



Bifurcation of Limit Cycles for a Kind of Piecewise Smooth Differential Systems with an Elementary Center of Focus-Focus Type

Zheng Si¹ · Liqin Zhao¹

Received: 11 June 2024 / Accepted: 2 September 2024
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2024

Abstract

In this paper, we study the number of limit cycles $H(n)$ bifurcating from the piecewise smooth system formed by the quadratic reversible system (r22) for $y \geq 0$ and the cubic system $\dot{x} = y(1 + \bar{x}^2 + y^2)$, $\dot{y} = -\bar{x}(1 + \bar{x}^2 + y^2)$ for $y < 0$ under the perturbations of polynomials with degree n , where $\bar{x} = x - 1$. By using the first-order Melnikov function, it is proved that $2n + 3 \leq H(n) \leq 2n + 7$ for $n \geq 3$ and the results are sharp for $n = 0, 1, 2$.

Keywords Piecewise smooth system · Quadratic reversible system · Melnikov function · Limit cycle

1 Introduction and the Main Results

It is well known that the determination of the number and location of limit cycles for the planar polynomial systems

$$\dot{x} = X(x, y), \quad \dot{y} = Y(x, y) \quad (1.1)$$

is a significant problem in the qualitative theory of planar differential systems, where $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $X(x, y)$ and $Y(x, y)$ are polynomials of x, y of degree n with real coefficients. An isolated closed orbit of (1.1) is called a limit cycle.

We can study limit cycles by perturbing a period annulus. Consider the system

$$\dot{x} = \mu^{-1}(x, y)H_y(x, y) + \varepsilon f(x, y), \quad \dot{y} = -\mu^{-1}(x, y)H_x(x, y) + \varepsilon g(x, y), \quad (1.2)$$

The second author is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (12071037, 12371176).

✉ Liqin Zhao
zhaoliqin@bnu.edu.cn

Zheng Si
sizhengmath@mail.bnu.edu.cn

¹ School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Ministry of Education, Beijing 100875, The People's Republic of China

where ε ($0 < |\varepsilon| \ll 1$) is a real parameter, $\mu^{-1}(x, y)H_x(x, y)$, $\mu^{-1}(x, y)H_y(x, y)$, $f(x, y)$, and $g(x, y)$ are all polynomials of x and y . We suppose that the system $(1.2)_{\varepsilon=0}$ has at least one center. The function $H(x, y)$ is a first integral, and $\mu(x, y)$ is an integrating factor. Hence, we can define a continuous family of periodic orbits $\Gamma_h \subset \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid H(x, y) = h, h \in (h_1, h_2)\}$, which is called a period annulus. For $0 < |\varepsilon| \ll 1$ and $h \in (h_1, h_2)$, one can define the Poincaré map of the system (1.2) and the bifurcation function $\mathbf{F}(h, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon \mathbf{M}(h) + o(\varepsilon)$. The isolated zeroes of $\mathbf{F}(h, \varepsilon)$ correspond to the limit cycles of $(1.2)_{|\varepsilon|>0}$. The study of bifurcation of limit cycles from the period annulus $\cup_{h \in (h_1, h_2)} \Gamma_h$ is called the Poincaré bifurcation, and the number of limit cycles bifurcating from the period annulus $\{\Gamma_h \mid h \in (h_1, h_2)\}$ is called the Poincaré cyclicity. This is the weak Hilbert’s 16th problem proposed by V. I. Arnold [1]. There are many works on the study of the weak Hilbert’s 16th problem. One can see [14, 16, 18] and search many papers by internet.

In the last a few of years, stimulated by non-smooth phenomena in the real world such as control systems, impact and friction mechanics, and non-linear oscillations, the theory of limit cycles for piecewise smooth differential systems has been developed. In [13], the piecewise smooth planar systems are given by

$$(\dot{x}, \dot{y}) = \begin{cases} (f^+(x, y), g^+(x, y)), & (x, y) \in \Sigma^+, \\ (f^-(x, y), g^-(x, y)), & (x, y) \in \Sigma^-, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where $f^\pm(x, y)$ and $g^\pm(x, y)$ are C^∞ functions, and the discontinuity boundary Σ separating the two regions Σ^\pm is defined as $\Sigma := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid S(x, y) = 0\}$ with $S(x, y)$ being a smooth function with non-vanishing gradient $\nabla S(x, y)$ on Σ , and

$$\Sigma^+ := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid S(x, y) > 0\}, \quad \Sigma^- := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid S(x, y) < 0\}.$$

The crossing set is defined as

$$\Sigma_c := \{(x, y) \in \Sigma \mid \langle \nabla S, (P^+, Q^+) \rangle \cdot \langle \nabla S, (P^-, Q^-) \rangle > 0\},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the standard scalar product. By definition, at any point $p \in \Sigma_c$, the orbit $\varphi(t, p)$ of the system (1.3) crosses Σ .

Many scholars are interested in the study of the crossing limit cycles of the system:

$$(\dot{x}, \dot{y}) = \begin{cases} \left(\begin{array}{l} H_y^+(x, y)/\mu^+(x, y) + \varepsilon f^+(x, y) \\ -H_x^+(x, y)/\mu^+(x, y) + \varepsilon g^+(x, y) \end{array} \right), & y \geq 0, \\ \left(\begin{array}{l} H_y^-(x, y)/\mu^-(x, y) + \varepsilon f^-(x, y) \\ -H_x^-(x, y)/\mu^-(x, y) + \varepsilon g^-(x, y) \end{array} \right), & y < 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

where $0 < |\varepsilon| \ll 1$, $H^\pm(x, y)$, $H_y^\pm(x, y)$, $H_x^\pm(x, y)$, and $\mu^\pm(x, y)$ are C^∞ functions with $\mu^\pm(0, 0) \neq 0$, and $f^\pm(x, y)$ and $g^\pm(x, y)$ are polynomials with degree n .

There are two main tools to solve the bifurcation of limit cycles for the system (1.4), one is the Melnikov function method developed in [10, 11, 17, 20], and the other

is the averaging method established in [21]. We will introduce the Melnikov function method in the following.

The system (1.4)_ε has two sub-systems:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = H_y^+(x, y)/\mu^+(x, y) + \varepsilon f^+(x, y), \\ \dot{y} = -H_x^+(x, y)/\mu^+(x, y) + \varepsilon g^+(x, y), \end{cases} \quad y \geq 0, \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = H_y^-(x, y)/\mu^-(x, y) + \varepsilon f^-(x, y), \\ \dot{y} = -H_x^-(x, y)/\mu^-(x, y) + \varepsilon g^-(x, y), \end{cases} \quad y < 0. \tag{1.6}$$

We make the following assumptions as in [20].

(A₁). For the system (1.4)_{ε=0}, there exists a nonempty open interval (h₁, h₂) such that for each h ∈ (h₁, h₂), there are two points A and B on the curve y = 0 with

$$A := A(h) = (a(h), 0), \quad B := B(h) = (b(h), 0), \quad a(h) < b(h) \tag{1.7}$$

satisfying

$$H^+(A(h)) = H^+(B(h)) = h, \quad H^-(A(h)) = H^-(B(h)).$$

(A₂). For every h ∈ (h₁, h₂), the subsystem (1.5)_{ε=0} has an orbital arc L_h⁺ starting from A(h) and ending at B(h) defined by H⁺(x, y) = h (y ≥ 0), and the subsystem (1.6)_{ε=0} has an orbital arc L_h⁻ starting from B(h) and ending at A(h) defined by H⁻(x, y) = h̃ (:= H⁻(A(h))) (y < 0).

Under the assumptions (A₁) – (A₂), the system (1.4)|_{ε=0} has a family of closed orbits L_h = L_h⁺ ∪ L_h⁻ (h ∈ (h₁, h₂)). For definiteness, we assume that the orbits L_h for h ∈ (h₁, h₂) orientate clockwise. For 0 < |ε| ≪ 1, the authors of [20] defined its bifurcation function F(h, ε) = ε M(h) + o(ε). The authors of [10, 11, 17] obtained the following results.

Lemma 1.1 *Under the assumptions (A₁) and (A₂), we have*

- (i) [10] *If M(h) has j zeros for h ∈ Σ with each having an odd multiplicity, then (1.4)_ε has at least j limit cycles bifurcating from the period annulus for ε small;*
- (ii) [11] *If M(h) has at most j zeros for h ∈ Σ, taking into account the multiplicity, then there exist at most j limit cycles of (1.4)_ε bifurcating from the period annulus;*
- (iii) [17] *The first-order Melnikov function M(h) of the system (1.4)_ε has the following form*

$$M(h) = \frac{H_x^+(A)}{H_x^-(A)} \left[\frac{H_x^-(B)}{H_x^+(B)} \int_{L_h^+} \mu^+ g^+ dx - \mu^+ f^+ dy + \int_{L_h^-} \mu^- g^- dx - \mu^- f^- dy \right],$$

where A and B are defined by (1.7).

