ORIGINAL PAPER



# Deuterated interstellar and circumstellar molecules: D/H ratio and dominant formation processes

E E Etim<sup>1</sup>[\\*](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8304-9771) (D, N I Akpan<sup>2</sup>, R A O Adelagun<sup>1</sup>, U Lawal<sup>1</sup> and G P Khanal<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical Sciences, Federal University Wukari, Wukari, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>Department of Inorganic and Physical Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Received: 04 June 2019 / Accepted: 17 December 2019 / Published online: 2 June 2020

Abstract: There are several constraints associated with the different models used in accounting for the D/H ratio observed of singly and multiply deuterated interstellar and circumstellar molecular species. Thermodynamically, the most distinctive difference between a molecule and its deuterated analogue is the zero point energy (ZPE). Applying high level quantum chemical calculations, the ZPE for all H-containing and their corresponding D-analogues for all interstellar/circumstellar molecular species considered in this study are determined. From the difference in the ZPE between the H-containing and the corresponding D-analogue, Boltzmann factor is computed for all the systems using the excitation temperature/molecular cloud temperature for the known D-molecules and a range of temperature for others. From the results, there is a direct correlation between the Boltzmann factors and the D/H ratios. Pronounced deuterium fractionation occurs at larger values of Boltzmann factor resulting in the observed high D/H ratios. Increased deuterium fractionation at low temperature suggests that grain surface reactions are the major formation processes for deuterated molecules. This implies that at lower temperature (higher Boltzmann factor), the exchange reaction involving deuterium or deuterium fractionation is much pronounced resulting in the distribution and redistribution of deuterium among various species. The implications of these results and the possibility of detecting more D-molecules are discussed. Graphic abstract



Keywords: Abundance; Molecules; Astrochemistry; Interstellar medium; Deuterium

PACS Nos.: 95.30.-k; 98.38.-j; 98.58.Ca; 82.90.+j; 02.70.-c; 71.15.Mb; 31.25.-v

### 1. Introduction

In the early part of the 20th century, space was considered as chemically barren. The discoveries of  $NH_3$ ,  $H_2O$ ,  $H_2CO$ , CO, CH3OH, etc., in the 1960's and early 1970's in quick succession from different astronomical sources changed this perception [[1–5\]](#page-15-0).These discoveries ignited a strong interest among researchers on what molecules could be seen in space and what could be learned from these molecules. Today, over 200 different molecular species have been detected from different astronomical sources [\[6–8](#page-15-0)]. These molecules are not only opening up a new research field; molecular astrophysics/astrochemistry, but have also increased the body of knowledge and interest in other related fields. That these molecules are excellent

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author, E-mail: emmaetim@gmail.com

probes of the physical conditions in space is one of the driving forces for increased interest in astronomy. The field of astrobiology thrives on the discoveries of biologically related molecules in space.

Among these interstellar and circumstellar molecules, various isotopologues of H, C, N, O and S containing molecular species have also been detected. Deuterated molecules are the most detected isotopologues of all interstellar and circumstellar isotopologues. This is quite amazing considering the cosmic D/H ratio which is only of the order of  $10^{-5}$ . Studies of deuterated molecules from both astronomical observation and theoretical modeling serve as the most appropriate test of ion–molecule processes for the formation and destruction of many interstellar species [[9a](#page-15-0), b, [10](#page-15-0), [11](#page-15-0)]. The origin of deuterium in the interstellar space is traced to the Big Bang. It is believed to have been produced in large quantities as part of the primordial Big Bang Nucle-osynthesis [\[12](#page-15-0)].Despite the low D/H ratio ( $\approx 10^{-5}$ ), the reported D/H ratios for different deuterated molecules detected from different astronomical sources are far higher than the cosmic or elemental D/H ratio. D/H ratio ranging from  $0.04$  to  $0.18$  is reported for CH<sub>3</sub>CCD;  $0.054$  for CH<sub>2</sub>DCCH; 0.001–0.03 for D<sub>2</sub>CO;  $\approx 0.003$  for NH<sub>2</sub>D;  $8 * 10^{-4}$  for ND<sub>3</sub>; 0.0007–1.0 for DCN;  $> 0.003$ –0.05 for HDO [\[9](#page-15-0)a, [10,](#page-15-0) [11,](#page-15-0) [13–19](#page-15-0), [20](#page-15-0)].These high D/H ratios are reported for all known deuterated molecules notwithstanding the low cosmic D/H ratio. The observed D/H ratios for different deuterated molecules set a high possibility for many other deuterated isotopologues of interstellar and circumstellar molecules to be detectable.

Accounting for the high D/H ratios has been a major concern of many studies for decades including models and space observatory missions; for instance the Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer (FUSE) [[12\]](#page-15-0) among others. While the models are still trying to account for the high ratios reported for singly deuterated molecules on the bases of ion– molecule chemistry, deuterium fractionation processes, cold grain surface processes and some gas-phase processes [\[9](#page-15-0)a, [15,](#page-15-0) [21](#page-15-0)] and references therein]. D/H ratios for multiple deuterated species were predicted by various models to be very low such that their astronomical detection was not in view. According to Turner  $[11]$  $[11]$  "An overall prediction of ion–molecule chemistry is that while many species may be singly deuterated in detectable quantities, none will be doubly or multiply deuterated to similar degree''. The detection of D<sub>2</sub>CO with D<sub>2</sub>CO/H<sub>2</sub>CO ratio of  $\approx 0.003$  led to the conclusion that gas-phase chemistry cannot account for the observed high  $D_2CO/H_2CO$  ratio  $[11, 21]$  $[11, 21]$  $[11, 21]$  $[11, 21]$ .

HD is the main reservoir of deuterium in the interstellar medium and it is also one of the earliest detected deuterated molecules [[22\]](#page-15-0). Deuterium fractionation is a temperature dependent process [[23–](#page-15-0)[26\]](#page-16-0); the main reaction for this process is

 $H_3^+ + HD \rightleftharpoons H_2D^+ + H_2$  (1)

The forward reaction is exothermic at the low temperature of the molecular clouds, thereby allowing the redistribution of deuterium resulting in the enhanced D/H ratio while at high temperature, the reverse reaction becomes favourable, thus, destroying the  $H_2D^+$  and suppressing the deuterium enhancement; the reverse reaction is said to require an additional energy of about 232 K which is not easily achievable considering the conditions in the molecular clouds, thus the forward reaction keeps thriving [[17,](#page-15-0) [27–29\]](#page-16-0). In accordance with Le Châtelier's principle, as the  $H_2D^+$  ion is being depleted via successive reactions with neutral molecules; the forward reaction is further enhanced. The depletion of  $H_2D^+$  results in the transfer and subsequent incorporation of deuterium in the newly species. The dependence on temperature by fractionation process has been reported for a number of systems. In the  $N_2D^+/N_2H^+$  system, major fractionation occurs before 15 K (with maximum at 13 K) while at above 15 K, deuterium fractionation drops drastically as the temperature approaches 30 K [\[17](#page-15-0), [28–31](#page-16-0)].

