ORIGINAL PAPER



Hydrogeochemical processes constrained by multivariate statistical methods and isotopic evidence of groundwater recharge in the aquifer of Figuig, Eastern High Atlas of Morocco

Abdelhakim Jilali¹ · Nathalie Fagel² · Mounir Amar³ · Mahmoud Abbas⁴ · Yassine Zarhloule¹

Received: 1 September 2014 / Accepted: 10 September 2015 / Published online: 11 December 2015 © Saudi Society for Geosciences 2015

Abstract In this paper, we present and discuss 72 samples of groundwater (borehole, well, and spring), collected over a period of time of 20 years (i.e., between 1983 and 2004) in the Figuig aquifer. The chemical and isotopic analyses were carried out to improve our understanding of the hydrogeo-chemical processes. Most of the waters sampled consist of Ca-Mg-HCO₃ and Na-K-Cl types. The samples are grouped according to two factors. Factor 1 shows strong negative loadings of EC, Cl-, Na⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and NO³⁻, K⁺ with 73.91 % of the total variance (TV); factor 2 shows strong positive loadings of SO₄²⁻, HCO³⁻ with TV of 11.02 %. The ¹⁸O and ²H values of well and spring waters are linearly correlated, in a similar manner to the World Meteoric Water Line (WMWL). The ¹⁴C datings indicate that the age of groundwater obtained using different models ranges between 2495 and 10,696 years.

Keywords Hydrogeochemistry · Statistical analysis · Isotopes · Figuig aquifer · Morocco

Abdelhakim Jilali yamaapa@hotmail.com

- ¹ Laboratory of Mineral Deposits, Hydrogeology & Environment, Faculty of Sciences, University Mohammed I, Boulevard Mohammed VI, BP: 524, 60000 Oujda, Morocco
- ² Department of Geology, Faculty of Science, University of Liege, Liege, Belgium
- ³ Department of Geology, Faculty of Science, University of Moulay Ismail Meknes, Meknes, Morocco
- ⁴ Laboratory of Water Analysis of Figuig (L.A.E.F), Municipality of Figuig, BP 121, Administrative Centre, 61000 Figuig, Morocco

Introduction

Water resources are under serious pressure worldwide, and their efficient management and protection constitute a key issue for the future (Wildemeersch et al. 2010). This plays a vital role in the socioeconomic development in arid and semiarid regions (Tlili-Zrelli et al. 2013). Understanding the local hydrogeological system helps manage and protect groundwater resources (Wildemeersch et al. 2010). The chemical composition of groundwaters depends on the available precipitation, bedrock geology, and various geochemical processes, and their concentrations vary with residence time. Numerous studies were carried out to evaluate the impact of hydrogeochemical processes on water resources as this is a frequent objective of hydrogeological research (Abderamane et al. 2013; Kumar et al. 2013; Thivya et al. 2013; Tlili-Zrelli et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2013; Wildemeersch et al. 2010; Wu et al. 2013; Zhai et al. 2013).

A very suitable natural laboratory to study these processes is the Figuig Basin in Eastern Morocco, where the deterioration of groundwater quantity and quality is significant. This deterioration is evidenced by the decrease in the water output of springs, the increase of water salinity, and the contamination by wastewaters (Jilali 2014a, b; Jilali et al. 2015a). For this reason, geological and hydrogeological research in the Figuig region has been the focus of the studies of Jilali et al. (2015b) and Jilali and Zarhloule (2015). The main objective of the present work is to improve the understanding of hydrogeochemical processes in this region. To achieve this objective, we used stable isotopes (D and ¹⁸O), radioactive isotopes $(^{3}\text{H and }^{14}\text{C})$, and major ion chemistry (K⁺, Na⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, HCO_3^{-} , Cl^{-} , SO_4^{-2} , NO_3^{-}). We have applied various graphical representations and maps to classify and interpret the geochemical data we have obtained.

Study area

The Figuig Region study area is located near the Morocco-Algeria border, in the Eastern High Atlas of Morocco, and is bound by several mountains (Jbels Grouz, el Haïmeur, Mélias, Zenaga Tarhla, and Sidi Youssef). The most important wadi (river) is Zouzfana located to the east of the Figuig Oasis. It lies between latitude 32° 4′ N and 32° 9′ N and longitude 1° 20′ and 1° 10′ W, and covers an area of about 60 km² (Fig. 1). The climate of the region is arid, with average rainfall of about 120 mm/year (Jilali 2014a, b; Jilali and Zarhloule 2015; Jilali et al. 2015c).

Geological and hydrogeological background

The geology of the region is formed by Mesozoic and Cenozoic formations. The principal Mesozoic sedimentation

occurred in the Triassic and Jurassic (Lias and Dogger). The Cretaceous (InfraCenomanian) is only present on the Algerian side of the Morocco-Algeria border, and the region is covered by Quaternary formations. The stratigraphic sequence consists of (1) red-green clays and basaltic tuffs of Triassic age, (2) Hettengian-Pliensbachian formations of limestones and dolostones, (3) a Toarcian formation composed of alternating limestones and marls, (4) Aalenian limestone formations, (5) a Bajocian formation composed of alternating limestones and marls, followed by a sequence of limestones, and (6) a Quaternary formation composed of alluviums, sand, and travertine (Jilali 2014a; Jilali et al. 2015b; Jilali and Zarhloule 2015). This area is very much fractured and presents two principal fault systems oriented 70° N and 140° N (Fig. 2). A more detailed geological description can be found in Jilali (2014a). Jilali et al. (2015b). and Jilali and Zarhloule (2015).



