



## Acquiring and maintaining point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) competence for anesthesiologists

## L'acquisition et le maintien des compétences en échographie au chevet (POCUS) pour les anesthésiologistes

T. Jared McCormick, MD · Elizabeth Clarke Miller, MD · Robert Chen, MD · Viren N. Naik, MD, MEd, MBA

Received: 18 July 2017 / Revised: 3 December 2017 / Accepted: 4 December 2017 / Published online: 11 January 2018  
© Canadian Anesthesiologists' Society 2018

### Abstract

**Purpose** Point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) involves the bedside use of ultrasound to answer specific diagnostic questions and to assess real-time physiologic responses to treatment. Although POCUS has become a well-established resource for emergency and critical care physicians, anesthesiologists are still working to obtain POCUS skills and to incorporate them into routine practice. This review defines the benefits of POCUS to anesthesia practice, identifies challenges to establishing POCUS in routine anesthesia care, and offers solutions to help guide its incorporation going forward.

**Principal findings** Benefits to POCUS include improving the sensitivity and specificity of the physical examination and helping to guide patient treatment. The challenges to establishing POCUS as a standard in anesthesia practice include developing and maintaining competence. There is a need to develop standards of practice and a common language between specialties to facilitate training and create guidelines regarding patient management.

**Conclusions** Presently, our specialty requires consensus by expert stakeholders to address issues of competence, certification, development of standards and terminology, and the management of unexpected diagnoses. To promote POCUS competency in our discipline, we support its incorporation into anesthesiology curricula and training programs and the continuing professional development of POCUS-related activities at a national level.

### Résumé

**Objectif** L'échographie au chevet (ou POCUS, pour point-of-care ultrasound) est l'utilisation de l'échographie au chevet du patient afin de répondre à des questions diagnostiques spécifiques et d'évaluer les réponses physiologiques à un traitement en temps réel. Bien que l'échographie au chevet soit devenue un outil bien établi pour les médecins intensivistes et urgentologues, les anesthésiologistes continuent de travailler à l'acquisition de ces compétences et à leur intégration dans leur pratique quotidienne. Ce compte-rendu décrit les avantages de l'échographie au chevet en ce qui touche à la pratique de l'anesthésie, identifie les défis rencontrés lorsqu'on souhaite établir l'échographie au chevet dans les soins anesthésiques de routine, et propose des solutions afin d'orienter son intégration future.

**Constatations principales** Les avantages de l'échographie au chevet comprennent l'amélioration de la sensibilité et de la spécificité de l'examen physique et l'obtention de renseignements aidant à guider le traitement des patients. Les défis à l'établissement de l'échographie au chevet en tant que norme dans la pratique de l'anesthésie comprennent l'acquisition et le maintien des compétences. Il faut mettre au point des normes de pratique et une terminologie communes à toutes les

T. J. McCormick, MD  
Department of Anesthesia, The Ottawa Hospital, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

E. C. Miller, MD · R. Chen, MD · V. N. Naik, MD, MEd, MBA  
Division of Cardiac Anesthesiology, University of Ottawa Heart Institute, Ottawa, ON, Canada

V. N. Naik, MD, MEd, MBA  
Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, The Ottawa Hospital, Ottawa, ON, Canada

V. N. Naik, MD, MEd, MBA (✉)  
Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada, Ottawa, ON, Canada  
e-mail: vnaik@royalcollege.ca

*spécialités afin de faciliter la formation et de créer des lignes directrices concernant la prise en charge des patients.*

**Conclusion** *À l'heure actuelle, notre spécialité a besoin d'un consensus déterminé par des experts afin d'aborder les questions de compétence, de certification, de mise au point de normes et de terminologie, ainsi que la prise en charge des diagnostics imprévus. Afin de promouvoir la compétence en échographie au chevet dans notre spécialité, nous soutenons son intégration dans les programmes d'enseignement et de formation en anesthésiologie ainsi que dans le développement professionnel continu d'activités liées à l'échographie au chevet à l'échelle nationale.*

J. Forbes once wrote *'that [the stethoscope] will ever come into general use...is extremely doubtful; because its beneficial application requires much time and gives a good bit of trouble both to the patient and the practitioner'* (1821, Preface to Laennec's treatise). Today it appears ironically short sighted given the stethoscope's wide use across disciplines and professions. Indeed, this statement provides an interesting context for the application of what has emerged as the new "stethoscope" of 21st century medicine: the ultrasound.

This is a time of considerable change and growth for the specialty of anesthesia. As perioperative physicians, anesthesiologists are developing a greater presence in the hospital outside of their traditional roles in the operating room. Furthermore, our trainees have recently seen a paradigm shift in the way their curriculum is delivered as a competency-based model of education. In addition to these changes are advancements in technologies that create new learning requirements for the anesthesiologist. Point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) is emerging as a new competency requirement for anesthesia care.

The use of ultrasound as a diagnostic tool in medicine has existed since 1941<sup>1</sup> and intraoperative echocardiography was described in 1972.<sup>2</sup> Nonetheless, the concept of POCUS is a much more recent development. Point-of-care ultrasound is defined as the use of ultrasound at the patient's bedside to answer specific diagnostic questions and/or view real-time physiologic responses to various treatments.<sup>3,4</sup> Point-of-care ultrasound encompasses numerous imaging protocols for an expanding target of organ systems and its use includes diagnostic and procedural functions (Table 1).

Anesthesiologists have played an important role in the use of ultrasound technology to assess cardiac function,<sup>63</sup> achieve vascular access, and guide regional anesthesia.<sup>64,65</sup>

**Table 1** Potential uses of POCUS in anesthesiology

Diagnostic	Procedural
Ultrasound-assisted physical examination <sup>4-6</sup>	Regional anesthesia <sup>7,8</sup>
Transthoracic echocardiography <sup>9-14</sup>	Vascular access <sup>15-19</sup>
Transesophageal echocardiography <sup>20-23</sup>	Arterial access <sup>24-26</sup>
Lung ultrasound <sup>5,27-31</sup>	Airway management <sup>32-36</sup>
Volume assessment <sup>3,5,10,37-39</sup>	Thoracentesis <sup>15,40-44</sup>
Abdominal ultrasound <sup>37,45-48</sup>	Pericardiocentesis <sup>43,49,50</sup>
Gastric content <sup>51-53</sup>	Recruitment maneuvers <sup>54-57</sup>
DVT assessment <sup>15</sup>	Neuraxial access <sup>58,59</sup>
Intracranial pressure <sup>60-62</sup>	