Thermodynamically, the most conspicuous difference between a molecule and its deuterated analogue is in the zero point energy (ZPE) with the deuterated molecule having a lower ZPE. From the ZPE difference between a molecule and its deuterated analogue; the Boltzmann factor  $(E/kT)$  for a particular system (say  $DX/HX$ ) can be determined using the determined using the temperature of the molecular cloud/rotational temperature from which DX was observed. The calculated Boltzmann factor gives insight about the nature or level of fractionation leading to the formation of DX. Boltzmann factor of 1 (or  $\approx$  1) implies no major fractionation, thus, the cosmic ratio of D/H ( $\approx 10^{-5}$ ) will be expected for such system while for larger values of Boltzmann factor, notable and major fractionation will occur which will result in very high D/H ratio above the cosmic ratio. In order to address the several constraints associated with different models used in accounting for the D/H ratio observed in different deuterated interstellar and circumstellar molecular species, the present work aims at determining the Boltzmann factor for all the H-containing interstellar/circumstellar molecules considered in this study using the ZPE of the H-and their corresponding D-analogues computed from high level quantum chemical simulations. Apart from accounting for the observed D/H ratios of the known deuterated molecules, the results obtained are also used in setting the pace of what should be expected regarding the D/H ratios for other systems depending on the prevailing conditions that will lead to their formation.

The dominant formation processes for deuterated molecules and the possibility of more deuterated molecules are also discussed within the limit of the results obtained. The methodology employed in this work is briefly discussed in the next section while the results obtained based on the discussed methodology are presented and discussed in Sect. 3.

#### 2. Methodology

Advances in computational and theoretical methods have made it possible to study systems, reactions and predict parameters which would have been either impossible or very difficult to study experimentally. For the present work, the GAUSSIAN 09 suit of programs [[32\]](#page-16-0) is employed for all quantum chemical simulations reported here. Achieving high accurate results at less computational cost is a major consideration for every computational study. In this regard, the compound models are the best candidates. Among these compound models, the G4 method has proven to be very reliable in predicting thermodynamic parameters to a very high level of accuracy [\[33](#page-16-0), [34](#page-16-0)].

The high accuracy of the G4 method coupled with our previous experience on the use of this method made it the right choice for the present work [[35–40\]](#page-16-0). A brief summary of the different methods used at various steps of calculations in the G4 compound method is shown in Table [3](#page-7-0) in the appendix. In comparison with other compound methods like G4MP2, W1U and W2U, the table also shows the various steps of calculations in these methods [[33,](#page-16-0) [34,](#page-16-0) [41](#page-16-0)].

The ZPE is obtained from the optimized structures of all the systems under consideration, all the structures are found to be stable with no imaginary frequency as shown from the frequency calculations. For all the known deuterated molecules, the reported temperature or rotational temperature of the molecular cloud from which they are detected are used in computing the Boltzmann factor while for the D/H systems whose D-analogues are not yet detected; a range of temperature is used in computing the Boltzmann factor. Delta  $T(\Delta T)$  in all the tables in this study is obtained as the difference in the zero point energy (ZPE) of a molecule and its D-analogue expressed in terms of temperature.

#### 3. Results and discussion

There are over 200 known interstellar and circumstellar molecular species, not less than 132 of these species contain at least an atom of H. This is not surprising with atoms of H making up about 89% of the gas in the interstellar

medium (ISM) and molecular hydrogen  $(H<sub>2</sub>)$  being the most abundant molecules species in ISM  $[1-5]$ .

Note less than 132 of the H-containing and their corresponding deuterated analogues are considered in this study. Over 20 deuterated molecules have been detected from different astronomical sources [\[9](#page-15-0)a, b, [10,](#page-15-0) [11\]](#page-15-0). 22 of these molecules with reported D/H ratio and the excitation temperature in the astronomical source where they are detected are examined. In Table [1](#page-3-0), the ZPE, the excitation temperature (indicated as source temp), Boltzmann factor,  $E/kT$  (obtained by dividing the value of  $\Delta T$  in column 3 by the source temp. in column 4), D/H ratio and reference to the reported values are presented. These molecules are arranged in ascending order of the number of atoms and are discussed in the same manner together with the ones presented in subsequent tables. The tables containing the Boltzmann factor for D-analogues of H-containing diatomic to trideca-atomic species and the corresponding ZPE are presented in the appendix as Tables [4](#page-8-0), [5,](#page-9-0) [6](#page-10-0), [7,](#page-11-0) [8,](#page-12-0) [9](#page-13-0), [10,](#page-13-0) [11](#page-14-0), [12,](#page-14-0) [13,](#page-14-0) [14](#page-14-0) and [15,](#page-15-0) respectively.

For H-containing interstellar and circumstellar species whose deuterated analogues are not known, four sets of temperature; 10, 100, 500 and 1000 K have been adopted in determining the Boltzmann factor for such systems. This temperature range spans from what could be expected in the cold molecular clouds ( $\approx$  10 K) as reported for most species in Table [1](#page-3-0) to those in the very hot cores. As a justification of the choice of this temperature range, Table [2](#page-7-0) in the appendix summarizes the different component of the interstellar medium. Table [4](#page-8-0) in the appendix shows the diatomic H-containing molecules and their corresponding D-analogues; their ZPE and the Boltzmann factor for the four sets of temperature considered.

There are at least 14 H-containing known interstellar/circumstellar species of which at least 5 ( $CH<sup>+</sup>$ ,  $OH<sup>+</sup>$ ,  $SH^+$ ,  $HCl^+$ ,  $ArH^+$ ) are ions. They contain some of the rare species among interstellar molecules; the only known noble gas, Ar- and Li-containing species are in this group. HD is a known deuterated species among the diatomic species [\[22](#page-15-0)]. This is not surprising considering the abundance of  $H_2$  with respect to other diatomic species. The Boltzmann factor for the  $HD/H<sub>2</sub>$  system is almost unity (1.010–0.903) using the reported temperature at the source from which HD was observed while the D/H ratio is  $10^{-6}$ , a value that is close to the cosmic D/H ratio ( $\approx 10^{-5}$ ). Thus, at high temperature no major deuterium fractionation occurs, hence the D/H ratio would likely reflect the cosmic or elemental D/H ratio as observed here. From Table [4](#page-8-0) in the appendix, if the deuterium fractionation processes that will lead to the formation of the D-analogues of these diatomics are to occur as part of the low temperature grain surface processes, pronounced deuterium fractionation that

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 1 Known D-molecules, their excitation temperature, Boltzmann factor (E/kT) and D/H ratio