Fig. 1 Location of the study area



Fig 2 Geological and hydrogeological map of the study area and groundwater samples. *J.G* Jbel Grouz, *J.H* Jbel el Haïmeur, *Z.S.A* Zrigat Sidi Abdelkader, *T.B* Tiniet el Bida, *T* Tachroumt, *W.B* Wadi

The Figuig Region is a good example of an unconfined multilayer aquifer (Jilali 2014a; Jilali and Zarhloule 2015). It is exploited by wells, boreholes, and springs, essentially in the Quaternary and Dogger layers. The Lias aquifer is exploited on the mountain of el Haïmeur by two boreholes providing table water supply and by another borehole in Tiniet el Bida, where the water is used for irrigation. The water flow has two main directions: from north to south and from north-west to south-east (Fig. 2). The groundwater level is at a depth of between 10 and 20 m in the south and 40–60 m in the west and north (Jilali 2014a, b; Jilali et al. 2015b; Jilali and Zarhloule 2015).

Methodology

Sample collection and analysis

A total of 72 groundwater samples (F: borehole; P: well and S: spring) were collected from the study area (Table 1). The

Bouchalikane, *W.L* Wadi Lakbir, *W.T* Wadi Tazoukart, *J.M* Jbel Mélias, *J.Z* Jbel Zenaga, *J.T* Jbel Tarhla, *J.S.Y* Jbel Sidi Youssef (Jilali 2014; Jilali et al. 2015; Jilali and Zarhloule 2015). modified

electric conductivity (EC), major ion chemistry (K⁺, Na⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, HCO₃⁻, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻), ²H, ¹⁸O, ³H, ¹⁴C, and ¹³C analyses (vintage 1983 and 2004) were done by the Hydraulic Basin Agency of Moulouya (HBAM) except for the 1995 vintage data, which were done by Assou (1996). The methods of analysis used for the major ions were SKALAR and Rodier (1984). whereas the isotope analysis was performed in the CNESTEN (National Center of Energy Sciences and Nuclear Techniques of Morocco). The precision of the chemical analyses was defined by checking ionic charge balance. The acceptable error on the ion balance is taken at a maximum of 10 %.

Statistical analysis & geochemical modeling

The Principal Component Analysis (PCA) multivariate statistical technique was used in our research. This method is a quantitative and independent approach for the classification of groundwater samples according to their geochemical