There are a lot of works on the study the limit cycle bifurcation of the system (1.4). For

$$H^\pm(x, y) = x^{-3} \left(\frac{1}{2}y^2 - 2x^2 + x \right), \quad \mu^\pm(x, y) = x^{-4}, \tag{1.8}$$

the author of [23] studied the upper bound of the number of limit cycles for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and the authors of [26] obtained the exact number of limit cycles bifurcating from the center $(1, 0)$ for $n = 2, 3, 4$. For

$$H^\pm(x, y) = x^{-4} \left(\frac{1}{2}y^2 - \frac{9}{256}x^2 + \frac{9}{512} \right), \quad \mu^\pm(x, y) = x^{-5}, \tag{1.9}$$

the authors of [25] obtained the number of limit cycles bifurcating from the centers $(\pm 1, 0)$. For

$$H^+(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left((y - 1)^2 - x^2 \right), \quad H^-(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(x^2 + y^2 \right), \quad \mu^\pm(x, y) = 1,$$

the authors of [2, 19] investigated the exact number of limit cycles. For

$$H^\pm(x, y) = x^2 + y^2, \quad \mu^+(x, y) = (1 + ax)^m, \quad \mu^-(x, y) = (1 + bx)^m,$$

the authors of [8] investigated the number of limit cycles when $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$ by the averaging method.

Motivated by [3, 8, 12, 23, 24], in this paper, we will consider the bifurcation of limit cycles for the system (1.4) with

$$H^+(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{2^5}x^2 - \frac{1}{2^4}x, \quad H^-(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{x}^2, \tag{1.10}$$

and

$$\mu^+(x, y) = x^{-1}, \quad \mu^-(x, y) = \left[1 + \bar{x}^2 + y^2 \right]^{-1},$$

where $\bar{x} = x - 1$. More specifically, we shall study the system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} \left(-\frac{1}{2^4}x^2 + \frac{1}{2^4}x + \varepsilon g^+(x, y) \right), & y \geq 0, \\ \left(\begin{matrix} y(1 + \bar{x}^2 + y^2) + \varepsilon f^-(x, y) \\ -\bar{x}(1 + \bar{x}^2 + y^2) + \varepsilon g^-(x, y) \end{matrix} \right), & y < 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.11}$$

The system (1.11) $_{|\varepsilon=0}$ has a family of periodic orbits $L_h = L_h^+ \cup L_h^-$, where

$$L_h^+ = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid H^+(x, y) = h, \quad h \in \left(-\frac{1}{2^5}, 0 \right), \quad y \geq 0 \right\},$$

$$L_h^- = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid H^-(x, y) = \tilde{h}, \tilde{h} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + 2^5 h \right), y < 0 \right\}.$$

For $h \in \left(-\frac{1}{2^5}, 0 \right)$, the system (1.11) $_{\varepsilon=0}$ has a period annulus around the center $(1, 0)$.

Let $H(n)$ denote the maximum number of limit cycles bifurcating from $h \in \left(-\frac{1}{2^5}, 0 \right)$. The main results are the following.

Theorem 1.2 For the system (1.11), we have the following results by using the first-order Melnikov function:

- (i) $2n + 3 \leq H(n) \leq 2n + 7$ for $n \geq 3$;
- (ii) $H(n) = 2n + 3$ for $n = 0, 1, 2$.

Remark 1.3 (i) In [7], the authors classified the quadratic reversible systems

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} xy \\ \frac{\bar{a} + \bar{b} + 2}{2(\bar{a} - \bar{b})} y^2 - \frac{\bar{a} + \bar{b} - 2}{8(\bar{a} - \bar{b})^3} x^2 + \frac{\bar{b} - 1}{2(\bar{a} - \bar{b})^3} x + \frac{\bar{a} - 3\bar{b} + 2}{8(\bar{a} - \bar{b})^3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathbb{R}, \bar{a} \neq \bar{b}, \tag{1.12}$$

with elliptic integral curves into 18 types (denoted by (r1)–(r18)), and they also identified the 4 types with conic integral curves (denoted by (r19)–(r22)). The system (1.12) can also be found in [12]. The system (r5) is obtained by $\bar{a} = \frac{5\bar{b}}{3} + \frac{2}{3}$ and $\bar{b} \neq -1$ in (1.12). Setting $\bar{b} = 1$ in (r5), we can obtain $H^\pm(x, y)$ and $\mu^\pm(x, y)$ given in (1.9).

- (ii) The authors of [12] studied the Poincaré bifurcation of the system (r22), which is defined by setting $\bar{a} = -2$ and $\bar{b} = 0$ in (1.12).
- (iii) It is known that the first-order Melnikov function $M(h)$ of the system (1.2) is analytic for $h \in [h_1, h_2]$ if $\mu^{-1}(x, y)H_x(x, y)$, $\mu^{-1}(x, y)H_y(x, y)$, $f(x, y)$, and $g(x, y)$ are all polynomials of x and y , where we assume $H(x, y) = h_1$ corresponds to the elementary center. However, the first-order Melnikov function $M(h)$ of the system (1.4) may not be analytic at $h = h_1$, where we suppose $h = h_1$ corresponds to the center of the system (1.4), even if $H_x^\pm(x, y)/\mu^\pm(x, y)$, $H_y^\pm(x, y)/\mu^\pm(x, y)$, $f^\pm(x, y)$, and $g^\pm(x, y)$ are all polynomials of x and y . For the system (1.11), which has the same first integral and integrating factor with the system (r22) for $y \geq 0$, the first-order Melnikov function $M(h)$ is not analytic at the point $h = -\frac{1}{2^5}$ (see the expressions of $I_{1,0}(h)$ and $I_{0,0}(h)$ in Lemma 3.1). To obtain the lower bound of limit cycles bifurcating from the period annulus, we will extend $I_{1,0}(h)$ and $I_{0,0}(h)$ analytically to the complex domain and then prove that the generators of $M(h)$ are linearly independent such that we can use Lemma 2.3 and obtain Lemma 3.7.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we will give some helpful results on determining the number of isolated zeros of a function. In Sect. 3, we will obtain the expression of the first-order Melnikov function of the system (1.11), and then prove Theorem 1.2.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we shall introduce some results on the estimation of the number of isolated zeros of the Melnikov functions.

Definition 2.1 [9] Let $f_0(x), f_1(x), \dots, f_{n-1}(x)$ be analytic functions on an open interval $U \subset \mathbb{R}$. The ordered set $\mathcal{F} := [f_0(x), f_1(x), \dots, f_{n-1}(x)]$ is said to be an extended complete Chebyshev system (for short, an ECT-system) on U if, for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, any nontrivial linear combination

$$c_0 f_0(x) + c_1 f_1(x) + \dots + c_{k-1} f_{k-1}(x)$$

has at most $k - 1$ isolated zeros on U counted with multiplicities.

Lemma 2.2 (i) [9] *The ordered set $\mathcal{F} := [f_0(x), f_1(x), \dots, f_{n-1}(x)]$ is an ECT-system on U if and only if, for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$,*

$$W[f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{k-1}](x) \neq 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in U,$$

where $W[f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{k-1}](x)$ is the Wronskian of the functions $f_0(x), f_1(x), \dots, f_{k-1}(x)$.

(ii) [22] *The ordered set $\mathcal{F} := [f_0(x), f_1(x), \dots, f_{n-1}(x)]$ is an ECT-system with accuracy 1 on U if all the Wronskians are non-vanishing except $W[f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}](x)$, which has exactly one zero on U and this zero is simple. Then, any nontrivial linear combination*

$$c_0 f_0(x) + c_1 f_1(x) + \dots + c_{n-1} f_{n-1}(x)$$

has at most n isolated zeros on U . Moreover, for any configuration of $m \leq n$ zeros there exists n constants $c_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, such that $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i f_i(x)$ realizing it.

Lemma 2.3 [5] *Consider $p + 1$ linearly independent analytical functions $f_i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 0, 1, \dots, p$, where $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval. Suppose that there exists $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$ such that $f_j|_U$ has a constant sign. Then there exist $p + 1$ constants $C_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, p$, such that $f(x) := \sum_{i=0}^p C_i f_i(x)$ has at least p simple zeros in U .*

From the Lemma 4.5 in [8], we have the following equivalent conclusion in Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.4 [8] *Denote by $F_k(v)$ a polynomial of degree k and $g^{(k)}(v)$ the k th-order derivative of a function $g(v)$. We have the following conclusions.*

(i) Suppose $H_1(v) := \sum_{i=0}^n B_i v^i \ln \frac{1+b\sqrt{v}}{1-b\sqrt{v}}$ with $v = u^2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ are constants. Then, for $k \geq 2n + 1$,

$$\frac{d^k}{du^k} H_1(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{v} F_{\frac{k-2}{2}}(v)}{(1-b^2v)^k}, & k \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{F_{\frac{k-1}{2}}(v)}{(1-b^2v)^k}, & k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) Suppose $H_2(v) := \sum_{i=0}^n A_i v^i \frac{1}{(1-b^2v)^{m-\frac{1}{2}}}$ with $v = u^2$, $2 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}^+, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ are constants. Then, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$,

$$\frac{d^k}{du^k} H_2(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{F_{n^*}(v)}{(1-b^2v)^{k+m-\frac{1}{2}}}, & k \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{\sqrt{v} F_{n^*}(v)}{(1-b^2v)^{k+m-\frac{1}{2}}}, & k \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$n^* = \begin{cases} m - 1 + \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil, & m - 1 \leq n \leq \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil + m - 1, \\ n + \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil, & 0 \leq n \leq m - 2 \text{ or } n \geq \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil + m. \end{cases}$$

For a real sequence $\{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ we denote by

$$N\{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n\} \tag{2.1}$$

the number of changes in sign in this sequence (skip zero(s), if it appears in this sequence). To find the number of real roots of a polynomial $f(x)$ for $x \in (a, b)$, the following two criteria are well known.

