reported in the literature for the removal of non-cellulosic components, such as extractives, hemicelluloses, and lignin $[22-25]$ $[22-25]$ $[22-25]$. It has also been shown to be efective in facilitating fbrillation.

In cementitious matrices, including fbers, their microstructure is reinforced, which increases the impact strength of the fragile matrix and minimizes matrix cracking [[26](#page-16-1)]. Vegetal fbers associated with cement composites have been employed because of their low cost, availability from renewable sources, lack of environmental toxicity, and excellent mechanical properties [[27](#page-16-2)[–33\]](#page-16-3). Many vegetal fbers or CNFs originating from them, such as jute [[1\]](#page-15-0), eucalyptus [[26](#page-16-1), [34](#page-16-4)], pine [\[35](#page-16-5)], corn cob [[36\]](#page-16-6), date palm [[37\]](#page-16-7), and bamboo [[38](#page-16-8)], have the potential to be used as reinforcements in fber–cement composites. The high nanoscale interaction between elements may form a percolated network connected by hydrogen bonds, which allows for the efective dispersion of CNFs in the matrix [[39](#page-16-9)]. CNFs could be more effective as composite reinforcements when compared to their equivalent macro/ microscale because of their greater specifc area available for connection with the matrix. However, comparing the reinforcement with fbers and CNFs in the fber–cement and their impact on the composite microstructure and mechanical performance still requires further investigation. In this study, the infuence of jute fbers and CNFs as reinforcements in extruded fber–cement composites and the impact on their mechanical strength after 28 days of curing and natural weathering are analyzed.

Materials and Methods

Obtainment and Pretreatment of the Jute Fibers

Jute fbers (*Corchorus* sp*.)* were provided by Brasjuta da Amazônia S.A. The fbers were cut about 10 mm in length, and ground in a knife mill (Marconi, SP—Brazil) equipped with a 10-mesh sieve (2.0 mm). The fibers were alkaline pretreated according to the methods described in Yue et al. [\[40\]](#page-16-10). For each 5 g of fiber, 100 mL of 5% (m/v) NaOH solution was used. The pretreatment was performed at 80 °C under constant stirring at 1500 rpm for 2 h. Posteriorly, the fbers were rinsed to remove NaOH excess and oven-dried at 40 °C for 24 h.

Chemical Analysis of the Jute Fibers

The total extractives content was determined as established in NBR 14,853 standard [[41\]](#page-16-11) using toluol–ethanol extraction followed by washing with hot-water. The insoluble lignin content was measured by acid hydrolysis with sulfuric acid (72% v/v) followed by boiling in hot-water, according to the NBR 7989 standard [[42\]](#page-16-12). The holocellulose content (cellulose+hemicelluloses) was obtained according to the methodology proposed by Browning [[43\]](#page-16-13). Afterwards, the cellulose content was determined by reacting holocellulose with potassium hydroxide, following the methodology proposed by Kennedy et al. [\[44](#page-16-14)]. The hemicelluloses content was calculated by subtracting the cellulose content from the holocellulose content. A furnace at 525 °C was used to assess the ash content, according to the NBR 13999 [\[45\]](#page-16-15).

Production of the Jute CNFs

Alkali-treated jute fbers (1% m/v) were soaked in water at room temperature $(\pm 21 \degree C)$ for 48 h and then stirred at 2000 rpm for 1 h. The treated fbers were processed in a Massuko-Sangyo® SuperMasscolloider grinder (MKCA6-2) at 1500 rpm, with a 0.01 mm gap between the silicon-carbide stone discs. The CNFs were obtained after 30 passages through the grinder based on methods suggested in previous studies [[19](#page-15-18), [20](#page-15-19), [37](#page-16-7)].

Microstructure of the Fibers/CNFs

A scanning electron microscope (Zeiss DMS 940A), operating at 15 kV, with tungsten flament, was used to obtain micrographs of the fbers and CNFs. The samples were spread over double-sided carbon adhesive strips, previously fxed over aluminum sample holders (*stubs*), and gold-coated before visualization. The CNFs were additionally visualized by a transmission electron microscope (FEI Tecnai 12) operating at 120 kV. CNFs suspensions were stained with uranyl acetate and a drop was deposited on formvar/carbon-coated with 400-mesh (0.037 mm) copper grids and dried before the analysis. The average diameter of the CNFs was measured using the analyzer software *ImageJ.* Approximately 500 measurements were taken to evaluate the diameter distribution of the CNFs flaments.

Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) of the Fibers/ CNFs

The thermal analysis of raw jute, NaOH-treated and CNFs was conducted in a TA Instruments thermal analyzer TGA Q500, with a heating rate of 10 °C/min. The analysis was performed under two conditions to understand the fbers and CNFs behavior during both pyrolysis and combustion. The pyrolysis occurs under inert atmosphere (N_2) ; gas flow 50 mL/min), and is defned as the thermochemical degradation of a substance or material, when heat is applied in total absence of an oxidant [\[46\]](#page-16-16). The combustion occurs under oxidative atmosphere with synthetic air, $(80\% \text{ N}_2 \text{ and } 20\%$ of O_2), with gas flow of 100 mL/min. The temperature in which degradation begins (T_{onset}) was established according to Scatolino et al. [\[47](#page-16-17)], by the intersection of the extrapolation line of the linear region of the curve (with no mass loss) with the tangent curve of the first stage of thermal degradation. The curves of derivative thermogravimetric analysis (DTG) were drawn to facilitate the visualization of degradation peaks. The percentage of volatile matter was determined by the diference between the total mass of the sample and the percentage of mass lost in the frst linear region of the curve. The amount of mineral residues was represented by the mass remaining after the end of the analysis.

X‑ray Difraction of the Fibers/CNFs

Typical X-ray difractograms of the starting jute, NaOHtreated, and CNFs were obtained by a Rigaku difractometer XRD 600, operating at 30 kV, 30 mA and radiation of CuKα = 1.54056 Å. The scanning was performed at a 2θ/ min rate from 5° to 40° (2 θ). According to previous works [\[48](#page-16-18)[–51](#page-16-19)], Segal index is not suitable to estimate the cellulose crystallinity because of many features regarding its crystal confguration, such as crystal size and degree of polymorphism. Then, the crystallinity was calculated by the amorphous and crystalline fractions (Eq. [1\)](#page-3-0).

$$
C_f = \frac{C_a}{C_a + A_a} \tag{1}
$$

where C_f is the crystalline fraction; C_a is the sum of the areas under the theoretical crystalline curves and A_a is the

sum of the areas under the theoretical amorphous curves. The program Mercury 3.7 was used to produce theoretical difraction patterns for crystalline and amorphous fractions, varying the peak width at half maximum (PWHM) of cellulose Iβ and cellulose II, respectively $[50]$. For the amorphous halo, it was used cellulose II CIF (Crystallographic Information File) with PWHM of 9 and a correction factor [\[52](#page-16-21)]. Cellulose Iβ has been chosen for the calculations because it is the most abundant cellulose polymorph for higher plants in nature [[40\]](#page-16-10). All measurements were carried out on the theoretical curves after the ftting process. The CIF fles were obtained from complementary data in French [[48](#page-16-18)] and edited to fit the theoretical curve into the experimental one according to Correia et al. [[49](#page-16-22)].

Crystallite size was determined by Scherrer's equation (Eq. [2](#page-3-1)) described by Langford and Wilson [[51\]](#page-16-19). Additionally, the number of cellulose chains in the crystals was determined according to Ballesteros et al. [[52](#page-16-21)].

$$
D = \frac{k\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \tag{2}
$$

where D is the perpendicular size to the lattice plane (200); *k* is the correction factor (0.9); λ is the X-ray radiation wavelength (1.54 Å); β is the PWHM of the diffraction peak (in radians) and θ is the diffraction angle of the peak (22.7°).

Fourier‑Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) of the Fibers/CNFs

The samples of starting jute, NaOH-treated, and CNFs were crushed and homogenized before the analysis. A Shimadzu spectrometer IRAffinity-1 was used to obtain the spectra, using 32 scans, ranging from 400 to 4000/cm and resolution of 2/cm. Posteriorly, the samples were dried at 40 °C until constant mass and then incorporated to KBr in a proportion of 1:100 (m:m).

Production of the Fiber–Cement Composites

The cementitious matrix was composed of Ordinary Portland Cement CP V-ARI, corresponding to Type I (ASTM-C150) and ground agricultural limestone. Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) and carboxylic polyether (ADVA) were applied as additives to improve the mixture's rheology. For all formulations (Table [1](#page-4-0)), 1% (based on the cement mass) of both additives was used, as described by Teixeira et al. [\[53\]](#page-16-23).