Molecule	ZPE (kcal/mol)	$*\Delta T$ (K)	Source temp. (k)	$E/kT$	D/H ratio	References
H <sub>2</sub>	6.4	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$				
${\rm HD}$	5.5	430.1	423-473	$1.0 - 0.9$	$10^{-6}$	$21\,$
$H_2O$	13.4					
HDO	11.6	906.3	100	9.1	$0.014 - 0.058$	36
$D_2O$	9.8	1838.2	$100\,$	18.3	$1.7 * 10^{-3}$ (D <sub>2</sub> O/HDO)	37
					$5 * 10^{-5}$ (D <sub>2</sub> O/H <sub>2</sub> O)	
$N_2H^+$	10.4	$\qquad \qquad -$				
$N_2D^+$	8.6	874.4	$< 20\,$	< 43.7	$0.3 - 0.8$	10
			$>20\,$	> 43.7	$< 0.04$	
$H_3^+$	12.8					
$H_2D^+$	11.7	582.9	$18\,$	32.4	$< 0.003$	$47\,$
$\mathrm{HCO}^{+}$	10.3	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$				
$DCO^+$	8.6	857.7	$< 20\,$	< 42.9	$0.04 - 3.4$	10
			$>20\,$	> 42.9	$< 0.01 - 0.6$	
$C_2H$	$8.0\,$	$\qquad \qquad -$				
$C_2D$	6.7	671.3	20	33.6	0.01	48
$HCN$	10.4	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$				
$DCN$	8.6	898.1	$< 20\,$	$<44.9\,$	$7 * 10^{-4} - 0.018$	10
			$>20\,$	> 44.9	$\approx\,1.0$	
${\rm HNC}$	9.3	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$				
$\operatorname{DNC}$	7.7	807.4	$< 20\,$	< 40.4	$0.7 - 1.3$	10
			$>20\,$	> 40.4	$0.006 - 0.24$	
$H_2S$	9.5	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$				
<b>HDS</b>	8.1	661.6	$20\,$	33.1	0.1	48
$D_2S$	6.8	1343.0	$12\,$	$(56.8)$ 111.9	$0.011$ (D <sub>2</sub> S/HDS)	43
$H_2CS$	15.5	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$				
<b>HDCS</b>	13.7	902.2	$\approx~10$	$90.2\,$	0.300	38
$D_2CS$	11.9	1819.2	$\approx~10$	181.9	$0.111$ (D <sub>2</sub> CS/H <sub>2</sub> CS)	$38\,$
					$0.333$ (D <sub>2</sub> CS/HDCS)	
NH <sub>3</sub>	21.6	$\qquad \qquad -$				
$\mathrm{NH}_2\mathrm{D}$	19.7	954.9	$10.8 - 14.6$	65.4-88.4	$0.04 - 0.33$	44
NHD <sub>2</sub>	17.7	1931.6	$24\,$	80.4	0.03	45
ND <sub>3</sub>	15.7	2929.8	$5 - 10$	293.0-586.0	$8 * 10^{-4}$	15
$1-C3H$	11.5					
$1-C3D$	9.9	812.8	13.9	58.5	0.048	46
$H_2CS$	15.5	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$				
<b>HDCS</b>	13.7	902.2	$18\,$	50.1	$0.02 - 0.06$	18,19
			50	18.0	$0.01 - 0.03$	
$D_2CS$	11.9	1819.2	18	101.1	$0.01 - 0.03$	18,19
			50	36.4	$0.001 - 0.01$	
$c-C3H2$	18.5	$\qquad \qquad -$				
$c$ - $C_3HD$	16.7	918.9	12.3	74.7	0.071	46
$C_4H$	15.2	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$				
$\rm{C_4D}$	13.5	869.3	$10\,$	86.9	0.0043	42
HC <sub>3</sub> N	16.6					
$DC_3N$	14.9	889.2	10	89.9	0.05	40
CH <sub>3</sub> OH	32.1	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$				
CH <sub>2</sub> DOH	30.1	1011.4	12.3	82.2	0.030	46

Table 1 continued



 $NH<sub>3</sub>D<sup>+</sup>$  has been detected with a column density of 1.1  $\pm$  0.2  $*$  10<sup>12</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> [\[14\]](#page-15-0). The hydrogenated analogue, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> is not detected (it's a spherical top with no permanent dipole moment), hence the D/H ratio cannot be determined

\*The difference in the ZPE of a molecule and its D-analogue expressed in terms of temperature

will result in D/H ratio higher than the cosmic or elemental D/H ratio is the most probable event.

But at temperature close to the range where HD is observed, the Boltzmann factor will tend towards unity signifying no pronounced deuterium fractionation; therefore, D/H ratio close to the cosmic D/H ratio would be expected. That only HD is the only observed D-analogues of the diatomic species could be due to interstellar abundances of these species. Since most of them are radicals/ ions, they are not very stable; thus, their D-analogues may not be very abundant.

The triatomic species constitute the highest number of known deuterated molecules. Of the 20 H-containing triatomic species presented in Table [5](#page-9-0) in the appendix, 8 (indicated with bold typeface in Table [5](#page-9-0)) have their corresponding D-analogues as known interstellar/circumstellar species [[10,](#page-15-0) [42,](#page-16-0) [43,](#page-16-0) [44,](#page-16-0) [45,](#page-16-0) [46\]](#page-16-0). From Table [1](#page-3-0), the Boltzmann factor for these detected deuterated species ranges from 9 to 97.054 with reported excitation or molecular cloud temperature in the range of 5–100 K.

Under these conditions, major deuterium fractionation which will culminate in D/H ratio far higher than the cosmic D/H ratio should be expected and this is evident from the results. For the singly deuterated species, the D/H ratio ranges from  $7 * 10^{-4}$  to  $\approx 1$  and  $5 * 10^{-5}$  to 0.011 for the doubly deuterated species. These trends are anticipated for other triatomic species if they are observed under similar conditions. From Table [5](#page-9-0), if the fractionation processes leading to the formation of these species are to occur at temperature above 500 K where the Boltzmann factor will be approaching unity, then D/H ratio in the range of the cosmic D/H ratio will be expected. The observed D/H ratios signal grain surface reactions as the dominant processes leading to the formation of these species. The trends of the D/H ratios noted here are anticipated for other triatomic species if they are formed and observed under similar conditions.

There are about 20 H-containing tetra-atomic interstellar/circumstellar molecular species. The ZPE of these species and their corresponding D-analogues together with the Boltzmann factor for all the systems considered here are depicted in Table [6](#page-10-0) in the appendix. Of these 20 species, 4 ( $H_2CS$ ,  $H_2CO$ ,  $NH_3$  and 1- $HC_3$ ) have their deuterated analogues as known molecular species in space [\[15](#page-15-0), [18,](#page-15-0) [19,](#page-15-0) [47,](#page-16-0) [48–50\]](#page-16-0). Ammonia is one of the very few molecules with triply deuterated analogues. The periodic trend between O and S is noted here with the O-containing molecules  $(H_2CO)$  having it S-analogue  $(H_2CS)$  also deuterated to the same level. This is also the case of  $H_2O$ and  $H_2S$  in the previous part (Tables [1,](#page-3-0) [5](#page-9-0)). The reported source temperature (excitation/molecular cloud temperature) where these triatomic species are observed ranges from 5 to 50 K (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). This range is far below the temperature ( $*\Delta T$  in Table [6](#page-10-0) in the appendix) at which the Boltzmann factor for these species should be unity. The high values of the Boltzmann factor results in high D/H ratios. The D/H ratio increase with Boltzmann factor, as the

Boltzmann factor approaches unity, the D/H ratio approaches the cosmic D/H ratio (see HD, Table [1](#page-3-0)).

