# Actuator Fault Detection for Discrete-time Switched Linear Systems with Output Disturbance

Dongsheng Du\* and Shengyuan Xu

Abstract: In this paper, the problem of actuator fault detection for discrete-time switched systems with output disturbance is investigated. By using the descriptor observer method, an *H*<sup>∞</sup> fault detection filter is designed to guarantee that the augmented system is admissible and satisfies a prescribed  $H_{\infty}$  performance index. By utilizing switched Lyapunov function approach, a sufficient condition for the admissible of the augmented system is obtained. Based on the obtained results, a desired fault detection filter can be designed. All the results are formulated in the form of linear matrix inequalities. Finally, an example is proposed to illustrate the effectiveness of the developed method.

Keywords: Actuator fault, descriptor observer, fault detection filter, switched system.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

<span id="page-0-0"></span>Switched system belongs to hybrid systems, which is consisted by a number of subsystems and a switching signal specifying the switching between them [\[1](#page-6-0)]. In fact, many practical engineering systems can be modeled as switched systems, such as electrical engineering systems, traffic control systems, and chemical process systems and so on  $[2, 3]$  $[2, 3]$  $[2, 3]$ . Presently, the study of control and synthesis for switched systems is becoming a hot research topic, and many researchers have devoted themselves to investigating this challenging and meaningful issue. In the past few decades, a lot of achievements have been developed, readers can refer to [\[4](#page-7-0)]- [[9\]](#page-7-1) and the references therein.

It's well known that practical engineering systems are unavoidable affected by unexpected variations in external surroundings or sudden changes in signals. Due to these accidental reasons, different kinds of malfunctions or imperfect behaviors may appear during normal operations, and these phenomena are called faults. Generally speaking, faults can occur more easily in switched systems than those in non-switched systems for the reason of the large number of subsystems and the arbitrary switching between them. In reality, once an unexpected fault happens in one of the subsystem and is not detected in time, which might bring a big impact on the whole system and even cause potentially catastrophic damage [\[10](#page-7-2)]. Therefore, the investigation of fault detection problems for

switched systems is of both theoretical and practical importance.

In this context, many theories and techniques have been developed in dealing with fault detection problem ( [[11\]](#page-7-3)- [[17\]](#page-7-4) and references therein). Presently, several popular methods have been employed in dealing with this issue. For examples, [[18](#page-7-5)] proposed a model-based fault detection approach, while the parameter estimation approach was investigated to solve the problem in [\[19](#page-7-6)]. [\[20](#page-7-7)] considered the fault detection problem by using generalized likelihood method. Among these methods, the model-based method is the most common way, which is to design a fault detection filter or observer generating a residual and compares it with a predefined threshold. When the residual evaluation function has a value larger than the threshold, an alarm is generated. For example, fault detection filter design for linear time-invariant systems was solved in [[21\]](#page-7-8). Fault detection issues for Markovian jump systems and uncertain fuzzy systems were separately studied in [[22\]](#page-7-9) and [[23\]](#page-7-10).

In reviewing of the development of these theories and techniques for different fault detection system designs, one of the commonly adopted ways in fault detection is to introduce a performance index and formulate the fault detection as an optimization problem [[24\]](#page-7-11). The *H*<sup>∞</sup> norm of transfer-function matrix from unknown input to residual is accepted as a suitable and effective measure to estimate the influence of the unknown inputs; the  $H_{\infty}$  norm of

Manuscript received September 21, 2016; revised January 4, 2017 and March 20, 2017; accepted March 30, 2017. Recommended by Associate Editor M. Chadli under the direction of Editor Yoshito Ohta. This work is supported by Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20140457), the National Science Foundation for Post-doctoral Scientists of China (2016M601814), and Post-doctoral Foundation of Jiangsu Province (1601005B).

Dongsheng Du is with the College of Automation, Huaiyin Institute of Technology, 1 Meicheng Road, Huaian, 223003, Jiangsu, China, and School of Automation, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, China (e-mail: dshdu@163.com). Shengyuan Xu is with the School of Automation, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, China (e-mails: syxu@mail.njust.edu.cn). \* Corresponding author.

transfer function from fault to residual has been proposed to evaluate the system sensitivity to the faults. In  $[25]$  $[25]$ , an *H*<sup>∞</sup> filtering formulation of fault detection has also been presented to solve robust fault detection for uncertain systems. Different from the former scheme, the later one is to make the error between residual and fault (or, more generally, weighted fault) as small as possible, and provides an effective approach to uncertain system fault detection with the aid of an optimization tool [\[26](#page-7-13)].

In this paper, the problem of actuator fault detection for discrete-time switched systems with output disturbance is investigated. The advantages can be summarized as the following aspects: firstly, for control input, actuator fault and unknown output disturbance in the discretetime switched system, a descriptor-based fault detection filter is constructed such that the residual system is admissible with  $H_{\infty}$  performance index; secondly, a sufficient condition for the admissibility of the residual system is obtained; thirdly, compared with other fault detection schemes, descriptor observer approach can not only leads to a simple design procedure, but also can observe disturbance signal. Therefore the descriptor approach doesn't need any prior knowledge of disturbance signal.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 formulates the problem under consideration. Section 3 presents the fault detection filter design for the discretetime switched system. A numerical example is illustrated in Section 4 to show the usefulness and applicability of the proposed approach, and the paper is concluded in Section 5.

