ORIGINAL PAPER



## Assessment and Causes of Groundwater Organic Pollution in Typical Plain Areas in Xinjiang, China

Yanyan Zeng<sup>1,2</sup> · Jinlong Zhou<sup>1,2</sup> · Yinzhu Zhou<sup>3</sup> · Ruiliang Jia<sup>1,2</sup>

Received: 30 December 2015/Revised: 1 May 2016/Accepted: 2 May 2016/Published online: 10 May 2016 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2016

Abstract To study the organic pollution situation in groundwater in plain areas in Xinjiang, Shihezi region (SHZ), plain area of Yanqi Basin (YQ) and Ruoqiang-Qiemo area (RO) were selected as the typical areas. 83 groundwater samples were collected, tested, and analyzed for 39 organic indexes for the first time. Results showed that the local groundwater in SHZ, YQ, and RQ had been slightly affected by organic pollution with the detection rates of 8.7, 33.3, and 33.3 %, respectively. Seven organic pollutants were detected, including chloroform (with the highest detection rate of 21.7 %), 1,2-dichloroethane, 1,2-dichlorobenzene, toluene, benzo(a)pyrene,  $\alpha$ -BHC, and  $\gamma$ -BHC. The contents of all the detected organic pollutants were low, which were under the limits of the "Standards for Drinking Water Quality (GB5749-2006)". Vertical distribution of detection rates was that in SHZ, shallow confined groundwater (12.5 %) > deepconfined groundwater (10.0 %) > unconfined groundwater (0.0 %); in YQ, unconfined groundwater (66.7 %) > deepconfined groundwater (29.2 %) > shallow confined groundwater (25.0 %); in RQ, shallow confined groundwater (66.7 %) > unconfined groundwater (33.3 %) > deep confined groundwater (16.7 %). The sources and properties of organic pollutants were the main factors that affected groundwater organic pollution in the study areas. The infiltration recharge of surface water mainly affected

- <sup>2</sup> Xinjiang Hydrology and Water Resources Engineering Research Center, Urumqi 830052, China
- <sup>3</sup> School of Water Resources and Environment, China University of Geosciences, Beijing 100083, China

groundwater organic pollution in SHZ and YQ. Besides, the vadose zone had a certain restriction on the occurrence and migration of groundwater organic pollution components in RQ. Direct discharge of sewage came from human life and production without treatment, and leakage due to crude antiseepage facilities had led to groundwater organic pollution. The organic wastewater produced in livestock and poultry breeding, chemical fertilizers, and insecticides in agricultural activities were also the main sources of groundwater organic pollution.

**Keywords** Groundwater · Organic pollution · Detection rates · Typical areas in Xinjiang

### Introduction

Groundwater is the most important part of the water resource system, which plays a crucial role in ensuring supply of domestic water, industrial water, and agricultural water, maintaining ecological balance, and supporting social and economic development, with the irreplaceable function, especially in areas lack of surface water resources (Avtar et al. 2013; Yao et al. 2014). However, the increase of global population, expansions of industrialization and urbanization, and development of agricultural contribute to the intensifying human activities which lead to an increasing demand of groundwater and the risk of groundwater pollution. For example, the discharge of various wastewaters, infiltration of residential sewage, rupture of underground pipelines, and the extensive uses of pesticides and chemical fertilizers in agricultural production all cause severe groundwater pollution (Borden et al. 1995; Wang et al. 2012; Li et al. 2013). Due to high population density, intensifying human activities, increasing water

Jinlong Zhou zjzhoujl@163.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> College of Water Conservancy and Civil Engineering, Xinjiang Agricultural University, Urumqi 830052, China

demand, and vulnerable environment, groundwater pollution has become one of the most serious problems relating to human survival since the twenty-first century in China, especially in the northwest (Wu and Sun 2015). Groundwater quality is deteriorating extremely rapidly (Li et al. 2012). Among the major contaminants (including heavy metals, nitrate, arsenic, fluoride, organic pollutants, etc.) (Li et al. 2014a; Wu et al. 2015), groundwater organic pollutants have diverse species which cannot be detected easily by means of routine methods of water-quality analysis due to small concentrations. Once contaminated, it is still difficult to recover to previous groundwater quality even after treatment (Seth et al. 2014). Groundwater organic pollution is complex and invisible. Therefore, to study groundwater organic pollution situation, monitor and predict its development, to take corresponding measures, and to remediate contaminated groundwater are crucial to environmental protection.

Previous investigations and researches on groundwater pollution in China were mainly focused on inorganic indexes with significant results achieved. However, as for researches on groundwater organic pollution, it is still at its preliminary stage in China. Since the 1990s, China Geological Survey (CGS) started to conduct pilot investigation on groundwater organic pollution in Beijing and Suzhou-Wuxi-Changzhou areas. Preliminary investigation results showed that groundwater organic pollution was present in these areas. Then, long-term investigations were conducted by CGS on groundwater organic pollution in economydeveloped regions, such as the North China Plain, the Yangtze River Delta, the Pearl River Delta, and the Huang River-huai River-hai River Plain. The results showed that groundwater pollution range had been expanding with an overall deterioration of groundwater quality in China. Carcinogenic, teratogenic, and mutagenic organic pollutants, and the persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in groundwater were partly detected. However, due to a lack of systematic investigation and evaluation in the twentieth century in China, little general status of groundwater quality and pollution could be comprehensively known (Wen et al. 2012). Therefore, the first round of investigation and evaluation plan on groundwater pollution was initiated by CGS in 2005, aimed at finding out groundwater quality and pollution condition, and providing a basis for policy protection and rational use of water resources in China.

The establishment of the Silk Road Economic Belt is an exciting prospect that may bring enormous economic benefits to Eurasian countries (Li et al. 2015a). Silk Road Economic Belt has long been an important tie to promote idea and technology exchange between China and other Eurasian countries, and also contribution to development and long-term stability in Xinjiang. Xinjiang is the center

of the Silk Road economic belt, as an important bridge to connect China and Central Asia, and then to Europe (Abudureyimu and Han 2014). However, with the revival of the Silk Road and increase of population and human activities, it may lead to an increasing environmental pressure (e.g., water resources) in Xinjiang (Libert et al. 2008; Li et al. 2015a). Xinjiang is a typical inland arid area located in the northwest of China with dry climate, scarce rainfall, strong evaporation, and a shortage of water resources. Groundwater is the dominant source of drinking water in Xinjiang (Li et al. 2014b). Hence, groundwater quality has an important impact on the safety of drinking water which relates closely to human health in Xinjiang. This is the first investigation (conducted in 2014) on groundwater organic pollution in typical areas in Xinjiang, which focused preliminarily on study of groundwater organic pollution status in study areas and analysis of the causes. It is of important guiding significance for groundwater protection in Xinjiang.

#### **Study Area**

The study areas are located in the typical areas of northern Xinjiang (SHZ) and the typical areas of southern Xinjiang (YQ and RQ) (Fig. 1).

#### Shihezi Region (SHZ)

SHZ ( $85^{\circ}45'E 44^{\circ}10'N \sim 86^{\circ}20'E 45^{\circ}00'N$ ) is located in the middle of northern piedmont of Tianshan Mountain and the southern Gurbantonggut Desert in Xinjiang, which has a continental arid climate with an annual average temperature of 7.5–8.2 °C, annual precipitation of 180–270 mm, and annual evaporation of 1000–1500 mm (Dong et al. 2013).

