ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Speleological objects becoming show caves: evidence from the Valjevo karst area in Western Serbia

Aleksandar Antić¹ · Nemanja Tomić¹ · Tijana Đorđević¹ · Mirjana Radulović² · Ivana Đević¹

Received: 24 December 2019 / Accepted: 28 October 2020 / Published online: 17 November 2020 $\hfill \mathbb C$ The European Association for Conservation of the Geological Heritage 2020

Abstract

The interaction between speleology and tourism has been active in science and society for a very long time. In many countries, tourist caves shape the economic situation at the local and regional level. This shows their value for the development of local communities and for scientific research and promotion of science. Caves represent the indicators of cave tourism development, and they are one of the main geosites for geotourism travel. Transforming speleological objects into show caves has brought numerous positive results for many regions in the world, including Serbia. In this paper, we try to reveal the ability of speleological objects becoming show caves. The case study of our research is four caves in the Valjevo karst area (West Serbia). We examined their current state and the possibilities for cave tourism development. Using the M-GAM (modified geosite assessment model), we evaluated these four caves and thus determined their values and show cave potential. The obtained results point out the significant values of the explored speleological geoheritage, which possess imposing cave tourism potential. Moreover, the regional conception and creation of a geopark in this area is an evident potential, and more concrete indicators are presented in this paper. Cave tourism in the Zlatibor region is considered an important aspect of a potential geopark in Western Serbia and Valjevo karst area as a crucial complementary potential. The importance of including these caves in the tourist market has been determined by the research results. Active cave tourism of researched geosites can significantly influence both local and regional economic growth, as well as the concept of sustainability of the cave environment and its ecosystem, education, and responsibility.

Keywords Cave tourism · Show caves · Valjevo karst area · Western Serbia

Introduction

The International Show Cave Association (ISCA) defines a show cave (sometimes called a tourist cave) as

Aleksandar Antić a.antic994@gmail.com

> Nemanja Tomić airtomic@gmail.com

Tijana Đorđević dordevictijana@gmail.com

Mirjana Radulović mirjana.radulovic@biosense.rs

Ivana Đević ivanadjevic@gmail.com

¹ Faculty of Sciences, University of Novi Sad, Trg Dositeja Obradovića 3, Novi Sad 21000, Serbia

² BioSense Institute, Dr Zorana Đinđića 1, Novi Sad 21000, Serbia

a "natural occurring void beneath the surface of the earth that has been made accessible to the public for tours" (International Show Caves Association 2019). While some show caves offer adventure caving, the vast majority of visitors walk in groups along well-lit concrete, gravel, steel-mesh, or even fiberglass pathways, pausing at intervals to listen to a guide's commentary about the cave's exploration or tourism history, its geological formation or significance, or the beauty and wonder of its features (Crane & Fletcher 2016). Caves pose a series of challenges to tourism operators with regard to presentation and visitor numbers because of the fragility and irreplaceability of the very formations that attract the tourists. There is an inevitable conflict between the functions of entertainment (mass tourism) on the one hand, and protection (cave tourism and geotourism) on the other (Crane and Fletcher 2016).

Caves started to be available for tourists over 400 years ago. Today, a great number of show caves host over 50.000 visitors per year (Cigna 2016). They have

been attractive since ancient times, and today, they are recognized as one of the most interesting attractions (Petrović 2006). It is because they already exist as ready semi-made "products" with their very characteristic cultural setting, own extremely distinctive local atmosphere, and unusual features. They also have an impact on human health, so it makes cave tourism of interest to visitors of all kinds (Mulaomerović et al. 2012). It is therefore evident that show caves are presently one of the most important geotouristic targets all over the world, and they represent an important economic resource for many of the still developing countries. Moreover, it is possible to maintain the aesthetic and scientific values of a cave when transforming it into a show cave; but to reach this goal, it is important to follow strict rules before, during, and after the cave tourism development (Cigna 2016).

Considering the use of caves, the focus of cave tourism has to be conservation of cave environment (Tičar et al. 2018) because they are easily alterable from the environmental point of view (Guirado et al. 2019). Therefore, arranging caves that are not yet prepared for tourist visits must be done with scientific commitment and stable financial support, which is going to make sure that the cave environment is properly preserved.

In recent years, a significant number of papers on cave tourism in Serbia have been published (Antić and Tomić 2017; Antić et al. 2019; Tomić et al. 2019; Vuković and Antić 2019). The application of M-GAM (modified geosite assessment model) has crucially contributed to the knowledge about tourist caves in Serbia. This created new topics in the field of cave tourism and opened some questions concerning other caves in Serbia.

Our study focuses on speleological objects in the Valjevo karst area, which has great potential for show cave arrangement and cave tourism development. While observing the speleological geoheritage of this area, we have singled out four caves that stand out as adequate indicators for tourist affirmation and initiation of cave tourism development. Selected caves are the following: Petnička Cave, Degurićka Cave, Ribnička Cave, and Šalitrena Cave. The main goal of this paper is to show the results of M-GAM, which confirm the existence of important values of the explored caves and their ability for becoming show caves. As such, they could have a major impact on cave tourism development in Serbia.

Study area

The Valjevo karst area covers the surface between the Valjevo mountains and the Valjevo basin (Fig. 1). It is mostly built of limestone and dolomitic rocks. This region covers an area of

 304 km^2 . Of the total area of Valjevo karst, carbonate rocks account for 286.3 km^2 and non-carbonate rocks for 17.7 km^2 . The terrain and river valleys (canyons and gorges) play a dominant role in the relief of the Valjevo karst, followed by surface and underground karst forms as microrelief forms (Lazarević 1996).

All these facts point to the existence of a variety of geosites within an area, which can be very attractive to tourists. Furthermore, the explored karst area holds a crucial potential for the future of cave tourism development in Western Serbia. Creating a cave tourism product by merging show caves in Western Serbia could have a major impact on regional tourism development, whether they are positioned as either primary or complementary tourism offers.

Petnička Cave is located in the western part of Serbia near the village Klinci, about 5 km southwest from the Valjevo city (Jovanović 1951; Kličković 2015). First research about this cave was conducted in 1892 by Josif Pančić and Jovan Cvijić (Božić 2008).

The Petnička cave consists of three parts: big cave (Concert Hall and High Hall), small cave (Bears Hall and Pharynx) and Banja channel. A large part of the Big Cave is a Concert Hall, with length around 22 m. The small cave consists of a branched channel system and halls with a total length of around 530 m. These two parts of the cave are connected by a narrow 50 m long channel (Jovanović 1951).

The length of its main passageway is 484,5 m, and the total length of all passageways is 580 m. The total surface of the cavity system is $3.393,5 \text{ m}^2$. The total length of the tourist trail is 414 m (Božić 2008) (Figs. 2 and 3).

Degurićka Cave is a very important karst spring for this region. The cave is located about 5 km south of Valjevo, 200 m upstream of the hydropower plant in the village of Degurić. The entrance to the cave is located at 236 m above sea level and faces west. It is located below the 20-m high vertical limestone section, which is cut by the Gradac River. The entrance is 7 m wide and 3.5 m high (Figs. 4 and 5). The main channel of the Degurić cave has a south-southeast direction. Water flows through it continuously. The measured length of the main channel to the second siphon is 807 m, while 500 m of channel was recorded behind the second siphon (Savez Speleoloskih Organizacija Srbije, SSOS 2019).

Ribnička Cave is located in the valley of the river Ribnica, south of Mionica, in the western part of Serbia (Figs. 6 and 7). The cave entrance is 25 m wide and 12 m high and only 1 m above the riverbed, and the total length of the cave is 127 m. From the main chamber, several short galleries diverge. The microclimate of the cave is strongly influenced by daily and seasonal fluctuations of outside climatic factors, especially temperature, and it is a consequence of the cave entrance dimensions (Đurović 1998).

Šalitrena Cave is located near the Brežđe village. It is one of the richest multi-layered Palaeolithic sites in Serbia. Two



Fig. 1 Location of the explored karst area

Gravettian, one Aurignacian, and many Mousterian layers have been identified at this site, which has been investigated by the Archeological Institute of Serbia, since 1983 (Mihailović 2014) (Fig. 8).

The cave is very inaccessible. It is necessary to cross the river, which is quite shallow, to access the cave. The tourism infrastructure is completely absent, and the rural roads are in very poor condition. Cave itself is closed for visitors because archeological research is ongoing.

Methodology

The methods used for this research are based on the "Modified Geosite Assessment Model" (M-GAM), developed by Tomić & Božić (2014). This model represents a modified version of the GAM model created by Vujičić et al. (2011) combined with the Importance factor (*Im*) first introduced by Tomić (2011) in his research. The M-GAM model is based on former geosite assessment methods created by different authors

Fig. 2 Entrance to the Petnička Cave





Fig. 3 Petnička Cave

(Bruschi and Cendrero 2005; Coratza and Giusti 2005; Erhartič 2010; Hose 1997; Pereira et al. 2007; Pralong 2005;

Fig. 4 Entrance to the Degurićka Cave

Reynard 2008; Reynard et al. 2007; Serrano and González-Trueba 2005; Tomić 2011; Zouros 2007). Its advantage is that it integrates the opinion of both tourists and experts so that none of them is favored throughout the assessment process. This method has been successfully applied several times for the evaluation of different geosites in Serbia (Antić and Tomić 2017; Boškov et al. 2015; Božić et al. 2014; Božić and Tomić 2015; Tomić et al. 2019; Tomić et al. 2020; Vukoičić et al. 2018; Antić et al. 2019; Antić and Tomić 2019; Vukoiči and Antić 2019), USA (Tomić et al. 2015; Jonić 2018), Slovenia (Tičar et al. 2018), Iran, and Hungary (Pál and Albert 2018).

The M-GAM model consists of the following two key indicators: main values and additional values, which are further divided into 12 and 15 indicators respectively, each individually marked from 0 to 1. This division is made due to two general kinds of values: main-that are mostly generated by geosite's natural characteristics; and additional-that are mostly human-induced and generated by modifications for its use by visitors. The main values comprise the three following groups of indicators: scientific/educational (VSE), scenic/ aesthetical values (VSA), and protection (VPr), while the additional values are divided into two groups of indicators, functional (VFn), and touristic values (VTr). The main and additional values are more detailed and presented in Table 1. In total, there are 12 subindicators of main values, and 15 subindicators of additional values which are graded from 0 to 1 that define M-GAM as a simple equation:

$$M - GAM = MV + AV \tag{1}$$

where MV and AV represent symbols for main and additional values. Since main and additional values consist of three or



Fig. 5 Degurićka Cave



two groups of subindicators, we can derive these two equations:

MV = VSE + VSA + VPr, (2)

$$AV = VFn + VTr, (3)$$

Now that we know that each group of indicators consists of several subindicators, Eqs. (2) and (3) can be written as follows:

$$MV = VSE + VSA$$
$$+ VPr \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{12} SIMV_i , \text{ where } 0 \le SIMV_i \le 1 , \quad (4)$$

$$AV = VFn + VTr \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{15} SIAV_i , \text{ where } 0 \le SIAV_j \le 1$$
(5)

1.0

here, SIMV_i and SIAV_j represent 12 subindicators of main values (i = 1,...,12) and 15 subindicators (j = 1,...,15) of additional values.

As it was mentioned before, M-GAM focuses not only on the expert's opinion but also on the opinion of visitors and tourists regarding the importance of each indicator in the assessment process. Visitor inclusion in the assessment process is done through a survey where each respondent is asked to rate the importance (Im) of all 27 subindicators (from 0.00 to 1.00) in the M-GAM model (Table 2). The importance factor (Im) gives visitors the opportunity to express their opinion about each subindicator in the model and how important it is



Fig. 6 Entrance to the Ribnička Cave

Fig. 7 Ribnička Cave



for them when choosing and deciding between several geosites that they wish to visit. After each respondent rates the importance of every subindicator, the average value of each subindicator is calculated and the final value of that subindicator is the importance factor. Afterwards, the value of the importance factor (Im) is multiplied with the value that was given by experts (also from 0.00 to 1.00) who evaluate the current state and value of subindicators (Table 2).

This is done for each subindicator in the model after which the values are added up according to M-GAM equation but this time with more objective and accurate final results due to the addition of the importance factor (Im). This parameter is determined by visitors who rate it in the same way as experts rate the subindicators for main and additional values by giving them one of the following numerical values: 0.00, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, and 1.00, marked as points. The importance factor (Im) is defined as follows:

$$Im = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} Iv_k}{K}$$
(6)

where Iv_k is the assessment/score of one visitor for each subindicator, and *K* is the total number of visitors. Note that the Im parameter can have any value in the range from 0.00 to 1.00. Finally, the M-GAM equation is defined and presented in the following form:

$$M - GAM = MV + AV \tag{7}$$

$$MV = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Im_{i^*MVi}$$
(8)

Fig. 8 Šalitrena Cave



Table 1 The structure of modified geosite assessment model (M-GAM)

Indicators/Subindicators Main values (MV) Scientific/Educational value (VSE)