Cement, limestone and reinforcement (jute fibers or CNFs) were first mixed in a beater for approximately 5 min to efficiently disperse the reinforcement. Afterwards, the water and additives were added (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-1). The whole

Table 1 Compositions of the extruded composites

| | Reinforcement | Water/cement | Cement | Limestone Based on cement mass $(\%)$ |
|------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--------|--|
| Control | Unreinforced | 0.30 | 68.0 | 30.0 |
| 0.5F | 0.5% fibers | 0.34 | 67.5 | 30.0 |
| 2.0F | 2.0% fibers | 0.33 | 66.0 | 30.0 |
| 0.5 _N | 0.5% CNFs | 0.41 | 67.5 | 30.0 |
| 2.0 _N | 2.0% CNFs | 0.42 | 66.0 | 30.0 |
| $F0.5 + N1.5$ | 0.5% fibers $+1.5\%$ CNFs | 0.41 | 66.0 | 30.0 |
| $F1.0 + N1.0$ | 1.0% fibers $+1.0\%$ CNFs | 0.39 | 66.0 | 30.0 |
| $F1.5 + N0.5$ | 1.5% fibers $+0.5\%$ CNFs | 0.39 | 66.0 | 30.0 |

The 2% remaining in the composition refers to HPMC and ADVA additives

Fig. 1 Scheme of the composites production. *Fibers and/or CNFs + Water + Cement + Limestone + HPMC + ADVA; **Based on cement mass

formulation was then mixed for approximately 10 min for homogenization.

In mixtures with 2% of jute fibers (2.0F), there was some loss of its pseudoplastic behavior in the beginning of the extrusion process. This can be related to a high volume of fbers (2% in relation to cement mass) were used as reinforcement, resulting in their interlacing and clogging of the extruder die. This mixture behavior was not observed when 2% (by mass) of CNFs (2.0 N) were used as reinforcement, which may be explained by the higher water/ cement ratio (0.42) of this formulation. The water/cement ratio was higher when CNFs were used as reinforcement

when compared to formulations with natural jute fbers. After CNFs production, the excess water from the gelatinous suspension was removed, but a considerable amount of water remained in the suspension (90% of moisture). This residual water increased the water/cement ratio. The composites were obtained with nominal dimensions $200 \times 30 \times 20$ mm in a Verdés single-screw extruder. After molding, the composites were stored in a sealed container, with saturated humidity, at room temperature $(\pm 21 \degree C)$ for 28 days.

Apparent Density and Apparent Porosity of the Composites

Physical properties such as apparent density and apparent porosity were analyzed according to ASTM C 948-81 standard [[54\]](#page-16-24). The samples were immersed in water at room temperature $(\pm 21 \degree C)$ for 24 h to assess wet mass (watersaturated composite with removal of the excess water from the surface) and immersed mass. Posteriorly, the samples were oven-dried at 80 °C for 24 h to determine the dry mass. Six samples were evaluated for each formulation. Equations [\(3](#page-5-0)) and ([4\)](#page-5-1) were used to assess the physical properties.

$$
Apparent density = \frac{Dm}{Im - Wm} \times \rho
$$
\n(3)

$$
Apparent \, porosity = \frac{Wm - Dm}{Im - Wm} \times 100 \tag{4}
$$

where *Dm* is the dry mass (g); *Wm* is the water-saturated mass (g) from the composite with removal of the excess water from the surface; *Im* is the mass (g) after water immersion (24 h) and ρ is the density (g/cm³) of the liquid where the samples were immersed (distilled water; $\rho = 1$ g/cm³).

Mechanical Properties of the Composites

The mechanical tests were performed using a universal testing machine TIME-SHIJIN WDW-20E (load cell 20 kN). A confguration with three points (lower gap 150 mm) was used to determine the modulus of rupture (MOR), limit of proportionality (LOP), modulus of elasticity (MOE) and tenacity in static bending. The confguration and calculations followed the procedures suggested in Rilem [\[55](#page-17-0)] and described in detail in previous studies [\[56,](#page-17-1) [57\]](#page-17-2). For each formulation, six samples of fber–cement were evaluated.

Natural Weathering of the Composites

The samples were weather-exposed, in a bench with an inclination of 45° with the surface of the terrain, facing the "true north" for 5 months, from February to June, which correspond to the end of the summer and beginning of

winter in the Southern Hemisphere. The bench was located in the city of Lavras, State of Minas Gerais, Brazil, where the mean annual temperature is $27 \degree C$, relative humidity is around 60%, 919 m of altitude, 21°14′43′′ S of latitude and 44°59′59′′ W of longitude. During this period, the maximum and minimum temperatures were 33 and 15 °C, the average wind speed was between 5.2 and 14.8 km/h, the rainfall was approximately 1300 mm/year and the average relative humidity was around 63%. During the 5 months abovementioned, there was an intense variation in weather conditions in the region. The samples were exposed to high and low temperatures, rainy and dry climates, with few or much wind, among other weather conditions. These factors, among others, may infuence the mechanical and microstructural properties of the fber–cement composites.

Microstructure of the Composites

The evaluation of the cementitious composites microstructure was performed through scanning electron microscopy (SEM) by a JMS 6510 (JEOL®) microscope operating at 10 kV. The samples were placed on double-sided carbon adhesive strips, previously fxed over aluminum sample holders (stubs) and gold-coated before the visualization. The analysis was performed on the fracture surface of the composite samples after the rupture caused by the mechanical tests.

Results and Discussion

Characterization of the Fibers/CNFs

Chemical Analysis of the Fibers/CNFs

NaOH-treated jute fbers showed higher cellulose content (Table [2](#page-5-2)). The cell wall structure of vegetal fbers is formed by cellulose micro/nanofbrils and consequently had a considerable infuence on the physical and mechanical properties of the fbers [[58\]](#page-17-3).

a Standard deviation

The degree of infuence of hemicelluloses content on fber fbrillation is controversial. During mechanical fbrillation, hemicelluloses content influences the efficiency of the process, whereas its reduction enables micro/nanofbrils to be individualized [[23,](#page-15-22) [59\]](#page-17-4). However, previous studies have found that hemicelluloses may also act as an inhibitor of CNFs coalescence during fltration/draining of excess water, and it may contribute to the overall mechanical strength when used in composites $[60, 61]$ $[60, 61]$ $[60, 61]$. The higher the hemicellulose content, the higher the efficiency of the nanofbrillation process [[62\]](#page-17-7). Scatolino et al. [[47](#page-16-17)] noted that some remaining hemicelluloses did not prevent CNFs from being formed. Otherwise, the removal of hemicelluloses to levels of 9–12% may facilitate fber deconstruction [[63\]](#page-17-8). Lignin was responsible for the adhesion between the inner layers of the fiber cell wall $[61]$. The NaOH treatment performed did not change the lignin content of the treated fbers compared to the raw jute fbers.

Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) of the Fibers/CNFs

The initial mass loss (approximately 10% at 120 °C) for all samples corresponded to the volatilization of some residual moisture in the fber. The main thermal degradation occurs between 250 and 350 °C (Fig. [2\)](#page-6-0), which corresponds to the pyrolysis of the cellulose components [[30\]](#page-16-25). Degradation by pyrolysis occurs slowly and is irreversible. The fnal residue is mainly composed of inorganic and unconverted organic materials.

