

# Parasitism of Psytallia concolor (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) on Bactrocera oleae (Diptera: Tephritidae) infesting different olive varieties

Nikos Garantonakis · Kyriaki Varikou · Athanasia Birouraki

Received: 20 December 2016 /Accepted: 26 June 2017 /Published online: 7 July 2017  $\oslash$  Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2017

Abstract Psytallia concolor (Szépligeti) is a koinobiont endoparasitoid of many Tephritidae larvae, including Bactrocera oleae (Rossi), and has been used in Mediterranean areas for biological control of olive fruit fly by inundative release. The present study evaluates the influence of olive fruit variety (Amfissis, Arbequina, Branquita de Elvas, Carolea, Kalamon, Koroneiki, Leccino, Manzanilla, Mastoidis, Moroccan Picholine and Picholine) on P. concolor parasitism efficiency and performance in the field during two successive years. The results showed that the percentage of parasitism was significantly higher (>30%) in *Mastoidis* and Koroneiki (light-weight varieties  $\langle 1.5 \rangle$ g) than *Leccino* which has a medium fruit weight, followed by Amfissis, Moroccan Picholine, Picholine and Branquita de Elvas. Only Manzanilla among large weight varieties, exhibited high percentage of parasitization (42.72%) during 2013. The mean weight of the pupae (>4.21 mg) as well as the length of the developed adult parasitoids (>3.5 cm) in Mastoidis and Manzanilla were significantly higher than these individuals developed from other varieties such as Koroneiki and Kalamon. Finally, the optimal host fruit for P. concolor development seems to be *Mastoidis* variety with great biological parameters and percentage of parasitism.

Keywords Olive fruit fly · Psytallia concolor · Olive varieties. Parasitism rate . Performance

## Introduction

The olive tree (Olea europaea L. Lamiales: Oleaceae) is historic and classical feature of the Mediterranean landscape (Cherubini et al. [2013\)](#page-6-0). It has been cultivated since the late prehistory, in the early Bronze Age, where it has been grown for its oil-rich fruit (Carrión et al. [2010](#page-6-0)). The olive tree is susceptible to pests and diseases, including the olive fruit fly, Bactrocera oleae (Rossi) (Diptera: Tephritidae). B. oleae is considered the major pest of olives around the world for more than 2000 years (Raspi and Viggiani [2008;](#page-7-0) Hepdurgun et al. [2009\)](#page-6-0). Instead of many other Tephritidae which are polyphagous (White and Elson-Harris [1992;](#page-8-0) Liu et al. [2013\)](#page-7-0), B. oleae is feeding exclusively on Olea species; olive fruit fly females lay an egg under the fruit surface thus the larvae develop inside olive fruits until they open an exit hole before pupate. On table olive groves the oviposition puncture leads to a serious reduction of crop value, while exit holes and pulp degradation can determine a quality and quantity loss of olive oil production and it can cause a significant quantitative and qualitative loss in the production of table olives and oil (Manousis and Moore [1987](#page-7-0); Nardi et al. [2005\)](#page-7-0). Recently Malacrinò et al. ([2017\)](#page-7-0) represented a further step to define the ecological role of the olive fruit fly, not only asdirect source of damage, but also as a major component of olive agroecosystem and as a vector of plant pathogenic

N. Garantonakis  $(\boxtimes) \cdot K$ . Varikou  $\cdot A$ . Birouraki Laboratory of Entomology, Hellenic Agricultural Organization "Demeter", (Institute for Olive tree, Subtropical Plants and Viticulture), Leoforos Karamanli, 73100 Chania, Crete, Greece e-mail: n\_garantonakis@yahoo.gr

fungi such as Cladosporium spp., Alternaria spp. and Aureobasidium spp., by plant pathogens like Colletotrichum spp. and Pseudocercospora spp., along with several other less abundant taxa whose ecology is unclear in most of the cases.

Over the last forty years, control of the olive fruit fly has relied mainly on chemical treatments, such as organophosphate insecticides (Kakani and Mathiopoulos [2008](#page-7-0); Matallanas et al. [2013](#page-7-0)). However, their continue use led to the development of resistance in olive fruit fly populations (Kakani and Mathiopoulos [2008\)](#page-7-0) and has ecological and toxicological side effects such as environmental pollution, contamination of olive oils (Amvrazi and Albanis [2009;](#page-6-0) Angioni et al. [2011](#page-6-0)) and serious effects on the environment and human health (Ruiz-Torres and Muñoz-Cobo [1997](#page-7-0); Ruiz-Torres and Montiel-Bueno [2002](#page-7-0)). More recently, pyrethroid insecticides have been referred as a valuable alternative tool to control the olive fruit fly (Margaritopoulos et al. [2008](#page-7-0); Youssef et al. [2004\)](#page-8-0) as well as the macrocyclic lactone spinosad (Kakani et al. [2010\)](#page-7-0). However, there is evidence that olive fruit fly populations are capable of developing resistance once pyrethroids started to be commonly used (Hawkes et al. [2005;](#page-6-0) Skouras et al. [2007](#page-7-0); Margaritopoulos et al. [2008\)](#page-7-0).

Alternative methods that do not involve insecticides were also developed and include: mass trapping (Broumas et al. [2002;](#page-6-0) Bento et al. [2003\)](#page-6-0), attracting and killing (Bento et al. [1999;](#page-6-0) Mazomenos et al. [2002](#page-7-0); Torres et al. [2002\)](#page-7-0), the use of kaolin-based particle film (Saour and Makee [2004\)](#page-7-0), sterile insect technique and biological control. From these control methods, biological control has long been investigated as a potential way to suppress olive fruit fly populations (Tzanakakis [2006](#page-8-0); Wang et al. [2009a](#page-8-0); Daane and Johnson [2010](#page-6-0)).

