



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Combined application of NPK on yield quality of sugarcane applied through SSDI

Lin Xu · Hai-Rong Huang · Li-Tao Yang · Yang-Rui Li

Received: 17 February, 2010; Revised: 28 March, 2010; Accepted: 13 April, 2010

Abstract Field experiments were conducted to study the effects of combined application of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) on growth, yield quality and nutrient balance of sugarcane with subsurface drip irrigation by using the sugarcane variety ROC89/1626 and adopting “3414” project design. It was observed that the appropriate rate of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O increased the plant height, cane yield and cane quality of sugarcane under the experimental conditions. The fertigation model recommended for highest cane yield was 367.2 kg N, 162.6 kg P₂O₅ and 423.3 kg K₂O per ha. The highest cane yield recorded with this dose was 101.8 t/ha. The best fertigation model recommended was 340.1 kg N, 141.9 kg P₂O₅ and 401.1 kg K₂O per ha for the cane yield of 101.6 t/ha.

Keywords Sugarcane, combined application, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, nutrient balance, yield

Introduction

Sugarcane (*Saccharum species* hybrid L.) is the most important sugar crop in China. Guangxi is the most productive sugarcane and sugar producing provinces, contributing over

70% of the total cane sugar output in China. Most of the sugarcane growing areas are on the slope uplands, which have low fertility and water holding capacity responsible for the low cane productivity (Li 2004). Water and nutrients play an important role in sugarcane production. Considerable variations are noted in the absorption and uptake of nutrients and water by sugarcane from the soil (Li 1993; Yang and Li 2005). Subsurface drip irrigation (SDI) is a combination of drip irrigation and fertilization technology (Bar 1999), which could not only save the water and fertilizer, but also improve crop yield and quality with lower costs and increase the efficiency of crop production (Zhou *et al.* 2001; Cheng *et al.* 1999). The advantages of SDI include easy operation, easy realization of automatic control of both irrigation and fertilization, saving water and fertilizer, effectively controlling insect pests and diseases, and improving labor and production efficiencies (Camp 1998). At present, SDI was mainly studied in cotton (Kan *et al.* 2007), corn (Caldwell *et al.* 1994; Lamm *et al.* 2002), vegetables (Clark *et al.* 1993; Neibling *et al.* 1995) and fruit (Cui 2007), etc. The aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium combined application on sugarcane with subsurface drip irrigation, and provide a reference to improve fertilizer and water use efficiency, to increase the cane yield and sugar quality, and finally to improve the economic income in commercial sugarcane plantation in Guangxi, China.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials and soil characteristics

The experiments were conducted on Jinguang Farm, Guangxi, China during 2008 - 2009. The sugarcane variety ROC89/1626 supplied by Jinguang Farm was employed as the experimental material. The soil of the experiments was clay

Lin Xu · Hai-Rong Huang · Yang-Rui Li (✉)

Guangxi Sugarcane Research Institute/ Sugarcane Research Center,
Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences,
Nanning 530007, PR China
Email: liyr@gxaas.net

Li-Tao Yang · Yang-Rui Li

Guangxi Key Laboratory of Subtropical Bioresources Conservation
and Utilization/ Agricultural College, Guangxi University, Nanning
530004, PR China

Yang-Rui Li

Guangxi Crop Genetic Improvement and Biotechnology Lab,
Nanning, 530007, PR China.

loam with pH4.89, organic matter 3.16%, total N 0.12%, alkalized N 97.4 mg/kg, available P 21.7 mg/kg and available K 130 mg/kg. For 0-20 cm soil layer, it was characterized by bulk density 1.32 g/cm³, water content 31.5% and field capacity 39.9%. The fertilizer used included urea (N 46%), fused calcium magnesium phosphate (P₂O₅ 18%) and KCl (K₂O 60%).

