RESEARCH ARTICLE



# Exogenously applied proline induced changes in key anatomical features and physio-biochemical attributes in water stressed oat (Avena sativa L.) plants

Rehmana Ghafoor<sup>1</sup> • Nudrat Aisha Akram<sup>1</sup> • Muhammad Rashid<sup>1</sup> • Muhammad Ashraf<sup>2</sup> • Muhammad Iqbal<sup>1</sup> • Zhang Lixin<sup>3</sup>

Received: 10 January 2019 / Revised: 1 May 2019 / Accepted: 3 June 2019 / Published online: 15 July 2019 - Prof. H.S. Srivastava Foundation for Science and Society 2019

Abstract Oat (Avena sativa) plants grown under 60% field capacity (water-deficit stress) were subjected to proline (40 mM) applied as a foliage spray. Water-deficit conditions suppressed plant growth, chlorophyll contents, leaf vascular bundle area, leaf phloem area and leaf midrib thickness, root diameter, root cortex thickness, stem diameter, stem vascular bundle area and stem phloem area. In contrast, water stress caused an increase in leaf proline, hydrogen peroxide, activities of peroxidase and superoxide dismutase enzymes, leaf bulliform cell area, leaf adaxial epidermis thickness, leaf sclerenchyma thickness, root metaxylem area, root epidermis and endodermis area, root stelar diameter, stem sclerenchyma thickness and stem epidermis thickness. However, exogenous application of proline significantly improved the plant growth, leaf proline contents, metaxylem area, mesophyll thickness, root diameter, root cortex thickness, root epidermis, endodermis thickness, stelar diameter, metaxylem area, stem diameter, stem vascular bundle area, stem epidermis area, stem phloem area and stem sclerenchyma thickness. Overall, foliar spray of proline was effective in improving drought stress tolerance which can be attributed to proline-induced significant modulations in physio-biochemical and anatomical features of oat plants.

<sup>2</sup> University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Faisalabad, Pakistan

Keywords Oat - Water regimes - Proline - Antioxidant enzymes - Leaf, stem and root anatomy

# Introduction

Global environmental changes occurring at a fast pace are resulting in drastic stressful factors such as drought, salinity, flooding and soil acidification/alkalinity, which are a serious threat to food security (Ashikari and Feng-Ma [2015](#page-13-0)). Of these stresses, drought is the most devastating one. Water deficit conditions are known to reduce crop growth and yield by decreasing canopy absorption, efficiency of radiations, photosynthetically active radiations, chlorophyll biosynthesis, over-accumulation of reactive oxygen species, vital membrane degradation, proteins structure destabilization etc. (Earl and Davis [2003](#page-13-0); Ashraf and Harris [2013;](#page-13-0) Kosar et al. [2015](#page-13-0); Akram et al. [2016](#page-12-0)). Moreover, relative water content (RWC) and leaf water potential also decrease under water deficit regimes in plants, but severity of a stress depends on type of plant species (Silva et al. [2009;](#page-14-0) Arzani and Ashraf [2016](#page-13-0)). In addition, drought stress can damage the chloroplast (Mafakhari et al. [2010\)](#page-13-0), as well as can reduce the turgor and plant water potentials (Rahdari and Hoseini [2012;](#page-14-0) Lum et al. [2014;](#page-13-0) Li et al. [2014\)](#page-13-0).

For the survival of plants under water deficit conditions, various defense and metabolic systems are activated. For example, accumulation of osmolytes/compatible solutes, such as proline, glycine betaine, sugars, polyols, and trehalose etc. takes place, which is essential for metabolic and osmotic adjustments in plants (Shafiq et al. [2015;](#page-14-0) Akram et al. [2016\)](#page-12-0). Of various compatible solutes, proline acts as a protective molecule that can unite stress generated free radicals and oxygen (Sharma et al. [2012](#page-14-0)). Proline, without

 $\boxtimes$  Nudrat Aisha Akram nudrataauaf@yahoo.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Botany, Government College University, Faisalabad 38040, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup> State Key Laboratory of Soil Erosion and Dryland Farming on the Loess Plateau, College of Life Sciences, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, People's Republic of China

interfering with the normal metabolic processes, allows the plants to grow or thrive under drought stress (Verbruggen and Hermans [2008](#page-14-0)).

Anatomical modifications in a plant body are capable of minimizing detrimental effects of drought stress (Hare and Cress [1997](#page-13-0); Verbruggen and Hermans [2008;](#page-14-0) Saeed et al. [2016\)](#page-14-0). Moreover, leaf is a more responsive organ than the root or stem to stress conditions (Jarret [2014](#page-13-0)). Due to continuous loss of water from leaf, the bulliform cells' area and mesophyll cells gradually become smaller (Kutluet al. [2009;](#page-13-0) Zhang et al. [2015](#page-14-0)). Furthermore, leaf vascular bundles and xylem vessels show a reduced diameter in crop plants exposed to drought stress. For example, Ennajeh et al. ([2010\)](#page-13-0) reported an increase in total thickness of leaf lamina, spongy parenchyma, upper palisade and trichome layer, and upper and lower epidermis in olive plant leaves. Thickness of midrib, lamina and vascular bundle area, and bulliform cell area were found to be reduced in the leaf of sewan grass (Naz et al. [2014\)](#page-14-0). Water deficit conditions also cause considerable changes in roots. For example, it has been reported that root hairs increase per unit area in most plants under drought stress (Jarret [2014](#page-13-0)).

Drought tolerant and drought sensitive species differ considerably in terms of development of anatomical adaptations under drought stress. For example, drought tolerant species show increased succulence (both in root and stem), thick cuticle, enhanced deposition of wax, thick and many layered epidermis, well developed water storing tissues in the cortex and enhanced development of root endodermis (Peng et al. [2015](#page-14-0)).

