ORIGINAL PAPER

Thin glass shells for active optics for future space telescopes

G. Vecchi1 · S. Basso1 · M. Civitani¹ · M. Ghigo1 · G. Pareschi1 · B. Salmaso1

Received: 19 February 2019 / Revised: 21 May 2019 / Accepted: 24 May 2019 / Published online: 6 June 2019 © CEAS 2019

Abstract

We present a method for the manufacturing of thin shells of glass, which appears promising for the development of active optics for future space telescopes. The method exploits the synergy of diferent mature technologies, and leverages the commercial availability of large, high-quality sheets of glass, with thickness up to few millimeters. The frst step of the method foresees the pre-shaping of fat substrates of glass by replicating the accurate shape of a mold via direct hot slumping technology. The replication concept is advantageous for making large optics composed of many identical or similar segments. After the hot slumping, the shape error residual on the optical surface is addressed by applying a deterministic sub-aperture technology as computer-controlled bonnet polishing and/or ion beam fguring. Here we focus on the bonnet polishing case, during which the thin, deformable substrate of glass is temporarily stifened by a removable holder. In this paper, we report on the results so far achieved on a 130 mm glass shell case study.

Keywords Active optics · Adaptive optics · Glass slumping · Lightweight mirrors · Space telescopes · Sub-aperture polishing · Thin glass shells

1 Introduction

Space telescopes displaying better angular resolution and sensitivity than is available nowadays are required to advance our understanding of universe at diferent space and time scales. To this purpose, diferent strategies are under consideration and several technological issues need to be addressed. The dimensions of launch vehicle fairings limit the aperture of space telescopes. To circumvent this constraint, large space telescopes may include segmented and deployable mirrors [[1](#page-7-0)]. In addition, the payload mass needs to comply with launch limitations: progress in the fabrication technologies of optical materials, in particular glass and glass ceramics, brought the areal density of optical systems to decrease, allowing larger apertures for either monolithic or segmented mirrors [\[2](#page-7-1)]. Diferent optical materials may ofer favorable properties at diferent operating conditions. For instance, most stable optics at room temperature are usually made of glass ceramics such as Zerodur and ULE, which

 \boxtimes G. Vecchi gabriele.vecchi@inaf.it https://www.brera.inaf.it are characterized by extremely low coefficient of thermal expansion near room temperature. On the other hand, fused silica and borosilicate glasses may represent the preferred option for optical systems requiring stability at cryogenic temperatures, where they reach near zero thermal expansion [\[3](#page-7-2)]. In parallel to the developments on glass optics, new operative strategies are also conceived to overcome the restrictions owing to payload volume and mass, including concepts of on-orbit assembly of modular telescopes [[4](#page-7-3)].

Concepts for very large space telescopes will require the production on a large-scale of lightweight mirrors having precisely figured surfaces. Optical demonstrators composed of thin glass substrates, i.e., shells, coupled to rigid supporting structures were considered to reduce the mass, while keeping the required stiffness [\[5](#page-7-4), [6](#page-7-5)]. A discrete set of adjustable actuators allows compensating for the in-fight deformations induced on the glass shells. Moreover, active optics helps to loose the stringent requirement on the optical manufacturing and the system performance stability [[7,](#page-7-6) [8\]](#page-7-7).

Methods for manufacturing thin glass optics were developed, often in the framework of adaptive optics solutions [\[9](#page-7-8)], which improve the performance of present ground-based telescopes. The manufacturing processes may involve the thinning and grinding of high-quality thick blanks in combination with stress polishing $[10]$ $[10]$, stressed lap figuring $[11]$ $[11]$,

¹ INAF-Brera Astronomical Observatory, Via E. Bianchi 46, 23807 Merate, Italy

polishing and fguring [\[12–](#page-8-0)[14\]](#page-8-1) technologies. The baseline process of thinning a high value blank is a delicate step so far successfully applied for the production of monolithic units or few segments.

Cost and time of manufacturing are expected to be relevant aspects for the production of several units or segments required for the assembly of modules composing large segmented mirrors. Technological advancements on mirror replication processes were reported [[15,](#page-8-2) [16\]](#page-8-3), which allowed reaching the required optical tolerances by eliminating or limiting the costly grinding and polishing processes.