Pic Feb 1983 210 7.8 41.7 38.0 8.1 26.0 9.0 20.0 9.0 20.0 9.0 20.0 9.0 20.0 9.0 20.0 9.0 20.0 9.0 20.0 9.0 20.0 9.0 20.0 9.0 20.0 9.0 20.0 9.0 10.0	Name	Year	EC (µS/cm)	Ca ²⁺ (mg/l)	Mg ²⁺ (mg/l)	Na ⁺ (mg/l)	K ⁺ (mg/l)	HCO ₃ ⁻ (mg/l)	Cl ⁻ (mg/l)	SO ⁴⁻ 2 (mg/l)	NO ₃ ⁻ (mg/l)	δ ¹⁸ O (‰)	δ ² H (‰)	³ H (TU)	¹⁴ C (pCm)	¹³ C (‰)
F2FehFe	F1	Feb 1983	2130	78	44.77	380	8.1	262.3	560.9	201.6	9	_	_	_	_	_
F3P4P40P30	F2	Feb 1983	3820	150	82.28	620	9.8	280.6	997.5	297.6	43	-	_	_	_	-
14418418518020108042.333007.726.44206.4120121701812828883383383483181.5183.5183.5383.5	F3	Feb 1983	2050	50	33.88	370	9	273.9	461.5	230.4	14	-	_	_	_	-
F5F610820507641.144007.326.8465.83.819214410 </td <td>F4</td> <td>Feb 1983</td> <td>2260</td> <td>86</td> <td>38.72</td> <td>400</td> <td>8.8</td> <td>262.3</td> <td>564.45</td> <td>206.4</td> <td>12</td> <td>-</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	F4	Feb 1983	2260	86	38.72	400	8.8	262.3	564.45	206.4	12	-	_	_	_	_
ref ref <td>F5</td> <td>Feb 1983</td> <td>2010</td> <td>80</td> <td>42.35</td> <td>390</td> <td>7.7</td> <td>268.4</td> <td>553.8</td> <td>192</td> <td>14</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	F5	Feb 1983	2010	80	42.35	390	7.7	268.4	553.8	192	14	_	_	_	_	_
F7 Feb Feb Feb Feb Feb Feb See	F6	Feb 1983	2250	76	41.14	400	7.3	250.1	571.55	196.8	15	_	_	_	_	_
F8 Feb 1983 2280 88 43.65 900 7.8 305 582.2 33.66 1.4 - <	F7	Feb 1983	2280	86	47.19	360	7.8	317.2	560.9	129.6	6	-	_	_	_	_
P9 Dec 195 310 140 36.3 448.04 7.82 427 745.5 69.6 13.64 - - - - <	F8	Feb 1983	2280	88	43.56	300	7.8	305	582.2	33.6	14	_	_	_	_	_
P10 Dec P395 F100 L42.6 S32.4 S43.5 J9.5 G10 J13.5 J430 J11.5 J20.2 J21.1 J20.4 J20.3 J20.4 J20.2 J20.2 <thj20.2< th=""> <thj20.2< th=""></thj20.2<></thj20.2<>	Р9	Dec 1995	3010	140	36.3	448.04	7.82	427	745.5	69.6	13.64	_	_	_	_	_
P11 Dec 993 4400 142.6 53.24 82.45 9.15 610 1153.75 29.22 45.26 -	P10	Dec 1995	5190	160	72.6	985.55	19.55	610	1313.5	480	21.08	_	_	_	_	_
P12 Dec 995 620 140 65.34 840.65 19.55 610 133.12.5 30.4. 34.7.2 -	P11	Dec 1995	4400	142.6	53.24	824.55	39.1	549	1153.75	295.2	45.26	_	_	_	_	_
P13 Dec 995 6270 182 67.6 1216.7 39.1 610 1686.25 51.6 24.8 - 102DecDe	P12	Dec 1995	4620	140	65.34	840.65	19.55	610	1331.25	302.4	34.72	_	_	_	_	_
P14 Dec 938 8180 300 181.5 1173 35.19 793 2041.25 502.56 7.66 -	P13	Dec 1995	6270	182	67.76	1216.7	39.1	610	1686.25	513.6	24.8	-	_	_	_	_
P15 Dec: 995 600 280 169.4 690 19.55 488 1686.25 109.92 44.02 -	P14	Dec 1995	8180	300	181.5	1173	35.19	793	2041.25	502.56	57.66	-	_	_	_	_
P16 Dec 1958 500 314.6 1449 31.28 671 3372.5 469.92 62.62 - 120Dec13	P15	Dec 1995	6000	280	169.4	690	19.55	488	1686.25	109.92	44.02	_	_	_	_	_
P17 Dec 1995 6530 200 28.4.35 78.6.6 39.1 488 1952.5 29.6.16 57.6.6 - P12 Dec195	P16	Dec 1995	11580	500	314.6	1449	31.28	671	3372.5	469.92	62.62	_	_	_	_	_
P18 Dec 1995 7080 276 323.07 821.1 15.64 488 1952.5 563.52 53.22 - P21 Dec1995<	P17	Dec 1995	6530	200	284.35	786.6	39.1	488	1952.5	296.16	57.66	_	_	_	_	_
P19 Dec 1995 12270 620 31.4.6 1437.5 58.65 488 3976 9.6 93 -	P18	Dec 1995	7080	276	323.07	821.1	15.64	488	1952.5	563.52	53.32	_	_	_	_	_
P20 Dec 1995 2270 180 12.1 437.46 8.99 366 621.25 101.28 39.68 -	P19	Dec 1995	12270	620	314.6	1437.5	58.65	488	3976	9.6	93	_	_	_	_	_
P21 Dec 1995 13100 524 544.5 1403 19.55 488 4082.5 459.36 70.06 - <td>P20</td> <td>Dec 1995</td> <td>2270</td> <td>180</td> <td>12.1</td> <td>437.46</td> <td>8.99</td> <td>366</td> <td>621.25</td> <td>101.28</td> <td>39.68</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	P20	Dec 1995	2270	180	12.1	437.46	8.99	366	621.25	101.28	39.68	_	_	_	_	_
P22 Dec 1995 5010 180 39.33 438.84 10.95 366 710 245.28 31 -	P21	Dec 1995	13100	524	544.5	1403	19.55	488	4082.5	459.36	70.06	_	_	_	_	_
P23 Dec 1995 1530 160 27.23 196.42 5.47 366 355 96 37.82 - Dec 1905 5730 1680 <td>P22</td> <td>Dec 1995</td> <td>5010</td> <td>180</td> <td>39.33</td> <td>438.84</td> <td>10.95</td> <td>366</td> <td>710</td> <td>245.28</td> <td>31</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	P22	Dec 1995	5010	180	39.33	438.84	10.95	366	710	245.28	31	_	_	_	_	_
P24 Dec 1995 5000 360 84.7 655.5 19.55 427 1597.5 48 50.22 -	P23	Dec 1995	1530	160	27.23	196.42	5.47	366	355	96	37.82	_	_	_	_	_
P25 Dec 1995 3500 180 60.5 460 29.33 427 887.5 96 34.72 - P20Dec 1995 <td>P24</td> <td>Dec 1995</td> <td>5000</td> <td>360</td> <td>84.7</td> <td>655.5</td> <td>19.55</td> <td>427</td> <td>1597.5</td> <td>48</td> <td>50.22</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	P24	Dec 1995	5000	360	84.7	655.5	19.55	427	1597.5	48	50.22	_	_	_	_	_
P26 Dec 1995 6350 360 96.8 747.5 19.55 488 1775 192 56.42 -	P25	Dec 1995	3500	180	60.5	460	29.33	427	887.5	96	34.72	_	_	_	_	_
P27 Dec 1995 8890 200 145.2 1377.7 39.1 305 2516.95 372.96 60.76 -	P26	Dec 1995	6350	360	96.8	747.5	19.55	488	1775	192	56.42	_	_	_	_	_
P28 Dec 1995 6900 200 75.63 1182.2 19.55 610 1739.5 408.48 39.68 -	P27	Dec 1995	8890	200	145.2	1377.7	39.1	305	2516.95	372.96	60.76	_	_	_	_	_
P29 Dec 1995 5690 140 78.65 1049.95 19.55 488 1526.5 381.12 44.02 - <th< td=""><td>P28</td><td>Dec 1995</td><td>6900</td><td>200</td><td>75.63</td><td>1182.2</td><td>19.55</td><td>610</td><td>1739.5</td><td>408.48</td><td>39.68</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td></th<>	P28	Dec 1995	6900	200	75.63	1182.2	19.55	610	1739.5	408.48	39.68	_	_	_	_	_