Lemma 2.5 [15] Suppose that $f(x)$ is a polynomial of degree n with real coefficients, $a < b$ are two real numbers, $f(a) \neq 0, f(b) \neq 0$, and the derivatives of $f(x)$ are

$$f(x), f'(x), f''(x), \dots, f^{(n)}(x).$$

(i) *Fourier-Budan Theorem.* If

$$\begin{aligned} N\{f(a), f'(a), f''(a), \dots, f^{(n)}(a)\} &= p, \\ N\{f(b), f'(b), f''(b), \dots, f^{(n)}(b)\} &= q, \end{aligned}$$

then $p \geq q$, and the number of real roots (counting the multiplicity) of $f(x)$ for $x \in (a, b)$ is equal to either $p - q$ or $p - q - r$, where r is a positive even integer. In particular, if $p = q$ (resp. $p = q + 1$), then $f(x)$ has no (resp. has a unique) real root in (a, b) .

- (ii) *Sturm Theorem.* Assume that $f(x)$ has no multiple root in (a, b) , and we construct the sequence $\{f_0(x), f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_s(x)\}$ as follows: $f_0(x) = f(x)$, $f_1(x) = f'(x)$. Divide $f_0(x)$ by $f_1(x)$, and take the remainder with negative sign as $f_2(x)$, then divide $f_1(x)$ by $f_2(x)$, and take the remainder with negative sign as $f_3(x)$, ..., the last remainder with negative sign (a non-zero number) is $f_s(x)$. If

$$\begin{aligned} N\{f_0(a), f_1(a), f_2(a), \dots, f_s(a)\} &= p, \\ N\{f_0(b), f_1(b), f_2(b), \dots, f_s(b)\} &= q, \end{aligned}$$

then $p \geq q$ and the number of real roots of $f(x)$ for $x \in (a, b)$ is equal to $p - q$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We shall first obtain the algebraic structure of $M(h)$ of the system (1.11). Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$\begin{aligned} f^+(x, y) &= \sum_{i+j=0}^n a_{i,j}^+ x^i y^j, & f^-(x, y) &= \sum_{i+j=0}^n a_{i,j}^- (x-1)^i y^j, \\ g^+(x, y) &= \sum_{i+j=0}^n b_{i,j}^+ x^i y^j, & g^-(x, y) &= \sum_{i+j=0}^n b_{i,j}^- (x-1)^i y^j. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

The point $(1, 0)$ is an elementary center of focus-focus type (see [4] for the definition) corresponding to $h = -\frac{1}{25}$. For $h \in (-\frac{1}{25}, 0)$, denote

$$u(h) := \sqrt{1 + 25h}, \quad I_{i,j}(h) := \int_{L_h^+} x^{i-1} y^j dx. \tag{3.2}$$

It is easily seen that the semi orbit L_h^+ intersects the x -axis at points $A(a(h), 0)$ and $B(b(h), 0)$, where

$$a(h) = 1 - u(h), \quad b(h) = 1 + u(h). \tag{3.3}$$

Lemma 3.1 For $h \in \left(-\frac{1}{25}, 0\right)$, we have

$$I_{1,1}(h) = \frac{\pi}{8} \left(1 + 2^5 h\right), \quad I_{0,1}(h) = \frac{\pi}{4} \left(1 - 4\sqrt{-2h}\right),$$

$$I_{1,0}(h) = 2\sqrt{1 + 2^5 h}, \quad I_{0,0}(h) = \ln \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 2^5 h}}{1 - \sqrt{1 + 2^5 h}}.$$

Proof For $j \geq 1$, by direct calculation, we have $I_{i,j} \left(-\frac{1}{25}\right) = 0$ and

$$I'_{i,j}(h) = \int_{a(h)}^{b(h)} jx^{i-1}y^{j-1} \frac{\partial y}{\partial h} dx + b^{i-1}(h)y^j(b(h), h) \frac{d(b(h))}{dh} - a^{i-1}(h)y^j(a(h), h) \frac{d(a(h))}{dh}.$$

From (3.3), we have

$$\frac{d(b(h))}{dh} = -\frac{d(a(h))}{dh} = \frac{2^4}{\sqrt{1 + 2^5 h}} \neq \infty, \quad h \in \left(-\frac{1}{25}, 0\right).$$

Hence, by $y(b(h), h) = y(a(h), h) = 0$, we have

$$I'_{i,j}(h) = \int_{a(h)}^{b(h)} jx^{i-1}y^{j-1} \frac{\partial y}{\partial h} dx.$$

By $H^+(x, y(x, h)) = h$ in (1.10), we have $\frac{\partial y}{\partial h} = \frac{1}{y}$, which yields $I'_{i,j}(h) = jI_{i,j-2}(h)$. Therefore,

$$hI'_{i,j}(h) = j \int_{a(h)}^{b(h)} \left(\frac{1}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{2^5}x^2 - \frac{1}{2^4}x\right) x^{i-1}y^{j-2} dx$$

$$= \frac{j}{2}I_{i,j}(h) + \frac{j}{2^5}I_{i+2,j-2}(h) - \frac{j}{2^4}I_{i+1,j-2}(h). \tag{3.4}$$

Also, we have

$$I_{1,-1}(h) = 4 \int_{a(h)}^{b(h)} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(b(h) - x)(x - a(h))}}$$

$$= 4 \int_{-1}^1 \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 - s^2}} = 4\pi,$$

$$I_{2,-1}(h) = 4u(h) \int_{-1}^1 \frac{s ds}{\sqrt{1 - s^2}} + 4 \int_{-1}^1 \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 - s^2}} = 4\pi,$$

$$I_{3,-1}(h) = 4 \int_{-1}^1 \frac{(u(h)s + 1)^2 ds}{\sqrt{1 - s^2}} = 4\pi \left(16h + \frac{3}{2}\right). \tag{3.5}$$

According to (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$hI'_{0,1}(h) = \frac{1}{2}I_{0,1}(h) - \frac{1}{8}\pi, \quad I_{0,1}\left(-\frac{1}{2^5}\right) = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

By solving the differential equation (3.6), we can get $I_{0,1}(h) = \frac{\pi}{4}(1 - 4\sqrt{-2h})$. Similarly, we can get the expressions of $I_{1,1}(h)$, $I_{1,0}(h)$ and $I_{0,0}(h)$. This ends the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2 *We have the following results:*

- (i) We have $I_{-1,1}(h) = \frac{1}{16h} \left[\frac{1}{2}I_{0,1}(h) - I_{1,1}(h) \right]$.
- (ii) For $i \geq 1$, we have

$$I_{i,1}(h) = \hat{\alpha}_{i,1}(h)I_{1,1}(h), \quad I_{i,0}(h) = \hat{\alpha}_{i,0}(h)I_{1,0}(h),$$

where $\hat{\alpha}_{i,1}(h)$, $\hat{\alpha}_{i,0}(h)$ are polynomials of h with degree $\left[\frac{i-1}{2}\right]$.

