RESEARCH PAPER



Fenestrapora (Fenestrata, Bryozoa) from the Middle Devonian of Germany

Andrej Ernst¹

Received: 20 March 2015/Accepted: 17 January 2016/Published online: 23 February 2016 © Paläontologische Gesellschaft 2016

Abstract Two species of the fenestrate genus Fenestrapora Hall, 1885 are described from the Middle Devonian (middle Eifelian-lower Givetian) of the Rhenish Massif, Germany. The species Fenestrapora transcaucasica Morozova and Lavrentjeva, 1998 is known from the Middle Devonian (Eifelian) of Transcaucasia, Azerbaijan, and from the Middle Devonian (Eifelian) of Sauerland, Germany. One species is new: Fenestrapora tuberculata sp. nov. Numerical statistics were tested for species discrimination of the studied material of three Fenestrapora species from the Lower Devonian of Spain and Middle Devonian of Germany. Discrepancies in the taxonomical and numerical assignment of the studied samples are explained by restriction of the involved characters and their affection by environmental conditions.

Keywords Devonian · Bryozoa · Taxonomy · Evolution · Eifel (Rhenish Massif, Germany)

Kurzfassung Zwei Arten der fenestraten Bryozoen-Gattung *Fenestrapora* Hall, 1885 werden aus dem Mitteldevon des Rheinischen Schiefergebirges beschrieben. Die Art *Fenestrapora transcaucasica* Morozova and Lavrentjeva, 1998 ist aus dem Mitteldevon (Eifelium) von Transkaukasien (Aserbaidschan) und dem Sauerland (Deutschland) bekannt. Die neue Art *Fenestrapora tuberculata* sp. nov. wird vorgestellt und beschrieben. Eine numerische statistische Analyse zur Art-Abgrenzung innerhalb des untersuchten Materials (drei *Fenestrapora*-Arten) aus dem

Andrej Ernst Andrej.Ernst@uni-hamburg.de Unter- (Spanien) und Mitteldevon (Deutschland) wurde durchgeführt. Abweichungen in der taxonomischen und numerischen Zuordnung der untersuchten Proben können durch die geringe Anzahl der herangezogenen Merkmale und deren Beeinflussung durch Umweltverhältnisse erklärt werden.

Schlüsselwörter Devon · Bryozoa · Taxonomie · Evolution · Eifel (Rheinisches Schiefergebirge, Deutschland)

Introduction

Genus Fenestrapora Hall, 1885, existed for a short period from the Lower Devonian (Emsian) to the Middle Devonian (Givetian) of the USA and Europe. This genus includes only a few species: Fenestrapora biperforata Hall, 1885, from the Lower to Middle Devonian of New York, USA, F. infraporosa (Ulrich, 1886), F. occidentalis Ulrich, 1890, from the Middle Devonian (Givetian) of the USA and F. transcaucasica Morozova and Lavrentjeva, 1998, from the Middle Devonian (Eifelian) of Transcaucasia. The species Fenestrapora occidentalis Ulrich, 1890, has been recently identified from the Lower to Middle Devonian (Emsian-Eifelian) of NW Spain (Ernst 2012; Fig. 7f-h), whereas F. transcaucasica Morozova and Lavrentjeva, 1998, has been found in the Middle Devonian (lower Eifelian) of Sauerland, Germany (Ernst et al. 2012). The new species F. tuberculata sp. nov. is described from the Middle Devonian (Eifelian-Givetian) of the Rhenish Massif, Germany.

The genus *Fenestrapora* differs from other fenestrates by the presence of aviculomorphs, which are regarded being functionally analogous with avicularia of the

¹ Institut f
ür Geologie, Universit
ät Hamburg, Bundesstr. 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany

cheilostome bryozoans (McKinney 1998; Morozova 2001). These structures are situated in the outer laminated skeleton, mainly on the reverse side of branches, widened crests of keels on the obverse side, or in the thickened skeleton around colony bases. Similar structures occur in Mirifenestella Morozova, 1974, which represent paired aviculomorphs situated on dissepiments and opened to the reverse side. In contrast to avicularia, aviculomorphs are not interpreted as heterozooecia (modified zooecia), but as colonial structures (McKinney 1998). The contrasting hypothesis regards aviculomorphs as remnants of avicularia-like heterozooecia (Morozova and Lavrentjeva 1998; Morozova 2001). The function of aviculomorphs must have been the same as of avicularia: protection and (or) cleaning (Winston 1984). The whole contents of the function of avicularia of cheilostomes is not well understood (McKinney and Jackson 1989).

The aim of this article is to provide a description of a new *Fenestrapora* species from the Middle Devonian (Eifelian-Givetian) of the Rhenish Massif, Germany, and a numerical comparison of three species from the Devonian of Europe: *Fenestrapora occidentalis* Ulrich, 1890, *F. transcaucasica* Morozova and Lavrentjeva, 1998, and *F. tuberculata* sp. nov.

Geological setting

The material for this study comes from five localities in the western Rhenish Massif, Germany (Fig. 1).

The first locality is situated in the vicinity of Brühlborn near Rommersheim, Prüm Syncline (50°22', 6°47'). The locality is a road cut in which limestones of the upper Nims Member of the Junkerberg Formation (Eifelian) crop out. These limestones are represented by rudstones and bindstones containing brachiopods, corals, stromatolites, echinoderms and abundant bryozoans.