P30 Dec 1995 5730 168 79.86 1099.4 39.1 671 1491 460.8 34.1 - <td>P29</td> <td>Dec 1995</td> <td>5690</td> <td>140</td> <td>78.65</td> <td>1049.95</td> <td>19.55</td> <td>488</td> <td>1526.5</td> <td>381.12</td> <td>44.02</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	P29	Dec 1995	5690	140	78.65	1049.95	19.55	488	1526.5	381.12	44.02	_	_	_	_	_
P31 Dec 1995 5970 178 85.91 1132.75 39.1 549 1597.5 552.96 45.26 -	P30	Dec 1995	5730	168	79.86	1099.4	39.1	671	1491	460.8	34.1	_	_	_	_	_
P32Dec 19957630156 87.12 1386.9 39.1 854 2041.25 215.52 19.22 $ -$ <	P31	Dec 1995	5970	178	85.91	1132.75	39.1	549	1597.5	552.96	45.26	_	_	_	_	_
F33Dec 1995195064.1321.43708366488.1174.25 0.42 $ -$ <t< td=""><td>P32</td><td>Dec 1995</td><td>7630</td><td>156</td><td>87.12</td><td>1386.9</td><td>39.1</td><td>854</td><td>2041.25</td><td>215.52</td><td>19.22</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td></t<>	P32	Dec 1995	7630	156	87.12	1386.9	39.1	854	2041.25	215.52	19.22	_	_	_	_	_
F34Dec 19951860102.6 31.13 2846.8260.4 503.74 66.73 1.23 $ -$ <th< td=""><td>F33</td><td>Dec 1995</td><td>1950</td><td>64.13</td><td>21.4</td><td>370</td><td>8</td><td>366</td><td>488.11</td><td>74.25</td><td>0.42</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td></th<>	F33	Dec 1995	1950	64.13	21.4	370	8	366	488.11	74.25	0.42	_	_	_	_	_
S35 Dec 1995 2230 120 24.2 329.82 7.82 305 532.5 108.96 16.74 - </td <td>F34</td> <td>Dec 1995</td> <td>1860</td> <td>102.6</td> <td>31.13</td> <td>284</td> <td>6.8</td> <td>260.4</td> <td>503.74</td> <td>66.73</td> <td>1.23</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	F34	Dec 1995	1860	102.6	31.13	284	6.8	260.4	503.74	66.73	1.23	_	_	_	_	_
S36Dec 19952200100 48.4 314.18 7.82 366 497 126.72 13.64 $ -$ <td>S35</td> <td>Dec 1995</td> <td>2230</td> <td>120</td> <td>24.2</td> <td>329.82</td> <td>7.82</td> <td>305</td> <td>532.5</td> <td>108.96</td> <td>16.74</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	S35	Dec 1995	2230	120	24.2	329.82	7.82	305	532.5	108.96	16.74	_	_	_	_	_
S37Dec 1995221080 36.3 324.3 15.64 366 532.5 10.08 17.98 $ -$ <td>S36</td> <td>Dec 1995</td> <td>2200</td> <td>100</td> <td>48.4</td> <td>314.18</td> <td>7.82</td> <td>366</td> <td>497</td> <td>126.72</td> <td>13.64</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	S36	Dec 1995	2200	100	48.4	314.18	7.82	366	497	126.72	13.64	_	_	_	_	_
S38 Dec 1995 2130 137 62.92 226.55 5.87 366 532.5 24.96 14.26 - </td <td>S37</td> <td>Dec 1995</td> <td>2210</td> <td>80</td> <td>36.3</td> <td>324.3</td> <td>15.64</td> <td>366</td> <td>532.5</td> <td>10.08</td> <td>17.98</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	S 37	Dec 1995	2210	80	36.3	324.3	15.64	366	532.5	10.08	17.98	_	_	_	_	_
S39Dec 1995220010060.5 316.94 7.82 366 532.5 108 14.26 S40Dec 1995224080 48.4 322 7.82 366 532.5 46.56 16.74 S41Dec 19952240120 48.4 321.54 7.82 366 532.5 134.88 16.74 S42Dec 19952350100 60.5 338.1 15.64 366 532.5 179.04 22.94 S43Dec 19952370100 72.6 339.02 7.82 366 532.5 220.32 21.7 S44Dec 19952410120 48.4 340.86 7.82 427 568 74.4 29.14 S45Dec 19952430120 36.3 315.1 7.82 366 532.5 68.64 29.14 S46Dec 19952470100 48.4 349.14 15.64 366 568 105.6 23.56 S47Dec 19952370100 48.4 342.24 7.82 366 621.25 14.88 15.5	S38	Dec 1995	2130	137	62.92	226.55	5.87	366	532.5	24.96	14.26	_	_	_	_	_
S40 Dec 1995 2240 80 48.4 322 7.82 366 532.5 46.56 16.74 -	S39	Dec 1995	2200	100	60.5	316.94	7.82	366	532.5	108	14.26	_	_	_	_	_
S41 Dec 1995 2240 120 48.4 321.54 7.82 366 532.5 134.88 16.74 - </td <td>S40</td> <td>Dec 1995</td> <td>2240</td> <td>80</td> <td>48.4</td> <td>322</td> <td>7.82</td> <td>366</td> <td>532.5</td> <td>46.56</td> <td>16.74</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	S40	Dec 1995	2240	80	48.4	322	7.82	366	532.5	46.56	16.74	_	_	_	_	_
S42 Dec 1995 2350 100 60.5 338.1 15.64 366 532.5 179.04 22.94 - </td <td>S41</td> <td>Dec 1995</td> <td>2240</td> <td>120</td> <td>48.4</td> <td>321.54</td> <td>7.82</td> <td>366</td> <td>532.5</td> <td>134.88</td> <td>16.74</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	S41	Dec 1995	2240	120	48.4	321.54	7.82	366	532.5	134.88	16.74	_	_	_	_	_
S43 Dec 1995 2370 100 72.6 339.02 7.82 366 532.5 220.32 21.7 - <td>S42</td> <td>Dec 1995</td> <td>2350</td> <td>100</td> <td>60.5</td> <td>338.1</td> <td>15.64</td> <td>366</td> <td>532.5</td> <td>179.04</td> <td>22.94</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	S42	Dec 1995	2350	100	60.5	338.1	15.64	366	532.5	179.04	22.94	_	_	_	_	_
S44 Dec 1995 2410 120 48.4 340.86 7.82 427 568 74.4 29.14 -	S43	Dec 1995	2370	100	72.6	339.02	7.82	366	532.5	220.32	21.7	_	_	_	_	_
S45 Dec 1995 2430 120 36.3 315.1 7.82 366 532.5 68.64 29.14 - <td>S44</td> <td>Dec 1995</td> <td>2410</td> <td>120</td> <td>48.4</td> <td>340.86</td> <td>7.82</td> <td>427</td> <td>568</td> <td>74.4</td> <td>29.14</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	S44	Dec 1995	2410	120	48.4	340.86	7.82	427	568	74.4	29.14	_	_	_	_	_
S46 Dec 1995 2470 100 48.4 349.14 15.64 366 568 105.6 23.56 - <td>S45</td> <td>Dec 1995</td> <td>2430</td> <td>120</td> <td>36.3</td> <td>315.1</td> <td>7.82</td> <td>366</td> <td>532.5</td> <td>68.64</td> <td>29.14</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	S45	Dec 1995	2430	120	36.3	315.1	7.82	366	532.5	68.64	29.14	_	_	_	_	_
S47 Dec 1995 2370 100 48.4 342.24 7.82 366 621.25 14.88 15.5	S46	Dec 1995	2470	100	48.4	349.14	15.64	366	568	105.6	23.56	_	_	_	_	_
J_{1} J_{2} J_{3} J_{3	S47	Dec 1995	2370	100	48.4	342.24	7.82	366	621.25	14.88	15.5	_	_	_	_	_