After reaching the initial degradation temperature (*Tonset*), an irreversible degradation of the biomass begins. Therefore, the processing temperature of the composite should not exceed T_{onset} . This temperature must be considered as the maximum temperature for processing lignocellulosic materials [\[64\]](#page-17-9). Before raw jute fber underwent pyrolysis, a high-intensity peak was observed in an inert atmosphere. The maximum decomposition temperature for the raw fber was the highest when compared to that of the NaOH-treated fbers and CNFs. There was a reduction in

Fig. 2 Typical TGA and DTG curves of jute fbers and nanofbrils: **a** in inert atmosphere $(100\% N_2)$; and **b** in oxidative atmosphere (80% N_2 and 20% $O₂$)

the maximum temperature of decomposition after treatment with NaOH, which slightly increased for the CNFs because of the realignment caused by the fbrillation process. In an oxidative atmosphere, NaOH-treated fbers and CNFs presented higher *Tonset* values when compared to raw fbers. This result was due to the lower relative hemicellulose content and higher relative cellulose content in NaOH-treated fbers and CNFs. Hemicelluloses begin their degradation process at lower temperatures than cellulose [[47\]](#page-16-17), so the decrease in hemicelluloses content increased the *Tonset* value of the NaOH-treated fbers and CNFs. In both atmospheres, the jute fber took longer to move from the initial degradation (T_{onset}) to the peak of maximum degradation. This may have occurred because of the higher content of non-cellulosic components, such as extractives and hemicelluloses. Some kinds of extractives, mainly those soluble in acetone, may provide an increase in thermal stability and extend biomass combustion time [\[65](#page-17-10)]. During TGA in an oxidative atmosphere, two predominant peaks were observed. The first peak $(275-375 \degree C)$ occurred because of the release of volatiles when the sample's chemical compounds were degraded during combustion, whereas the second peak (400–500 °C) indicated the carbonization of the formed charcoal [[66\]](#page-17-11). CNFs shows a higher *Tonset* value (around 284 °C) than NaOH-treated fbers (around 281 °C) under an oxidative atmosphere (Table [3](#page-7-0)). Although these fbers have undergone high mechanical shear forces during fbrillation (which probably partially disrupted the crystal structures), they have been reorganized during oven drying when the samples were prepared for TGA [[67](#page-17-12)].

The *Tonset* value practically did not change between jute fbers and CNFs during degradation in an inert atmosphere. Scatolino et al. [[47\]](#page-16-17) studied the thermal degradation in an oxidative atmosphere of Amazonian paricá fibers and CNFs and found T_{onset} values of 255 °C and 266 °C for NaOH-treated fbers and CNFs, respectively. The DTG curves presented a "shoulder" close to 300 °C for raw jute in both analyzed atmospheres. This specifc region did not appear for NaOH-treated fbers and CNFs in the first degradation stage. This effect may be attributed to the removal of hemicelluloses. Additionally, with partial removal of non-cellulosic components in the raw fbers,

Fig. 3 Typical X-ray difractograms of raw jute, NaOH-treated jute fbers (NaOH-treated) and jute nanofbrils

Table 4 Crystal structure of jute fbers and CNFs

| Material | Crystalline fraction $(\%)$ | Crystallite $size$ (nm) | Cellulose chains per crystallite |
|--------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Raw jute | 65 | 6.3 | 81 |
| NaOH-treated | 68 | 7.4 | 100 |
| CNFs | 66 | 7.3 | 100 |

the maximum rate of thermal degradation was intensifed at approximately 340 °C.

X‑ray Difraction of the Fibers/CNFs

Both types of fbers and CNFs show a peak between 2θ values of 16.5° and 22.6°, representing cellulose I [[68\]](#page-17-13) (Fig. [3](#page-7-1)). NaOH pretreatment resulted in a reduction in hemicelluloses, which have an amorphous structure, increasing crystallinity (68%) comparable to the raw fiber (65%) (Table [4](#page-7-2)). This fnding has also been reported in Correa et al. [[69](#page-17-14)].

The raw fber presented a broader peak at 22.3°, lattice plane (200), compared to NaOH-treated fbers, which increased 4.6% in crystallinity and 17.5% in crystallite size. CNFs also showed an increase of 1.5% in crystallinity and 15.9% in crystallite size. Both increases were assumed to be due to the removal of the amorphous counterparts. The alkali-treated fbers exhibited peaks where 14.8° (1−10) and 16.2° (110) overlapped. The same behavior occurred in the theoretical curves when the PWHM was increased because of the amorphous contribution. Although the X-ray atterns of the CNFs were similar to those of the NaOH-treated jute fibers, the $(1-10)$ and (110) peaks showed some degree of separation, whereas the peak at 34.6° (004) was more evident. The presence of the peaks listed above describes the typical pattern of cellulose Iβ [[48](#page-16-18)]. The NaOH treatment used here was not sufficient to cause a change in the cellulose polymorphs, as observed when high alkali concentrations (e.g., higher than 12%) are used, transforming cellulose I into cellulose II [[40](#page-16-10)].

Partial cellulose hydrolysis occurred during treatment, probably to non-crystalline instead of crystalline domains, because there was an increase in crystallinity values and crystallite sizes. The CNFs prepared from NaOH-treated fbers had a larger crystallite size. In some cases, the high shearing force of the mechanical process can damage the cellulose crystalline fraction. As previously discussed, drying may cause rearrangement of the amorphous parts of cellulose. Reorganization of the amorphous cellulose into crystals upon drying has also been reported [\[70](#page-17-15), [71](#page-17-16)], where parallel glucan chains crystallized into cellulose I after drying. In addition, the increase in crystallite size from the raw fbers to the NaOH-treated ones resulted from more cellulose

chains being packed into the crystallite (see Table [4\)](#page-7-2). Tonoli et al. [\[67\]](#page-17-12) found a decrease in crystallite size when comparing commercial eucalyptus pulp fbers and CNFs due to the high shear that occurred during mechanical fbrillation, which damaged the crystallites.

FTIR Analysis of the Fibers/CNFs

Raw fbers show a lower absorption intensity close to 3330/ cm (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)), which corresponds to the OH stretching vibration [\[72](#page-17-17)] and provided considerable information concerning hydrogen bonding in jute fbers or CNFs. The higher intensity of OH vibration in the NaOH-treated fbers and CNFs is due to the higher cellulose content (see Table [2](#page-5-2)).

The peak observed at approximately 1740/cm in the raw fbers refers to the axial deformation of C=O, which is attributed to the ester-uronic and acetyl groups of hemicelluloses or the ester connections of the carboxylic group of lignin [[73\]](#page-17-18). This peak disappeared after the NaOH treatment and the individualization of CNFs due to partial removal of hemicelluloses, again corroborating the results in Table [2](#page-5-2).

Peaks between 1462 and 1598/cm refer to the axial deformation of $C=C$ in the aromatic rings of lignin $[47]$ $[47]$. The peaks located in this range for the NaOH-treated fbers and CNFs were lower than those observed for the raw fber. This result also agrees with the chemical analysis, which reported the presence of lignin after the NaOH treatment. The mechanical fbrillation used to produce CNFs did not change their chemical structure because it only severed hydrogen bonds through shearing forces, resulting in the

Fig. 4 FTIR spectra of raw jute, NaOH-treated jute fbers (NaOH-treat.) and jute nanofbrils; **a** showing the full spectra from 4000 to 500/cm; **b** detail of the region between 1800 and 1300/cm

individualization of the micro/nanofbril bundles from the cell wall.

Peaks between 1370 and 1430/cm, assigned to the symmetric $CH₂$ bending vibration of the cellulose crystalline domains, decrease with lower crystallinity [[67](#page-17-12)]. The peaks located in this range for raw jute fibers, NaOH-treated fibers, and CNFs did not show signifcant diferences, although the crystalline fraction of the raw fbers was lower than that of the NaOH-treated fbers and CNFs (see Table [4](#page-7-2)).

Morphological Analysis of the Fibers/CNFs

Mechanical fbrillation reduced the average diameter of the jute fbers, increasing their swelling capacity due to fracturing and detachment of the nanofbrils, as well as increasing the surface area when dispersed/individualized. In addition to the changes in chemical composition, NaOH treatment leads to irreversible changes in the fber surface [[47\]](#page-16-17). SEM images show that the surface of the NaOH-treated fbers is cleaner than that of the raw jute fbers (Fig. [5\)](#page-9-0). However, some residues were still observed on the surface of the NaOH-treated fbers. Higher exposition of the cellulose fbrils was observed for the NaOH-treated fbers. With the dissolution of the external layers of the cell wall, cellulose micro/nanofbrils become more exposed, which facilitates their individualization [\[74](#page-17-19)]. CNFs are generated by fbrillation of the fber cell wall and mainly consist of micro/nanofbril bundles with diameters between 10 and 40 nm [\[75](#page-17-20)[–77](#page-17-21)].

As discussed previously, the shearing forces applied by the mechanical grinder were efective in deconstructing the fber cell wall, producing CNFs dispersed in water [[75\]](#page-17-20). The diameter distribution of the jute CNFs (Fig. [6\)](#page-10-0) was obtained from measurements performed in transmission electron microscopy images and showed that approximately 80% of the flaments in the suspension had a diameter lower than 30 nm and an average diameter of approximately 31 nm. Lin et al. [\[78](#page-17-22)] studied CNFs produced from jute fibers and found diameters ranging from 5 to 20 nm, whereas Das et al. reported jute CNFs with an average diameter of 146 nm [[79](#page-17-23)]. Lower diameters are expected to improve the performance of the composites because of the consequent higher aspect ratios of the flaments, which may improve percolation into the microstructure of the composite.