- (iii) If $j \geq 2$, then

$$I_{1,j}(h) = \begin{cases} \delta_{\left[\frac{j}{2}\right],0}(h)I_{1,0}(h), & \text{if } j \text{ is even,} \\ \delta_{\left[\frac{j}{2}\right],1}(h)I_{1,1}(h), & \text{if } j \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where $\delta_{0,1}(h) = 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{k,0}(h) &= \frac{(2k)!!}{(2k+1)!!} \left(2h + \frac{1}{2^4}\right)^k, \quad k \geq 0, \\ \delta_{k,1}(h) &= \frac{(2k+1)!!}{(2k+2)!!} \left(2h + \frac{1}{2^4}\right)^k, \quad k \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

- (iv) If $j \geq 2$, then

$$I_{0,j}(h) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{\left[\frac{j}{2}\right],0}(h)I_{0,0}(h) + \gamma_{\left[\frac{j}{2}\right],1}(h)I_{1,0}(h), & \text{if } j \text{ is even,} \\ \gamma_{\left[\frac{j}{2}\right],0}(h)I_{0,1}(h) + \gamma_{\left[\frac{j}{2}\right],2}(h)I_{1,1}(h), & \text{if } j \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{k,0}(h) &= (2h)^k, \\ \gamma_{k,1}(h) &= \frac{1}{2^4} \left[(2h)^{k-1} + (2h)^{k-2}\delta_{1,0}(h) + \dots + \delta_{k-1,0}(h) \right], \\ \gamma_{k,2}(h) &= \frac{1}{2^4} \left[(2h)^{k-1} + (2h)^{k-2}\delta_{1,1}(h) + \dots + \delta_{k-1,1}(h) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Proof Let D_h^+ be the interior of $L_h^+ \cup \overrightarrow{BA}$. Then, by the Green's formula, we have

$$\int_{L_h^+} x^{i-1}y^j dy = \left(\int_{L_h^+ \cup \overrightarrow{BA}} - \int_{\overrightarrow{BA}} \right) x^{i-1}y^j dy = -(i-1) \int \int_{D_h^+} x^{i-2}y^j dx dy$$

and

$$\int_{L_h^+} x^{i-2}y^{j+1} dx = \left(\int_{L_h^+ \cup \overrightarrow{BA}} - \int_{\overrightarrow{BA}} \right) x^{i-2}y^{j+1} dx = (j+1) \int \int_{D_h^+} x^{i-2}y^j dx dy.$$

Thus, we have

$$\int_{L_h^+} x^{i-1}y^j dy = -\frac{i-1}{j+1} I_{i-1,j+1}(h). \tag{3.9}$$

(1) We first claim that

$$\begin{cases} I_{-1,1}(h) = \frac{1}{24h} \left[\frac{1}{2} I_{0,1}(h) - I_{1,1}(h) \right], \\ I_{2,0}(h) = I_{1,0}(h), \\ I_{2,1}(h) = I_{1,1}(h), \\ I_{3,0}(h) = \frac{4}{3}(8h+1)I_{1,0}(h). \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

In fact, from $H^+(x, y(x, h)) = h$ in (1.10), we can get

$$y \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{24}x - \frac{1}{24} = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Multiplying $H^+(x, y(x, h)) = h$ in (1.10) and (3.11) by $x^{i-1}y^{j-2}dx$ and $x^{i-2}y^j dx$, respectively, and integrating over L_h^+ , combined with (3.9), we have

$$I_{i,j}(h) = 2hI_{i,j-2}(h) + \frac{1}{23}I_{i+1,j-2}(h) - \frac{1}{24}I_{i+2,j-2}(h), \quad j \geq 2, \tag{3.12}$$

$$I_{i,j}(h) = I_{i-1,j}(h) + \frac{2^4(i-2)}{j+2}I_{i-2,j+2}(h). \tag{3.13}$$

Combining (3.12) and (3.13), we have

$$I_{i,j}(h) = \frac{j}{i+j} \left[2hI_{i,j-2}(h) + \frac{1}{24}I_{i+1,j-2}(h) \right], \quad j \geq 2, \tag{3.14}$$

$$2^4 i I_{i,j}(h) = j [I_{i+2,j-2}(h) - I_{i+1,j-2}(h)], \quad j \geq 2. \tag{3.15}$$

Taking $(i, j) = (2, 0), (2, 1), (3, 0)$ in (3.13), and $(i, j) = (-1, 3)$ in (3.15), respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,0}(h) &= I_{1,0}(h), & I_{2,1}(h) &= I_{1,1}(h), \\ I_{3,0}(h) &= I_{2,0}(h) + 2^3 I_{1,2}(h), & I_{-1,3}(h) &= \frac{3}{2^4} [I_{0,1}(h) - I_{1,1}(h)]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Hence, we obtain the second and third formulas in (3.10). Taking $(i, j) = (-1, 3)$ and $(1, 2)$ in (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{-1,3}(h) &= 3hI_{-1,1}(h) + \frac{3}{2^5} I_{0,1}(h), \\ I_{1,2}(h) &= \frac{2}{3} \left[2hI_{1,0}(h) + \frac{1}{2^4} I_{2,0}(h) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Combining (3.16) and (3.17), we get the first and fourth formulas in (3.10).

(2) Next, we will prove the results of (ii) by induction. In fact, by (3.10), it is easy to check that the results hold for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Suppose that the results hold for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1 (k \geq 4)$. Then for $i = k$, it follows from (3.13) and (3.14) that

$$I_{i,j}(h) = \frac{2i + j - 2}{i + j} I_{i-1,j}(h) + \frac{2^5(i - 2)}{i + j} h I_{i-2,j}(h), \quad j \geq 0. \tag{3.18}$$

For $j = 0, 1$, by induction assumption, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{i,j}(h) &= \left[\frac{2i + j - 2}{i + j} \hat{\alpha}_{i-1,j}(h) + \frac{2^5(i - 2)}{i + j} h \hat{\alpha}_{i-2,j}(h) \right] I_{1,j}(h) \\ &:= \hat{\alpha}_{i,j}(h) I_{1,j}(h), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\deg \hat{\alpha}_{i,j}(h) = \max \left\{ \left[\frac{i - 2}{2} \right], \left[\frac{i - 3}{2} \right] + 1 \right\} = \left[\frac{i - 1}{2} \right].$$

(3) Finally, we will give the proofs of (iii) and (iv). Let $i = 2$ in (3.13) and $i = 1$ in (3.14), then

$$I_{1,j}(h) = \frac{j}{1 + j} \left(2h + \frac{1}{2^4} \right) I_{1,j-2}(h), \quad j \geq 2, \tag{3.19}$$

which implies the results of (iii). Taking $i = 0$ in (3.14), we have

$$I_{0,j}(h) = 2hI_{0,j-2}(h) + \frac{1}{2^4} I_{1,j-2}(h), \quad j \geq 2. \tag{3.20}$$

Suppose $j = 2k$, it is easily obtained that

$$I_{0,2k}(h) = (2h)^k I_{0,0}(h) + \frac{1}{2^4} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (2h)^{k-1-i} I_{1,2i}(h). \tag{3.21}$$

Substituting the first formula of (iii) into (3.21), we can obtain the first formula of (iv). By similar arguments, we can get the second formula of (iv). This ends the proof. \diamond

By Lemma 1.1, (3.1) and (3.9), we have $M(h) = M^+(h) + M^-(h)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} M^+(h) &= \sum_{i+j=0}^n \int_{L_h^+} \left(b_{i,j}^+ x^{i-1} y^j + \frac{i-1}{j+1} a_{i,j}^+ x^{i-2} y^{j+1} \right) dx = \sum_{i+j=0, i \geq -1}^n \rho_{i,j} I_{i,j}(h), \\ M^-(h) &= \frac{H_x^+(A)}{H_x^-(A)} \sum_{i+j=0}^n \int_{L_h^-} \frac{b_{i,j}^-(x-1)^i y^j dx - a_{i,j}^-(x-1)^i y^j dy}{1 + (x-1)^2 + y^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{\tau_{k-1} u^k(h)}{1 + u^2(h)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \rho_{i,0} &= b_{i,0}^+, \quad i \geq 0, & \rho_{-1,j+1} &= \frac{-1}{j+1} a_{0,j}^+, \quad j \geq 0, \\ \rho_{i,j} &= b_{i,j}^+ + \frac{i}{j} a_{i+1,j-1}^+, \quad i \geq 0, \quad j \geq 1. \\ \tau_k &= \frac{1}{16} \sum_{i+j=k} (-1)^{j+1} \left(b_{i,j}^- \kappa_{1,i,j} - a_{i,j}^- \kappa_{2,i,j} \right), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ \kappa_{1,i,j} &= \int_0^\pi \cos^i \theta \sin^{j+1} \theta \, d\theta, \\ \kappa_{2,i,j} &= \int_0^\pi \cos^{i+1} \theta \sin^j \theta \, d\theta. \end{aligned} \right. \tag{3.23}$$