The second locality is an abandoned Müllertchen quarry in the vicinity of Üxheim-Ahütte, Hillersheim Syncline (50°21', 6°46'). This quarry contains muddy limestone containing corals, brachiopods, crinoids, sponges and bryozoans. These limestones belong to the Olifant Member of the Ahbach Formation (lowermost Givetian) and the Müllert Subformation of the Ahbach Formation (lowermost Givetian).

The third locality is the well-known abandoned "Weinberg" quarry near Kerpen ($50^{\circ}19'$, $6^{\circ}42'$), which reveals the Middle Devonian carbonate succession. The studied material from the "Weinberg" Quarry comes from the Bohnert Member of the Freilingen Formation (upper Eifelian).

The fourth locality lies southwest of the village Gondelsheim, Prüm Syncline (50°14′, 6°28′). Muddy limestones of the Nims Member of the Upper Junkerberg Formation (Eifelian) at this locality contain corals, brachiopods, echinoderms and bryozoans.

The fifth locality is situated in the vicinity of the village Essingen-Hohenfels, eastern Gerolstein Syncline ($50^{\circ}15'$, $6^{\circ}44'$), and contains muddy limestones of relatively uncertain stratigraphic position. These sediments represent a transition between the Ahrdorf and Junkerberg formations (Jan Bohatý, pers. comm. 2009).

The stratigraphic nomenclature of the Middle Devonian of the Eifel carbonate synclines follows Struve (1961, 1992, 1996). The terms "formation," "subformation" and "member" are considered independent and hierarchic, respectively Fig. 2.

Materials and methods

Colonies of Fenestrapora species were studied in thin sections using a binocular microscope in transmitted light. Additionally, a scanning electron microscope was used to study an external morphology. The morphological terminology is modified from Hageman (1991a, b). The following morphological characters were measured: branch width, dissepiment width, fenestrule width, fenestrule length, distance between the branch centers, distance between the dissepiment centers, aperture spacing along the branch, diagonal aperture spacing, autozooecial aperture width, maximum chamber width, thickness of the reverse wall granular layer, thickness of the reverse wall laminated layer, branch thickness including the keel, branch thickness without the keel (measured from the reverse branch surface up to the level of the aperture), aviculomorph length, aviculomorph width, reproductive heterozooecium diameter and reverse surface node diameter (Fig. 3; Tables 1, 2, 3).

The studied material is housed at the Senckenberg Museum in Frankfurt am Main, Germany, under numbers SMF 21.687–SMF 21.736.

Systematic palaeontology

Phylum **Bryozoa** Ehrenberg, 1831 Class **Stenolaemata** Borg, 1926 Superorder **Palaeostomata** Ma et al., 2014 Order **Fenestrata** Elias and Condra, 1957 Suborder **Fenestellina** Astrova and Morozova, 1956



Fig. 2 Stratigraphy of the "Type Eifelian Profile" sensu Struve and Werner (1982) reduced, showing the relation of the stratigraphic units mentioned in the article Numbers in *circles* refer to the bryozoan localities (see Fig. 1)

Series	Stage	Formation	Subformation	Member	Conodont Zones		
				Meerbüsch Mb.			
	_			Forstberg Mb.			
	с т	Curten Fm.		Marmorwand Mb.			
c	ti			Felschbach Mb.			
8	Ū	Loogh-Em		Rech Mb.	hemiansatus		
	2	Loogh T III.		Wotan Mb.			
2	Ċ	Ahbach Fm. 2	Müllert Sub, Em	Zerberus Mb.			
0	-			Olifant Mb.			
>				Lahr Mb	<u></u>		
á			Malweller Sub. Fm.	Hallert Mb.	kockelianus		
õ		Freilingen Fm $^{\textcircled{3}}$		Bohnert Mb.	& ensensis		
dle D	Eifelian			Eilenberg Mb.			
			Crowberg Sub Em	Giesdorf Mb.			
		14	Grauberg Sub. Fm.	Nims Mb.			
		Junkerberg Fm.		Rechert Mb.	kockelianus		
σ				Hönselberg Mb.			
			Heinzelt Sub. Fm.	Mussel Mb.			
Σ				Klausbach Mb.			
		(5) Ahrdorf Fm.	Niederehe Sub. Fm.				
				Wasen Mb.	australis		
				Flesten Mb.			
			Betterberg Sub. Fm.	Köll Mb.			
				Bildstock Mb.			

Fig. 3 Overview of the morphology of Fenestrapora and important measurements. WB branch width, WD dissepiment width, WF fenestrule width, LW fenestrule length, DBC distance between the branch centers, DBD distance between the dissepiment centers, ADB aperture spacing along the branch, AAB diagonal aperture spacing, AW autozooecial aperture width, MAW maximum chamber width, TRW thickness of the reverse wall granular layer, RWT thickness of the reverse wall laminated layer, TB 1 branch thickness including the keel, TB 2 branch thickness without the keel (measured from the reverse branch surface up to the level of the aperture), AvWaviculomorph length, AvL aviculomorph width, GW reproductive heterozooecium diameter