The focus of biological control has been on parasitic wasps. In fact, recent surveys suggest that a small group of braconids in the subfamilies Opiinae and Braconidae from Africa represent the primary natural enemies attacking olive fruit fly in its native range (Daane and Johnson [2010](#page-6-0)). Among them, Psytallia concolor (Szépligeti) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) has been the most studied (Wang et al. [2011\)](#page-8-0). It was first discovered in 1910 and distributed to several countries since then to control the olive fruit fly (Neuenschwander et al. [1983\)](#page-7-0). Though no effort resulted in successful control of the olive fruit fly (Tzanakakis [2006\)](#page-8-0). Various factors could have limited the success of these efforts, e.g. low winter temperatures, which affect parasitoid survival (Jiménez et al. [2002](#page-6-0)) or low quality of mass-reared parasitoids with low flight ability and abundance of fruit flies at the beginning of the summer (Delrio et al. [2005;](#page-6-0) Benelli and Canale [2012\)](#page-6-0), oviposition experience influences the effectiveness of parasitoid release programs (Canale and Benelli [2012\)](#page-6-0).

P. concolor is a larval–pupal koinobiont endoparasitoid that successfully attacks 14 Tephritidae species, including the olive fruit fly, *B. oleae* and Ceratitis capitata (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Tephritidae) (Fischer [1971](#page-6-0); Wharton and Gilstrap [1983](#page-8-0); Wharton [1997;](#page-8-0) Wharton et al. [2000;](#page-8-0) Sime et al. [2006;](#page-7-0) Wang et al. [2011](#page-8-0); Benelli and Canale [2012\)](#page-6-0). Parasitoid females naturally parasitized larvae inside fruits, usually preferring fully grown larvae (Canale and Benelli [2012\)](#page-6-0) and emerge from the host puparium (Wharton [1997\)](#page-8-0).

Female wasps are able to distinguish between infested and healthy fruit, preferring the first one, even if just olfactory cues are provided (Benelli et al. [2013\)](#page-6-0). Giunti et al. ([2016a](#page-6-0)) identified >70 volatile organic compounds (two of these were increased by B. oleae infestation, (E)-β-ocimene and 2-methyl-6-methylene-1,7-octadien-3-one, and four were decreased, α-pinene, β-pine ne, limonene, and β-elemene) emanating from uninfested and B. oleae infested olive fruits while mated P. concolor females were attracted only by  $(E)$ -βocimene. For olive fruit fly the process of host choice of a particular variety includes several mechanisms such as plant colour, shape, size, and particularly the volatiles emitted by the fruiting tree (Aluja and Mangan [2008](#page-5-0)) that may act as semiochemicals. Several authors (Dominici et al. [1986](#page-6-0); Gümusay et al. [1990](#page-6-0); Iannotta et al. [1999;](#page-6-0) Rizzo and Caleca [2006](#page-7-0)) showed that fruit morphometric indicators fruit length, width, fresh weight were positively correlated with B. oleae infestation (Pucci and Ambrosi [1982](#page-7-0); Neuenshwander et al. [1985](#page-7-0); Rizzo et al. [2012;](#page-7-0) Garantonakis et al. [2016\)](#page-6-0) Females may also adjust their choice according to the availability of olive varieties and their phenological stages, in order to optimize their reproductive success (Moreau et al. [2006\)](#page-7-0).

Αpart from the ability of a female P. concolor for oviposition on B. oleae larvae, which is related to olive fruit size, there are many other factors influencing the decision of the mother to oviposit its eggs in a specific host. The objective of the present study was to evaluate the influence of eleven O. europaea cultivars from the major European Mediterranean olive producing countries on parasitism efficiency and performance of P. concolor.

## Materials and methods

#### Experimental orchard

The study was conducted on the island of Crete (southern part of Greece) in the experimental O. europaea grove of the Institute of Olive Tree, Subtropical Crops and Viticulture, located in Nerokourou village (1.1 ha, 35° 28′ 36. 76″ N- 24° 02′ 36. 44″ E-51 m) during 2012 and 2013. Fifty year-old, non-irrigated trees belonging to cultivars Amfissis, Arbequina, Branquita de Elvas, Carolea, Kalamon, Koroneiki, Leccino, Manzanilla, Mastoidis, Moroccan Picholine and Picholine were selected based on vegetative growth and fruit load uniformity. Eight trees of each cultivar were planted in a complete randomized block design within the orchard, spaced about 8 m apart and pruned regularly. The mean olive fruit production per tree and per field was estimated at  $\sim$ 70 and 50% of the normal yield (about 80–120 kg/tree) in 2012 and 2013, respectively. Chemical fertilizers were applied each winter according to soil and foliage analysis. Mean air temperature in this area was 18 °C, relative humidity (RH) was 64% and annual rainfall was 600–800 mm according to the records of the meteorological station of the Institute.

#### Olive fruit sampling

About 500 to 1000 of infested olive fruits (with oviposition sites and easily observed tunnels) were collected from each olive variety once during the last week of November 2012 and 2013 (end of the harvest period in Crete) in order to evaluate the parasitism rate of B. oleae larvae by the endoparasitoid P. concolor and its biological parameters. Fruits were collected at head height and along the four cardinal points of each tree and were immediately transferred to the laboratory for the measurements.

Except from the samples of infested fruits, 10 samples of 100 healthy fruits were also collected in order to measure weight per fruit and variety.