Proline is most widely distributed osmoprotectant in higher plants to be involved primarily in osmotic adjustment under water stress conditions (Szoke et al. [1992](#page-14-0); Ashraf and Foolad [2007\)](#page-13-0). It is believed that proline accumulation plays an adaptive role in plants stress tolerance (Hare and Cress [1997;](#page-13-0) Ashraf and Foolad [2007\)](#page-13-0). Currently, proline may cost up to US\$ 0.76/g. However, this cost may high for its application under field conditions. Therefore, more research is needed to calculate the efficiency of proline on yield attributes of crop plants particularly under field conditions. Proline accumulation during osmotic stress is mainly due to its increased synthesis or reduced degradation. It also acts as molecular chaperon that stabilizes the structure of proteins by maintaining the cell redox status. It is also involved in stress signal influencing adaptive responses in most plants (Maggio et al. [2002](#page-13-0) (Verbruggen and Hermans [2008\)](#page-14-0). Proline also works as metabolic signal that may regulate antioxidants as well as it can scavenge ROS species directly and helps the plant cell to survive under stress conditions (Hamilton and Hecka-thorn [2001;](#page-13-0) Kavi Kishor et al. [2005](#page-13-0); Szabados and Savouré [2010;](#page-14-0) Liang et al. [2013](#page-13-0); Fu et al. [2018\)](#page-13-0). Ali et al. ([2013\)](#page-13-0) worked on maize under the foliar application of proline, they reported that content of protein, seed sugar, oil, fiber content, moisture content, and ash were increased in both maize cultivars under normal and stress conditions. Kahlaoui et al. ([2018\)](#page-13-0) reported that exogenous application of proline (low concentration) can enhance the tolerance against salinity stress in both tomato cultivars.

Oat (Avena sativa L.) belongs to family Poaceae and is grown all over Pakistan because of being a potentially nutritive cereal. It can grow not only under moist climates, poor soil and in cool climates, but also under both rainfed and irrigated conditions throughout Pakistan. Oat has the ability to grow reasonably in acidic soil (pH up to 4.5), but 5.3–5.7 pH is best for its growth (Ovando-Martínez et al. [2013](#page-14-0)). On the basis all the afore-mentioned reports it was hypothesized that drought stress can impair a variety of physio-biochemical and anatomical features in oat and proline application can alleviate the harmful effects of drought on these attributes. Thus, the premier objective of the present study was to determine up to what extent water deficit conditions can alter some key physio-biochemical and anatomical characteristics in oat plants. Furthermore, it was also determined that how far exogenous application of proline, a vital osmo-protectant, could mitigate the injurious effects of drought on oat.

# Materials and methods

The current study was set-up to examine the influence of a potential osmo-protectant, proline on some key physiological attributes interlinked with anatomical features of leaf, stem and root of oat (Avena sativa L.) plants under water deficit conditions. A three-factor factorial (cultivars, 2; drought levels, 2; proline, 2; and replicates, 4) pot experiment was conducted in the Botanical Garden of the GC University Faisalabad (GCUF) under natural environmental conditions. Two local cultivars of oat namely F-411 and CK-1 were chosen for this experiment. The seeds of both cultivars were obtained from the Ayub Agricultural Research Institute, Faisalabad, Pakistan and at a rate of 8 seeds/pot were sown in 32 plastic pots of uniform size. Each plastic pot contained 10 kg sandy loam soil. After sprouting of the seeds, thinning was done to maintain 5 plants of uniform size per replicate. The soil water contents were maintained on daily basis. The average temperature is varied between 10-24 °C. After 15 days of seed germination, water stress treatments (control, full field capacity; water stress, 60% field capacity) started. After 32 days of water treatments, proline (40 mM along with 0.1% Tween 20) was applied as a foliage spray. This chemical was provided by Sigma Aldrich. An aliquot of 50 mL solution of proline was applied to each plant by using a handsprayer pump. After 15 days of foliage application, two plants were harvested for the determination of shoot and root fresh and dry weights. At the end of experimental period, remaining plants were used for recording the data of the following physio-biochemical and anatomical attributes.

#### Physio-biochemical attributes

## Hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$

A fresh leaf (0.5 g) excised with 5 mL trichloroacetic acid  $(0.1\%)$  and  $H_2O_2$  contents were determined following Velikova et al. [\(2000](#page-14-0)).

#### Malondialdehyde (MDA)

The protocol proposed by Cakmak and Horst ([1991\)](#page-13-0) was used to determine the malondialdehyde (MDA) contents.

#### Glycinebetaine (GB)

Glycinebetaine in the leaf tissues was determined following Grieve and Grattan [\(1983](#page-13-0)).

#### Ascorbic acid (AsA)

A method proposed by Mukherjee and Choudhuri ([1983\)](#page-14-0) was used to determine the ascorbic acid contents.

## Total phenolics

A fresh leaf (0.5 g) was homogenized in 5 mL acetone (80%) and following Julkenon-Titto ([1985\)](#page-13-0), total phenolics were determined by using spectrophotometer (Hitachi UV-1800, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan).

## Free proline content

The protocol proposed by Bates et al. ([1973\)](#page-13-0) was used to determine the proline content.

#### Chlorophyll contents

The chlorophyll  $a$  and  $b$  contents were determined according to Arnon [\(1949](#page-13-0)).

#### Total soluble proteins

Total soluble proteins were determined following a method proposed by Bradford ([1976\)](#page-13-0).

#### Antioxidants enzymes

The activities of catalase (CAT) and peroxidase (POD) enzymes were determined following Chance and Maehly [\(1955](#page-13-0)), while the activity of superoxide dismutase (SOD) enzyme according to the protocol described by Van Rossum et al. [\(1997](#page-14-0)).

#### Anatomical features

For the anatomical investigations, formalin-acid-alcohol (FAA) solution was prepared by taking 525 mL of distilled water, 750 mL of ethyl alcohol, 150 mL of formaldehyde, and 75 mL of acetic acid. An aliquot, of 30 mL of the FAA solution was taken from the stock solution and added to each bottle. Fresh leaves, stems and roots were taken (2–3 cm) from each treatment, washed well in distilled water and preserved in a (FAA) solution. The plant samples were then to be fixed in acidic alcohol solution for 24 h at room temperature. The sections of all samples were cut free hand by following Ruzin [\(1999](#page-14-0)). After completing the staining procedure, the sections were shifted on slides, added one drop of canada balsam (a mounting medium) on each of the sections, covered the section with a cover slip and permanent slides were preserved for taking micrographs. Finally, measurements of the micrographs were done using a light microscope (MEIJI Techno; ML2100, Japan). Then, leaf (leaf thickness, size and nature of dermal tissues, size and no of conducting tissues, size of parenchymatous tissues and size of mechanical tissues), stem (stem area, size and nature of dermal tissues, size & no of conducting tissues, size of parenchymatous tissues and size of mechanical tissues) and root (root area, size and nature of dermal tissues, size & no of conducting tissues, size of parenchymatous tissues and size of mechanical tissues) were recorded.