## 2. PROBLEM STATEMENTS AND PRELIMINARIES

Consider the following discrete-time switched system:

$$
x(t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_i(t) (A_i x(t) + B_i u(t) + F_i f(t)),
$$
 (1)

$$
y(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_i(t) (C_i x(t) + D_i d(t)),
$$
\n(2)

where  $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the state vector,  $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is the control input vector,  $d(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the output disturbance vector, *f*(*t*)  $\in \mathbb{R}^f$  is the fault vector, and *y*(*t*)  $\in \mathbb{R}^p$  is the measurable output vector. The function  $\delta_i(t)$  is the switching signal and assumed be *a priori* unknown, but its instantaneous value is available in real time, where

$$
\delta_i(t): Z^+ = \{0, 1, 2 \cdots\} \to \{0, 1\}, \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i(t) = 1, \ \forall t \in Z^+.
$$

 $A_i, B_i, C_i, D_i$ , and  $F_i$  are constant matrices with appropriate dimensions.

For the purpose of this paper, the following assumptions are given:

- $(A_i, C_i)$  is observable for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .
- Matrices  $D_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ) is full column rank. Define the following augmented state and matrices:

$$
\bar{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ d(t) \end{bmatrix}, \ \bar{E} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \bar{A}_i = \begin{bmatrix} A_i & 0 \\ 0 & -D_i \end{bmatrix}, \n\bar{B}_i = \begin{bmatrix} B_i \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \bar{D}_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ D_i \end{bmatrix}, \ \bar{F}_i = \begin{bmatrix} F_i \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \n\bar{C}_i = \begin{bmatrix} C_i & D_i \end{bmatrix}.
$$
\n(3)

Then the system  $(1)-(2)$  $(1)-(2)$  $(1)-(2)$  $(1)-(2)$  can be expressed as the following descriptor system:

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\begin{aligned} \bar{E}\bar{x}(t+1) &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_i(t) (\bar{A}_i \bar{x}(t) + \bar{B}_i u(t) + \bar{D}_i d(t) \\ &+ \bar{F}_i f(t)), \end{aligned} \tag{4}
$$

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
y(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_i(t) \bar{C}_i \bar{x}(t).
$$
 (5)

For system ([4\)](#page-1-2)-([5\)](#page-1-3), we employ the following filter as residual generator:

<span id="page-1-4"></span>
$$
\hat{\bar{x}}(t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_i(t) (A_{fi}\hat{\bar{x}}(t) + B_{fi}y(t)),
$$
\n(6)

<span id="page-1-5"></span>
$$
r(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_i(t) (C_{fi} \hat{\bar{x}}(t) + D_{fi} y(t)),
$$
\t(7)

where  $\hat{\bar{x}}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+d}$  is the filter's state,  $r(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is the socalled residual signal. The matrices  $A_{fi}$ ,  $B_{fi}$ ,  $C_{fi}$ , and  $D_{fi}$ are the filter parameters to be determined.

Remark 1: From the formation of the filter [\(6\)](#page-1-4)-([7\)](#page-1-5), one can get that the magnitude of the original system's state  $x(t)$  and the output disturbance  $d(t)$  of system ([1\)](#page-1-0)-[\(2](#page-1-1)) can be simultaneously estimated.

<span id="page-1-1"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>In order to detect the actuator fault  $f(t)$  more efficient and fast, sometimes one is more interested in the fault signal within a certain frequency interval, which can be formulated as the weighted fault  $\bar{f}(s) = W_f(s)f(s)$  with  $W_f(s)$  being a given stable weighting matrix. One minimal state space realization of  $\bar{f}(s) = W_f(s)f(s)$  is supposed to be

<span id="page-1-7"></span><span id="page-1-6"></span>
$$
\tilde{x}(t+1) = A_W \tilde{x}(t) + B_W f(t),\tag{8}
$$

$$
\bar{f}(t) = C_W \tilde{x}(t) + D_W f(t),\tag{9}
$$

where  $\tilde{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_W}$  is the state of the weighted fault,  $\bar{f}(t) \in$  $\mathbb{R}^f$  is the weighted fault.  $A_W$ ,  $B_W$ ,  $C_W$ , and  $D_W$  are known constant matrices with appropriate dimensions.

Remark 2: As the technique developed in [\[24](#page-7-11)], a suitable weighting matrix  $W_f(s)$  is introduced to limit the frequency interval of the interested fault, which can definitely improve the fault detection performance of the systems. From another aspect to see, the use of weighted fault  $\bar{f}(t)$ is more general than using the original fault  $f(t)$ , because if we impose  $W_f(s) = I$ , we can obtain  $\bar{f}(t) = f(t)$ .

Denoting

$$
e(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}^T(t) & \hat{\bar{x}}^T(t) & \tilde{x}^T(t) \end{bmatrix}^T, \tag{10}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\omega}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} u^T(t) & d^T(t) & f^T(t) \end{bmatrix}^T, \qquad (11)
$$

$$
r_e(t) = r(t) - \bar{f}(t). \tag{12}
$$

Augmenting the model of system ([4\)](#page-1-2)-[\(5](#page-1-3)) to include the states of  $(6)-(7)$  $(6)-(7)$  $(6)-(7)$  $(6)-(7)$  $(6)-(7)$  and  $(8)-(9)$  $(8)-(9)$  $(8)-(9)$  $(8)-(9)$ , the following augmented system can be obtained:

$$
\tilde{E}e(t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_i(t) (A_{ei}e(t) + B_{ei}\omega(t)),
$$
\n(13)

$$
r_e(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_i(t) (C_{ei}e(t) + D_{ei}\omega(t)),
$$
\n(14)

where

$$
\tilde{E} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{E} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, A_{ei} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{A}_i & 0 & 0 \\ B_{fi}\bar{C}_i & A_{fi} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_W \end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
B_{ei} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{B}_i & \bar{D}_i & \bar{F}_i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_W \end{bmatrix}, D_{ei} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -D_W \end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
C_{ei} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{fi}\bar{C}_i & C_{fi} & -C_W \end{bmatrix}.
$$
(15)