Properties of the Fiber–Cement Composites

The apparent density values of the fber–cement composites tend to increase with the insertion of CNFs (Table [5\)](#page-10-1). This

Fig. 5 Typical SEM images: **a** raw jute fber; **b** NaOH-treated jute and **c** jute CNFs

Fig. 6 Typical images of transmission electron microscopy; **a** histogram of the cumulative distribution of CNFs diameters, and **b** CNFs obtained by mechanical fbrillation

Table 5 Apparent density of the fber–cement composites produced

| Condition | Apparent density $(g/cm3)$ | | |
|------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--|
| | 28 days of curing | Natural weathering | |
| Control | $1.85 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$ b | $2.00 + 0.03c$ | |
| 0.5F | $1.87 \pm 0.01a$ | $2.00 + 0.04c$ | |
| 2.0F | $1.82 + 0.05c$ | $2.02 + 0.04c$ | |
| 0.5 _N | $1.88 + 0.03a$ | $1.96 + 0.05d$ | |
| 2.0 _N | $1.88 \pm 0.01a$ | $2.28 + 0.03a$ | |
| $F0.5 + N1.5$ | $1.87 \pm 0.01a$ | $2.11 + 0.04b$ | |
| $F1.0 + N1.0$ | $1.84 + 0.04b$ | $2.07 + 0.05$ | |
| $F1.5 + N0.5$ | $1.85 + 0.03b$ | $2.08 + 0.04b$ | |

* Standard deviation; means followed by the same letter do not difer statistically by the Scott-Knott test at 5% of signifcance

may be due to the flling of cracks in the initial phases of cement hydration products. These products can deposit or reprecipitate mainly at the reinforcement–matrix interface [\[80\]](#page-17-24). Composites reinforced exclusively with CNFs (both 0.5% and 2%), the hybrid F0.5 + N1.5, and 0.5F did not differ statistically and presented the highest apparent density values. These results may be due to the optimization of raw material packaging during extrusion. In addition, CNFs act as plasticizers, improving the cohesion of the fber–cement mixture [\[26](#page-16-1)].

The higher apparent density may be due to more compact matrices with fewer defects. In addition, the greater surface area of the CNFs provides better CNF–matrix interaction and, consequently, greater density. Because of the hydrophilic character of CNFs and the interaction of their hydroxyl groups with products from the cement hydration, it can be said that CNFs and other cellulose materials are compatible with cement products. Cement hydration tends to reduce the empty spaces around the reinforcement, consequently reducing the apparent porosity. The apparent density was higher for all formulations after natural weathering, probably because of the increased adhesion between the fbers and the cementitious matrix. Numerous "needles" were observed around the CNFs after 28 days of curing. These structures are probably monosulfoaluminates or ettringites $[(CA₆(Al(OH)₆)₂(SO₄)₃(H₂O)_{25.7})]$ $[(CA₆(Al(OH)₆)₂(SO₄)₃(H₂O)_{25.7})]$ $[(CA₆(Al(OH)₆)₂(SO₄)₃(H₂O)_{25.7})]$ (Fig. 7), which provide greater porosity to the composite. After the composites were submitted to natural weathering, a signifcant reduction in ettringite "needles" was observed, which may be due to the reprecipitation of the hydration products of the cement inside or around the fbers and the natural carbonation that occurs throughout aging [\[81](#page-17-25), [82](#page-17-26)]. This could increase the adhesion between fbers and matrices, resulting in a more compact structure and thus resulting in greater density and decreased porosity for the composites. The reduction in hydrated phases is the result of the natural carbonation that occurs during the aging of cementitious composites [[56](#page-17-1)]. A large amount of ettringite around the fbers was found by Tonoli et al. [\[82](#page-17-26)] during an evaluation of the performance of extruded fber–cement composite produced with cellulosic pulps modifed with cellulose silanes after 28 days of curing.

Filling empty spaces or pores with cement hydration products increases apparent density [\[83](#page-17-27)]. The hybrid $F0.5 + N1.5$ displayed high apparent density values, probably because of the reinforcement provided by the fraction of CNFs, which in this case, resulted in synergy with the jute fber. Among the composites reinforced with fbers, the 0.5F formulation stood out in density, probably because of the high degree of fber mineralization combined with the higher water/cement ratio, which was responsible for the generation of higher quantities of hydrated products from cement. Regarding the hybrid cement composites, there was **Fig. 7** Typical SEM of the fracture surface showing the presence of ettringite in the cementitious composites (2% of CNFs): **a** and **b** after 28 days of curing; **c** after natural weathering

a tendency for apparent porosity to increase with decreasing CNF percentage (Fig. [8](#page-12-0)).

The CNF size enables it to enter the meso and macropores of the cement. The mesopores are the interlaying spaces in the calcium silicate hydrate, with diameter sizes between 2 and 100 nm [[84\]](#page-18-0). Macropores are capillary pores with sizes between 100 and 7000 nm [[85\]](#page-18-1). The decrease of CNFs in the composites occurred simultaneously with the increase in the content of jute fbers, which may have contributed to the small increase in apparent porosity. Non-wood fbers are lighter than wood fibers [[86\]](#page-18-2). The higher fiber content in the composite increases the probability of void formation [\[87](#page-18-3)]. Conversely, composites reinforced with 2% CNFs displayed higher apparent porosity in comparison to the control and the other formulations. This result may be due to the higher water/cement ratio of the composites reinforced with CNFs compared to other formulations. A high water/cement ratio results in the volatilization of free water, causing high porosity in the composite. Additionally, high porosity can lead to the presence of carbon dioxide in the paste [[88](#page-18-4)]. In general, it is expected that a low water/cement ratio will elicit a low permeability effect in the cement paste [[89](#page-18-5)].

Fiber-reinforced composites showed a reduction in apparent porosity values with an increase in the proportion of fbers and CNFs from 0.5 to 2% after natural weathering. As previously discussed, this reduction of approximately 28% is

Fig. 8 Apparent porosity of the fber–cements produced; **a** typical image (SEM) of the fber–cement sample reinforced with 0.5% of fbers; **b** typical image (SEM) of the fber–cement sample reinforced

with 2% of fbers; **c** typical image (SEM) of the fber–cement sample reinforced with 1% of fibers $+1\%$ of CNFs after natural weathering

attributed to the flling of matrix pores by the cement hydration products and calcium carbonate from natural carbonation during weathering. The cracking process is due to the porosity of the fber–cement composites, which can signifcantly afect the mechanical strength and be directly related to the fber–matrix adhesion. Fibers in cementitious composites act as a macroscale reinforcement, preventing fragile fracture after crack initiation, owing to the distribution of microcracks along the material. CNFs act as nanoscale reinforcements (Fig. [9](#page-12-1)), connecting diferent grains and particles

Fig. 9 Typical SEM images of: **a** fracture surface showing the adhesion between the fbers and the composites cementitious matrix with 0.5% of fbers and 1.5% of CNFs; **b** reinforcement acting on the microcracks; **c** zoom to the CNFs

of diferent sizes (mainly at the microscale) and forming a network of bonds that act as bridges for stress transfer. Consequently, the propagation of microcracks decreased in the cement matrix.

The MOE observed for all formulations is lower than that of the control (unreinforced) after 28 days of curing (Fig. [10](#page-13-0)), despite a slightly increasing trend for the 2.0F and $F0.5 + N1.5$ formulations. This result is due to the reduction in the fragile behavior of the composite by the insertion of fbers and CNFs as reinforcement. A lower MOE is expected because the fber and CNF reinforcements ensure greater elastic deformation of the composite. Formulations with CNFs showed a predisposition to higher MOR than their equivalent with jute fbers, which was due to the greater surface area of the CNFs that strongly interacted with the cement matrix, even at small reinforcement levels. Similarly, the fber–cement composites with higher CNF content, such as 2.0 N and the hybrid $F0.5 + N1.5$, exhibited higher MOR values.

Higher MOR values were attributed to the ability of CNFs to bond with the matrix. The MOR value indicates the stress distribution and the interaction between the fiber and matrix, in addition to the tensile strength. The larger surface area of the CNFs increased their interaction with the cement matrix because of the higher number of secondary bonds (mainly hydrogen bonds), resulting in a propensity for higher MOR values. In this context, because of the bonding of CNFs with the matrix, the nanofbrils could contribute to the increase in the tensile strength during the static bending of cementitious composites [\[90](#page-18-6)]. The increased dimensional variation of the jute fbers resulted in the fber-matrix transitioning to the high-stress zone, leading to the collapse of the hydrogen bonds. The formulations reinforced with 2% of fbers and all formulations with CNFs showed increasing tendencies for both LOP and tenacity in relation to the control after 28 days of curing (Fig. 11).