Let

$$a_j := \begin{cases} \rho_{0,j} + \frac{j+2}{2^4} \rho_{-1,j+2}, & 0 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ \rho_{0,n}, & j = n, \end{cases} \tag{3.24}$$

$$b_j := \begin{cases} \rho_{1,0} - 2^{-3} \rho_{-1,2}, & j = 0, \\ \rho_{1,1} - 3 \cdot 2^{-4} \rho_{-1,3}, & j = 1, \\ -2^{-4} (j+2) \rho_{-1,j+2}, & 2 \leq j \leq n-1, \end{cases} \tag{3.25}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} c_j &:= \rho_{j,0} + \sum_{i+k=3, i \geq 1, k \geq 2}^n c_{i,k,j} \rho_{i,k}, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n, \\ d_j &:= \rho_{j,1} + \sum_{i+k=3, i \geq 1, k \geq 2}^n d_{i,k,j} \rho_{i,k}, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n-1; \end{aligned} \right. \tag{3.26}$$

where $c_{i,k,j}$ and $d_{i,k,j}$ are constants, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_1(h) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} a_{2k} \gamma_{k,0}(h), & \beta_1(h) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} a_{2k+1} \gamma_{k,0}(h), \\
 \alpha_2(h) &:= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} a_{2k} \gamma_{k,1}(h) + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} b_{2k} \delta_{k,0}(h) + \sum_{i=2}^n c_i \hat{\alpha}_{i,0}(h), & (3.27) \\
 \beta_2(h) &:= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} a_{2k+1} \gamma_{k,2}(h) + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} b_{2k+1} \delta_{k,1}(h) + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} d_i \hat{\alpha}_{i,1}(h).
 \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 3.2 (ii)–(iv), we can easily obtain that $\alpha_1(h)$, $\alpha_2(h)$, $\beta_1(h)$ and $\beta_2(h)$ are polynomials of h with $\deg \alpha_1(h) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, $\deg \alpha_2(h)$, $\deg \beta_1(h) \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ and $\deg \beta_2(h) \leq \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor$ for $n \geq 3$. □

Lemma 3.3 For $h \in (-\frac{1}{25}, 0)$, and $n \geq 3$, we have

(i) The first-order Melnikov function of the system (1.11) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(h) &= \alpha_1(h)I_{0,0}(h) + \alpha_2(h)I_{1,0}(h) + \beta_1(h)I_{0,1}(h) \\
 &+ \beta_2(h)I_{1,1}(h) + \frac{\rho_{-1,1}}{16h} \left[\frac{1}{2}I_{0,1}(h) - I_{1,1}(h) \right] + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{\tau_{k-1}u^k(h)}{1+u^2(h)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) There exist the parameters $a_{i,j}^+$ and $b_{i,j}^+$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_1(h) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} A_k h^k, & \alpha_2(h) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} C_k h^k, \\
 \beta_1(h) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} B_k h^k, & \beta_2(h) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} D_k h^k,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients A_k , B_k , C_k and D_k are the linear functions of $a_{i,j}^+$ and $b_{i,j}^+$ given by (3.1) and they are independent.

Proof (1) Let $L(f_i(x))$, $0 \leq i \leq n$ be a linear combination of the functions $f_0(x)$, $f_1(x)$, \dots , $f_n(x)$. For $i \geq 1$, $k \geq 1$, $j \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{i,2k}(h) &= L(I_{i+2k-k_1,0}(h)), & 0 \leq k_1 \leq k, \\
 I_{i,2k+1}(h) &= L(I_{i+2k-k_1,1}(h)), & 0 \leq k_1 \leq k, \\
 I_{-1,j}(h) &= -2^{-4}j [I_{1,j-2}(h) - I_{0,j-2}(h)].
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

We will prove the results in (3.28) by induction. In fact, by (3.15), we have

$$I_{i,j}(h) = \frac{j}{2^4 i} [I_{i+2,j-2}(h) - I_{i+1,j-2}(h)], \tag{3.29}$$

which yields the first formula in (3.28) holds for $i \geq 1$ and $k = 1$. Suppose that the first formula in (3.28) holds for $i \geq 1, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then for $i \geq 1, k = m + 1$, by (3.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{i,2m+2}(h) &= \frac{2m+2}{2^4 i} [I_{i+2,2m}(h) - I_{i+1,2m}(h)], \\ &= L(I_{i+2m+2-k_1,0}(h), 0 \leq k_1 \leq m) \\ &\quad + L(I_{i+2m+1-k_1,0}(h), 0 \leq k_1 \leq m) \\ &= L(I_{i+2m+2-k_1,0}(h), 0 \leq k_1 \leq m+1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

By the same method, we obtain the second formula in (3.28), and the third formula follows from (3.15) with $i = -1$ and $j \geq 2$. For $n \geq 3$, according to (3.22) and (3.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M^+(h) &= \sum_{j=0}^n \rho_{0,j} I_{0,j}(h) + \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_{i,0} I_{i,0}(h) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho_{i,1} I_{i,1}(h) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=2}^{n+1} \rho_{-1,j} I_{-1,j}(h) + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} \rho_{i,j} I_{i,j}(h) + \rho_{-1,1} I_{-1,1}(h) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n a_j I_{0,j}(h) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j I_{1,j}(h) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=2}^n c_i I_{i,0}(h) + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} d_i I_{i,1}(h) + \rho_{-1,1} I_{-1,1}(h). \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

By using Lemma 3.2, after a simple simplification, we can obtain the expression of $M^+(h)$ for $n \geq 3$. According to (3.22), we obtain the expression of $M(h)$.

(2) Next, we will prove the result of (ii). According to (3.27), we only need to prove that there exist the coefficients a_i, b_i, c_i , and d_i defined in (3.24–3.26) such that A_i, B_i, C_i , and D_i are independent. Suppose $c_i = 0$ ($i = 2, 3, \dots, n$) and $d_i = 0$ ($i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$). Denote

$$\begin{aligned} A_{k,j} &:= \frac{(2k)!!}{(2k+1)!!} \binom{k}{j} 2^{5j-4k}, & B_{k,i,j} &:= 2^{j-4} A_{k-1-j,i}, \\ \bar{A}_{k,j} &:= \frac{(2k+1)!!}{(2k+2)!!} \binom{k}{j} 2^{5j-4k}, & \bar{B}_{k,i,j} &:= 2^{j-4} \bar{A}_{k-1-j,i}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_{k,0}(h) &= \sum_{j=0}^k A_{k,j} h^j, & \delta_{k,1}(h) &= \sum_{j=0}^k \bar{A}_{k,j} h^j, & \gamma_{k,0}(h) &= 2^k h^k, \\
 \gamma_{k,1}(h) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1-i} B_{k,i,j} h^{i+j}, & \gamma_{k,2}(h) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1-i} \bar{B}_{k,i,j} h^{i+j}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.32}$$

Suppose that n is even. Substituting (3.32) into (3.27), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_1(h) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}} A_k h^k, & \alpha_2(h) &= \sum_{k_1=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} C_{\frac{n-2}{2}-k_1} h^{\frac{n-2}{2}-k_1}, \\
 \beta_1(h) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} B_k h^k, & \beta_2(h) &= \sum_{k_1=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} D_{\frac{n-2}{2}-k_1} h^{\frac{n-2}{2}-k_1},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.33}$$

where $A_k = 2^k a_{2k}$, $B_k = 2^k a_{2k+1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_{\frac{n-2}{2}-k_1} &= \sum_{k=\frac{n}{2}-k_1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \alpha_{2,k, \frac{n-2}{2}-k_1}, & D_{\frac{n-2}{2}} &= b_{n-1} \bar{A}_{\frac{n-2}{2}, \frac{n-2}{2}}, \\
 D_{\frac{n-2}{2}-k_1} &= \sum_{k=\frac{n}{2}-k_1}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \beta_{2,k, \frac{n-2}{2}-k_1} + b_{n-1-2k_1} \bar{A}_{\frac{n-2}{2}-k_1, \frac{n-2}{2}-k_1}, & k_1 &= 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-2}{2}, \\
 \alpha_{2,k,j} &= a_{2k} \sum_{i=0}^j B_{k,i,j-i} + b_{2k-2} A_{k-1,j}, \\
 \beta_{2,k,j} &= a_{2k+1} \sum_{i=0}^j \bar{B}_{k,i,j-i} + b_{2k+1} \bar{A}_{k,j}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
 \vec{\xi}_1 &:= (A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{\frac{n}{2}}), & \vec{\xi}_2 &:= (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{\frac{n-2}{2}}), \\
 \vec{\xi}_3 &:= (C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{\frac{n-2}{2}}), & \vec{\xi}_4 &:= (D_0, D_1, \dots, D_{\frac{n-2}{2}}), \\
 \vec{\eta}_1 &:= (a_0, a_2, \dots, a_n), & \vec{\eta}_2 &:= (a_1, a_3, \dots, a_{n-1}), \\
 \vec{\eta}_3 &:= (b_0, b_2, \dots, b_{n-2}), & \vec{\eta}_4 &:= (b_1, b_3, \dots, b_{n-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have that

$$\frac{\partial(\vec{\xi}_1, \vec{\xi}_2, \vec{\xi}_3, \vec{\xi}_4)}{\partial(\vec{\eta}_1, \vec{\eta}_2, \vec{\eta}_3, \vec{\eta}_4)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial(\vec{\xi}_1, \vec{\xi}_2)}{\partial(\vec{\eta}_1, \vec{\eta}_2)} & 0_{(n+1) \times n} \\ \frac{\partial(\vec{\xi}_3, \vec{\xi}_4)}{\partial(\vec{\eta}_3, \vec{\eta}_4)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\frac{\partial(\vec{\xi}_3, \vec{\xi}_4)}{\partial(\vec{\eta}_3, \vec{\eta}_4)} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{0,0} & A_{1,0} & \dots & A_{\frac{n-2}{2},0} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & A_{1,1} & \dots & A_{\frac{n-2}{2},1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{\frac{n-2}{2},\frac{n-2}{2}} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{A}_{0,0} & \bar{A}_{1,0} & \dots & \bar{A}_{\frac{n-2}{2},0} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{A}_{1,1} & \dots & \bar{A}_{\frac{n-2}{2},1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \bar{A}_{\frac{n-2}{2},\frac{n-2}{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