Description

Kapresentiativeness (AMF) Database and comparely duraterimus of the six due to its own quality and general second control (SMF) Level of interpretation (SMF) Level of interpretine query duraterimus of the six due to its own quality and general second control (SMF) Secold Athletic (SMF) Level of interpretine query duraterimus of the six due to its own quality and general second control (SMF) Secold Athletic (SMF) Number of virtual apers in advonveloged journal, thesis, presentations and other publications Strong and the previous control (SMF) Number of virtual and general second or control of colors, appearance of shapes, etc. Strong and the size and the interpretine query duraterial and general second or control of second second and (SMF) Number of virtual second or duraterial second s	Rarit	ty (SIMV ₁)		Number 0	r crosest identifedi sites		
Knowledge or genericatific issues (SMP) Number of written papers in acknowledged journal, thesis, presentations and othe publications Level of interpretive possibilities on geological and geomerphologic processes phonomena and slopes and level of scintific Leoworkoge. Section 2004 Section Control (SMP) Level of interpretive possibilities on geological and geomerphologic processes phonomena and slopes and level of scintific Leoworkoge. Section 2004 Section Control (SMP) Level of contrast to the nature, contrast of colors, appearance of aluques, rec. Contrast confidence (SMP) Current state of geosite Protection (SMP) Current state of geosite Protection (SMP) Current state of geosite Vulnership (SMP) Proposed number of visitors on the site at the same time, according to surface area (SMP) Vulnership (SMP) Proposed number of visitors on the site at the same time, according to surface area (SMP) Additional number of visitors on the site at the same time, according to surface area (SMP) Number of additional number of permeting to the internation of 3 km Visiting of missive centers (SMP) Currents of consiste centers Number of additional number of permeting visits to the geosite Visiting of missive centers (SMP) Currents of consiste centers Number of visitors centers Visiting of missive centers (SMP) Curre	керг	resentativeness (SIMV2)		configurat	inu exemplary characteristic	s of the site due to its o	wn quality and general
 James (SMPr) Level of interpretation (SMPr) Section Author: (SADP) Number of viewpoints accessible by a poderina pathway. Each must processes for section (SADP) Number of viewpoints accessible by a poderina pathway. Each must processes (SADP) Number of viewpoints accessible by a poderina pathway. Each must processes (SADP) Number of viewpoints accessible by a poderina pathway. Each must processes (SADP) Correct GMDP) Correct GMDP) Correct GMDP) Correct GMDP (SADP) Correct GMDP) Correct GMDP (SADP) Vicinity of misperiation fragmed view (SADP) Vicinity of misperiation (SADP) Name of additional infragmeture (SADP) Name of additional infragmeture (SADP) Name of additional infragmeture (SADP) Name of additional	Knov	wledge on geoscientific		Number c	of written papers in acknow	ledged journals, thesis,	presentations and other
Level of interpretive possibilities of ageometry looping increases phenomen and shapes and level of scientific however, fash must present a particular major diver and vegetating, absence of hannes. The second in gauntitative relation to other sites surranking indicatege and antare (SAM7) Exercised NetWork (SM7) Exerc	issue	es (SIMV ₃)		publicatio	ns	, ,	
Searie/Achteie (15.6) Viewpoints (2017) Surface ICOLF) Surface ICOLF) Surface ICOLF) Current conditiones prima attra (20187) Protection (2017) Current state of geosite Protection by local or regional groups, national government, international organizations current conditions (2017) Protection (2017) Current state of geosite Protection by local or regional groups, national government, international organizations Current conditions (2017) Protection (2	Leve	el of interpretation (SIMV ₄)		Level of phenomen	interpretive possibilities of a and shapes and level of sci	on geological and geor entific knowledge	norphologic processes,
viewpoints (SMF) Number of viewpoints accessible by a pederina pathway. Each must present Surrounding landscape and muter (SMF) Pathetic and angle of view and be shutched best mut. In from the site. Surrounding landscape and muter (SMF) Current state of possibility of unbarrars, etc. Pathetic and angle of views and be shutched best mut. In from the site. Exercise of views and be shutched best muter views and be shutched by views and be shutched best muter views and be shutched by views and be vi	Scen	nic/Aesthetic (VSA)					F 1
Surface (MUP) Winds arging of the site facts site is considered in paramitary enduation to other site. Surrounding Indexcept and name (SMP) Protection (SMP) Protection (SMP) Current state of geosite Current condition (SMP) Current state of geosite Protection (SMP) Current state of geosite Vindenzity (SMP) Current state of geosite Vindenzity (SMP) Current state of geosite Vindenzity (SMP) Protection (SMP) Vindenzity (SMP) Protection (SMP) Vindenzity (SMP) Protection (SMP) Vindenzity (SMP) Prostibilities of approaching to the site Additional anthy operation (SMP) Prostibilities of approaching to the site Additional anthrap values (SMP) Prostibilities of approaching to the site Additional anthrap values (SMP) Prostibilities of approaching to the site Vinity of apportant road Closeness of unsportant road networks in the in radius of 20 km networks (SMP) Vinity of visitors centers Closeness of visitor center to the geosite (SMP) Level and number of promotional resources (SMP) Level and number of visitors (SMP) Level and number of visitors (SMP) Annual number	View	wpoints $(SIMV_5)$		Number of	of viewpoints accessible by	y a pedestrian pathway.	Each must present a
Surrounding Incheape and matter (SMF) Protection by Contrast to the nature, contrast of oakes, appearance of hapma-induce determination, vicinity of urban area, etc. (SMF) Protection by Contrast to the nature, contrast of oakes, appearance of shapes, etc. (SMF) Protection by Contrast to the nature, contrast of oakes, appearance of shapes, etc. (SMF) Protection by Contrast to the nature, contrast of oakes, appearance of shapes, etc. (SMF) Protection by Contrast to the nature, contrast of oakes, appearance of shapes, etc. (SMF) Protection by Contrast to the last at the same time, according to surface area vulnershifty and current state of geosite Proposed mutber of visions (SMF) Proposed mutber of visions Contrast to able of expression (SMF) Proposed mutber of visions (SMF) Proposed mutber of additional natural values in the radius of 3 km (geosite also included) National anture of visions (SMF) Promotion (VI) Proposed mutber of visions (SMF) Promotion (VI) Proposed mutber of eigenstat road networks in the in radius of 20 km (SMF) Promotion (VI) Promo	Surf	ace (SIMV ₆)		Whole sur	face of the site. Each site is of	considered in quantitative	relation to other sites
nature (SDMF:) deterioration, vicinity of urban area, etc. Environmental fitting of sites (SDM*) Level of centrast to the nature, contrast of colors, appearance of shapes, etc. Protection (SDMF) Current state of goosite Protection by local or regional groups, national government, international organizations etc. Vulnenbility (SDMF) Current state of goosite Protection by local or regional groups, national government, international organizations etc. Additional values (AFF) Proverbilities of approaching to the site states (SDMF) Additional untrepogenic vulnerability and current state of goosite SDMF) Proverbilities of approaching to the site states (SDMF) Vicinity of important read network (SDFF) Provide important read networks in the in radius of 20 km Vicinity of important read network (SDFF) Level and number of promotional resources (SDFF) Promotion (SDFF) Level and number of organized viris to the goosite (SDFF) Vicinity of important read network (SDFF) Level and number of organized viris to the goosite (SDFF) Number of visitors (SDFF) Level and number of organized viris to the goosite (SDFF) Number of visitors (SDFF) Level and number of organized viris to the goosite (SDFF) Number of visitors (SDFF) Level and number of organized viris to the goosite (SDFF) Nore Namal number of visitors (SDFF)<	Surre	ounding landscape and		Panoramio	view quality, presence of v	vater and vegetation, abs	ence of human-induced
Environmental fitting of sites Level of contrast to the nature, contrast of colors, appearance of shapes, etc. (SMA') Protection (SMA') Structure (SMA') Current state of gooile Protocol (SMA') Current state of gooile Current state of gooile Protocol (SMA') Current state of gooile Protocol (SMA') Current state of gooile Curre	natu	re $(SIMV_7)$		deteriorati	on, vicinity of urban area, etc	а. С	
Current condition (SMM') Current condition (SMM') Current condition (SMM') Current state of geosite Protection level (SMM') Vulnerability (SMM') Current state of geosite Protection level (SMM') Statistic number of visitors Vulnerability level of geosite Protection level (SMM') Additional number of visitors Number of additional number of visitors Number of additional number of visitors Additional functional states (FM) Number of additional numbropogene values in the radius of 5 km (geosites also included) Visitity of missive centers Closeness of important road networks in the in radius of 20 km networks (SMF) Additional functional values (SMF) Level and number of visitors Closeness of visitor center to the geosite State of the same values of SMF) Additional functional values (SMF) Level and number of visitors Closeness of visitor center to the geosite State of the visitors Closeness of visitor center to the geosite State of the visitors visitor (SMF) Interpretative panets (SMF) Annual number of visitors Torrent inductor (SMF) Annual number of visitors Torrent in different (SMF) Annual number of visitors Torrent inductor	Envi	ironmental fitting of sites		Level of c	ontrast to the nature, contrast	of colors, appearance of	shapes, etc.
Protection (PP) Current state of geosite Protection by local or regional groups, national government, international organizations (SMF.) Current state of geosite Protection by local or regional groups, national government, international organizations (SMF.) Additional values (AP) Functional values (AP) Functional values (AP) Functional values (CPP) Functional values (CPP) Functional anthropogenic values in the radius of 5 km (geosites also included) Number of additional anthropogenic values in the radius of 5 km (geosites also included) Number of additional anthropogenic values in the radius of 5 km (geosites also included) Number of additional anthropogenic values in the radius of 5 km (geosites also included) Number of additional anthropogenic values in the radius of 20 km network (SIAF.) Vicinity of emissive centers Closeness of emissive centers Vicinity of emissive centers Closeness of important road networks in the in radius of 20 km network (SIAF.) Additional functional values (SIAF.) Level and number of optimized visits to the geosite (SIAF.) Promotion (SIAF.) Level and number of optimizer centers to the geosite (SIAF.) Closeness of visitors centers Closeness of tristor centers to the geosite (SIAF.) Interpretative panels (SIAF.) Annual number of visitors Interpretative panels (SIAF.) Level of additional antirul values of to the geosite (SIAF.) Interpretative panels (SIAF.) Level of doditional infrastructure for tourist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garbage cans.), collect calse to geosite	(3114	27.8)					
Additional values (MV) Values hills (SMV) Values hills (SMV) Values hills (SMV) Values hills (SMV) Values hills (SMV) Values hills (SMV) Proposed mumber of visitors on the site at the same time, according to surface area (SMV) Values hills (SMV) Proposed mumber of visitors on the site at the same time, according to surface area (SMV) Values hills (SMV) Possibilities of approaching to the site Additional natural values (SMV) Number of additional antural values in the radius of 5 km (geosites also included) Visitity of important road Closeness of emissive centers (SAT/) Closeness of important road networks in the in radius of 20 km network (SAV) Values (MV) Annal number of promocincial resources (SAT/) Level and number of visitor centers (SAT/) Level and number of visitors Visitors (SAV) Annual number of visitors Consenses of visitor centers Closeness of visitor centers to be geosite (SAT/) Level and number of visitors Torr gida exrice (SAV) Annual number of visitors Contrain infrastruce (SAV) Annual number of visitors Contrain infrastruce (SAV) Annual number of visitors	Prot	tection (VPr)		Current et	ate of geosite		
etc. Vicinty of etc. isc.	Prote	ection level (SIMV ₁₀)		Protection	by local or regional groups.	national government, inte	ernational organizations.
Vulnerability (VMP/i) Vulnerability level of geosite Vilnerability level of geosite Proposed number of visitors on the site at the same time, according to surface area vulnerability and current state of geosite Vilnerability and current state of geosite Proposed number of visitors on the site at the same time, according to surface area vulnerability and current state of geosite Additional raturel values (<i>MF</i>) Possibilities of approaching to the site Additional raturel values (<i>MF</i>) Number of additional anturopogenic values in the radius of 5 km (geosite also included) Vilnity of remostive centers Closeness of emissive centers (SMF) Closeness of important road networks in the in radius of 20 km network (<i>SMF</i>) Additional anturel values (<i>MT</i>) Level and number of promotional resources Opmized visits (<i>SMF</i>) Level and number of promotional resources Opmized visits (<i>SMF</i>) Level and number of promotional resources Tours in infrastructure (<i>SMF</i>) Level and number of visitors (the distical infrastructure for tourist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garbage cans, tolks tet.) Tours gained service (<i>SMF</i>) Hostery service (<i>SMF</i>) Nome 0.00 0.25 Nome 0.29 0.50 Nome 1 0.00				etc.		,	6
Classifier Classifier Classifier Classifier Additional values (JP) Penetional values (JP) Penetional values (JP) Accessibility (SLII/) Accessibility (SLII/) Penetional values (JP) Accessibility (SLII/) Number of additional anthropogenic values in the radius of 5 km (geosites also included) Additional anthropogenic values (SLII/) Number of additional anthropogenic values in the radius of 20 km Additional functional values (SLII/) Closeness of emissive centers (SLII/) Closeness of emissive centers (SLII/) Closeness of values (JP) Promotion (SLII/) Level and number of promotional resources Organized visits (SLII/) Annual number of visits or entor to the geosite SLIIII (SLII/) Annual number of visits or entor to tarist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garbage cans, tolets etc. Mumber of visits centers Closeness of targonal infrastructure for to tarist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garbage cans, tolets etc. None Local publications Regional National None Local publications National International None Local publications National International	Vuln Suite	nerability (SIMV ₁₁) able number of visitors		Vulnerabi	lity level of geosite	ite at the came time and	cording to surface area
Additional values (47) Processibility (507) Functional values (47) Possibilities of approaching to the site Additional anthropogenic Number of additional anthropogenic values in the radius of 5 km (peosites also included) Additional anthropogenic Number of additional anthropogenic values in the radius of 5 km (peosites also included) Vicinity of important road Closeness of important road networks in the in radius of 20 km Additional functional values (SUP) Parking lots, gas stations, mechanics, etc. (SUP) Closeness of visitor centers Closeness of visitor centers Closeness of visitor center to the geosite Vicinity of visitors centers Closeness of visitor center to the geosite Touristic values (SUP) Annual number of ropinzid visits to the geosite Touristin infrastructure (SUP) Annual number of visitors Tourist visit (SUP) Annual number of visitors Tourist visit (SUP) Annual number of visitors Tourist infrastructure (SUP) Annual number of visitors Tourist visit (SUP) Annual number of visitors	(SIM	dV_{12})		vulnerabil	ity and current state of geosit	ne at the same time, act	to surface area,
Functional values (<i>VFa</i>) Possibilities of approaching to the site Number of additional anturopogenic values in the radius of 5 km (geosites also included) Additional anturopogenic Number of additional anturopogenic values in the radius of 5 km (geosites also included) Vicinity of emissive centers Closeness of emissive centers SUM/J Closeness of emissive centers Additional anturopogenic Closeness of emissive centers SUM/J Closeness of emissive centers Additional anturopogenic Parking lots, gas stations, mechanics, etc. (SM/J) Level and number of promotional resources Promotion (SM/J) Level and number of visitors Closeness of visitor center to the geosite Closeness of visitor center to the geosite Number of visitors (SM/J) Level of additional infrastructure for tourist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garbage cans, tolets ec: Number of visitors (SM/J) Level of additional infrastructure for tourist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garbage cans, tolets ec: Number of visitors Clow None Low Moderate None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 None	Add	litional values (AV)					
Accessibility (MI1) Pressibilities of approaching to the site Additional anthropogenic Number of additional anthropogenic values in the radus of 5 km (geosites also included) Vicinity of emissive centers Closeness of emissive centers (SAI7) Closeness of important road networks in the in radus of 20 km network (SAI7) Additional anthropogenic values in the radus of 20 km network (SAI7) Closeness of important road networks in the in radus of 20 km network (SAI7) Additional functional values Parking lots, gas stations, mechanics, etc. (SAI7) Level and number of promotional resources Promotion (SAI7) Level and number of promotional resources Organized visits (SAI7) Level and number of visitor center to the geosite (SAI7) Interpretative characteristics of text and graphics, material quality, size, fitting to auronaling, etc. (SAI7) Interpretative characteristics of text and graphics, material quality, size, fitting to auronaling, etc. (SAI7) Annual number of visitors intertor for tourist (pedestrian pathways, resting places are tooles to cosonic Restormation (SAI7) Interpretative panels (SIA7) Annual number of cosonic Restormation in the intradical publications Interpretative panels (SIA7) Annual number of promotion (ITS access tool and the cosonic Restormation in the intradical publications inthe intreadit (SIA7) <td< td=""><td>Fune</td><td>ctional values (VFn)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Fune	ctional values (VFn)					
Additional infuture values (<i>LM</i> ⁺) Values (<i>LM</i> ⁺) Vicinity of important road Closeness of emissive centers (<i>LM</i> ⁺) Closeness of important road networks in the in radius of 20 km network (<i>LM</i> ⁺) Additional infuture centers Closeness of emissive centers (<i>LM</i> ⁺) Closeness of important road networks in the in radius of 20 km network (<i>LM</i> ⁺) Additional infuture centers Closeness of important road networks in the in radius of 20 km network (<i>LM</i> ⁺) Additional infrastructure (<i>LM</i> ⁺) Level and number of promotional resources Organized visits (<i>S(LM</i> ⁺) Annual number of promotional resources Organized visits (<i>S(LM</i> ⁺)) Annual number of promotional resources Torrism infrastructure (<i>S(LM</i> ⁺)) Interpretative characteristics of text and graphics, material quality, size, fitting to surroundings, etc. Number of visitors (<i>S(LM</i> ⁺)) Interpretative characteristics of forcign language(s), interpretative skills, etc. Torrism infrastructure (<i>S(LM</i> ⁺)) Interpretative cancel beet to geosite 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1. Common Regional National 2. None Low Moderate 4. None Moderate level of processes but hard to processes but hard	Acce	essibility (SIAV ₁)	5	Possibiliti	es of approaching to the site	the radius of flow (-	tas also inclui
values (SIAV) The second s	Addi Addi	itional natural values (SIAV litional anthronogenic	2)	Number of Number of	additional natural values in f additional anthronogenic ve	the radius of 5 km (geosi dues in the radius of 5 km	tes also included)
Vicinity of emissive centersCloseness of emissive centers $(SLII'_{i})$ Closeness of important road networks in the in radius of 20 kmAdditional functional valuesParking lots, gas stations, mechanics, etc. $(SLII'_{i})$ Level and number of promotional resourcesOrganized visits (SLII'_{i})Level and number of promotional resourcesOrganized visits (SLII'_{i})Annual number of visitors tentersNumber of visitors (SLII'_{i})Annual number of visitorsInterpretative panels (SLII'_{i})Interpretative characteristics of text and graphics, material quality, size, fitting to surroundings, etc.Number of visitors (SLII'_{i})Interpretative characteristics of text and graphics, material quality, size, fitting to surroundings, etc.Number of visitors (SLII'_{i})Restaurant service (Sloi to gossiteTour guide service (SLII'_{i})Restaurant service close to geosite10 000.250.501. CommonRegionalNoneLowMederate2. NoneLowMederate2. NoneLowMedium4. NoneMederate level of explain to non explain to non 	value	ties $(SIAV_3)$					-