DVT = deep venous thrombosis, POCUS = point-of-care ultrasound

Nonetheless, as a specialty we have not as readily embraced the perioperative use of POCUS nor have we yet made it a mandatory competency for our trainees and practitioners. In contrast, Emergency Medicine and Critical Care Medicine specialties have recognized the utility of POCUS at the bedside, incorporating it into routine practice and making it a component of residency training.<sup>3,37,49,66</sup> As POCUS evolves to becoming evermore portable, producing higher quality images, and is increasingly available in all areas of the hospital, it behoves anesthesiologists to realize their affinity with this technology and leverage it for patient care. There are numerous applications of POCUS in clinical anesthesia: transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) or focused cardiac ultrasound (FoCUS), brain, airway, lung, gastric and abdominal evaluation, assessment of deep venous thrombosis, intracranial pressure assessment, ultrasound-guided procedures including vascular and airway access, regional anesthesia, drainage of pleural and pericardial effusions, assessing adequacy of recruitment maneuvers, and evaluation of splanchnic perfusion, to name a few. The interest in POCUS has been explosive. A MEDLINE search for the terms "POCUS", "point-of-care-ultrasound", or "bedside ultrasound" showed that only 60 articles were published in 1990 compared with 1,030 in 2016. To facilitate the implementation of POCUS into anesthesia care, there has been strong advocacy for its integration into residency and postgraduate training,<sup>5,38,46,65,67-72</sup> with convincing evidence that POCUS is a skill that can be readily acquired at all levels of training.<sup>5,11,46,47,69,73</sup> Despite the numerous applications, great potential for patient care, and recent growth in the use of POCUS in anesthesia, there are still barriers that prevent it from being a current standard practice and challenges in establishing anesthesia-specific guidelines.

The purpose of this review is to outline the benefits of POCUS to anesthesia practice, identify challenges in establishing POCUS into anesthesia care, and offer some potential solutions to facilitate its integration into our specialty.

## Education and training

### Why do we need training in POCUS?

There are many benefits to using POCUS for patient care. For example, POCUS can alter patient management including changes to diagnosis<sup>47</sup> and directing the administration of inotropes, vasopressors and fluids,<sup>14,74</sup> with evolving evidence for mortality benefit.<sup>75,76</sup> Point-of-care ultrasound has been shown to affect the choice of anesthetic technique and types of monitoring perioperatively.<sup>14,76</sup> It can also affect surgical management, the decision to cancel or delay surgery or to alter the surgical approach.<sup>14,76,77</sup> Furthermore, it may affect postoperative disposition and the decision to transfer to higher acuity units.<sup>77</sup> A recent systematic review has summarized POCUS-related changes in surgical and critical care patient management<sup>74</sup> (Table 2).

Point-of-care ultrasound can significantly improve the sensitivity and specificity of the physical examination<sup>4-6,76,87-90</sup> even in relatively naïve learners. For example, with only four hours of training, medical students were able to accurately identify moderate or severe left ventricular dysfunction.<sup>6</sup> Similarly, anesthesiology trainees, after only two hours of instruction, could accurately identify aortic valve pathology.<sup>11</sup>

There is mounting evidence that POCUS can improve patient outcomes. For example, Canty *et al.* found that a preoperative focused cardiac ultrasound (FoCUS) protocol (an application of POCUS) was associated with improved mortality in patients with elevated cardiac risk undergoing hip surgery.<sup>76</sup> Kanji *et al.* showed a decrease in acute kidney injury and survival benefit associated with echo-guided therapy using standard FoCUS views to direct treatment with fluids vs inotropes.<sup>75</sup> Zanobetti *et al.*, in a prospective observational trial, found that a POCUS-driven protocol reduced time to correctly identify the etiology of acute dyspnea in patients presenting to the emergency room.<sup>31</sup> Similarly, Laursen *et al.* showed that POCUS of the heart, lungs, and deep vessels helped to achieve a more accurate and rapid diagnosis of patients presenting with respiratory symptoms in the emergency department.<sup>91</sup> Ford *et al.* showed that POCUS for lung examination is more sensitive and specific than either chest radiography and/or clinical examination, even when performed by POCUS

novices after only 50 mentored lung scans.<sup>30</sup> In a randomized controlled trial, Jones *et al.* found that a POCUS-driven protocol could increase the accuracy and timeliness of diagnosis of the underlying etiology of hypotension in non-trauma patients.<sup>92</sup> In another randomized controlled trial, Ferrada *et al.* showed mortality benefit, lower volumes of administered intravenous fluids, and fewer delays to surgical management with the use of POCUS (a limited transthoracic echocardiogram assessing cardiac contractility, the inferior vena cava fullness, and the presence or absence of a pericardial effusion) as a hemodynamic monitoring tool for trauma patients presenting in shock.<sup>13</sup>

There are numerous examples of how POCUS can benefit perioperative patient care. For example, POCUS can be used to confirm appropriate urinary catheter insertion, to help diagnose a phrenic nerve injury, or to assess intra-abdominal or intra-thoracic bleeding. In a relatively short period of time ultrasound has become recognized as a “gold standard” to help guide regional anesthesia and vascular access. Understanding the benefits of POCUS provides the impetus to learn and maintain the requisite skills.

### How do we develop competence in POCUS?

*Competence* is described in terms of the requisite knowledge, skills and judgement to perform a task or behaviour.<sup>93,94</sup> In contrast, *certification* involves a regulatory body recognizing individuals who have showed competence in a task,<sup>93</sup> and *credentialing* is the assessment of the qualifications to practice. There is no consensus regarding the required level of training to achieve competence with POCUS<sup>71,95</sup> or how this can be assessed.<sup>71</sup> While there are established training guidelines and standards in emergency medicine and critical care for various ultrasound applications<sup>3,66</sup> as well as international guidelines,<sup>27,48,67</sup> this is not the case for the anesthesia specialty. While guidelines produced by other specialties are of relevance, developing anesthesia-specific standards would be preferable considering our unique scope of practice.