Against the predictions of various models which did not envisage the detectability of multiply deuterated species due to the low abundances predicted for them, these species have been detected with very high D/H ratios  $(0.001-0.333$  for doubly deuterated species and  $8 * 10^{-4}$ for triply deuterated ammonia). From the Boltzmann factor and the conditions surrounding the observations of these molecules, these ratios are not surprising. At the reported source temperature for these species, there is no doubt that there is very high level of deuterium fractionation, thus resulting in the high D/H ratios of these species. This temperature range further supports the grain surface processes as the dominant mechanisms for the formation of deuterated molecules. The observed D/H ratio can easily be foreseen for other D-analogues tetra-atomic species if the same conditions here dominate their formation processes.

Only a handful of all the interstellar and circumstellar molecules are cyclic. Whether this will be the trend among the isotopologues remains to be seen. However, that of the three deuterated species with 5 atoms, one is cyclic is a good omen in this direction. The number of H-containing molecules with 5 atoms (19) is almost as those with 4 and 3 atoms (20 each). These molecules and their corresponding D-analogues are presented in Table [7](#page-11-0) in the appendix with the predicted parameters for the respective systems.  $DC<sub>4</sub>$ ,  $c$ -C<sub>3</sub>HD and DC<sub>3</sub>N are the known D-molecules of these series [\[51](#page-16-0), [52](#page-16-0), [50\]](#page-16-0). The D/H ratio for these molecules ranges from 0.0045 to 0.071 far above the cosmic D/H ratio which is consistent with the Boltzmann factors for these molecules that are also far from unity.

From Table [7,](#page-11-0) achieving a Boltzmann factor of unity which will suppress deuterium fractionation and lead to the cosmic D/H ratio for these molecules will mean a process or processes occurring at very high temperature ( $*\Delta T$ ). However, it is clear from the present results, that the processes that led to the formation of the observed penta-atomic species are largely cold temperature processes occurring on the surface of the interstellar dust grains surfaces.

Molecules with 6 atoms and above are regarded as complex in interstellar chemistry parlance, as the complexity increases, the number of known molecules decreases as compared to the non-complex molecules (those with 2–5 atoms). CH<sub>3</sub>OH, CH<sub>3</sub>CN and H<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub> are the haxe-atomic molecules with known D-analogues out of the 16 H-containing hexa-atomic molecules displayed in Table [8](#page-12-0) in the appendix.  $CH<sub>3</sub>OH$  is another molecule after  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  with triply deuterated analogue [[53,](#page-16-0) [50,](#page-16-0) [54](#page-16-0)] and references therein]. The D/H ratio reported for these molecules ranges from 0.005 to 0.06 with least value corresponding to the least Boltzmann factor (4.061) among all the systems (Table [1](#page-3-0)). The source temperature and the Boltzmann factor for these molecules

would not have suggested anything less than high D/H ratio above the cosmic D/H ratio because under these conditions, exchange reactions among D-containing molecules are highly exothermic, thus the deuterium get distributed and redistributed among different species leading to the observed high D/H ratio.

From Table [8](#page-12-0) in the appendix, this range of ratio is expected for other complex (with 6 atoms discussed here) species since most of the main species (H-analogues) are grain surface products except where other formation processes (very high temperature) prevail.

All the known interstellar and circumstellar molecules with seven atoms contain at least an atom of H. Of the 9 species in this series, two of their deuterated analogues have been detected in space. For  $HC_5N$ , it is the only possible deuterated analogue of it  $(DC_5N)$  that has been detected while for CH<sub>3</sub>CCH, two of it isotopomers  $(CH_3CCD$  and  $CH_2DCCH)$  have been detected [\[9](#page-15-0)a, [53\]](#page-16-0). As would be expected, the position of substitution of the D-atom has an effect on the entire system as it is observed in the two isotopomers.

Table [9](#page-13-0) in the appendix shows the H-containing heptaatomic species, their D-analogues, ZPE and the Boltzmann factor. The D/H ratio for  $DC_5N$  ranges from 0.006 to 0.016 at the reported source temperature of 10 k. The observation of the two isotopomers under the same conditions allows one to test the dependence of the D/H ratio on the Boltzmann factor for similar systems. As in previous cases, D/H ratio increases with increasing value of Boltzmann factor.

The source temperature and the Boltzmann factor for these systems rightly support the high D/H ratio reported for these systems, since under these conditions, major deuterium fractionation is expected to occur which is believed to culminate in D/H ratio higher than the cosmic D/H ratio. By all considerations, the dominance of grains surface reactions as the prevailing formation processes for these molecules cannot be ruled out.

There are about 12 interstellar/circumstellar molecules with 8 atoms (Table [10](#page-13-0) in the appendix). All of these molecules contain at least an atom of H. HCOOCH<sub>2</sub>D is the only D-analogue of these species with 8 atoms [\[55](#page-16-0)]. This is probably the largest deuterated molecule detected till date. The detection of  $HCOOCH<sub>2</sub>D$  can largely be traced to the high abundance of it main isotopologue; methyl formate. The high abundance of methyl formate in many astronomical sources has earned it the name ''interstellar weed''. It is more abundant than its isomers; acetic acid and glycolaldehyde.

Its high abundance in comparison to its isomers has been traced to interstellar hydrogen bonding where its isomers are found to be highly bonded to the surface of the interstellar dust grains thereby reducing their abundance while methyl formate is the least affected isomer of the  $C_2H_4O_2$  isomeric group with respect to interstellar hydrogen bonding  $[40]$  $[40]$ . The D/H ratio reported for HCOOCH<sub>2</sub>D  $(0.04 \pm 0.02)$  is far above the cosmic D/H ratio, the Boltzmann factor and other conditions surrounding its detection support this ratio.

In Tables  $11$ ,  $12$ ,  $13$ ,  $14$ , and  $15$  in the appendix, we present H-containing interstellar and circumstellar molecules comprising of 9–13 atoms. Their deuterated analogues, ZPE and Boltzmann factor are also presented. From available literature, there is currently no known deuterated interstellar or circumstellar species in this series. The discussion in this section will only be limited to what could be expected based on what is known.

Permanent dipole moment plays an important role in the astronomical detection of molecular species. The intensities of rotational transitions are known to scale with the square of the dipole moment, the higher the dipole moment, the higher the intensity of the lines. Interstellar chemistry processes are also affected by thermodynamic effects [[35–40\]](#page-16-0). As it is discussed in the energy, stability and abundance (ESA) relationship, the astronomical detection of a molecule is also a function of its abundance. The higher the interstellar abundance of a species the higher its chances of being detected as compared to similar specie with low abundance. As seen in all the cases of the known D-molecules, the main isotopologue should be highly abundant for the detection of its D-analogue to be anticipated. Despite the abundance of these species, the availability of accurate laboratory measurement of the rotational transitions/rest frequencies of these D-isotopologues is a crucial issue. As in most cases, even when there is overwhelming evidence of the present of the D-analogue in a detectable form, its astronomical search will still be dependent on the availability of accurate laboratory measurements.