transverse section

branch reverse side

	Ν	Х	SD	CV	MIN	MAX
Branch width (mm)	34	0.39	0.051	13.25	0.30	0.53
Dissepiment width (mm)	35	0.38	0.055	14.44	0.28	0.48
Fenestrule width (mm)	35	0.43	0.055	12.86	0.32	0.56
Fenestrule length (mm)	36	0.76	0.114	14.94	0.60	1.05
Distance between branch centers (mm)	36	0.78	0.088	11.28	0.63	1.00
Distance between dissepiment centers (mm)	36	1.09	0.096	8.80	0.90	1.35
Aperture width (mm)	36	0.10	0.010	9.38	0.08	0.12
Aperture spacing along branch (mm)	36	0.31	0.018	5.87	0.28	0.35
Aperture spacing diagonally (mm)	34	0.31	0.043	14.10	0.24	0.40
Maximal chamber width (mm)	36	0.16	0.023	13.82	0.12	0.20
Apertures per fenestrule length	35	3.8	0.646	17.12	3.0	5.0
Thickness of reverse wall granular layer (mm)	20	0.016	0.004	25.42	0.010	0.025
Thickness of reverse wall laminated layer (mm)	20	0.111	0.023	20.56	0.080	0.165
Branch thickness without keel (mm)	20	0.44	0.027	6.15	0.38	0.48
Branch thickness including keel (mm)	20	0.75	0.043	5.72	0.69	0.84
Aviculomorph width (mm)	26	0.14	0.015	10.47	0.11	0.16
Aviculomorph length (mm)	26	0.27	0.049	18.44	0.22	0.40
Reproductive heterozooecia diameter (mm)	10	0.31	0.037	11.65	0.26	0.37
Reverse surface node diameter (mm)	10	0.048	0.017	35.18	0.025	0.070

Summary descriptive statistics (measurements in mm) of three colonies

Statistics: number of measurements (N), arithmetic mean (X), sample standard deviation (SD), coefficient of variation (CV), and minimum (MIN) and maximum (MAX) values

Table 1FenestraporatranscaucasicaMorozova andLavrentjeva, 1998 (MiddleDevonian, Rhenish Massif)

Table 2	Fenestrapora
tubercula	<i>ita</i> sp. nov

	Ν	Х	SD	CV	MIN	MAX
Branch width (mm)	70	0.44	0.058	13.32	0.31	0.54
Dissepiment width (mm)	55	0.38	0.082	21.65	0.23	0.60
Fenestrule width (mm)	65	0.55	0.124	22.51	0.30	0.78
Fenestrule length (mm)	65	1.07	0.173	16.18	0.66	1.50
Distance between branch centers (mm)	65	0.93	0.169	18.22	0.60	1.29
Distance between dissepiment centers (mm)	65	1.45	0.206	14.22	1.17	1.95
Aperture width (mm)	60	0.10	0.011	11.36	0.07	0.12
Aperture spacing along branch (mm)	60	0.30	0.033	10.85	0.25	0.36
Aperture spacing diagonally (mm)	60	0.31	0.038	12.34	0.24	0.42
Maximal chamber width (mm)	70	0.19	0.023	12.18	0.14	0.25
Apertures per fenestrule length	70	4.8	0.754	15.70	3.0	6.0
Thickness of reverse wall granular layer (mm)	45	0.020	0.007	34.95	0.010	0.035
Thickness of reverse wall laminated layer (mm)	45	0.16	0.069	43.33	0.05	0.35
Branch thickness without keel	50	0.47	0.061	12.95	0.34	0.60
Branch thickness including keel	50	0.96	0.175	18.30	0.66	1.50
Aviculomorph width (mm)	4	0.14	0.024	17.18	0.12	0.17
Aviculomorph length (mm)	4	0.27	0.024	8.98	0.25	0.30
Reproductive heterozooecia diameter (mm)	20	0.30	0.042	13.66	0.22	0.38
Reverse surface node diameter (mm)		0.13	0.025	19.77	0.08	0.17

Summary descriptive statistics (measurements in mm) of seven colonies

Abbreviations as for Table 1

	WB	WD	WF	LF	DBC	DBD	AW	ADB	AAB	AF
FO 1	0.52	0.46	0.41	0.74	0.82	1.22	0.12	0.27	0.30	4.7
FO 2	0.45	0.41	0.52	0.86	0.86	1.26	0.10	0.26	0.32	4.4
FO 3	0.48	0.48	0.40	0.80	0.79	1.27	0.12	0.26	0.37	4.9
FO 4	0.45	0.60	0.30	0.79	0.74	1.37	0.11	0.26	0.31	5.1
FO 5	0.42	0.38	0.44	0.81	0.76	1.24	0.10	0.29	0.27	4.5
FTR 1	0.39	0.41	0.43	0.71	0.76	1.05	0.11	0.30	0.33	3.6
FTR 2	0.36	0.33	0.42	0.90	0.78	1.17	0.11	0.32	0.27	4.2
FTR 3	0.40	0.40	0.33	0.57	0.70	1.02	0.10	0.25	0.25	4.1
FTU 1	0.43	0.43	0.69	1.28	1.12	1.70	0.10	0.33	0.33	5.2
FTU 2	0.39	0.33	0.50	0.97	0.80	1.31	0.09	0.28	0.29	4.8
FTU 3	0.44	0.37	0.44	1.09	0.92	1.43	0.10	0.33	0.31	4.6
FTU 4	0.46	0.34	0.56	0.95	0.89	1.31	0.10	0.32	0.31	4.6

(arithmetic means of 10 measurements, respectively) of 12 specimens of 3 *Fenestrapora* species (*FO F. occidentalis*, *FTR F. transcaucasica* and *FTU Fenestrapora tuberculata*)

 Table 3 Raw measurements

Abbreviations for morphological characters in the Fig. 3

Family **Semicosciniidae** Morozova, 1987 Genus *Fenestrapora* Hall, 1885

Type species Fenestrapora biperforata Hall, 1885. Middle Devonian; NY, USA.