To achieve competence in POCUS, anesthesiologists need to acquire the ultrasound skills necessary for identifying normal anatomy and physiology and evaluating changes from baseline. Superior skill with POCUS requires familiarity with the equipment, the psychomotor skills to handle a probe and acquire adequate images, and sufficient knowledge and experience for correct interpretation of the scans. To achieve and maintain competency with POCUS, both practice (in either a simulated or clinical environment) and

**Table 2** Changes in management following FoCUS compared with clinical assessment

Authors	Medical management*	Surgical management†	Anesthetic management‡	Anesthetic medication§	Inotropes/vasopressors	Fluids**	Disposition††
Botker <i>et al.</i> <sup>78</sup>	4%	7%	3%	2%	0%	3%	6%
Canty and Royse <sup>79</sup>	40%	-	-	-	-	16%	-
Canty <i>et al.</i> <sup>77</sup>	36%	46%	13%	-	11%	12%	34%
Canty <i>et al.</i> <sup>76</sup>	52%	-	52%	-	-	-	-
Canty <i>et al.</i> <sup>80</sup>	30%	14%	-	-	-	-	-
Cowie <sup>81</sup>	51%	31%	37%	12%	9%	4%	27%
Cowie <sup>82</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-
Joseph <i>et al.</i> <sup>83</sup>	35%	16%	-	-	-	12%	-
Kanji <i>et al.</i> <sup>75</sup>	-	-	-	-	25%	-	-
Manasia <i>et al.</i> <sup>84</sup>	37%	-	-	-	21%	-	-
Orme <i>et al.</i> <sup>85</sup>	38%	-	-	19%	8%	7%	5%
Stanko <i>et al.</i> <sup>86</sup>	39%	7%	-2	-	-	-	4%

\*Medical management defined as anesthetic technique, anesthetic drug selection, inotrope, vasopressor, or fluid use (type or amount)

†Surgical management defined as surgery or postoperative disposition

‡Anesthetic management defined by change in anesthetic technique (general, neuraxial, or regional anesthesia) or change in decision about invasive monitoring

§Anesthetic medication as defined by a change in anesthetic drug or dose

||Inotropes/vasopressors defined by a change in the type or dosage

\*\*Fluids defined by change in type or amount of fluid administered

††Disposition as defined by change in postoperative disposition to intensive care unit, high acuity unit, or ward, or by preoperative transesophageal echocardiography or cardiology referral

Values expressed as proportion of cases where focused cardiac ultrasound (FoCUS) was used

Modified from: Heiberg J, El-Ansary D, Canty DJ, Royse AG, Royse CF. Focused echocardiography: a systematic review of diagnostic and clinical decision-making in anaesthesia and critical care. *Anaesthesia* 2016; 71: 1091-100.<sup>74</sup>

coaching are recommended.<sup>4</sup> Experts within a department are critical to support ongoing mentoring and can serve as an invaluable resource for difficult cases. The increased use of POCUS by various specialties necessitates a common language and interpretation of findings to treat patients in a coordinated and consistent manner.

It is crucial that the operator recognizes his or her limits by understanding when a poor image should be disregarded and seeking assistance from more experienced colleagues when required.<sup>96</sup> Radiologists have questioned the competence of other specialists to use ultrasound to assess patients and have expressed concerns about the potential for missed or incorrect diagnoses.<sup>97</sup> While these concerns may have seemed warranted, they have not been supported by the evolving literature concerned with ultrasound assessment of cardiac function.<sup>24,27</sup> Interestingly, similar concerns had previously been expressed regarding the use of ultrasound for regional anesthesia; instead, complications have decreased with the introduction of ultrasound-guided techniques.<sup>8</sup> Controversy also arose with the use of ultrasound for vascular access and intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography

(TEE), both of which are now standards of anesthesia practice taught during residency training.<sup>65</sup>

Point-of-care ultrasound, like all procedures, must achieve the highest possible benefit-to-risk ratio. As with any assessment, POCUS findings must be interpreted within the patient's clinical context. When POCUS provides equivocal information, the practitioner should seek a second opinion and consider additional imaging strategies. As well, the operator must bear in mind that POCUS only provides a "snapshot in time" and additional scans may be required as conditions change. In these cases, imaging is used to track dynamic changes, in contrast to a diagnostic interpretation made *post hoc* by a radiologist. The issue of what to do with unexpected findings or new diagnoses needs to be considered. For example, *Spencer et al.* recommend all patients with unanticipated abnormal cardiac findings be referred for subsequent comprehensive formal echocardiography.<sup>98</sup> While this represents a safe and conservative approach, it will undoubtedly generate an increased number of referrals, procedures, and associated healthcare costs.

## Should certification be required?

With the growth of POCUS, there are considerations for certification including the process by which an education or regulatory body recognizes individuals who have showed competence<sup>93</sup> and the access to appropriate training before certification. Hospitals and departments should engage in these dialogues, given their roles in credentialing anesthesiologists for practice.

Obtaining the required skills in POCUS will present changing challenges to anesthesiologists during the lifelong learning continuum. For trainees, these skills may have already been introduced in medical school and will be reinforced during their residency curriculum. For anesthesiologists currently in practice, POCUS skills may be acquired through a combination of courses, conferences, ultrasound rounds, and workshops. Maintenance of skills can involve a formal process including a mandated minimum number of targeted scans.<sup>53</sup>

Training, certification, and demonstration of competence have been important considerations during the development of echocardiography, and this experience provides a valuable reference point for comparison with the emergence of POCUS. The National Board of Echocardiography in the United States has developed specific requirements for certification in basic<sup>99</sup> and advanced<sup>100</sup> perioperative TEE, as well as transthoracic echocardiography (TTE), and comprehensive echocardiography.<sup>101</sup> In Canada, however, the Canadian Society of Echocardiography (CSE), through the Canadian Cardiovascular Society, provides only guidelines, as opposed to certification.<sup>102</sup> In addition, the Royal College of Physicians Surgeons of Canada (RCPSC) offers an Area of Focused Competence (AFC) diploma as formal recognition of training for adult echocardiography, requiring a minimum of six blocks of training with the performance of 150 complete TTEs and interpretation of 450.<sup>57</sup>

When considering the development of competence with cardiac ultrasound, Royse has proposed the “expertise triangle” where the foundation of the triangle is populated by the general acute care practitioners with the skills to use POCUS to supplement the physical examination, progressing upwards to those with increasing expertise with surface sonography, TTE, and TEE diagnostic skills, while the pinnacle represents experts with mastery of skills to perform, interpret, and teach echocardiography.<sup>5</sup>

The CSE training guidelines mirror this concept where Level 1 echocardiographers are expected to be able to perform a focused though not comprehensive TTE scan.<sup>102</sup> Level 2 echocardiographers are expected to be able to independently perform and interpret comprehensive TTE, with exposure to TEE, stress, and contrast modalities. At

the pinnacle, Level 3, there is an expectation of proficiency with advanced TTE and TEE and skill to train and supervise trainees including those working in an echocardiography laboratory. By analogy, with POCUS, it is anticipated that most practitioners would fall into the bottom two levels, where the main purpose of POCUS would be to complement the physical examination. More advanced diagnostic skills would be in the domain of experts with fellowship or subspecialty training.