(SUV_j) Vicinity of important road networks in the in radius of 20 km network (SUV_j) Additional functional values (SUV_j) Parking lots, gas stations, mechanics, etc. (SUV_j) Touristic values (VT) Promotion (SUV_j) Level and number of promotional resources Organized visits (SUV_j) Annual number of organized visits to the geosite SUVinity of visitors centers Closeness of visitor center to the geosite SUVinity of visitors (SUV_j) Interpretative characteristics of text and graphics, material quality, size, fitting to surroundings, etc. Number of visitors (SUV_j) Herspretative characteristics of for tourist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garabge cans, tolets etc.) Tour guide service (SUV_j) Restaurant service close to geosite Restaurant service (SUV_j) Restaurant service close to geosite 1. Common Regional National 1. Common Regional National 2. None Low Medium High 4. None Moderate Good 2.0.3 5. None Local Medium - 6. Small 2.0.3 4 to 6 More than 6 6. Small Low Regional National International <	Vici	nity of emissive centers		Closeness	of emissive centers		
Vicinity of important road network (SUU-3) Closeness of important road networks in the in radius of 20 km network (SUU-3) Additional functional values (SUU-3) Parking lots, gas stations, mechanics, etc. Statistic values (VTr) Formotion (SUU-3) Promotion (SUU-3) Level and number of promotional resources Organized visits (SUU-3) Annual number of promotional resources Organized visits (SUU-3) Annual number of promotion (SUU-3) Interpretative characteristics of text and graphics, material quality, size, fitting to surroundings, etc. Tours guide service (SUU-3) Level of additional infrastructure for tourist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garbage cans, toilets etc.) Tour guide service (SUU-3) He exists, cepristie level, knowledge of foreign language(s), interpretative skills, etc. Hostely service (SUU-3) Restaurant service close to geosite Restaurant service (SUU-3) Restaurant service close to geosite 2. None Low Moderate 4. None Moderate level of Good example or processes but hard to processes but ha	(SIA	(V_4)					
Additional functional values (SAF 4) Parking lots, gas stations, mechanics, etc. S(AF 4) Parking lots, gas stations, mechanics, etc. Touristic values (VTr) Level and number of organized visits to the geosite Organized visits (S(AF 4)) Annual number of organized visits to the geosite S(AF 4) Interpretative construction (S(AF 7)) Interpretative panels (S(AF 4)) Interpretative characteristics of text and graphics, material quality, size, fitting to surroundings, etc. Tourism infrastructure (S(AF 7)) Level and number of visitors Tour gnide service (S(AF 7)) Annual number of visitors Tour gnide service (S(AF 7)) Level of additional infrastructure for tourist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garbage cans, toiles etc.) Tour gnide service (S(AF 7)) Hostehy service close to geosite Cande (A000-100) If exists, expertise level, knowledge of foreign language(s), interpretative skills, etc. Regional National publications International publications A, None Low Moderate High Utmost S, None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 G, Snall - Medium - Large To - Low Medium - Larg	Vici	inity of important road		Closeness	of important road networks i	in the in radius of 20 km	
Additional functional values Parking lots, gas stations, mechanics, etc. S(MI_0) Touristic values (VTr) Promotion (S(MI_2)) Level and number of promotional resources Organized visits (S(MI_0)) Annual number of organized visits to the geosite S(MI_0) Interpretative characteristics of text and graphics, material quality, size, fifting to surrounding, etc. Number of visitors (S(MI_0)) Interpretative characteristics of text and graphics, material quality, size, fifting to surrounding, etc. Tour guide service (S(MI_0)) Annual number of visitors Tour guide service (S(MI_0)) Level of additional infrastructure for tourist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garbage cans, toilets co geosite Rodes (Outo-100) Estaurant service close to geosite 0.00 0.25 0.50 1. Common Regional 1. Common Regional publications International 2. None Low Moderate 3. None Local publications Moderate 4. None Moderate High Umost 7 Low Medium - 8. Unfitting a result of nature specered) Fitting - 9. Totally damaged (asa regulan to	netw	VOTK (ΔLAV_5)					
Promotion (SAT_{ℓ}) Annual number of promotional resourcesOrganized visitorsCloseness of visitor center to the geosite (SAT_{ℓ}) Interpretative characteristics of text and graphics, material quality, size, fitting to surroundings, etc.Interpretative panels $(SIAT_{\ell})$ Annual number of visitorsTourismi infrastructure $(SIAT_{\ell})$ Annual number of visitorsTourismi infrastructure $(SIAT_{\ell})$ Annual number of visitorsTourismi de service $(SIAT_{\ell})$ Annual number of visitorsTourismi structure $(SIAT_{\ell})$ Annual number of visitorsTourismi de service $(SIAT_{\ell})$ Annual number of visitorsTourismi structure $(SIAT_{\ell})$ Annual number of visitorsTourismi structure $(SIAT_{\ell})$ Annual number of visitorsRestaurant service $(SIAT_{\ell})$ Hostely service close to goosite Restaurant service $(SIAT_{\ell})$ Hostely service close to goosite Restaurant service $(SIAT_{\ell})$ Hostely service close to goosite Restaurant service $(SIAT_{\ell})$ Hostely service closes to goosite 1 CommonRegional 1 CommonRegional 1 NoneModerate 1 NoneModerate 1 NoneModerate 1 NoneNotanal geomorphologic 2 NoneI 2 10I 3 NoneLocal 4 No 5 NoneI 7 Low <td>Addi (SIA</td> <td>litional functional values (V_6)</td> <td></td> <td>Parking lo</td> <td>ts, gas stations, mechanics, e</td> <td>tc.</td> <td></td>	Addi (SIA	litional functional values (V_6)		Parking lo	ts, gas stations, mechanics, e	tc.	
Conversion CarlotOrganized visits (SAFV) Organized visits (SAFV) Charled visits (CSAFV) Charled visits (CSAFV) Charle		ristic values (VTr)					
Organized visits ($S(MF_{I})$)Annual number of organized visits to the geosite $S(MF_{I})$ Interpretative class of visitor center to the geositeInterpretative panels ($S(M_{I_{I}})$)Interpretative characteristics of text and graphics, material quality, size, fitting to surroundings, etc.Number of visitors ($S(M_{I_{I}})$)Annual number of visitorsto usits, expertise level, knowledge of foreign language(s), interpretative skills, etc.Tour guide service ($S(M_{I_{I}})$)Hostelly service close to geositeto usits, expertise level, knowledge of foreign language(s), interpretative skills, etc.Number of visitors $S(M_{I_{I}})$ Restaurnt service ($S(M_{I_{I}})$)1f exists, expertise level, knowledge of foreign language(s), interpretative skills, etc.NoneLood0.250.500.751.001. CommonRegionalNationalInternational processes but hard to experist to non expertsModerateHigh visitor3. NoneLocal publicationsRegional processes but hard to experist to non expertsModerate level of explain to non expertsGood example of visitor5. None112 to 34 to 6More than 66. Small-Kedium-High damaged (as a result of human a crivities)International processes or human activities)Nota expert means of mana- activities)Nota expert means of mana- activities)International the dum (could be damaged by natural processes or human activities)Note than 610. None10 to 1010 to 2020 to 50More than 6 <td>Тенч</td> <td>isue values (VIF)</td> <td></td> <td>Level and</td> <td>number of promotional resou</td> <td>irces</td> <td></td>	Тенч	isue values (VIF)		Level and	number of promotional resou	irces	
Vienty of visitors centersCloseness of visitor center to the geosite(SAFo)Interpretative panels (SLAV $_{i0}$)Interpretative characteristics of text and graphics, material quality, size, fitting to surroundings, etc.Interpretative sitiors (SLAV $_{i1}$)Annual number of visitorsLevel of additional infrastructure for tourist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garbage cans, toiles etc.)Tour guide service (SLAV $_{i2}$)Annual number of visitorsInterpretative skills, etc.Hostelry service close to geositeGrades (DuO-1.00)0.250.501CommonRegionalNational ModerateInternational HighThe only occurrence Urmost2.NoneLoval ublicationsModerateModerateUrmost3.NoneLoval explain to onnoProcesses but hard to explain to onnoModerate level of explain to common visitorGood example o rprocesses but hard to explain to common visitor5.None12 to 34 to 6Moderate level of explain to common visitorSightly damaged (sa a result of human a result of natural georesse but hard to explain to common visitorSightly damaged No damage7.LowMedium fatures fatures preserved)National fatures fatures processes or human activities)International futures fatures processes or human activities)International futures fatures processes or human activities)None10.NoneLocal fatures processes or human activities)NoneNone11.Irve	Tou Pron	notion $(SIAV_7)$			•		
Interpretative panels $(SIAV_{10})$ Interpretative characteristics of text and graphics, material quality, size, fitting to surroundings, etc.Number of visitors $(SIAV_{10})$ Annual number of visitorsTour guide service $(SIAV_{10})$ Annual number of visitorsTour guide service $(SIAV_{10})$ Level of additional infrastructure for tourist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garbage cans, toilets etc.)If exists, expertise level, knowledge of foreign language(s), interpretative skills, etc.Hostelry service $(SIAV_{10})$ Restaurnat service close to geositeIf exists, expertise level, knowledge of foreign language(s), interpretative skills, etc.Restaurnat service $(SIAV_{10})$ Restaurnat service close to geositeThe only occurrence0.000.250.500.751.001. CommonRegionalNationalInternational2. NoneLocal publicationsRegional publicationsModerate4. NoneModerate level of explain to on explain to on expertsModerateHigh 	Tou Pron Orga	notion $(SIAV_7)$ anized visits $(SIAV_8)$		Annual nu	mber of organized visits to the	he geosite	
interpretative characteristics of text and graphics, material quality, size, fitting to survice $(SIAV_{II})$ Number of visitors $(SIAV_{II})$ Annual number of visitorsOur guide service $(SIAV_{II})$ Level of additional infrastructure for tourist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garbage cans, toilets etc.)Tour guide service $(SIAV_{II})$ Hestely service close to geosite Crades (0.00-1.00) 0.250.500.000.250.501. CommonRegionalRegionalNationalInternational International1. CommonRegional2. NoneLovel Moderate1. NoneModerate2. NoneLovel Moderate2. NoneLovel of Good example of processes but hard to explain to non explain to non explain5. None12. None13. NoneLow4. None14. None14. None14. None15. None16. Small-7Low8. Unfitting possibility of total loss)9. Totally damaged (as activities)10. NoneLocal11. Irreversible tours)12. 00 to 1013. InaccessibleLovi14. None115. None116. More than 100 km100 to 2017. None118. None119. None119. None110. None110. None111.	Tour Prom Orga Vicin (SIA	notion $(SLAV_7)$ anized visits $(SLAV_8)$ inity of visitors centers (V_0)		Annual nu Closeness	of visitor center to the geosit	he geosite te	
Number of visitorsAnnual number of visitors Lovel of additional infrastructure (SLV_{I_2}) Annual number of visitors Lovel of additional infrastructure for tourist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garbage cans, tolets etc.) If exists, expertise level, knowledge of foreign language(s), interpretative skills, etc. Hostelry service (SLVI) Hostelry service (SLVI) Hostelry service (SLVI) Hostelry service (Soles to geosite Restaurant service (SLVI) Hostelry service (close to geosite Restaurant service close to geosite Restaurant service (SLVI) Hostelry service (Subergeosite)1.00 Interpretative skills, etc. Hostelry service (close to geosite Restaurant service lose to geosite Restaurant service (Subergeosite)0.000.250.500.751.001Common respense but hard to experts at the processes but hard to explain to none explain to non explain to non explain to none explain to none explain to none explain to none explain to common visitorModerate level of good example of 	Tour Prom Orga Vicin (SIA	notion $(SIAV_7)$ anized visits $(SIAV_8)$ inity of visitors centers (V_9)		Annual nu Closeness	mber of organized visits to the of visitor center to the geosit	te	
Level of additional infrastructure for tourist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garbage cans, tolles etc.)Tour guide service $(SLAV_{I_2})$ Level of additional infrastructure for tourist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garbage cans, tolles etc.)Tour guide service $(SLAV_{I_2})$ Level of additional infrastructure for tourist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garbage cans, tolles tec.)Tour guide service $(SLAV_{I_2})$ Level of additional infrastructure for tourist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garbage cans, tolles tec.)Tour guide service $(SLAV_{I_2})$ Level of additional infrastructure for tourist (pedestrian pathways, resting places garbage cans, tolles tec.)Tour guide service $(SLAV_{I_2})$ HighLineRestaurant service close to geositeCommonRegionalNational processes but hard to processes but hard to processes but hard to processes but hard to explain to on explain to on explain to non explain to on explain to on 	Tour Prom Orga Vicin (SIA Inter	notion $(SIAV_7)$ anized visits $(SIAV_8)$ inity of visitors centers (V_9) rpretative panels $(SIAV_{10})$		Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundir	mber of organized visits to the of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text ngs, etc.	he geosite le and graphics, material	quality, size, fitting to
Tour guide service $(SLAV_{ij})$ Hostelry service $(SLAV_{ij})$ Restaurant service $(SLAV_{ij})$ Restaurant service close to geosite Grades (0.00–1.00) Interstitution of the set of the s	Tour Prom Orga Vicin (SIA Inter	notion $(SLA Y_7)$ anized visits $(SLA V_8)$ inity of visitors centers (V_9) rpretative panels $(SLA V_{10})$ aber of visitors $(SLA V_{11})$		Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundir Annual nu	mber of organized visits to the of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text ngs, etc. mber of visitors	and graphics, material	quality, size, fitting to
Hostelry service (SIAV ₁₀) Hostelry service close to geosite Restaurant service (SiAV ₁₀) Restaurant service (SiAV ₁₀) Restaurant service close to geosite 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.00 0.25 0.50 1. Common Regional National International 1. Common Regional publications National publications 3. None Local publications Regional publications 4. None Moderate level of processes but hard to explain to non experts Moderate level of explain to non experts 5. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 6. Small - Highly damaged (as a result of natural service (or not with dedium (could be damag	Tour Prom Orga Vicin (SIA Inter Num Tour	notion $(SLAY_7)$ anized visits $(SLAV_8)$ inity of visitors centers IV_9 rpretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$		Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundir Annual nu Level of	mber of organized visits to the of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gs, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for use to alter to a	he geosite and graphics, material r tourist (pedestrian par	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places,
Restaurant service close to geosite Grades (0.00–1.00) 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00 1. Common Regional National International The only occurence 2. None Low Moderate High Utmost International publications 3. None Local publications Regional publications National publications National publications International 4. None Moderate level of processes but hard to processes proc	Tour Prom Orga Vicin (SIA Inter Num Tour Tour	notion (SAV_{7}) mitzed visits $(SIAV_8)$ inity of visitors centers V_9 pretative panels $(SIAV_{10})$ aber of visitors $(SIAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SIAV_{12})$ r guide service $(SIAV_{13})$		Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundir Annual nu Level of garbage ca If exists, e	mber of organized visits to the of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text ngs, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for nns, toilets etc.) xpertise level, knowledge of	he geosite ee and graphics, material r tourist (pedestrian pa foreign language(s), inter	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places, pretative skills, etc.
0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00 1. Common Regional National International The only occurence 2. None Low Moderate High Utmost International The only occurence 3. None Local publications Regional publications National publications International The only occurence 4. None Moderate level of processes but hard to on experts Good example of processes but hard to on experts Moderate level of processes but hard to on experts Good example o processes but hard to ocumon visitor For the only occurence 5. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 Large 7 Low Medium High Utmost Fritting 9. Totally damaged (as a result of natural processes) result of natural essential geomorphologic processes or human activities) International None 10. None Local Regional National International None than 50 11. Irrversible (with possibility of total loss) easily damaged) marged by natural geomorphologic National International 13. Inaccessible Low (on foot with special equipment and expert guide pro	Tour Prom Orga Vicin (SIA Inter Num Tour Tour Host	notion (SAV_{T_1}) mitzed visits (SAV_8) inity of visitors centers (V_9) appretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ appretative panels $(SLAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{13})$ telry service $(SLAV_{13})$		Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundir Annual nu Level of garbage ca If exists, e Hostelry s	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gs, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ns, toilets etc.) xpertise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite	he geosite ie and graphics, material r tourist (pedestrian pa foreign language(s), inter	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places, pretative skills, etc.
1. Common Regional National International The only occurence 2. None Local publications Moderate High Utmost 3. None Local publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to on experts Moderate level of processes but hard to explain to on experts Moderate level of processes but hard to explain to on experts Moderate level of processes but hard to explain to on experts Moderate level of processes but hard to explain to on experts Moderate level of space example of processes but hard to explain to common visitor More than 6 5. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 6. Small - Medium - Large National International 7. - Low Medium - Iting National International 9. Totally damaged (as a result of natural arcisorities) a result of natural execution factorities) National International National 10. None - Local Regional National International National 11. Irreversible (with possibility of total loss) - International Nation	Tour Prom Orga Vicin (SIA Inter Num Tour Tour Host Resta	notion $(SAT r_j)$ mitzed visits $(SLAV_s)$ mity of visitors centers (V_g) pretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{10})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{13})$ telty service $(SLAV_{13})$ telty service $(SLAV_{13})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$		Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundir Annual nu Level of garbage ca If exists, e Hostelry s Restauran	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ns, toilets etc.) xpertise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite service close to geosite	he geosite ie and graphics, material r tourist (pedestrian pa foreign language(s), inter	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places, pretative skills, etc.
2. None Low Moderate High Utmost 3. None Local publications Regional publications National publications International publications 4. None Moderate level of processes but hard to explain to on experts Good example or processes but hard to explain to onnon experts Moderate level of visitor Good example or visitor 5. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 6. Small - Low Medium - Large 7. - Low Medium High Utmost Fitting 9. Totally damaged (as a result of natural activities) Processes) features preserved) Slightly damaged No damage 10. None Local Regional National International International 11. Irreversible (with High (could be asaily damaged) Medium (could be damaged by natural activities) None Aatros preserved) None None 12. 0 0 to 10 10 to 20 20 to 50 More than 50 High (by car) Utmost (by bus) 13. Inaccessible <td>Tour Prom Orga Vicin (SIA Inter Num Tour Tour Host Rest Grad</td> <td>notion $(SAF r_j)$ mitzed visits $(SLAV_8)$ mity of visitors centers (V_9) rpretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ nber of visitors $(SLAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{12})$ aurant service $(SLAV_{13})$ det $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00</td> <td>0.25</td> <td>Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundir Annual nu Level of garbage ca If exists, e Hostelry s Restauran</td> <td>mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gs, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure fo ms, toilets etc.) xpertise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite t service close to geosite 0.50</td> <td>e geosite e and graphics, material r tourist (pedestrian pa foreign language(s), inter 0.75</td> <td>quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places, pretative skills, etc.</td>	Tour Prom Orga Vicin (SIA Inter Num Tour Tour Host Rest Grad	notion $(SAF r_j)$ mitzed visits $(SLAV_8)$ mity of visitors centers (V_9) rpretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ nber of visitors $(SLAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{12})$ aurant service $(SLAV_{13})$ det $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00	0.25	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundir Annual nu Level of garbage ca If exists, e Hostelry s Restauran	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gs, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure fo ms, toilets etc.) xpertise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite t service close to geosite 0.50	e geosite e and graphics, material r tourist (pedestrian pa foreign language(s), inter 0.75	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places, pretative skills, etc.