In this paper, we present a concept to manufacture thin glass shells. The process starts with the procurement of fat sheets of high-quality glass commercially available off-theshelf. In particular, borosilicate glasses can leverage on the continuous development driven by the global market of fat panel display technologies. Thanks to it, large and thin substrates of borosilicate glass are widely available with thickness in the millimeter range, tight uniformity of thickness down to few tens of microns, and low roughness values $≤1$ nm Root Mean Square (RMS).

In its frst phase, the process provides the pre-shaping of the glass foils by hot slumping technology, based on the replication of the surface of a master mold. In the second phase of the process, focus of the present work, the residual surface error after slumping is addressed by high-precision sub-aperture polishing and figuring technologies. The flow chart in Fig. [1](#page-1-0) indicates the key steps of the manufacturing approach. The innovative aspect relies on the application of polishing/fguring on the surface of the shell pre-shaped by slumping, while it is temporarily stifened on a blocking substrate. Leveraging the replica concept and avoiding the thinning and grinding steps from high quality blanks, the proposed approach is promising to reduce the time and cost of fabrication, particularly for the serial production of many segments for large mirrors.

Fig. 1 Manufacturing steps for thin glass shells. The shell is blocked on a stifening support before the polishing/smoothing activity and released afterwards

We previously conducted preliminary experiment of bonnet polishing on 1-mm thin fused silica wafers 100 mm across [[17\]](#page-8-4). The present work is the follow up of the test activity by bonnet polishing conducted on a small-scale 130 mm slumped glass shell [\[18\]](#page-8-5). In Sect. [2,](#page-2-0) we describe metrology and bonnet polishing results obtained on the same 130 mm sample after improvement of the temporary blocking process of the fexible shell onto a stif holder. In Sect. [3](#page-7-11), we summarize the conclusions and the foreseen future activity.

1.1 Hot slumping technology

The technological development of the hot slumping of glass performed at INAF-Brera Astronomical Observatory started in the framework of E-ELT Design Study supported by the European Community under OPTICON-FP6 [[19\]](#page-8-6). The aim was to develop a process suited for the production of a large number of thin glass segments for adaptive optics. The hot slumping process employs an oven, where a thin, fat foil of glass is placed on top of a master mold. In particular, the direct hot slumping technique was considered, in which the optical surface of the glass is in contact with the mold. The surface of the mold is previously figured to meet the target shape with high accuracy. After application of a suitable thermal cycle, the heated glass softens and slumps onto the mold surface, copying its shape. After cooling down of the shell-mold system, the slumped glass shell is released from the mold. The results were encouraging but displaying an error still too high compared to the requirements: we achieved a surface RMS error>100 nm versus a requirement <10 nm over 100 mm spatial scale $[20]$ $[20]$ $[20]$. In the present study, we focus on a simple case, namely, spherical shells made in BOROFLOAT[®] 33 glass, 130 mm wide and 2 mm thick. These shells, together with larger spherical shells up to 500 mm [[21\]](#page-8-8), were slumped to replicate the shape of a spherical mold in the early stage of the hot slumping development carried out at INAF-Brera Astronomical Observatory.

1.2 Deterministic polishing and fguring technology

We plan to execute the post-slumping correction of the optical surface by deterministic sub-aperture fguring/polishing technologies. Once the optical surface has been polished and fgured to meet the requirements, the thin shell of glass (featuring an areal density $<$ 5 kg/m² in the case under study) can be integrated into a lightweight mechanical assembly, leading to overall values of areal density $\langle 20 \text{ kg/m}^2 [7, 22]$ $\langle 20 \text{ kg/m}^2 [7, 22]$ $\langle 20 \text{ kg/m}^2 [7, 22]$ $\langle 20 \text{ kg/m}^2 [7, 22]$. In this study, we investigate the performance of bonnet polishing technology, while the behavior of Ion Beam Figuring (IBF) either on thin slumped shells directly [\[23\]](#page-8-10) or after bonnet polishing will be a matter of future activity.