Certification in POCUS is complex given its expanding range of diagnostic capabilities and the ever-changing clinical context in any given patient. The rationale for POCUS certification is to ensure a standard of competence, minimize the risk of misinterpretation, and decrease the incidence of incorrect or missed diagnoses. Although the existing evidence does not support a significant risk of missed diagnoses,<sup>11,80</sup> there is always potential for “fixation error” by physicians driving their treatments based on the result of a single scan during a dynamic resuscitation and potentially missing a new diagnosis. Furthermore, even low numbers of reported missed diagnoses do not mean that they do not occur. For these reasons, certification in POCUS may be important, and restricting the use of POCUS to only certified operators may address concerns for achieving a standardized level of competence.<sup>103</sup> Nevertheless, on the other hand it could unwittingly impede the uptake of this valuable technology. The American Medical Association affirms the diversity of applications of ultrasound and indicates that it is within the scope of any appropriately trained physician.<sup>104</sup> While “appropriately trained” may leave room for ambiguity, the authors clarify that the privilege to perform ultrasound should be decided by the hospital in accordance with the standards of the specialty. Similarly, the Canadian Association of Radiologists acknowledge the utility of POCUS performed by appropriately trained physicians and differentiates it from a comprehensive diagnostic ultrasound performed by an imaging specialist.<sup>103</sup> Examples of ultrasound used routinely in anesthesia practice, and not requiring certification, include regional anesthesia and vascular access.

With regard to POCUS certification from an anesthesiology perspective, consideration can be given to the varying experience of other specialties such as critical care, emergency and internal medicine, and cardiology.<sup>3,5,39,45,48,49,66,95,105,106</sup> The diversity in certification requirements highlights the lack of consensus.<sup>5,39,51-53,107</sup> In Canada, the RCPSC has recently approved an acute care point-of-care ultrasonography AFC for emergency medicine trainees.<sup>108</sup> The relevance of this to anesthesia should be assessed with regard to obtaining our own AFC and to

leverage the POCUS experience of specialists in Emergency Medicine for training in our specialty.

### Future directions and recommendations

#### Incorporation of POCUS into the national anesthesiology curriculum

Presently, there is a need in our specialty for developing national consensus positions outlining standards for training, assessment of competence and certification, and remuneration for services rendered. It will be essential to continuously upgrade proficiency requirements as ultrasound technology develops and new uses are found for POCUS. The involvement of POCUS providers in other specialties is essential to ensure a universal language and transferable information. Many medical schools have already begun incorporating ultrasound training into undergraduate medical education and this will accelerate the uptake of POCUS as a standard of practice. Medical students entering residency programs will be familiar with aspects of POCUS and subsequent training will have to dovetail with their previously acquired skills. As a starting point, we suggest that residents be familiar with the core critical care views (Table 3) that help generate a useful differential diagnosis for the hemodynamically compromised patient.

**Table 3** Core critical care ultrasound views

Examination	Views
Basic critical care echocardiography	Parasternal long axis
	Parasternal short axis
	Apical four-chamber view
	Sub-xiphoid four-chamber view
	Inferior vena cava-long axis
Lung and pleura	Anterior chest wall
	Anterolateral chest wall
	Posterosuperior chest wall
	Posteroinferior chest wall
Abdominal free fluid	Right upper quadrant view
	Left upper quadrant view
	Suprapubic view
Guidance for vascular access	Short axis of vessel and surrounding structures
	Long axis of vessel with guidewire <i>in situ</i>

From: Arntfield RT, Millington SJ, Ainsworth CD, et al.; *Canadian Critical Care Society*. Canadian recommendations for critical care ultrasound training and competency. *Can Respir J* 2014; 21: 341-5.<sup>3</sup>

These views are incorporated into many assessment protocols<sup>12,48,105,106</sup> and provide an additional benefit of transfer of knowledge from discipline to discipline. Other views we consider to be important for anesthesiologists include the FAST<sup>48</sup> (focused assessment with sonography in trauma) as well as airway,<sup>32-34,36</sup> lung,<sup>27,28,30,109</sup> bladder,<sup>110</sup> gastric,<sup>51-53</sup> and abdominal imaging.<sup>3,47,68,69</sup> Incorporating POCUS into the Anesthesiology National Curriculum will require advocacy to the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada and leadership from universities to make this a priority for training.

As anesthesiology residency programs make the transition to a competency-by-design (CBD) paradigm, our specialty is in a position to assume a leadership role in POCUS. We can use our experience with changing to a CBD curriculum to help guide the creation of a “Foundations of Ultrasound” program for our trainees. The University of Ottawa Department of Anesthesia residency-training program may be used as an example. It has incorporated basic POCUS training into the “Boot Camp” portion of the curriculum, using curated online resources and hands-on training sessions with an ultrasound simulator and standardized patients. Subsequently, POCUS will be incorporated longitudinally into the residency program with defined, rotation-specific learning goals that are tracked using a case logbook. The curriculum was developed using evidence from studies evaluating POCUS training strategies<sup>9,46,47,69,107,111-114</sup> and from the medical education<sup>115,116</sup> and psychology literature that suggests incorporation of distributed learning<sup>117,118</sup> (longitudinally delivered content and teaching) and test-enhanced learning<sup>119,120</sup> (use of testing situations to facilitate retrieval). Collaboration and sharing of POCUS curricula used at various Canadian institutions will facilitate the development of an effective, national program to ensure standardized POCUS competency.

#### Setting up a perioperative ultrasound service

One of the most important advantages of POCUS is its transportability and independence from a specialized physical space, extra personnel, reading room, and other resources associated with *x-ray*, computed tomography, or magnetic resonance imaging. Nevertheless, a “formal” POCUS laboratory could conceivably assist with supervision, education, maintenance of competence, and research. Requirements for a POCUS laboratory are minimal: in addition to the ultrasound machine and appropriate probes, only a computer dedicated to storage of scans for “off line” review, data acquisition, and analysis is required. A perioperative POCUS service, comprised of individuals with appropriate skills, could provide important clinical services similar to an Acute Pain

Service. This could be instrumental for teaching, facilitating research, and developing protocols for standardized care.

## Conclusions

Incorporating POCUS into routine anesthesia care offers great potential benefits for our patients. This evolving technology requires a supportive environment that provides structured training and supervision, availability of expert assistance, and access to more comprehensive imaging. Presently, we advocate for the achievement of basic competence in all practicing anesthesiologists and suggest restricting formal certification for the highly trained experts. As with all evolving technologies, POCUS will need to be continually assessed with regard to its impact on anesthesia care and its incorporation into anesthesia practice and training programs.