SHZ (high in the south and low in the north) with an average altitude of 451 m is demarcated by the Urumqi-Yili highway, the south of which is a piedmont plain with single unconfined aquifer while the north of which is a multilayer confined aquifer. In the southern SHZ, the aquifer is mainly constituted of pebbles and gravel  $(Q_1-Q_4)$ with buried depth of unconfined groundwater from 15 to 80 m. The aquifer has loose structure, abundant pore, and good permeability. In the northern SHZ, the unconfined aquifer and shallow confined aquifer are distributed within the depth of 100 m. The unconfined groundwater overflows near the plain reservoirs in the north of Shihezi City. In the north of the overflow zone, the aquifer is mainly constituted of sandy loam and silt sand  $(Q_3-Q_4)$ , as well as thin layers of sandy gravel and coarse-medium-grained sand in some parts. The depth of deep confined aquifer is less than 100 m where the multilayer confined groundwater and



Fig. 1 Location of the typical areas in Xinjiang

Fig. 2 Regional

SHZ from south to north



artesian water are distributed. The aquifer is mainly constitutive of sandy gravel or sand layer  $(Q_3-Q_4)$  (Fig. 2) (Duan et al. 2007; Wu 2007; Li et al. 2015b).

Groundwater is mainly recharged by the leakage of river water and canal system water in SHZ. Besides, the infiltration of field irrigation water, spring melt water, plain

reservoirs water, and precipitation are also the recharge sources. The groundwater runoff condition is related to the lithology. As the aquifer particles size and water permeability decrease from south to north, the runoff conditions deteriorate. The major discharge forms of groundwater are spring water overflow, evaporation, and transpiration, artificial exploitation, and lateral outflow.

#### Plain area of Yanqi Basin (YQ)

YQ ( $85^{\circ}30'E 41^{\circ}45'N \sim 88^{\circ}15'E 42^{\circ}15'N$ ) is located in the middle of southern piedmont of Tianshan Mountain, the northeastern Tarim Basin, and the northern Bayingolin Mongol Autonomous Prefecture in Xinjiang. It is composed of four counties, namely, Hejing County, Heshuo County, Yanqi County, and Bohu County, and eight farms belong to the Second Division of Xinjiang Production and Construction Corps. YQ is a typical oasis of arid climate with large temperature difference between day and night, scarce precipitation, and intensive evaporation.

YQ is a rhombus basin (high in northwest and low in southeast) surrounded by mountains. The surrounding mountains tilt from the basin margin to its center, the Bosten Lake. The basin is surrounded by the pre-Quaternary strata. The Quaternary alluvial and diluvial deposits, lakes, and marsh sediments distribute in the Basin. The Quaternary lithologic structure sees a gradual decrease of particle sizes from northwest to southeast in YQ, which mainly composed of sandy gravel, medium-coarse sand, medium-fine sand, sandy loam, and loam. The land slopes downward from the top of alluvial fan to the alluvial plain with a decreased slope from steep to gentle, and particle sizes change from coarse to fine (Fig. 3), which leads to the formation of single unconfined aquifer, upper unconfinedlower multilayer confined aquifer, and upper unconfinedlower multilayer confined artesian aquifer from the west and northwest piedmont alluvial-proluvial plain to the downstream alluvial plain (Wang 2013; Zhao et al. 2015).

Groundwater is recharged by lateral runoff, infiltration of river water, precipitation, and field irrigation water, and leakage of canal system water in YQ. While it is discharged by evapotranspiration, farmland drainage, discharge into river, merging into Bosten Lake, and artificial exploitation.

#### Ruoqiang-Qiemo Area (RQ)

RQ (84°00′E 36°00′N and 92°00′E 39°30′N) is located in the southeastern Bayingolin Mongol Autonomous Prefecture and the southern Tarim Basin in Xinjiang. The whole area is divided into the southern alpine area, the central oasis plain, and the northern desert. It is high in south and low in north, with the terrain tilting from southwest to northeast. There are 14 rivers in the territory, including Ruoqiang River, Washixia River, etc. RQ has an arid and a semiarid desert continental climate with four distinctive seasons, cold winter, heat, and dry summer, large temperature difference between day and night, long frostless period, small precipitation, and intensive evaporation.

There are mainly unconfined groundwater and confined groundwater in loose Quaternary sediments distributed in RQ. Aquifer is mainly constitutive of sandy gravel, sandy loam, and loam (Fig. 4). The aquifer in the southern part is a single unconfined aquifer with buried depths ranging from 6 to 38 m. Besides the unconfined groundwater, there is confined groundwater in the northern part with buried depths of confined groundwater ranging from 4 to 18 m (Lu 2007; Zeng et al. 2015).

Groundwater is mainly recharged by piedmont lateral runoff, and the infiltration of precipitation, irrigation water, canal system water, and river water in RQ. Groundwater runoff is intensive with flow direction consistent with surface water runoff (from south to north). Lateral runoff discharge into northern desert, intensive surface evaporation, and plant transpiration, and artificial exploitation are the major forms of groundwater discharge.

**Fig. 3** Regional hydrogeological cross section in YQ from mountains to lake





#### **Materials and Methods**

#### **Groundwater Samples**

Based on systematic integration of geographic, hydrogeological, and pollution information, groundwater pollution survey in the study areas was conducted with the accuracy of 1:250,000 according to the technical requirements of the Geological Survey and Evaluation Criteria for Groundwater Pollution (DD2008-01). The contaminated groundwater sampling points were laid out according to regional control combining with distribution characteristics of pollution sources in key areas. The groundwater and surface water sampling points were mainly distributed in the plain oasis. GPS was used to get the geographic coordinates of the sampling points. Groundwater types (unconfined groundwater, shallow confined groundwater, and deep confined groundwater) were determined according to regional hydrogeological mapping. In SHZ, there were 23 groundwater samples (five unconfined groundwater samples, eight shallow confined groundwater samples, and 10 deep confined groundwater samples) and five surface water samples collected in August 2014 with the control area of 2534 km<sup>2</sup>. In YQ, there were 42 groundwater samples (six unconfined groundwater samples, 12 shallow confined groundwater samples, and 24 deep confined groundwater samples) and seven surface water samples collected in July 2014 with the control area of  $8100 \text{ km}^2$ . In RO, there were 18 groundwater samples (nine unconfined groundwater samples, three shallow confined groundwater samples, and six deep confined groundwater samples) and five surface water samples collected in July 2014 with the control area of 9800 km<sup>2</sup>. Distribution of sampling points is shown in Figs. 5, 6, and 7.

# Quality Control and Determination of Water Samples

In this investigation, strict quality control was emphasized, including collection of field samples and laboratory determination. The purpose of quality control of field samples collection is to track erroneous and distorted data, which can help to propose improvement measures when dealing with inacceptable samples collection and error analysis, confirm the data correctness, and provide uncertainties of samples collection and measurement in the analysis of results. Incubator and ice were used for low-temperature preservation. Samples were transported to the laboratory for inspection as soon as possible. Besides, field blank samples, blank samples with the addition of standard samples and parallel samples were used for sampling reliability assessment.