Experimental design and treatments

The experiment was conducted by “3414” project design that was recommended by China Ministry of Agriculture for *Fertilization Technical Specifications*. Three factors of N, P, K were designed with four levels and 14 treatments in 42 plots. The plot size was 90 m² each with the planting density of 82,410 buds/ha. The experiments were laid in randomized block design with three replications. Border rows were designed surrounding the experimental areas. The treatments were designed for this experiment, which are described in Table 1.

Table 1. “3414” design of the experiments (kg/ha)

Treatment Number	Treatments	N level	N (kg/ha)	P level	P ₂ O ₅ (kg/ha)	K level	K ₂ O (kg/ha)
1	N ₀ P ₀ K ₀	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	N ₀ P ₂ K ₂	0	0	2	195.00	2	420.00
3	N ₁ P ₂ K ₂	1	187.50	2	195.00	2	420.00
4	N ₂ P ₀ K ₂	2	375.00	0	0	2	420.00
5	N ₂ P ₁ K ₂	2	375.00	1	97.50	2	420.00
6	N ₂ P ₂ K ₂	2	375.00	2	195.00	2	420.00
7	N ₂ P ₃ K ₂	2	375.00	3	292.50	2	420.00
8	N ₂ P ₂ K ₀	2	375.00	2	195.00	0	0
9	N ₂ P ₂ K ₁	2	375.00	2	195.00	1	210.00
10	N ₂ P ₂ K ₃	2	375.00	2	195.00	3	630.00
11	N ₃ P ₂ K ₂	3	562.50	2	195.00	2	420.00
12	N ₁ P ₁ K ₂	1	187.50	1	97.50	2	420.00
13	N ₁ P ₂ K ₁	1	187.50	2	195.00	1	210.00
14	N ₂ P ₁ K ₁	2	375.00	1	97.50	1	210.00

Results and Discussion

Effect on plant height of sugarcane

The plant height is very important for cultivation and breeding of sugarcane. The plant height data are given in Table 2, which showed that the treatment T5 (N₂P₁K₂) had maximum and the treatment T8 (N₂P₂K₀) had minimum effect plant height. The plant height was increased by increasing application of N from level 0 (N₀P₂K₂) to level 2 (N₂P₂K₂), but decreased with the fertilizer application level 3 (N₃P₂K₂). For the effect of P, the level 0 (N₂P₀K₂) and level 2 (N₂P₂K₂) showed significantly higher plant height (*p*<0.05) than the level 3 (N₂P₃K₂). The plant height was higher at level 3 for K application, which was significantly higher (*p*<0.05) than that at other levels of K. Therefore, rational combined application of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O increased the plant height of sugarcane with subsurface drip irrigation.

Table 2. Effect of combined application of N, P and K on plant height of sugarcane at processing maturing stage (cm)

Treatment Number	Treatments	Average	Significance at 5% level
1	N ₀ P ₀ K ₀	335.3	bc
2	N ₀ P ₂ K ₂	325.0	cd
3	N ₁ P ₂ K ₂	326.3	cd
4	N ₂ P ₀ K ₂	339.7	abc
5	N ₂ P ₁ K ₂	356.0	a
6	N ₂ P ₂ K ₂	329.5	c
7	N ₂ P ₃ K ₂	333.3	bc
8	N ₂ P ₂ K ₀	307.8	d
9	N ₂ P ₂ K ₁	335.3	bc
10	N ₂ P ₂ K ₃	352.5	ab
11	N ₃ P ₂ K ₂	322.0	cd
12	N ₁ P ₁ K ₂	338.4	abc
13	N ₁ P ₂ K ₁	340.4	abc
14	N ₂ P ₁ K ₁	338.2	abc

Note: All the data were tested by means of LSR. Numbers in columns with different letters are significantly different (*P*<0.05), the same as this below.