#### Statistical analysis

The collected physio-biochemical and anatomical data were subjected to analysis of variance technique (ANOVA) using a computer software (Co-Stat version 6.2, CoHort Software, 2003, Monterey, CA, USA). All mean values within each attribute were compared using the least significant difference (LSD) at 5% probability level.

## Results

## Growth attributes

Drought stress (60% field capacity) markedly suppressed the root and shoot lengths of both cultivars of oat. Foliar-

applied proline (40 mM) did not affect the root length, while it significantly ( $P \le 0.001$ ) increased shoot length of both oat cultivars under both water regimes. The response of both oat cultivars to proline was similar under control and drought stress conditions (Fig. [1](#page-4-0); Table [1\)](#page-5-0). Waterdeficit conditions also considerably ( $P \le 0.001$ ) suppressed the root and shoot fresh weights of both oat cultivars (F-411 and CK-1). While, foliar application of proline at the concentration of 40 mM was effective in improving root and shoot fresh weight of both cultivars under water stress conditions (Fig. [1;](#page-4-0) Table [1](#page-5-0)). Water deficit conditions noticeably ( $P \le 0.001$ ; Table [1\)](#page-5-0) suppressed the root and shoot dry weights of both oat cultivars, while foliar spray of proline was effective in improving only root dry weight of both cultivars (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0).

#### Physio-biochemical attributes

A significant increase in free proline contents was observed in both oat cultivars under drought stress (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)). Foliarapplied proline was also found effective in enhancing proline contents in both oat cultivars under control and water stress conditions (Table [1\)](#page-5-0).

Total phenolics, MDA, glycinebetaine, and ascorbic acid contents and the activity of catalase (CAT) enzyme remained unchanged in both cultivars under water-deficit conditions as well as under foliar applied proline. The response of both oat cultivars remained unchanged under water stress and proline application (Table [1](#page-5-0); Figs. [1,](#page-4-0) [2](#page-6-0)). Hydrogen peroxide contents increased under stress conditions, while proline application did not affect the hydrogen peroxide contents in both cultivars under control and water stress (60% of field capacity) conditions (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0).

Chlorophyll a and b contents decreased significantly in both oat cultivars subjected to water stress conditions (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)). Exogenously-applied proline (Table [1](#page-5-0)) was found to be effective in improving only chlorophyll  $b$  contents in oat plants under stress conditions.

Drought stress significantly increased the activity of peroxidase and superoxide dismutase in both oat cultivars. However, foliar application of proline was not effective in improving the activities of these enzymes under stress conditions (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)). The response of both cultivars was similar in terms of POD activity.

Total soluble proteins increased under water deficit conditions in both oat cultivars (Table [1](#page-5-0)). Foliar spray of proline improved the total soluble proteins. Both cultivars were similar in total soluble proteins under control and drought stress conditions (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)).

#### Leaf, root and stem anatomical features

Drought stress (60% field capacity) significantly ( $P \leq$ 0.001) increased the leaf adaxial epidermis thickness, leaf bulliform cell area and leaf schlerenchyma thickness, while it did not affect the leaf abaxial epidermis thickness, leaf blade thickness, mesophyll thickness and metaxylem area of both oat cultivars. Under water-deficit conditions, leaf vascular bundle area, midrib thickness and phloem area decreased considerably in both oat cultivars. Foliar-applied proline at the concentration of 40 mM considerably ( $P \le$ 0.01) enhanced leaf mesophyll thickness and metaxylem area, while slightly suppressed leaf midrib thickness and phloem area. Other attributes (leaf abaxial and adaxial epidermis thickness, leaf blade thickness, bulliform cell area, vascular bundle area and sclerenchyma thickness) were not affected by foliar application of proline. Of both cultivars, cv. F-411 was better in leaf adaxial epidermis thickness, metaxylem area, midrib thickness, phloem area and vascular bundle area as compared to cv. CK-1 (Table [1;](#page-5-0) Figs. [3,](#page-7-0) [4\)](#page-8-0).

Root cortex thickness and root diameter were found to be suppressed under water deficit conditions, while root endodermis thickness, root epidermis thickness, metaxylem area and root stele diameter increased considerably  $(P \leq$ 0.001) under drought stress. Foliar application of proline (40 mM) significantly improved the root cortex thickness, root diameter, root endodermis and epidermis thickness, metaxylem area and stele diameter under water deficit conditions. Cv. F-411 was better in root epidermis thickness while cv. CK-1 was better in root cortex thickness, root metaxylem area and root stele diameter (Table [1](#page-5-0); Figs. [5](#page-9-0), [6\)](#page-10-0).

Water stress conditions significantly ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) decreased the stem diameter, stem phloem area and stem vascular bundle area, while it enhanced stem epidermis thickness and stem sclerenchyma thickness. Stem metaxylem area was not affected under stress conditions. Exogenously-applied proline considerably ( $P \le 0.001$ ) improved the stem diameter, stem epidermis thickness, stem metaxylem area, stem phloem area and stem vascular bundle area, while it did not affect the stem sclerenchyma thickness under stress and non-stress conditions. Cv. F-411 was better in stem phloem area as compared to the other cultivar, while cv. CK-1 was better in stem sclerenchyma thickness and vascular bundle area (Table [1](#page-5-0); Figs. [7](#page-11-0), [8](#page-12-0)).

## Discussion

It is well known that plant growth and development is adversely affected by drought stress. The most sensitive and primary response in plants under water deficit

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Fig. 1 Root and shoot lengths, fresh and dry weights, total phenolics, proline, malondialdehyde (MDA), and hydrogen peroxide contents of two cultivars of oat (Avena sativa L.) plants foliar-treated with proline