Groundwater samples were determined at the Mineral Water Testing Center of Institution of Hydrogeology and Environmental Geology, Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences which has been qualified by China Metrology Accreditation, China National Accreditation Service for Conformity Assessment (CNAS), and the Product Quality Supervision, and Inspection Center of the Ministry of Land and Resources. The detection items included 27 volatile organic compounds (vinyl chloride, 1,2-dichloroethylene, dichloromethane, trans-1,2dichloroethylene, cis-1,2-dichloroethylene, chloroform, 1,1,1trichloromethane, carbon tetrachloride, benzene, 1,2-dichloroethane, trichloroethylene, 1,2-dichloropropane, bromodichloromethane, toluene, 1,1,2-trichloroethane, tetrachloroethylene, chlorodibromomethane, chlorobenzene, ethylbenzene, meta-xylene-para-xylene, o-xylene, styrene, bromoform, 1,3dichlorobenzene, 1,4-dichlorobenzene, 1,2-dichlorobenzene, and 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene) and 12 semivolatile organic com-



Fig. 5 Distribution of groundwater and surface water sampling points polluted by organic pollutants and the pollution sources in SHZ

pounds (hexachlorobenzene,  $\alpha$ -BHC,  $\beta$ -BHC,  $\gamma$ -BHC,  $\delta$ -BHC, total benzene hexachloride (BHC), total DDT, p,p'-DDE, p,p'-DDD, o,p'-DDT, p,p'-DDT and benzo(a)pyrene). Trace dsq GCMS-QP2010 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to detect these volatile and semivolatile organic compounds. The detection limits of different compounds are listed as follows: 0.50 µg/L for vinyl chloride, m-xylene, p-xylene, bromoform, and dichloromethane; 0.30 µg/L for toluene, benzene, and ethylbenzene; 0.25 µg/L for 1,2-dichloroethane, and styrene; 0.20 µg/L for 1,2-dichlorethylene, chloroform, carbon tetrachloride, trichloroethylene, 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene, tetrachloroethylene, and o-xylene; 0.15 µg/L for trans-1,2-dichloroethylene, cis-1,2dichloroethylene, 1,1,1-trichloromethane, 1,2-dichloropropane, bromodichloromethane, 1,1,2-trichloroethane, and chlorodibromomethane; 0.10 µg/L for 1,3-dichlorobenzene, 1,4-dichlorobenzene, and 1,2-dichlorobenzene; 0.05 µg/L for chlorobenzene; 0.01  $\mu$ g/L for  $\alpha$ -BHC,  $\beta$ -BHC,  $\gamma$ -BHC,  $\delta$ -BHC, total DDT, o,p'-DDT, and p,p'-DDT; 0.005 µg/L for hexachlorobenzene; 0.002 µg/L for p,p'-DDE, p,p'-DDD, and benzo(a)pyrene.

#### **Results and Analysis**

#### Assessment of Groundwater Organic Pollution

#### Statistics of Detected Organic Pollutants

The test results of groundwater organic pollutants were shown in Table 1.

Results of groundwater organic pollutants (Table 1) showed that groundwater is contaminated by organic pollution in the study areas. Five organic pollutants (namely, 1,2-dichloroethane, toluene, benzo(a)pyrene,  $\alpha$ -BHC, and  $\gamma$ -BHC) were detected among 23 groundwater samples with a detection rate of 4.3 % in SHZ (Table 1).

In YQ, three organic pollutants (chloroform, 1,2dichloroethane, and 1,2-dichlorobenzene) were detected among 42 groundwater samples with detection rates of 31.0, 2.4, and 2.4 %, respectively (Table 1).

In RQ, two organic pollutants (chloroform and 1,2dichlorobenzene) were detected among 18 groundwater samples with detection rates of 27.8 and 11.1 %, respectively (Table 1).



Fig. 6 Distribution of groundwater and surface water sampling points polluted by organic pollutants and the pollution sources in YQ

The rest of the selected organic pollutants were not detected. And the contents of the detected organic pollutants in three typical plain areas were under the limits of the *Standards for Drinking Water Quality* (GB5749-2006). It indicated that groundwater in study areas were slightly contaminated by organic pollutants.

# Spatial Distribution of Detection Rates for Organic Pollutants

#### Horizontal Distribution

The contents of detected organic pollutants in different regions are shown in Table 2.

It is shown that organic pollutants were detected in two of 23 groundwater samples in SHZ with a detection rate of 8.7 % (Table 2; Fig. 5). The detected organic pollutants were 1,2-dichloroethane, toluene, benzo(a)pyrene,  $\alpha$ -BHC, and  $\gamma$ -BHC. One of the polluted groundwater sampling points (S08) was located in Laokugou Village, Shihezi Township (in which 1,2- dichloroethane,  $\alpha$ -BHC, and  $\gamma$ -

BHC were detected). The other sampling point (S18) was located in No.147 Farm (in which toluene and benzo(a)-pyrene were detected).

Organic pollutants were detected in 14 of 42 groundwater samples in YO with a detection rate of 33.3 % (Table 2; Fig. 6). The detected organic pollutants were chloroform, 1,2-dichloroethane, and 1,2-dichlorobenzene. The sampling points where chloroform was detected were distributed in Yangi County, Hejing County, and Bohu County, with six, five, and two polluted sampling points and detection rates of 60.0, 41.7, and 33.3 %, respectively. There was only one sampling point (Y31 distributed in Heshuo County) where 1,2-dichloroethane was detected with a detection rate of 7.1 %. The only sampling point (Y17) where 1,2-dichlorobenze was detected with a detection rate of 8.3 % is distributed in Hejing County. Chloroform was also detected there. In YQ, the groundwater sampling points contaminated by organic compounds were generally scattered but partly concentrated.

Organic pollutants (including chloroform and 1,2dichlorobenzene) were detected in six of 18 groundwater



Fig. 7 Distribution of groundwater and surface water sampling points polluted by organic pollutants and the pollution sources in RQ

samples in RQ with a detection rate of 33.3 % (Table 2; Fig. 7). The sampling points where chloroform was detected were mainly distributed in Ruoqiang County where there were four polluted points with a detection rate of 40.0 %. There were two sampling points (X10 and X15) contaminated by 1,2-dichlorobenzene both in Ruoqiang County and Qiemo County with detection rates of 10.0 and 12.5 %, respectively. At the same time, chloroform was also detected at point X10.

### Vertical Distribution

Organic pollutants were not detected in the unconfined groundwater in SHZ. However, 1,2-dichloroethane,  $\alpha$ -BHC, and  $\gamma$ -BHC were detected in the shallow confined groundwater with a detection rate of 12.5 %. Moreover, in the deep confined groundwater, toluene, and benzo(a)pyr-ene were detected with a detection rate of 10.0 %.

The organic pollutant with the highest detection rate in groundwater in YQ was chloroform which was detected in unconfined groundwater, shallow confined groundwater, and deep confined groundwater with detection rates of 66.7, 25.0, and 25.0 %, respectively. Besides chloroform, 1,2-dichloroethane and 1,2-dichlorobenzene were detected in the deep confined groundwater with a detection rate of 4.2 %, while the remaining organic pollutants were not detected.

Chloroform was detected in all the three types of aquifers in RQ with the highest detection rates of 33.3 % (unconfined aquifer), 33.3 % (shallow confined aquifer), and 16.7 % (deep confined aquifer), respectively. In shallow confined groundwater and deep confined groundwater, 1,2-dichlorobenzene was detected with detection rates of 33.3 and 16.7 %, respectively, while the remaining organic pollutants were not detected (Table 3).

#### **Origins of Organic Pollution of Groundwater**

# Impacts of the Sources and Properties of Organic Pollutants

The major groundwater organic pollutants detected in study areas were chloroform, 1,2-dichloroethane, 1,2-dichlorobenzene, toluene, benzo(a)pyrene,  $\alpha$ -BHC, and  $\gamma$ -BHC.

*Chloroform* Since chloroform was detected in groundwater in the plain areas of YQ and RQ with the highest detection rate among all the detected organic pollutants, it was representative in this organic pollutant analysis.