Diagnosis Reticulate funnel-shaped colonies consisting of sinusoid, relatively wide and thick branches jointed by wide and short dissepiments, sometimes tending to anastomosing. Autozooecia arranged in two rows on the branches, opening onto outer surface of the cone. High median keel widening apically. Autozooecial chambers rectangular in mid-tangential section, short and relatively high, with moderately short vestibules. Autozooecial apertures circular, stellate structures occurring. Axial wall straight to slightly sinusoid, projecting in the median keel. Hemisepta absent. Apparent reproductive heterozooecia represented enlarged zooecia, situated preferably near dissepiments. Aviculomorphs representing circular to oval chambers with triangular projections, positioned on the reverse and obverse colony side, on dissepiments and keels. External laminated skeleton usually well developed, traversed by abundant microstyles. Vesicular skeleton (kenozooecia) often developed. Branch reverse side often with nodes of different sizes.

Comparison Fenestrapora Hall, 1885 differs from *Semicoscinium* Prout, 1859, in possessing aviculomorphs, and from *Tectulipora* Hall, 1888, in possessing aviculomorphs and the absence of a reticulate protecting superstructure. *Fenestrapora* differs from *Mirifenestella* Morozova, 1974, in absence of paired aviculomorphs (parazooecia), which open to the reverse side of the colony.

Occurrence Lower—Middle Devonian (Emsian-Givetian) of the USA, Germany, Spain; Middle Devonian (Eifelian) of Azerbaijan.

Fenestrapora transcaucasica Morozova and Lavrentjeva, 1998.

Figures 4a-i, 5a-h.

1998 *Fenestrapora transcaucasica* n. sp. Morozova and Lavrentjeva: p. 56–57, text-figs. 1–2, pl. 4, figs. 4–5, pl. 5, figs. 1–2.

2001 *Fenestrapora transcaucasica* Morozova and Lavrentjeva, 1998—Morozova: pl. 24, fig. 1, pl. 25, fig. 1. 2008 *Fenestrapora transcaucasica* Morozova and Lavrentjeva, 1998—Ernst: figs. 3.8–9.

2012 *Fenestrapora caucasica* Morozova and Lavrentjeva, 1998—Ernst et al.: p. 749, figs. 11a-h [wrong subsequent spelling].

Material SMF 21.687, SMF 21.690, SMF 21.693–SMF 21.705.

Exterior description Reticulate colonies in the form of flaring cones, composed of moderately wide branches jointed by wide and short dissepiments. Autozooecia arranged in two rows on branches, situated on the outer colony side, 3-6 spaced on the length of a fenestrule. Fenestrules oval to lens-shaped sharpened toward colony growth direction. Narrow, high median keel present, often club-shaped because of apical widening. Locally lateral projections on keels developed. Vesicular skeleton locally well developed, concentrated near the base of colonies. Nodes on the reverse branch surface present, 0.025-0.070 mm in diameter.

Interior description Autozooecial chambers rectangular in mid-tangential section, short and relatively high, with **Fig. 4** Fenestrapora transcaucasica Morozova and Lavrentjeva, **1998. a–c** SMF 21.687, tangential section showing the reverse colony side with aviculomorphs and nodes. Brühlborn near Rommersheim, Germany; Upper Nims Member of the Junkerberg Formation, Middle Devonian, middle Eifelian. **d** SMF 21.696, tangential section showing reverse colony side with aviculomorphs and nodes. Üxheim-Ahütte, Germany; Müllert Subformation of the Ahbach Formation, Middle Devonian, lowermost Givetian. **e–h** SMF 21.701, tangential section showing fenestrules, autozooecial chambers and reproductive heterozooecia (*arrow*). Üxheim-Ahütte, Germany; Müllert Subformation of the Ahbach Formation, Middle Devonian, lowermost Givetian. **i** SMF 21.697, tangential section showing autozooecial apertures. Üxheim-Ahütte, Germany; Müllert Subformation of the Ahbach Formation, Middle Devonian, lowermost Givetian. **i** SMF

moderate vestibules. Axial wall straight, thick, projecting in the median keel. Hemisepta absent. Internal granular skeleton continuous with obverse keel, nodes, microstyles, peristome and across dissepiments, 0.010-0.025 mm thick on the reverse wall. Basal granular wall in autozooecia straight, protruding laterally into fenestrule space and continuous across dissepiments. External laminated skeleton well developed, 0.08-0.165 mm thick on the reverse wall, coarsely laminated and traversed by microstyles. Microstyles 0.005–0.010 mm in diameter. Aviculomorphs occurring only on reverse side of branches, usually arranged in single longitudinal row, 0.11-0.16 mm wide and 0.22-0.40 mm long (Fig. 4a-d). Apparent reproductive heterozooecia representing rounded chambers (enlarged zooecia) occurring preferably on dissepiments (Figs. 4f, 5f-h), 0.26-0.37 mm in diameter. Nodes on the reverse branch surface present, 0.025-0.070 mm in diameter.