 Table 1 (continued)

Name	Year	EC (µS/cm)	Ca ²⁺ (mg/l)	Mg ²⁺ (mg/l)	Na ⁺ (mg/l)	K ⁺ (mg/l)	HCO ₃ ⁻ (mg/l)	Cl ⁻ (mg/l)	SO ⁴⁻ 2 (mg/l)	NO ₃ ⁻ (mg/l)	δ ¹⁸ O (‰)	δ ² H (‰)	³ H (TU)	¹⁴ C (pCm)	¹³ C (‰)
S48	Dec 1995	2390	100	48.4	324.3	7.82	305	568	49.92	16.12	_	_	_	_	_
S49	Dec 1995	2380	100	48.4	340.86	7.82	366	603.5	32.16	15.5	-	_	-	_	-
S50	Dec 1995	2510	120	36.3	345.92	7.82	366	621.25	7.2	17.98	-	_	-	_	-
S51	Dec 1995	1560	60	36.3	243.8	7.82	305	355	67.2	19.22	-	_	-	_	-
S52	Dec 1995	2230	100	60.5	322	15.64	366	532.5	153.12	13.02	-	_	_	_	_
S53	Dec 1995	2200	100	48.4	289.34	7.82	366	532.5	25.92	14.88	-	_	_	_	_
S54	Dec 1995	2530	100	60.5	376.05	23.46	427	621.25	109.44	12.4	-	_	_	_	_
S55	Dec 1995	2240	100	27.23	354.2	19.55	366	532.5	136.8	18.6	-	_	_	_	_
S56	Dec 1995	2600	80	9.08	492.2	7.82	366	621.25	125.76	14.26	-	_	_	_	_
S57	Dec 1995	3130	100	60.5	530.84	9.78	366	887.5	99.84	15.5	_	_	-	_	_
S58	Dec 1995	3160	100	84.7	496.8	7.82	427	798.75	193.44	16.74	_	_	-	_	_
S59	Dec 1995	3390	80	72.6	552.23	9.78	366	887.5	152.16	11.16	_	_	-	_	_
S60	Dec 1995	2890	120	60.5	346.15	15.64	366	621.25	125.76	21.08	-	_	_	_	_
S61	Dec 1995	2400	120	48.4	349.6	15.64	366	621.25	84.96	20.46	_	_	-	_	_
F62	Mar 2004	2060	96.7	31.1	282	8.23	305	453.16	72.9	14.56	-9.01	-60.03	1.2	22.31	-7.63
F63	Mar 2004	2090	88	30.72	273	7.13	305	447.77	73.7	16.55	-9.12	-60.04	0.4	22.36	-7.93
P64	Mar 2004	900	44.4	37.4	81	6.22	305	96.61	133.8	13.19	-8.3	-56.16	1.7	_	_
S65	Mar 2004	2100	83.9	30.95	284	8.43	292.8	450.67	77.8	15.8	-8.95	-60.61	1.4	_	_
P66	Mar 2004	460	42.8	14.97	31	1.21	274.5	12.06	28	19.6	-8.71	-57.65	0.9	33.76	-7.4
S67	Mar 2004	2410	78.5	31.07	287	8.63	292.8	468.31	77.8	16.57	-9.11	-61.91	1.2	_	-
S68	Mar 2004	2330	93.4	33.02	292	8.51	280.6	497.98	79.6	20.78	-9.07	-59.5	0.3	_	-
P69	Mar 2004	2470	101.8	47.75	375	8.73	323.3	580.64	136.7	30.95	-8.42	-54.88	2.9	_	-
P70	Mar 2004	5600	200.1	103.59	847	16.54	597.8	1810.37	312.2	69.25	-8.34	-61.33	1	_	-
P71	Mar 2004	2940	131	49.53	319	8.68	274.5	609.44	117.4	46.96	-9.05	-62.12	0.9	32.03	-8.07
P72	Mar 2004	2880	117.9	40.2	277	12.33	427	358.83	100.2	53.95	-9.01	-59.94	0.6	-	-

From HBAM (1983, 2004) and Assou 1996, 1995



Fig. 3 Piper diagram for the major ions for different years

Table 2 Water type	
Samples	Water type
F34, F62, F63, F8, P20, P23, P25, P69, P72, P9, S35, S36, S41, S44-S50, S53, S55, S60, S61, S65, S67, and S68	Na-Ca-Cl-HCO ₃
F1, F2, F4-F6, P10-P14, P27-P32, P70, S57, and S59	Na-Cl
F33, F7, S37, S40, S42, S51, S54, and S56	Na-Cl-HCO3
P22, P24, P26, and P71	Na-Ca-Cl
S38, S39, and S52	Na-Ca-Mg-Cl-HCO ₃
P64	Na-Mg-Ca-HCO ₃ -SO ₄ -Cl
P17, P18, and P21	Na-Mg-Cl
P15 and P19	Na-Ca-Mg-Cl
S43 and S58	Na-Mg-Cl-HCO ₃
P66	Ca-Na-Mg-HCO ₃
F3	Na-Cl-SO4-HCO3
P16	Na-Mg-Ca-Cl