Chloroform is the by-product of chlorination of drinking water and wastewater as well as the by-product of disinfection of private and public well. It is mainly used as the raw material for organic synthesis (e.g., producing Freon),

| Region   | Detection items           | Chloroform | 1,2-<br>Dichloroethane | 1,2-<br>Dichlorobenzene | Toluene | Benzo(a)pyrene | α-<br>BHC | γ-<br>BHC |
|----------|---------------------------|------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Standard | value (µg/L)              | 60         | 30                     | 1000                    | 700     | 0.01           | _         | _         |
| SHZ      | Sample size/group         | 23         |                        |                         |         |                |           |           |
|          | Minimal value (µg/L)      | ND         | < 0.25                 | ND                      | < 0.30  | < 0.002        | < 0.01    | < 0.01    |
|          | Maximal value (µg/L)      |            | 0.98                   |                         | 0.82    | 0.005          | 0.007     | 0.005     |
|          | Mean (µg/L)               |            | 0.17                   |                         | 0.18    | 0.001          | 0.005     | 0.005     |
|          | Standard deviation (µg/L) |            | 0.18                   |                         | 0.14    | 0.001          | 0.0004    | 0.0001    |
|          | Coefficient of variation  |            | 1.06                   |                         | 0.78    | 0.79           | 0.08      | 0.01      |
|          | Point detection rate (%)  | 0.0        | 4.3                    | 0.0                     | 4.3     | 4.3            | 4.3       | 4.3       |
| YQ       | Sample size/group         | 42         |                        |                         |         |                |           |           |
|          | Minimal value (µg/L)      | < 0.20     | < 0.25                 | <0.10                   | ND      | ND             | ND        | ND        |
|          | Maximal value (µg/L)      | 0.84       | 0.89                   | 0.10                    |         |                |           |           |
|          | Mean (µg/L)               | 0.20       | 0.15                   | 0.05                    |         |                |           |           |
|          | Standard deviation (µg/L) | 0.18       | 0.12                   | 0.008                   |         |                |           |           |
|          | Coefficient of variation  | 0.90       | 0.79                   | 0.15                    |         |                |           |           |
|          | Point detection rate (%)  | 31.0       | 2.4                    | 2.4                     | 0.0     | 0.0            | 0.0       | 0.0       |
| RQ       | Sample size/group         | 18         |                        |                         |         |                |           |           |
|          | Minimal value (µg/L)      | < 0.20     | ND                     | <0.10                   | ND      | ND             | ND        | ND        |
|          | Maximal value (µg/L)      | 0.37       |                        | 0.17                    |         |                |           |           |
|          | Mean (µg/L)               | 0.15       |                        | 0.06                    |         |                |           |           |
|          | Standard deviation (µg/L) | 0.09       |                        | 0.03                    |         |                |           |           |
|          | Coefficient of variation  | 0.59       |                        | 0.51                    |         |                |           |           |
|          | Point detection rate (%)  | 27.8       | 0.0                    | 11.1                    | 0.0     | 0.0            | 0.0       | 0.0       |
|          |                           |            |                        |                         |         |                |           |           |

Table 1 Statistics of groundwater organic pollutants

Standard values adopt those in the Standards for Drinking Water Quality (GB5749-2006); ND indicates that the index is not detected, and the same below; - indicates that the index does not have a clearly defined standard limit

the solvent and extractant of antibiotics, spices, oils, and rubber, and as pesticides. Accumulation of chloroform may see an increase with the growth of concentration of organic compounds in water (Golfinopoulos 2000). It is easy for chloroform (with a relative strong leaching mobility in soils and sediments) to migrate to groundwater under suitable hydrogeological conditions, causing groundwater pollution. Chloroform in groundwater is not easily biodegradable and can persistently exist in groundwater system, especially in anaerobic environment. It has a long half-life of more than 1000 years (Li et al. 2011).

*1,2-Dichloroethane* There was one groundwater sampling point where 1,2-dichloroethane was detected in both SHZ and YQ.

1,2-dichloroethane is mainly used as the synthetic intermediate for tetrachloroethylene, vinyl chloride, and other preparations, serves as the solvent of lipids, rubber, paint, phosphorus, iodine, etc., and also widely used as fumigant. 1,2-dichloroethane in water is mainly derived

from the emissions from industrial chemical reagent factories (Liu et al. 2008). 1,2-dichloroethane in surface soil can rapidly volatilize into the atmosphere or infiltrate into groundwater. Due to the slow volatilization rate and high density in deep soil, it is easy for 1,2-dichloroethane to infiltrate downward and contaminate groundwater.

*1,2-Dichlorobenzene* There was one groundwater sampling point where 1,2-dichlorobenzene was detected in both YQ and RQ.

1,2-dichlorobenzene serves as the additive of nitro spray lacquer and varnish, the solvent of wax and tar, and the degreaser of leather and metal. Industrial wastewater emission is the major source of 1,2-dichlorobenzene contamination in water. Due to the strong volatility, 1,2dichlorobenzenes in water and soil usually volatilize into the air rapidly (Zhao et al. 2015). Hence, 1,2dichlorobenzene contaminated water and soil can be treated in a short period. 1,2- dichlorobenzene is biodegradable by some microbes in oxygen-rich water after adaption.

|        |     | -                                       | 0                            | •          | , ) <del>,</del> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |                      |         |                |       |                     |
|--------|-----|---|------------------------------|------------|--|----------------------|---------|----------------|-------|---------------------|
| Region | No. | Location                                | Groundwater types            | Chloroform | 1,2-Dichloroethane                                   | 1,2- Dichlorobenzene | Toluene | Benzo(a)pyrene | α-BHC | $\gamma\text{-BHC}$ |
| SHZ    | S08 | Laokugou Village in<br>Shihezi Township | Shallow confined groundwater | ND         | 0.98   | ΟN                   | ND      | ND             | 0.007 | 0.005               |
|        | S18 | No.147 Farm                             | Deep confined groundwater    | QN         | ND   | ND                   | 0.82    | 0.005          | ND    | Ŋ                   |
| YQ     | Y03 | Yanqi County                            | Unconfined groundwater       | 0.30       | ND   | ND                   | ND      | ND             | ND    | ND                  |
|        | Y26 | Hejing County                           | Unconfined groundwater       | 0.63       | ND   | ND                   | ND      | ND             | ND    | ŊŊ                  |
|        | Y27 | Hejing County                           | Unconfined groundwater       | 0.25       | ND   | ND                   | ND      | ND             | ND    | ŊŊ                  |
|        | Y13 | Bohu County                             | Unconfined groundwater       | 0.24       | ND   | ND                   | Ŋ       | ND             | ND    | ŊŊ                  |
|        | Y05 | Yanqi County                            | Shallow confined groundwater | 0.30       | ND   | ND                   | ND      | ND             | ND    | ŊŊ                  |
|        | Y16 | Yanqi County                            | Shallow confined groundwater | 0.42       | ND   | ND                   | ND      | ND             | ND    | ŊŊ                  |
|        | Y21 | Yanqi County                            | Shallow confined groundwater | 0.47       | ND   | ND                   | ND      | ND             | ND    | QN                  |
|        | Y02 | Yanqi County                            | Deep confined groundwater    | 0.29       | ND   | ND                   | ND      | ND             | ND    | ND                  |
|        | Y11 | Yanqi County                            | deep confined groundwater    | 0.27       | ND   | ND                   | ND      | ND             | ND    | ND                  |
|        | Y17 | Hejing County                           | Deep confined groundwater    | 0.84       | ND   | 0.1                  | ND      | ND             | ND    | ND                  |
|        | Y18 | Hejing County                           | Deep confined groundwater    | 0.54       | ND   | ND                   | ND      | ND             | ND    | ND                  |
|        | Y24 | Hejing County                           | Deep confined groundwater    | 0.39       | ND   | ND                   | ND      | ND             | ND    | ND                  |
|        | Y09 | Bohu County                             | Deep confined groundwater    | 0.56       | ND   | ND                   | ND      | ND             | ND    | ND                  |
|        | Y31 | Heshuo County                           | Deep confined groundwater    | ND         | 0.89   | ND                   | ND      | ND             | ND    | ND                  |
| RQ     | X03 | Ruoqiang County                         | Unconfined groundwater       | 0.26       | ND   | ND                   | ND      | ND             | ND    | ND                  |
|        | 60X | Ruoqiang County                         | Unconfined groundwater       | 0.20       | ND   | ND                   | ND      | ND             | ND    | ND                  |
|        | X14 | Qiemo County                            | Unconfined groundwater       | 0.21       | ND   | ND                   | ND      | ND             | ND    | ND                  |
|        | X06 | Ruoqiang County                         | Shallow confined groundwater | 0.32       | ND   | ND                   | ND      | ND             | ND    | ND                  |
|        | X15 | Qiemo County                            | Shallow confined groundwater | ND         | ND   | 0.17                 | ND      | ND             | ND    | ND                  |
|        | X10 | Ruoqiang County                         | Deep confined groundwater    | 0.37       | ND   | 0.11                 | ND      | ND             | ND    | ND                  |
|        |     |   |                              |            |  |                      |         |                |       |                     |