Comparison Fenestrapora transcaucasica Morozova and Lavrentjeva, 1998 is similar to F. occidentalis Ulrich, 1890, from the Middle Devonian of the USA and Lower Devonian of Spain. However, it differs in having 3-5 apertures per fenestrule length vs. 4-7 in F. occidentalis and in shorter distances between dissepiment centers (average 1.09 vs. 1.23 mm in F. occidentalis). Fenestrapora transcaucasica differs from F. tuberculata sp. nov. in having 3-5 apertures per fenestrule length vs. 4-6 in F. tuberculata sp. nov and in shorter distances between dissepiment centers (average 1.09 vs. 1.45 mm in F. tuberculata). Fenestrapora transcaucasica is similar to F. biperforata Hall, 1885, from the Middle Devonian of the USA, but differs from it in having longer fenestrules (0.60–1.05 vs. 0.60–0.70 mm in F. biperforata). Moreover, aviculomorphs in F. transcaucasica are arranged in regular rows on the branch reverse side, whereas aviculomorphs in





Fig. 5 a-h Fenestrapora transcaucasica Morozova and Lavrentjeva, 1998. Üxheim-Ahütte, Germany; Müllert Subformation of the Ahbach Formation, Middle Devonian, lowermost Givetian. ac SMF 21.695, transverse section showing high keels, autozooecial chambers, internal granular and external laminated skeleton, and aviculomorph (arrow). d–e SMF 21.705, transverse section showing distribution of the internal granular and external laminated skeleton. f, h SMF 21.690, colony obverse surface showing autozooecial apertures and reproductive heterozooecia (arrows). g SMF 21.701, tangential section of reproductive heterozooecium. i Fenestrapora tuberculata sp. nov. Essingen-Hohenfels, Germany; Middle Devonian, middle Eifelian, paratype SMF 21.714, transverse section showing branches and keel with bioimmurated symbiont (Caupokeras calyptos McKinney 2009)

F. biperforata are more abundant and spaced irregularly on the reverse branch and dissepiment surface.

Remark One colony of *Fenestrapora transcaucasica* contains the symbiont *Caupokeras calyptos* McKinney, 2009, which was apparently a bioimmurated worm-like organism (Fig. 5i).

Occurrence Meinerzhagener Korallenkalk (=upper Cultrijugatus Beds), lower Eifelian and Upper Nims Member of the Junkerberg Formation, middle Eifelian; Müllert Subformation of the Ahbach Formation, lowermost Givetian, Middle Devonian; Germany; *Mucrospirifer dilu*vianoides–Radiomena irregularis brachiopod zone, upper Eifelian, Middle Devonian, Azerbaijan.

Fenestrapora tuberculata sp. nov.

Figures 5i, 6a–i, 7a–e.

Etymology The species name refers to the presence of large nodes on the reverse branch surface.

Holotype SMF 21.710.

Paratypes SMF 21.688, SMF 21.691–SMF 21.692, SMF 21.706–709, SMF 21.711–SMF 21.736.

Locality and horizon Essingen-Hohenfels, eastern Gerolstein syncline, Rhenish Slate Massif, Germany; transition from the Ahrdorf Formation to the Junkerberg Formation, middle Eifelian, Middle Devonian.

Diagnosis Reticulate colony composed of moderately wide sinusoid branches jointed by wide and short dissepiments; autozooecia in two rows on the branches, situated on the outer colony side, 3–6 spaced on the length of a fenestrule; fenestrules oval to lens-shaped or sub-rectangular; median keel high, often widened apically; auto-zooecial chambers rectangular in mid-tangential section,

short and relatively high, with moderate vestibules; axial wall straight; hemisepta absent; external laminated skeleton well developed, traversed by microstyles and nodes on the reverse branch side; aviculomorphs and apparent reproductive heterozooecia present; giant aviculomorphs occurring.

Exterior description Reticulate colonies in form of flaring cones, composed of moderately wide branches jointed by wide and short dissepiments. Autozooecia arranged in two rows on the branches, situated on outer colony side, 3-6 spaced on the length of a fenestrule. Fenestrules oval to sub-rectangular or lens-shaped sharpened toward colony growth direction. Narrow, locally very high median keel present, often widening apically. Vesicular skeleton locally well developed, concentrated near the base of colonies. Nodes on the reverse branch surface present, 0.08-0.17 mm in diameter.

Interior description Autozooecial chambers rectangular in mid-tangential section, short and relatively high, with moderate vestibules. Axial wall straight, projecting in the median keel. Hemisepta absent. Internal granular skeleton continuous with obverse keel, nodes, microstyles, peristome and across dissepiments, 0.010-0.035 mm thick on the reverse wall. Basal granular wall in autozooecia straight, protruding laterally into fenestrule space and continuous across dissepiments, often overlapping with basal wall of neighboring branch (Fig. 7b). External laminated skeleton well developed, 0.05-0.35 mm thick on the reverse wall, coarsely laminated, and traversed by microstyles. Microstyles 0.005-0.010 mm in diameter. Aviculomorphs of two sizes present. Normal aviculomorphs occurring on reverse side of branches, occasionally arranged in single longitudinal row, on the obverse side of the colony on dissepiments and on keels, 0.12-0.17 mm wide and 0.25–0.30 mm long. Giant aviculomorphs regularly occurring on the reverence branch surface near fenestrules, triangular shaped, 0.25-0.35 mm wide and 0.54-0.69 mm long (Fig. 6d-f). Apparent reproductive heterozooecia representing rounded chambers (enlarged zooecia) occurring preferably on dissepiments or opening into fenestrules, 0.22-0.38 mm in diameter (Fig. 6i).

Comparison Fenestrapora tuberculata sp. nov. is similar to *F. occidentalis* Ulrich, 1890, from the Middle Devonian of the USA and Lower Devonian of Spain. However, the new species differs in the presence of large nodes on the reverse branch surface, in having giant aviculomorphs and in larger distances between dissepiment centers (average 1.45 vs. 1.23 mm in *F. occidentalis*). *Fenestrapora tuberculata* differs from *F. transcaucasica* Morozova and Lavrentjeva, 1998 in having more apertures per fenestrule length (average 4.8 apertures vs. 3.8 apertures in *F. transcaucasica*) and in larger nodes on the reverse surface (average node diameter 0.13 vs. 0.048 mm in *F. transcaucasica*). *Fenestrapora tuberculata* differs from *F. biperforata* Hall, 1885, from the Middle Devonian of the USA in having giant aviculomorphs and longer fenestrules (0.66–1.50 vs. 0.60–0.70 mm in *F. biperforata*).