Parasitism rate and biological parameters of P. concolor

The infested fruits from each olive variety were enclosed into wooden cages (dimensions  $30 \times 30 \times 30$  cm). Pupae were daily collected from the cages, weighted and then each one was isolated in a small plastic cage and held until adult fly or parasitoid emerged. Every 10 plastic cages with pupae from the same olive variety were grouped in order to estimate P. concolor parasitism rate and sex ratio. Percentage parasitism was estimated based on the number of emerged wasps and flies. Parasitoids were anaesthetized with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and then the total body length: from top of head to tip of abdomen (of both sexes) as well as the sex ratio of the recorded adults (proportion of females out of total) were recorded under a stereomicroscope equipped with an ocular micrometer. All records were taken under controlled conditions of  $22 \pm 2$  °C,  $60 \pm 5\%$  RH and 12: 12 (L:D).

#### Data analysis

In order to compare differences among varieties, the data (fruit weight, weight of pupae, parasitism rate, parasitoids length, and parasitoids sex ratio) among varieties were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the multiple comparison means by Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD). Prior to analysis percentages of parasitism were normalized using the arcsine square root transformation. Means and standard errors based on the original data are presented in tables. The significant level for all analyses was 0.05. Data analysis was conducted using the statistical package JMP (SAS Institute [2008\)](#page-7-0).

### Results

### Olive fruit weight

Olive fruit weight was significantly different among varieties in 2012 and 2013 ( $F = 47.33$ ; df = 8, 81;  $p < 0.0001$ ) and  $(F = 69.97; df = 8$ , 81;  $p < 0.0001$ ) respectively.

During 2012, the highest fruit weight was observed in Amfissis, Moroccan Picholine, Picholine, Branquita while intermediate in Kalamon and Leccino. The lowest fruit weight was recorded in Koroneiki followed by Mastoidis and Arbequina (Table [1](#page-3-0)).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1** Mean  $\pm$  SE of olive fruit weight and parasitism levels of P. concolor on B. oleae among several olive varieties in 2012

Olive variety	Weight of 100 fruits $(g)$	% parasitism
Amfissis	$402 \pm 15$ a	$5.83 \pm 2.03c$
Arbequina	$167 \pm 10$ d	$7.33 \pm 2.39$ bc
Branquita de Elvas	$335 \pm 18$ ab	$9.09 \pm 3.02$ bc
Kalamon	$296 \pm 18$ hc	$4.62 \pm 2.07c$
Koroneiki	$96 \pm 5e$	$29.66 \pm 5.92$ ab
Leccino	$258 \pm 11c$	$0.00 \pm 0.00c$
<i>Mastoidis</i>	$154 \pm 12$ de	$45.88 \pm 5.77a$
Moroccan Picholine	$359 \pm 15$ ab	$1.82 \pm 1.15c$
Picholine	$351 \pm 19ab$	$5.71 \pm 3.41$ bc

Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at  $p = 0.05$ 

Next year, the highest fruit weight was observed in Carolea and Amfissis while intermediate in Kalamon, Manzanilla, Picholine, Moroccan Picholine, and Leccino. The lowest fruit weight was recorded in Koroneiki followed by Mastoidis (Table 2).

### Parasitism rate

During 2012, parasitism of B. oleae larvae by P. concolor was significantly higher in Mastoidis (45.88%) compared to Amfissis, Moroccan Picholine, Arbequina, Branquita, Leccino, Kalamon and Picholine  $(F = 9.84; df = 8, 130; p < 0.0001)$  (Table 1).

During 2013, parasitism of B. oleae larvae by P. concolor was also significantly higher in Mastoidis (55.00%) compared to Amfissis, Moroccan Picholine,

**Table 2** Mean  $\pm$  SE of olive fruit weight and parasitism levels of P. concolor on B. oleae among several olive varieties in 2013

Olive variety	Weight of 100 fruits $(g)$	% parasitism
Amfissis	$361 \pm 15ab$	$1.11 \pm 1.05c$
Carolea	$406 \pm 9a$	$5.62 \pm 2.16c$
Kalamon	$334 \pm 9$ hc	$14.44 \pm 4.39$ bc
Koroneiki	$93 \pm 5f$	$43.93 \pm 5.52a$
Leccino	$272 \pm 7d$	$1.25 \pm 1.15c$
Manzanilla	$329 \pm 19$ hc	$42.72 \pm 8.12$ ab
<i>Mastoidis</i>	$156 \pm 10 e$	$55.00 \pm 11.07a$
Moroccan Picholine	$307 \pm 12$ cd	$12.22 \pm 5.75$ bc
Picholine	$312 \pm 6$ hcd	$10.76 \pm 3.16$ bc

Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at  $p = 0.05$ 

Carolea, Leccino, Kalamon and Picholine  $(F = 8.98;$ df = 8, 150;  $p < 0.0001$ ) (Table 2).

Biological parameters of P. concolor

Biological parameters of the P. concolor, emerged from olive varieties with very low low number of emerged parasitoids such as 'Kalamon', 'Manzanilla', 'Picholine, 'Leccino', 'Branquita de Elvas', 'Arbequina' and 'Carolea', were not possible to be studied.

During 2012, pupal weight from Koroneiki (4.39 mg), was significantly lighter than pupal weight from *Mastoidis* ( $F = 9.95$ ; df = 2, 177;  $p < 0.0001$ ). Significantly larger size (adult length) of adult parasitoids was developed on B. oleae larvae feeding on olive fruits from Mastoidis(3.54 mm) compared to these from Koroneiki (F = 7.57; df = 2, 177;  $p < 0.000$ ) (Table [3\)](#page-4-0).

The percentage of female adults out of total, emerged from pupae ranged from 36.1% to 53.06% in Mastoidis and Koroneiki respectively, with no significant difference among varieties (Table [3\)](#page-4-0).