**Conflict of interest** None declared.

**Editorial responsibility** This submission was handled by Dr. Steven Backman, Associate Editor, *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia*.

## References

1. Dussik KT. Über die möglichkeit hochfrequente mechanische schwingungen als diagnostisches hilfsmittel zu verwerten. *Z Neurol Psychiat* 1942; 174: 153-68.
2. Johnson ML, Holmes JH, Spangler RD, Paton BC. Usefulness of echocardiography in patients undergoing mitral valve surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1972; 64: 922-34.
3. Arntfield RT, Millington SJ, Ainsworth CD, et al. *Canadian Critical Care Society*. Canadian recommendations for critical care ultrasound training and competency. *Can Respir J* 2014; 21: 341-5.
4. Kimura BJ. Point-of-care cardiac ultrasound techniques in the physical examination: better at the bedside. *Heart* 2017; 103: 987-94.
5. Royse CF, Canty DJ, Faris J, Haji DL, Veltman M, Royse A. Core review: physician-performed ultrasound: the time has come for routine use in acute care medicine. *Anesth Analg* 2012; 115: 1007-28.
6. Stokke T, Ruddox V, Sarvari SI, Otterstad JE, Aune E, Edvardsen T. Brief Group training of medical students in focused cardiac ultrasound may improve diagnostic accuracy of physical examination. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2014; 27: 1238-46.
7. Nix CM, Margarido CB, Awad IT, et al. A scoping review of the evidence for teaching ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2013; 38: 471-80.
8. Neal JM. Ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia and patient safety: update of an evidence-based analysis. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2016; 41: 195-204.
9. Tanzola RC, Walsh S, Hopman WM, Sydor D, Arellano R, Allard RV. Brief report: focused transthoracic echocardiography training in a cohort of Canadian anesthesiology residents: a pilot study. *Can J Anesth* 2013; 60: 32-7.
10. Price S, Via G, Sloth E, et al. Echocardiography practice, training and accreditation in the intensive care: document for the World Interactive Network Focused on Critical Ultrasound (WINFOCUS). *Cardiovasc Ultrasound* 2008; 6: 49.
11. Cowie B, Kluger R. Evaluation of systolic murmurs using transthoracic echocardiography by anaesthetic trainees. *Anaesthesia* 2011; 66: 785-90.
12. Royse CF, Haji DL, Faris JG, Veltman MG, Kumar A, Royse AG. Evaluation of the interpretative skills of participants of a limited transthoracic echocardiography training course (H.A.R.T.scan® course). *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2012; 40: 498-504.
13. Ferrada P, Evans D, Wolfe L, et al. Findings of a randomized controlled trial using limited transthoracic echocardiogram (LTTE) as a hemodynamic monitoring tool in the trauma bay. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2014; 76: 31-7.
14. Cowie B. Focused cardiovascular ultrasound performed by anesthesiologists in the perioperative period: feasible and alters patient management. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2009; 23: 450-6.
15. Frankel HL, Kirkpatrick AW, Elbarbary M, et al. Guidelines for the appropriate use of bedside general and cardiac ultrasonography in the evaluation of critically ill patients-part I: general ultrasonography. *Crit Care Med* 2015; 43: 2479-502.
16. Tokumine J, Lefor AT, Yonei A, Kagaya A, Iwasaki K, Fukuda Y. Three-step method for ultrasound-guided central vein catheterization. *Br J Anaesth* 2013; 110: 368-73.
17. Brass P, Hellmich M, Kolodziej L, Schick G, Smith AF. Ultrasound guidance versus anatomical landmarks for internal jugular vein catheterization. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015; 1: CD006962.
18. Brass P, Hellmich M, Kolodziej L, Schick G, Smith AF. Ultrasound guidance versus anatomical landmarks for subclavian or femoral vein catheterization. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015; 1: CD011447.
19. Lulu MM, Fayad A, Ahmed O, et al. Ultrasound-guided subclavian vein catheterization: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care Med* 2015; 43: 1498-507.
20. *Cardiovascular Section of the Canadian Anesthesiologists Society; Canadian Society of Echocardiography, Beique F, et al.* Canadian guidelines for training in adult perioperative transesophageal echocardiography. Recommendations of the Cardiovascular Section of the Canadian Anesthesiologists' Society and the Canadian Society of Echocardiography. *Can J Cardiol* 2006; 22: 1015-27.
21. Savage RM, Licina MG, Koch CG, et al. Educational program for intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography. *Anesth Analg* 1995; 81: 399-403.
22. Peng YG, Janelle GM. Emergent limited perioperative transesophageal echocardiography: should new guidelines exist for limited echocardiography training for anesthesiologists? *Front Med* 2012; 6: 332-7.
23. Smith WB, Robinson AR 3rd, Janelle GM. Expanding role of perioperative transesophageal echocardiography in the general anesthesia practice and residency training in the USA. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2015; 28: 95-100.
24. Gu WJ, Wu XD, Wang F, Ma ZL, Gu XP. Ultrasound guidance facilitates radial artery catheterization: a meta-analysis with trial sequential analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Chest* 2016; 149: 166-79.
25. Aouad-Maroun M, Raphael CK, Sayyid SK, Farah F, Akl EA. Ultrasound-guided arterial cannulation for paediatrics. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016; 9: CD011364.