Table 2 Statistics of organic pollutants and their concentrations in each groundwater sampling point (µg/L)

🖄 Springer

| Table 3 | Statistics of detection rates of orgar | nic pollutants in ground | lwater of differen | t aquifers         |                      |         |                |       |       |
|---------|--|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------|----------------|-------|-------|
| Region  | Aquifers types                         | Detection items          | Chloroform         | 1,2-Dichloroethane | 1,2- Dichlorobenzene | Toluene | Benzo(a)pyrene | α-BHC | γ-BHC |
| SHZ     | Unconfined groundwater                 | Sample size/group        | 5                  |                    |                      |         |                |       |       |
|         |  | Detection rate (%)       | 0.0                | 0.0                | 0.0                  | 0.0     | 0.0            | 0.0   | 0.0   |
|         | Shallow confined groundwater           | Sample size/group        | 8                  |                    |                      |         |                |       |       |
|         |  | Detection rate (%)       | 0.0                | 12.5               | 0.0                  | 0.0     | 0.0            | 12.5  | 12.5  |
|         | Deep confined groundwater              | Sample size/group        | 10                 |                    |                      |         |                |       |       |
|         |  | Detection rate (%)       | 0.0                | 0.0                | 0.0                  | 10.0    | 10.0           | 0.0   | 0.0   |
| YQ      | Unconfined groundwater                 | Sample size/group        | 6                  |                    |                      |         |                |       |       |
|         |  | Detection rate (%)       | 66.7               | 0.0                | 0.0                  | 0.0     | 0.0            | 0.0   | 0.0   |
|         | Shallow confined groundwater           | Sample size/group        | 12                 |                    |                      |         |                |       |       |
|         |  | Detection rate (%)       | 25.0               | 0.0                | 0.0                  | 0.0     | 0.0            | 0.0   | 0.0   |
|         | Deep confined groundwater              | Sample size/group        | 24                 |                    |                      |         |                |       |       |
|         |  | Detection rate (%)       | 25.0               | 4.2                | 4.2                  | 0.0     | 0.0            | 0.0   | 0.0   |
| RQ      | Unconfined groundwater                 | Sample size/group        | 6                  |                    |                      |         |                |       |       |
|         |  | Detection rate (%)       | 33.3               | 0.0                | 0.0                  | 0.0     | 0.0            | 0.0   | 0.0   |
|         | Shallow confined groundwater           | sample size/group        | 3                  |                    |                      |         |                |       |       |
|         |  | Detection rate (%)       | 33.3               | 0.0                | 33.3                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 0.0   | 0.0   |
|         | Deep confined groundwater              | Sample size/group        | 9                  |                    |                      |         |                |       |       |
|         |  | Detection rate (%)       | 16.7               | 0.0                | 16.7                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 0.0   | 0.0   |
|         |  |                          |                    |                    |                      |         |                |       | Ī     |

Therefore, the trace amounts of 1,2- dichlorobenzene detected in YQ and RQ maybe enter groundwater in a short time.

*Toluene* Toluene was only detected in groundwater in SHZ. Toluene has the best water solubility among petroleum and various fuel oils, which is the most common organic pollutant in groundwater and has been proven to be carcinogenic (Kazumi et al. 1997). Toluene is mainly used as gasoline additive as well as raw material of solvent and organic chemical products. Air contact is the major way to contact toluene. The industrial wastewater emission from chemical plants is the main source of toluene. Smoking and automobile exhaust can also contribute to toluene contamination.

Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(a)pyrene was only detected in groundwater in SHZ. Benzo(a)pyrene is a polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon with high boiling point, low volatility and genetic toxicity (Cui et al. 2008). Benzo(a)pyrene, comes from two sources (natural sources and anthropogenic sources) widely exists in soil, atmosphere, and surface water in the natural environment.. Volcanic eruptions, forest, and grassland burning, and the biosynthesis of aquatic plants and microorganisms make up the natural background value of benzo(a)pyrene. Therefore, benzo(a)pyrene is a kind of natural organic compound. There are many anthropogenic sources of benzo(a)pyrene as well, including incomplete combustion of various fossil fuels (e.g., coal, oil, or natural gas), wood, paper, and other hydrocarbons or their pyrolysis under reduction condition. In general, concentrations of benzo(a)pyrene in industrial wastewaters from tire manufacturing, plastic production, iron and steel manufacturing, and aluminum and energy industries are high, which are the major sources of surface water benzo(a)pyrene (Li et al. 2006). Water containing benzo(a)pyrene may transfer into soil through irrigation and leakage, which becomes the main source of soil benzo(a)pyrene. Benzo(a)pyrene has a strong hydrophobicity, and can be strongly adsorbed on the organic carbon of soil particles. Therefore, it is difficult to have benzo(a)pyrene migrated from the surface soil to groundwater, which means that soil and sediment are the main environmental fate.

 $\alpha$ -BHC and  $\gamma$ -BHC  $\alpha$ -BHC and  $\gamma$ -BHC were only detected in groundwater in SHZ.  $\alpha$ -BHC and  $\gamma$ -BHC are the two isomers of benzene hexachloride (BHC, hexachlorocyclohexane) which are mainly derived from pesticides used in agricultural production (Wei et al. 2015). The use of pesticides containing BHC has been prohibited by the Chinese government since 1987. However, the BHC residue with a high concentration is still detected in many mediums (Lee et al. 2007; Xu et al. 2007). BHC can kill insects through contact, fumigation, and stomach toxicity. The  $\gamma$  isomer of BHC has the highest insecticidal potency followed by  $\alpha$  isomer of BHC. However, due to the strong stability and low degradability, overuse of BHC can directly cause pollution of crops. Meanwhile, the pesticides can accumulate in water and soil or migrate downwards causing groundwater pollution.

#### Impact of Surface Water Recharge

Surface water is the main recharge of groundwater in the study areas and has certain impacts on groundwater quality. According to the *Environmental Quality Standard of Surface Water* (GB3838-2002), trace organic pollutants were detected in surface water in study areas, including vinyl chloride, chloroform, and benzo(a)pyrene (Table 4).

#### SHZ

Groundwater in SHZ is mainly recharged by surface water. 1,2-dichloroethane, toluene, benzo(a)pyrene,  $\alpha$ -BHC, and  $\gamma$ -BHC were detected in groundwater while vinyl chloride, chloroform, and benzo(a)pyrene were detected in surface water in SHZ. Vinyl chloride and chloroform detected in surface water were not detected in groundwater. Benzo(a)pyrene was detected in surface water sampling points B04 and B05. It showed that the groundwater sampling point S18 with benzo(a)pyrene detected located in the downstream of B04 and B05 with a distance less than 30 km, which suggest that the benzo(a)pyrene pollution at S18 may be caused by the infiltration recharge of surface water at B04 and B05 (Fig. 5).