Next year, the recorded pupal weight from Kalamon and Koroneiki (3.33 mg) and (3.96 mg) respectively, were significantly lighter than pupal weight from *Manzanilla* and *Mastoidis* ( $F = 9.38$ ; df = 4, 404;  $p < 0.0001$ ). Significantly larger size (adult length) was recorded in adult's parasitoids which were developed on B. oleae larvae feeding on olive fruits of Manzanilla and Mastoidis (3.63 mm) compared with these of Kalamon and Koroneiki  $(F = 6.33; df = 4,$ 404;  $p < 0.000$ ). The percentage of females out of total, emerged from pupae ranged from 44.72% in Koroneiki to 59.17% in Kalamon with no significant difference among varieties (Table [4](#page-4-0)).

#### Discussion

Through our field measurements of P. concolor performance among several olive varieties under the same cultural and environmental conditions, we concluded that the optimal host fruit for P. concolor development seems to be Mastoidis variety with great biological parameters and percentage of parasitism. The female parasitoid chose for oviposition infested fruits of Mastoidis which has a relatively small weight, and gives to parasitoid's offspring a good fitness. Though there is an exception with the heavy-weight Manzanilla; female

Olive variety	Weight of parasitized olive fruit fly pupae (mg)	Body length (mm)	% Sex ratio
Amfissis	$5.14 \pm 0.16$ ab	$3.36 \pm 0.09ab$	$36.70 \pm 16.6a$
Koroneiki	$4.39 \pm 0.17$ b	$3.33 \pm 0.04b$	$53.06 \pm 6.04a$
<i>Mastoidis</i>	$5.42 \pm 0.39a$	$3.54 \pm 0.04a$	$36.10 \pm 3.59a$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 3** Mean  $\pm$  SE of *P. concolor* biological parameters among several olive varieties in 2012

Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at  $p = 0.05$ 

parasitoid has choosen this variety for oviposition as a good resource for her offspring development.

Our study showed that parasitism of the olive fruit fly by P. concolor was not clearly determined by fruit weight. In both years of the study, the percentage of parasitism was significantly higher  $(>30\%)$  in *Mastoidis* and Koroneiki (light-weight varieties <1.5 g) than Leccino which has a medium fruit weight, followed by Amfissis, Moroccan Picholine, Picholine and Branquita. There is an exception of a heavy-weight fruit *Manzanilla* (>3 g) with 42.72% parasitization during 2013. Varieties such as Amfissis, Mastoidis, Manzanilla as well as Moroccan Picholine, exhibited the greatest wasp performance as was indicated by the measurements performed as part of this study on P. concolor. Specifically in these olive varieties, the mean weight of the pupae (>4.21 mg) as well as the length of the developed adult parasitoids (>3.5 cm) were significantly higher than these individuals developed from other varieties such as Koroneiki and Kalamon. Finally our results indicated that olive variety did not affect the parasitoid's offspring sex ratio which ranged between 36.1 and 59.17% females. According Yokoyama et al. ([2012\)](#page-8-0) and Neuenschwander et al. ([1983\)](#page-7-0) the sex ratio of Psytallia humilis was similar to our study and ranged from 53 to 62% and 53%, respectively.

Several authors (Dominici et al. [1986](#page-6-0); Gümusay et al. [1990](#page-6-0); Iannotta et al. [1999;](#page-6-0) Rizzo and Caleca [2006](#page-7-0)) show that varieties with bigger fruits are heavily infested from B. oleae than smaller ones concluding also that within the same variety, the bigger ones can be more preferred than the smaller ones. Garantonakis et al. [\(2016\)](#page-6-0) found that the percentage of alive infestation (live immature individuals of the olive fruit fly) was significantly influenced by the olive variety, with the lowest value recorded in Mastoidis (<2%%) compared to others. This can be probably linked with the significantly highest values of parasitization recorded at the same varieties, in this study.

B. oleae larvae prefer to feed deeper inside the fruit pulp with increasing fruit size and the reduction in parasitism levels by P. concolor in larger fruit is likely due to the parasitoid's relatively short ovipositor that limits them from reaching the maggots deeper within the fruit pulp (Wang et al. [2008\)](#page-8-0). Thus, increased olive fruit size, which is associated with crop domestication, creates a better structural refuge for larval B. oleae. The success of parasitoid attack on more concealed pests depends on the match of the parasitoid's ovipositor with the depth at which their insect host feeds within plant organs (Feder [1995](#page-6-0); Leyva et al. [1991](#page-7-0); Lopez et al. [1999](#page-7-0); Sivinski and Aluja [2003](#page-7-0); Weis et al. [1985](#page-8-0)). The olive fruit fly parasitoid, Psytallia lounsburyi (Silvestri) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), was less effective in attacking olive fruit fly larvae within larger than smaller olives in California, and this was attributed to its relatively short ovipositor in comparison to the depth of the pulp of mature cultivated olive fruit (Wang et al. [2009a\)](#page-8-0).

Body length (mm)	% Sex ratio
$3.39 \pm 0.06b$	$59.17 \pm 12.93a$
$3.48 \pm 0.02b$	$44.72 \pm 3.42a$
$3.63 \pm 0.04a$	$53.43 \pm 5.73a$
$3.63 \pm 0.04a$	$46.12 \pm 7.29a$
$3.57 \pm 0.06ab$	$50.00 \pm 11.78a$

**Table 4** Mean  $\pm$  SE of P. concolor biological parameters among several olive varieties in 2013

Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at  $p = 0.05$ 

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Sime et al. [\(2007\)](#page-7-0) also reported that another larval olive fruit fly parasitoid, P. ponerophaga (Silvestri) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) successfully produced more offspring from smaller than larger cultivated olives. Female flies allocate more offspring to large than to small fruit while at the same time specialist larval parasitoid, P. lounsburyi, more effectively parasitizes hosts in smaller than larger fruit (Wang et al. [2009a\)](#page-8-0). In addition, olive fruit fly larvae can be attacked in large fruit when the larvae are exiting for pupation, or when the host density in each fruit is high (Wang et al. [2009b\)](#page-8-0) such as in *Manzanilla* that has the highest recorded infestation among several varieties in field condition of Crete (Garantonakis et al. [2016\)](#page-6-0).