A. None Moderate level of processes but hard to reception to non experts For the processes but hard to reception to non experts Moderate level of processes but hard to reception to non experts Moderate level of processes but hard to reception to non experts For cossesses but hard to reception to non experts Processes but hard to reception to common visitor 7. - Low Medium High Utmost 8. Unfitting - Neutral - Fitting 9. Totally damaged (as a result of natural essential geomorphologic activities) result of natural reserved) National International 11. Ireversible (with High (could be damaged by natural and expert guide activities) None Low (could be None None 13. Inaccessible Low (on foot with dedium (by bicycle and sectivities) High (by car) Utmost (by bus) 15. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 15. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 16. Low	Tour Prom Orga Vicin (SIA Inter Num Tour Tour Host Rest Grad	notion $(SIAV_7)$ mitzed visits $(SIAV_8)$ mity of visitors centers (V_9) pretative panels $(SIAV_{10})$ aber of visitors $(SIAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SIAV_{12})$ reguide service $(SIAV_{13})$ telry service $(SIAV_{13})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common	0.25 Regional	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundir Annual nu Level of garbage cc If exists, e Hostelry s Restauran	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for uns, toliets etc.) xpertise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tservice close to geosite 0.50 National	he geosite e and graphics, material r tourist (pedestrian pa foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places, pretative skills, etc.
4. None Moderate level of processes but hard to explain to non experts Moderate level of processes but hard to explain to non explain the non target the non target the none international extinties, none internation and explain the none internation internation international	Toun Prom Orga Vicin (SIA Inter Num Tour Tour Host Resta Grad 1. 2.	notion (SAF_{7}) mitzed visits $(SLAV_{8})$ inity of visitors centers (V_{9}) pretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ nber of visitors $(SLAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{13})$ telty service $(SLAV_{14})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None	0.25 Regional Low	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundir Annual nu Level of garbage c Hostelry s Restauran	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gs, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure fo ns, toilets etc.) sperise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite t service close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Perional unbilinguiser	e geosite e and graphics, material o r tourist (pedestrian pa foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places, pretative skills, etc.
processes but hard to explain to non experts processes but asy to explain to non experts processes but asy to explain to common visitor processes but asy to explain to common visitor processes but asy to explain to common visitor 5. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 6. Small - Medium - Large 7. - Low Medium - Fitting 9. Totally damaged (as a result of natural activities) Kedium (amaged (with a result of natural processes) Sightly damaged (with essential geomorphologic Sightly damaged No damage 10. None Local Regional National International 11. Irreversible (with possibility of total loss) easily damaged by natural activities) National International 12. 0 0 to 10 10 to 20 20 to 50 More than 50 13. Inaccessible Low (on foot with special equipment and expert guide Medium (by bicycle and other means of man- powered transport) High (by car) Utmost (by bus) 14. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 15. None Local	Toun Prom Orga Vicin (<i>SIA</i> Inter Num Tour Tour Host <u>Resta</u> Grad 1. 2. 3.	notion $(SAT r_j)$ nnity of visitors centers (V_{I0}) rpretative panels $(SLAV_{I0})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{I0})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{I0})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{I3})$ telty service $(SLAV_{I3})$ telty service $(SLAV_{I3})$ telty service $(SLAV_{I3})$ 0.00 Common None None	0.25 Regional Low Local publ	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundir Annual nu Level of garbage cc If exists, e Hostelry s Restauran	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ns, toilets etc.) xperise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite service close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian pa foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places. pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurrence Utmost International publications
explain to non expertsexplain to non explain to non the non	Toun Prom Orga Vicin (<i>SIA</i> Inter Num Tour Tour Host <u>Grad</u> 1. 2. 3. 4.	notion $(SAT r_j)$ mitzed visits $(SLAV_8)$ inity of visitors centers (V_9) pretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ nber of visitors $(SLAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{13})$ telry service $(SLAV_{13})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundir Annual nu Level of garbage cc If exists, e Hostelry s Restauran	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gs, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ns, toilets etc.) xpertise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite service close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian par- foreign language(s), inter 0.75 Intermational High National publications Moderate level of	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places pretative skills, etc.
5. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 6. Small - Low Medium High Utmost 7. - Low Medium High Utmost Fitting 9. Totally damaged (as a civities) a result of natural a civities) No damage Slightly damaged No damage 10. None Local Regional National International 11. Ircversible (with High (could be casily damaged) Medium (could be damaged only by processes or human activities) activities) None None 12. 0 0 to 10 10 to 20 20 to 50 More than 50 13. Inaccessible Low (on foot with special equipment and expert guide routs) Medium (by bicycle and other means of man and expert guide routs) National International 15. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 16. More than 100 km 10 to 20 km 50 to 25 km 25 to 5 km Less than 5 km 17. None Local Regional National International 18. None	Toun Prom Orga Vicin (<i>SIA</i> Inter Num Tour Host <u>Resta</u> Grad 1. 2. 3. 4.	hotion (SAF_{I}) mitzed visits $(SLAV_3)$ mitz of visitors centers (V_3) protentive panels $(SLAV_{I0})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{I1})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{I2})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{I3})$ telly service $(SLAV_{I3})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes B	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundin Annual nu Level of garbage c Hostelry s <u>Restauran</u> ications level of put hard to	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ms, toilet setc.) xpertise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tservice close to geosite tservice close to geosite close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to	e geosite e and graphics, material r tourist (pedestrian par foreign language(s), inter 0.75 Intermational High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places, pretative skills, etc.
6. Small - Medium - Large 7. - Low Medium (amaged (as a result of natural activities) Highly damaged (as a result of natural activities) Highly damaged (as a result of natural activities) Highly damaged (as a result of natural activities) Slightly damaged No damage 10. None Local Regional National International 11. Irreversible (with possibility of total loss) easily damaged) Medium (could be possibility of total loss) None None 12. 0 0 to 10 10 to 20 20 to 50 More than 50 13. Inaccessible Low (on foot with special equipment and expert guid powered transport) Medium (by bicycle and special equipment and expert guid powered transport) 4 to 6 More than 6 15. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 16. More than 100 km 100 to 50 km 50 to 25 km 25 to 5 km Less than 5 km 17. None Local Regional National International 18. None Local Regional National International 19. None Local Regional National International 19. None Local Re	Toun Prom Orga Vicin (SIA Inter Num Tour Tour Host Grae 1. 2. 3. 4.	notion (SAV_{7}) mitzed visits $(SIAV_{8})$ mity of visitors centers (V_{9}) protentiative panels $(SIAV_{10})$ aber of visitors $(SIAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SIAV_{12})$ reguide service $(SIAV_{13})$ telry service $(SIAV_{13})$ aurant service $(SIAV_{15})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes l explain experts	Annual nu Closeness surroundin Annual nu Level of garbage ca If exists, e Hostelry s Restauran	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ns, toilets etc.) xpertise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tservice close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian par foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example o processes and easy to explain to commor visitor
7. - Low Medium High Utmost 8. Unfitting - Neutral - Fitting 9. Totally damaged (as a result of natural activities) - Regional Natural - Fitting 10. None Local Regional National International None 11. Irreversible (with possibility of total loss) easily damaged) by natural activities) National International 12. 0 0 to 10 10 to 20 20 to 50 More than 50 13. Inaccessible Low (on foot with natural activities) Medium (by bicycle and other means of manarely cours) High (by car) Utmost (by bus) 14. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 15. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 16. More than 100 km 100 to 50 km 50 to 25 km Less than 5 km 17. None Local Regional National International 18. None Local Regional National International	Tour Prom Orga Vicin (SIA Inter Num Tour Host <u>Grad</u> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	notion $(SA^{I}r_{j})$ mitze d visit $(SLAV_{\delta})$ mity of visitors centers (V_{δ}) rpretative panels $(SLAV_{I0})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{I0})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{I0})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{I3})$ tetry service $(SLAV_{I3})$ tetry service $(SLAV_{I3})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes b explain experts 1	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundir Annual nu Level of garbage cc If exists, e Hostelry s Restauran	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gs, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ns, toilets etc.) xperise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite t service close to geosite close to geosite t service close to geosite t service close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian pa foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example o processes and easy to explain to common visitor More than 6
8. Unfitting - Neutral - Fitting 9. Totally damaged (as a result of natural activities) - Medium damaged (with sesential geomorphologic) Slightly damaged No damage 10. None Local Regional National International 11. Irreversible (with High (could be High (could be damaged by natural activities) National International 12. 0 0 to 10 10 to 20 20 to 50 More than 50 13. Inaccessible Low (on foot with otrus) Medium (by bicycle and other means of manarde quithies) High (by car) Utmost (by bus) 9. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 15. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 16. More than 100 km 10 to 50 km 50 to 25 km 25 to 5 km Less than 5 km 17. None Local Regional National International 18. None Local Regional National International 19. None Local Regional National Internati	Toun Prom Orga Vicin (<i>SIA</i> Inter Num Tour Tour Host Rest Grad 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	notion $(SAT r_j)$ mitze visits $(SLAV_3)$ inity of visitors centers (V_9) pretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{10})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{10})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{13})$ telty service $(SLAV_{13})$ telty service $(SLAV_{13})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate explain explain 21	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundir Annual nu Level of Jf exists, e Hostelry s Restauran	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gs, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ns, toilets etc.) xperiles level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite t service close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian pa foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example o processes and easy to explain to commor visitor More than 6 Large
9. rotatity damaged (as a righty damaged (as result) of natural a result of natural processes) Medium damaged (with sesential geomorphologic features preserved) Not damage 10. None Local Regional National International 11. Irreversible (with possibility of total loss) High (could be damaged by natural activities) National International 12. 0 0 to 10 10 to 20 20 to 50 More than 50 13. Inaccessible Low (on foot with special equipment and expert guide expert gui	Tour Prom Orga Vicin (<i>SIA</i> Inter Num Tour Tour Host Grad 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	notion $(SAT r_j)$ mitzed visits $(SLAV_8)$ inity of visitors centers (V_9) pretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{10})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{13})$ telry service $(SLAV_{13})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes I explain express 1 Low	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundir Annual nu Level of Hostelry s Restaurant ications level of put hard to to non	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ns, toilets etc.) xperice level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite service close to geosite service close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian pa foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - High	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places. pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example o processes and easy to processes and easy to commor visitor More than 6 Large Utmost
10. None Local Regional National International 11. Irreversible (with High (could be damaged by natural possibility of total loss) Medium (could be damaged only by processes or human activities) activities) None None 12. 0 0 to 10 10 to 20 20 to 50 More than 50 13. Inaccessible Low (on foot with special equipment and expert guide and guide and for thexmet guide and guide and guide and guide and guide and gui	Tour Prom Orga Vicin (<i>SIA</i> Inter Num Tour Tour Host Grad 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	notion $(SAFr_j)$ mitzed visits $(SLAV_s)$ inity of visitors centers (V_9) pretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{13})$ telly service $(SLAV_{13})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None Small Unfitting	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate explain experts 1 Low	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundin Annual nu Level of garbage cc If exists, e Hostelry s Restauran	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ms, toilet setc.) xpertise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tervice close to geosite tervice close to geosite close to geosite estimation of the set of the National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium Neutral	he geosite e and graphics, material r tourist (pedestrian pa foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - High	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places, pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example o processes and easy to explain to commor visitor More than 6 Large Utmost Fitting
10. None Local Regional National International 11. Ireversible (with proversible possibility of total loss) High (could be easily damaged) National Low (could be easily damaged) National Nation	Tour Prom Orga Vicin (<i>SIA</i> Inter Num Tour Tour Tour Tour Tour Tour Tour Tour 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	notion $(SAFr_j)$ mitzed visits $(SLAV_3)$ inity of visitors centers (V_3) pretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{12})$ aurant service $(SLAV_{12})$ taurant service $(SLAV_{12})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None Small Unfitting Totally damaged (as a regult of burger	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes I experts 1 Low Highly dat	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundit Annual nu Level of If exists, e Hostelry s Restaurant ications level of ut hard to to non	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ins, toilets etc.) speritise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tservice close to geosite tservice close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium Medium Medium damaged (with	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian par foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - Slightly damaged	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example o processes and easy to explain to commor visitor More than 6 Large Utmost Fitting No damage
11. Irreversible (with high (could be casily damaged) easily damaged) Medium (could be hamaged by natural damaged only by human activities) activities) None 12. 0 0 to 10 10 to 20 20 to 50 More than 50 13. Inaccessible Low (on foot with our powered transport) tours) Medium (by bicycle and our powered transport) tours) High (by car) Utmost (by bus) 14. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 15. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 16. More than 100 km 100 to 50 km 50 to 25 km 25 to 5 km Less than 5 km 17. None Local Regional National International 18. None Local Regional National International 19. None Local Regional National International 21. More than 50 km 50 to 20 km 20 to 5 km 5 to 1 km Less than 1 km 20. None Local Regional National International 23. None Low quality Medium quality <t< td=""><td>Tour Prom Orga Vicin (<i>SIA</i> Inter Num Tour Tour Tour Tour Tour Tour Tour Tour 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.</td><td>notion $(SAT r_j)$ nnity of visitors $(SLAV_a)$ nnity of visitors centers (V_g) aber of visitors $(SLAV_{I0})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{I1})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{I2})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{I2})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{I2})$ tetry service $(SLAV_{I2})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None None None Small - Unfitting Totally damaged (as a result of human activities)</td><td>0.25 Regional Low Local publ moderate explain experts 1 Low Highly dat a result of processes)</td><td>Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundit Annual nu Level of Jf exists, e Hostelry s <u>Restauran</u> ications level of but hard to to non -</td><td>mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gs, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ns, toilets etc.) xperrise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tservice close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium damaged (with essential geomorphologic features preserved)</td><td>he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian par foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - High - Slightly damaged</td><td>quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example o publications Good example o publications Good example o the common visitor More than 6 Large Utmost Fitting No damage</td></t<>	Tour Prom Orga Vicin (<i>SIA</i> Inter Num Tour Tour Tour Tour Tour Tour Tour Tour 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	notion $(SAT r_j)$ nnity of visitors $(SLAV_a)$ nnity of visitors centers (V_g) aber of visitors $(SLAV_{I0})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{I1})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{I2})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{I2})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{I2})$ tetry service $(SLAV_{I2})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None None None Small - Unfitting Totally damaged (as a result of human activities)	0.25 Regional Low Local publ moderate explain experts 1 Low Highly dat a result of processes)	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundit Annual nu Level of Jf exists, e Hostelry s <u>Restauran</u> ications level of but hard to to non -	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gs, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ns, toilets etc.) xperrise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tservice close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium damaged (with essential geomorphologic features preserved)	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian par foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - High - Slightly damaged	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example o publications Good example o publications Good example o the common visitor More than 6 Large Utmost Fitting No damage