#### YQ

Chloroform, 1,2-dichloroethane, and 1,2-dichlorobenzene were detected in groundwater while chloroform was detected in surface water at B07 (in Bohu County) in YQ. Y09 (in the upperstream of B07) and Y11 (less than 30 km downstream from B07) were the two groundwater sampling points with chloroform detected near the surface water sampling point B07 (Fig. 6). It suggested that the chloroform contamination at Y11 may be caused by the infiltration recharge of surface water at B07 while Y09 do not contribute to the recharge of surface water at B07.

### RQ

Chloroform and 1,2-dichlorobenzene were detected in groundwater in RQ while chloroform was the only organic pollutant detected in surface water at B14 in Ruoqiang County. It showed that chloroform was detected at X03,

| Table 4 Contents of trace   organic pollutants in surface   water (µg/L) | Region<br>Standard value | No. | Vinyl chloride<br>5 | Chloroform<br>60 | Benzo(a)pyrene<br>0.01 |
|--|--------------------------|-----|---------------------|------------------|------------------------|
|  | SHZ                      | B01 | ND                  | ND               | ND                     |
|  |                          | B02 | ND                  | ND               | ND                     |
|  |                          | B03 | ND                  | ND               | ND                     |
|  |                          | B04 | 0.62                | 0.82             | 0.02                   |
|  |                          | B05 | ND                  | ND               | 0.006                  |
|  | YQ                       | B06 | ND                  | ND               | ND                     |
|  |                          | B07 | ND                  | 0.30             | ND                     |
|  |                          | B08 | ND                  | ND               | ND                     |
|  |                          | B09 | ND                  | ND               | ND                     |
|  |                          | B10 | ND                  | ND               | ND                     |
|  |                          | B11 | ND                  | ND               | ND                     |
|  |                          | B12 | ND                  | ND               | ND                     |
|  | RQ                       | B13 | ND                  | ND               | ND                     |
|  |                          | B14 | ND                  | 0.26             | ND                     |
|  |                          | B15 | ND                  | ND               | ND                     |
|  |                          | B16 | ND                  | ND               | ND                     |
|  |                          | B17 | ND                  | ND               | ND                     |

The standard value refers to the standard value of class III water in the Environmental Quality Standard of Surface Water (GB3838-2002)

X06, X09, and X10 (all of which were located more than 30 km downstream from B14) in Ruoqiang County (Fig. 7). Therefore, the recharge of surface water at B14 had little influence on groundwater chloroform detected in this area.

#### **Impact of Pollutant Sources**

Domestic, industrial, and agricultural pollution were the main pollution sources in SHZ (Fig. 5) and YQ (Fig. 6), while domestic pollution was the main pollution sources in RQ (Fig. 7).

#### Domestic Pollution Sources

The domestic pollution sources in study areas included sewage treatment plant and landfill which were the main sources of chloroform, 1,2-dichloroethane, and toluene (Huang et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2009). Due to the direct discharge of untreated sewage or leakage caused by rough impervious facilities, some organic pollutants may migrate downstream and flow through unconfined groundwater into confined groundwater, causing organic pollution in the confined groundwater (Grøndahl-Rosado et al. 2014). Most of the sampling points with organic pollutants detected in SHZ and RQ were located less than 10 km downstream from the domestic pollutants were closely related to the domestic pollution sources. Most of the sampling points with organic pollutants detected in YQ were in the upstream of domestic pollution sources. Therefore, the hazardous substances from the landfills and sewage treatment plants may not affect the groundwater quality. Although the remaining detected sampling points in YQ were located  $\geq 10$  km downstream from pollution sources,, the impact of domestic pollution sources on groundwater quality of these sampling points was also limited.

#### Industrial Pollution Sources

The industrial pollution sources in SHZ included chemical plants, aluminum industry and energy companies. While the industrial pollution sources in YQ were mainly paper mills, mining, steel mills, chemical plants, etc. 1,2-dichloroethane, 1,2-dichlorobenzene, and toluene mainly came from industrial wastewater discharged from the chemical plants. Benzo(a)pyrene mainly came from industrial wastewater of aluminum industry, steel mills, and energy companies (Li et al. 2006). With the discharge of industrial wastewater, organic pollutants migrated downstream. Some of the sampling points with organic pollutants detected in SHZ and YQ were in the downstream of the industrial pollution sources. It indicated that the organic pollutants detected in groundwater were related to the industrial pollution sources.

#### Agricultural Pollution Sources

The agricultural pollution mainly came from livestock farms in SHZ and YQ. The wastewater produced during

livestock and poultry breeding was generally organic wastewater with high concentration. Once enter groundwater, the organic pollutants may cause persistent groundwater organic pollution.

#### Impact of Land Use Types

There were three major land use types in the study areas, namely, cultivated land, pasture land, and construction land. The detection rates of groundwater organic pollutants in cultivated land and pasture land were higher than that in construction land. It mainly result from overuse of chemical fertilizer, pesticides, and insecticides (main sources of chloroform and 1,2-dichlorobenzene) in the pasture land and cultivated land. It is difficult for sewage to permeate into groundwater due to a sound drainage and treatment system in urban areas. Therefore, it had little impact on groundwater organic pollution.

Organic pollutants mainly enter groundwater through soil (an important medium). The vadose zone is an important medium for the connection of surface soil and aquifer. The volatile organic pollutants have high vapor pressure and water solubility, and low n-octanol/water partition coefficient, viscosity, and interfacial tension (Liu et al. 2008). Once enter the soil, it may cause pollution in the vadose zone and further pollution in aquifers under certain conditions. The pollution caused by volatile organic pollutants is mainly affected by rainfall, agricultural irrigation, and the use of pesticides and chemical fertilizers. Rainfall can carry organic pollutants in atmosphere, ground surface and soil into aquifer through scouring atmosphere, accelerating surface runoff and infiltration. Due to the elevation of groundwater level affected by rainfall and irrigation, the pollutants partially adsorbed on the organic matters migrate downwards into groundwater by desorption, which lead to groundwater pollution. In the cultivated land, overuse of organic chlorine pesticides in agricultural production leads to the accumulation of pollutants (including chemical fertilizers, pesticides, and soil salts), of which infiltrate into groundwater, and then contaminate groundwater through irrigation or rainfall.

#### Impact of Vadose Zone

The vadose zone controls the various physical and chemical processes of the infiltration water in vadose zone, such as degradation, adsorption, precipitation, complexation, neutralization, and biodegradation. Larger medium particles in vadose zone contribute to a better permeability and more pollutants entering the aquifer, which lead to higher detection rates of organic pollutants. On the contrary, the detection rates of organic pollutants would be lower (Zhou et al. 2010, 2012).

#### SHZ

The vadose zone in SHZ is mainly consisted of sandy gravel (including three sampling points, no organic pollutants were detected of which with a detection rate of 0.0 %) and sandy loam (including 20 sampling points, organic pollutants were detected in two of which with a detection rate of 10.0 %). The detection rate of sandy gravel was lower than that of sandy loam (inconsistent with the general rule). It is mainly because that the sandy gravel is distributed in the Gobi area with low intensity of human activities (agriculture and industry) and rare pollution sources.

YQ

The vadose zone in YQ is mainly consisted of sandy gravel (including 15 sampling points, organic pollutants were detected in three of which with a detection rate of 20.0 %), sandy loam (including 10 sampling points, organic pollutants were detected in four of which with a detection rate of 40.0 %) and loam (including 17 sampling points, organic pollutants were detected in seven of which with a detection rate of 41.2 %). The detection rate (sandy gravel < sandy loam < loam) was inconsistent with the general rule. It is mainly because that the sandy gravel is distributed in the Gobi area with low intensity of human activities and rare pollution sources.

### RQ

The vadose zone in RQ is mainly consisted of sandy gravel (including five sampling points, organic pollutants were detected in three of which with a detection rate of 60.0 %) and silt sand (including 13 sampling points, organic pollutants were detected in three of which with a detection rate of 23.1 %). The detection rate of silt sand is lower than that of sandy gravel, which is consistent with the general rule. Therefore, the vadose zone is one of the main influence factors of the groundwater organic pollution in RQ.