Effect on cane quality

The data in Table 3 showed that there were differences in maturity and quality of sugarcane in different fertilization treatments under subsurface drip irrigation. The sucrose in cane in all the treatment was higher than 15% and the treatment T7 (N₂P₃K₂) was best. The fiber in cane in the treatment T1 N₀P₀K₀ was higher than others. The purity of juice was higher than 88% for all the treatments, and the highest was obtained in the treatment T6 and reached 93.06%. The lowest value was in T14 which was 88.11%. The reducing sugar in juice were all lower than 0.25%, the lowest were found in T3 and T14. The low reducing sugars level suggested that the sugarcane was at maturity stage and cane quality was good. The best cane quality was found in T7 and T3, which showed that rational combined application of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O was conducive to the accumulation of sugar and resulted in higher cane quality of sugarcane.

Table 3. Effect of combined application of N, P and K on cane quality of sugarcane

Treatment Number	Treatment	Sucrose in cane (%)	Fiber in cane (%)	Brix of juice (Bx°)	Purity of juice (%)	Reducing sugar in juice (%)
1	N ₀ P ₀ K ₀	16.46	13.95	21.22	92.74	0.16
2	N ₀ P ₂ K ₂	15.19	12.98	19.98	91.04	0.16
3	N ₁ P ₂ K ₂	16.01	13.42	20.62	92.68	0.10
4	N ₂ P ₀ K ₂	15.04	12.51	19.72	89.66	0.20
5	N ₂ P ₁ K ₂	15.83	13.44	20.86	90.89	0.12
6	N ₂ P ₂ K ₂	15.23	13.11	19.61	93.06	0.12
7	N ₂ P ₃ K ₂	16.48	12.76	21.02	92.20	0.13
8	N ₂ P ₂ K ₀	16.02	13.15	20.81	91.25	0.13
9	N ₂ P ₂ K ₁	15.74	13.44	20.52	91.28	0.17
10	N ₂ P ₂ K ₃	15.70	12.09	20.22	91.15	0.17
11	N ₃ P ₂ K ₂	15.16	12.88	19.88	91.15	0.17
12	N ₁ P ₁ K ₂	15.53	13.57	20.32	90.90	0.23
13	N ₁ P ₂ K ₁	15.93	12.69	20.72	90.93	0.18
14	N ₂ P ₁ K ₁	15.07	11.70	20.02	88.11	0.09

Effect on cane yield

Under the present subsurface drip irrigation condition, the data in Table 4 showed that the yield in the treatment T2 N₀P₂K₂ was lowest with 87.6 t/ha, then T1 N₀P₀K₀. Different ratio of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O had varied effects on the cane yield. The highest yield was observed in the treatment T4 N₂P₀K₂ with 114.0 t/ha, which was significantly higher than that in the other treatments and improved the cane yield by 28% as compared to the treatment T1 N₀P₀K₀. The treatment T6 N₂P₂K₂ also recorded significantly higher cane yield (108.2 t/ha) than the treatments T5, T8, T10, T13 and T14, which was 22% higher than that in the treatment T1 N₀P₀K₀. As the data shown in the Table 4, the highest output value was obtained in the treatment T4 N₂P₀K₂, then in T6 N₂P₂K₂, and the lowest in T1 N₀P₀K₀. The highest fertilizer cost was in in the treatment T10 N₂P₂K₃ because the K fertilizer was more expensive than N and P fertilizers, and the lower was in the treatment T8 N₂P₂K₀ except for the non fertilized control T1 N₀P₀K₀. The highest net income was obtained in the treatment T4 N₂P₀K₂, followed by T9 N₂P₂K₁, and they were 2476.0 Yuan/ha and 614.3 Yuan/ha higher as compared to T1 N₀P₀K₀.