possibility of total ross) casing uningential casing uningent uningential casing uningential casing uningential casi	Totul Prom Orga Vicini (SIA Inter Numm Tour Grad 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	notion $(SAT r_j)$ mitze d visit $(SLAV_s)$ inity of visitors centers (V_9) pretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{10})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{13})$ telry service $(SLAV_{13})$ telry service $(SLAV_{13})$ telry service $(SLAV_{13})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None Small - Unfitting Totally damaged (as a result of human activities) None	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes I explain experts 1 Low Highly dat a result o processes) Local	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundir Annual nu Level of Jf exists, e Hostelry s Restaurant ications level of bout hard to to non - maged (as	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gs, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ns, toilets etc.) xperise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tservice close to geosite close to geosite tservice close to geosite tservice close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium Medium Medium durda damaged (with essential geomorphologic features preserved) Regional	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian pa foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor - High - Slightly damaged National	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example o processes and easy to explain to commor visitor More than 6 Large Utmost Fitting No damage International
12. 0 0 to 10 10 to 20 20 to 50 More than 50 13. Inaccessible Low (on foot with special equipment and expert guide tours) Wedium (by bicycle and other means of man-powered transport) High (by car) Utmost (by bus) 14. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 15. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 16. More than 100 km 100 to 50 km 50 to 25 km 25 to 5 km Less than 5 km 17. None Local Regional National International 18. None Local Regional National International 18. None Local Regional National International 19. None Local Regional National International 20. None Local Regional National International 21. More than 50 km 50 to 20 km 20 to 5 km 5 to 1 km Less than 1 km 22. None Low (less than Medium (5001 to 10000) High quality Utmost (more than 5	Totul Prom Orga Vicini (SIA Inter Num Tour Tour Tour Tour Tour Tour Grad 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	notion $(SAFr_j)$ mitzed visits $(SLAV_s)$ inity of visitors centers (V_9) pretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ nber of visitors $(SLAV_{10})$ nber of visitors $(SLAV_{10})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{13})$ telty service $(SLAV_{13})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None None Small - Unfitting Totally damaged (as a result of human activities) None Irreversible (with percelible fract her)	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate explain express 1 Low - Highly dat a result o processes) Local Highly dat	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundir Annual nu Level of Jf exists, e Hostelry s Restaurant ications level of put hard to to non - maged (as of natural	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ns, toilets etc.) xperise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite service close to geosite service close to geosite service close to geosite tervice close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium (could be dworowd hun entervil)	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian pa foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - High - Slightly damaged National Low (could be domaged or other be	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example o processes and easy to explain to commor visitor More than 6 Large Utmost Fitting No damage International None
12. 0 0 to 10 10 to 20 20 to 50 More than 50 13. Inaccessible Low (no foot with special equipment and expert guide other means of man- powered transport) High (by car) Utmost (by bus) 14. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 15. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 16. More than 100 km 100 to 50 km 50 to 25 km 25 to 5 km Less than 5 km 17. None Local Regional National International 18. None Local Regional National International 19. None Local Regional National International 20. None Losa 0 than 20 to 5 km 5 to 1 km Less than 1 km 21. More than 50 km 50 to 20 km 20 to 5 km 5 to 1 km Less than 1 km 22. None Low (less than Medium (5001 to 1000) High quality Utmost quality 23. None Low (less than Medium (5001 to 1000) High Quinost 10000) 24. None Low Medium High Utmost 25. None Low Medium High Quinost 100000) 26. More than 50 km 25-50 km 10-25 km 5-10 km Less than 5 km	Tour Pron Orga Vicin (<i>SIA</i> Inter Tour Host Grad 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	notion $(SAFr_j)$ mitzed visits $(SLAV_s)$ inity of visitors centers (V_s) pretative panels $(SLAV_{I0})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{I1})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{I2})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{I3})$ telly service $(SLAV_{I3})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None None Unfitting Totally damaged (as a result of human activities) None (as a result of human activities) None Non	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes l explain experts 1 Low Highly dat a result o processes) Local High (cc easily dam	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundit Annual nu Level of If exists, e Hostelry s <u>Restauran</u> ications level of ut hard to to non maged (as of natural ould be aged)	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ins, toilets etc.) yperilse level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tservice close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium (could be damaged by natural processes or human	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian par foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - Slightly damaged National Low (could be damaged only by human activities)	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example o processes and easy to explain to commor visitor More than 6 Large Utmost Fitting No damage International None
1.1. Interestation Low (on root with preclum (by object and right (by car)) Fight (by car) Utilities (by bas) 14. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 15. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 16. More than 100 km 100 to 50 km 25 to 5 km 25 to 5 km Less than 5 km 17. None Local Regional National International 18. None Local Regional National International 19. None Local Regional National International 20. None Local Regional National International 20. None Local Regional National International 20. None Local Regional National International 21. More than 50 km 50 to 20 km 20 to 5 km 5 to 1 km Less than 1 km 22. None Low Medium quality High quality Utmost (more that 5000) 0000 100 000) 23. None Low Medium High Utmost 100 000) 24. None Low	Tour Pron Orga Vicin (<i>SIA</i> Inter Tour Host Grad 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	notion (SAV_{I}) mitze visits $(SLAV_3)$ mitze visits $(SLAV_3)$ inity of visitors centers (V_3) pretative panels $(SLAV_{I0})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{I1})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{I2})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{I3})$ telty service $(SLAV_{I3})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None None Small - Unfitting Totally damaged (as a result of human activities) None Irreversible (with possibility of total loss)	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes l experts 1 Low Highly dat a result c Local High (cc easily dam	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundit Annual nu Level of garbage cc If exists, e Hostelry s Restaurant ications level of ut hard to to non - naged (as of natural ould be aged)	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gs, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ins, toilets etc.) speritise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tservice close to geosite tservice close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium Medium Medium Amanged (with essential geomorphologic features preserved) Regional Medium (could be damaged by natural processes or human activities)	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian par foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - Slightly damaged National Low (could be damaged only by human activities)	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places, pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Urmost International publications Good example of processes and easy to explain to commor visitor More than 6 Large Utmost Fitting No damage International None
14.None12 to 34 to 6More than 615.None12 to 34 to 6More than 616.More than 100 km100 to 50 km50 to 25 km25 to 5 kmLess than 5 km17.NoneLocalRegionalNationalInternational18.NoneLocalRegionalNationalInternational19.NoneLocalRegionalNationalInternational20.NoneLocalRegionalNationalInternational21.More than 50 km50 to 20 km20 to 5 km5 to 1 kmLess than 1 km22.NoneLow qualityMedium qualityHigh qualityUtmost quality23.NoneLow (less than 5000)000)100 0000)100 000024.NoneLowMediumHighUtmost25.NoneLowMediumHighUtmost26.More than 50 km25-50 km10-25 km5-10 kmLess than 5km	Tour Prom Orga Vicini (<i>SIA</i> Inter Num Tour Tour Host Rest Grad 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 2. 12.	notion $(SATr_j)$ mitzed visits $(SLAV_a)$ inity of visitors centers (V_a) pretative panels $(SLAV_{Ia})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{Ia})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{Ia})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{Ia})$ telty service $(SLAV_{Ia})$ telty service $(SLAV_{Ia})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None None None None Small - Unfitting Totally damaged (as a result of human activities) None (with possibility of total loss) 0 0	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes t explain experts 1 Low Highly dan High (cc easily dam 0 to 10	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundit Annual nu Level of Jif exists, e Hostelry s <u>Restauran</u> ications level of but hard to to non - maged (as of natural ould be aged)	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gs, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ns, toilets etc.) xperrise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tservice close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian par- foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - High - Slightly damaged National Low (could be damaged only by human activities) 20 to 50 High (or conternational) bight (or conternational) High (or conternational) Description 4 to 6 - High - Slightly damaged	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example o publications Good example o publications Fitting No damage International None
tours)14. None12 to 34 to 6More than 615. None12 to 34 to 6More than 616. More than 100 km100 to 50 km50 to 25 km25 to 5 kmLess than 5 km17. NoneLocalRegionalNationalInternational18. NoneLocalRegionalNationalInternational19. NoneLocalRegionalNationalInternational20. NoneLocalRegionalNationalInternational21. More than 50 km50 to 20 km20 to 5 km5 to 1 kmLess than 1 km22. NoneLow qualityMedium qualityHigh qualityUtmost quality23. NoneLowMedium (500 to 10 000)High (10 00 to 100Utmost quality24. NoneLowMediumHighUtmost25. NoneLowMediumHighUtmost25. NoneLowMediumHighUtmost26. More than 50 km25-50 km10-25 km5-10 kmLess than 5km	Tour Prom Orga Vicini (<i>SIA</i> Inter Num Tour Tour Host Rest Grad 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11	notion $(SAT r_j)$ mitze visits $(SLAV_s)$ inity of visitors centers (V_9) pretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ telry service $(SLAV_{12})$ telry service $(SLAV_{12})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes I explain experts 1 Low Highly data result o processes) Local High (ct casily dam 0 to 10 Low (on special	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundir Annual nu Level of Jf exists, e Hostelry s Restauran ications level of pout hard to to non - maged (as of natural ould be aged)	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gs, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ns, toilets etc.) xperrise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite t service close to geosite close to geosite t service close to geosite t service close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium Medium Medium damaged (with essential geomorphologic features preserved) Regional processes or human activities) 10 to 20 Medium (by bicycle and other means of man-	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian pa foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor High - Slightly damaged National Low (could be damaged only by human activities) 20 to 50 High (by car)	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places pretative skills, etc.
15. None 1 2 to 3 4 to 6 More than 6 16. More than 100 km 100 to 50 km 50 to 25 km 25 to 5 km Less than 5 km 17. None Local Regional National International 18. None Local Regional National International 19. None Local Regional National International 20. None Less than 12 per year 24 to 48 per year More than 48 per year 21. More than 50 km 50 to 20 km 20 to 5 km 5 to 1 km Less than 1 km 22. None Low quality Medium quality High quality Utmost quality 23. None Low Medium (500 to 10 00) 000) 100 000/0 100 000/0 24. None Low Medium High Utmost 25. None Low Medium High Utmost 25. None Low Medium High Utmost 26. More than 50 km 25-50 km 10-25 km	Toun Prom Orga Vicin (<i>SLA</i> Inter Num Tour Tour Host Grad Grad 5. 66. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	notion $(SAT r_j)$ mitze visits $(SLAV_s)$ initz of visitors centers (V_s) pretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ nber of visitors $(SLAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{12})$ aurant service $(SLAV_{12})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None None None Small - Unfitting Totally damaged (as a result of human activities) None Irreversible (with possibility of total loss) 0 Inaccessible	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes I explain explain express 1 Low - Highly dan a result o processes) Local High (cr casily dam 0 to 10 Low (on special o and experts 0 Local value High (cr casily dam 0 to 10 Low (on special o and compared of the special of the special of the special of the special of the special of the special of the special of the special of the special of the sp	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundin Annual nu Level of If exists, e Hostelry s Restaurant ications level of out hard to to non 	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ms, toilet setc.) xpertise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite service close to geosite service close to geosite service close to geosite service close to geosite of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian pa foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - High Slightly damaged National Low (could be damaged only by human activities) 20 to 50 High (by car)	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places, pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example of processes and easy to explain to commor visitor More than 6 Large Utmost Fitting No damage International None More than 50 Utmost (by bus)
16. More than 100 km 100 to 50 km 50 to 25 km 25 to 5 km Less than 5 km 17. None Local Regional National International 18. None Local Regional National International 19. None Local Regional National International 20. None Less than 12 per J2 to 24 per year 24 to 48 per year More than 48 per yea 21. More than 50 km 50 to 20 km 20 to 5 km 5 to 1 km Less than 1 km 22. None Low quality Medium quality High quality Utmost quality 23. None Low (less than 5000) Medium (5001 to 10000) High (100 to 1000) 100 000) 24. None Low Medium High Utmost 25. None Low Medium High Utmost 25. None Low Medium High Utmost 26. More than 50 km 25-50 km 10-25 km 5-10 km Less than 5 km	Tour Prom Orgaa Vicin (<i>SLA</i> Inter Num Tour Tour Host Grae Grae 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	notion $(SAFr_j)$ mity of visitors $(SLAV_s)$ inity of visitors centers (V_9) pretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ nber of visitors $(SLAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{12})$ aurant service $(SLAV_{12})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None None None Small - Unfitting Totally damaged (as a result of human activities) None Irreversible (with possibility of total loss) 0 Inaccessible None	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes I explain explain experts 1 Low - Highly dan to cal High (creasily dam 0 to 10 Low (on special of and experts) 1	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundin Annual nu Level of Jf exists, e Hostelry s Restaurant ications level of out hard to to non 	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ms, toilet setc.) xpertise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tervice and the second Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium (could be damaged by natural processes or human activities) 10 to 20 Medium (by bicycle and other means of man- powered transport) 2 to 3	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian par foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - Slightly damaged National Low (could be damaged only by human activities) 20 to 50 High (by car) 4 to 6	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example o processes and easy to explain to commot visitor More than 6 Large Utmost Fitting No damage International None More than 50 Utmost (by bus)
17. None Local Regional National International 18. None Low Medium High Utmost 19. None Local Regional National International 20. None Less than 12 per 12 to 24 per year 24 to 48 per year More than 48 per year 21. More than 50 km 50 to 20 km 20 to 5 km 5 to 1 km Less than 1 km 22. None Low quality Medium quality High quality Utmost quality 23. None Low Medium (500 to 10 000) High (10 001 to 100 000) 100 0000) 24. None Low Medium High Utmost 25. None Low Medium High Utmost 26. More than 50 km 25-50 km 10-25 km 5-10 km Less than 5km	Tour Prom Orga Vicin (<i>SIA</i> Inter Num Tour Host Grad 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 13. 14. 15.	notion $(SAFr_j)$ mitzed visits $(SLAV_s)$ mitzed visits $(SLAV_{s0})$ mitzed visits $(SLAV_{s0})$ rpretative panels $(SLAV_{s0})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{s1})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{s1})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{s1})$ aurant service $(SLAV_{s1})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None None None No	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes l explain experts 1 Low Highly dat a result of processes) Local High (ce easily dam 0 to 10 Low (on special and expet tours) 1	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundit Annual nu Level of garbage cc If exists, e Hostelry s <u>Restauran</u> ications level of ut hard to to non - maged (as of natural ould be aged) foot with equipment ert guide	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ins, toilets etc.) speritics level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tservice close to geosite tservice close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium (could be damaged by natural processes or human activities) 10 to 20 Medium (by bicycle and other means of man- powered transport) 2 to 3 2 to 3	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian par foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - Slightly damaged National Low (could be damaged only by human activities) 20 to 50 High (by car) 4 to 6 4 to 6 4 to 6	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example o processes and easy to explain to commor visitor More than 6 Large Utmost Fitting No damage International None More than 50 Utmost (by bus)