After designing the residual generator [\(6](#page-1-4))-[\(7](#page-1-5)), the last step to a successful fault detection is the residual evaluation stage, which needs to construct an residual evaluation function and a threshold. In this paper, the residual evaluation function  $J_L(r(t))$  and the threshold  $J_{th}$  are selected as

$$
J_L(r(t)) = ||r(t)||_2 = \left(\sum_{k=k_0}^{k_0+L} r^T(t)r(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$
 (16)

$$
J_{th} = \sup_{u(t)\in I_2, d(t)\in I_2, f(t)=0} ||r(t)||_2,
$$
\n(17)

where  $k_0$  denotes the initial evaluation time instant,  $L$  is the evaluation time steps. Based on this, the occurrence of the fault can be successfully detected by comparing  $J_L(r(t))$ and *Jth* according to the following regulation:

$$
J_L(r(t)) > J_{th} \Longrightarrow with \; faults \Longrightarrow alarm, \tag{18}
$$

$$
J_L(r(t)) \le J_{th} \Longrightarrow no \; faults. \tag{19}
$$

In order to minimize the effect of the disturbance and improve the sensitivity of the residual to the fault, the fault detection filter design can be formulated as an *H*<sup>∞</sup> filter problem. i.e., the problem to be addressed in this work is expressed as follows: to develop the filter ([6\)](#page-1-4)-([7\)](#page-1-5) for the system  $(4)$  $(4)$ - $(5)$  $(5)$  such that the augmented system  $(13)$  $(13)$ - $(14)$  $(14)$ 

• is asymptotically stable when  $\omega(t) = 0$ ; and

*∥re*(*t*)*∥*<sup>2</sup>

*•* under zero-initial condition, the minimum of γ is made small in the feasibility of

$$
\sup_{\|\omega(t)\|_2 \neq 0} \frac{\|r_e(t)\|_2}{\|\omega(t)\|_2} < \gamma, \quad \gamma > 0. \tag{20}
$$

For the purpose of this paper, we give the following definitions.

**Definition 1 [\[27](#page-7-14)]:** Consider the switched system

$$
Ex(t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_i(t) A_i x(t),
$$

- the pair  $(E, A_i)$  is said to be regular if  $det(sE A_i)$  is not identically zero for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .
- <span id="page-2-0"></span>• the pair  $(E, A_i)$  is said to be causal if  $deg(det(sE A_i$ )) = *rankE* for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .
- <span id="page-2-1"></span>• the pair  $(E, A_i)$  is said to be regular and causal if every pair  $(E, A_i)$  is regular and causal for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

**Definition 2** [[28\]](#page-7-15): System [\(1](#page-1-0))-[\(2](#page-1-1)) with  $u(t) = 0$ ,  $d(t) =$ 0, and  $f(t) = 0$ , is said to be

- regular, causal, if the pair  $(E, A_i)$  is regular, causal;
- uniformly asymptotically stable, if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\vartheta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for arbitrary switching signal  $\delta_i(t)$ ,  $sup \|\phi(s)\| < \vartheta$  implies  $\|x(t)\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , and there is a  $\vartheta'(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for arbitrary switching signal  $\delta_i(t)$ ,  $sup||\phi(s)|| < \vartheta'$  implies  $x(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 3:** System [\(13](#page-2-0))-[\(14](#page-2-1)) with  $\omega(t) = 0$  is said to be uniformly asymptotically stable with  $\gamma$ -disturbance attenuation if system ([13\)](#page-2-0)-([14\)](#page-2-1) with  $\omega(t) = 0$  is regular, causal, uniformly asymptotically stable, and for a given scalar  $\gamma > 0$ , for any disturbance  $\omega(t) \in l_2[0, \infty)$ , the following *H*<sup>∞</sup> performance is satisfied:

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} r_{e}^{T}(t)r_{e}(t) \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{2} \omega^{T}(t)\omega(t).
$$
 (21)

Remark 3: Regularity and causality of the switched system [\(13](#page-2-0)) with  $\omega(t) = 0$  ensure that for the arbitrary switching signal  $\delta_i(t)$ , the solution to this system exists and is unique for any compatible initial conditions. However, even if the switched system [\(13](#page-2-0)) is regular and causal, it still has finite jumps because of the incompatible initial conditions caused by subsystems switching. These jumps are generally unavoidable [\[29](#page-7-16)]. In this paper, for simplicity, we assume that such finite jumps cannot destroy the stability of the switched system.

## 3. FAULT DETECTION FILTER DESIGN

<span id="page-2-2"></span>In this section, the fault detection filter design problem will be solved for the descriptor discrete-time switched systems ([4\)](#page-1-2)-([5\)](#page-1-3). The fault detection analysis problem is firstly solved, and then based on this, a full-rank fault detection filter is designed.

#### 3.1. Fault detection analysis

**Theorem 1:** For a prescribed scalar  $\gamma > 0$ , the residual system [\(13](#page-2-0))-[\(14](#page-2-1)) is admissible with  $H_{\infty}$  performance  $\gamma$  if there exit positive definite matrices  $P_i$ ,  $P_j$ , and matrices  $R$ and  $Q_i$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, N$  such that

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n\Lambda_i & 0 & C_{ei}^T & A_{ei}^T \\
* & -\gamma^2 I & D_{ei}^T & B_{ei}^T \\
* & * & -I & 0 \\
* & * & * & -P_j^{-1}\n\end{bmatrix} < 0,\n\tag{22}
$$

where  $\Lambda_i = -\tilde{E}^T P_i \tilde{E} + A_{ei}^T R Q_i^T + Q_i R^T A_{ei}$ , and *R* is any matrix with full column which satisfies  $\tilde{E}^T R = 0$ .