and $0_{(n+1) \times n}$ is the $(n + 1) \times n$ null matrix. Hence, we have $\det \frac{\partial(\vec{\xi}_1, \vec{\xi}_2)}{\partial(\vec{\eta}_1, \vec{\eta}_2)} = 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} 2^{2k}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \det \frac{\partial(\vec{\xi}_1, \vec{\xi}_2, \vec{\xi}_3, \vec{\xi}_4)}{\partial(\vec{\eta}_1, \vec{\eta}_2, \vec{\eta}_3, \vec{\eta}_4)} &= \det \frac{\partial(\vec{\xi}_1, \vec{\xi}_2)}{\partial(\vec{\eta}_1, \vec{\eta}_2)} \cdot \det \frac{\partial(\vec{\xi}_3, \vec{\xi}_4)}{\partial(\vec{\eta}_3, \vec{\eta}_4)} \\ &= 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} 2^{2k} A_{k,k} \bar{A}_{k,k} \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the coefficients $A_i, B_i, C_i,$ and D_i are independent. The case that n is odd can be analyzed similarly. This ends the proof. \square

Denote by $h(u) := (u^2 - 1)/2^5$ the inverse function of $u(h), u \in (0, 1)$. To use Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we rewrite the $M(h)$ as in following Remark 3.4.

Remark 3.4 From Lemma 3.3, we have the following results:

(i) For $u \in (0, 1)$, $M(h(u)) = M_1(u) + M_2(u) + M_3(u)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_1(u) &= \alpha_1(h(u)) \ln \frac{1+u}{1-u}, \\
 M_2(u) &= \frac{\pi}{4} \beta_1(h(u)) \left(1 - \sqrt{1-u^2}\right) + \frac{\rho_{-1,1}\pi}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u^2}} - 1\right), \\
 M_3(u) &= 2\alpha_2(h(u))u + \frac{\pi}{8} \beta_2(h(u))u^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{\tau_{k-1}u^k}{1+u^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) There exist the parameters $a_{i,j}^\pm$ and $b_{i,j}^\pm$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(h(u)) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \tilde{A}_k u^{2k} \ln \frac{1+u}{1-u} + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \tilde{B}_k u^{2k} \left(1 - \sqrt{1-u^2}\right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{u}{1+u^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \tilde{C}_k u^k + \frac{\rho_{-1,1}\pi}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u^2}} - 1\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{A}_k := \sum_{j=k}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{j-k} A_j \binom{j}{k} 2^{-5j}, \quad \tilde{B}_k := \sum_{j=k}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{j-k} B_j \binom{j}{k} 2^{-5j},$$

and the coefficients \tilde{C}_k are the linear functions of C_i, D_i , and τ_i given by Lemma 3.3(ii) and they are independent.

Lemma 3.5 For the system (1.11), we have $H(n) \leq 2n + 7$ for $n \geq 3$.

Proof Suppose $n \geq 3$. Let $v = u^2$, $\tilde{M}(v) = (1+v)M(h(\sqrt{v}))$, then $\tilde{M}(v)$ and $M(h(\sqrt{v}))$ have the same number of zeros on $(0, 1)$. According to (3.27), we know that $\deg \alpha_1(h) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, $\deg \alpha_2(h) \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$, $\deg \beta_1(h) \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$, and $\deg \beta_2(h) \leq \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor$. We use the notations $F_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^{\alpha_1}(v)$, $F_{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor}^{\alpha_2}(u^2)$, $F_{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor}^{\beta_1}(v)$, $F_{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor}^{\beta_2}(u^2)$, and $F_{n+1}^\tau(u)$ for $\alpha_1(h(u))$, $\alpha_2(h(u))$, $\beta_1(h(u))$, $\beta_2(h(u))$, and $\sum_{k=0}^n \tau_k u^{k+1}$, respectively. By Lemma 3.3 and Remark 3.4 (i), we have

$$\tilde{M}(v) = \tilde{M}_1(v) + \tilde{M}_2(v) + \tilde{M}_3(u),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}_1(v) &= (1 + v)F_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}^{\alpha_1}(v) \ln \frac{1 + \sqrt{v}}{1 - \sqrt{v}}, \\ \tilde{M}_2(v) &= \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{1 - v^2}{(1 - v)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\rho_{-1,1} - F_{\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]}^{\beta_1}(v)(1 - v) \right), \\ \tilde{M}_3(u) &= uF_{n+1}^{\tau}(u) + (1 + u^2) \left(\frac{\pi}{4} F_{\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]}^{\beta_1}(u^2) + 2uF_{\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]}^{\alpha_2}(u^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\pi}{8} F_{\left[\frac{n-2}{2}\right]}^{\beta_2}(u^2) u^2 - \frac{\rho_{-1,1}\pi}{4} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^{n+3}}{du^{n+3}} \tilde{M}(v) &= \frac{\sqrt{v}F_{\frac{n+1}{2}}(v)}{(1 - v)^{n+3}} + \frac{F_{\frac{n+3}{2}+1}(v)}{(1 - v)^{n+3+\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - v)^{n+3+\frac{3}{2}}} \left(F_{\frac{n+3}{2}+1}(v) + \sqrt{v}(1 - v)^{\frac{3}{2}} F_{\frac{n+1}{2}}(v) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for n odd and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^{n+3}}{du^{n+3}} \tilde{M}(v) &= \frac{F_{\frac{n+2}{2}}(v)}{(1 - v)^{n+3}} + \frac{\sqrt{v}F_{\frac{n+2}{2}+1}(v)}{(1 - v)^{n+3+\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - v)^{n+3+\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\sqrt{v}F_{\frac{n+2}{2}+1}(v) + (1 - v)^{\frac{3}{2}} F_{\frac{n+2}{2}}(v) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for n even, where $F_k(x)$ is the polynomial of x with degree k . Let $\frac{d^{n+3}}{du^{n+3}} \tilde{M}(v) = 0$, that is

$$\begin{cases} F_{\frac{n+3}{2}+1}(v) = -\sqrt{v}(1 - v)^{\frac{3}{2}} F_{\frac{n+1}{2}}(v), & n \text{ is odd,} \\ \sqrt{v}F_{\frac{n+2}{2}+1}(v) = -(1 - v)^{\frac{3}{2}} F_{\frac{n+2}{2}}(v), & n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

By squaring the above equations, we obtain that $\frac{d^{n+3}}{du^{n+3}} \tilde{M}(v)$ has at most $n + 5$ zeros, multiplicity taken into account. According to Rolle's theorem and $M(h(0)) = 0$, $M(h(u))$ has at most $2n + 7$ zeros on $(0, 1)$ counted with multiplicities. This ends the proof. \square

For $u \in (0, 1)$, denote

$$I_1(u) := 1 - \sqrt{1 - u^2}, \quad I_2(u) := \ln \frac{1 + u}{1 - u},$$

then

$$I_1(u) = \frac{4}{\pi}(I_{0,1}(h(u))), \quad I_2(u) = I_{0,0}(h(u)). \tag{3.34}$$

Consider the complex domain $D := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{u \in \mathbb{R} \mid u \leq -1 \text{ or } u \geq 1\}$. When $u \in \{u \in \mathbb{R} \mid u \leq -1 \text{ or } u \geq 1\}$, we denote by $I_1^\pm(u)$ and $I_2^\pm(u)$ the analytic continuations of $I_1(u)$ and $I_2(u)$ along an arc such that $Im(u) > 0$ ($Im(u) < 0$), respectively. For example, $I_1^\pm(u)$ are the analytic continuations of $I_1(u)$ in the region $D \cap \{u \in \mathbb{C} \mid Im(u) > 0$ ($Im(u) < 0$)\}, respectively. To determine the arguments of $I_1^\pm(u)$ in the region $\{u \in \mathbb{R} \mid u \leq -1 \text{ or } u \geq 1\}$, we need to make an arc starting from the region $\{u \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < u < 1\}$ along the upper (lower) half complex plane to the region $\{u \in \mathbb{R} \mid u \leq -1 \text{ or } u \geq 1\}$. Then we get the following conclusions of $I_1(u)$, $I_2(u)$, $I_1^\pm(u)$ and $I_2^\pm(u)$.