Table [16](#page-15-0) shows specific examples of Boltzmann factor and D/H ratio for different systems. Figure 1 depicts the dependence of D/H ratio on the Boltzmann factor. It is obvious from the figure that the D/H ratio increases as the Boltzmann factor increases. This is traceable to the low temperature processes which dominate the formation of these species in the interstellar medium under which there is a very high enhancements of deuterium fractionation, thus, resulting in very high D/H ratio.

Knowing the right candidates for astronomical searches is vital in reducing the number of unsuccessful astronomical searches considering the time, energy and resources involved in these projects. With respect to the deuterated molecules examined in this study, with the availability of accurate spectroscopic parameters required for their astronomical searches coupled with a good choice of the molecular cloud(s) for the searches, some of the deuterated



molecular that are yet to be astronomically observed could serve as good candidates for astronomical searches with the possibility of successful observation as highlighted in the results of this study.

#### 4. Conclusion

A total of 132 H-containing interstellar and circumstellar molecules and their corresponding deuterated analogues with atoms ranging from 2 to 13 have been examined with the aim of investigating the D/H ratios and the dominant formation processes for the known deuterated molecules and what could be expected for others. The Boltzmann factor computed for each of these systems using the ZPE obtained from high level quantum chemical simulations shows a direct correlation with the D/H ratio reported for various known deuterated molecules.

As the Boltzmann factor approaches unity, the D/H ratio also approaches the cosmic D/H ratio while at higher Boltzmann factor, the D/H ratio increases to various orders of magnitude above the cosmic D/H ratio. This implies that at lower temperature (higher Boltzmann factor), the exchange reaction involving deuterium or deuterium fractionation is much pronounced leading to the distribution and redistribution of deuterium among various species, thus resulting in the high D/H ratio while at very high temperature (Boltzmann factor approaching unity), there will be no major fraction, thus the D/H ratio will probably reflects the cosmic D/H ratio as observed in the case of HD.

Acknowledgements EEE acknowledges a research fellowship from the Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore.





## <span id="page-7-0"></span>Appendix



Component	Number density $\rm (cm^{-3})$	Temperature (K)	Major constituents	Main detection technique
Molecular clouds (dark nebulae)	Up to $10^6$	$10 - 20$	H <sub>2</sub> , many molecules, ice-coated dust grains.	Radio and infrared emissions and absorptions
Intergalactic coronal gas	$\approx 10^{-3}$	$10^6 - 10^7$	$H^+$ , $C^{3+}$ , $N^{4+}$ , $O^{+5}$	UV absorption, X-ray emission
Neutral and ionized medium	$0.2 - 0.5$	$6 - 10 \times 10^3$	$H. H+. He. He+$	21 cm emission of H, H $\alpha$ emission
Ionized diffuse clouds (Emission) nebulae, HII regions)	$10^2 - 10^4$	$8 \times 10^3$	$H^+$ , He <sup>+</sup> , O <sup>+</sup> , C <sup>+</sup> , N <sup>+</sup> , CH <sup>+</sup> , H <sub>3</sub> <sup>+</sup> , H $\alpha$ emission $HCO+$	
Neutral diffuse clouds (reflection nebulae, HI regions)	$20 - 50$	$50 - 100$	H, He, $H_2$ , $H_3^+$ , $C^+$ , CO, CN, OH, 21 cm emission of H atoms NH, C <sub>2</sub> , dust	

Table 3 Different methods for different calculations in compound methods



<span id="page-8-0"></span>

<b>Table 4</b> Boltzmann factor $(E/kT)$ for D-analogues of H-containing diatomic species and the corresponding ZPE											
Molecule	ZPE (kcal/mol)	$*\Delta T$ (K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 10$ K)	<i>E/kT</i> (at $T = 100 \text{ k}$ )	<i>E/kT</i> (at $T = 500$ k)	<i>E/kT</i> (at $T = 1000$ K)					
H <sub>2</sub>	6.4										
HD	5.5	430.1	43.0	4.3	0.9	0.4					
HC <sub>1</sub>	4.2				-						
<b>DCI</b>	3.0	600.3	60.0	6.0	1.2	0.6					
NH	4.7										
ND	3.4	637.6	63.8	6.4	1.3	0.6					
<b>OH</b>	5.3										
$\cap$	$2^{\circ}$	722A	72.2	7 <sub>2</sub>	$1 \Lambda$	0 <sub>7</sub>					

Table 4 Boltzmann factor  $(E/kT)$  for



<span id="page-9-0"></span>Table 5 Boltzmann factor (E/kT) for D-analogues of H-containing triatomic species and the corresponding ZPE

Molecule	ZPE (kcal/mol)	$*\Delta T$ (K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 10$ K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 100$ K)	<i>E/kT</i> (at $T = 500$ K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 1000$ K)
$H_2O$	13.4	-	Ξ.	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\equiv$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
HDO	11.6	906.3	90.6	9.1	$1.8\,$	$0.9\,$
$D_2O$	$9.8\,$	1838.2	183.8	18.4	3.7	$1.8\,$
$\rm{H_2O}^+$	11.7	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
$HDO+$	$10.1\,$	786.6	78.7	7.9	1.6	$\rm 0.8$
$\mathbf{H}_2\mathbf{S}$	9.5	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\blacksquare$
<b>HDS</b>	8.1	661.6	66.2	6.6	1.3	$0.7\,$
$\mathbf{D}_2\mathbf{S}$	$\boldsymbol{6.8}$	1343.0	134.3	13.4	$2.7\,$	1.3
<b>HCN</b>	10.4	-	-	-	-	Ξ.
<b>DCN</b>	8.6	898.1	89.8	9.0	$1.8\,$	0.9
<b>HNC</b>	9.3	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	Ξ.	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	-
<b>DNC</b>	$7.7\,$	807.4	80.7	8.1	1.6	0.8
NH <sub>2</sub>	11.9	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
$\operatorname{NDH}$	10.3	797.0	79.7	$8.0\,$	1.6	$0.8\,$
CH <sub>2</sub>	11.6	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
CHD	$10.1\,$	759.8	76.0	8.0	1.5	$0.8\,$
HCO	8.9	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
$DCO$	7.3	798.3	79.8	8.0	1.6	0.8
$HCO+$	10.3	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	Ξ.
$DCO+$	8.6	857.7	85.8	8.6	$1.7\,$	0.9
$\mathrm{HOC}^+$	8.4	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
$\mathrm{DOC}^+$	6.9	753.1	75.3	$7.5\,$	$1.5$	$0.7\,$
$HCS^+$	9.2	$\overline{a}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
$DCS^+$	7.4	902.5	90.2	9.0	$1.8\,$	0.9
$H_3^+$	12.8		-		$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
$H_2D^+$	11.7	582.9	58.3	$5.8\,$	$1.2\,$	$0.6\,$
$H_2Cl$	4.6	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
${\rm HDCl}$	3.3	634.4	63.4	6.3	1.3	0.6
$H_2Cl^+$	$7.2\,$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\equiv$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\equiv$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
$HDC1$ <sup>+</sup>	$6.2\,$	515.7	51.6	5.2	$1.0\,$	$0.5\,$
$\rm AlOH$	6.9		$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	
$\rm AIOD$	5.4	786.6	78.7	7.9	1.6	0.8
HO <sub>2</sub>	7.6		$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
DO <sub>2</sub>	6.0	791.7	79.2	7.9	1.6	$\rm 0.8$
$C_2H$	8.0	$-$	$-$	$-$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
$C_2D$	$6.7\,$	671.3	67.1	6.7	1.3	0.7
<b>HNO</b>	9.0	$\equiv$	$-$	$ \,$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
<b>DNO</b>	7.3	874.7	87.5	8.7	1.7	0.9
HCP	8.9	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$ \,$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
$DCP$	7.1	898.1	89.8	9.0	1.8	0.9
$N_2H^+$	$10.4\,$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$-$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\blacksquare$
$N_2D^+$	8.6	874.4	87.4	8.7	$1.7\,$	0.9