Moreover the width of the mesocarp of a Koroneiki and Mastoidis olive fruit in which high values of parasitization were recorded is very close (ranged from 6 to 8 mm) while the length of the ovipositor of P. concolor was 1.93 mm (ranged from 1.4 to 2.4 mm) (Varikou unpublished data) meaning that it is easier to target the olive fly larva in such pulp thickness compared to larger ones; as flesh depth extends in larger sized and heavier drupes, second-instar hosts will be less accessible by the wasp because these tend to feed deeper within the fruit. An explanation of this is that the Psytallia species used in most of the early European field releases were probably originated from North Africa and collected from wild olives with small fruits (Rugman-Jones et al. [2009](#page-7-0)); the collected parasitoid is very well adapted in such small fruit Cretan varieties and favourable environmental conditions  $(>15 \degree C)$  for its development. It should be pointed also that Neuenschwander et al. ([1983\)](#page-7-0) was confused whether this parasitoid existed from Cretan orchards is indigenous or if it was introduced to island of Crete. There was an effort of introduction in Athens (1930) from Libya but due to lack of facilities its rearing and releasing was impossible. Anyway, the species was later, discovered in Ierapetra (Southeastern Crete) in 1963 (Stavraki [1967\)](#page-8-0) and since then it exists in the island without new releases (Neuenschwander et al. [1983\)](#page-7-0). The same researchers report that the parasitoid can probably develops on the olive fruit fly alone, survive and reproduce throughout the year on *B. oleae* and it has low population densities in summer and higher in winter.

Quality and quantity of host tissue are probably the most important factors influencing parasitoid size. Adult female weight may influence fitness by affecting the searching efficiency, longevity and fecundity of the wasp (Godfray [1994](#page-6-0)). Large hosts are expected to be more advantageous in terms of offspring fitness than small hosts because they contain a greater quantity of resources (Harvey et al. [1995](#page-6-0)). Other studies showed that the nutritional and endocrinological status could also impose constraints on the parasitoids' development (Harvey et al. [1995](#page-6-0); Colinet et al. [2015\)](#page-6-0).

Female parasitoid had the opportunity for selective oviposition determined by factors such as the quality of the larvae of the B. oleae as food for the larvae of the parasitoid, which is probably influenced by the olive variety. All these evidences point out that there are many other factors, beyond fruit size or weight, which influence host location and parasitism of P. concolor. Giunti et al. ([2016b](#page-6-0)) referred that chemical cues produced by olive fruits under B. oleae attack, route the host location behavior of *P. concolor* females, acting as short-range 'kairomones'; 12 volatiles in infested Arbequina olives, 5 in Frantoio and 8 in Leccino ones.

Furthermore *P. concolor* can probably effectively parasitizes also other similar morphometrical varieties such as Arbequina; similar flesh weight (Garantonakis et al. [2016](#page-6-0)) and pulp thickness (according to the International Olive Council (IOC) on the basis of the Word Catalogue of Olive Varieties). Though, this topic needs further investigation.

Morphometrical characteristics differ among varieties, trees of a particular species and even within the canopies of individual trees, and these size patterns can underlie the distributions of fruit flies and their larval parasitoids. Structural refuges for insect herbivores exist even in natural systems (Dyer and Gentry [1999\)](#page-6-0) and play an important part in sustaining multi-trophic interactions by preventing the overexploitation of hosts by their parasitoids (Hawkins et al. [1993](#page-6-0)). However, the large variability between the different tritrophic systems and the organisms involved requires thorough investigations and careful application of the gained knowledge.

Acknowledgements We thank the laboratory for olive cultivation of the Institute of Olive Tree, Subtropical Plants and Viticulture for the concession of experimental orchards. We also thank the workers of the Institute for the cultivation of olive trees.

#### References

Aluja, M., & Mangan, R. L. (2008). Fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) host status determination: critical conceptual,

<span id="page-6-0"></span>methodological, and regulatory considerations. Annual Review of Entomology, 53, 473–502.