An IRP (Intelligent Robotic Polisher) 1200 series machine by Zeeko Ltd. is implemented at INAF-Brera Astronomical Observatory [[24,](#page-8-11) [25](#page-8-12)]. The machine relies on a sub-aperture rubber tool, the bonnet, to polish the surface and correct the error shape while scanning through it along a defned path. The bonnet is infated by air pressure and is covered with a polishing medium such as polyurethane foam. Dedicated nozzles deliver a temperaturecontrolled and density-monitored abrasive slurry to the workpiece-tool contact area. The material removal rate is proportional to the relative surface speed and to the pressure applied to the workpiece, according to the Preston model [\[26\]](#page-8-13). Since surface speed and pressure are usually set constant into a run, the local removal is proportional to the dwell time of the tool at any position. A dwell time matrix is calculated to correct the measured error map of the surface. The machine executes the dwell time matrix by varying the tool speed along the path. The smallest bonnet available is suited to address error with spatial wavelength down to the 5–10 mm range, while diferent rigid tools are required to smooth ripples of higher frequency more efficiently, e.g., in the $0.5-5$ mm range.

IBF technology $[27-29]$ $[27-29]$ $[27-29]$ is also well suited for the correction of low frequency errors, employing the dwell time principle to remove material, similarly to the bonnet polishing technology. On the other hand, featuring as a contactless tool the sub-aperture beam of accelerated ions hitting against the optical surface, IBF enables the correction of print through and deformations induced on thin and lightweight optics by previous manufacturing steps and/ or due to supporting mounts. Similar advantage applies to correct the near edge shape of optics, as in segmented mirrors.

2 Thin glass shells

2.1 Metrology of the thin glass shell

Thin optics like the shells of glass investigated here tend to sag due to gravity, and to deform depending on the supporting mount. We performed Finite Element Method (FEM) simulation to minimize the deformation induced on the optical surface of the shell during the interferometric measurements. The mounting system used for the interferometric measurements is shown in Fig. [2a](#page-2-1). It is a non-kinematic 3-points support, where the third point on the top acts like a stopper to prevent the glass sample from falling backwards. According to FEM results, to minimize the deformation on the optical surface, we tilted backwards the glass shell by about 1.5 mm [[18\]](#page-8-5).

We used a Zygo GPI series Fizeau interferometer equipped with a 4-inch f/3.3 transmission sphere to measure the form error map of thin shells. BOROFLOAT® 33 glass shells were made from circular disks of 130 mm diameter and 2 mm thickness, and they were slumped to a spherical shape with radius of curvature of about 4 m. The back surface of the shell is rough to avoid perturbing the measurements of the front surface. We set a relay mirror to fold the interferometric setup and ft within the optical bench. In the previous work, we employed such setup and the support in Fig. [2](#page-2-1)a to monitor the evolution of the surface error map through a few iterations of the bonnet polishing process. At the beginning of the present study, we realigned the entire setup ex novo to repeat the measurements of the optical surface with the shell in free standing mode, supported as described above. We kept the same (azimuthal) orientation of the shell with respect to rotations around its central axis. Figure [2](#page-2-1)b shows the measured residual error

Fig. 2 a Slumped shell 2-mm thick supported on a non-kinematic 3-points mount. **b** Residual error map of the shell over 110 mm clear aperture, 30 nm RMS and 170 nm PV error after removal of tilt and

power terms. **c** Repeatability error map, 10 nm RMS and 68 nm PV. Color scales are in millimeters

map after removal of tilt and power terms. The map refers to a clear aperture set to 110 mm, and displays an RMS value of 30 nm, and Peak to Valley (PV) of 170 nm. We compared this result to measurements performed in free standing mode previously, at the end of the frst iterative test of bonnet polishing [[18\]](#page-8-5), when we had obtained a map with 38 nm RMS error. After subtraction of the averaged error maps measured in the two distinct sessions, we found the repeatability error map shown in Fig. [2C](#page-2-1), featuring an astigmatic shape of about 10 nm RMS. We suppose such a change of shape on the optical surface arises because of the non-kinematic mount, as a diferent amount of stress applies on the fexible shell at each repositioning. The surface and repeatability error maps appear also perturbed by dust entrapped in the optical path during metrology and by local defects, probably generated on the surface by presence of dust during the direct hot slumping process.

2.2 Temporary stifening of the thin glass shell

Thin substrates of glass are fexible and need to be stifened temporarily during the phase of bonnet polishing. Otherwise, the pressure applied within the contact area on the shell's optical surface would distort its local shape, perturbing the dwell time-based process of material removal. A stifening holder was designed as mechanical fange for interfacing the glass shell both to the polishing machine and to the interferometric setup. In fact, we aimed to measure the surface error after each iteration of bonnet polishing, yet avoiding releasing the glass shell from the holder after every run. The fndings of previous activity confrmed the obvious fact that the ideal stifening element and blocking process should induce the least deformation to the optical surface, as it would in free standing mode. Therefore, before performing a new polishing iteration, we re-machined the

stifening fange to achieve a better matching to the shell's shape. However, we did not meet the 4 m target curvature, estimating a radius near 4.7 m instead.