<span id="page-10-0"></span>



Bold indicates molecules that have their corresponding D-analogues as known interstellar/circumstellar species

<span id="page-11-0"></span>Table 7 Boltzmann factor (E/kT) for D-analogues of H-containing penta-atomic species and the corresponding ZPE

Molecule	ZPE (kcal/mol)	$*\Delta T$ (K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 10$ K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 100$ K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 500$ K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 1000$ K)
CH <sub>4</sub>	28.1	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
CH <sub>3</sub> D	26.3	920.5	92.0	9.2	1.8	0.9
SiH <sub>4</sub>	19.5	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$
SiH <sub>3</sub> D	18.2	671.7	67.2	6.7	$1.3\,$	$0.7\,$
CH <sub>2</sub> NH	25.0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
<b>CHDNH</b>	23.1	960.9	96.1	9.6	1.9	1.0
$\mathrm{NH_{2}CN}$	21.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
<b>NHDCN</b>	19.4	1007.6	100.8	10.1	$2.0\,$	1.0
$\mathrm{CH_{2}CO}$	19.9	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
CHDCO	18.2	870.9	87.1	$8.7\,$	$1.7\,$	$0.9\,$
<b>HCOOH</b>	21.3	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
DCOOH	19.1	1070.8	107.1	10.7	2.1	1.1
$\mathrm{CH_4}^-$	15.1	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	÷,	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
$CH3D-$	13.6	749.0	74.9	$7.5\,$	1.5	$0.7\,$
HC(O)CN	16.6	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$
DC(O)CN	14.7	965.7	96.6	9.7	1.9	1.0
${\rm HNCNH}$	18.8	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\qquad \qquad -$
<b>DHCNH</b>	17.2	820.1	82.0	$8.2\,$	1.6	$0.8\,$
CH <sub>3</sub> O	22.8	$\qquad \qquad -$	÷,	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
CH <sub>2</sub> DO	20.1	1340.8	134.1	13.4	2.7	1.3
$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	31.0		$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{a}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
$NH3D+$	28.9	1025.3	102.5	10.2	$2.0\,$	1.0
HC <sub>3</sub> N	$16.6$		$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	
$DC_3N$	14.9	889.2	88.9	8.9	1.8	0.9
HC <sub>2</sub> NC	16.3		-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	
DC <sub>2</sub> NC	14.6	870.9	87.1	8.7	1.7	0.9
HNC <sub>3</sub>	15.8	$\equiv$	$\equiv$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\equiv$
$DNC_3$	$14.1\,$	834.6	83.5	8.3	1.7	0.8
CH <sub>2</sub> CN	19.5	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
<b>CHDCN</b>	17.7	887.3	88.7	8.9	$1.8\,$	0.9
$1-C_3H_2$	18.5	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\qquad \qquad -$
$1-C3HD$	16.8	839.0	83.9	8.4	1.7	0.8
$c-C3H2$	18.5	-	-	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	
$c-C3HD$	16.7	918.9	91.9	9.2	$1.8\,$	0.9
$C_4H$	15.2		$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	-
$C_4D$	13.5	869.3	86.9	8.7	$1.7\,$	$0.9\,$
$H_2COH^+$	25.4					
$HDCOH+$	23.5	974.8	97.5	9.7	1.9	1.0

Bold indicates molecules that have their corresponding D-analogues as known interstellar/circumstellar species

<b>Table 6</b> DOITZINAIIII Tactor (E/KT) for D-analogues of H-containing nexa-atomic species and the corresponding EPE										
Molecule	ZPE (kcal/mol)	$*\Delta T$ (K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 10$ K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 100$ K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 500$ K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 1000$ K)				
CH <sub>3</sub> OH	32.1	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\qquad \qquad =$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$				
CH <sub>2</sub> DOH	30.1	1011.4	101.1	10.1	2.0	1.0				
CHD <sub>2</sub> OH	28.1	2015.9	201.6	20.2	4.0	2.0				
CD <sub>3</sub> OH	26.1	3030.9	303.1	30.3	6.1	3.0				
$CH_3SH$	28.8	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$				
CH <sub>2</sub> DSH	26.8	988.4	98.8	9.9	2.0	1.0				
$C_2H_4$	32.0	-	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$				
$C_2H_3D$	30.1	960.9	96.1	9.6	1.9	1.0				
$H_2C_4$	22.4		$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	Ξ.	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	Ξ.				
HDC <sub>4</sub>	20.7	876.9	87.7	8.8	1.7	0.9				
HNCHCN	24.9	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$				
DNCHCN	22.8	1058.2	105.8	10.6	2.1	1.1				
SiH <sub>3</sub> CN	20.7		$\qquad \qquad -$	-	$\qquad \qquad -$	-				
SiH <sub>2</sub> DCN	19.3	717.1	71.7	7.2	1.4	0.7				
CH <sub>3</sub> CN	28.4			-		Ξ.				
CH <sub>2</sub> DCN	26.5	981.4	98.1	9.8	2.0	1.0				
CH <sub>3</sub> NC	28.3	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$				
CH <sub>2</sub> DNC	26.3	1001.0	100.1	10.0	2.0	1.0				
$H_2$ CCNH	27.5		$\qquad \qquad -$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$-$				
HDCCNH	25.7	911.3	91.1	9.1	1.8	0.9				
$HC_4N$	18.3	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$				
$DC_4N$	16.6	862.1	86.2	8.6	1.7	0.9				
$c-H2C_3O$	23.8	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$				
$c-HDC3O$	21.9	922.4	92.2	9.2	1.8	0.9				
HCCC(O)H	23.3		$\qquad \qquad -$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$-$				
DCCC(O)H	21.5	905.7	90.6	9.1	1.8	0.9				
HC(O)NH <sub>2</sub>	28.4	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$		$-$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$				
DC(O)NH <sub>2</sub>	26.5	980.5	98.1	9.8	2.0	1.0				
$H(CC)_2H$	23.4	-	-	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	-				
H(CC), D	21.7	889.2	88.9	8.9	1.8	0.9				