#### Discussion

Due to the shallow buried depth, unconfined groundwater can be easily affected by external environment. Therefore, unconfined groundwater organic pollution is more serious than confined groundwater generally (Guo 2012; Wen et al. 2012). According to the survey results, organic pollution in unconfined groundwater was more serious than that in confined groundwater in YQ and RQ, while organic pollution in confined groundwater was more serious than that in unconfined groundwater in SHZ. The main reason is that there are less human activities in the single unconfined aquifer distributed in the gravel belt in southern piedmont gobi in SHZ, which have less effect on groundwater organic pollution. Mixed exploitation of groundwater in SHZ can facilitate the transfer of inferior groundwater from unconfined aquifers into confined aquifers through sidewall infiltration, which may cause the transfer of groundwater organic pollution into deeper aquifers. This may lead to an increase of organic pollutants in confined groundwater and more serious groundwater organic pollution. Meanwhile, groundwater level dropped dramatically due to groundwater overexploitation in some areas in SHZ, resulting in groundwater depression cones. Decrease of groundwater level may change the original groundwater dynamic conditions, which may easily cause continual transfer of contaminated groundwater in shallow confined aquifers into deep confined aquifers, and further organic pollution in confined aquifers (Luo 2008).

Twenty-seven volatile organic compounds and 12 semivolatile organic compounds were determined in this study. Only four volatile organic compounds and three semivolatile organic compounds were detected in groundwater, while the remaining organic pollutants were not detected. Volatile organic compounds mainly came from coal, oil, and gas as industrial fuel or chemical feedstocks and other related chemical industry (Squillace et al. 1999; Lu and Jiang, 2009). 12 semivolatile organic compounds include one polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (Benzo (a) pyrene) and 11 organochlorine pesticides. Anthropogenic Benzo (a) pyrene mainly came from incomplete combustion or pyrolysis under reduction condition of various fossil fuels, wood, paper, and other hydrocarbons and discharge of industrial wastewater from tire manufacturing, plastic production, iron and steel manufacturing, aluminum and energy industries (Li et al. 2006). Organochlorine pesticides were mainly derived from a wide range of application of chemical fertilizer, pesticides and insecticides in agricultural production, and "three wastes" emission from local industrial and mining enterprises and landfill leachate (Yu et al. 2007). It showed that the contamination was more serious in industrial areas than that in agricultural areas, and that the transportation mode and speed of organochlorine pesticides in industrial areas were of great difference with that in agricultural areas, although the organochlorine pesticides pollution areas in industrial areas were smaller compared with that in agricultural areas (Elfvendahl et al. 2004; Concha-Grana et al. 2006). In general, organic pollutants were mainly derived from industrial with less agricultural and living sources. The sampling points in this study were mainly distributed in fine soil zone in agricultural areas and living areas. Therefore, most of the organic pollutants were not detected. Part of the detected groundwater organic pollutants was related to the local point source pollution and its characteristics.

#### Conclusions

- (1) Groundwater in typical areas in Xinjiang suffered from a relatively slight organic pollution. The detected organic pollutants were chloroform (with the highest detection rate of 21.7 %), 1,2-dichloroethane, 1,2-dichlorobenzene, toluene, benzo(a)pyrene,  $\alpha$ -BHC, and  $\gamma$ -BHC. Further, the concentrations of detected organic pollutants were relatively low, under the limits of the *Standards for Drinking Water Quality* (GB5749-2006). The rest of the organic pollutants were not detected.
- Spatial distribution of the concentrations of ground-(2)water organic pollutants in typical areas in Xinjiang varied greatly. In SHZ, the detection rates of groundwater organic pollutants were shallow confined groundwater (12.5 %) > deep confined groundwater (10.0 %) > unconfined groundwater (0.0 %). In YQ, the detection rates of groundwater organic pollutants were unconfined groundwater (66.7 %) > deep confined groundwater (29.2 %) > shallow confined groundwater (25.0 %). In RQ, the detection rates of groundwater organic pollutants were shallow confined groundwater (66.7 %) > unconfined groundwater (33.3 %) > deep confined groundwater (16.7 %). Organic pollutants detected in confined groundwater were mainly related to vertical infiltration of organic pollutants. Meanwhile, mixed exploitation of groundwater in this area can facilitate the transfer of inferior groundwater from unconfined aquifers into confined aquifers through side-wall infiltration.
- (3) Accelerated urbanization and industrial and agricultural development were the main factors affecting groundwater organic pollution in the study areas. Although some anti-seepage facilities had been applied in prevention of wastewater infiltration from the domestic, industrial, and agricultural pollutions, infiltration may occur because of the rough facilities. It led to a migration of some organic pollutants from upstream to downstream and from unconfined aquifers into confined aquifers, causing groundwater organic pollution. The use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides in cultivated land and pasture land was also an important source of groundwater organic pollution.
- (4) The sources and characteristics of organic pollutants had significant impacts on groundwater organic pollution. Recharge of surface water had a

significant impact on groundwater organic pollution in SHZ and YQ, but little impact on that in RQ, since the groundwater organic pollutants detection points were located more than 30 km downstream from surface water pollution detection point. Vadose zone had a certain restriction on the occurrence and migration of groundwater organic pollutants in RQ.

Acknowledgments The authors gratefully acknowledge the supports provided to this study under the following grants: NSFC-Xinjiang project "Transport of typical organic pollutants in the groundwater flow system of arid region and its risk assessment" (U1503282); NSFC-Xinjiang project "Study on groundwater flow system and groundwater recharge and discharge in arid inland basins" (U1403282); China Geological Survey Bureau project" Groundwater pollution survey of main cities in northwest China" (1212011220982); and key discipline project of hydrology and water resources of Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (xjswszyzdxk20101202).

#### References

- Abudureyimu A, Han Q (2014) Clean energy development of silk road economic belt in Xinjiang. Appl Mech Mater 521:846–849
- Avtar R, Kumar P, Singh CK, Sahu N, Verma RL, Thakur JK, Mukherjee S (2013) Hydrogeochemical assessment of groundwater quality of Bundelkhand, India using statistical approach. Expos Health 5(3):105–115
- Borden RC, Carlos AG, Mark TB (1995) Geochemical indicators of intrinsic bioremediation. Groundwater 33(2):180–189
- Concha-Grana E, Turnes-Carou MI, Muniategui-Lorenzo S, López-Mahía P, Prada-Rodríguez D, Fernández-Fernández E (2006) Evaluation of HCH isomers and metabolites in soils, leachates, river water and sediments of a highly contaminated area. Chemosphere 64(4):588–595
- Cui XH, Li BH, Chen HH (2008) Contamination characteristics and pollutant sources analysis on PAHs in shallow groundwater in suburb of Taihu Plain. Environ Sci (Chin) 29(7):1806–1810
- Dong HG, Wang D, Wang YT, Tong J, Liu T (2013) Spatial and temporal distribution characteristics of mulch residues in cotton field in Shihezi, Xinjiang. J Arid Land Resour Environ (Chin) 27(9):182–186
- Duan L, Wang WK, Cao YQ, Wang LJ, Liu B (2007) Hydrochemical characteristics and formation mechanics of groundwater in the middle of Northern Slope of Tianshan Mountains. J Arid Land Resour Environ (Chin) 21(9):29–34
- Elfvendahl S, Mihale M, Kishimba MA, Kylin H (2004) Pesticide pollution remains severe after cleanup of a stockpile of obsolete pesticides at Vikuge, Tanzania. Ambio 33(8):503–508
- Golfinopoulos SK (2000) The occurrence of trihalomethanes in the drinking water in Greece. Chemosphere 41(11):1761–1767
- Grøndahl-Rosado RC, Tryland I, Myrmel M, Aanes KJ, Robertson LJ (2014) Detection of microbial pathogens and indicators in sewage effluent and river water during the temporary interruption of a wastewater treatment plant. Expo Health 6(3):155–159
- Guo GX (2012) Comprehensive assessment of groundwater quality of different aquifers in Beijing Plain. Geol China (Chin) 39(2):518–523
- Huang DY, Zhou SG, Hong W, Feng WF, Tao L (2013) Pollution characteristics of volatile organic compounds, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and phthalate esters emitted from plastic wastes recycling granulation plants in Xingtan Town, South China. Atmos Environ 71(3):327–334