Instruct Local Regional International 10. None Local Regional National International 20. None Local Regional National International 20. None Local Regional National International 21. More than 50 km 50 to 20 km 20 to 5 km 5 to 1 km Less than 1 km 22. None Low quality Medium quality High quality Utmost quality 23. None Low (less than Medium (5001 to 10000) High (10 001 to 100 00) 100 000) 24. None Low Medium High Utmost Utmost 25. None Low Medium High Utmost Utmost 26. More than 50 km 25–50 km 10–25 km 5–10 km Less than 5km	Tour Prom Orga Vicin (SIA Inter Num Tour S. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	notion $(SAT r_j)$ mity of visitors ($SLAV_n$) inity of visitors centers (V_9) aber of visitors ($SLAV_{10}$) aber of visitors ($SLAV_{10}$) aber of visitors ($SLAV_{10}$) rism infrastructure ($SLAV_{12}$) rism infrastructure ($SLAV_{13}$) telry service ($SLAV_{13}$) telry service ($SLAV_{13}$) aurant service ($SLAV_{13}$) des ($0.00-1.00$) 0.00 Common None None None None None None None None Unfitting Totally damaged (as a result of human activities) None Irreversible (with possibility of total loss) 0 Inaccessible None	0.25 Regional Low Local publ moderate explain experts 1 Low Highly data a result of processes Local High (cr easily data) 0 to 10 Low (or no special of and expert tours) 1 1 100 to 50 k	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundit Annual nu Level of If exists, e Hostelry s <u>Restauran</u> ications level of ut hard to to non - maged (as of natural ould be aged) foot with equipment rrt guide	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ns, toilets etc.) sperrise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tservice close to geosite tservice close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium (could be damaged by natural processes or human activities) 10 to 20 Medium (by bicycle and other means of man- powered transport) 2 to 3 2 to 3 50 to 25 km	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian par- foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - Slightly damaged National Low (could be damaged only by human activities) 20 to 50 High (by car) 4 to 6 2 to 5 km	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example o processes and easy to explain to commor visitor More than 6 Large Utmost Fitting No damage International None More than 50 Utmost (by bus) More than 6 Less than 5 km
20. None Less than 12 per year 12 to 24 per year 24 to 48 per year More than 48 per year 21. More than 50 km 50 to 20 km 20 to 5 km 5 to 1 km Less than 1 km 22. None Low quality Medium quality High quality Utmost quality 23. None Low Medium (5001 to 1000) High (10 001 to 100 00) Utmost (more than 5000) 24. None Low Medium High (10 001 to 100 00) Utmost (more than 5000) 25. None Low Medium High (10 001 to 100 00) Utmost (more than 50 km 26. More than 50 km 25-50 km 10-25 km 5-10 km Less than 5km 27. More than 50 km 25-50 km 10-25 km 5-10 km Less than 5km	Toun Prom Orga Vicin (SLA Inter Num Tour Host Resta Grad 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18	notion $(SAT r_j)$ mitze dvisits $(SLAV_s)$ mitze dvisits $(SLAV_s)$ mitzer dvisitors centers (V_9) aber of visitors $(SLAV_{10})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{10})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ telty service $(SLAV_{12})$ telty service $(SLAV_{12})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None None Small Unfitting Totally damaged (as a result of human activities) None Irreversible (with possibility of total loss) 0 Inaccessible None None None None Inaccessible None None None Inaccessible	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes l explain experts 1 Low Highly dan da result of processes) Local High (creasily dam 0 to 10 Low (on special of and expet tours) 1 100 to 50 k Local	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundit Annual nu Level of Jf exists, ex- Hostelry s Restaurant ications level of but hard to non - maged (as of natural ould be aged) foot with equipment ert guide	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gs, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ns, toilets etc.) xperrise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tservice close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Me	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian par- foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - High Slightly damaged National Low (could be damaged only by human activities) 20 to 50 High (by car) 4 to 6 4 to 16 4 to 16 4 to 16 4 to 16 4 to 16 4 to 16 4 to	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places pretative skills, etc.
21. More than 50 km 5 to 20 km 20 to 5 km 5 to 1 km Less than 1 km 22. None Low quality Medium quality High quality Utmost quality 23. None Low (less than Medium (5001 to 1000) High (10 001 to 100 Utmost quality 24. None Low Medium High Utmost 25. None Low Medium High Utmost 25. None Low Medium High Utmost 26. More than 50 km 25-50 km 10-25 km 5-10 km Less than 5km	Toun Prom Orga Vicini (SIA Inter Tour Tour Tour Tour Tour Host Grad 5.6 7.8.99 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19.	notion $(SAT r_j)$ mity of visitors $(SLAV_s)$ inity of visitors centers (V_9) pretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{12})$ aurant service $(SLAV_{12})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None None None None Irreversible (with possibility of total loss) 0 Inaccessible None None None None None None None None Irreversible None	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes I explain experts 1 Low - Highly dan a result o processes) Local High (ce casily dam 0 to 10 Low (on special o and exper tours) 1 100 to 50 k Local	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundin Annual nu Level of jarbage cc If exists, e Hostelry s Restauran ications level of bout hard to to non - maged (as of natural ould be aged) foot with equipment ert guide	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ms, toilet setc.) xpertise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite service and the service of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium (could be damaged by natural processes or human activitics) 10 to 20 Medium (by bicycle and other means of man- powered transport) 2 to 3 2 to 7 2 to 3 2 to 7 2 to 7 2 to 7 2 to 7 2 to 7 2 to 7 2 to	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian par foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - Slightly damaged National Low (could be damaged only by human activities) 20 to 50 High (by car) 4 to 6 4 to 6 1 National High National High National High National High National High National High National High National High National High National High National High National High	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example o processes and easy to explain to commot visitor More than 6 Large Utmost Fitting No damage International None More than 50 Utmost (by bus) More than 6 Less than 5 km International Utmost International
22. None Low quality Medium quality High quality Utmost quality 23. None Low (less than 5000) Medium (5001 to 1000) High (10 001 to 100 to 1000) Utmost (more than 5000) 24. None Low Medium High (10 001 to 1000) Utmost 25. None Low Medium High Utmost Utmost 26. More than 50 km 25–50 km 10–25 km 5–10 km Less than 5km	Toun Prom Orga Vicini (SIA Inter Tour Tour Tour Tour Tour Host Grad 5.6 7.8 9 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	notion $(SAT r_j)$ mity of visitors $(SLAV_s)$ inity of visitors centers (V_9) pretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ nber of visitors $(SLAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{12})$ aurant service $(SLAV_{12})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None None None Interversible (with possibility of total loss) 0 Inaccessible None None None None None None None None Interversible None	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes I explain explain - Highly dara a result of processes) Local High (cr casily dam 0 to 10 Low (on special of and experts 1 1 100 to 50 k Local 1 1 100 to 50 k Local	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundin Annual nu Level of jarbage cc Hostelry s Restaurant ications level of put hard to to non 	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ins, toilets etc.) xperise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tervice and the second National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium (could be damaged by natural processes or human activitics) 10 to 20 Medium (by bicycle and other means of man- powered transport) 2 to 3 50 to 25 km Regional Medium Regional 2 to 24 per year	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian pa foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - Slightly damaged National Low (could be damaged only by human activities) 20 to 50 High (by car) 4 to 6 4 to 6 25 to 5 km National High National High base 20 to 50 20 to 50	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places, pretative skills, etc.
23. Nome Low Nearmain Meanum (3001 to 100 000) Frign (10 001 to 100 000) Toto 100 0000) 24. None Low Medium High Utmost 25. None Low Medium High Utmost 26. More than 50 km 25–50 km 10–25 km 5–10 km Less than 5km	Toun Prom Orga Vicin (SIA Inter Tour Tour	notion $(SAFr_j)$ mity of visitors $(SLAV_s)$ inity of visitors centers (V_s) pretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ nber of visitors $(SLAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{12})$ aurant service $(SLAV_{12})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None None None Small - Unfitting Totally damaged (as a result of human activities) None Irreversible (with possibility of total loss) 0 Inaccessible None	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes I explain explain express 1 Low - Highly dan a result o processes) Local High (ct easily dam 0 to 10 Low (on special o and expe tours) 1 1 100 to 50 k Local LocaL Local Local Local LocaL	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundit Annual nu Level of Jf exists, e Hostelry s <u>Restauran</u> ications level of ut hard to to non maged (as of natural ould be aged) foot with equipment ert guide	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ins, toilets etc.) yperiise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite iservice close to geosite service close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium (could be damaged by natural processes or human activitics) 10 to 20 Medium (by bicycle and other means of man- powerdel transport) 2 to 3 2 to 3 50 to 25 km Regional Medium Regional Medium	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian par foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - Slightly damaged National Low (could be damaged only by human activities) 20 to 50 High National Lob (by car) 4 to 6 4 to 6 4 to 6 2 to 5 km National High National High National High by 20 to 50 High (by car) 4 to 6 2 to 5 km National High National High National High by National High by High b	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places, pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example o processes and easy to explain to commor visitor More than 6 Large Utmost Fitting No damage International None More than 50 Utmost (by bus) More than 6 Less than 5 km International Utmost International Utmost International Utmost International Utmost International Utmost International Utmost International Utmost International Utmost International
24. None Low Medium High Utmost 25. None Low Medium High Utmost 26. More than 50 km 25–50 km 10–25 km 5–10 km Less than 5km 27. More than 25 km 10–50 km 10–6 km Less than 5km	Toun Prom Orga Vicini (SIA Inter Tour Tour	notion (SAV_T) inity of visitors centers (V_9) inity of visitors centers (V_9) pretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{12})$ aurant service $(SLAV_{12})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None None None Small - Unfitting Totally damaged (as a result of human activities) None Irreversible (with possibility of total loss) 0 Inaccessible None None None None None None None Non	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes l explain explain experts 1 Low - Highly dan a result of processes) Local High (cc easily dam 0 to 10 Low (on special of and experts 1 100 to 50 k Local Low Local LocaLocaLocaLocaLocaLocaL	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundit Annual nu Level of garbage cc If exists, e Hostelry s <u>Restauran</u> ications level of ut hard to to non - maged (as of natural ould be aged) foot with equipment ert guide sm	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ins, toilets etc.) speritics level, knowledge of revice close to geosite tservice close to geosite tservice close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium (could be damaged by natural processes or human activities) 10 to 20 Medium (by bicycle and other means of man- powered transport) 2 to 3 50 to 25 km Medium quality Medium (Could be down and the spectrum 2 to 3 50 to 25 km Medium quality Medium (Could be down and the spectrum and the spectrum activities) 12 to 24 per year 20 to 5 km Medium (Could be Medium (Could be down and the spectrum activities)	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian par foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - Slightly damaged National Low (could be damaged only by human activities) 20 to 50 High (by car) 4 to 6 4 to 6 5 to 1 km High quality High (could be car National High (by car) Could be car 1 to 5 km National High (by car) Could be Could be	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places, pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example of processes and easy to explain to commor visitor More than 6 Large Utmost Fitting No damage International None More than 50 Utmost (by bus) More than 6 More than 6 Less than 5 km International Utmost International International International Utmost International Internation
25. None Low Medium High Utmost 26. More than 50 km 25–50 km 10–25 km 5–10 km Less than 5km 27. More than 25 km 26 km 10–25 km 5–10 km Less than 5km	Toun Prom Orga Vicini (SIA Inter Num Tour Host 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 20. 21. 22. 23.	notion $(SAT r_j)$ mity of visitors centers (V_9) inity of visitors centers (V_9) aber of visitors $(SLAV_{I0})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{I0})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{I0})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{I3})$ telty service $(SLAV_{I3})$ telty service $(SLAV_{I3})$ telty service $(SLAV_{I3})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None None None None Small - Unfitting Totally damaged (as a result of human activities) None Irreversible (with possibility of total loss) 0 Inaccessible None	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes t explain to Highly data result of processes) Local High (cc easily dam 0 to 10 Low (or special of and expet tours) 1 100 to 50 k Local Low 1 100 to 50 k Local Low 1 1 100 to 50 k Local Low 1 1 100 to 50 k Local Low 1 1 100 to 50 k Local Low 1 1 1 100 to 50 k Local Low 1 1 1 100 to 50 k Local Low 1 1 1 100 to 50 k Local Low 1 1 1 100 to 50 k Local Low 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundit Annual nu Level of it exists, e Hostelry s <u>Restauran</u> ications level of ut hard to to non - maged (as of natural ould be aged) foot with equipment rrt guide cm	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gs, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ins, toilets etc.) speritise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tservice close to geosite tservice close to geosite 0.50 National Moderate Regional publications Good example of processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium Medium (out a second Medium (out a second Regional Medium (could be damaged by natural processes or human activities) 10 to 20 Medium (by bicycle and other means of man- powered transport) 2 to 3 50 to 25 km Regional Medium Regional 12 to 24 per year 20 to 5 km Medium (solut 10 000)	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian par- foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - Slightly damaged National Low (could be damaged only by human activities) 20 to 50 High (by car) 4 to 6 4 to 6 25 to 5 km National 24 to 48 per year 5 to 1 km High (10 001 to 100 000)	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places, pretative skills, etc.
20. More than 50 km 25−50 km 10−25 km 5−10 km Less than 5km 27. More than 26 km 10−25 km 10−51 km 1	Toun Prom Orga Vicini (SIA Inter Num Tour Tour	notion $(SAT r_j)$ mitzed visits $(SLAV_s)$ inity of visitors centers (V_9) pretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{10})$ representation of the service $(SLAV_{12})$ representation of the service $(SLAV_{12})$ telty service $(SLAV_{12})$ telty service $(SLAV_{12})$ des $(0.00 - 1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None None None None Small Unfitting Totally damaged (as a result of human activities) None Irreversible (with possibility of total loss) 0 Inaccessible None	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes l explain experts 1 Low - Highly dan a result of processes) Local High (cit easily dam 0 to 10 Low (on special of and expet tours) 1 100 to 50 k Local Low Local Low 1 100 to 50 k Local Low Local Low Local Low (on special of tours) 1 1 00 to 50 k Local Low Local Low Local Low Local Low Local Low Local Low Local Low (on special of Low Local Low Low Local Low Low Local Low Low Local Low Low Local Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundit Annual nu Level of jarbage cc If exists, e Hostelry s <u>Restauran</u> ications level of but hard to non - maged (as of natural ould be aged) foot with equipment ert guide sm 12 per n y ss than	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gs, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ns, toilets etc.) xperrise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tservice close to geosite estry et all the service close to geosite of the service close to geosite of the service close to geosite tservice close to geosite of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian par- foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - High National Low (could be damaged only by human activities) 20 to 50 High (by car) 4 to 6 4 to 6 4 to 6 25 to 5 km National Ligh National High National 24 to 48 per year 5 to 1 km High (10 001 to 100 000) High	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places, pretative skills, etc.
A NUME HIGH / A KIN HE/A KIN HE/A KIN HE/A KIN HAR A KINA HAR	Toun Promotion Promotion Orga Vicini (SIA) Inter Num Tour Tour Tour Tour	notion $(SAT r_j)$ mitzed visits $(SLAV_s)$ inity of visitors centers (V_9) pretative panels $(SLAV_{10})$ aber of visitors $(SLAV_{11})$ rism infrastructure $(SLAV_{12})$ r guide service $(SLAV_{13})$ telly service $(SLAV_{12})$ des $(0.00-1.00)$ 0.00 Common None None None None None None None None None Irreversible (with possibility of total loss) 0 Inaccessible None None None None None None None None None None More than 100 km None N	0.25 Regional Low Local publ Moderate processes I explain experts 1 Low - Highly dan a result o processes) Local High (ca casily dam 0 to 10 Low (on special - and exper tours) 1 1 100 to 50 k Local Local High (ca casily dam 0 to 10 Low (on special - 1 100 to 50 k Local	Annual nu Closeness Interpretat surroundit Annual nu Level of garbage cc If exists, e Hostelry s Restaurant ications level of pout hard to to non - maged (as of natural ould be aged) foot with equipment ert guide cm 12 per n y ss than	mber of organized visits to ti of visitor center to the geosit ive characteristics of text gg, etc. mber of visitors additional infrastructure for ms, toilet setc.) xpertise level, knowledge of ervice close to geosite tervice and the tervice of solution and the tervice descent and the tervice processes but hard to explain to non experts 2 to 3 Medium Medium Medium Medium damaged (with essential geomorphologic features preserved) Medium (could be damaged by natural processes or human activities) 10 to 20 Medium (by bicycle and other means of man- powered transport) 2 to 3 2 to 3 2 to 3 2 to 3 2 to 25 km Regional 12 to 24 per year 20 to 5 km Medium (5001 to 10 000) Medium Medium	he geosite ie and graphics, material of r tourist (pedestrian pa foreign language(s), inter foreign language(s), inter 0.75 International High National publications Moderate level of processes but easy to explain to common visitor 4 to 6 - Slightly damaged National Low (could be damaged only by human activities) 20 to 50 High (by car) 4 to 6 4 to 6 5 to 1 km High quality High (by car) 5 to 1 km High (ou could be 1 to 6 1 to 100 000 0 to 100 000 1 to 100 0 to 100 1 to 100 0 to 100 1 to	quality, size, fitting to thways, resting places, pretative skills, etc. 1.00 The only occurence Utmost International publications Good example o processes and easy to explain to commor visitor More than 6 Large Utmost Fitting No damage International None More than 50 Utmost (by bus) More than 6 Less than 5 km International Utmost International Utmost futan 6 Less than 5 km International Utmost quality Utmost International Utmost quality Utmost Utmost Utmost International Utmost International Utmost International Utmost International Utmost International Utmost International Utmost International Utmost International Utmost International Utmost International Utmost International Utmost International Utmost Itmost International Utmost Itmost Itmost Itmost