- Amvrazi, E. G., & Albanis, T. A. (2009). Pesticide residue assessment in different types of olive oil and preliminary exposure assessment of Greek consumers to the pesticide residues detected. Food Chemistry, 113, 253–261.
- Angioni, A., Porcu, L., & Pirisi, F. (2011). LC/DAD/ESI/MS method for the determination of imidacloprid, thiacloprid, and spinosad in olives and olive oil after field treatment. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 59(20), 11359–11366.
- Benelli, G., & Canale, A. (2012). Do Psytallia concolor (hymenoptera: Braconidae) males gain in mating competitiveness from being courted by other males while still young? Entomological Science, 15, 257–260.
- Benelli, G., Revadi, S., Carpita, A., Giunti, G., Raspi, A., Anfora, G., & Canale, A. (2013). Behavioral and electrophysiological responses of the parasitic wasp Psytallia concolor (Szépligeti) (hymenoptera: Braconidae) to Ceratitis capitate induced fruit volatiles. Biological Control, 64, 116–124.
- Bento, A., Torres, L., & Lopes, J. (1999). Studies on the control of the olive fruit fly Bactrocera oleae (Gmel.) by mass trapping. In: XIV lnternational Plant Protection congress (IPPC), Jerusalem, Israel, July 25-30.
- Bento, A., Pereira, J.A., Cabanas, J.E., Pinto, A., & Torres, L. (2003). Economic injury levels for the olive fly, Bactrocera oleae (Gmel.), in Trás-os-Montes region (Northeast of Portugal). In: Proceedings of 1st European Meeting of the IOBC/WPRS Study Group "Integrated Control in Olives", 29–31 May 2003 Chania, Crete, Hellas: 27.
- Broumas, T., Haniotakis, G., Liaropoulos, C., Tomazou, T., & Ragoussis, N. (2002). The efficacy of an improved form of the mass-trapping method, for the control of the olive fruit fly, Bactrocera oleae (Gmelin) (Dipt., Tephritidae): Pilotscale feasibility studies. Journal of Applied Entomology, 126(5), 217–223.
- Canale, A., & Benelli, G. (2012). Impact of mass-rearing on the host seeking behaviour and parasitism by the fruit fly parasitoid Psyttallia concolor (Szépligeti) (hymenoptera: Braconidae). Journal of Pest Science, 85(1), 65–74.
- Carrión, Y., Ntinou, M., & Badal, E. (2010). Olea europaea L. in the North Mediterranean basin during the Pleniglacial and the early–middle Holocene. Quaternary Science Review, 29, 952–968.
- Cherubini, P., Humbel, T., Beeckman, H., Gärtner, H., & Mannes, D. (2013). Olive tree-ring problematic dating: a comparative analysis on Santorini (Greece). PloS One, 8(1), e54730. doi[:10.1371/journal.pone.0054730](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0054730).
- Colinet, H., Salin, C., Boivin, G., & Hance, T. (2015). Host age and fitness-related traits in a koinobiont aphid parasitoid. Ecological Entomology, 30, 473–479.
- Daane, M., & Johnson, W. (2010). Olive fruit fly: managing managing an ancient ancient pest pest in modern modern Timestimes. Annual Review of Entomology, 55, 151–169.
- Delrio, G., Lentini, A., & Satta, A. (2005). Biological control of olive fruit fly through inoculative releases of Opius concolor Szepl. Proceedings of Integrated Protection of Olive Crops, IOBC/wprs, 28(9), 53–58.
- Dominici, M., Pucci, C., & Montanari, G. E. (1986). Dacus oleae ovipositing in olive fruits. Journal of Applied Entomology, 101, 111–120.
- Dyer, L. A., & Gentry, G. (1999). Predicting natural-enemy responses to herbivores in natural and managed systems. Ecological Applications, 9, 402–408.
- Feder, J. (1995). The effects of parasitoids on sympatric host races of Rhagoletis pomonella (Diptera: Tephritidae). Ecology, 76, 801–813.
- Fischer, M. (1971). Hym. Braconidae. World Opiinae. Index of Entomophagous Insects. Le Francois, Paris.
- Garantonakis, N., Varikou, K., Markakis, E., Birouraki, A., Sergentani, C., Psarras, G., & Koubouris, G. (2016). Interaction between Bactrocera oleae (Diptera: Tephritidae) infestation and fruit mineral element content in Olea europaea (Lamiales: Oleaceae) cultivars of global interest. Applied Entomology and Zoology, 51(2), 257–263.
- Giunti, G., Benelli, G., Conte, G., Mele, M., Caruso, G., Gucci, R., Flamini, G., & Canale, A. (2016a). VOCs-mediated location of olive fly larvae by the braconid parasitoid Psytallia concolor: a multivariate comparison among VOC bouquets from three olive cultivars. Bio Med, Article ID: 7827615. doi[:10.1155/2016/7827615.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/7827615)
- Giunti, G., Benelli, G., Flamini, G., Michaud, J. P., & Canale, A. (2016b). Innate and learned responses of the Tephritid parasitoid Psytallia concolor (hymenoptera: Braconidae) to olive volatiles induced by Bactrocera oleae (Diptera: Tephritidae) infestation. Journal of Economic Entomology, 109(6), 2272– 2280.
- Godfray, H. C. J. (1994). Parasitoids: behavioral and evolutionary ecology (p. 473). Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Gümusay, B., Özilbey, U., Ertem, G., & Öktar, A. (1990). Studies on the susceptibility of some important table and oil olive varieties of Aegean region to olive fly (Dacus oleae Gmel.) in Turkey. Acta Horticulture, 286, 359–361.
- Harvey, J. A., Harvey, I. F., & Thompson, D. J. (1995). The effect of host nutrition on growth and development of the parasitoid wasp Venturia canescens. Entomolia Expermentalis et Applicata, 75, 213–220.
- Hawkes, J. N., Hemingway, W. R., & Vontas, J. (2005). Detection of resistance-associated point mutations of organophosphateinsensitive acetylcholinesterase in the olive fruit fly, Bactrocera oleae (Gmelin). Pesticide Biochemistry Physiology, 81, 154e163.
- Hawkins, B. A., Thomas, M. A., & Hochberg, M. E. (1993). Refuge theory and biological control. Science, 262, 1429– 1432.
- Hepdurgun, B., Turanli, T., & Zόmreoğlu, A. (2009). Control of the olive fruit fly, Bactrocera oleae (Diptera: Tephritidae) through mas trapping and mass releases of the parasitoid Psytallia concolor (hymenoptera: Braconidae) reared on irradiated Mediterranean fruit fly. Biocontrol Science and Technology, 19, 211–224.
- Iannotta, N., Perri, L., Tocci, C., & Zaffina, F. (1999). The behavior of different olive varieties following attacks by Bactrocera oleae (Gmel.). In: I.T. Metzidakis, D.G. Voyiatzis (Eds.), Third International Symposium on Olive Growing (pp. 545–548).
- Jiménez, A., Esteban, J.R., Castillo, E., Melero, F.J., Avileés M. (2002). Lucha integrada en el olivar: ensayos en condiciones

<span id="page-7-0"></span>reales y nuevas metodologias. Jornadas Técnicas del Aceite de Oliva. Madrid (Espania), 23–24 April.