Source of variation		df	Root length		Shoot length	weight	Root fresh		Shoot fresh weight			Root dry weight			Shoot dry weight			T. phenolics		Proline	
Cultivars (Cvs)		$\mathbf{1}$	$0.5$ ns		$9.031$ ns		$0.079$ ns		3.781 ns			$0.128$ ns				$0.045$ ns		$67.13$ ns		5.578*	
Drought (D)		$\mathbf{1}$	120.1***		10621.5***		9.625***		520.0***			7.344***		$125.6***$				$7.605$ ns		$0.001$ ns	
Proline (Pro)		1	$2$ ns		892.5***		$0.463**$		42.78***			$0.522**$			$2.085$ ns		$18$ ns			0.789**	
Error		2.270 24			18.38		0.042		2.406		0.052				0.846			34.54		0.727	
			<b>MDA</b>		$H_2O_2$			Chlorophyll a		Chlorophyll b			AsA		GB			CAT		POD	
Cultivars (Cvs)		1	189.2 ns		8.0 ns		$0.144*$			$0.020$ ns				$0.772$ ns		345.6 ns		$0.132$ ns		$1.445$ ns	
Drought (D)		$\mathbf{1}$	42.30 ns		3570.1***		$0.114*$			$0.058*$				3.047 ns		149.9 ns		$0.026$ ns		54.04**	
Proline (Pro)		$\mathbf{1}$	$142.6$ ns		$420.5$ ns		$1.054$ ns			$0.061*$				$4.663$ ns		569.5 ns		$3.573$ ns		$0.530$ ns	
Error		24	193.8		197.2		0.019			0.009			1.362		136.0			0.036		6.043	
		SOD	Total soluble	proteins	epidermis thickness	Leaf abaxial		Leaf adaxial epidermis thickness				Leaf blade thickness		Leaf area	bulliform cell		Leaf mesophyll thickness		Leaf area	metaxylem	
Cultivars (Cvs)	1	1.483*	$2.213$ ns		36.125 ns				$171.1***$		578 ns			99235.1 ns		98 ns				652653.1***	
Drought (D)	$\mathbf{1}$	$2.213**$ 235.1***			28.125 ns		128**					$2664.5$ ns		2309100.5***		$253.1$ ns			53138 ns		
Proline (Pro)	1	$0.076$ ns $63.34*$			$72$ ns				$24.5$ ns		2244.5 ns			273800 ns		6441.1**			193753.1**		
Error	24	0.255 12.74		17.83				21.12			983.4			73200.1		733.9		19726.1			
		Leaf midrib thickness		Leaf phloem area		Leaf vascular bundle area		Leaf sclerenchyma thickness			Root cortex thickness			Root diameter		Root endodermis thickness		Root epidermis thickness			
Cultivars (Cvs)	1	177906.1***		37264.5*		334421522***		$180.5$ ns			3120.5*			$1035.1$ ns		$12.5$ ns		$36.12*$			
Drought (D)	$\mathbf{1}$	37264.5*		893784.5*		75393060**		11935.1***			18050***			126504.5***		406.1***		703.1***			
Proline (Pro)	1	24420.5*		1889568***		22629628 ns		325.1 ns			4704.5**			103285.1***		$153.1***$		220.5***			
Error	24		4803.6		118309.7		7118083.7		361.4		455.0			1682.1		9.187				6.5	
		Root metaxylem area		Root stele diameter		Stem diameter		Stem epidermis thickness			Stem metaxylem area			Stem phloem area		Stem sclerenchyma thickness		Stem vascular bundle area			
Cultivars (Cvs)	1	1386528.8***		5434.0**		12246.1 ns		1.531 ns			87780.5 ns			1029971.3**		$1653.1**$		33050483**			
Drought 1 (D)		1839841.5***		33346.5***		2388205.1***		$225.7***$			84872 ns				2286056.5***		5995.1***		60052580***		
Proline 1 (Pro)		836247.7***		7719.0**		1447551.1***		$457.5***$			1200475.1***		5733344.5***		$32$ ns					2.598***	
Error	44895.8 24		600.2		39122.4			5.822		50320.4			88553.5			148.5			3790106.3		

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Table 1 Analyses of variance of data for different growth, physiological and anatomical characteristics of two cultivars of oat (Avena sativa L.) plants foliar-treated with proline grown under varying water regimes

ns Non-significant

\*, \*\* and \*\*\* significant at 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 levels, respectively

conditions is the reduction of in tissue growth due to considerable reduction in cell turgor (Akram et al. [2011](#page-12-0); Latif et al. [2016](#page-13-0)). Under water deficit conditions or low water potential, osmoprotectant accumulation is in dispensible to maintain a positive turgor pressure so as to protect the cellular functions (Verslues and Juenger [2011](#page-14-0)).

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Fig. 2 Chlorophyll  $a$  and  $b$ , ascorbic acid, glycine betaine contents, activities of catalase, peroxidase, superoxide dismutase and total soluble proteins of two cultivars of oat (Avena sativa L.) plants

Compatible solutes or osmoprotectants such as proline and glycinebetaine are believed to be involved in the protection of cell components during dehydration and cell osmotic adjustment (Ashraf and Foolad [2007\)](#page-13-0). Free proline contents increase in plant cells under drought stress which have a clear role as an osmoticum by balancing the environmental and osmotic potential of vacuole with that of

foliartreated with proline grown under varying water regimes. Mean  $\pm$  S.E.; letters (A–B) showing least significance difference among mean values

cytosol (Ahmad and Sharma [2008;](#page-12-0) Pireivatloum et al. [2010](#page-14-0)). In the present study, foliar applied proline (40 mM) considerably improved the growth (root and shoot fresh/dry weights, lengths) of oat plants grown under water limited environment. In an earlier study on wheat, it has been observed that seed priming with 20 and 40 mM of proline improved the shoot and root fresh and dry weights as well

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Fig. 3 Leaf abaxial epidermis, adaxial epidermis, blade thickness, bulliform cell area, midrib thickness, phloem area, vascular bundle area and sclerenchyma thickness of two cultivars of oat (Avena sativa

L.) plants foliar-treated with proline grown under varying water regimes. Mean  $\pm$  S.E.; letters (A–D) showing least significance difference among mean values

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Fig. 4 Leaf abaxial epidermis, adaxial epidermis, blade thickness, bulliform cell area, midrib thickness, phloem area, vascular bundle area and sclerenchyma thickness of two cultivars of oat (Avena sativa

L.) plants foliar-treated with proline (40 mM) grown under varying water regimes; Cv, cultivars; D0, normal watering; D1, drought stress; T0, 0 mM proline; T1, 40 mM proline

as lengths of wheat plants and it was shown that growth improvement took place due to proline-induced changes in uptake of essential nutrients particularly N,  $K^+$  and  $Ca^{2+}$ (Kamran et al. [2009\)](#page-13-0). It is generally believed that high synthesis of proline in plant cells/tissues provides energy for their growth as well as stress tolerance by protecting enzymes, proteins and vital membranes or organelles (Hoque et al. [2007](#page-13-0); Kamran et al. [2009;](#page-13-0) Hayat et al. [2012](#page-13-0)). Proline as an amino acid acts as an efficient osmolyte, an antioxidative defense and signaling molecule, and a metal chelator (Hayat et al. [2012;](#page-13-0) Kahlaoui et al. [2014\)](#page-13-0).