Figure [3](#page-3-0)a shows the error map of the shell stifened on the holder as it was measured at the end of the previous polishing cycle. Figure [3b](#page-3-0) shows the error map of the shell mounted on the re-machined holder, measured with the interferometer over a 110 mm clear aperture, after removal of tilt and power terms. Figure [3c](#page-3-0) displays two profles extracted from the two maps. The value of RMS (PV) error was reduced from previous 221 nm (1241 nm) to present 106 nm (527 nm). Although we cannot directly infer that the shape improvement of the re-machined holder caused the reduction of deformation of the blocked shell, we believe it did contribute to the result. We also remark that the error map of the blocked shell (106 nm RMS) is much larger than its free standing map (30 nm RMS, Fig. [2](#page-2-1)b). Indeed, the present shell-fange system, shown in Fig. [3d](#page-3-0), still displays a thermo-mechanical mismatch between the Inox fange and the BOROFLOAT® 33 glass slumped shell. Despite it, as we will discuss in the next paragraph, we were able to correct the low frequency error further.

2.3 Bonnet polishing of the thin glass shell

We applied the bonnet polishing process to the thin shell mounted onto the holder. The sub-aperture polishing was set to address the low frequency content, with spatial wavelength>10 mm. Figure [4](#page-4-0) displays three error maps obtained by measuring the shell in free standing mode throughout the corrective process. The 128 nm RMS error map (Fig. [4](#page-4-0)a) was measured at the beginning of the activity, before polishing [[18](#page-8-5)]. In the present work, the starting error map is the endpoint of prior activity, shown in Fig. [4b](#page-4-0), featuring 30 nm RMS and 170 nm PV error (same map as in Fig. [2](#page-2-1)b).

Fig. 3 a Error map of the blocked shell as measured in the previous activity [[18](#page-8-5)]. **b** Error map of the shell blocked on the re-machined fange, over 110 mm clear aperture, after removal of tilt and power terms. **c** Profles along *y*-axis extracted from **a** (past) and **b** (present).

d Picture of the shell blocked onto the stainless steel fange using a (blue) thermal wax. The shell-fange system is mounted in the measuring setup. Color scale in millimeters is the same for **a** and **b** for sake of comparison

Fig. 4 Trend of the free standing error map through the entire polishing process. **a** Error map of the thin shell after hot slumping. **b** Residual error after third iteration of polishing and starting point of the present work. **c** Residual error after ffth iteration. For each map, the clear aperture is 110 mm, tilt and power terms are removed, and the value of RMS error is displayed. Color scales are in millimeters and,

for sake of clarity, the amplitude range in **a** is twice that in **b** and **c**. **d** Profles along *x*-axis showing the appearance and trend of the vertical groove near *x*=−20 mm. Two displayed profles are extracted from free standing error maps, the ones measured after Run 3 (**b**) and after Run 5 (**c**). The third displayed profle is extracted from the error map expected after the fourth iteration (Run 4) of the polishing process

We, therefore, performed two further iterations of the bonnet polishing process, namely, run 4 and run 5, and we detached the shell from the Inox holder after run 5.

Afterwards, we measured the free standing map shown in Fig. [4c](#page-4-0), which displays an halved RMS error of 15 nm (~*λ*/42 with *λ*=633 nm) and 105 nm PV (~*λ*/6), over 110 mm aperture and after removal of tilt and power terms. The two polishing runs (run 4 and run 5) addressing the residual figure error were set to similar parameters. We applied the same air pressure (1 Bar) to the tool, the infated bonnet, and the same workpiece-tool contact area corresponding to a tool Z-offset of $150 \mu m$. The raster paths set in the two runs were selected to cross by 90°, displaying ~ 12% increased track spacing (close to 0.37 mm) and \sim 15% decreased head speed (close to 1000 rounds per minute) in the case of run 5. We applied water based polishing slurry using standard cerium-oxide powder (few microns average particle size), with similar values of density of slurry. Figure [4c](#page-4-0) shows a groove along the *y*-axis positioned near *x*=−20 mm crossing the error map measured after run 5. The groove was not present in the error map shown in Fig. [4](#page-4-0)b, measured at the start of the present work. This is also visible in Fig. [4d](#page-4-0), where we plotted profles along *x*-axis extracted from the measured error maps displayed in Figs. [4b](#page-4-0) and [4](#page-4-0)c. The groove occurred during the fourth iteration, run 4, which was executed with the raster direction of the bonnet along *y*-axis. As mentioned above, the shell was released from the holder after the ffth polishing cycle, to perform metrology in the free standing mode. However, with the aim to check and set the iterative polishing process, we measured the shell after each run of polishing, also if mounted on the holder, as it was after the fourth iteration (run 4). In this case, by