Occurrence Nims Member of the upper Junkerberg Formation, middle Eifelian; Bohnert Member of the Freilingen Formation, upper Eifelian, Middle Devonian; Germany.

Numerical statistics

Fenestrate bryozoans reveal complex external and internal morphology, which allows various approaches to numerical statistics (e.g., Hageman 1991a, b; Snyder 1991a, b; Holdener 1994; Hageman and McKinney 2010). The aim of the statistical analysis was to estimate relations between species of Fenestrapora from the Devonian of Europe. Measurements on 12 colonies of three species were included in the analysis. Two colonies of Fenestrapora transcaucasica Morozova and Lavrentjeva, 1998, come from the Middle Devonian of the western Rhenish Massif: FTR 1 (locality 2) and FTR 2 (locality 1). The third sample comes from the Middle Devonian (lower Eifelian) of Kierspe, Sauerland (Ernst et al. 2012): FTR 3. Four colonies of Fenestrapora tuberculata sp. nov. come from the Middle Devonian (Eifelian) of the western Rhenish Massif: FTU 1 (locality 5), FTU 2 (locality 4), FTU 3 (locality 3) and FTU 4 (locality 3). Five colonies of Fenestrapora occidentalis Ulrich, 1890, come from the Lower Devonian (Emsian) of the Cantabrian Mountains, NW Spain (Ernst 2012; Fig. 7f-h): FO 1, FO 2, FO 3, FO 4, and FO 5.

The following ten characters were involved in the analysis: branch width (WB), dissepiment width (WD), fenestrule width (WF), fenestrule length (LF), distance between branch centers (DBC), distance between dissepiment centers (DBD), aperture width (AW), aperture spacing along branch (ADB), aperture spacing diagonally (AAB) and number of apertures per fenestrule length (AF) (Fig. 3). Each character was measured ten times (raw measurements). This set of measurements was chosen because of its availability and the biological importance of individual characters for a bryozoan.

Cluster analysis was performed using PAST software (Hammer et al. 2001). The numerical statistics was carried out using an unweighted pair-group average method

Fig. 6 Fenestrapora tuberculata sp. nov. a Paratype SMF 21.688,▶ external view of a colony with wide base and wide keels as protective structure. b holotype SMF 21.710, tangential section showing branches, fenestrules and autozooecial chambers. Essingen-Hohenfels, Germany; Middle Devonian, middle Eifelian. c Paratype SMF 21.712, tangential section showing branches, fenestrules, and autozooecial chambers. Essingen-Hohenfels, Germany; Middle Devonian, middle Eifelian. d-e Paratype SMF 21.711, tangential section showing branches, fenestrules, autozooecial chambers and giant aviculomorph (arrow). Essingen-Hohenfels, Germany; Middle Devonian, middle Eifelian. f-g Paratype SMF 21.723, tangential section showing reverse branch side with large nodes and giant aviculomorph (arrow). Quarry Weinberg near Kerpen, Germany; Bohnert Member, Freilingen Formation, Middle Devonian, upper Eifelian. h Paratype SMF 21.721, tangential section showing autozooecial apertures. Quarry Weinberg near Kerpen, Germany; Bohnert Member, Freilingen Formation, Middle Devonian, upper Eifelian. i Paratype SMF 21.717, tangential section showing autozooecial apertures and a reproductive heterozooecium. Quarry Weinberg near Kerpen, Germany; Bohnert Member, Freilingen Formation, Middle Devonian, upper Eifelian

(UPGMA), in which clusters are joined based on the average distance between all members in the two groups (Fig. 8). Distances were measured using the Euclidean distance metric. Euclidean distance is the most common use of distance and examines the root of square differences between coordinates of a pair of objects. This method is useful for raw data rather than for standardized ones.

Discussion

The computed dendrogram (Fig. 8) is based on characters of different taxonomic value. Branch width reflects the size of autozooecia chambers and surrounding extrazooecial material. The latter character can vary strongly with the age of the colony or its part. Younger colonies are usually thinner than the older ones. Dissepiment width corresponds mainly with the thickness of the outer laminated skeleton. Both these characters can affect the fenestrule width and length (wider branches and dissepiments would automatically narrow the fenestrule space). Fenestrule width and length as well as the distances between branch centers and between dissepiment centers reflect the filtering capacity of the colony meshwork (Cowen and Rider 1972; Hageman 1991a, b).

Aperture width, aperture spacing along the branch and diagonally as well as the number of apertures per fenestrule length are characters related to the size and spacing of feeding structures of bryozoans, lophophores (Winston 1977, 1978; McKinney and Jackson 1989; Hageman 1991a, b). These characters usually show the highest stability (low variation) within the colony.