Table 4. Effect of combined application of N, P and K on cane yield and economic benefit of sugarcane production

Treatment Number	Treatment	Cane yield (t/ha)	Fertilizer cost (Yuan/ha)	Output value (Yuan/ha)	Net income (Yuan/ha)
1	N ₀ P ₀ K ₀	88.8cd	0	24430.6	24430.6
2	N ₀ P ₂ K ₂	87.6d	3657.0	24097.5	20440.5
3	N ₁ P ₂ K ₂	105.5ab	4575.8	29009.5	24433.7
4	N ₂ P ₀ K ₂	114.0a	4441.5	31348.1	26906.6
5	N ₂ P ₁ K ₂	98.9bcd	4968.0	27204.9	22236.9
6	N ₂ P ₂ K ₂	108.2ab	5494.5	29752.6	24258.1
7	N ₂ P ₃ K ₂	103.8ab	6021.0	28534.7	22513.7
8	N ₂ P ₂ K ₀	96.8bcd	2890.5	26633.0	23742.5
9	N ₂ P ₂ K ₁	106.3ab	4192.5	29237.4	25044.9
10	N ₂ P ₂ K ₃	98.2bcd	6796.5	27017.4	20220.9
11	N ₃ P ₂ K ₂	104.0ab	6413.3	28591.4	22178.1
12	N ₁ P ₁ K ₂	101.1abc	4049.3	27790.2	23741.0
13	N ₁ P ₂ K ₁	95.2bcd	3273.8	26171.8	22898.0
14	N ₂ P ₁ K ₁	95.4bcd	3666.0	26232.5	22566.5

Note: 1. In 2008-2009 milling year, the price was 0.275 Yuan/kg for millable cane, 4.9 Yuan/kg for pure N, Yuan/kg for P₂O₅ and, 6.2 Yuan/kg for K₂O. 2. Pure income was calculated as total income substrate fertilizer cost only because other managements were the same.

Fertilizer effect function

The combined N, P₂O₅ and K₂O fertilizer effect functions were investigated under this experiment. The highest and economic yield was obtained by "3414" design.

The data in Table 5 showed that different functions recorded different results of highest yield. The ternary function

of N gave lower fertilizer rate than the others, the binary functions of P and K showed the lower P rate, and the binary functions of N and K showed lower K rate. The ratio of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O were recommended by different functions (Table 5). The lowest rates of 306.50 t/ha N and 186.3 t/ha P were recommended by the Unitary function of N and binary function of PK, respectively, and the unitary function of K showed the lowest K rate. As a result, combined application of N 367.21 kg, P₂O₅ 162.59 kg and K₂O 423.26 kg per ha could produce highest cane yield, and combined application of N 346.10 kg, P₂O₅ 141.93kg and K₂O 401.11 kg per ha could produce economic cane yield under subsurface drip irrigation in the present experimental condition.

Table 5. Fertilizer rate recommended by different functions (kg/ha)

Function	Highest cane yield (t/ha)				Economic cane yield (t/ha)			
	N	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O	Y	N	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O	Y
Ternary function	333.45	30.30	620.67	112.2	320.63	39.54	569.8	110.0
Binary function of NP	402.71	263.37	420.00	105.1	387.89	235.98	420.00	103.4
Binary function of NK	370.50	195.00	325.50	102.3	369.36	195.00	305.04	102.3
Binary function of PK	375.00	194.4	418.52	102.1	375.00	186.3	503.37	101.2
Unitary function of N	362.19	195.00	420.00	101.8	306.50	195.00	420.00	100.2
Unitary function of K	375.00	195.00	328.34	102.4	375.00	195.00	225.86	101.9
Average	367.21	162.59	423.26	101.8	346.10	141.93	401.11	101.6

Nutrient balance method

Under subsurface drip irrigation, the data in Table 6 revealed that fertilizer application rates recommended by nutrient balance method. It was based on the expected cane yield the nutrient provided by soil, the effective components of fertilizer and the fertilizer availability. The fertilizer application rates recommended were N 373.82 kg, P₂O₅ 304.76 kg and K₂O 404.83 kg per ha.