**Proof:** Firstly, we prove the system  $(13)-(14)$  $(13)-(14)$  $(13)-(14)$  $(13)-(14)$  with  $\omega(t) = 0$  is admissible. When  $\omega(t) = 0$ , the system [\(13](#page-2-0))-[\(14](#page-2-1)) is written as follows:

$$
\tilde{E}e(t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_i(t) A_{ei}e(t),
$$
\n(23)

$$
r_e(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_i(t) C_{ei} e(t).
$$
 (24)

Suppose that there exist nonsingular matrices *G* and *H* such that

$$
G\tilde{E}H = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, GA_{ei}H = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11ei} & A_{12ei} \\ A_{21ei} & A_{22ei} \end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
G^{-T}P_iG^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11i} & P_{12i} \\ P_{21i} & P_{22i} \end{bmatrix}.
$$
(25)

Then we denote

$$
R = G^T \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ I \end{array} \right] N, Q_i = H^{-T} \left[ \begin{array}{c} Q_{1i} \\ Q_{2i} \end{array} \right] N^{-T}.
$$
 (26)

From inequality ([22\)](#page-3-0), we have

$$
A_{ei}^T P_j A_{ei} - \tilde{E}^T P_i \tilde{E} + A_{ei}^T R Q_i^T + Q_i R^T A_{ei} < 0.
$$
 (27)

Pre- and post-multiplying inequality ([27\)](#page-3-1) by  $H<sup>T</sup>$  and  $H$ respectively, we have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc} \star & \star \\ \star & \Gamma_i \end{array}\right] < 0,\tag{28}
$$

where  $\star$  denotes submatrix which is not relevant to our discussion and the form of  $\Gamma$ <sub>*i*</sub> is shown as follows:

$$
\Gamma_{i} = A_{12ei}^{T} P_{11j} A_{12ei} + A_{22ei}^{T} P_{21j} A_{12ei} \n+ A_{12ei}^{T} P_{12j} A_{22ei} + A_{22ei}^{T} P_{22j} A_{22ei} \n+ A_{22ei}^{T} Q_{2i}^{T} + Q_{2i} A_{22ei} - P_{22i} < 0,
$$
\n(29)

which implies that matrices  $A_{22ei}$  are nonsingular. Therefore, under the condition that  $\omega(t) = 0$ , one can conclude that system [\(13](#page-2-0))-[\(14](#page-2-1)) is regular and causal from Definition 1.

Consider the following switched Lyapunov function:

$$
V(e(t)) = e^{T}(t)\tilde{E}^{T}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta_{i}(t)P_{i}\right)\tilde{E}e(t).
$$
 (30)

Define  $\Delta V(t) = V(t+1) - V(t)$ , then along the solution of [\(13](#page-2-0)), we have

$$
\Delta V(t) = e^{T} (t+1) \tilde{E}^{T} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{i} (t+1) P_{i} \right) \tilde{E} e(t+1)
$$

$$
- e^{T} (t) \tilde{E}^{T} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{i} (t) P_{i} \right) \tilde{E} e(t)
$$

$$
= e^{T} (t) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{i} (t) A_{ei}^{T} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{i} (t+1) P_{i} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{i} (t) A_{ei}
$$

$$
- \tilde{E}^{T} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{i} (t) P_{i} \right) \tilde{E} \right] e(t).
$$
(31)

For any nonzero state vector  $e(t)$  and the particular case  $\delta_i(t) = 1$ ,  $\delta_{i \neq i}(t) = 0$ , and  $\delta_i(t+1) = 1$ ,  $\delta_{i \neq i}(t+1) = 0$ , one can get

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
\Delta V(t) = e^T(t) \left( A_{ei}^T P_j A_{ei} - \tilde{E}^T P_i \tilde{E} \right) e(t)
$$
 (32)

Notice that  $\tilde{E}^{T}R = 0$ , then

$$
0 = 2e^{T}(t+1)\tilde{E}^{T}R\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta_{i}(t)Q_{i}\right)\tilde{E}e(t+1)
$$

$$
= e^{T}(t)\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta_{i}(t)A_{ei}^{T}\right)R\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta_{i}(t)Q_{i}^{T}\right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta_{i}(t)Q_{i}\right)R^{T}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta_{i}(t)A_{ei}\right)\right]e(t).
$$
(33)

<span id="page-3-1"></span>Combining the conditions in ([32\)](#page-3-2) and ([33\)](#page-3-3), one can get

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
\Delta V(t) = e^T(t) \left( A_{ei}^T P_j A_{ei} - \tilde{E}^T P_i \tilde{E} + A_{ei}^T R Q_i^T + Q_i R^T A_{ei} \right) e(t).
$$
\n(34)

Therefore, from the condition in [\(22](#page-3-0)), one can obtain that  $\Delta V(t) < 0$ . Then we can conclude that the system ([13\)](#page-2-0)-([14\)](#page-2-1) is asymptotically stable.

Based on the above discussions, one can get that the system [\(13](#page-2-0))-[\(14](#page-2-1)) is admissible. In the following, the  $\gamma$ performance index will be proved.

Define the following performance index:

$$
J = \sum_{t=0}^{K-1} [r_e^T(t)r_e(t) - \gamma^2 \omega^T(t)\omega(t)].
$$
\n(35)

Under the zero initial condition, one has

$$
J = \sum_{t=0}^{K-1} [r_e^T(t)r_e(t) - \gamma^2 \omega^T(t)\omega(t) + \Delta V(t)] - V(K)
$$

2594 Dongsheng Du and Shengyuan Xu

$$
\leq \sum_{t=0}^{K-1} [r_e^T(t)r_e(t) - \gamma^2 \omega^T(t)\omega(t) + \Delta V(t)].
$$
 (36)