subtracting the map of removed material from the initial error map, we can obtain an estimation of the residual error map *expected* after each run of polishing. Figure [4d](#page-4-0) displays the profle extracted from the error map expected after run 4. The pronounced dimple corresponding to the groove is visible near *x*=−20 mm. The measurement performed after run 5 suggests the fgure error improved, as the amplitude of the groove-related feature is reduced to a depth smaller than \sim 20 nm. The groove is believed to have been caused by a perturbation occurred to the polishing process; it might be a sudden change of a parameter (e.g., the density of the abrasive fuid) or a local irregularity on the surface intersecting the tool path. The surface of the shell contains pointlike defects, also visible in the measured maps, which we suppose likely related to the presence of dust grains at the mold-glass contact interface during the direct hot slumping process. Regardless their origin, the full correction of mid frequency features with spatial wavelength $<$ 5 mm requires a smoothing process.

The value of surface RMS error achieved after bonnet polishing is close to the fguring specifcation expected for a glass shell before any error compensation by the actuators (fattening) in the framework of an adaptive/active system [\[20\]](#page-8-7). We achieved the 15 nm RMS error by polishing the thin shell glued on the stifening holder, where it was displaying the surface error map of Fig. [3b](#page-3-0) with initial RMS (PV) error of 106 nm (527 nm). The same map is shown again in Fig. [5a](#page-5-0) to the purpose of comparing it with the fnal free standing error map, shown in Fig. [5](#page-5-0)b. In this last fgure, the error amplitude is multiplied by a factor 5, to enhance the comparison and highlight that no signifcant print through occurred during the last two runs of polishing (run 4 and run 5). We plot in Fig. [5c](#page-5-0) two profles cut along the *y*-axis of the measured maps, the surface error of the shell either blocked (Fig. [5](#page-5-0)a) or free standing (cut as shown in Fig. [5b](#page-5-0) but with plotted data not corrected by the multiplication factor 5).

The repeatability RMS error of separate series of measurements of the shell blocked on the holder was found in the 10–15 nm range. This is comparable with the surface accuracy of the shell measured in free standing mode. Therefore, since the input error map feeding the next polishing iteration is derived from the subtraction of successive measurements of the shell in the blocked mode, it turns out that we reached the repeatability level of the used interferometric setup. Figure [6](#page-6-0)a shows the repeatability error obtained by subtracting two series of measurements of the blocked shell. Figure [6](#page-6-0)b is the residual error map of the shell. As clearly visible from the common scale and from the profles plotted in Fig. [6](#page-6-0)c, the repeatability and surface maps have error amplitudes of the same order of magnitude.

As already remarked for the maps in Fig. [2](#page-2-1), we highlight that mid-high frequency undulations afecting the maps of Fig. [6](#page-6-0) are often metrological artifacts. However, some of the features visible in Fig. [6](#page-6-0)b refer to polishing-induced waviness left on the shell's optical surface, as the groove-related dimple near $x = -20$ mm, and the ~20 nm jump at $x = 40$ mm in the profle of the free standing map shown in Fig. [6c](#page-6-0).