The composites reinforced with 2% fibers displayed improved mechanical properties when compared to those reinforced with 0.5% fbers, especially for the values of MOR, LOP, and tenacity, which showed slightly greater behavior. Figure [8](#page-12-0) shows the fracture aspect of both composites (2.0F and 0.5F), where it is possible to observe a structure with small and numerous micropores well-distributed throughout the composite reinforced with 0.5% fbers. Conversely, composites reinforced with 2% fbers had larger and more isolated pores. Composites reinforced with 2% CNFs and all hybrid formulations tended to have a greater LOP among the formulations studied after natural weathering. Additionally, the same behavior was observed in the $F0.5 + N1.5$ hybrid formulation for the average values of the tenacity after natural weathering. The formulation with 2.0% CNFs obtained superior average value of tenacity compared to the other formulations, after exposure to weather. The tenacity is associated to composite toughness, which is caused by several phenomena produced during composite fracture, such as debonding, pull-out, bridging, and fber fracture [\[91\]](#page-18-7). CNF pull-out is probably the main factor responsible for the toughness mechanism and energy absorption by the cementitious composite [[26\]](#page-16-1). The characteristics of the fracture surfaces provide a better understanding of the mechanical results. These results show that the CNFs exhibit good energy absorption when subjected to a load or tension, which can be due to a greater surface area when compared to fbers. Greater values of tenacity indicate that CNFs contribute more efectively to delay the start of crack propagation [\[49](#page-16-22)].

For the 0.5N formulation, there was a reduction in all mechanical properties after five months of natural

Fig. 10 Mechanical properties of the cementitious composites at 28 days of cure and after 5 months of natural weathering: **a** MOE; **b** MOR; $0.5F=0.5\%$ of fiber; 2.0F = 2.0% of fiber; 0.5 N = 0.5% of CNFs; 2.0 N = 2.0% of CNFs

Fig. 11 Mechanical properties of the cementitious composites at 28 days of cure and after 5 months of natural weathering: **a** limit of proportionality (LOP) and **b** tenacity; $0.5F = 0.5\%$ fiber; $2.0F = 2.0\%$ fiber; $0.5 N = 0.5\%$ CNFs; $2.0 N = 2.0\%$ CNFs

weathering compared to the values presented after 28 days of curing. Some explanations can be given for the failure of this amount of reinforcement: (1) the low content of CNFs used as reinforcement may have accumulated in the stress zones, which may have compromised mechanical properties; (2) the non-uniform distribution of micro/nanofbrils in the matrix could lead to clumping, resulting in improper dispersion during mixing in the extruder screw; and (3) the low content of CNFs could have caused their mineralization when soaked in a high amount of cement matrix. After 28 days of curing and natural weathering, the hybrid formulations showed a trend of reducing the MOR proportionally to the quantity of CNFs. It was observed that after natural weathering, there was an increase in the MOR, LOP, and tenacity of the fber–cement composites. These results indicate that the adhesion between the reinforcement and the matrix improved after natural weathering, which may be due to the reprecipitation of cement hydration products inside and around the fbers and CNFs and the consequent flling of the pores at the interface between the reinforcement and the matrix. The $F0.5 + N1.5$ formulations presented a predisposition to higher LOP values. Higher LOP values are evidence of an improved fber-matrix adherence, which is a result of the higher surface area of CNFs that connect diferent grains and particles with diferent sizes, forming a network that delays plastic deformation in the cement matrix. Exposure of the composite to water during natural weathering provides the reintroduction of water into the system after the curing period. It also reactivates the dissolution of ions (mainly Ca^{2+}) from anhydrous grains and less stable cement phases, such as calcium hydroxide. These ions are generally transported and reprecipitated in the porous zones of the cementitious matrix, such as the interface between the fbers and the matrix $[56]$ $[56]$. The composites with the F0.5 + N1.5 hybrid formulation presented better mechanical properties than the other formulations, indicating greater mechanical performance and durability, especially on MOR and tenacity. The rupture of hydrogen bonds in the fber-matrix interface allows the fbers pull-out, resulting in a composite with greater tenacity. If the physical bonds (from material packaging and densifcation) and the chemical bonds (hydrogen bonds) between the reinforcement and the matrix are very strong, the fber does not slip, instead it breaks, resulting in a reduction in composite tenacity.

Conclusion

Fiber–cement composites were produced with the reinforcement of NaOH-treated jute fbers, CNFs, and hybrids of both, and their physical and mechanical properties were evaluated. NaOH treatment of raw jute fbers reduced the hemicelluloses content, thereby increasing the relative content of cellulose, as confrmed by the FTIR analysis. Alkaline treatment resulted in a slightly higher crystalline fraction and larger crystallite size of the treated fbers. TGA and DTG results showed higher thermal stability of the NaOH-treated jute fbers and CNFs when compared to raw fbers. Formulations exclusively with CNFs showed higher average apparent density values. The hybrid cement composites reinforced with 1.5% CNFs and 0.5% fbers showed stronger mechanical properties than the other formulations. The LOP for fber–cement composites reinforced with 2% CNFs was approximately 24% higher than that of the control. The tenacity of the fber–cement reinforced with CNFs exceeded that of the control and those reinforced with fbers.

The MOE values tended to decrease from the control to the reinforced composites, with the fber reinforcement being superior to CNFs. The results showed that it is possible to improve the microstructure and mechanical performance of composites using small amounts of CNF reinforcement (0.5%–2%). CNFs acted as bridges for the stress transfer in the microstructure of the composites, decreasing the propagation of microcracks in the matrix. In general, natural weathering increased the MOR, LOP, and tenacity of the cement composites. The use of fber and CNF hybrids as reinforcement allows the efects to be combined, thus resulting in improved mechanical properties when compared to fbers or CNFs used individually.

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful for the fnancial support provided by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científco e Tecnológico (CNPq), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG), Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (Capes) and Rede AgroNano (Embrapa), Rede BIOS-MAT (FZEA/USP) e Rede RELIGAR (UFLA).

Author Contributions CSF conduction of work, MVS revision and discussions, LES experiments, as DRX, MAM experiments, as FTIR and microscopy images, MGJ revision of the text, GHT supervisor.

References

- 1. Fonseca, C.S., Silva, M.F., Mendes, R.F., Hein, P.R.G., Zangiacomo, A.L., Savastano, H., Tonoli, G.H.D.: Jute fbers and micro/ nanofbrils as reinforcement in extruded fber–cement composites. Constr. Build. Mater. **211**, 517–527 (2019). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.03.236) [org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.03.236](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.03.236)
- 2. Sadiq, K.M., Bzeni, D.K.H., Shaikh, F.U.A.: Defection hardening behaviour of jute strands reinforced lightweight cementitious composite. Constr. Build. Mater. **96**, 102–111 (2015). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.08.004) [org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.08.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.08.004)
- 3. Jahan, M.S., Mostafzur Rahman, J.N.M., Islam, M., Quaiyyum, M.A.: Chemical characteristics of ribbon retted jute and its efect on pulping and papermaking properties. Ind. Crop. Prod. **84**, 116–120 (2016).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.01.054>
- 4. Neto, J.R.A., Carvalho, L.H., Araújo, E.M.: Infuence of a nanoparticulate fller addition on the mechanical properties of polyurethane/jute fber composites. Polím. Cienc. Tecnol. **17**, 10–15 (2007).<https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-14282007000100006>
- 5. Sanjay, M.R., Yogesha, B.: Studies on mechanical properties of jute/e-glass fber reinforced epoxy hybrid composites. J. Miner. Mater. Charact. Eng. **4**, 15–25 (2007). [https://doi.org/10.4236/](https://doi.org/10.4236/jmmce.2016.41002) [jmmce.2016.41002](https://doi.org/10.4236/jmmce.2016.41002)
- 6. Rafquzzaman, Maksundul, I., Habibur, R., Saniat, T., Nahid, H.: Mechanical property evaluation of glass–jute fber reinforced polymer composites. Polym. Adv. Technol. **27**, 1308–1316 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1002/pat.3798>
- 7. Ferreira, J.M., Capela, C., Manaia, J., Costa, J.D.: Mechanical properties of woven mat jute/epoxy composites. Mater. Res. **19**, 702–710 (2016). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-5373-MR-2015-0422) [org/10.1590/1980-5373-MR-2015-0422](https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-5373-MR-2015-0422)
- 8. Chakraborty, S., Kundu, S.P., Roy, A., Basak, R.K., Adhikari, B., Majumder, S.B.: Improvement of the mechanical properties of jute fbre reinforced cement mortar: a statistical

approach. Constr. Build. Mater. **38**, 776–784 (2013). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.09.067) [org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.09.067](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.09.067)