- Kakani, E. G., & Mathiopoulos, K. D. (2008). Organophosphate resistance-related mutations in the acetylcholinesterase gene of Tephritidae. Journal of Applied Entomology, 132, 762– 771.
- Kakani, E. G., Zygouridis, N. E., Tsoumani, K. T., Seraphides, N., Zalom, F. G., & Mathiopoulos, K. D. (2010). Spinosad resistance development in wild olive fruit fly Bactrocera oleae (Diptera: Tephritidae) populations in California. Pest Management Science, 66(4), 447–453.
- Leyva, J. L., Browning, H. W., & Gilstrap, F. E. (1991). Effects of host fruit species, size, and color on parasitization of Anastrepha ludens (Diptera: Tephritidae) by Diachasmimorpha longicaudata (hymenoptera: Braconidae). Environmental Entomology, 20, 1469–1474.
- Liu, X., Jin, Y., & Ye, H. (2013). Recent spread and climatic ecological niche of the invasive guava fruit fly, Bactrocera correcta, in mainland China. Journal Pest Science, 86, 449– 458.
- Lopez, M., Aluja, M., & Sivinski, J. (1999). Hymenopterous larval-pupal and pupal parasitoids of Anastrepha flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Mexico. Biological Control, 15, 119–129.
- Malacrinò, A., Schena, L., Campolo, O., Laudani, F., Mosca, S., Giunti, G., & Palmeri, V. (2017). A metabarcoding survey on the fungal microbiota associated to the olive fruit fly. Microbial Ecology, 73(3), 677–684.
- Manousis, T., & Moore, N. (1987). Control of Dacus oleae, a major pest of olives. Insect Science Application, 8, 1–9.
- Margaritopoulos, J. T., Skavdis, G., Kalogiannis, N., Nikou, D., Morou, E., Skouras, P. J., Tsitsipis, J. A., & Vontas, J. (2008). Efficacy of the pyrethroid alpha-cypermethrin against Bactrocera oleae populations from Greece, and improved diagnostic for an iAChE mutation. Pest Management Science, 64, 900–908.
- Matallanas, B., Lantero, E., Saad, M., Callejas, C., & Ochando, M. D. (2013). Genetic polymorphism at the cytochrome oxidase I gene in Mediterranean populations of Bactrocera Ooleae (Diptera: Tephritidae). Journal of Applied Entomology, 137(8), 624–630.
- Mazomenos, B. E., Pantazi-Mazomenou, A., & Stefanou, D. (2002). Attract and kill of the olive fruit fly Bactrocera oleae in Greece as a part of an integrated control system. Use of pheromones and other semiochemicals in integrated production. IOBC/wprs Bulletin, 25, 137–114.
- Moreau, J., Benrey, B., & Thiery, D. (2006). Grape variety affects larva performance and also female reproductive performance of the European grapevine moth Lobesia botrana (Lepidoptera: Torticidae). Bulletin Entomology Research, 96, 205–212.
- Nardi, F., Carapelli, A., Dallai, R., Roderick, G. K., & Frati, F. (2005). Population structure and colonization history of the olive fly, Bactrocera oleae (Diptera, Tephritidae). Molecular Ecology, 14, 2729–2738.
- Neuenschwander, P., Bigler, F., Delucchi, V., & Michelakis, S. E. (1983). Natural enemies of preimaginal stages of Dacus oleae Gmel. (Dipt., Tephritidae) in western Crete. I. Bionomics and phenologies. Bolletino del Laboratorio di Entomologia Agraria, 40, 3–32.
- Neuenshwander, P., Michelakis, S., Holloway, P., & Berchtold, W. (1985). Factors affecting the susceptibility of fruits of different olive varieties to attack by *Dacus oleae* (Gmel.) (Diptera: Tephritidae). Zeitschrift Angewandte Entomology, 100, 174– 188.
- Pucci, C., & Ambrosi, G. (1982). Ovideposizione del Dacus oleae (Gmel.) e dimensioni delle drupe. Frustula Entomology, 4, 181–195.
- Raspi, A., & Viggiani, G. (2008). On the senior authorship of Musca oleae (Diptera: Tephritidae). Zootaxa, 1714, 67–68.
- Rizzo, R., & Caleca, V. (2006). Resistance to the attack of Bactrocera oleae (Gmelin) of some Sicilian olive cultivars. Proceedings of the second international seminar on "biotechnology and quality of olive tree products around the Mediterranean basin", Olivebioteq, Marsala-Mazara del Vallo II, 291–298.
- Rizzo, R., Caleca, V., & Lombardo, A. (2012). Relation of fruit color, elongation, hardness and volume to the infestation of olive cultivars by the olive fruit fly, Bactrocera oleae. Entomologia Experimentalis ét Applicata, 145, 15–22.
- Rugman-Jones, P. F., Wharton, R., van Noort, T., & Stouthamer, R. (2009). Molecular differentiation of the Psyttallia concolor (Szepligeti) species complex (hymenoptera: Braconidae) associated with olive fly, Bactrocera oleae (Rossi) (Diptera: Tephritidae), in Africa. Biological Control, 49, 17–26.
- Ruiz-Torres, N. I., & Montiel-Bueno, A. (2002). Efectos del dimetoato usado en aplicaciones terrestres y aéreas sobre la entomofauna de olivar en la província de Jaén. Boletín de Sanidad Vegetal Plagas, 28, 525–560.
- Ruiz-Torres, R.M., & Muñoz-Cobo, R.J. (1997). Efectos de insecticidas en la entomofauna del olivar. In VIII Simposium Científico-Técnico del Olivar, EXPOLIVA 97. Jaén, Spain.
- Saour, G., & Makee, H. (2004). A kaolin-based particle film for suppression of the olive fruit fly Bactrocera oleae Gmelin (dip., Tephritidae) in olive groves. Journal of Applied Entomology, 128(1), 28–31.
- SAS Institute, (2008). SAS/STAT Guide for Personal Computers, Version 8 Ed. SAS Institute, 333 Cary, NC.
- Torres, L.M., Pereira, J.A., Bento, A. & Torres, R. (2002). Experiments to control the olive fly, Bactrocera oleae (Gmel.) in north-eastern Portugal. In Proceedings of VIIth European Congress of Entomology (pp. 155). Athens.
- Sime, K. R., Daane, K. M., Messing, R. H., & Johnson, M. W. (2006). Comparison of two laboratory cultures of Psyttalia concolor (hymenoptera: Braconidae), as a parasitoid of the olive fruit fly. Biological Control, 39, 248–255.
- Sime, K. R., Daane, K. M., Kirk, A., Andrews, J. W., Johnson, M. W., & Messing, R. H. (2007). Psyttalia ponerophaga (hymenoptera: Braconidae) as a potential biological control agent of olive fruit fly Bactrocera oleae (Diptera: Tephritidae) in California. Bulletin of Entomological Research, 97(03), 233–242.
- Sivinski, J., & Aluja, M. (2003). The evolution of ovipositor length in the parasitic hymenoptera and the search for predictability in biological control. Florida Entomologist, 86, 143–150.
- Skouras, P. J., Margaritopoulos, J. T., Seraphides, N. A., Ioannides, I. M., Kakani, E. G., Mathiopoulos, K. D., & Tsitsipis, J. A. (2007). Organophosphate resistance in olive