26. Sobolev M, Chang AL, Shiloh A, Eisen L. Ultrasound-guided catheterization of the femoral artery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Invasive Cardiol* 2015; 27: 318-23.
27. Volpicelli G, Elbarbary M, Blaivas M, et al. International evidence-based recommendations for point-of-care lung ultrasound. *Intensive Care Med* 2012; 38: 577-91.
28. Ueda K, Ahmed W, Ross AF. Intraoperative pneumothorax identified with transthoracic ultrasound. *Anesthesiology* 2011; 115: 653-5.
29. Zieleskiewicz L, Contargyris C, Brun C, et al. Lung ultrasound predicts interstitial syndrome and hemodynamic profile in parturients with severe preeclampsia. *Anesthesiology* 2014; 120: 906-14.
30. Ford JW, Heiberg J, Brennan AP, et al. A pilot assessment of 3 point-of-care strategies for diagnosis of perioperative lung pathology. *Anesth Analg* 2017; 124: 734-42.
31. Zanobetti M, Scorpiniti M, Gigli C, et al. Point-of-care ultrasonography for evaluation of acute dyspnea in the ED. *Chest* 2017; 151: 1295-301.
32. Weaver B, Lyon M, Blaivas M. Confirmation of endotracheal tube placement after intubation using the ultrasound sliding lung sign. *Acad Emerg Med* 2006; 13: 239-44.
33. Werner SL, Smith CE, Goldstein JR, Jones RA, Cydulka RK. Pilot study to evaluate the accuracy of ultrasonography in confirming endotracheal tube placement. *Ann Emerg Med* 2007; 49: 75-80.
34. Chou HC, Tseng WP, Wang CH, et al. Tracheal rapid ultrasound exam (T.R.U.E.) for confirming endotracheal tube placement during emergency intubation. *Resuscitation* 2011; 82: 1279-84.
35. Fiadjoe JE, Stricker P, Gurnaney H, et al. Ultrasound-guided tracheal intubation: a novel intubation technique. *Anesthesiology* 2012; 117: 1389-91.
36. Muslu B, Sert H, Kaya A, et al. Use of sonography for rapid identification of esophageal and tracheal intubations in adult patients. *J Ultrasound Med* 2011; 30: 671-6.
37. Fagley RE, Haney MF, Beraud AS, et al. Critical care basic ultrasound learning goals for American anesthesiology critical care trainees: recommendations from an expert group. *Anesth Analg* 2015; 120: 1041-53.
38. Ramsingh D, Fox JC, Wilson WC. Perioperative point-of-care ultrasonography: an emerging technology to be embraced by anesthesiologists. *Anesth Analg* 2015; 120: 990-2.
39. Mahmood F, Matyal R, Skubas N, et al. Perioperative ultrasound training in anesthesiology: a call to action. *Anesth Analg* 2016; 122: 1794-804.
40. Dines VA, Norton MS, Thompson GE, Hoskote SS. Don't go breaking my heart: the importance of ultrasound guidance in thoracentesis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2017; 195: A1640 (abstract).
41. Hibbert RM, Atwell TD, Lekah A, et al. Safety of ultrasound-guided thoracentesis in patients with abnormal preprocedural coagulation parameters. *Chest* 2013; 144: 456-63.
42. DeBiasi EM, Puchalski J. Thoracentesis: state-of-the-art in procedural safety, patient outcomes, and physiologic impact. *PLEURA* 2016; 3: 1-10.
43. Trovato GM, Sperandio M, Catalano D. Thoracic ultrasound guidance for access to pleural, peritoneal, and pericardial space. *Chest* 2013; 144: 1735-6.
44. Soni NJ, Franco R, Velez MI, et al. Ultrasound in the diagnosis and management of pleural effusions. *J Hosp Med* 2015; 10: 811-6.
45. Mayo PH, Beaulieu Y, Doelken P, et al. American College of Chest Physicians/La Société de Réanimation de Langue Française statement on competence in critical care ultrasonography. *Chest* 2009; 135: 1050-60.
46. Ramsingh D, Alexander B, Le K, Williams W, Canales C, Cannesson M. Comparison of the didactic lecture with the simulation/model approach for the teaching of a novel perioperative ultrasound curriculum to anesthesiology residents. *J Clin Anesth* 2014; 26: 443-54.
47. Ramsingh D, Rinehart J, Kain Z, et al. Impact assessment of perioperative point-of-care ultrasound training on anesthesiology residents. *Anesthesiology* 2015; 123: 670-82.
48. Scalea TM, Rodriguez A, Chiu WC, et al. Focused assessment with sonography for trauma (FAST): results from an international consensus conference. *J Trauma* 1999; 46: 466-72.
49. Labovitz AJ, Noble VE, Bierig M, et al. Focused cardiac ultrasound in the emergent setting: a consensus statement of the American Society of Echocardiography and American College of Emergency Physicians. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2010; 23: 1225-30.
50. Osman A, Wan Chuan T, Ab Rahman J, Via G, Tavazzi G. Ultrasound-guided pericardiocentesis: a novel parasternal approach. *Eur J Emerg Med* 2017. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/MEJ.0000000000000471>.
51. Arzola C, Carvalho JC, Cubillos J, Ye XY, Perlas A. Anesthesiologists' learning curves for bedside qualitative ultrasound assessment of gastric content: a cohort study. *Can J Anesth* 2013; 60: 771-9.
52. Van de Putte P, Perlas A. Ultrasound assessment of gastric content and volume. *Br J Anaesth* 2014; 113: 12-22.
53. Perlas A, Chan VW, Lupu CM, Mitsakakis N, Hanbidge A. Ultrasound assessment of gastric content and volume. *Anesthesiology* 2009; 111: 82-9.
54. Bouhemad B, Brisson H, Le-Guen M, Arbelot C, Lu Q, Rouby JJ. Bedside ultrasound assessment of positive end-expiratory pressure-induced lung recruitment. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011; 183: 341-7.
55. Song IK, Kim EH, Lee JH, Ro S, Kim HS, Kim JT. Effects of an alveolar recruitment manoeuvre guided by lung ultrasound on anaesthesia-induced atelectasis in infants: a randomised, controlled trial. *Anaesthesia* 2017; 72: 214-22.
56. Tusman G, Acosta CM, Costantini M. Ultrasonography for the assessment of lung recruitment maneuvers. *Crit Ultrasound J* 2016; 8: 8.
57. Deshpande R, Akhtar S, Haddadin AS. Utility of ultrasound in the ICU. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2014; 27: 123-32.
58. Margarido CB, Arzola C, Balki M, Carvalho JC. Anesthesiologists' learning curves for ultrasound assessment of the lumbar spine. *Can J Anesth* 2010; 57: 120-6.
59. Shaikh F, Brzezinski J, Alexander S, et al. Ultrasound imaging for lumbar punctures and epidural catheterisations: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2013; 346: f1720.
60. Kristiansson H, Nissborg E, Bartek JJ Jr, Andresen M, Reinstrup P, Romner B. Measuring elevated intracranial pressure through noninvasive methods: a review of the literature. *J Neurosurg Anesthesiol* 2013; 25: 372-85.
61. Rajajee V, Vanaman M, Fletcher JJ, Jacobs TL. Optic nerve ultrasound for the detection of raised intracranial pressure. *Neurocrit Care* 2011; 15: 506-15.
62. Frumin E, Schlang J, Wiechmann W, et al. Prospective analysis of single operator sonographic optic nerve sheath diameter measurement for diagnosis of elevated intracranial pressure. *West J Emerg Med* 2014; 15: 217-20.
63. Lambert AS, Miller JP, Merrick SH, et al. Improved evaluation of the location and mechanism of mitral valve regurgitation with a systematic transesophageal echocardiography examination. *Anesth Analg* 1999; 88: 1205-12.
64. Perlas A, Chan VW, Simons M. Brachial plexus examination and localization using ultrasound and electrical stimulation: a volunteer study. *Anesthesiology* 2003; 99: 429-35.