<span id="page-12-0"></span>Table 8 Boltzmann factor (E/kT) for D-analogues of H-containing hexa-atomic species and the corresponding ZPE

Bold indicates molecules that have their corresponding D-analogues as known interstellar/circumstellar species

 $HC_5$  18.2 – – – – – – – – DC<sub>5</sub> 16.6 842.5 84.2 8.4 1.7 0.8 HC3NH? 24.2 – – – – –  $DC_3NH^+$  22.4 920.2 92.0 9.2 1.8 0.9

Molecule	ZPE (kcal/mol)	$*\Delta T$ (K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 10$ K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 100$ K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 500$ K)	<i>E/kT</i> (at $T = 1000$ K)
CH <sub>2</sub> CH(OH)	35.3			-		
CHDCH(OH)	33.3	1008.6	100.9	10.1	2.0	1.0
$c$ - $C_2H_4O$	35.9		-	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
$c$ - $C_2H_3D$	33.9	1031.3	103.1	10.3	2.1	1.0
HC(O)CH <sub>3</sub>	34.7	-	-	-	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	—
HC(O)CH <sub>2</sub> D	32.8	967.2	96.7	9.7	1.9	1.0
CH <sub>2</sub> CH(CN)	31.9		-	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	-
CHDCH(CN)	29.9	997.2	99.7	10.0	2.0	1.0
CH <sub>3</sub> CCH	34.8	-	$\qquad \qquad \blacksquare$	-	Ξ.	
CH <sub>2</sub> DCCH	32.8	977.3	97.7	9.8	1.9	1.0
CH <sub>3</sub> CCD	33.0	894.3	89.4	8.9	1.8	0.9
CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	40.1			-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	—
CH <sub>2</sub> DNH <sub>2</sub>	38.1	1003.5	100.3	10.0	2.0	1.0
$HC_5N$	23.3		-	-	Ξ.	
DC5N	21.5	888.6	88.9	8.9	1.8	0.9
HC <sub>6</sub>	21.9	-	-	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	-
$DC_6$	20.2	871.9	87.2	8.7	1.7	0.9
$HC_6^-$	21.2			-		
$DC_6^-$	19.8	737.0	73.7	7.4	1.5	0.7

<span id="page-13-0"></span>Table 9 Boltzmann factor (E/kT) for D-analogues of H-containing hepta-atomic species and the corresponding ZPE

Bold indicates molecules that have their corresponding D-analogues as known interstellar/circumstellar species





\*The difference in the ZPE of a molecule and its D-analogue expressed in terms of temperature

Bold indicates molecules that have their corresponding D-analogues as known interstellar/circumstellar species

Molecule	ZPE (kcal/mol)	$*\Delta T$ (K)		$E/kT$ (at $T = 10$ K) $E/kT$ (at $T = 100$ K) $E/kT$ (at $T = 500$ K)		$E/kT$ (at $T = 1000$ K)
(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> O	49.9			-	—	-
CH <sub>3</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> D	47.9	1002.6	100.3	10.0	2.0	1.0
CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CN	46.5				$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	-
CH <sub>3</sub> CHDCN	44.5	1036.7	103.7	10.4	2.1	1.0
CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	50.0			-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
CH <sub>3</sub> CHDOH	48.0	1046.2	104.6	10.5	2.1	1.0
$CH_3C(O)NH_2$	45.9	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
CH <sub>2</sub> DC(O)NH <sub>2</sub>	44.0	968.5	96.8	9.7	1.9	1.0
CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> SH	46.8			-	-	-
CH <sub>3</sub> CHDSH	44.7	1043.0	104.3	10.4	2.1	1.0
$CH_3C_4H$	41.2		-	-	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
CH <sub>2</sub> DC <sub>4</sub> H	39.3	977.3	97.7	9.8	1.9	1.0
$HC_7N$	30.1			-	-	-
$DC_7N$	28.4	883.9	88.4	8.8	1.8	0.9
$CH_3CHCH_2$	49.9		-	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	-
CH <sub>2</sub> CHCH <sub>2</sub>	48.0	984.6	98.5	9.8	2.0	1.0
HC <sub>8</sub>	29.0	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	-	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
$DC_8$	27.2	875.0	87.5	8.7	1.7	0.9
$HC_8^-$	28.3					
$DC_8^-$	26.8	744.9	74.5	7.4	1.5	0.7

<span id="page-14-0"></span>Table 11 Boltzmann factor (E/kT) for D-analogues of H-containing nona-atomic species and the corresponding ZPE





\*The difference in the ZPE of a molecule and its D-analogue expressed in terms of temperature

Table 13 Boltzmann factor (E/kT) for D-analogues of H-containing undeca-atomic species and the corresponding ZPE

Molecule	ZPE (kcal/mol)	$*\Delta T$ (K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 10$ k)	<i>E/kT</i> (at $T = 100$ K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 500$ K)	<i>E/kT</i> (at $T = 1000$ K)
$HC_9N$	37.5					
$DC_9N$	35.7	881.7	88.2	8.8	1.8	0.9
$CH_3C_6H$	47.6					
CH <sub>2</sub> DC <sub>6</sub> H	45.7	977.7	97.8	9.8	1.9	1.0
CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OCHO	56.8					-
CH <sub>2</sub> DCH <sub>2</sub> OCHO	54.8	998.5	99.8	1.0	2.0	1.0
CH <sub>3</sub> OC(O)CH <sub>3</sub>	56.1					
CH <sub>2</sub> DOC(O)CH <sub>3</sub>	54.1	987.8	98.8	9.9	2.0	1.0

\*The difference in the ZPE of a molecule and its D-analogue expressed in terms of temperature

Molecule	ZPE (kcal/mol)	$*\Delta T$ (K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 10$ K)	<i>E/kT</i> (at $T = 100$ K)	<i>E/kT</i> (at $T = 500$ K)	<i>E/kT</i> (at $T = 1000$ K)
$C_2H_5OCH_3$	67.7				-	
CH <sub>2</sub> DCH <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>3</sub>	65.7	1001.3	100.1	10.0	2.0	1.0
$iso-C3H7CN$	64.2			-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	-
iso- $C_3H_6DCN$	62.2	1012.7	101.3	10.1	2.0	1.0
$C_3H_7CN$	64.4				-	-
$C_3H_6DCN$	62.4	1002.9	100.3	10.0	2.0	1.0
$C_6H_6$	62.9				-	
$C_6H_5D$	54.1	4386.2	438.6	43.9	8.8	4.4