We monitored the micro-roughness of the optical surface before and after the bonnet polishing, although we did not optimize the process for reducing the high spatial frequency content. Figure [7](#page-6-1)a shows a picture of the Micro-Finish Topographer (MFT) placed on top of the glass shell to measure the micro-roughness in the central area of the surface [[30\]](#page-8-16). We equipped the MFT with an interference microscope objective enabling the measurement over $a \sim 1$ mm² feld of view. Figure [7](#page-6-1)b, c highlight the diferent surface

Fig. 5 a Error map of the shell glued on the stifening holder. Same map as in Fig. [3b](#page-3-0) but with amplitude scale ftting the PV value of 527 nm. **b** Free standing error map after ffth iteration of bonnet polishing, with amplitude scale multiplied by factor 5 to enhance the comparison with the map in **a**. Color scale in millimeters is the

same for **a** and **b**. For each map, the clear aperture is 110 mm, tilt and power terms are removed. **c** Profles along *y*-axis extracted from the error map of the shell measured in glued and free standing mode (data in **c** are not multiplied by any factor)

Fig. 6 a Repeatability of separate (timeframe of days) series of measurements of the shell glued on the stifening holder. **b** Free standing error map after ffth iteration of bonnet polishing. Color scale in mil-

limeters is the same for **a** and **b**. **c** Profles along *x*-axis extracted from the maps shown in **a** and **b**

Fig. 7 a Micro-Finish Topographer measuring the thin shell blocked on the stifening fange. **b** High frequency error map measured at one central position of the surface before bonnet polishing (error values~3.2 nm RMS, 126 nm PV). **c** High frequency error map meas-

ured at one central position of the surface after bonnet polishing (error values~2.5 nm RMS, 18.5 nm PV). Color scale in nanometers is the same for **b** and **c**

pattern before and after bonnet polishing, respectively. RMS error did not change much, ranging near 2.5–3 nm. PV error appeared more erratic from one measurement to another, displaying much reduced values after polishing, down to a few tens of nanometers in the best cases. The relatively high PV values of micro-roughness in Fig. [7](#page-6-1)b is related to the not-yet-optimized process of direct hot slumping in its early phase of development, when the shell under study was formed. The micro-roughness is expected to improve further with bonnet polishing by changing the average size of abrasive particles.

Further development of the activity will require investigating the scalability of the manufacturing process to thin glass shells of larger size. Leveraging the mass production of meter-class glass sheets is the frst strong point that keeps cost of substrates for larger optics very advantageous. The second key element is the replication process, in our view

an optimal approach enabling series productions of identical shells in a cost-efective manner. In case of not identical shells, the replication can maintain much of its strength for pre-shaping of optics, provided the remaining fgure deviation is within the working range of the post replication deterministic processes, such as bonnet polishing and ion beam fguring. This applies also to the production of aspherical or free form shells, where, for instance, the replication can impart the best-ftting spherical component, followed by deterministic fguring processes to ensure fast convergence to the fnal aspherical prescription. For techniques based on the tool-workpiece direct contact, like the bonnet polishing, the efectiveness of temporary bonding on larger shells requires to improve the thermo-mechanical matching between the glass substrate and the stifening mount. This is critical to keep minimal the deformation induced on the shell, therefore, to avoid print through efects during

the corrective fnishing. Manufacturing of precision mounts comes at increased cost, however, their reuse for holding several shells can compensate for it. Accurate metrology of figure error is probably the most difficult aspect to address towards the production of larger shells. We performed FEM simulation to evaluate the deformation induced on the optical surface of a 500 mm diameter and 1.7 mm thin shell mounted similarly to the 130 mm case, and we found a minimum deformation amplitude (PV) near 5–6 μ m. In analogy to the analysis in Sect. [2.1](#page-2-2) and in Ref. [\[18](#page-8-5)], the amount of the deformation varies according to the inclination of the shell as respect to the vertical and depending on the distance between the supporting points. After subtraction of the simulated deformation map from the measured error map, we should obtain the intrinsic error of the optical surface, the one requiring further fguring process. Moreover, by repeating series of measurements at diferent (azimuthal) orientations of the supported shell, we can independently quantify the intrinsic surface accuracy, providing a cross check to the results of FEM simulation. Another way to reduce the deformation due to the gravity is supporting the thin shell mounted with the axis in vertical direction with an astatic support. The type of support depends on geometry of the glass shell and must be analyzed case by case. The cost of the support is mitigated by the large-scale production. Specialized handling tools and procedures can guarantee secure operations on fragile glass shells throughout the production flow.

