CORRESPONDENCE



Update to "Anesthesia advanced circulatory life support"

Gary Simon, MD

Received: 4 July 2012/Accepted: 20 July 2012/Published online: 14 August 2012 © Canadian Anesthesiologists' Society 2012

To the Editor,

The review, "Anesthesia advanced circulatory life support", by Vivek K. Moitra *et al.*¹ in the June 2012 issue of the *Journal* is an excellent summary. In a section of their review regarding the treatment of gas embolism, the following paragraph requires comment: "Promptly place patient in Trendelenburg (head down) position and rotate toward the left lateral decubitus position. This maneuver helps trap air in the apex of the ventricle, prevents its ejection into the pulmonary arterial system, and maintains right ventricular output." This approach was proposed by Durant² in 1947; however, it is not supported by more current literature.

The authors quote an article by Mirski³ which identifies the animal studies by Geissler⁴ and Mehlhorn⁵ that clearly refute the benefit of positioning change.

Mehlhorn *et al.* inserted femoral artery, left ventricle, and pulmonary artery catheters into twenty-two dogs. Air was injected into the pulmonary artery catheter, and the position of the dogs was then changed. They found little difference between the measured variables regardless of position. The most acute changes occurred in the first five to fifteen minutes with significant recovery by one hour – again independent of position. They concluded that positioning changes offered no benefit compared with the supine position.

Geissler *et al.* not only instrumented 18 dogs, but they also used transesophageal echocardiography probes for a more comprehensive assessment of the distribution of the embolus in the heart. Their hemodynamic findings are

G. Simon, MD (⊠) London Health Sciences Centre, London, ON, Canada e-mail: gsimon@uwo.ca similar to those of Mehlhorn. Positioning changes did result in air being relocated to nondependent parts of the right heart; however, no hemodynamic benefit was identified. Their findings refute the theory of air causing a right ventricular outflow tract obstruction.

The left lateral decubitus position could render cardiac compressions ineffective. A patient suffering a large air embolus is likely to become hemodynamically compromised, and avoidance of ineffective interventions may be crucial for a successful outcome.

Competing interests None declared.

References

- Moitra VK, Gabrielli A, Maccioli GA, O'Connor MF. Anesthesia advanced circulatory life support. Can J Anesth 2012; 59: 586-603.
- Durant TM, Long J, Oppenheimer MJ. Pulmonary (venous) air embolism. Am Heart J 1947; 33: 269-81.
- Mirski MA, Lele AV, Fitzsimmons L, Toung TJ. Diagnosis and treatment of vascular air embolism. Anesthesiology 2007; 106: 164-77.
- Geissler HJ, Allen SJ, Mehlhorn U, Davis KL, Morris WP, Butler BD. Effect of body repositioning after venous air embolism. An echocardiographic study. Anesthesiology 1997; 86: 710-7.
- 5. *Mehlhorn U, Burke EJ, Butler BD, et al.* Body position does not affect the hemodynamic response to venous air embolism in dogs. Anesth Analg 1994; 79: 734-9.

Reply

Dr. Simon has succinctly and accurately summarized the conflicting literature regarding the management of gas embolism. The literature, such as it is, provides considerably more information for the management of this problem in dogs than it provides for its management in humans. The

recommendation to rotate the patient toward the left recognizes that the patient may require chest compressions, and, as Dr. Simon points out, such positioning may not be possible in many or most instances. Efforts to position the patient should not take precedence over preventing further embolism, managing the patient's circulation, or providing high-quality chest compressions. Michael F. O'Connor, MD University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA E-mail: moc5@dacc.uchicago.edu Received July 19, 2012. Accepted July 19, 2012.

Competing interests None declared.