- Kazumi J, Caldwell ME, Suflita JM, Lovley DR, Young LY (1997) Anaerobic degradation of Benzene in diverse anoxic environments. Environ Sci Technol 31(3):813–818
- Lee SA, Dai Q, Zheng W, Gao YT, Blair A, Tessari JD, Ji BT, Shu XO (2007) Association of serum concentration of organochlorine pesticides with dietary intake and other life style factors among urban Chinese women. Environ Int 33(2):157–163
- Li HM, Chen HH, Zheng XL, Zhang DZ (2006) A discussion of the source of B[a]p in groundwater. Hydrogeol Eng Geol (Chin) 33(6):21–24
- Li YS, Fei YH, Wang Z (2011) Occurrence features and leaching of chloroform in shallow groundwater. Environl Pollut Control (Chin) 33(7):36–42
- Li PY, Wu JH, Qian H (2012) Groundwater quality assessment based on rough sets attribute reduction and TOPSIS method in a semiarid area, China. Environ Monit Assess 184(8):4841–4854
- Li PY, Wu JH, Qian H (2013) Assessment of groundwater quality for irrigation purposes and identification of hydrogeochemical evolution mechanisms in Pengyang County, China. Environ Earth Sci 69(7):2211–2225
- Li PY, Wu JH, Qian H, Lyu XS, Liu HW (2014a) Origin and assessment of groundwater pollution and associated health risk: a case study in an industrial park, northwest China. Environ Geochem Health 36(4):1–20
- Li Q, Zhou JL, Zhou YZ, Bai CY, Tao HF, Jia RL, Ji YY, Yang GY (2014b) Variation of groundwater hydrochemical characteristics in the plain area of the Tarim Basin, Xinjiang Region, China. Environ Earth Sci 72(11):4249–4263
- Li PY, Qian H, Howard KWF, Wu JH (2015a) Building a new and sustainable "Silk Road economic belt". Environ Earth Sci 74(10):7267–7270
- Li Q, Zhou JL, Gao YX, Cheng F, Li FX, Meng Q (2015b) Groundwater hydro-geochemistry in plain of Manasi River Basin, Xinjiang. Geoscience (Chinese) 29(2):238–244
- Libert B, Orolbaev E, Steklov Y (2008) Water and energy crisis in Central Asia. China Eurasia Forum Q 6(3):9–20
- Liu YL, Miao DR, Liu F, Chen HH (2008) Adsorption and desorption of 1,2-dichloroethane and 1,2-dichloropropane by soils in an unsaturated zone. Earth Sci Front (Chin) 15(6):185–191
- Lu YJ (2007) Assessment of Groundwater Resources in the Ruoqiang County. Master dissertation, Xinjiang University (Chinese)
- Lu XC, Jiang JC (2009) Research progress in treatment of VOCs and application of activated Carbon. Biomass Chem Eng (Chin) 43(1):45–51
- Luo L (2008) Research on groundwater pollution and its preventioncontrol policy in China. J China Uni Geosci (Soc Sci Edi) 8(2):72–75
- Seth R, Mohan M, Dobhal R, Gupta VK, Singh P, Singh R, Gupta S (2014) Application of chemometric techniques in the assessment of groundwater quality of Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand, India. Expo Health 6(4):199–216
- Squillace PJ, Moran MJ, Lapham WW, Clawges RM, Zogorski JS (1999) Volatile organic compounds in untreated ambient groundwater of the United States, 1985–1995. Environ Sci Technol 33(23):4176–4187
- Wang SX (2013) Groundwater quality and its suitability for drinking and agricultural use in the Yanqi Basin of Xinjiang Province, Northwest China. Environ Monit Assess 185(9):7469–7484
- Wang C, Feng YJ, Zhao SS, Li BL (2012) A dynamic contaminant fate model of organic compound: a case study of Nitrobenzene pollution in Songhua River, China. Chemosphere 88(1):69–76
- Wei LF, Yang YY, Li QX, Wang J (2015) Composition, distribution, and risk assessment of organochlorine pesticides in drinking water sources in South China. Expo Health 7(1):89–97
- Wen DG, Lin LJ, Sun JC, Zhang ZJ, Jiang YH, Ye NJ, Fei YH, Qian Y, Gong JS, Zhou X, Zhang YX (2012) Groundwater quality and

contamination assessment in the main plains of Eastern China. Earth Sci J China Univ Geosci (Chin) 37(2):220–228

- Wu B (2007) Study on groundwater system evolvement law and water environment effect of Shihezi City. Doctoral dissertation, Xinjiang Agricultural University (Chinese)
- Wu JH, Sun ZC (2015) Evaluation of shallow groundwater contamination and associated human health risk in an alluvial plain impacted by agricultural and industrial activities, mid-west China. Expo Health 7:1–19
- Wu JH, Li PY, Qian H (2015) Hydrochemical characterization of drinking groundwater with special reference to fluoride in an arid area of China and the control of aquifer leakage on its concentrations. Environ Earth Sci 73(12):8575–8588
- Xu XQ, Yang HH, Li QL, Yang BJ, Wang XR, Lee FS (2007) Residues of organochlorine pesticides in near shore waters of LaiZhou Bay and JiaoZhou Bay, Shandong Peninsula, China. Chemosphere 68(1):126–139
- Yao LQ, Huo ZL, Feng SY, Mao XM, Kang SZ, Chen J, Xu JJ, Steenhuis TS (2014) Evaluation of spatial interpolation methods for groundwater level in an arid inland oasis, northwest China. Environ Earth Sci 71(4):1911–1924
- Yu GM, Liu HY, Zhang TL, Su JW, Sheng MT, Li W (2007) Assessment of the organic pollution and its risk for the surficial

groundwater in Hangzhou City. Resour Surv Environ (Chin) 28(3):198-204

- Zeng YY, Zhou JL, Li Q, Zhao JT, Meng Q (2015) Assessment of groundwater quality and pollution in Ruoqiang and Qiemo Region of Xinjiang. J Xinjiang Agric Univ (Chin) 38(1):72–78
- Zhang HG, Chen DY, Luo DG, Zhou SQ (2009) Research on character of organic matters and heavy metals in the Landfill Leachate. J Shaanxi Univ Sci Technol (Chin) 27(1):86–89
- Zhao JT, Zhou JL, Li Q, Du ML, Gao YX, Cui HH, Feng X (2015) Preliminary analysis on the organic contamination of groundwater in the plain area of Yanqi Basin, Xinjiang. Environ Chem (Chin) 34(8):1507–1514
- Zhou JL, Li GM, Liu F, Wang YP, Guo XJ (2010) DRAV model and its application in assessing groundwater vulnerability in the arid areas: a case study of pore phreatic water in Tarim Basin, Xinjiang, Northwest China. Environ Earth Sci 60(5):1055–1063
- Zhou JL, Li Q, Guo YC, Guo XJ, Li XW, Zhao YJ, Jia RL (2012) VLDA model and its application in assessing phreatic groundwater vulnerability: a case study of phreatic groundwater in the plain area of Yanji County, Xinjiang, China. Environ Earth Sci 67(6):1789–1799