Source: (Vujičić et al., 2011)

Table 2 Subindicator values given by experts for Petnička Cave (C_1) , Degurićka Cave (C_2) , Ribnička Cave (C_3) , and Šalitrena Cave (C_4)

	C_1	<i>C</i> ₂	<i>C</i> ₃	C_4	Im	Total value			
Main indicators/subindicators						$\overline{C_1}$	<i>C</i> ₂	<i>C</i> ₃	<i>C</i> ₄
I Scientific/educational values (VSE)									
Rarity (SIMV ₁)	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.89	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22
Representativeness (SIMV ₂)	0.50	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.79	0.39	0.19	0.19	0.19
Knowledge on geo-scientific issues (SIMV ₃)	0.75	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.45	0.33	0.11	0.11	0.11
Level of interpretation (SIMV ₄)	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.85	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.63
II Scenic/aesthetic values (VSA)									
Viewpoints (each must present a particular angle of view) (SIMV ₅)	0.50	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.79	0.39	0.19	0.19	0.19
Surface (each considered in quantitative relation to other) (SIMV ₆)	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.54	0.27	0.00	0.00
Surrounding landscape and nature (SIMV ₇)	0.75	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.95	0.71	0.95	0.47	0.47
Environmental fitting of sites (SIMV ₈)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.68
III Protection (VPr)									
Current condition (SIMV ₉)	0.75	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.83	0.62	0.83	0.83	0.83
Protection level $(SIMV_{10})$	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.76	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57
Vulnerability (SIMV ₁₁)	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.58	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29
Suitable number of visitors (SIMV ₁₂)	0.75	0.50	0.75	0.50	0.42	0.31	0.21	0.31	0.21
Additional indicators/subindicators									
I Functional values (VFn)									
Accessibility (SIAV ₁)	1.00	0.75	1.00	0.25	0.75	0.75	0.56	0.75	0.18
Additional natural values (SIAV ₂)	0.75	0.75	0.50	0.50	0.71	0.53	0.53	0.35	0.35
Additional anthropogenic values (SIAV ₃)	1.00	1.00	0.25	0.25	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.17	0.17
Vicinity of emissive centers (SIAV ₄)	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.75	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.36	0.36
Vicinity of important road network (SIAV ₅)	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.62	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31
Additional functional values (SIAV ₆)	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.59	0.59	0.29	0.59	0.00
II Tourist values (VTr)									
Promotion (SIAV ₇)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual number of organized visits (SIAV8)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vicinity of visitors centers (SIAV ₉)	1.00	0.50	0.25	0.25	0.87	0.87	0.43	0.22	0.22
Interpretive panels (SIAV $_{10}$)	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.40	0.20	0.00	0.00
Annual number of visitors (SIAV11)	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.00	0.43	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.00
Tourism infrastructure (SIAV ₁₂)	0.25	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.73	0.18	0.00	0.18	0.00
Tour guide service (SIAV $_{13}$)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hostelry service (SIAV ₁₄)	1.00	0.75	1.00	0.50	0.73	0.73	0.55	0.73	0.36
Restaurant service (SIAV ₁₅)	1.00	0.75	1.00	0.50	0.78	0.78	0.58	0.78	0.39

$$AV = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Im_{j^*AVj} \tag{9}$$

survey. The resulting values of the importance factor have been adopted from the mentioned research and used for the purpose of this paper.

As it is seen from the previous equations, the value of the importance factor (Im) for each subindicator in the model is rated by visitors and afterwards multiplied with the values given by experts for each subindicator respectively.

In the research by Božić and Tomić (2015) about different geotouristic market segments, the importance factor (for each subindicator) for Serbian tourists was calculated through a

According to the final assessment results, a matrix of main (*X* axes) and additional values (*Y* axes) can be made (Fig. 9). The matrix is divided into nine sections marked with Z(i,j), (i,j = 1,2,3). Depending upon the final score, each analyzed geosite will fall within a certain section of the matrix. For example, if the main values of a geosite are 7 and the additional values are 4, the geosite will belong to the Z_{21} field of the M-GAM matrix.

Fig. 9 Position of geosites in the M-GAM matrix



Results and discussion

Show caves are one of the most important attractions from the nature-based tourism point of view. Thus, they deserve much attention in their development. The fundamental criteria presently adopted are the protection of the cave environment, the safety of the visitors and a correct profit from the cave management. All such criteria must be taken into account, otherwise, the development would have very negative effects (Cigna 2016). Gurnee (1994) concluded that it will be the global objective of cave owners and operators to continue to improve methods for giving the maximum enjoyment to the visitor without jeopardizing the quality of the underground environments of caves. Bella et al. (2001) acknowledge that a show cave should be an educational site where a part of underground spaces is technically adjusted for cultural and educational purposes or other community-based utilization at the same time with keeping the stability of cave geosystems and supporting their protection. On the other hand, De Freitas (2010) argues that the approach to managing show caves depends on the type of cave, whether the cave or section of a cave is a low energy, stable environment. Caves or sections of caves that are active, high-energy environments, such as those with a large throughput of water, are much less sensitive to internal human-induced change. It is indisputable among authors and researchers that it is necessary to consider all aspects of the cave natural environment and the anthropogenic impact on the caves before the management structures decide to turn the speleological object into a show cave.

Revealing the ability of speleological objects becoming show caves, using M-GAM is crucial for cave tourism development. The Valjevo karst area in Western Serbia is a very particular case study since it holds numerous caves with extraordinary tourism potential, which are not prepared for tourist visits. Determining the potential for cave tourism development is implied before any primary investments are made. The results of this paper show the position of the explored caves in the M-GAM matrix. This data can be the ground point for creating a cave tourism product of Western Serbia, which could economically empower this region. Furthermore, if the results from the M-GAM are high, management can be assured that any form of investment for cave tourism development will be successful.