Chlorophyll pigments play a vital role in plants to harvest the light energy and generate reducing power of cells despite carrying out photochemical reactions (Taiz and Zeiger [2006](#page-14-0), [2012](#page-14-0)). In this study, chlorophyll pigments were suppressed significantly in both oat cultivars under drought stress which is parallel to what has earlier been observed in different crops such as maize (Dolatabadian et al. [2009](#page-13-0)), wheat (Moaveni [2011](#page-14-0)), rice (Pattanagul [2011](#page-14-0)), and canola (Shafiq et al. [2014](#page-14-0)) etc. The exogenous application of proline enhanced the chlorophyll pigments in oat plants under drought stress that could be attributed to proline-induced improvement in chlorophyll pigments which might have occurred due to proline-induced stabilization of chloroplast, its structure as well as activation of chlorophyll biosynthesis enzymes (Hayat et al. [2012](#page-13-0); Ashraf and Harris [2013](#page-13-0)). While working with beans (Vicia  $faba$ ), foliar-applied proline  $(8.7 \mu M)$  increased leaf chlorophyll and water contents, while it reduced membrane injury thereby improving overall plant growth under saline conditions (Gadallah [1999\)](#page-13-0). In another study with maize plants, Ali et al. [\(2007](#page-13-0)) observed that external application of proline at the rate of 30 and 60 mM proline at different growth stages (seedling to reproductive) increased chlorophyll a and b contents in water stressed plants of two maize cultivars. They suggested that proline-induces improvement in chlorophyll biosynthesis and reduces stress-induced degradation of chloroplast and its components under water stressed environment (Ali et al. [2007\)](#page-13-0).

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) accumulate in plants under water stress conditions, and plants possess a defense mechanism to defend themselves from the oxidative damage caused by ROS (Ashraf [2009](#page-13-0); Akram et al. [2012\)](#page-12-0). For example, under drought conditions, plants produce various

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Fig. 5 Root cortex thickness, diameter, endodermis thickness, epidermis thickness, metaxylem area, and stele diameter of two cultivars of oat (Avena sativa L.) plants foliar-treated with proline grown under

varying water regimes. Mean  $\pm$  S.E.; letters (A–E) showing least significance difference among mean values

enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants to protect themselves against the ROS-induced oxidative damage (Yamaguchi and Blumwald [2005;](#page-14-0) Noctor et al. [2014](#page-14-0); Mukhtar et al. [2016](#page-14-0)). For example, malondialdehyde (MDA) contents usually increase in plants under stress conditions and these are considered as membrane damage indicators (Ashraf [2009;](#page-13-0) Pandy et al. [2010;](#page-14-0) Al Hassan et al. [2015\)](#page-12-0). However, in the present study, exogenous application of proline remained ineffective in altering the MDA contents in both oat cultivars. ROS scavengers (catalase, peroxidase, and superoxide dismutase) are generally believed to be activated in plants under drought stress (Ashraf [2009](#page-13-0); Khan et al. [2015](#page-13-0); Ahmad et al. [2016,](#page-12-0) [2018a](#page-12-0), [b](#page-12-0)). Foliarly applied proline plays an important role as osmoprotectant in enhancing plant stress tolerance (Songstad et al. [1990;](#page-14-0) Santarius [1992](#page-14-0); Ashraf and Foolad [2007](#page-13-0); Ahmad et al. [2008](#page-12-0)). From various studies, it was estimated that foliar-applied proline helps to promote plant growth under stress conditions (Csonka and Hanson [1991](#page-13-0); Nounjan and Theerakulpisut [2012\)](#page-14-0). Shevyakova et al. [\(2009](#page-14-0)) reported that exogenous application of proline suppressed the activity of SOD in common ice plant under stress. However, in the present study, it was found that the activities of SOD and POD increased significantly under deficiency of water, while exogenous application of proline was found not much effective in improving the activities of these enzymes. These findings cannot be explained in view of the general argument of Hayat et al. [\(2012](#page-13-0)) that exogenously applied proline can control the enhanced level of stress by elevating the activities of key enzymatic

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Fig. 6 Root cortex thickness, diameter, endodermis thickness, epidermis thickness, metaxylem area, and stele diameter of two cultivars of oat (Avena sativa L.) plants foliartreated with proline grown under

varying water regimes; Cv, cultivars; D0, normal watering; D1, drought stress; T0, 0 mM proline; T1, 40 mM proline

antioxidants. No effect of proline on SOD and POD activity may be dose/plant species dependent, as the level (40 mM) of proline applied in the present study was not effective for these antioxidant enzymes.

Not only physio-biochemical alterations take place in plants exposed to water deficit conditions, but a variety of anatomical changes also take place which help the plants to thrive under stress conditions (Saeed et al. [2016\)](#page-14-0). In the present study, water deficit conditions caused a significant increase in leaf bulliform cell area, leaf adaxial epidermis thickness, leaf sclerenchyma thickness, root metaxylem area, root epidermis and endodermis area, root stellar diameter, stem sclerenchyma thickness and stem epidermis thickness. The injurious effects of drought were reduced when sclerenchyma of leaves developed (Terletskaya and Kurmanbayeva [2017\)](#page-14-0). However, a significant decrease was observed in leaf (vascular bundle area, midrib thickness and phloem area), root (cortex thickness and diameter) and stem (diameter, phloem area and vascular bundle area) anatomical features of both oat cultivars on exposure to arid environment. Recently, Akram et al. [\(2016](#page-12-0)) while examining the leaf anatomical features in two radish cultivars under water stress found that water deficit stress caused a significant reduction in the leaf vascular bundle area, midrib thickness, parenchyma cell area and number of vascular bundles, while an increase was observed in leaf epidermis thickness. Some previous studies have shown that water stress can significantly reduce leaf mesophyll and midrib thickness of Calligonum comosum (Al-Khalifah et al. [2006](#page-13-0)), Triticum aestivum (Burnett et al. [2005](#page-13-0)) and Ctenanthe setosa (Kutlu et al. [2009](#page-13-0)). However, an increase in leaf epidermis thickness is a general observation under drought stress, but such changes entirely depend on the intensity of water shortage (Naz et al. [2014](#page-14-0); Akram et al. [2016\)](#page-12-0). In another study with Astragalus gombiformis, Boughalleb et al. ([2014\)](#page-13-0) showed a variety of anatomical adaptations under water limited environment including decreased vessel size, cortical and mesophyll parenchyma formation, while an increased wall thickness and stomatal density. These features have been suggested to be involved in maintaining water potential and energy storage under drought stress which can efficiently improve the plant survival under arid environment.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Fig. 7 Stem diameter, epidermis thickness, metaxylem, phloem area, stem sclerenchyma thickness and vascular bundle area of two cultivars of oat (Avena sativa L.) plants foliar-treated with proline

grown under varying water regimes. Mean  $\pm$  S.E.; letters (A–D) showing least significance difference among mean values