3 Conclusions

In this paper, we presented a method for manufacturing high-precision thin glass substrates. Thin glass shells are key elements driving the development of both adaptive optics solutions in ground-based telescopes and active optics concepts for future large space telescopes. We aim to demonstrate the feasibility of the presented manufacturing approach, whose innovative aspect stands on the application of polishing/fguring on the thin glass shell pre-shaped by slumping. We highlight that it relies on cost-effective solutions for glass procurement and mirror segments replication. Large sheets of glass with tight thickness control and low micro-roughness are currently available. Then, a replication mold is used to thermally shape the thin glass substrates by hot slumping technology. The following sub-aperture polishing/fguring process applies on the thin slumped shells to correct the residual surface errors. Finally, the shells can be assembled into lightweight supporting structures, targeting overall values of areal density $<$ 20 kg/m² [\[7](#page-7-6), [22\]](#page-8-9).

We considered glass substrates 2 mm thick and with 130 mm diameter, and we focused on the bonnet polishing as a technology to improve the shape accuracy of slumped thin optics. Due to the force applied on the shell surface during bonnet polishing, the shell needs to be blocked temporarily on a rigid support. We improved the blocking process of the shell as compared with previous test [[18](#page-8-5)], and we reached a shape accuracy of 15 nm RMS $(\sim \lambda/42)$ and 105 nm PV (~ *λ*/6), over 110 mm clear aperture and after removal of tilt and power terms. This value of surface RMS error is close to the fguring specifcation expected for a glass shell before active compensation foreseen in adaptive/active systems [[20](#page-8-7)].

In the next activity we aim in particular to: (1) test the IBF process on thin slumped shells with or without previous application of the bonnet polishing, and (2) test solutions to improve the smoothing of the ripples with $\sim 0.5-5$ mm spatial wavelength, a range where the convergence factor of bonnet polishing and IBF correction is very poor. In this study, the size of the optics was limited to 130 mm (110 mm aperture considered). Our purpose is to explore the feasibility of the manufacturing process by upgrading it to a 500 mm size scale.