Lemma 3.6 *For $I_1(u)$ and $I_2(u)$, we have the following results.*

- (i) *The functions $I_1(u)$ and $I_2(u)$ can be analytically extended to the complex domain $D = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{u \in \mathbb{R} \mid u \leq -1 \text{ or } u \geq 1\}$.*
- (ii) *The functions $I_1^\pm(u)$ satisfy*

$$I_1^+(u) - I_1^-(u) = \begin{cases} 2i\sqrt{u^2 - 1}, & \text{for } u \in (1, +\infty), \\ -2i\sqrt{u^2 - 1}, & \text{for } u \in (-\infty, -1). \end{cases}$$

- (iii) *The functions $I_2^\pm(u)$ satisfy $I_2^+(u) - I_2^-(u) = 2\pi i$ for $u \in (-\infty, -1) \cup (1, +\infty)$.*

Proof Note that $I_1^\pm(u)$ are both analytic continuation of $I_1(u)$. When $u \in (1, +\infty)$, $I_1^\pm(u)$ are not analytic at $u = 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^+(u) - I_1^-(u) &= -\sqrt{1+u}|1-u|^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}} + \sqrt{1+u}|1-u|^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} \\ &= 2i\sqrt{u^2 - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

By the same method, when $u \in (-\infty, -1)$, $I_1^\pm(u)$ are not analytic at $u = -1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^+(u) - I_1^-(u) &= -\sqrt{1-u}|1+u|^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} + \sqrt{1-u}|1+u|^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}} \\ &= -2i\sqrt{u^2 - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

When $u \in (1, +\infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^+(u) - I_2^-(u) &= (\ln(1+u) - \ln|1-u| + i\pi) \\ &\quad - (\ln(1+u) - \ln|1-u| - i\pi) = 2\pi i. \end{aligned}$$

When $u \in (-\infty, -1)$, we have

$$I_2^+(u) - I_2^-(u) = (\ln |1 + u| + i\pi - \ln(1 - u)) - (\ln |1 + u| - i\pi - \ln(1 - u)) = 2\pi i.$$

This ends the proof. □

To get a lower bound for the number of zeros of $M(h)$, we let

$$\bar{M}(u) := M(h(u))\varphi(u), \quad \varphi(u) := 1 - u^4, \quad \psi(u) := u(1 - u^2),$$

then $M(h(u))$ and $\bar{M}(u)$ have the same number of zeros for $u \in (0, 1)$.

Lemma 3.7 *For $n \geq 3$, the generating functions of $\bar{M}(u)$ are the following $2n + 4$ linearly independent functions for $u \in (0, 1)$:*

$$\begin{aligned} &I_1(u)\varphi(u), u^2 I_1(u)\varphi(u), u^4 I_1(u)\varphi(u), \dots, u^{2\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil} I_1(u)\varphi(u), \\ &I_2(u)\varphi(u), u^2 I_2(u)\varphi(u), u^4 I_2(u)\varphi(u), \dots, u^{2\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil} I_2(u)\varphi(u), \\ &(u^2 - I_1(u))(1 + u^2), \psi(u), u\psi(u), u^2\psi(u), \dots, u^{n+1}\psi(u). \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Moreover, there exists the system (1.11) such that its $M(h(u))$ has at least $2n + 3$ simple zeros for $u \in (0, 1)$, namely, $H(n) \geq 2n + 3$.

Proof Suppose that $G(u)$ is a linear combination of the generating functions in (3.35), and

$$\begin{aligned} G(u) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil} \bar{A}_k u^{2k} I_1(u)\varphi(u) + \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil} \bar{B}_k u^{2k} I_2(u)\varphi(u) \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \bar{C}_k u^k \psi(u) + \bar{\rho}_0 (u^2 - I_1(u))(1 + u^2) \equiv 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

By Lemma 3.6, $G(u)$ can be analytically extended to the complex domain D . When $u > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^+(u) - G^-(u) &= 2i\sqrt{u^2 - 1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil} \bar{A}_k u^{2k} \varphi(u) - \bar{\rho}_0(1 + u^2) \right) \\ &+ 2\pi i \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil} \bar{B}_k u^{2k} \varphi(u) \equiv 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\bar{\rho}_0 = 0, \bar{A}_k = 0 (k = 0, 1, \dots, [\frac{n-1}{2}])$ and $\bar{B}_k = 0 (k = 0, 1, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}])$. Hence, $G(u) \equiv 0$ becomes

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \bar{C}_k u^k \psi(u) \equiv 0,$$

which yields $\bar{C}_k = 0 (k = 0, 1, \dots, n + 1)$. Therefore, the generating functions of $\bar{M}(u)$ are linearly independent.

By Lemma 2.3 and Remark 3.4 (ii), there exists the system (1.11) such that its $M(h(u))$ has at least $2n + 3$ simple zeros for $u \in (0, 1)$. The result $H(n) \geq 2n + 3$ follows from Lemma 1.1. This ends the proof. \square

Lemma 3.8 For $n = 0, 1, 2$, we have $H(n) = 2n + 3$.

Proof By the same method as Lemma 3.3 (i), for $n = 2$, we have $hM(h) = \sum_{i=1}^8 \tilde{a}_i g_i(h)$,

where

$$g_1(h) = hu(h) / (1 + u^2(h)), \quad g_2(h) = u(h)g_1(h), \quad g_4(h) = \frac{1}{2}I_{0,1}(h) - I_{1,1}(h),$$

$$(g_3(h), g_5(h), g_6(h), g_7(h), g_8(h)) = (hI_{1,1}, hI_{0,1}, hI_{1,0}, h^2I_{0,0}, hI_{0,0}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_1 &= \tau_0 - \tau_2, \quad \tilde{a}_2 = \tau_1, \quad \tilde{a}_3 = \rho_{1,1} - \frac{3}{16}\rho_{-1,3}, \quad \tilde{a}_4 = \frac{\rho_{-1,1}}{16}, \quad \tilde{a}_5 = \rho_{0,1} + \frac{3}{16}\rho_{-1,3}, \\ \tilde{a}_6 &= \rho_{1,0} + \frac{\rho_{0,2}}{2^4} + \rho_{2,0} - \frac{1}{8}\rho_{-1,2} + \frac{1}{2}\tau_2, \quad \tilde{a}_7 = 2\rho_{0,2}, \quad \tilde{a}_8 = \rho_{0,0} + \frac{1}{8}\rho_{-1,2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

We have $hM(h) \in \text{Span}(\mathcal{F}_{3-n}), n = 0, 1, 2$, where

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = [g_1, g_2, \dots, g_8](h), \quad \mathcal{F}_2 = [g_1, g_2, g_4, g_5, g_6, g_8](h), \quad \mathcal{F}_3 = [g_4, g_8, g_1](h).$$

We shall prove that \mathcal{F}_1 is an ECT-system on $(-\frac{1}{2^5}, 0)$. Let $x = \sqrt{-h} \in (0, 2^{-\frac{5}{2}})$ and $W_i(h) = W[g_1, g_2, \dots, g_i](h) (i = 1, 2, \dots, 8)$. By calculations, we see that each of $W_i(h)$ is non-vanishing on $(-\frac{1}{2^5}, 0)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

For $i = 4, \dots, 8$, we get $W_i(h) = \xi_i(h)\Phi_i(x(h))$, where $\xi_i(h)$ for $i = 4, 5, 6$ is non-vanishing, and $\xi_i(h) = m_i(h)\Phi_{i1}(h)$ with $m_i(h)$ non-vanishing for $i = 7, 8$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_4(x) &= -15 - 180\sqrt{2}x - 1392x^2 - 1344\sqrt{2}x^3 + 4096x^4, \\ \Phi_5(x) &= -15 - 240\sqrt{2}x - 3040x^2 - 10240\sqrt{2}x^3 - 68352x^4 \\ &\quad - 151552\sqrt{2}x^5 - 229376x^6 + 262144\sqrt{2}x^7, \\ \Phi_6(x) &= 5 + 80\sqrt{2}x + 864x^2 + 1024\sqrt{2}x^3 - 11008x^4 - 12288\sqrt{2}x^5 \\ &\quad + 131072x^6 + 131072\sqrt{2}x^7, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_7(h) &= \frac{\Phi_{72}(h)}{\Phi_{71}(h)} + \ln \frac{1+u(h)}{1-u(h)}, & \Phi_8(h) &= \frac{\Phi_{82}(h)}{\Phi_{81}(h)} + \ln \frac{1+u(h)}{1-u(h)}, \\ \Phi_{71}(h) &= 61440h^3 u(h) (21 + 1152h + 19712h^2 + 139264h^3), \\ \Phi_{72}(h) &= 5 + 944h + 89088h^2 + 4096 (143 + 3456\sqrt{-2h}) h^3 \\ &\quad + 65536 (-1603 + 12032\sqrt{-2h}) h^4, \\ &\quad + 16777216 (-155 + 872\sqrt{-2h}) h^5 + 67108864 (-255 + 1472\sqrt{-2h}) h^6, \\ \Phi_{81}(h) &= 245760h^3 (21 + 3036h + 168192h^2 + 4918272h^3 + 79200256h^4 + 530579456h^5), \\ \Phi_{82}(h) &= u(h) (5 + 1152h + 149504h^2 + 12288(-817 + 9216\sqrt{-2h}) h^3 + \\ &\quad 65536 (-21445 + 152576\sqrt{-2h}) h^4 + 1048576 (-51923 + 343296\sqrt{-2h}) h^5 \\ &\quad + 167772160 (-6239 + 40064\sqrt{-2h}) h^6 \\ &\quad + 6442450944 (-1265 + 7872\sqrt{-2h}) h^7). \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 4, 5, 6$, by calculations, we know the resultant of $\Phi_i(x)$ and $\Phi'_i(x)$ is non-vanishing, which implies $\Phi_i(x)$ has no multiple zeros. By analysis the Sturm's sequence of $\Phi_i(x)$, we know $\Phi_i(x)$ has no zero on $(0, 2^{-\frac{5}{2}})$ by Lemma 2.5. For $i = 7$, since $\lim_{h \rightarrow -\frac{1}{25}^+} \Phi_7(h) = 0$ and