Table 3 Saturation indices ofFiguig groundwater

Name	SI (anhydrite)	SI (aragonite)	SI (calcite)	SI (dolomite)	SI (gypsum)
F1	-1.71	-0.47	-0.32	-0.54	-1.49
F2	-1.41	-0.23	-0.09	-0.08	-1.19
F3	-1.82	-0.63	-0.49	-0.8	-1.6
F4	-1.66	-0.43	-0.28	-0.56	-1.44
F5	-1.72	-0.44	-0.3	-0.53	-1.5
F6	-1.73	-0.5	-0.35	-0.62	-1.51
F7	-1.86	-0.33	-0.18	-0.28	-1.64
F8	-2.4	-0.31	-0.16	-0.28	-2.18
Р9	-1.96	-0.01	0.14	0.04	-1.74
P10	-1.26	0.07	0.21	0.43	-1.04
P11	-1.45	0.02	0.16	0.24	-1.24
P12	-1.47	0.04	0.19	0.39	-1.25
P13	-1.22	0.1	0.24	0.41	-1
P14	-1.11	0.39	0.54	1.22	-0.89
P15	-1.71	0.23	0.38	0.9	-1.49
P16	-1.05	0.49	0.63	1.43	-0.83
P17	-1.49	0.05	0.19	0.89	-1.27
P18	-1.12	0.15	0.29	1.01	-0.91
P19	-2.65	0.46	0.6	1.28	-2.44
P20	-1.68	0.04	0.18	-0.46	-1.46
P21	-1.12	0.34	0.48	1.35	-0.9
P22	-1.35	0	0.14	-0.03	-1.13
P23	-1 7	0.02	0.17	-0.08	-1 48
P24	-1.92	0.31	0.45	0.63	-1 7
P25	-1 77	0.07	0.22	0.31	-1.55
P26	-1.35	0.33	0.48	0.74	-1.13
P27	-1.37	-0.17	-0.02	0.17	-1.15
P28	-1.27	0.15	0.29	0.52	-1.05
P29	-1.41	-0.08	0.07	0.24	-1 19
P30	-1.28	0.12	0.26	0.55	-1.06
P31	-1.19	0.05	0.19	0.41	-0.98
D22	-1.67	0.19	0.33	0.77	-1.45
F33	-2.16	-0.37	-0.22	-0.57	-1.94
F33	-2.02	-0.3	-0.16	-0.49	-1.8
F 34 S 25	-2.02	-0.3	-0.10	-0.49	-1.8
533	-1.//	-0.19	-0.03	-0.44	-1.55
530	-1.8	-0.2	-0.00	-0.08	-1.58
537	-2.93	-0.26	-0.12	-0.22	-2.73
538	-2.38	-0.03	0.1	0.21	-2.10
539	-1.89	-0.2	-0.06	0.02	-1.0/
540	-2.31	-0.28	-0.13	-0.13	-2.09
541	-1./1	-0.13	0.01	-0.02	-1.49
542	-1.68	-0.22	-0.08	-0.02	-1.46
S43	-1.61	-0.23	-0.09	0.03	-1.39
S44	-1.97	-0.06	0.09	0.13	-1.75
545	-1.98	-0.11	0.03	-0.1	-1.76
S46	-1.89	-0.2	-0.06	-0.08	-1.67
S47	-2.72	-0.18	-0.04	-0.04	-2.5

Table 3 (continued)

Name	SI (anhydrite)	SI (aragonite)	SI (calcite)	SI (dolomite)	SI (gypsum)
S48	-2.19	-0.26	-0.12	-0.2	-1.97
S49	-2.39	-0.19	-0.04	-0.05	-2.17
S50	-2.96	-0.1	0.04	-0.08	-2.74
S51	-2.21	-0.45	-0.3	-0.48	-1.99
S52	-1.74	-0.21	-0.07	-0.01	-1.52
S53	-2.47	-0.18	-0.03	-0.02	-2.25
S54	-1.9	-0.15	-0.01	0.12	-1.68
S55	-1.76	-0.2	-0.06	-0.33	-1.54
S56	-1.88	-0.3	-0.16	-0.91	-1.66
S57	-1.97	-0.24	-0.09	-0.05	-1.75
S58	-1.72	-0.19	-0.05	0.19	-1.5
S59	-1.9	-0.34	-0.2	-0.09	-1.68
S60	-1.77	-0.14	0	0.06	-1.55
S61	-1.92	-0.13	0.02	-0.01	-1.7
F62	-2	-0.26	-0.12	-0.38	-1.78
F63	-2.03	-0.3	-0.16	-0.42	-1.81
P64	-1.98	-0.55	-0.41	-0.55	-1.76
S65	-2.03	-0.34	-0.19	-0.47	-1.81
P66	-2.54	-0.53	-0.39	-0.88	-2.32
S67	-2.05	-0.37	-0.22	-0.5	-1.84
S68	-1.99	-0.32	-0.17	-0.45	-1.77
P69	-1.78	-0.26	-0.11	-0.2	-1.56
P70	-1.37	0.16	0.3	0.68	-1.15
P71	-1.74	-0.21	-0.07	-0.21	-1.52
P72	-1.82	-0.05	0.09	0.07	-1.6

characteristics and may simplify and organize large data sets in order to make useful groupings of similar samples (Kumar et al. 2013; Tlili-Zrelli et al. 2013; Wu et al. 2013). The STATISTICA software was used to process the 1995 vintage data analyses. For this, the analyses of EC, K⁺, Na⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, HCO₃⁻, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, and NO₃⁻ were used as variables. To investigate thermodynamic controls of mineral-water interactions, the geochemical modeling software PHREEQC (Parkhurst and Appelo 1999) was used to calculate the mineral saturation index (SI).

Results and discussion

Groundwater chemistry

According to Table 1, the EC of groundwater ranges from 1530 to 13,100 μ S/Cm. It is low in the western and northern part of the study area and high in the southern. Figure 3 and

Table 2 show major cations and anions for underlining possible correlations between host rock lithology and the observed hydrogeochemical facies. The majority of groundwater samples as identified using a Piper diagram and the AquaChem software are Na-Ca-Cl-HCO3 (Jurassic carbonates formations) and Na-K-Cl (Quaternary formations) type waters (Table 2). The latter water type is probably related to anthropogenic activities (irrigation and wastewater) taking place in the southern area.