References

- 1. Lillie, C.F.: Large deployable telescopes for future space observatories. Proc. SPIE **5899**, 58990D (2005)
- 2. Matthews, G.W., Egerman, R., Mafett, S.P., Stahl, H.P., Eng, R., Effinger, M.R.: The development of stacked core technology for the fabrication of deep lightweight UV-quality space mirrors. Proc. SPIE **9143**, 91431U (2014)
- 3. Burge, J.H., Peper, T., Jacobs, S.F.: Thermal expansion of borosilicate glass, zerodur, zerodur m, and unceramized zerodur at low temperatures. Appl. Opt. **38**, 7161 (1999)
- Lee, N.N., Burdick, J.W., Backes, P., Pellegrino, S., Hogstrom, K., Fuller, C., Kennedy, B., Kim, J., Mukherjee, R., Seubert, C., Wu, Y.-H.: Architecture for in-space robotic assembly of a modular space telescope. J. Astron. Telesc. Instrum. Syst. **2**(4), 041207 (2016)
- 5. Burge, J.H., Cuerden, B., Miller, S., Crawford, B., Dorth, H., Sandler, D., Wortley, R.: Manufacture of a 2-m mirror with glass membrane facesheet and active rigid support. Proc. SPIE **3782**, 123–133 (1999)
- 6. Baiocchi, D., Burge, J.H., Cuerden, B.: Demonstration of a 0.5-m ultralightweight mirror for use at geosynchronous orbit. Proc. SPIE **4451**, 86–95 (2001)
- 7. Briguglio, R., Biasi, R., Gallieni, D., Vettore, C., d'Amato, F., Xompero, M., et al.: Laboratory demonstration of a primary active mirror for space with the LATT: large aperture telescope technology. Proc. SPIE **9904**, 99046C (2016)
- 8. Hallibert, P., Zuccaro Marchi, A.: Developments in active optics for space instruments: an ESA perspective. Proc. SPIE **9912**, 99121H (2016)
- 9. Madec, P.-Y.: Overview of deformable mirror technologies for adaptive optics and astronomy. Proc. SPIE **8447**, 844705 (2012)
- 10. Hugot, E., Ferrari, M., Riccardi, A., Xompero, M., Lemaître, G.R., Arsenault, R., Hubin, N.: Stress polishing of thin shells for adaptive secondary mirrors. A&A **527**, A4 (2011)
- 11. Martin, H.M., Burge, J.H., Del Vecchio, C., Dettmann, L.R., Miller, S.M., Smith, B., Wildi, F.: Optical fabrication of the MMT adaptive secondary mirror. Proc. SPIE **4007**, 502–507 (2000)
- 12. Miller, S.M., Angel, J.R.P., Martin, H.M., Kapp, J., Ketelsen, D.A., Dettmann, L.R.: Fabrication of ultrathin mirrors for adaptive and space optics. Proc. SPIE **3126**, 391–396 (1997)
- 13. Poutriquet, F., Rinchet, A., Carel, J.-L., Leplan, H., Ruch, E., Geyl, R., Marque, G.: Manufacturing of glassy thin shell for adaptive optics: results achieved. Proc. SPIE **8447**, 84472H (2012)
- 14. Briguglio, R., Xompero, M., Riccardi, A., Lisi, F., et al.: Development of Large Aperture Telescope Technology (LATT): test results on a demonstrator bread-board. Proc. SPIE **10563**, 105634F (2017)
- 15. Egerman, R., De Smitt, S., Straford, D.: Low-weight, low-cost, low-cycle time, replicated glass mirrors. Proc. SPIE **7739**, 77390G (2010)
- 16. Hickey, G., Barbee, T., Ealey, M., Redding, D.: Actuated hybrid mirrors for space telescopes. Proc. SPIE **7731**, 773120 (2010)
- 17. Salmaso, B., Basso, S., Civitani, M., Ghigo, M., Hołyszko, J., Spiga, D., Vecchi, G., Pareschi, G.: Fused silica segments: a possible solution for x-ray telescopes with very high angular resolution like Lynx/XRS. Proc. SPIE **10399**, 103990X (2017)
- 18. Vecchi, G., Basso, S., Canestrari, R., Civitani, M., Ghigo, M., Hołyszko, J., Pareschi, G., Salmaso, B.: A novel approach for the realization of thin glass substrates for optical mirrors. Proc. SPIE **10706**, 107060H (2018)
- 19. Ghigo, M., Basso, S., Citterio, O., Mazzoleni, F., Vernani, D.: Manufacturing of lightweight glass segments for adaptive optics. Proc. SPIE **6272**, 62720X (2006)
- 20. Riccardi, A.: Optical fguring specifcations for thin shells to be used in adaptive telescope mirrors. Proc. SPIE **6272**, 627250 (2006)
- 21. Ghigo, M., Basso, S., Canestrari, R., Proserpio, L.: Development of hot slumping technique and last optical performances obtained on a 500 mm diameter slumped segment prototype for adaptive optics. Proc. SPIE **7439**, 74390M (2009)
- 22. Canestrari, R., Ghigo, M., Pareschi, G., Basso, S., Proserpio, L.: Investigation of a novel slumping technique for the manufacturing
- 23. Civitani, M., Ghigo, M., Hołyszko, J., Vecchi, G., Basso, S., Cotroneo, V., DeRoo, C.T., Schwartz, E.D., Reid, P.B.: Advancements in ion beam fguring of very thin glass plates. Proc. SPIE **10399**, 103991E (2017)
- 24. Walker, D.D., Beaucamp, A.T.H., Doubrovski, V., Dunn, C., Evans, R., Freeman, R., et al.: Automated optical fabrication: frst results from the new "Precessions" 1.2 m CNC polishing machine. Proc. SPIE **6273**, 627309 (2006)
- 25. Vecchi, G., Basso, S., Civitani, M., Ghigo, M., Pareschi, G., Riva, M., Zerbi, F.M.: A bonnet and fuid jet polishing facility for optics fabrication related to the E-ELT. Mem. S.A.It. **86**, 408 (2015)
- 26. Walker, D.D., Brooks, D., King, A., Freeman, R., Morton, R., McCavana, G., Kim, S.-W.: The 'Precessions' tooling for polishing and fguring fat, spherical and aspheric surfaces. Opt. Express **11**, 958–964 (2003)
- 27. Allen, L.N., Keim, R.E.: An ion fguring system for large optic fabrication. Proc. SPIE **1168**, 33–50 (1989)
- 28. Geyl, R., Rinchet, A., Rolland, E.: Large optics ion fguring. Proc. SPIE **3739**, 161–166 (1999)
- 29. Ghigo, M., Vecchi, G., Basso, S., Citterio, O., Civitani, M., Mattaini, E., Pareschi, G., Sironi, G.: Ion fguring of large prototype mirror segments for the E-ELT. Proc. SPIE **9151**, 91510Q (2014)
- 30. Parks, R.E.: MicroFinish topographer: surface fnish metrology for large and small optics. Proc. SPIE **8126**, 81260D (2011)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.