Table 2 shows the results of the tourist evaluation according to the M-GAM method. The subindicators of scientific and educational values possess relatively similar scores, which have a moderate level. Firstly, the rarity of the caves is not high because there are similar geosites in this region. Some of them are highly developed tourist destinations, such as Stopića Cave and Podpećka Cave in the Zlatibor region. Secondly, with the help of field explorations, we concluded that most of the caves have low values of representativity because there is a small number of speleothems. Although there is a deficit of speleothems, these caves have a certain level of aesthetic and ambient value. The first speleological unit in Petnička Cave is a unique large hall, nearby the cave lake. These elements are the essential reason why this cave stands out for its representativeness. While all other caves

Geoheritage (2020) 12: 95

were rated with low scores, Petnička Cave was given a score of 0.50 for its representative qualities.

Some parts of the caves might be more aesthetically appealing to tourists when arranged for visits. Particularly noteworthy is the lack of lighting, pedestrian tracks, signalization, and organized tours. All the caves have these deficiencies, and this is a major problem. The absence of these changes cannot be an attraction for current generations, who increasingly seek more pristine areas. Only when these impediments are overcome, other factors of tourism improvement can be implemented. Furthermore, the scientific knowledge of these caves is not sufficiently developed. Petnička Cave has been the topic of several national publications, but additional research could have positive results on scientific clarification of this cave. As for the other caves, they have not been a topic in scientific publications in the fields of speleology, geology, geography, and tourism. If there were more scientific and non-scientific publications about these caves, their popularity might be on a much higher level. One of the significant advantages of these caves is that they have a moderate level of geomorphological processes that can be easily explained to a common visitor. This means that it is not necessary to invest much in tourist presentations and education of tour guides, which reduces the amount of funds needed for cave arrangement and cave tourism development (Table 3).

The subindicators of scenic/aesthetic values have not been so similarly evaluated as previous subindicators. Viewpoints in caves are important because they give an attractive view of a particular speleological unit. During our field research, we determined the existence of two viewpoints in Petnička Cave (one in the "High Hall" and one in the "Bears' Hall"). Petnička and Degurićka Caves are much larger than the other two, and they have richer and more diverse landscapes. Nearby the Degurićka Cave there is the Gradac River with natural conditions for swimming. This area is a favorite getaway for citizens of Valjevo City and it is a true destination for nature-based tourism. It should be mentioned that the Degurićka Cave is completely flooded. The river flows from the cave and it cannot be entered without proper equipment.

 Table 3
 Overall ranking of caves in Western Serbia by M-GAM

Geosite name	Main values	Additional v	Field		
	VSE + VSA + VPr	Σ	VFn + VTr	Σ	
Petnička Cave	1.57 + 2.32 + 1.79	5.68	3.36 + 3.07	6.43	Z22
Degurićka Cave	1.15 + 2.09 + 1.90	5.14	2.87 + 1.87	4.74	Z21
Ribnička Cave	1.15 + 1.34 + 2.00	4.49	2.53 + 2.02	4.55	Z21
Šalitrena Cave	1.15 + 1.34 + 1.90	4.39	1.37 + 0.97	2.34	Z21
Potpećka Cave	1.68 + 1.85 + 1.79	5.32	1.90 + 3.05	4.95	Z21
Stopića Cave	2.21 + 1.85 + 1.43	5.49	1.90 + 3.05	4.95	Z22

Possible options for enabling tourist visitations would be to arrange boat tours or to build a pedestrian track that runs along the walls of the cave. The other two caves are less accessible. The Ribnička Cave is located right next to the monastery and is completely overgrown with grass, while the Šalitrena Cave is extremely inaccessible; it is necessary to cross the river and pass through unregulated pathways. Nevertheless, all caves fit in the environment and they are the true representatives of rich geodiversity.

The current natural condition of the explored caves is very good. All caves, except Petnička Cave, have no serious damage. Considering that the Petnička Cave was once a popular tourist destination, it has some infrastructure in its immediate vicinity, which is ruined by human factors. Also, inside the cave, a few graffiti and garbage can be seen. If the cave were still open for visitors, problems of this type would not exist.

The suitable number of tourists that can visit the caves in one tour is different. For Degurićka Cave, the visit is almost impossible without the suitable equipment and Šalitrena Cave is too small for more than 30 tourists. However, Petnička and Ribnička caves can take up to 50 tourists for each tour. After evaluating the functional values, it can be concluded that all caves possess adequate complementarities that are important for tourism development.

The promotion and the annual number of organized visits are rated with the lowest scores, because the caves are not equipped for tourism development and do not have any kind of promotion or organized visits. This is a very important defficiency, which needs to be corrected so that cave tourism development could have an impact on local and regional tourism and economic development.

The proximity of the visitor centers was observed in relation to the Petnica Research Center, which is located in the vicinity of the Petnička Cave. Therefore, this cave received the highest rating, while other caves further from the research center received a lower rating.

The Degurićka Cave is relatively close to the Petnica Research Center, so it is not necessary to build an additional visitor center. However, when it comes to Ribnička Cave and Šalitrena Cave, they need additional visitation centers.

Interpretive panels exist at Petnička and Degurićka caves and are completely absent at Ribnička and Šalitrena caves. Tourst visits are completely unorganized. When it comes to visiting these caves, tourists are self-initiative. According to the local tourist organization of the city of Valjevo, there are less than 5000 tourists annually, which is very low. The entrance to the Šalitrena Cave is forbidden due to archeological excavations. A very positive aspect of this area is the large number of rural villages, with rural catering establishments that provide solid quality accommodation and food services.

These caves have the possibility of a scientifically educational interpretation that can be presented to visitors in a quality way. Therefore, they are a priority of cave tourism development. However, it is necessary to intensively work on investment plans and development strategies, through marketing and management structures.

Looking at regional tourism development in Western Serbia, it is evident that these speleological objects should be transformed into show caves as soon as possible. First, construction work should be done in Petnička Cave, then in Degurićka Cave and then further strategic directions for the other two caves should be considered.

Conclusion

Compared to the caves of the Zlatibor region, the explored caves have a much more modest geomorphological diversity. The interior of the caves has less speleothems and the environment around the caves has less karst landscapes. In addition, the caves of the Zlatibor region are arranged for tourists, they have lighting, pedestrian tracks, tour guide services, and organized management. Therefore, Stopića and Podpećka caves are much more touristically developed than all of the caves from the Valjevo karst area. Nevertheless, the results of the research indicate the presence of exceptional tourism potentials, which can be valuable indicators for the development of cave tourism in Western Serbia. The center of a potential Karst geopark would initially be the Zlatibor region, while the caves of the Valjevo region would, with the exception of the Petnička Cave, represent the complementary cave tourism values. The popularity of the caves from the Zlatibor region should be used to promote the caves of the Valievo region. Furthermore, the establishment of the Western Serbia Karst Geopark Visitor Center near the Petnička Cave would further contribute to the promotion of cave tourism in Western Serbia. Strategic plans need to be implemented in order to maximize the use of all existing tourism potential. This would certainly contribute to regional economic development and would improve the position of the city of Valjevo in the tourist market.

It is evident that all the natural conditions for establishing a karst geopark in Western Serbia exist. However, the management of speleological objects needs to be modernized. In order for these caves to have a positive economic effect, the infrastructure investment in technical equipment, such as lights, pedestrian tracks, and safety equipment must be a priority. Also, the management and rescue team responsible for caves is important for controlling and improving the quality of cave tourism service. These ventures can have a huge impact on regional economic and tourism development. They can start local businesses, create jobs, and generally improve socioeconomic development and environmental conservation.

The conducted research confirms the importance and necessity for the inclusion of the explored caves in the tourist market. In addition to the speleological values themselves, these geosites possess remarkable complementary social values, such as the Petnica Research Center, which is a scientific institution on a national level. Combining all the aforementioned tourism potentials, it is evident that a very highquality tourist product can be created in this area. The management of caves and other local and regional management structures should pay full attention to these speleological objects, as well as to maximize their improvement and protective measures. This creates a sustainable way of tourism and economic development, quality promotion of speleology, and protection of caves.

Funding The authors acknowledge financial support of the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia (Grant No. 451-03-68/2020-14/ 200125)

References

- Antić A, Tomić N (2017) Geoheritage and geotourism potential of the Homolje area (eastern Serbia). Acta Geoturistica 8(2):67–78
- Antić A, Tomić N (2019) Assessing the speleotourism potential together with archaeological and palaeontological heritage in Risovača Cave (Central Serbia). Acta Geoturistica 10(1):1–11
- Antić A, Tomić N, Marković SB (2019) Karst geoheritage and geotourism potential in the Pek River lower basin (Eastern Serbia). Geogr Pannonica 23(1):32–46
- Bella P, Hlavac J, Gazik P (2001) Protection and management of show caves in Slovakia. ABSTRACT SPELEO BRAZIL 15-22
- Boškov J, Kotrla S, Jovanović M, Tomić N, Lukić T, Rvović I (2015) Application of the preliminary Geosite Assessment Model (GAM): the case of the Bela Crkva municipality (Vojvodina, North Serbia). Geogr Pannonica 19(3):146–152
- Božić J (2008) Mogućnost korišćenja Petničke pećine u turzmu valjevske opštine. Bachelor thesis, Faculty of Sciences, University of Novi Sad, (in Serbian)
- Božić S, Tomić N (2015) Canyons and gorges as potential geotourism destinations in Serbia: comparative analysis from two perspectives general geotourists' and pure geotourists'. Open Geosci 7(1):531– 546
- Božić S, Tomić N, Pavić D (2014) Canyons as potential geotourism attractions of Serbia - comparative analysis of Lazar and Uvac canyons by using M-GAM model. Acta Geoturistica 5(2):18–30
- Bruschi VM, Cendrero A (2005) Geosite evaluation; can we measure intangible values? Alpine Mediterr Quat 18(1):293–306
- Cigna AA (2016) Tourism and show caves. Z Geomorphol 60(2):217– 233
- Coratza P, Giusti C (2005) Methodological proposal for the assessment of the scientific quality of geomorphosites. Alpine Mediterr Quat 18(1):307–313
- Crane R, Fletcher L (2016) The speleotourist experience: approaches to show cave operations in Australia and China. Helictite 42(100):1–11
- de Freitas CR (2010) The role and importance of cave microclimate in the sustainable use and management of show caves. Acta Carsol 39(3)
- Đurović P (1998) Speleological Atlas of Serbia. Geographical Institute. Jovan Cvijić, Belgrade
- Erhartič B (2010) Vrednotenje geomorfološke dediščine. Acta Geogr Slov 50(2):295–319
- Guirado E, Ramos-López D, Maldonado AD, Moreno-Balcázar JJ, Calaforra JM (2019) Modeling carbon dioxide for show cave conservation. J Nat Conserv 49:76–84

- Gurnee J (1994) Management of some unusual features in the show caves of the United States. Int J Speleol 23(1):2
- Hose TA (1997) Geotourism selling the earth to Europe (P. G. Marinos, G. Koukis, C. Tsiambaos, & G. C. Stournaras, Eds.). Eng Geol Environ
- ISCA International Show Caves Association. http://www.i-s-c-a.com/ about-us. Accessed 20 Sept 2019
- Jonić V (2018) Comparative analysis of Devils town and Bryce canyon geosites by applying the modified geosite assessment model (M-GAM). Res Rev Dep Geogr Tourism Hotel Manag 47(2):113–125
- Jovanović B (1951) *Petnička cave.* J Geogr Instit Jovan Cvijić 1:105–134 Kličković M (2015) Show caves of Serbia: improvement possibilities.
- Zaštita Prirode 65:73-80 (in Serbian) Lazarević R (1996) Valjevski Kras. Serbian Geographical Society,
- Belgrade
- Mihailović D (2014) Palaeolithic and mesolithic research in the Central Balkans. Serbian Archaeological Society, Belgrade
- Mulaomerović J, Lučić I, Osmanković J (2012) Karst and Caves of Bosnia and Herzegovina: the past for the future. Center for Speleology, Sarajevo, Bosnia nd Herzegovina
- Pál M, Albert G (2018) Comparison of geotourism assessment models: and experiment in Bakony–Balaton UNSECO Global Geopark, Hungary. Acta Geoturistica 9(2):1–13
- Pereira P, Pereira D, Caetano-Alves MI (2007) Geomorphosite assessment in Montesinho Natural Park (Portugal). Geogr Helv 62(3): 159–168
- Petrović A (2006) Speleotourism in Serbia—conditions and development perspectives. Collection of Papers – Faculty of Geography, University of Belgrade. 54:183–194
- Pralong JP (2005) A method for assessing the tourist potential and use of geomorphological sites. Géomorphol Relief Process Environ 3:189– 196
- Reynard E (2008) Scientific research and tourist promotion of geomorphological heritage. Geogr Fis Din Quat 31:225–230
- Reynard E, Fontana G, Kozlik L, Scapozza C (2007) A method for assessing "scientific" and "additional values" of geomorphosites. Geogr Helv 62(3):148–158

- Serrano E, González-Trueba JJ (2005) Assessment of geomorphosites in natural protected areas: the Picos de Europa National Park (Spain). Géomorphologie. Formes, Process, Environ 3:197–208
- SSOS Savez Speleoloških Organizacija Srbije http://speleoserbia.com/ index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=119&Itemid= 94&lang=en. Accessed 27 September 2019. (in Serbian)
- Tičar J, Tomić N, Breg Valjavec M, Zorn M, Marković SB, Gavrilov MB (2018) Speleotourism in Slovenia: balancing between mass tourism and geoheritage protection. Open Geosci 10(1):344–357
- Tomic N (2011) The potential of Lazar Canyon (Serbia) as a geotourism destination: Inventory and evaluation. Geogr Pannonica 15(3):103–112
- Tomić N, Božić S (2014) A modified geosite assessment model (M-GAM) and its application on the Lazar Canyon area (Serbia). Int J Environ Res 8(4):1041–1052
- Tomić N, Marković SB, Korać M, Mrdić N, Hose TA, Vasiljević ĐA, Jovičić M, Gavrilov MB (2015) Exposing mammoths: from Loess research discovery to public palaeontological park. Quat Int 372: 142–150
- Tomić N, Antić A, Marković SB, Đorđević T, Zorn M, Valjavec MB (2019) Exploring the potential for speleotourism development in Eastern Serbia. Geoheritage 11(2):359–369
- Tomić N, Marković SB, Antić A, Tešić D (2020) Exploring the potential for geotourism development in the Danube Region of Serbia. Int J Geoheritage Parks 8(2):123–139
- Vujičić MD, Vasiljević ĐA, Marković SB, Hose TA, Lukić T, Hadžić O, Janićević S (2011) Preliminary geosite assessment model (gam) and its application on Fruška gora mountain, potential geotourism destination of Serbia. Acta Geogr Slov 51(2):361–376
- Vukoičić D, Milosavljević S, Valjarević A, Nikolić M, Srećković-Batocánin D (2018) The evaluation of geosites in the territory of National park .,Kopaonik (Serbia). Open Geosci 10(1):618–633
- Vuković S, Antić A (2019) Speleological approach for geotourism development in Zlatibor county (West Serbia). Turizam 23(1):53–68
- Zouros NC (2007) Geomorphosite assessment and management in protected areas of Greece Case study of the Lesvos island – coastal geomorphosites. Geogr Helv 62(3):169–180