Of anatomical features of various plant organs, root architecture is most important because root can act as a sensor to sense water shortage (Olmos et al. [2007;](#page-14-0) Naz et al. [2014\)](#page-14-0). In the present study, exogenous application of proline significantly improved various leaf (metaxylem area, mesophyll thickness), root (diameter, cortex thickness, epidermis, endodermis thickness, stelar diameter, metaxylem area), and stem (diameter, vascular bundle area, epidermis area, phloem area and sclerenchyma thickness) anatomical features of both oat cultivars grown under water-deficit conditions. These results clearly indicate that exogenous application of proline induced a variety of anatomical changes in oat plants. So, proline-induced growth improvement under drought stress in oat plants can be attributed to proline-induced increase in vascular bundle area, epidermis/sclerenchyma thickness, and phloem area. However, we cannot compare these results as there are no reports available in the literature on proline-induced changes in plant anatomical features.

## **Conclusion**

In conclusion, water-deficit conditions induced a variety of morphological, anatomical and physiological changes in both oat cultivars (CK-1 and F-411). A significant decrease was observed in chlorophyll  $a$  and  $b$  contents, while an increase was observed in leaf proline, hydrogen peroxide, activities of peroxidase and superoxide dismutase enzymes under water scarce conditions. However, exogenous

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

Fig. 8 Stem diameter, epidermis thickness, metaxylem, phloem area, stem sclerenchyma thickness and vascular bundle area of two cultivars of oat (Avena sativa L.) plants foliartreated with proline

application of proline significantly improved the plant growth (root and shoot fresh/dry weights, lengths), leaf proline contents, metaxylem area, mesophyll thickness, root diameter, root cortex thickness, root epidermis, endodermis thickness, stelar diameter, metaxylem area, stem diameter, stem vascular bundle area, stem epidermis area, stem phloem area and stem sclerenchyma thickness. However, application of proline induced reduction in leaf phloem area and leaf midrib thickness. Overall, foliar spray of proline was effective in improving drought stress tolerance which can be attributed to proline-induced significant modulation in physio-biochemical and anatomical features of oat plants.

## References

- Ahmad P, Sharma S (2008) Salt stress and phyto-biochemical responses of plants- a review. Plant Soil Environ 54(3):89–99
- Ahmad P, Jhon R, Sarwat M, Umar S (2008) Responses of proline, lipid peroxidation and antioxidative enzymes in two varieties of Pisum sativum L. under salt stress. Int J Plant Prod 2(4):353–366

grown under varying water regimes; Cv, cultivars; D0, normal watering; D1, drought stress; T0, 0 mM proline; T1, 40 mM proline

- Ahmad P, Abdel Latef A, Hashem A, Abd-Allah E, Gucel S, Tran LS (2016) Nitric oxide mitigates salt stress by regulating levels of osmolytes and antioxidant enzymes in chickpea. Front Plant Sci 7:347
- Ahmad P, Ahanger MA, Alyemeni MN, Wijaya L, Alam P, Ashraf M (2018a) Mitigation of sodium chloride toxicity in Solanum lycopersicum L. by supplementation of jasmonic acid and nitric oxide. J Plant Interact 13(1):64–72
- Ahmad P, Alyemeni MN, Ahanger MA, Wijaya L, Alam P, Kumar A, Ashraf M (2018b) Upregulation of antioxidant and glyoxalase systems mitigates NaCl stress in Brassica juncea by supplementation of zinc and calcium. J Plant Interact 13(1):151–162
- Akram NA, Ashraf M, Al-Qurainy F (2011) Aminolevulinic acidinduced changes in yield and seed-oil characteristics of sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) plants under salt stress. Pak J Bot 43:2845–2852
- Akram NA, Ashraf M, Al-Qurainy F (2012) Aminolevulinic acidinduced regulation in some key physiological attributes and activities of antioxidant enzymes in sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) under saline regimes. Sci Hort 142:143–148
- Akram NA, Shafiq S, Ashraf M, Aisha R, Sajid MA (2016) Droughtinduced anatomical changes in radish (Raphanus sativus L.) leaves supplied with trehalose through different modes. Arid Land Res Manag 30(4):412–420
- Al Hassan M, Fuertes MM, Sanchez FJR, Vicente O, Boscaiu M (2015) Effects of salt and water stress on plant growth and on

<span id="page-13-0"></span>accumulation of osmolytes and antioxidant compounds in cherry tomato. Not Bot Horti Agrobot Cluj-Napoca 43:1–11