<span id="page-15-0"></span>Table 14 Boltzmann factor (E/kT) for D-analogues of H-containing dodeca-atomic species and the corresponding ZPE

Table 15 Boltzmann factor (E/kT) for D-analogues of H-containing trideca-atomic species and the corresponding ZPE

Molecule	ZPE (kcal/mol)	* $\Delta T$ (K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 10$ K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 100$ K)	$E/kT$ (at $T = 500 \text{ K}$ ) $E/kT$ (at $T = 1000 \text{ K}$ )	
$HC_{11}N$	42.8	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	-	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	-
$DC_{11}N$	41.0	883.5	88.3	8.8		0.9

\*The difference in the ZPE of a molecule and its D-analogue expressed in terms of temperature



Table 16 The dependence of D/H ration on Boltzmann factor

<sup>a</sup>Gerin et al. [[56](#page-16-0)]

<sup>b</sup>Roberts and Millar [19]

#### References

- 1. A C Cheung, D M Rank, C H Townes, D D Thornton and W J Welch Phys Rev Lett 25 1701 (1968)
- 2. A C Cheung, D M Rank, C H Townes, D D Thornton and W J Welch Nature 221 626–628 (1969)
- 3. L E Synder, D Buhl, B Zuckerman and P Palmer Phys Rev Lett 22 679 (1969)
- 4. R W Wilson, K B Jefferts and A A Penzias ApJ 161 L43 (1970)
- 5. J A Ball, C A Gottlieb, A E Lilley and H E Radford ApJ 162 L203 (1970)
- 6. C P Endres, S Schlemmer, P Schilke, J Stutzki and H S P Müller J. Mol. Spectrosc 327 95 (2016)
- 7. [http://www.astrochymist.org/astrochymist\\_ism.html](http://www.astrochymist.org/astrochymist_ism.html). Accessed in September 2018.
- 8. E E Etim and E Arunan Planex Newletter 5 16 (2015)
- 9. (a) A J Markwick, S B Charnley, H M Butner and T J Millar ApJ 627 L117 (2005). (b) G Wlodarczak Journal of Molecular Structure 347 131 (1995)
- 10. B E Turner and B Zuckerman ApJ 225 L75 (1978)
- 11. B E Turner ApJ 362 L29 (1990)
- 12. S Lacour, M K Andre and P Sonnentrucker A&A 430 967 (2005)
- 13. M Gerin, F Combes and G Wlodarczak ApJ 259 L35 (1992a)
- 14. J Cernicharo, B Tercero and A Fuente ApJ 771 L10 (2013)
- 15. D C Lis, E Roueff and M Gerin ApJ 571 L55 (2002)
- 16. K B Jefferts, A A Penzias and R W Wilson, ApJ 179 L57 (1973)
- 17. J E Lee and E A Bergin ApJ 799 104 (2015)
- 18. H Roberts, G A Fuller, T J Millar, J Hatchell and J V Buckle A&A 381 1026 (2002)
- 19. H Roberts and T J Millar A&A 471 849 (2007)
- 20. T J Millar Astronomy and Geophysics 46, 2, 2.29 2.32 (2005) <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-4004.2005.46229.x>
- 21. C Ceccarelli Planetary and Space Science 50 1267 (2002)
- 22. L Spitzer, J F Drake and E B Jenkins ApJ 181 L116 (1973)
- 23. V Taquet, C Ceccarelli and C Kahane ApJL 748 L3
- 24. M Emprechtinger, P Caselli, N H Volgenau, J Stutzki and M C Wiedner A&A 493 89 (2009)
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>25. L Dore, P Caselli, S Beninatil, T Bourke, P C Myers and G Cazzoli1 A&A 413 1177 (2004)
- 26. B Parise, C Ceccarelli, A G G M Tielens, A Castets, E Caux, B Lefloch and S Maret A&A 453 949 (2006)
- 27. D Rehder, Chemistry in Space. Wiley, Weinheim, Germany (2010)
- 28. T J Millar, H Roberts, A J Markwick and S B Charnley Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. 358 2535 (2000)
- 29. A G G M Tielens A&A 119 177 (1983)
- 30. S Kong, P Caselli, J C Tan, V Wakelam and O. Sipila, Submitted, [arXiv:1312.0971\[astro-ph.SR\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.0971%5bastro-ph.SR%5d) (2015)
- 31. P M Solomon and N J Woolf ApJ 180 L89 (1973)
- 32. M J Frisch, G W Trucks and H B Schlegel G09:RevC.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT (2009)
- 33. L A Curtiss, P C Redfern and K Raghavachari JChPh 126 084108 (2007a)
- 34. L A Curtiss, P C Redfern and K Raghavachari, JChPh 127 124105 (2007b)
- 35. E E Etim and E Arunan European Physical Journal Plus 131 448 (2016)
- 36. E E Etim and E Arunan, Advances in Space Research 59 1161 (2017)
- 37. E E Etim, P Gorai, A Das, S K Chakrabati and E Arunan The Astrophysical Journal 832 144 (2016)
- 38. E E Etim, E J Inyang, O A Ushie, I E Mbakara, C Andrew and U Lawal FUW Trends in Science and Technology Journal 2 665 (2017)
- 39. E E Etim, G Gorai, A Das and E Arunan Astrophysics and Space Science 363 6 (2018a)
- 40. E E Etim, P Gorai, A Das, S K Chakrabarti and E Arunan, Advances in Space Research 61 2870–2880 (2018b)
- 41. J M L Martin and G de Oliveira J Chem Phys 111 1843 (1999)
- 42. A Coutens, C Vastel, and E Caux A&A 539 132 (2012)
- 43. H M Butner, S B Charnley and C Ceccarelli ApJ 659 L137 (2007)
- 44. C Vastel, T G Phillips, C Ceccarelli and J Pearson ApJ 593 L97 (2003)
- 45. R Stark, F van der Tak and E F van Dishoeck ApJ 521 L67 (1999)
- 46. E van Dishoeck, G A Blake, D J Jansen and T D Groesbeck ApJ 447 760 (1995)
- 47. N Marcelino, J Cernicharo, E Roueff, M Gerin and R Mauersberger ApJ 620 308 (2005)
- 48. J Hatchell A&A 403 L25 (2003)
- 49. L Loinard, A Castets, C Ceccarelli, E Caux and A G G M Tielens ApJ 552 L163 (2001)
- 50. N Sakai, T Sakai, T Hirota and S Yamamoto ApJ 702 1025 (2009)
- 51. D A Howe, T J Millar, P Schike and C M Walmsley Mont. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 267 59 (1994)
- 52. J M Macleod, L W Avery and N W Broten ApJ 251 L33 (1981)
- 53. B E Turner ApJ 347 L39 (1989)
- 54. B Praise, A Castets and E Herbst A&A 416 159 (2004)
- 55. L H Coudert, B J Drouin and B Tercero ApJ 779 119 (2013)
- 56. M Gerin, F Combes, G Wlodarczak, P Encrenaz and C Laurent ApJ 253 L29 (1992b)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.