Saturation index

The Saturation Indices (SI) of the minerals calcite, dolomite, gypsum, aragonite, and anhydrite were calculated using the PHREEQC code. The results of the modeling are shown in Table 3. Saturation indices less than zero indicate that the groundwater is undersaturated with respect to that particular mineral, those equal to zero that the groundwater is saturated, whereas a Saturation Index superior to zero indicates a

R² = 0.973

EC (µS/Cm)

Cl-(mg/l)



Fig. 4 Plots of: Na vs EC, Cl vs Ec and Cl vs Na

Table 4	Cor	relation	n coeffi	cients o	of physi	icochemi	cal para	imeters	
	EC	Ca	Mg	Na	K	HCO ₃	Cl	SO_4	NO ₃
EC	1.00	0.88	0.84	0.93	0.76	0.68	0.98	0.63	0.80
Ca		1.00	0.82	0.72	0.61	0.51	0.90	0.38	0.82
Mg			1.00	0.67	0.50	0.42	0.87	0.50	0.69
Na				1.00	0.82	0.78	0.91	0.75	0.68
Κ					1.00	0.70	0.75	0.53	0.64
HCO ₃						1.00	0.64	0.62	0.49
Cl							1.00	0.59	0.80
SO_4								1.00	0.47
NO ₃									1.00



Fig. 5 Spatial distribution of the variables in the axes system F1-F2



Fig. 6 Spatial distribution of the individuals in the axes system F1-F2

supersaturation of the groundwater with respect to that particular mineral phase, and that the water is incapable of dissolving more of the mineral (Kortatsi 2006). The calculated SI values for gypsum, anhydrite, and for most of the dolomite were less than zero (Table 3), indicating the undersaturation of groundwater in these minerals, which is in good agreement with the inferred dissolution of gypsum (Zhai et al. 2013). In contrast, the SI of calcite and aragonite (CaCO₃) indicated that the groundwater was supersaturated with these carbonate minerals, a fact corroborated by the presence of calcareous rocks.



Fig. 7 Zoom of the spatial distribution of the individuals in the axes system F1-F2

The plots of Cl⁻ and Na⁺ ions with EC show that the chloride and sodium were strongly correlated with EC with R^2 = 0.973 and 0.868, respectively (Fig. 4). These indicate that groundwater salinities were mainly controlled by these ions. Thus, a plot of chloride versus sodium shows a high correlation coefficient of 0.837 (Fig. 4) indicating that these ions have the same origin, which can be (1) the dissolution of halite present in the sedimentary rocks and (2) the derivation from saline surface deposits.

PCA

The variables for PCA were EC, K⁺, Na⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, HCO_3^- , Cl^- , SO_4^{2-} , and NO_3^- (Table 4). Two independent factors were extracted, which explained 84.93 % of the total variation (TV). The first one (F1) was responsible for 73.91 % of TV and was represented by EC, K⁺, Na⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Cl⁻, and NO₃⁻. The second factor (F2) explained 11.02 % of the TV and was mainly represented by HCO_3^- and SO_4^{2-} . The spatial distribution of the variables and individuals in the systems F1-F2 (Figs. 5, 6, and 7) shows the presence of three groups of waters. Group 1 corresponds to the waters of springs originating in the fault hosted by Upper Bajocian limestone formations. Group 2 corresponds to the waters of wells and boreholes situated in the irrigation zone, where agricultural activities take place (palm trees), hosted by quaternary alluvial formations in the south. Finally, group 3, in the north, characterizes the waters of wells located in Lower Bajocian and Liassic beds, consisting of alternating limestones, marls, and other carbonates.

¹⁸O and ²H stable isotopes

The isotopes ²H and ¹⁸O are excellent tracers, which can be used to determine the origin of groundwater and are widely used to study the circulation of natural water and groundwater movement (Vasanthavigar et al. 2013). The isotope compositions of well and spring waters that we obtained are plotted in Fig. 8. The WMWL is $\delta D=8 \ \delta^{18}O+10\%$ (Craig 1961). The relationship between δD and $\delta^{18}O$ in groundwater samples suggests that the chemical composition was significantly modified by evaporation.

The δ^{18} O and δ D values are in the range of -9.12 to -8.3and -62.12 to -54.88%, respectively. The δ^{18} O value decreases from north to south (high to low altitude). The linear correlation between δ^{18} O and δ D of 1988 (Assou 1996) is similar to that of 2004. The regional altitude isotopic gradient of the Figuig oasis is estimated to be -0.9% per 100 m from samples collected in springs and wells, at different altitudes ranging from 856 to 941 m (Fig. 9). The low value of ¹⁸O suggests that the paleo-recharge is of Holocene age.

Fig. 8 δ^{18} O- δ D diagram of groundwater



¹³C, ¹⁴C, and ³H geochemistry

The ¹³C, ¹⁴C, and ³H values measured are in the ranges of -8.07 to -7.4%, 22.31 to 33.76 pCm and 0.3 to 2.9 TU, respectively (Table 1). The different models used to estimate the age of groundwater (Eichinger 1983; Fontes and Garnier 1979; Ingerson and Pearson 1964) yield ages ranging between 2495 and 10,696 years (Holocene), see Table 5. These are in good agreement with the low values of ¹⁸O and ³H.