$$\Phi'_7(h) = \frac{301x (-63 - 3600h - 95488h^2 - 929792h^3) (x - 2^{-\frac{5}{2}})^4}{15360(-h)^{9/2} (1 + 2^5h)^{5/2} (21 + 480h + 4352h^2)^2} \Phi_6(x) < 0,$$

we obtain that $\Phi_7(h)$ is strictly decreasing and has no zero for $h \in (-\frac{1}{25}, 0)$.

Next, we will prove that $W_8(h)$ is non-vanishing on $(-\frac{1}{25}, 0)$. With the aid of Mathematica, we find that $\Phi_{81}(h)$ has a unique zero at $h_0 \approx -0.0159034 \in (-\frac{1}{25}, 0)$, and $W_8(h_0) = -9.31821 \times 10^{36} < 0$. We claim that $\Phi_8(h)$ is non-vanishing on $(-\frac{1}{25}, h_0) \cup (h_0, 0)$. In fact, we have

$$\Phi'_8(h) = \frac{-(x - 2^{-\frac{5}{2}})^4 \bar{\Phi}_{81}(h) \bar{\Phi}_{82}(x)}{240x^8 (1 + 2^5h)^{\frac{3}{2}} \bar{\Phi}_{83}^2(h)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Phi}_{81}(h) &= 63 + 5760h + 269376h^2 + 6125568h^3 + 54280192h^4, \\ \bar{\Phi}_{82}(x) &= 5 + 80\sqrt{2}x + 352x^2 - 7168\sqrt{2}x^3 - 81664x^4 + 167936\sqrt{2}x^5 + 2670592x^6 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -5111808\sqrt{2}x^7 - 62914560x^8 + 20971520\sqrt{2}x^9 + 536870912x^{10} \\
& + 536870912\sqrt{2}x^{11}, \\
\overline{\Phi}_{83}(h) &= 21 + 2364h + 92544h^2 + 1956864h^3 + 16580608h^4.
\end{aligned}$$

By calculation the Sturm's sequence of $\overline{\Phi}_{81}(h)$ and $\overline{\Phi}_{82}(x(h))$, we know that they have 0,1 zeros on $\left(-\frac{1}{25}, 0\right)$, respectively. With the aid of Mathematica, $\overline{\Phi}_{82}(x(h))$ has a unique zero $h_* \approx -0.0134724 \in (h_0, 0)$, and $\Phi_8(h)$ has a negative local maximum at $h = h_*$, which implies $\Phi_8(h)$ is non-vanishing on $(h_0, 0)$. Since $\Phi_8(h)$ is strictly increasing on $\left(-\frac{1}{25}, h_0\right)$ and $\lim_{h \rightarrow -\frac{1}{25}^+} \Phi_8(h) = 0$, $\Phi_8(h)$ is also non-vanishing on $\left(-\frac{1}{25}, h_0\right)$. Thus, we obtain $H(2) = 7$.

We can similarly prove that the ordered set \mathcal{F}_2 is an ECT-system on $\left(-\frac{1}{25}, 0\right)$, and \mathcal{F}_3 is an ECT-system with accuracy 1 on $\left(-\frac{1}{25}, 0\right)$. This ends the proof. \square

Theorem 1.2. follows from Lemmas 3.5, 3.7, and 3.8.

Acknowledgements We extend our sincere gratitude to the reviewers and the editor for their valuable suggestions, which have significantly enhanced the quality of the paper.

Author Contributions Zheng Si: Investigation, Conceptualization, Writing - original draft. Liqin Zhao : Supervision, Methodology, Writing - review & editing.

Data availability No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declaration

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Arnold, V.I.: Arnold's Problems. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2005)
2. Chen, X., Han, M.: A linear estimate of the number of limit cycles for a piecewise smooth near-Hamiltonian system. Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst. **19**, 61 (2020)
3. Chen, X., Han, M.: Number of limit cycles from a class of perturbed piecewise polynomial systems. Int. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Eng. **31**, 2150123 (2021)
4. Coll, B., Gasull, A., Prohens, R.: Degenerate Hopf bifurcations in discontinuous planar systems. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **253**, 671–690 (2001)
5. Coll, B., Gasull, A., Prohens, R.: Bifurcation of limit cycles from two families of centers. Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst. Ser. A Math. Anal. **12**, 275–287 (2005)
6. de Carvalho, T., Llibre, J., Tonon, D.J.: Limit cycles of discontinuous piecewise polynomial vector fields. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **449**, 572–579 (2017)
7. Gautier, S., Gavrilov, L., Iliev, I.D.: Perturbations of quadratic centers of genus one. Discr. Contin. Dyn. Syst. **25**, 511–535 (2009)
8. Gong, S., Han, M.: An estimate of the number of limit cycles bifurcating from a planar integrable system. Bull. Sci. Math. **176**, 103118 (2022)
9. Grau, M., Mañosas, F., Villadelprat, J.: A Chebyshev criterion for Abelian integrals. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **363**, 109–129 (2011)

10. Han, M., Sheng, L.: Bifurcation of limit cycles in piecewise smooth systems via Melnikov function. *J. Appl. Anal. Comput.* **5**, 809–815 (2015)
11. Han, M., Yang, J.: The maximum number of zeros of functions with parameters and application to differential equations. *J. Nonlinear Model. Anal.* **3**, 13–34 (2021)
12. Hong, L., Hong, X., Lu, J.: A linear estimation to the number of zeros for Abelian integrals in a kind of quadratic reversible centers of genus one. *J. Appl. Anal. Comput.* **10**, 1534–1544 (2020)
13. Kuznetsov, Y.A., Rinaldi, S., Gragnani, A.: One-parameter bifurcations in planar Filippov systems. *Int. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Eng.* **13**, 2157–2188 (2003)
14. Li, C.: Abelian integrals and limit cycles. *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **11**, 111–128 (2012)
15. Li, C., Zhang, Z.: Remarks on 16th weak Hilbert problem for $n = 2$. *Nonlinearity* **15**, 1975–1992 (2002)
16. Li, J.: Hilbert's 16th problem and bifurcations of planar polynomial vector fields. *Int. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Eng.* **13**, 47–106 (2003)
17. Li, S., Chen, X., Zhao, Y.: Bifurcation of limit cycles by perturbing piecewise smooth integrable non-Hamiltonian systems. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **34**, 140–148 (2017)
18. Li, W., Zhao, Y., Li, C., Zhang, Z.: Abelian integrals for quadratic centres having almost all their orbits formed by quartics. *Nonlinearity* **15**, 863–885 (2002)
19. Liang, F., Han, M., Romanovski, V.G.: Bifurcation of limit cycles by perturbing a piecewise linear Hamiltonian system with a homoclinic loop. *Nonlinear Anal.* **75**, 4355–4374 (2012)
20. Liu, X., Han, M.: Bifurcation of limit cycles by perturbing piecewise Hamiltonian systems. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* **20**, 1379–1390 (2010)
21. Llibre, J., Mereu, A., Novaes, D.D.: Averaging theory for discontinuous piecewise differential systems. *J. Differ. Equ.* **258**, 4007–4032 (2015)
22. Novaes, D.D., Torregrosa, J.: On extended Chebyshev systems with positive accuracy. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **448**, 171–186 (2017)
23. Yang, J.: Bifurcation of limit cycles of the nongeneric quadratic reversible system with discontinuous perturbations. *Sci China Math.* **63**, 873–886 (2020)
24. Yang, J., Zhao, L.: Bounding the number of limit cycles of discontinuous differential systems by using Picard-Fuchs equations. *J. Differ. Equ.* **264**, 5734–5757 (2018)
25. Zhang, H., Xiong, Y.: Hopf bifurcations by perturbing a class of reversible quadratic systems. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **170**, 113309 (2023)
26. Zhu, C., Tian, Y.: Limit cycles from Hopf bifurcation in nongeneric quadratic reversible systems with piecewise perturbations. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* **31**, 2150254 (2021)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.