- 9. Jo, B., Chakraborty, S., Yoon, K.W.: A hypothetical model based on efectiveness of combined alkali and polymer latex modifed jute fbre in controlling the setting and hydration behaviour of cement. Constr. Build. Mater. **68**, 1–9 (2014). [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.06.043) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.06.043](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.06.043)
- 10. Anglès, M., Dufresne, A.: Plasticized starch/tunicin whiskers nanocomposites: mechanical behavior. Macromolecules **34**, 2921–2931 (2001).<https://doi.org/10.1021/ma001555h>
- 11. Assis, L.M., Zavareze, E.R., Hernández, C.P., Soares, L.A.S.: Characteristics of nanoparticles and their potential applications in foods. Braz. J. Food Technol. **15**, 99–109 (2012). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1981-67232012005000004) [org/10.1590/S1981-67232012005000004](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1981-67232012005000004)
- 12. Mortazavi, S., Moghaddam, M.K.: An analysis of structure and properties of a natural cellulosic fber (Leafran). Fibers Polym. **11**, 877–882 (2010).<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12221-010-0877-z>
- 13. Stelte, W., Sanadi, A.R.: Preparation and characterization of cellulose nanofbers from two commercial hardwood and softwood pulps. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. **48**, 11211–11219 (2009). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1021/ie9011672) doi.org/10.1021/ie9011672
- 14. Wang, B., Sain, M.: Dispersion of soybean stock-based nanofber in a plastic matrix. Polym. Int. **56**, 538–546 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1021/bk-2006-0938.ch013>
- 15. Ferrer, A., Filpponen, I., Rodríguez, A., Laine, J., Rojas, O.J.: Valorization of residual Empty Palm Fruit Bunch Fibers (EPFBF) by microfuidization: production of nanofbrillated cellulose and EPFBF nanopaper. Bioresour. Tech. **125**, 249–255 (2012).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.08.108>
- 16. Padal, K.T., Ramji, K., Prasad, V.V.S.: Mechanical properties of jute nanofbres reinforced composites. Glob. J. Res. Eng. **14**, 1–6 (2014)
- 17. Maaloul, N., Oulego, P., Rendueles, M., Ghorbal, A., Díaz, M.: Novel biosorbents from almond shells: characterization and adsorption properties modeling for Cu(II) ions from aqueous solutions. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. **5**(3), 2944–2954 (2017). [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2017.05.037) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2017.05.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2017.05.037)
- 18. Saurabh, C.K., Mustapha, A., Masri, M.M., Owolabi, A.F., Syakir, M.I., Dungani, R., Paridah, M.T., Jawaid, M., Khalil, H.P.S.A.: Isolation and characterization of cellulose nanofbers from *Gigantochloa scortechiniias* a reinforcement material. J. Nanomater. (2016).<https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/4024527>
- 19. Thomas, M.G., Abraham, E., Jyotishkumar, P., Pothan, L.A., Maria, H.J., Thoma, S.: Nanocelluloses from jute fbers and their nanocomposites with natural rubber: preparation and characterization. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. **81**, 768–777 (2015). [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2015.08.053) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2015.08.053](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2015.08.053)
- 20. Bufalino, L., de SenaNeto, A.R., Tonoli, G.H.D., Fonseca, A.S., Costa, T.G., Marconcini, J.M., Colodette, J.L., Labory, C.R.G., Mendes, L.M.: How the chemical nature of Brazilian hardwoods afects nanofbrillation of cellulose fbers and flm optical quality. Cellulose **22**, 3657–3672 (2015). [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-015-0771-3) [s10570-015-0771-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-015-0771-3)
- 21. do Prado, N.R.T., Raabe, J., Mirmehdi, S., Hugen, L.N., Lima, L.C., Ramos, A.L.S., Guimarães, M., Jr., Tonoli, G.H.D.: Strength improvement of hydroxypropyl methylcellulose/starch flms using cellulose nanocrystals. CERNE **23**, 423–434 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1590/01047760201723042303>
- 22. Siqueira, G., Bras, J., Dufresne, A.: Cellulose whiskers versus microfbrils: infuence of the nature of the nanoparticle and its surface functionalization on the thermal and mechanical properties of nanocomposites. Biomacromolecules **10**, 425–432 (2009).<https://doi.org/10.1021/bm801193d>
- 23. Alila, S., Besbesa, I., Vilar, M.R., Mutje, P., Bouf, S.: Nonwoody plants as raw materials for production of microfbrillated
- 24. Alwani, M.S., Khalil, H.P.S.A., Sulaiman, O., Islam, M.N., Dungani, R.: An approach to using agricultural waste fbres in biocomposites application: thermogravimetric analysis and activation energy study. Bioresources **9**, 218–230 (2014). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.15376/biores.9.1.218-230) [org/10.15376/biores.9.1.218-230](https://doi.org/10.15376/biores.9.1.218-230)
- 25. Sri Aprilia, N.A., Hossain, M.D., Mustapha, A., SitiSuhaily, S., Nik Norulaini, N.A., Peng, L.C., Mohd Omar, A.K., Abdul Khalil, H.P.S.: Optimizing the isolation of microfbrillated bamboo in high pressure enzymatic hydrolysis. Bioresources **10**, 5305–5316 (2015).<https://doi.org/10.15376/biores.10.3.5305-5316>
- 26. Fonseca, C.S., Silva, T.F., Silva, M.F., Oliveira, I.R.C., Mendes, R.F., Hein, P.R.G., Mendes, L.M., Tonoli, G.H.D.: Eucalyptus cellulose micro/nanofbers in extruded fbercement composites. CERNE **22**, 1–9 (2016). [https://doi.org/10.1590/0104776020](https://doi.org/10.1590/01047760201622012084) [1622012084](https://doi.org/10.1590/01047760201622012084)
- 27. Veloso, M.C.R.A., Pires, M.R., Villela, L.S., Scatolino, M.V., Protásio, T.P., Mendes, L.M., Guimarães, J.B., Jr.: Potential destination of Brazilian cocoa agro-industrial wastes for production of materials with high added value. Waste Manag. **118**, 36–44 (2020).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2020.08.019>
- 28. Silva, D.W., Scatolino, M.V., do Prado, N.R.T., Mendes, R.F., Mendes, L.M.: Addition of diferent proportions of castor husk and pine wood in particleboards. Waste Biomass Valor. **9**, 139– 145 (2018).<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-016-9742-7>
- 29. Scatolino, M.V., de Costa, A.O., Guimarães, J.B., Jr., de Protásio, T.P., Mendes, R.F., Mendes, L.M.: Eucalyptus wood and coffee parchment for particleboard production: physical and mechanical properties. Cienc. Agrotec. **41**, 139–146 (2017). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-70542017412038616) [org/10.1590/1413-70542017412038616](https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-70542017412038616)
- 30. de Protásio, T.P., Mendes, R.F., Scatolino, M.V., Mendes, L.M., Trugilho, P.F., de Melo, I.C.N.A.: Thermal stability of particleboards of sugar cane bagasse and *Pinus* spp. wood. Sci. For. **43**, 683–691 (2015)