For any nonzero state vector  $e(t)$  and the particular case  $\delta_i(t) = 1$ ,  $\delta_{i \neq i}(t) = 0$ , and  $\delta_i(t + 1) = 1$ ,  $\delta_{i \neq j}(t + 1) = 0$ , one can get

$$
\Delta V(t) \leq \boldsymbol{v}^T(t)\Theta_{ij}\boldsymbol{v}(t), \boldsymbol{v}(t) = \left[\begin{array}{c} e(t) \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}(t) \end{array}\right], \qquad (37)
$$

where

$$
\Theta_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_{11ij} & A_{ei}^T P_j B_{ei} + C_{ei}^T D_{ei} \\ * & B_{ei}^T P_j B_{ei} - \gamma^2 I + D_{ei}^T D_{ei} \end{bmatrix},
$$
  
\n
$$
\Theta_{11ij} = A_{ei}^T P_j A_{ei} - \tilde{E}^T P_i \tilde{E} + A_{ei}^T R Q_i^T
$$
  
\n
$$
+ Q_i R^T A_{ei} + C_{ei}^T C_{ei}.
$$
\n(38)

According to Schur complement Lemma and the condi-tion in ([22\)](#page-3-0), one can get that  $\Theta_{ij} < 0$ , which implies that *J* < 0. Therefore, we obtain  $||r_e(t)||_2 < \gamma ||\omega(t)||_2$  for any nonzero  $\omega(t) \in l_2[0, \infty)$ . Thus the proof is completed.

In order to design the fault detection filter more conveniently, we extend Theorem 1 to the following corollary.

**Corollary 1:** For a prescribed scalar  $\gamma > 0$ , the residual system [\(13](#page-2-0))-[\(14](#page-2-1)) is admissible with  $H_{\infty}$  performance  $\gamma$  if there exit positive definite matrices  $P_i$ ,  $P_j$ , and matrices  $R$ ,  $\Omega$  and  $Q_i$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, N$  such that

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n\Lambda_i & 0 & C_{ei}^T & A_{ei}^T \Omega \\
* & -\gamma^2 I & D_{ei}^T & B_{ei}^T \Omega \\
* & * & -I & 0 \\
* & * & * & P_j - (\Omega + \Omega^T)\n\end{bmatrix} < 0,\n\tag{39}
$$

where  $\Lambda_i = -\tilde{E}^T P_i \tilde{E} + A_{ei}^T R Q_i^T + Q_i R^T A_{ei}$ , and *R* is any matrix with full column which satisfies  $\tilde{E}^T R = 0$ .

Proof: Suppose the LMIs [\(39](#page-4-0)) hold. Noting the inequality

$$
(P_i - \Omega)^T P_i^{-1} (P_i - \Omega) \ge 0 \tag{40}
$$

implies that

$$
P_i - (\Omega + \Omega^T) \ge -\Omega^T P_i^{-1} \Omega,
$$
\n(41)

which together with ([39\)](#page-4-0) yield

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n\Lambda & 0 & C_{ei}^T & A_{ei}^T \Omega \\
* & -\gamma^2 I & D_{ei}^T & B_{ei}^T \Omega \\
* & * & -I & 0 \\
* & * & * & -\Omega^T P_j^{-1} \Omega\n\end{bmatrix} < 0,\n\tag{42}
$$

which pre- and post-multiplying  $diag\{I, I, I, \Omega^{-T}\}\$  and  $diag\{I, I, I, \Omega^{-1}\}$  yields [\(22](#page-3-0)), then we conclude the proof.

Remark 4: With the introduction of a new additional matrix  $Ω$ , we obtain a sufficient condition in which the matrices  $P_i$  and  $P_j$  are not involved in any product with matrices *Aei*, *Bei*, *Cei*, and *Dei*, which makes a fault detection filter design feasible.

## 3.2. Fault detection filter design

In this subsection, the fault detection filter design problem is investigated based on Corollary 1, i.e., a method will be developed to determine the fault detection filter matrices expressed in ([6\)](#page-1-4) and [\(7](#page-1-5)), such that the residual system ([13\)](#page-2-0)-([14](#page-2-1)) is asymptotically stable and the performance defined in [\(20](#page-2-2)) is guaranteed.

**Theorem 2:** For prescribed scalars  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$ , if there exit positive definite matrices  $P_{11i}$ ,  $P_{22i}$ ,  $P_{33i}$ ,  $P_{11j}$ ,  $P_{22j}$ ,  $P_{33j}$ , and matrices  $P_{12i}$ ,  $P_{13i}$ ,  $P_{23i}$ ,  $P_{12j}$ ,  $P_{13j}$ ,  $P_{23j}$ ,  $U$ ,  $L_1, L_2, Q_{1i}, Q_{3i}, \hat{A}_{fi}, \hat{B}_{fi}, \hat{C}_{fi}, \hat{D}_{fi}$  for any  $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ satisfying the following LMIs:

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\Upsilon_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} \Upsilon_{11ij} & 0 & \Upsilon_{13ij} & \Upsilon_{14ij} \\ * & -\gamma^2 I & \Upsilon_{23ij} & \Upsilon_{24ij} \\ * & * & -I & 0 \\ * & * & * & \Upsilon_{44ij} \end{bmatrix} < 0, \qquad (43)
$$