- Ali Q, Ashraf M, Athar HUR (2007) Exogenously applied proline at different growth stages enhances growth of two maize cultivars grown under water deficit conditions. Pak J Bot 39:1133–1144
- Ali Q, Anwar F, Ashraf M, Saari N, Perveen R (2013) Ameliorating effects of exogenously applied proline on seed composition, seed oil quality and oil antioxidant activity of maize (Zea mays L.) under drought stress. Int J Mol Sci 14(1):818–835
- Al-Khalifah NS, Khan PR, Al-Abdulkader AM, Nasroun T (2006) Impact of water stress on the sapwood anatomy and functional morphology of Calligonum comosum. IAWA 27:299–312
- Arnon DT (1949) Copper enzyme in isolated chloroplasts polyphenoloxidase in Beta vulgaris. Plant Physiol 24:1–15
- Arzani A, Ashraf M (2016) Smart engineering of genetic resources for enhanced salinity tolerance in crop plants. Crit Rev Plant Sci 35(3):146–189
- Ashikari M, Feng-Ma J (2015) Exploring the power of plants to overcome environmental stresses. Rice 8:10
- Ashraf M (2009) Biotechnological approach of improving plant salt tolerance using antioxidants as markers. Biotechnol Adv 27:84–93
- Ashraf M, Foolad M (2007) Roles of glycinebetaine and proline in improving plant abiotic stress resistance. Environ Exp Bot 59:206–216
- Ashraf M, Harris PJC (2013) Photosynthesis under stressful environments: An overview. Photosynthetica 51:163–190
- Bates LS, Waldren RP, Teare ID (1973) Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. Plant Sci 39:205–207
- Boughalleb F, Abdellaoui R, Brahim N, Neffati M (2014) Anatomical adaptations of Astragalus gombiformis Pomel. under drought stress. Cent Eur J Biol 12:1215–1225
- Bradford MM (1976) A rapid sensitive method for the quantification of microgram quantities of protein utilising the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem 72:248–254
- Burnett SE, Pennisi SV, Thomas PA, Van-Iersel MW (2005) Controlled drought affects morphology and anatomy of Salvia splendens. J Am Soc Hort Sci 130:775–781
- Cakmak I, Horst WJ (1991) Effect of aluminium on lipid peroxidation, superoxide dismutase, catalase, and peroxidase activities in root tips of soybean (Glycine max). Physiol Plant 83:463–468
- Chance B, Maehly AC (1955) Assay of catalase and peroxidase. Meth Enzymol 2:764–775
- Csonka LN, Hanson AD (1991) Prokaryotic osmoregulation: genetics and physiology. Annu Rev Microbiol 45:569–606
- Dolatabadian A, Modarres SAM, Sharifi M (2009) Effect of salicylic acid and salt on wheat seed germination. Acta Agric Scand Sect B Soil Plant Sci 59:456–464
- Earl HJ, Davis RF (2003) Effect of drought stress on leaf and whole canopy radiation use efficiency and yield of maize. Agron J 95(3):688–696
- Ennajeh M, Vadel AM, Cochard H, Khemira H (2010) Comparative impacts of water stress on the leaf anatomy of a drought-resistant and a drought-sensitive olive cultivar. J Hort Sci Biotechnol 85:289–294
- Fu Y, Ma H, Chen S, Gu T, Gong J (2018) Control of proline accumulation under drought via a novel pathway comprising the histone methylase CAU1 and the transcription factor ANAC055. J Exp Bot 69:579–588
- Gadallah MAA (1999) Effects of proline and glycinebetaine on Vicia faba responses to salt stress. Biol Plant 42:249–257
- Grieve CM, Grattan SR (1983) Rapid assay for determination of water soluble quaternary ammonium compounds. Plant Soil 70:303–307
- Hamilton EW, Heckathorn SA (2001) Mitochondrial adaptations to NaCl. Complex I is protected by anti-oxidants and small heat

shock proteins, whereas complex II is protected by proline and betaine. Plant Physiol 126(3):1266–1274

- Hare PD, Cress WA (1997) Metabolic implications of stress-induced proline accumulation in plants. J Plant Growth Regul 21:79–102
- Hayat S, Hayat Q, Alyemeni MN, Wani AS, Pichtel J, Ahmad A (2012) Role of proline under changing environments: a review. Plant Signal Behav 7:1456–1466
- Hoque MA, Banu MN, Okuma E, Amako K, Nakamura Y, Shimoishi Y (2007) Exogenous proline and glycinebetaine increase NaClinduced ascorbate-glutathione cycle enzyme activities, and proline improves salt tolerance more than glycinebetaine in tobacco bright yellow-2 suspension-cultured cells. J Plant Physiol 164:1457–1468
- Jarret RL (2014) Observations on anatomical aspects of the leaf, fruit and stem tissues of four Citrullus spp. Afr J Plant Sci 8:521–527
- Julkenen-Titto R (1985) Phenolic constituents in the leaves of northern willows: methods for the analysis of certain phenolics. Agric Food Chem 33:213–217
- Kahlaoui B, Hachicha M, Rejeb S, Rejeb MN, Hanchi B, Misle E (2014) Response of two tomato cultivars to field-applied proline under irrigation with saline water: Growth, chlorophyll fluorescence and nutritional aspects. Photosynthetica 52:421–429
- Kahlaoui B, Hachicha M, Misle E, Fidalgo F, Teixeira J (2018) Physiological and biochemical responses to the exogenous application of proline of tomato plants irrigated with saline water. J Saudi Soc Agric Sci 17(1):17–23
- Kamran M, Shahbaz M, Ashraf M, Akram NA (2009) Alleviation of drought-induced adverse effects in spring wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) using proline as a pre-sowing seed treatment. Pak J Bot 41:621–632
- Kavi Kishor PB, Sangam S, Amrutha RN (2005) Regulation of proline biosynthesis, degradation, uptake and transport in higher plants: its implications in plant growth and abiotic stress tolerance. Curr Sci 88(3):424–438
- Khan SH, Khan A, Lita U, Shah AB, Khan MA, Bilal M, Ali MU (2015) Effect of drought stress on tomato cv. Bombino. J Food Process Technol 6:1–6
- Kosar F, Akram NA, Ashraf M (2015) Exogenously-applied 5-aminolevulinic acid modulates some key physiological characteristics and antioxidative defense system in spring wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) seedlings under water stress. S Afr J Bot 96:71–77
- Kutlu N, Terzi R, Tekeli C, Senel G, Battal P, Kadioglu A (2009) Changes in anatomical structure and levels of endogenous phytohormones during leaf rolling in Ctenanthe setosa. Turk J Biol 33:115–122
- Latif M, Akram NA, Ashraf M (2016) Regulation of some biochemical attributes in drought-stressed cauliflower (Brassica oleracea L.) by seed pre-treatment with ascorbic acid. J Hort Sci Biotechnol 91(2):129–137
- Li H, Li X, Zhang D, Liu H, Guan K (2014) Effect of drought stress on the seed germination and early seedling growth of the epidemic desert plant Eremoaparton songoricum. EXCLI J1(2):89–101
- Liang X, Zhang L, Natarajan SK, Becker D (2013) Proline mechanisms of stress survival. Antioxid Redox Signal 19(9):998–1011
- Lum MS, Hanafi MM, Rafii YM, Akmar ASN (2014) Effect of drought stress on growth and antioxidant enzyme activities of upland rice. J Anim Plant Sci 24:1487–1493
- Mafakheri A, Siosemardeh A, Bahramnejad B, Struik PC, Sohrabi Y (2010) Effect of drought stress on yield, proline and chlorophyll contents in three chickpea cultivars. Aust J Crop Sci 4:580–585
- Maggio A, Miyazaki S, Veronese P, Fujita T, Ibeas JI, Damsz B, Narasimhan ML, Hasegawa PM, Joly RJ, Bressan RA (2002)