65. Johnson DW, Oren-Grinberg A. Perioperative point-of-care ultrasonography: the past and the future are in anesthesiologists hands. *Anesthesiology* 2011; 115: 460-2.
66. Henneberry RJ, Hanson A, Healey A, et al. Use of point of care sonography by emergency physicians. CAEP Ultrasound Position Paper Working Group. 8 CJEM 2012; 14: 106-12.
67. Via G, Hussain A, Wells M, et al. International evidence-based recommendations for focused cardiac ultrasound. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2014; 27: 683.e1-e33.
68. Terkawi AS, Karakitsos D, Elbarbary M, Blaivas M, Durieux ME. Ultrasound for the anesthesiologists: present and future. *Sci World J* 2013; 2013: 683685.
69. Mitchell JD, Montealegre-Gallegos M, Mahmood F, et al. Multimodal perioperative ultrasound course for interns allows for enhanced acquisition and retention of skills and knowledge. *A & A Case Rep* 2015; 5: 119-23.
70. Conlin F, Roy Connelly N, Raghunathan K, Friderici J, Schwabauer A. Focused transthoracic cardiac ultrasound: a survey of training practices. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2016; 30: 102-6.
71. Coker BJ, Zimmerman JM. Why anesthesiologists must incorporate focused cardiac ultrasound into daily practice. *Anesth Analg* 2017; 124: 761-5.
72. Janelle GM, London MJ. Perioperative ultrasound: the future is now. *Anesth Analg* 2016; 122: 1734-6.
73. Kobal SL, Trento L, Baharami S, et al. Comparison of effectiveness of hand-carried ultrasound to bedside cardiovascular physical examination. *Am J Cardiol* 2005; 96: 1002-6.
74. Heiberg J, El-Ansary D, Canty DJ, Royse AG, Royse CF. Focused echocardiography: a systematic review of diagnostic and clinical decision-making in anaesthesia and critical care. *Anaesthesia* 2016; 71: 1091-100.
75. Kanji HD, McCallum J, Sirounis D, MacRedmond R, Moss R, Boyd JH. Limited echocardiography-guided therapy in subacute shock is associated with change in management and improved outcomes. *J Crit Care* 2014; 29: 700-5.
76. Canty DJ, Royse CF, Kilpatrick D, Bower A, Royse AG. The impact on cardiac diagnosis and mortality of focused transthoracic echocardiography in hip fracture surgery patients with increased risk of cardiac disease: a retrospective cohort study. *Anaesthesia* 2012; 67: 1202-9.
77. Canty DJ, Royse CF, Kilpatrick D, Bowman L, Royse AG. The impact of focused transthoracic echocardiography in the pre-operative clinic. *Anaesthesia* 2012; 67: 618-25.
78. Botker MT, Vang ML, Grofte T, Sloth E, Frederiksen CA. Routine pre-operative focused ultrasonography by anesthesiologists in patients undergoing urgent surgical procedures. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2014; 58: 807-14.
79. Canty DJ, Royse CF. Audit of anaesthetist-performed echocardiography on perioperative management decisions for non-cardiac surgery. *Br J Anaesth* 2009; 103: 352-8.
80. Canty DJ, Royse CF, Kilpatrick D, Williams DL, Royse AG. The impact of pre-operative focused transthoracic echocardiography in emergency non-cardiac surgery patients with known or risk of cardiac disease. *Anaesthesia* 2012; 67: 714-20.
81. Cowie B. Three years' experience of focused cardiovascular ultrasound in the peri-operative period. *Anaesthesia* 2011; 66: 268-73.
82. Cowie B. Focused transthoracic echocardiography predicts perioperative cardiovascular morbidity. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2012; 26: 989-93.
83. Joseph MX, Disney PJ, Da Costa R, Hutchison SJ. Transthoracic echocardiography to identify or exclude cardiac cause of shock. *Chest* 2004; 126: 1592-7.
84. Manasia AR, Nagaraj HM, Kodali RB, et al. Feasibility and potential clinical utility of goal-directed transthoracic echocardiography performed by noncardiologist intensivists using a small hand-carried device (SonoHeart) in critically ill patients. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2005; 19: 155-9.
85. Orme RM, Oram MP, McKinsty CE. Impact of echocardiography on patient management in the intensive care unit: an audit of district general hospital practice. *Br J Anaesth* 2009; 102: 340-4.
86. Stanko LK, Jacobsohn E, Tam JW, De Wet CJ, Avidan M. Transthoracic echocardiography: impact on diagnosis and management in tertiary care intensive care units. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2005; 33: 492-6.
87. DeCara JM, Kirkpatrick JN, Spencer KT, et al. Use of hand-carried ultrasound devices to augment the accuracy of medical student bedside cardiac diagnoses. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2005; 18: 257-63.
88. Razi R, Estrada JR, Doll J, Spencer KT. Bedside hand-carried ultrasound by internal medicine residents versus traditional clinical assessment for the identification of systolic dysfunction in patients admitted with decompensated heart failure. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2011; 24: 1319-24.
89. Martin LD, Howell EE, Ziegelstein RC, et al. Hand-carried ultrasound performed by hospitalists: does it improve the cardiac physical examination? *Am J Med* 2009; 122: 35-41.
90. Brennan JM, Blair JE, Goonewardena S, et al. A comparison by medicine residents of physical examination versus hand-carried ultrasound for estimation of right atrial pressure. *Am J Cardiol* 2007; 99: 1614-6.
91. Laursen CB, Sloth E, Lassen AT, et al. Point-of-care ultrasonography in patients admitted with respiratory symptoms: a single-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2014; 2: 638-46.
92. Jones AE, Tayal VS, Sullivan DM, Kline JA. Randomized, controlled trial of immediate versus delayed goal-directed ultrasound to identify the cause of nontraumatic hypotension in emergency department patients. *Crit Care Med* 2004; 32: 1703-8.
93. Canadian Medical Association. Definition of Key Terms-2017. Available from: <https://www.cma.ca/En/Pages/definition-key-terms.aspx>. Accessed December 2017.
94. College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario. Professional Responsibilities in Postgraduate Medical Education. Dialogue; 2011. Available from: <http://www.cpso.on.ca/CPSO/media/uploadedfiles/policies/policies/policyitems/profresPG.pdf?ext=pdf>. Accessed December 2017.
95. Jorgensen MR, Juhl-Olsen P, Frederiksen CA, Sloth E. Transthoracic echocardiography in the perioperative setting. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2016; 29: 46-54.
96. Zimmerman JM, Coker BJ. The nuts and bolts of performing focused cardiovascular ultrasound (FoCUS). *Anesth Analg* 2017; 124: 753-60.
97. Hatfield A, Bodenham A. Ultrasound: an emerging role in anaesthesia and intensive care. *Br J Anaesth* 1999; 83: 789-800.
98. Spencer KT, Kimura BJ, Korcarz CE, Pellikka PA, Rahko PS, Siegel RJ. Focused cardiac ultrasound: recommendations from the American Society of Echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2013; 26: 567-81.
99. National Board of Echocardiography. Application for Certification. Basic Perioperative Transesophageal Echocardiography-Basic PTEeXAM-2017. Available from: [https://www.echoboards.org/docs/BasicPTE\\_Cert\\_App-2017.pdf](https://www.echoboards.org/docs/BasicPTE_Cert_App-2017.pdf). Accessed December 2017.
100. National Board of Echocardiography. Application for Certification. Advanced Perioperative Transesophageal Echocardiography - Advanced PTEeXAM - 2017. Available