<span id="page-8-0"></span>fruit fly, Bactrocera oleae, populations in Greece and Cyprus. Pest Management Science, 63, 42–48.

- Stavraki, H. G. (1967). Essais preliminairs de lachers d' Opius concolor Szepl. (Hemenoptera: Braconidae) parasite du Dacus oleae Gmel. (Diptera: Trypedidae) dans de Chalki. Annals of the Institute of Phytopathology Benaki, 8, 23–31.
- Tzanakakis, M. E. (2006). Insect and mites feeding on olive: distribution, importance, habits, seasonal development and dormancy. Applied Entomology, 1, 85–106.
- Wang, X. G., Nadel, H., Johnson, M. W., Daane, K. M., Hoelmer, K., Walton, V. M., Pickett, C. H., & Sime, K. R. (2008). Crop domestication relaxes both bottom-up and top-down effects on a specialist herbivore. Basic and Applied Ecology. doi[:10.1016/j.baae.2008.06.003.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2008.06.003)
- Wang, X. G., Nadel, H., Johnson, M. W., Daane, K. M., Hoelmer, K., Walton, V. M., Pickett, C. H., & Sime, K. R. (2009a). Crop domestication relaxes both top-down and bottom-up effects on a specialist herbivore. Basic Applied Ecology, 10, 216–227.
- Wang, X. G., Johnson, M. W., Daane, K. M., & Yokoyama, V. Y. (2009b). Larger olive fruit size reduces the efficiency of Psytallia concolor as a parasitoid of olive fruit fly. BioControl, 49(1), 45–51.
- Wang, X. G., Johnson, M. W., Yokoyama, V. Y., Pickett, C. H., & Daane, K. M. (2011). Comparative evaluation of two olive fruit fly parasitoids under varying abiotic conditions. BioControl, 56(3), 283–293.
- Weis, A. E., Abrahamson, W. G., & McCrea, K. D. (1985). Host gall size and oviposition success by the parasitoid Eurytoma gigantea. Ecological Entomology, 10, 341–348.
- Wharton, R. A. (1997). Generic relationships of opiine Braconidae (hymenoptera) parasitic on fruit-infesting Tephritidae (Diptera). Contributions of the American Entomological Institute, 30, 1–53.
- Wharton, R. A., & Gilstrap, F. E. (1983). Key to and status of opiine braconid (hymenoptera) parasitoids used in biological control of Ceratitis and Dacus s.L. (Diptera: Tephritidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 76, 721– 742.
- Wharton, R. A., Trostle, M. K., Messing, R. H., Copeland, R. S., Kimani-Njogu, S. W., Lux, S., Overholt, W. A., Mohamed, S., & Sivinski, J. (2000). Parasitoids of medfly, Ceratitis capitata, and related tephritids in Kenyan coffee: a predominantly koinobiont assemblage. Bulletin of Entomological Research, 90, 517–526.
- White, I. M., & Elson-Harris, M. M. (1992). Fruit flies of economic significance: their identification and bionomics. Wallingford: CAB International.
- Yokoyama, V., Wang, X., Aldana, A., Cáceres, C., Yokoyama-Hatch, H., Rendón, P., Johnson, M., & Daane, K. (2012). Performance of Psytallia humilis (hymenoptera: Braconidae) reared from irradiated host on olive fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) in California. Environmental Entomology, 41(3), 497–507.
- Youssef, A. I., Nasr, F. N., Stefanos, S. S., Elkhair, S. S. A., Shehata, W. A., Agamy, E., Herz, A., & Hassan, S. A. (2004). The side-effects of plant protection products used in olive cultivation on the hymenopterous egg parasitoid Trichogramma cacoeciae Marchal. Journal Applied Entomology, 128, 593–599.