<span id="page-14-0"></span>Does proline accumulation play an active role in stress-induced growth reduction? Plant J 31:699–712

- Moaveni P (2011) Effect of water deficit stress on some physiological traits of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Agric Sci Res J 1:64–68
- Mukherjee SP, Choudhuri MA (1983) Implications of water stress induced changes in the levels of endogenous ascorbic acid and  $H_2O_2$  in *Vigna* seedlings. Plant Physiol 58:166–170
- Mukhtar A, Akram NA, Akram RA, Shafiq S, Ashraf M (2016) Foliar-applied ascorbic acid enhances antioxidative potential and drought tolerance in cauliflower (Brassica oleracea L. var. Botrytis). Agrochimica 60(2):100–113
- Naz N, Rafique T, Hameed M, Ashraf M, Batool R, Fatima S (2014) Morpho-anatomical and physiological attributes for salt tolerance in sewan grass (Lasiurus scindicus Henr.) from Cholistan Desert, Pakistan. Acta Physiol Plant 36(11):2959–2974
- Noctor G, Mhamdi A, Foyer CH (2014) The roles of reactive oxygen metabolism in drought: not so cut and dried. Plant Physiol 164(4):1636–1648
- Nounjan N, Theerakulpisut P (2012) Effects of exogenous proline and trehalose on physiological responses in rice seedlings during salt-stress and after recovery. Plant Soil Environ 58:309–315
- Olmos E, Sanchez-Blanco MJ, Fernandez T, Alarcon JJ (2007) Subcellular effects of drought stress in Rosmarinus officinalis. Plant Biol 9:77–84
- Ovando-Martínez M, Whitney K, Reuhs BL, Doehlert DC, Simsek S (2013) Effect of hydrothermal treatment on physicochemical and digestibility properties of oat starch. Food Res Int 52:17–25
- Pandy HC, Baig MJ, Chandra A, Bhatt RK (2010) Drought stress induced changes in liquid peroxidation and antioxidant system in genus Avena. J Environ Biol 31:435–440
- Pattanagul W (2011) Exogenous abscisic acid enhances sugar accumulation in rice. Asian J Plant Sci 10(3):212–219
- Peng D, Wang X, Li Z, Zhang Y, Peng Y, Li Y, He X, Zhang X, Ma X, Huang L, Yan Y (2015) NO is involved in spermidineinduced drought tolerance in white clover via activation of antioxidant enzymes and genes. Protoplasma 253:1243–1254
- Pireivatloum J, Qasimov N, Maralian H (2010) Effect of soil water stress on yield and proline content of four wheat lines. Afr J Biotechnol 9:36–40
- Rahdari P, Hoseini SM (2012) Drought stress: a review. Int J Agron Plant Prod 3:443–446
- Ruzin SE (1999) Plant microtechnique and microscopy. Oxford University Press, New York
- Saeed N, Maqbool N, Haseeb M, Sadiq R (2016) Morpho-anatomical changes in roots of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) under drought stress condition. J Agric Sci Technol 6:1–9
- Santarius KA (1992) Freezing of isolated thylakoid membranes in complex media. VIII. Differential cryoprotection by sucrose, proline and glycerol. Physiol Plant 84:87–93
- Shafiq S, Akram NA, Ashraf M, Arshad A (2014) Synergistic effects of drought and ascorbic acid on growth, mineral nutrients and oxidative defense system in canola (Brassica napus L.) plants. Acta Physiol Plant 36:1539–1553
- Shafiq S, Akram NA, Ashraf M (2015) Does exogenously-applied trehalose alter oxidative defense system in the edible part of radish (Raphanus sativus L.) under water-deficit conditions? Sci Hort 185:68–75
- Sharma P, Jha AB, Dubey RS, Pessarakli M (2012) Reactive oxygen species, oxidative damage, and antioxidative defense mechanism in plants under stressful conditions. J Bot 2012:1–26
- Shevyakova NI, Bakulina EA, Kuznetsov VI (2009) Proline antioxidant role in the common ice plant subjected to salinity and paraquat treatment inducing oxidative stress. Russ J Plant Physiol 56:663–669
- Silva EC, Nogueira RJMC, Vale FHA, Melo NF, Araujo FP (2009) Water rela-tions and organic solutes production in four umbu tree (Spondias tuberosa) genotypes under intermittent drought. Braz J Plant Physiol 21:43–53
- Songstad DD, Duncan DR, Wildholm JM (1990) Proline and polyamine involvement in chilling tolerance of maize suspension cultures. J Exp Bot 41:289–294
- Szabados L, Savouré A (2010) Proline: a multifunctional amino acid. Trends Plant Sci 15(2):89–97
- Szoke A, Miao GH, Hong Z, Verma DPS (1992) Subcellular location of D1-pyrroline-5 carboxylate reductase in root/nodule and leaf of soybean. Plant Physiol 99:1642–1649
- Taiz L, Zeiger E (2006) Plant physiology, 4th edn. Sinauer Associates Inc., Sunderland
- Taiz L, Zeiger E (2012) Plant physiology, 5th edn. Sinauer Associates Inc., Sunderland
- Terletskaya N, Kurmanbayeva M (2017) Change in leaf anatomical parameters of seedlings of different wheat species under conditions of drought and salt stress. Pak J Bot 49(3):857–865
- Van Rossum MWPC, Alberda M, Van der Plas LHW (1997) Role of oxidative damage in tulip bulb scale micropropagation. Plant Sci 130:207–216
- Velikova V, Yordanov I, Edreva A (2000) Oxidative stress and some antioxidant systems in acid rain treated bean plants: protective role of exogenous polyamines. Plant Sci 151:59–66
- Verbruggen N, Hermans C (2008) Proline accumulation in plants: a review. Amino Acids 35(4):753–759
- Verslues PE, Juenger TE (2011) Drought, metabolites, and Arabidopsis natural variation: a promising combination for understanding adaptation to water-limited environments. Curr Opin Plant Biol 14:240–245
- Yamaguchi T, Blumwald E (2005) Developing salt-tolerant crop plants: challenges and opportunities. Trends Plant Sci 10(12):615–620
- Zhang FJ, Zhang KK, Du CZ, Li J, Xing YX, Yang LT, Li RY (2015) Effect of drought stress on anatomical structure and chloroplast ultrastructure in leaves of sugarcane. Sugar Technol 17:41–48

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.