- 31. Lisboa, F.J.N., Scatolino, M.V., de Paula Protásio, T., et al.: Lignocellulosic materials for production of cement composites: valorization of the alkali treated soybean pod and eucalyptus wood particles to obtain higher value-added products. Waste Biomass Valor. **11**, 2235–2245 (2020). [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1264](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-018-0488-2) [9-018-0488-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-018-0488-2)
- 32. Pereira, T.G.T., Silva, D.W., Eugênio, T.M.C., Scatolino, M.V., Terra, I.C.C., Fonseca, C.S., Bufalino, L., Mendes, R.F., Mendes, L.M.: Coconut fbers and quartzite wastes for fber–cement production by extrusion. Mater. Today Proc. (2020). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.01.394) [org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.01.394](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.01.394)
- 33. Silva, D.W., Scatolino, M.V., Pereira, T.G.T., Vilela, A.P., Eugênio, T.M.C., Martins, M.A., Mendes, R.F., Bufalino, L., Tonoli, G.H.D., Mendes, L.M.: Infuence of thermal treatment of eucalyptus fbers on the physical-mechanical properties of extruded fber–cement composites. Mater. Today Proc. (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.04.764>
- 34. Tonoli, G.H.D., Belgacem, M.N., Siqueira, G., Bras, J., Savastano, H., Rocco Lahr, F.A.: Processing and dimensional changes of cement based composites reinforced with surface-treated cellulose fbres. Cem. Concr. Compos. **37**, 68–75 (2013). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2012.12.004) [org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2012.12.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2012.12.004)
- 35. Tonoli, G.H.D., Belgacem, M.N., Bras, J., Pereira-da-Silva, M.A., Rocco Lahr, F.A., Savastano, H.: Impact of bleaching pine fbre on the fbre/cement interface. J. Mater. Sci. **47**(9), 4167–4177 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-012-6271-z>
- 36. Oancea, I., Bujoreanu, C., Budescu, M., Benchea, M., Grădinaru, C.M.: Considerations on sound absorption coefficient of sustainable concrete with diferent waste replacements. J. Clean. Prod. **203**, 301–312 (2018). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclep](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.08.273) [ro.2018.08.273](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.08.273)
- 37. Lahouioui, M., Ben Arf, R., Fois, M., Ibos, L., Ghorbal, A.: Mechanical and acoustical properties of date palm fber-reinforced cementitious composites. Waste Biomass Valor. (2019). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-019-00745-3) doi.org/10.1007/s12649-019-00745-3
- 38. Sudin, R., Swamy, N.: Bamboo and wood fbre cement composites for sustainable infrastructure regeneration. J. Mater. Sci. **41**, 6917–6924 (2006).<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-006-0224-3>
- 39. Nakagaito, A.N., Yano, H.: Novel high-strength biocomposites based on microfbrillated cellulose having nanoorder-unit weblike network structure. Appl. Phys. A **80**, 155–159 (2005). [https](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-003-2225-2) [://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-003-2225-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-003-2225-2)
- 40. Yue, Y., Han, J., Han, G., Zhang, Q., Frenche, A.D., Wua, Q.: Characterization of cellulose I/II hybrid fbers isolated from energycane bagasse during the delignifcation process: morphology, crystallinity and percentage estimation. Carbohydr. Polym. **133**, 438–447 (2015).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2015.07.058>
- 41. Brazilian Association of Technical Standards - ABNT NBR 14853: determination of soluble matter in ethanol-toluene and in dichloromethane and in acetone. NBR. (2010)
- 42. Brazilian Association of Technical Standards - ABNT NBR 7989: pulp and wood—determination of acid-insoluble lignin. NBR. (2010)
- 43. Browning, B.L.: The chemistry of wood. Interscience, New York (1963)
- 44. Kennedy, F., Phillips, G.O., Willians, P.A.: Wood and cellulosics, industrial utilization, biotechnology, structure and properties. Ellis Horwood, Chichester (1987)
- 45. Brazilian Association of Technical Standards - ABNT NBR 13999: determination of residue (ash) after incineration at 525 °C. NBR. (2003)
- 46. Lora, E.E.S., et al.: Gasifcation and pyrolysis for converting biomass to electricity and biofuels Biocombustíveis. EditoraIntegrada, Rio de Janeiro (2012)
- 47. Scatolino, M.V., Fonseca, C.S., Gomes, M.S., Rompa, V.D., Martins, M.A., Tonoli, G.H.D., Mendes, L.M.: How the surface wettability and modulus of elasticity of the Amazonian paricá nanofbrils flms are afected by the chemical changes of the natural fbers. Eur. J. Wood Wood Prod. **76**, 1581–1594 (2018). [https](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00107-018-1343-7) [://doi.org/10.1007/s00107-018-1343-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00107-018-1343-7)
- 48. French, A.D.: Idealized powder diffraction patterns for cellulose polymorphs. Cellulose **21**, 885–896 (2014). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-013-0030-4) [org/10.1007/s10570-013-0030-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-013-0030-4)
- 49. da Correia, V.C., dos Santos, V., Sain, M., Santos, S.F., Leão, A.L., Savastano, H., Jr.: Grinding process for the production of nanofbrillated cellulose based on unbleached and bleached bamboo organosolv pulp. Cellulose **23**, 2971–2987 (2016). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-016-0996-9) [org/10.1007/s10570-016-0996-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-016-0996-9)
- 50. Nam, S., French, A.D., Condon, B.D., Concha, M.: Segal crystallinity index revisited by the simulation of X-ray difraction patterns of cotton cellulose Ib and cellulose. Carbohyd. Polym. **135**, 1–9 (2016).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2015.08.035>
- 51. Langford, J.I., Wilson, A.J.C.: Scherrer after sixty years: a survey and some new results in the determination of crystallite size. J. Appl. Crystallogr. **11**, 102–113 (1978). [https://doi.org/10.1107/](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889878012844) [S0021889878012844](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889878012844)
- 52. Ballesteros, J.E.M., dos Santos, V., Mármol, G., Frías, M., Fiorelli, J.: Potential of the hornifcation treatment on eucalyptus and pine fbers for fber–cement applications. Cellulose **24**, 2275–2286 (2017).<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-017-1253-6>
- 53. Teixeira, R.S., Tonoli, G.H.D., Santos, S.F., Fiorelli, J., Savastano Junior, H., Lahr, F.A.R.: Extruded cement based composites reinforced with sugar cane bagasse fbres. Key Eng. Mater. **517**, 450–457 (2017). [https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientifc.net/](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.517.450) [KEM.517.450](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.517.450)
- 54. American Society for Testing and Materials–ASTM C 948-81: Test method for dry and wet bulk density, water absorption, and