where

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\begin{split}\n\Upsilon_{11ij} &= \begin{bmatrix}\n(1,1) & -\bar{E}^T P_{12i} & -\bar{E}^T P_{13i} + \bar{A}_i^T R_1 Q_{3i}^T \\
* & -P_{22i} & -P_{23i} \\
* & * & -P_{33i}\n\end{bmatrix}, \\
(1,1) &= -\bar{E}^T P_{11i}\bar{E} + \bar{A}_i^T R_1 Q_{1i}^T + Q_{1i} R_1^T \bar{A}_i, \\
\Upsilon_{13ij} &= \begin{bmatrix}\n\bar{C}_i^T \hat{D}_{fi}^T \\
\hat{C}_{fi}^T \\
-G_W^T\n\end{bmatrix},\n\Upsilon_{23ij} = \begin{bmatrix}\n0 \\
0 \\
-O_W^T\n\end{bmatrix}, \\
\Upsilon_{14ij} &= \begin{bmatrix}\n\bar{A}_i^T U^T + \bar{C}_i^T \hat{B}_{fi}^T & \bar{A}_i^T L_1^T + \bar{C}_i^T \hat{B}_{fi}^T & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \eta A_W^T\n\end{bmatrix}, \\
\Upsilon_{24ij} &= \begin{bmatrix}\n\bar{B}_i^T U^T & \bar{B}_i^T L_1^T \\
\bar{D}_i^T U^T & \bar{D}_i^T L_1^T \\
\bar{F}_i^T U^T & \bar{F}_i^T L_1^T\n\end{bmatrix}, \\
\Upsilon_{44ij} &= \begin{bmatrix}\n(2,2) & P_{12j} - (L_2 + L_1^T) & P_{13j} \\
* & P_{22j} - (L_2 + L_2^T) & P_{23j} \\
* & * & P_{33j} - 2\eta I\n\end{bmatrix}, \\
(2,2) &= P_{11j} - (U + U^T).\n\end{split}
$$

 $R_1$  is any matrix with full rank and satisfies  $\bar{E}^T R_1 = 0$ . Then there exits a fault detection filter in the form of ([6\)](#page-1-4)- ([7\)](#page-1-5), such that the residual signal system in [\(13](#page-2-0))-[\(14](#page-2-1)) is asymptotically stable with  $H_∞$  performance  $γ$ . Moreover, if the aforementioned conditions are satisfied, the parameters for the fault detection filter in ([6\)](#page-1-4)-([7\)](#page-1-5) can be given by

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\nA_{fi} & B_{fi} \\
C_{fi} & D_{fi}\n\end{bmatrix} =\n\begin{bmatrix}\nX^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & I\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{bmatrix}\n\hat{A}_{fi} & \hat{B}_{fi} \\
\hat{C}_{fi} & \hat{D}_{fi}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\times\n\begin{bmatrix}\nX^{-T}Y^{T} & 0 \\
0 & I\n\end{bmatrix},
$$
\n(44)

where matrices *X* and *Y* are any nonsingular matrices sat $i$ *sfying*  $XY^{-T}X^{T} = L_{2}$ *.* 

**Proof:** Based on the condition  $\tilde{E}^T R = 0$  in Theorem 1, one can conclude that the matrix *R* has the following form:

$$
R = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} R_1^T & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]^T.
$$
 (45)

Define the following matrices:

$$
\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_1 & \Omega_2 & 0 \\ \Omega_3 & \Omega_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \eta I \end{bmatrix}^T, Q_i = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{1i} \\ 0 \\ Q_{3i} \end{bmatrix},
$$
  

$$
\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Omega_4^{-T} \Omega_2^{T} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{bmatrix},
$$
 (46)

where  $\Omega_2$  and  $\Omega_4$  are nonsingular matrices. Therefore, matrix  $\Phi$  is invertible.

Performing a congruence transformation to inequality [\(39](#page-4-0)) via *diag{*Φ*,I,I,*Φ*}*, and define

$$
\hat{A}_{fi} = \Omega_2 A_{fi} \Omega_4^{-T} \Omega_2^{T}, \ \hat{B}_{fi} = \Omega_2 B_{fi}, \ \hat{C}_{fi} = C_{fi} \Omega_4^{-T} \Omega_2^{T}, \n\hat{D}_{fi} = D_{fi}, \ L_1 = \Omega_2 \Omega_4^{-1} \Omega_3, \ L_2 = \Omega_2 \Omega_4^{-T} \Omega_2^{T}, \nU = \Omega_1, \ X = \Omega_2, \ Y = \Omega_4, \n\Phi^T P_i \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11i} & P_{12i} & P_{13i} \\ * & P_{22i} & P_{23i} \\ * & * & P_{33i} \end{bmatrix}, \n\Phi^T P_j \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11j} & P_{12j} & P_{13j} \\ * & P_{22j} & P_{23j} \\ * & * & P_{33j} \end{bmatrix}.
$$
\n(47)

Then, Combining the conditions in ([46\)](#page-5-0) and [\(47](#page-5-1)), one can conclude that the inequality in [\(43](#page-4-1)) is equivalent to  $\Phi^T \Upsilon_{ij} \Phi < 0$ , which clearly guarantees the inequality in [\(39](#page-4-0)). Thus, the proof is completed.

## 4. A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

In this section, a numerical example is given to illustrate the developed method is feasible. Consider the switched system ([1\)](#page-1-0)-[\(2](#page-1-1)) with parametric matrices as follows:

$$
A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & -0.3 \\ -0.1 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}, B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 \\ -0.2 \end{bmatrix},
$$
  
\n
$$
F_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1 \\ 0.09 \end{bmatrix}, C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & -0.7 \end{bmatrix}, D_1 = 0.2,
$$
  
\n
$$
A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & -0.4 \\ -0.1 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}, B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.01 \\ -0.05 \end{bmatrix},
$$
  
\n
$$
F_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1 \\ 0.7 \end{bmatrix}, C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, D_2 = 0.2.
$$

Suppose the fault weighting matrix  $A_W = 0.5$ ,  $B_W = 0.25$ ,  $C_W = 1.0$ , and  $D_W = 0.5$ . If we choose the matrix  $R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$  and scalar  $\eta = 2$  and solve the conditions [\(43](#page-4-1)) and [\(44](#page-4-2)) in Theorem 2, we can get when the minimum performance index  $\gamma = 0.71$ , the parametric matrices of the desired fault detection filter parameters are obtained as follows:

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
A_{f1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0039 & 0.0010 & 0.0000 \\ 0.0003 & 0.0001 & 0.0000 \\ -0.0000 & -0.0000 & -0.0000 \end{bmatrix},
$$
  
\n
$$
B_{f1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.3687 \\ -0.1102 \\ -0.0000 \end{bmatrix},
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{f1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.3687 \\ -0.1102 \\ -0.0000 \end{bmatrix},
$$
  
\n
$$
D_{f1} = -0.0221.
$$
  
\n
$$
A_{f2} = 10^{3} * \begin{bmatrix} 0.7411 & 0.2789 & 0.0000 \\ 0.0312 & -0.3112 & -0.0000 \\ -0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \end{bmatrix},
$$
  
\n
$$
B_{f2} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.4493 \\ 0.0223 \\ -0.0000 \end{bmatrix},
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{f2} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.4493 \\ -0.0024 & -0.0006 & -0.0000 \end{bmatrix},
$$
  
\n
$$
D_{f2} = -0.0335.
$$

In this paper, the main purpose is to realize fault detection for dscrete-time switched system with sensor output disturbance. For the simulation, the sensor unknown output disturbance is assumed to be random noise with sample time 0*.*1, as shown in Fig. 1. The arbitrary switched signal is assumed to shown in Fig. 2. The Control input is  $u(t) = 0.5\cos(t)$ . The fault signal is set up as

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
f(t) = \begin{cases} 5, & 20 \le t \le 60, \\ 0, & \text{others}, \end{cases}
$$

then the weighted fault signal  $\bar{f}(t)$  is described in Fig. 3. We set the initial state is  $x(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & -0.2 \end{bmatrix}^T$ , Figs. 4, 5, 6 seperately depict the tragectories of the state  $x(t)$ , the state estimation  $\hat{x}(t)$  and the measurement output  $y(t)$ . Figs. 7 and 8 show the response of residual signal  $r(t)$ without fault  $f(t)$  and under the constant fault  $f(t)$ . Fig. 9 presents the residual evaluation function  $J_L(r(t))$  for both the faulty case (solid line) and fault-free case (dashed line). With a selected threshold  $J_{th} = 2.2656$ , the simulation results show that  $J_L(21.5) = 2.7024 > J_{th}$  for  $t = 21.5$ , which means that the fault  $f(t)$  can be successfully detected two time steps after its occurrance.

#### 5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, fault detection filter design for discretetime switched systems under actuator fault and sensor disturbance is considered. By constructing a descriptor system, an efficient condition is obtained to guarantee the existence a fault detection filter and such that the augmented systems is asymptotically stable with  $H_{\infty}$  performance. Finally, simulation results have illustrated the proposed methodology and shown the effectiveness of the results.



Fig. 1. Unknown output disturbance *d*(*t*).



Fig. 2. Switched signal  $\delta_i(t)$ .



Fig. 3. The weighted fault signal  $\bar{f}(t)$ .



Fig. 4. State vectors  $x(t)$ .



Fig. 5. The estimation of state vectors  $\hat{x}(t)$ .

# **REFERENCES**

<span id="page-6-0"></span>[1] M. S. Branicky, "Multiple Lyapunov functions and other analysis tools for switched and hybrid systems," *IEEE*



Fig. 6. The output vector  $y(t)$ .



Fig. 7. Residual signal *r*(*t*) without fault.



Fig. 8. Residual signal *r*(*t*) with fault.



Fig. 9. Evaluation function  $J_L(r(t))$ .

*Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 475-482, 1998. [\[click\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/9.664150)

- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[2] A. S. Morse, "Supervisory control of families of linear setpoint controllers-part 1: exact matching," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. 41, no. 10, pp. 1413-1431, 1996. [\[click\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/9.539424)
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[3] D. Liberzon and A. S. Morse, "Basic problems in stability and design of switched systems," *IEEE Contr. Syst. Mag.*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 59-70, 1999.
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[4] H. Yang and B. Jiang, "On stability of non-linear and switched parabolic systems," *IET Control Theory and Applications*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 749-756, 2013. [\[click\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1049/iet-cta.2012.0752)
- [5] L. Zhang, S. Zhuang, and P. Shi, "Non-weighted quasitime-dependent *H*<sup>∞</sup> filtering for switched linear systems with persistent dwell-time," *Automatica*, vol. 54, pp. 201- 209, 2015. [\[click\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.automatica.2015.02.010)
- [6] B. Zhang, W. Zheng, and S. Xu, "Filtering of Markovian jump delay systems based on a new performance index," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems-I: Regular Papers*, vol. 60, no. 5, pp. 1250-1263, May 2013. [\[click\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TCSI.2013.2246213)
- [7] C. Qin, Z. R. Xiang, and H. R. Karimi, "Finite-time *H*<sup>∞</sup> control for switched systems with time-varying delay using delta operator approach," *International Journal of Control, Automation, and Systems*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 1150-1159, 2014. [\[click\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12555-014-0005-8)
- [8] L. L. Hou, G. D. Zong, and Y. Q. Wu, "Finite-time control for discrete-time switched systems with time delay," *International Journal of Control, Automation, and Systems*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 855-860, 2012. [\[click\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12555-012-0424-3)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[9] G. D. Zong, H. Ren, and L. L. Hou, "Finite-time stability of interconnected impulsive switched systems," *IET Control Theory Appl.*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 648-654, 2016. [\[click\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1049/iet-cta.2015.0617)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[10] S. Aouaouda, M. Chadli, and H.R. Karimi, "Robust static output-feedback controller design against sensor failure for vehicle dynamics". *IET Control Theory Applications*, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 728-737. 2014. [\[click\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1049/iet-cta.2013.0709)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>[11] J Jiang and X Yu, "Fault-tolerant control systems: s comparative study between active and passive approaches," *IFAC Annual Reviews in Control*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 60-72, April, 2012. [\[click\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arcontrol.2012.03.005)
- [12] S. Li, Z. R. Xiang, and H. R. Karimi, "Mixed *l−l*<sup>1</sup> fault detection observer design for positive switched systems with time-varying delay via delta operator approach," *International Journal of Control, Automation, and Systems*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp.709-721, 2014.
- [13] S. Aouaouda, M. Chadli, P. Shi, and H. R. Karimi, "Discrete-time *H−H*<sup>∞</sup> sensor fault detection observer design for nonlinear systems with parameter uncertainty," *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 339-361, 2015. [\[click\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/rnc.3089 View/save citation)
- [14] X. Su, P. Shi, L. Wu, and Y. Song, "Fault detection filtering for nonlinear switched stochastic systems," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 61, no. 5, pp. 1310-1315, MAY 2016.
- [15] L. Wu, X. Yao, and W. X. Zheng, "Generalized  $H_2$  fault detection for two-dimensional Markovian jump systems," *Automatica*, vol. 48, pp. 1741-1750, 2012. [\[click\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.automatica.2012.05.024)
- [16] R. Busch and I. K. Peddle, "Active fault detection for open loop stable LTI SISO systems," *International Journal of Control, Automation, and Systems*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp.324- 332, 2014. [\[click\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12555-012-0500-8)
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>[17] Y. Yin, P. Shi, F. Liu, and K. L. Teo, "Robust fault detection for discrete-time stochastic systems with nonhomogeneous jump processes," *IET Control Theory and Applications*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1-10, 2014.
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>[18] J. Chen and R.J. Patton, "Standard *H*<sup>∞</sup> filtering formulation of robust fault detection," *Proc. Safe Process*, Budapest, Hungary, pp. 256-261, 2000.
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>[19] M. i Takahashi, T. Takagi, and Y. Miyawaki, "Fault diagnosis of joint-sensors in parallel manipulators," *ICIC Express Letters*, vol. 3, no. 4(b), pp. 1309-1314, 2009.
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>[20] X. Z. Jin and G. H. Yang, "Robust adaptive fault-tolerant compensation control with actuator failures and bounded disturbances," *Acta Automatica Sinica*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 305-309, 2009. [\[click\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1004.2009.00305)
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>[21] X. D. Steven, M. Zhong, B. Tang, and P. Zhang, "An LMI approach to the design of fault detection filter for timedelay LTI systems with unknown inputs," *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*, Arlington, VA June 25- 27, 2001.
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>[22] M. Zhong, H. Ye, P. Shi, and G. Wang, "Fault detection for Markovian jump systems," *IEE Proc. Control Theory Appl.*, vol. 152, no. 4, pp. 397-402, 2005. [\[click\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1049/ip-cta:20045085)
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>[23] Y. Zhao, L. James, and H. Gao, "Fault detection for fuzzy systems with intermittent measurements," *IEEE Trans. fuzzy systems*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 398-410, 2009. [\[click\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TFUZZ.2009.2014860)
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>[24] M. Zhong, S. X. Ding, J. Lam, and H. Wang, "An LMI approach to design robust fault detection filter for uncertain LTI systems," *Automatica*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 543-550, 2003.
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>[25] S. K. Nguang and P. Shi, "Delay dependent fault estimation for uncertian time delay nonlinear systems: an LMI approach," *Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control*, vol. 16, no. 18, pp. 913-933, 2006. [\[click\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/rnc.1116 View/save citation)
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>[26] A. Casavola, D. Famularo, and G. Franz'e, "A robust deconvolution scheme for fault detection and isolation of uncertain linear systems: an LMI approach," *Automatica*, vol. 41, no. 8, pp. 1463-1472, 2005.
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>[27] L. Dai, *Singular Control Systems*, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>[28] S. Ma, C. Zhang, and Z. Wu, "Delay-dependent stability and *H*<sup>∞</sup> control for uncertain discrete switched singular systems with time-delay," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 206, no. 1, pp. 413-424, 2008.
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>[29] S. Shi, Q. Zhang Q, Z. Yuan, and W. Liu, "Hybrid impulsive control for switched singular systems," *IET Control Theory and Applications*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 103-111, 2011. [\[click\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1049/iet-cta.2009.0444)



Dongsheng Du received the B.S. degree in applied mathematics and M.S. degree in control theory from Qufu Normal University, Qufu, China, in 2003 and 2006, the Ph.D. in control theory and engineering from Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing, China, in 2012. From 2008 to 2013, he was a lecture with School of Science, Huaihai Institute

of Technology. From 2015, he was an associate professor with Faculty of Automation, Huaiyin Institute of Technology. From Nov. 2016 to Nov. 2017, he was a visiting scholar with Centre de Recherche en Informatique, Signal et Automatique de Lille, Polytech'Lille, France. His current research interests include fault diagnosis, fault tolerant control and switched system.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Shengyuan Xu received his B.Sc. degree from the Hangzhou Normal University, China in 1990, M.Sc. degree from the Qufu Normal University, China in 1996, and Ph.D. degree from the Nanjing University of Science and Technology, China 1999. From 1999 to 2000 he was a Research Associate in the Department of Mechanical Engineering at the University of

Hong Kong, Hong Kong. From December 2000 to November 2001, and December 2001 to September 2002, he was a Postdoctoral Researcher in CESAME at the Universitill catholique de Louvain, Belgium, and the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at the University of Alberta, Canada, respectively. Since November 2002, he has joined the School of Automation at the Nanjing University of Science and Technology as a professor. Dr. Xu is a member of the Editorial Boards of the Transactions of the Institute of Measurement and Control, and the Journal of the Franklin Institute. His current research interests include robust filtering and control, singular systems, time-delay systems, neural networks, multidimensional systems and nonlinear systems.