◄ Fig. 7 a–e Fenestrapora tuberculata sp. nov. **a** Paratype SMF 21.717, tangential section showing autozooecial aperture with nodes (arrow). Quarry Weinberg near Kerpen, Germany; Bohnert Member, Freilingen Formation, Middle Devonian, upper Eifelian. b Paratype SMF 21.719, transverse section showing distribution of internal granular and external laminated skeleton (arrow: overlapping of the basal walls of two neighboring autozooecia). Quarry Weinberg near Kerpen, Germany; Bohnert Member, Freilingen Formation, Middle Devonian, upper Eifelian. c Paratype SMF 21.734, branch longitudinal section showing autozooecial chambers. Gondelsheim, Germany; Nims Member of the upper Junkerberg Formation, Middle Devonian, middle Eifelian. d Paratype SMF 21.722, branch transverse section showing high keel. Ouarry Weinberg near Kerpen, Germany: Bohnert Member, Freilingen Formation, Middle Devonian, upper Eifelian. e Paratype SMF 21.731, branch transverse section showing high keel widened apically. Gondelsheim, Germany; Nims Member of the upper Junkerberg Formation, Middle Devonian, middle Eifelian. f-h Fenestrapora occidentalis Ulrich, 1890, mid-tangential section showing autozooecial chambers, apertures and aviculomorphs (h), GZG.IN.0.010.523a; Llama de Colle near Villayandre, Rio Esla Region, Cantabrian Mountains, NW Spain; lower part of the upper Emsian, Lower Devonian

The computed dendrogram shows that the measured specimens produce more or less distinct clusters. Specimen FTU 1 (holotype of *Fenestrapora tuberculata* sp. nov.) is separated from the rest of the specimen set (clusters 1–4). Cluster 1 is built by two specimens of *F. transcaucasica* (FTR 1 and FTR 2) and neighbors cluster 2, formed by three specimens of *F. occcidenatlis* (FO 1, FO 3 and FO4). Three remnant specimens of *F. tuberculata* are clustered together in cluster 3 (FTU 2, FTU 3 and FTU 4), which neighbors cluster 4, consisting of one specimen of *F. transcaucasica* (FTR 2) and two specimens of *F. occcidentalis* (FO 2 and FO 5).

The reason for such a discrepancy may be that the feature set does not reflect the whole morphological characteristic of a fenestrate bryozoan. It also shows that at least some of the features are affected by the ecological situation. Spanish material on Fenestrapora occidentalis produces two distinct clusters (material comes from various sources, but seems to be quite homogeneous, see Ernst 2012). Two specimens from locality 3 (FTU 3 and FTU 4) are clustered together within cluster 3. Sample FTU 1 comes from the oldest locality with muddy limestones reflecting low water energy conditions with quite high precipitation rates of fine sediment. Such environments are extreme for bryozoans, which suffer under high levels of sedimentation. Analysis of the character set shows that this sample differs in having the largest fenestrules: average fenestrule width 0.69 mm and fenestrule length 1.28 mm. The second largest fenestrule width is in FTU 4 (0.56 mm) and the second largest fenestrule length in FTU 3 (1.09 mm). This difference could be explained by the effect of the muddy environment in which larger



Fig. 8 Cluster diagram showing similarities between *Fenestrapora* species from the Devonian of Europe (UPGMA, unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean, Euclidean distances metric, PAST ver. 2.01, Hammer et al. 2001)

fenestrules gained an advantage in order to avoid occluding the fenestrules by sediment.

Acknowledgments Wolfgang Reimers (Kiel) is thanked for his assistance in preparing the thin sections. The late Robert Leunissen, Wollersheim, and Jan Bohatý, Wiesbaden, are thanked for providing interesting samples and help in the field. Steven Hageman, Boone, is thanked for his useful comments during the work on the manuscript. Patrick Wyse Jackson, Dublin, and Zoya Tolokonnikova, Krasnodar, are appreciated for their helpful and constructive reviews. The Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft is thanked for financial support (DFG project ER 278/4.1 and 2). This paper is a contribution to IGCP 596 "Climate change and biodiversity patterns in the Mid-Paleozoic."

References

Astrova, A.A., and I.P. Morozova. 1956. About systematics of the Order Cryptostomata. *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR* 110(4): 661–664. [in Russian].