-9.2



Conclusions

Water scarcity and degradation are significant problems in the Figuig oasis. Our research has identified two major types of groundwater chemistry: Na-Ca-Cl-HCO₃ and Na-Cl dominant. The EC of groundwater is low in the western and northern part of the study area and high in the southern part. We interpret the origin of salinity to be partly linked to the lithology of the geological formations and, to a lesser extent, to the



 Table 5
 Mean residence time of the water based on different models

Model	Name			
	F62	F63	P66	P71
Age (Ingerson and Pearson 1964)	7906	7664	3748	4400
Age (Eichinger 1983)	6444	6715	2495	3442
Age (Fontes and Garnier 1979)	9817	10,696	6943	8730

irrigation/evaporation processes in the palm groves. Multivariate statistical analysis carried out using PCA of major hydrochemical ions has identified two major geochemical processes with 84.93 % of TV. The relationship of ²H and ¹⁸O shows that the initial isotopic composition has been modified by the evaporation processes during local water infiltration. Finally, we have been able to constrain the age of the groundwater as ranging from 2495 to 10,696 years. Our findings are important, as they provide the basis for a much better understanding and, therefore, much better management of the vital water resources of the Figuig aquifer.

Acknowledgments The author wishes to thank the journal reviewers and Dr. Mike Mlynarczyk for helping improve this manuscript.

References

- Abderamane H, Razack M, Vassolo S (2013) Hydrogeochemical and isotopic characterization of the groundwater in the Chari-Baguirmi depression, Republic of Chad. Environ Earth Sci 69(7):2337–2350
- Assou M (1996) Salinité des sols et qualité des eaux d'irrigation de l'oasis de Figuig. Ministère de l'agriculture et de la mise en valeur agricole, Administration du génie rural, Direction du développement et de la gestion de l'irrigation. Rabat, 99 p.

Craig H (1961) Isotopic variations in meteoric water. Sci 133:1702-1703

- Eichinger L (1983) A contibution to the interpretation of 14C groundwater ages considering the exemple of a partially confined sandstone aquifer. Radiocarbon 25(2):347–356
- Fontes J-C, Garnier J-M (1979) Determination of the initial 14C activity of the total dissolved carbon: a review of the existing models and a new approach. Water Resour Res 15(2):399–413
- Ingerson E, Pearson F-J (1964) Estimation of age and rate of motion of groudwater by the 14C method. In: Recent Researches in the Fields of Hydrosphere, Atmosphere and Nuclear Geochemistry (ed. by Maruzen). Maruzen, Tokyo, Japan, pp 263–283
- Jilali A (2014a) Contribution à la compréhension du fonctionnement hydrodynamique de la nappe souterraine de l'oasis de Figuig (Haut Atlas Oriental). Université Mohammed Premier, Oujda, 161 pp

- Jilali A (2014b) Impact of climate change on the Figuig aquifer using a numerical model: oasis of Eastern Morocco. J Biol Earth Sci 4(1): E16–E24
- Jilali A, Zarhloule Y (2015) Structural control of the hydrogeology in Figuig Oasis in the eastern High Atlas of Morocco. P Geologists Assoc 126(2):232–243
- Jilali A, Abbas M, Amar M, Zarhloule Y (2015a) Groundwater contamination by wastewater in Figuig Oasis (eastern High Atlas, Morocco). Nature Environ Pollu Techno 14(2):275–282
- Jilali A, Amar M, Zarhloule Y, Amar N, Kerchaoui S, Baba E (2015b) Apport de la géophysique à la compréhension des structures géologiques de l'oasis de Figuig (Haut Atlas Oriental, Maroc). J Materials Environ Sci 6(1):236–245
- Jilali A, Zarhloule Y, Georgiadis M (2015c) Vulnerability mapping and risk of groundwater of the oasis of Figuig, Morocco: application of DRASTIC and AVI methods. Arab J Geosci 8(3):1611–1621
- Kortatsi B (2006) Hydrochemical characterization of groundwater in the Accra plains of Ghana. Environ Geol 50(3):299–311
- Kumar M, Herbert J-R, Ramanathan A-L, Someshwar Rao M, Kim K, Deka J-P, Kumar B (2013) Hydrogeochemical zonation for groundwater management in the area with diversified geological and landuse setup. Chem Erde-Geochem 73(3):267–274
- Parkhurst D-L, Appelo C-A-J (1999) User's guide to PHREEQC (Version 2): a computer program for speciation, batch-reaction, one-dimensional transport, and inverse geochemical calculations. Water-Resources Investigations Report. USGS, Denver, Colorado, 99–4259, p 312
- Rodier J (1984) L'analyse de l'eau: eaux naturelles, eaux résiduaires, eau de mer. Dunod, 7th ed, Paris
- Thivya C, Chidambaram S, Thilagavathi R, Prasanna M-V, Singaraja C, Nepolian M, Sundararajan M (2013) Identification of the geochemical processes in groundwater by factor analysis in hard rock aquifers of Madurai District. South India Arab J Geosci 1–11
- Tlili-Zrelli B, Hamzaoui-Azaza F, Gueddari M, Bouhlila R (2013) Geochemistry and quality assessment of groundwater using graphical and multivariate statistical methods. A case study: Grombalia phreatic aquifer (Northeastern Tunisia). Arab J Geosci 6(9):3545– 3561
- Vasanthavigar M, Srinivasamoorthy K, Prasanna M-V (2013) Identification of groundwater contamination zones and its sources by using multivariate statistical approach in Thirumanimuthar subbasin, Tamil Nadu, India. Environ Earth Sci 68(6):1783–1795
- Wang P, Yu J, Zhang Y, Liu C (2013) Groundwater recharge and hydrogeochemical evolution in the Ejina Basin, northwest China. J Hydrol 476:72–86
- Wildemeersch S, Orban P, Ruthy I, Grière O, Olive P, El Youbi A, Dassargues A (2010) Towards a better understanding of the Oulmes hydrogeological system (Mid-Atlas, Morocco). Environ Earth Sci 60(8):1753–1769
- Wu J, Li P, Qian H, Duan Z, Zhang X (2013) Using correlation and multivariate statistical analysis to identify hydrogeochemical processes affecting the major ion chemistry of waters: a case study in Laoheba phosphorite mine in Sichuan. China Arab J Geosci 1–10
- Zhai Y, Wang J, Teng Y, Zuo R (2013) Hydrogeochemical and isotopic evidence of groundwater evolution and recharge in aquifers in Beijing Plain, China. Environ Earth Sci 69(7):2167–2177