- from: [https://www.echoboards.org/docs/AAdvPTE\\_Cert\\_App-2017.pdf](https://www.echoboards.org/docs/AAdvPTE_Cert_App-2017.pdf). Accessed December 2017.
101. *National Board of Echocardiography*. Application for Certification. Adult Echocardiography. ASCeXAM-2017. Available from: [https://www.echoboards.org/docs/ASCE\\_Cert\\_App-2017.pdf](https://www.echoboards.org/docs/ASCE_Cert_App-2017.pdf). Accessed December 2017.
  102. *Canadian Society of Echocardiography/Canadian Cardiovascular Society*. 2010 CCS/CSE Guidelines for Physician Training and Maintenance of Competence in Adult Echocardiography. Available from: [http://csecho.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/09/CCS\\_CSE\\_Echo\\_Guideline.pdf](http://csecho.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/09/CCS_CSE_Echo_Guideline.pdf). Accessed December 2017.
  103. *Canadian Association of Radiologists*. Position Statement on the Use of Point of Care Ultrasound 2013. Available from: <https://car.ca/wp-content/uploads/CAR-Position-Statement-on-the-Use-of-Point-of-Care-Ultrasound.pdf>. Accessed December 2017.
  104. *American Medical Association*. Privileging for Ultrasound Imaging H-230.960. Year last modified: 2010. Available from: <https://policysearch.ama-assn.org/policyfinder/detail/ultrasound%20imaging?uri=%2FAMADoc%2FHOD.xml-0-1591.xml>. Accessed December 2017.
  105. *Breitkreutz R, Walcher F, Seeger FH*. Focused echocardiographic evaluation in resuscitation management: concept of an advanced life support-conformed algorithm. *Crit Care Med* 2007; 35(5 Suppl): S150-61.
  106. *Perera P, Mailhot T, Riley D, Mandavia D*. The RUSH exam: rapid ultrasound in shock in the evaluation of the critically ill patient. *Emerg Med Clin North Am* 2010; 28: 29-56.
  107. *Millington SJ, Hewak M, Arntfield RT, et al*. Outcomes from extensive training in critical care echocardiography: identifying the optimal number of practice studies required to achieve competency. *J Crit Care* 2017; 40: 99-102.
  108. *Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada*. Discipline Recognition: Areas Of Focused Competence (AFC) Programs - 2017 Available from: <http://www.royalcollege.ca/rcsite/specialty-discipline-recognition/categories/discipline-recognition-areas-focussed-competence-afc-programs-e>. Accessed December 2017.
  109. *Facchin F, Zarantonello F, Panciera G, et al*. ULTRAPEEP: Lung ultrasound for the assessment of lung recruitment during esophageal pressure-guided PEEP in ARDS. *ESICM LIVES* 2016; 4(Suppl) A890 (abstract).
  110. *Daurat A, Choquet O, Bringuier S, Charbit J, Egan M, Capdevila X*. Diagnosis of postoperative urinary retention using a simplified ultrasound bladder measurement. *Anesth Analg* 2015; 120: 1033-8.
  111. *Mitchell JD, Mahmood F, Wong V, et al*. Teaching concepts of transesophageal echocardiography via Web-based modules. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2015; 29: 402-9.
  112. *Neelankavil J, Howard-Quijano K, Hsieh TC, et al*. Transthoracic echocardiography simulation is an efficient method to train anesthesiologists in basic transthoracic echocardiography skills. *Anesth Analg* 2012; 115: 1042-51.
  113. *Filippucci E, Meenagh G, Ciapetti A, Iagnocco A, Taggart A, Grassi W*. E-learning in ultrasonography: a web-based approach. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2007; 66: 962-5.
  114. *Hempel D, Stenger T, Campo Dell'Orto M, al*. Analysis of trainees' memory after classroom presentations of didactical ultrasound courses. *Crit Ultrasound J* 2014; 6: 10.
  115. *Malau-Aduli BS, Lee AY, Cooling N, Catchpole M, Jose M, Turner R*. Retention of knowledge and perceived relevance of basic sciences in an integrated case-based learning (CBL) curriculum. *BMC Med Educ* 2013; 13: 139.
  116. *Lewis RE, Hoffmann B, Beaulieu Y, Phelan MB*. Point-of-care ultrasound education: the increasing role of simulation and multimedia resources. *J Ultrasound Med* 2014; 33: 27-32.
  117. *Raman M, McLaughlin K, Violato C, Rostom A, Allard JP, Coderre S*. Teaching in small portions dispersed over time enhances long-term knowledge retention. *Med Teach* 2010; 32: 250-5.
  118. *Roediger HL 3rd, Pyc MA*. Inexpensive techniques to improve education: applying cognitive psychology to enhance educational practice. *J Appl Res Mem Cogn* 2012; 1: 242-8.
  119. *Larsen DP, Butler AC, Roediger HL 3rd*. Repeated testing improves long-term retention relative to repeated study: a randomised controlled trial. *Med Educ* 2009; 43: 1174-81.
  120. *Larsen DP, Butler AC, Roediger HL 3rd*. Test-enhanced learning in medical education. *Med Educ* 2008; 42: 959-66.