FTR 3

- Borg, F. 1926. Studies on Recent cyclostomatous Bryozoa. Zoologiska Bidrag från Uppsala 10: 181–507.
- Cowen, R., and J. Rider. 1972. Functional analysis of fenestellid bryozoan colonies. *Lethaia* 5: 145–164.
- Ehrenberg, C.G. 1831. Symbolae Physicae, seu Icones et descptiones Corporum Naturalium novorum aut minus cognitorum, quae ex itineribus per Libyam, Aegiptum, Nubiam, Dongalaam, Syriam, Arabiam et Habessiniam, studia annis 1820–25, redirent. *Pars. Zoologica*, 4, Animalia Evertebrata exclusis Insectis. Berolini, 10 pls.
- Elias, M.K., and G.E. Condra. 1957. Fenestella from the Permian of west Texas. Geological Society of America Memoir 70: 1–158.
- Ernst, A. 2008. New data on the Middle Devonian Bryozoa of Germany. In Bryozoan studies 2007: Proceedings of the 14th International Bryozoology Conference, Boone, North Carolina, July 1–8, 2007, ed. S.J. Hageman, M.M.Jr., Key, and J.E. Winston. Virginia Museum of Natural History Special Publication 15: 29–36.
- Ernst, A. 2012. Fenestrate bryozoan fauna from the Lower—Middle Devonian of NW Spain. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie Abhandlngen* 264(3): 205–247.
- Ernst, A., A. May, and S. Marks. 2012. Bryozoans, corals and microfacies of lower Eifelian (Middle Devonian) limestones at Kierspe, Germany. *Facies* 58: 727–758.
- Hageman, S.J. 1991. Discrete morphotaxa from a Mississippian fenestrate faunule: Presence and implications. In *Bryozoaires* actuels et fossil: Bryozoa living and fossil, ed. F.P. Bigey. Bulletin de la Société des Sciences Naturelles de l'Quest de la France, Mèmore HS 1: 147–150.
- Hageman, S.J. 1991b. Approaches to systematic and evolutionary studies of perplexing groups: an example using fenestrate Bryozoa. *Journal of Paleontology* 65: 630–647.
- Hageman, S.J., and F.K. McKinney. 2010. Discrimination of fenestrate bryozoan genera in morphospace. *Palaeontologia Electronica* 13(2): 1–43. (7A).
- Hall, J. 1885. On the mode of growth and relations of the Fenestellidae. *Report of the State Geologist of New York for* the year 1883 4: 35–45.
- Hall, J. 1888. Description of new species of Fenestellidae of the Lower Helderberg, with explanations of plates illustrating species of Hamilton group, described in the Report of the state Geologist for 1886. *Report of the State Geologist of New York for the year 1887* 41: 393–394.
- Hammer, Ø., D.A.T. Harper, and P.D. Ryan. 2001. PAST: paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontologica Electronica* 4(1): 1–9.
- Holdener, E.J. 1994. Numerical taxonomy of fenestrate bryozoans: evaluation of methodologies and recognition of interspecific variation. *Journal of Paleontology* 68: 1201–1214.
- Ma, J.-Y., C.J. Buttler, and P.D. Taylor. 2014. Cladistic analysis of the 'trepostome' Suborder Esthonioporina and the systematics of Palaeozoic bryozoans. In *Bryozoan Studies 2013*, ed. A. Rosso, P.N. Wyse Jackson, and J.S. Porter. *Studi Trentini di Scienze Naturali* 94: 153–161.
- McKinney, F.K. 1998. Avicularia-like struktures in a Paleozoic fenestrate bryozoan. *Journal of Paleontology* 72: 819–826.

- McKinney, F.K. 2009. Bryozoan-hydroid symbiosis and a new ichnogenus, *Caupokeras. Ichnos* 16(3): 193–201.
- McKinney, F.K., and J.B.C. Jackson. 1989. Bryozoan Evolution. Boston: Unwin Hyman.
- Morozova, I.P. 1974. Revision of the bryozoan genus *Fenestella*. *Paleontologicheskii Zhurnal* 1974(2): 54–67. [in Russian].
- Morozova, I.P. 1987. Morphogenesis, system and colonial integration in Bryozoa of the order Fenestrida. *Trudy Paleontologischeskogo Instituta Akademii Nauk SSSR* 222: 70–88. [in Russian].
- Morozova, I.P. 2001. Bryozoans of the Order Fenestellida. Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta Rossijskoj Akademii Nauk 277: 1–176. [in Russian].
- Morozova, I.P., and V.D. Lavrentjeva. 1998. New bryozoans of the Family Semicosciniidae. *Paleontologicheskii Zhurnal* 1998(2): 53–59. [in Russian].
- Prout, H.A. 1859. Third series of descriptions of Bryozoa from the Paleozoic rocks of western states and territories. *Transactions of St Louis Academy of Sciences* 1(series 3): 443–452.
- Struve, W., and R. Werner. 1982. The lower/middle Devonian boundary and the Eifelian Stage in the "Type Eifelian" region. In *Field meeting on Lower and Lower Middle Devonian stages in the Ardenno-Rhenish type area, guidebook*, ed. G. Plodowski, R. Werner, and W. Ziegler, 81–151. Frankfurt am Main.
- Snyder, E.M. 1991. Revised taxonomic approach to acanthocladiid Bryozoa. In Bryozoaires Actuels et Fossiles: Bryozoa Living and Fossil, ed. F.P. Bigey and J.-L. d'Hondt. Bulletin de la Societe des Sciences Naturelles de l'Ouest de la France Mémoire HS 1: 431–445.
- Snyder, E.M. 1991b. Revised taxonomic procedures and paleoecological implications for some North American Mississippian Fenestellidae and Polyporidae. *Palaeontographica Americana* 57: 1–275.
- Struve, W. 1961. Das Eifeler Korallen-Meer—Mineralogische und geologische Streifzüge durch die nördliche Eifel. Aufschluβ, Sonderheft 10: 81–107.
- Struve, W. 1992. Neues zur Stratigraphie und Fauna des rhenotypen Mittel-Devon. Senckenbergiana Lethaea 71: 503–624.
- Struve, W. 1996. On Athyris (Brachiopoda) and its type species 'Terebratula' concentrica von Buch. In Beiträge zur Kenntnis devonischer Brachiopoden, ed. F. Alvarez, C.H.C. Brunton, and W. Struve. Senckenbergiana lethaea 76 (1/2): 65–105.
- Ulrich, E.O. 1886. Descriptions of new Silurian and Devonian fossils. Contributions to American Palaeontology 1: 3–35.
- Ulrich, E.O. 1890. Part II. Palaeontology of Illinois. Section VI. Palaeozoic Bryozoa. *Report of the Geological Survey of Illinois* 8: 283–688.
- Winston, J.E. 1977. Feeding in marine bryozoans. In *The Biology of Bryozoans*, ed. R.M. Woollacott, and R.L. Zimmer, 233–271. New York: Academic Press.
- Winston, J.E. 1978. Polypide morphology and feeding behavior in marine ectoprocts. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 28: 1–31.
- Winston, J.E. 1984. Why bryozoans have avicularia—a review of the evidence. American Museum Novitates 2789: 1–26.