Table 6. Parameters and fertilizer application rates recommended by nutrient balance method

Nutri ent	Nutrient per hundred kg	Nutrient for yield expected (kg)	Fertilizer availability (%)	Nutrient provided by soil (kg/ha)	Effective component of fertilizer (%)	Fertilizer amount recommended (kg/ha)
N	0.20	242.35	37.76	177.68	46.00	373.82
P ₂ O ₅	0.02	23.98	7.50	17.58	18.00	304.76
K ₂ O	0.34	411.14	31.26	301.43	60.00	404.83

Conclusion

The experiment was conducted to study the effect of combined application of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on plant height, yield, and quality of rational combined application of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O increased plant height and enhance the growth of sugarcane. The highest cane yield, output value and net income were gained with combined application was of 375 kg N, 0 kg P₂O₅ and 420 kg K₂O per ha, which were 114 t/ha, 31348.13 Yuan/ha and 26906.63 Yuan/ha. The second best combined application of 375 kg N, 195 kg P₂O₅ and 420 kg K₂O per ha, in which cane yield, output value and net income were 108.2 t/ha, 27952.61 Yuan/ha and 24258.11 Yuan/ha, respectively. Different ratios of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O had varied effects on the cane quality. The appropriate rate of P₂O₅ seemed to improve the cane quality, but it was not significant under the present experiment condition. The cane quality was not affected by the application rates of N and K₂O. The two combinations viz., 375 kg N, 292.5 kg P₂O₅, 420 kg K₂O per ha and 375 kg N, 195.00 kg P₂O₅ and 420 kg K₂O per ha, produced the best cane quality. The fertilizer rates were recommended by fertilizer effect function and nutrient balance method. The highest and economic cane yield could be obtained by applying 346.1 kg N, 141.9 kg P₂O₅ and 401.1 kg K₂O per ha, which could produce 102t/ha of cane under subsurface drip irrigation.

Acknowledgement The present study was supported by Guangxi Science and Technology Development Fund (Gui Ke Gong 0782004-3, 0815011) and National Science and Technology Supporting Program (2007BAD30B03) and Special Foundation for Modern Agricultural Industrial Technological System Construction (nycytx-024-01-15).

References

- Bar YB (1999). Advances in fertigation. *Advances in Agronomy* 65:1-77.
- Caldwell DS, Spurgeon WE, Manges HL (1994). Frequency of irrigation for subsurface drip-irrigated corn. *Transactions of the ASAE* 37(4):1099-1103.
- Camp CR (1998). Subsurface drip irrigation: A review. *Transactions of the ASAE* 41(5):2-31.
- Cheng XJ, Xu D, Zhang H (1999). A summary of development and application situations for subsurface drip irrigation technique. *Water Saving Irrigation* 4:13-16.
- Clark GA, Stanley CD, Maynard DN (1993). Surface v.s. subsurface drip irrigation of tomatoes on a sandy soil. *Proc Fla State Hort Soc* 106:210-212.
- Cui JM (2007). Application of subsurface drip irrigation on apple. *Hebei Fruit* 4:12-13.
- Kan XH, Wang WX (2007). Application of subsurface drip irrigation on cotton in Xinhua Farm. *Water Saving Irrigation* 2:22-24.
- Lamm FR, Trooien TP (2002). Subsurface drip irrigation for corn production: a review of 10 years of research in Kansas. *Irrigation Science* 22(4):195-200.
- Li YR (1993). The contents of ten nutritional elements in leaves of nine sugarcane genotypes. *Sugar Cane* 6:10-12.
- Li YR (2004). China: an emerging sugar super power. *Sugar Tech* 6(4):213-228.
- Neibling H, Brooks R (1995). Potato production using subsurface drip irrigation-water and nutrient management. *Proc 5th Int Microirrigation Congress* 656-663.
- Yang LT, Li YR (1995). Studies on the effects of late irrigation on cane yield, sucrose content and some physiological and biochemical characters of different sugarcane varieties under drought conditions. *Acta Agronomica Sinica* 21(1):76-82.
- Zhou JB, Chen ZJ, Li SX (2001). Fertigation- An efficient way to apply water and fertilizer. *Agricultural Research in the Arid Areas* 19(4): 16-21.