apparent porosity of thin sections of glass-fber reinforced concrete. West Conshohocken. 2 p (2001)

- 55. Rilem—Technical committee 49 TFR: testing methods for fbre reinforced cement-based composites. Mater. Struct 17:441-456. (1984)
- 56. Tonoli, G.H.D., Santos, S.F., Joaquim, A.P., Savastano, H., Jr.: Efect of accelerated carbonation on cementitious roofng tiles reinforced with lignocellulosic fibre. Constr. Build. Mater. **24**, 193–201 (2010). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildma](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2007.11.018) [t.2007.11.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2007.11.018)
- 57. Tonoli, G.H.D., Santos, S.F., Savastano, H., Jr., Delvasto, S., Mejía de Gutiérrez, R., del Lopez de Murphy, M.M.: Efects of natural weathering on microstructure and mineral composition of cementitious roofng tiles reinforced with fque fbre. Cem. Concr. Res. **33**, 225–232 (2011). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2010.10.013) [.2010.10.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2010.10.013)
- 58. Fonseca, A.S., Mori, F.A., Tonoli, G.H.D., Savastano, H., Jr., Ferrari, D.L., Miranda, I.P.A.: Properties of an Amazonian vegetable fber as a potential reinforcing material. Ind. Crop. Prod. **47**, 43–50 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2013.02.033>
- 59. Iwamoto, S., Abe, K., Yano, H.: The effect of hemicelluloses on wood pulp nanofbrillation and nanofber network characteristics. Biomacromolecules **9**, 1022–1026 (2008). [https://doi.org/10.1021/](https://doi.org/10.1021/bm701157n) [bm701157n](https://doi.org/10.1021/bm701157n)
- 60. Marques, G., Rencoret, J., Gutiérrez, A., Del Río, J.C.: Evaluation of the chemical composition of diferent non-woody plant fbres used for pulp and paper manufacturing. Open Agric. J. **4**, 93–101 (2010).<https://doi.org/10.2174/1874331501004010093>
- 61. Spence, K.L., Venditti, R.A., Rojas, O.J., Habibi, Y., Pawlak, J.J.: The effect of chemical composition on microfibrillar cellulose flms from wood pulps: water interactions and physical properties for packaging applications. Cellulose **17**, 835–848 (2010). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-010-9424-8) doi.org/10.1007/s10570-010-9424-8
- 62. Chaker, A., Alila, S., Mutjé, P., Vilar, M.R., Bouf, S.: Key role of the hemicellulose content and the cell morphology on the nanofbrillation efectiveness of cellulose pulps. Cellulose **20**, 2863–2875 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-013-0036-y>
- 63. Dias, M.C., Mendonça, M.C., Damásio, R.A.P., Zidanes, U.L., Mori, F.A., Ferreira, S.R., Tonoli, G.H.D.: Infuence of hemicellulose content of eucalyptus and *Pinus* fbers on the grinding process for obtaining cellulose micro/nanofbrils. Holzforschung **73**, 1035–1046 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1515/hf-2018-0230>
- 64. SenaNeto, A.R., Araujo, M.A.M., Souza, F.V.D., Mattoso, L.H.C., Marconcini, J.M.: Characterization and comparative evaluation of thermal, structural, chemical, mechanical and morphological properties of six pineapple leaf fber varieties for use in composites. Ind. Crop. Prod. **43**, 529–537 (2013). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.08.001) [org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.08.001)
- 65. de Paula Protásio, T., Scatolino, M.V., de Araújo, A.C.C., et al.: Assessing proximate composition, extractive concentration, and lignin quality to determine appropriate parameters for selection of superior eucalyptus frewood. Bioenerg. Res. **12**, 626–641 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12155-019-10004-x>
- 66. López-Gonzales, D., Fernandez-Lopez, M., Valverde, J.L., Sanchez-Silva, L.: Thermogravimetric mass spectrometric analysis. Bioresour. Technol. **143**, 562–574 (2013)
- 67. Tonoli, G.H.D., Holtman, K.M., Glenn, G., Fonseca, A.S., Wood, D., Williams, T., Sa, V.A., Torres, L., Klamczynski, A., Orts, W.J.: Properties of cellulose micro/nanofibers obtained from eucalyptus pulp fber treated with anaerobic digestate and high shear mixing. Cellulose **23**, 1239–1256 (2016). [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-016-0890-5) [s10570-016-0890-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-016-0890-5)
- 68. Nishiyama, Y., Langan, P., Chanzy, H.: Crystal structure and hydrogen bonding system in cellulose Iɑ from synchrotron X-ray and neutron fber difraction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **125**, 14300– 14306 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja0257319>
- 69. Correa, A.C., Teixeira, E.M., Pessan, L.A., Matoso, L.H.C.: Cellulose nanofbers from curaua fbers. Cellulose **17**, 1183–1192 (2010).<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-010-9453-3>
- 70. Ass, B.A.P., Belgacem, M.N., Frollini, E.: Mercerized linters cellulose: characterization and acetylation in N,N-dimethylacetamide/lithium chloride. Carbohyd. Polym. **63**, 19–29 (2006). [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2005.06.010) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2005.06.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2005.06.010)
- 71. Benziman, M., Haigler, C.H., Brown, R.M., Jr., White, A.R., Cooper, K.M.: Cellulose biogenesis: polymerization and crystallization are coupled processes in *Acetobacter xylinum*. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA **77**, 6678–6682 (1980). [https://doi.org/10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.77.11.6678) [pnas.77.11.6678](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.77.11.6678)
- 72. Rosa, M.F., Medeiros, E.S., Malmonge, J.A., Gregorski, K.S., Wood, D.F., Mattoso, L.H.C., Glenn, G., Orts, W.J., Imam, S.H.: Cellulose nanowhiskers from coconut husk fbers: efect of preparation conditions on their thermal and morphological behavior. Carbohyd. Polym. **81**, 83–92 (2010). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.01.059) [carbpol.2010.01.059](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.01.059)
- 73. Tischer, P.C.S.F., Sierakowski, M.R., Westfahl, H., Jr., Tischer, C.A.: Nanostructural reorganization of bacterial celulose by ultrasonic treatment. Biomacromolecules **11**, 1217–1224 (2010). [https](https://doi.org/10.1021/bm901383a) [://doi.org/10.1021/bm901383a](https://doi.org/10.1021/bm901383a)
- 74. Scatolino, M.V., Bufalino, L., Mendes, L.M., Guimarães, M., Jr., Tonoli, G.H.D.: Impact of nanofbrillation degree of eucalyptus and Amazonian hardwood sawdust on physical properties of cellulose nanofbril flms. Wood Sci. Technol. **51**, 1–21 (2017). [https](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00226-017-0927-4) [://doi.org/10.1007/s00226-017-0927-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00226-017-0927-4)
- 75. Alemdar, A., Sain, M.: Isolation and characterization of nanofbers from agricultural residues: wheat straw and soy hulls. Bioresour. Technol. **99**, 1664–1671 (2008). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biort](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.04.029) [ech.2007.04.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.04.029)
- 76. Siró, I., Plackett, D.: Microfbrillated cellulose and new nanocomposite materials: a review. Cellulose **17**, 459–494 (2010). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-010-9405-y) doi.org/10.1007/s10570-010-9405-y
- 77. Syverud, K., Chinga Carrasco, G., Toledo, J., Toledo, P.G.: A comparative study of eucalyptus and *Pinus radiata* pulp fbres as raw materials for production of cellulose nanofbrils. Carbohyd. Polym. **17**, 1033–1038 (2011). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbp](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.12.066) [ol.2010.12.066](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.12.066)
- 78. Lin, J., Yu, L., Tian, F., Zhao, N., Li, X., Bian, F., Wang, J.: Cellulose nanofbrils aerogels generated from jute fbers. Carbohyd. Polym. **109**, 35–43 (2014). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbp](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.03.045) [ol.2014.03.045](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.03.045)
- 79. Das, K., Ray, D., Banerjee, C., Bandyopadhyay, N.R., Sahoo, S., Mohanty, A.K., Misra, M.: Physicomechanical and thermal properties of jute-nanofber-reinforced biocopolyester composites. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. **49**, 2775–2782 (2010). [https://doi.org/10.1021/](https://doi.org/10.1021/ie9019984) [ie9019984](https://doi.org/10.1021/ie9019984)
- 80. Almeida, A.E.F.S., Tonoli, G.H.D., Santos, S.F., Savastano, H., Jr.: Improved durability of vegetable fber reinforced cement composite subject to accelerated carbonation at early age. Cem. Conc. Comp. **42**, 49–58 (2013). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2013.05.001) [.2013.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2013.05.001)
- 81. Pizzol, V.D., Mendes, L.M., Frezzatti, L., Savastano, H., Jr., Tonoli, G.H.D.: Effect of accelerated carbonation on the microstructure and physical properties of hybrid fber–cement composites. Miner. Eng. **59**, 101–106 (2014). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2013.11.007) [mineng.2013.11.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2013.11.007)
- 82. Tonoli, G.H.D., Rodrigues Filho, U.P., Savastano, H., Jr., Bras, J., Belgacem, M.N., Rocco Lahr, F.A.: Cellulose modifed fbres in cement based composites. Composites A **40**, 2046–2053 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2009.09.016>
- 83. Mohr, B.J., Biernacki, J.J., Kurtis, K.E.: Supplementary cementitious materials for mitigating degradation of kraft pulp fber– cement composites. Cem. Concr. Res. **37**, 1531–1543 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2007.08.001>
- 84. Hover, K.: The infuence of water on the performance of concret. Constr. Build. Mater. **25**, 3003–3013 (2011). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2011.01.010) [org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2011.01.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2011.01.010)
- 85. Peschard, A., Govin, A., Pourchez, J., Fredon, E., Bertrand, L., Maximilien, S., Guilhot, B.: Efect of polysaccharides on the hydration of cement suspension. J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. **26**, 1439– 1445 (2006).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2005.02.005>
- 86. Campilho, R.D.S.G.: Natural fber composites, 1st edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton (2015)
- 87. Vaxman, A., Narkis, M., Siegmann, A., Kenig, S.: Void formation in short-fber thermoplastic composites. Polym. Compos. **10**, 449–453 (1989).<https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.750100609>
- 88. Wang, J., Xu, H., Xu, D., Du, P., Zhou, Z., Yuan, L., Cheng, X.: Accelerated carbonation of hardened cement pastes: infuence of porosity. Const. Build. Mater. **225**, 159–169 (2019). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.07.088) [org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.07.088](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.07.088)
- 89. Liu, R., Xiao, H., Li, H., Sun, L., Pi, Z., Waqar, G.Q., Du, T., Yu, L.: Effects of nano-SiO₂ on the permeability-related properties of

cement-based composites with diferent water/cement ratios. J. Mater. Sci. **53**, 4974–4986 (2018). [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1085](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-017-1906-8) [3-017-1906-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-017-1906-8)

- 90. Teixeira RS.: Efect of curauá and polypropylene fbers on the performance of cementitious composites produced by extrusion. p 149 Thesis (PhD in Materials Science and Engineering)—University of São Paulo, São Carlos. Brazil (2015)
- 91. Almeida, A.E.F.S., Tonoli, G.H.D., Santos, S.F., Savastano, H., Jr.: Accelerated carbonation in the early ages of cellulose pulps reinforced cement composites. Ambient. Constr. **10**, 233–246 (2010).<https://doi.org/10.1590/S1678-86212010000400016>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.