

Epidemiology and Management of Candidiasis in Solid Organ Transplant Recipients

Ahmed Al Hammadi¹ · Luis Ostrosky-Zeichner¹

Published online: 17 November 2016
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

Abstract

Purpose of Review Invasive candidiasis (IC) is the leading cause of fungal infections in solid organ transplant recipients (SOT). In this article, we aim to review the epidemiology, risk factors, presentation, and management of IC in this population.

Recent Findings Certain risk factors have been associated with IC in SOT recipients. Targeted antifungal prophylaxis for SOT recipients at the highest risk of infection is currently recommended although the choice and duration of antifungal agents remain controversial. Early diagnosis and monitoring of IC in SOT recipients are critical to achieve better outcomes and prevent serious complications. Non-culture-based diagnostic modalities have been introduced to aid in earlier and more accurate diagnosis.

Summary The use of azoles for prophylaxis or treatment in SOT recipients allowed for selection of resistance and increased the incidence of non-*albicans* *Candida*. Drug–drug interactions, cost, and risk of resistance are to be considered when using more potent or newer antifungal agents.

Keywords Invasive candidiasis · Transplantation · Epidemiology · Management · Solid organ transplant · *Candida*

This article is part of the Topical Collection on *Epidemiology of Fungal Infections*

✉ Ahmed Al Hammadi
Ahmed.Alhammadi@uth.tmc.edu

Luis Ostrosky-Zeichner
Luis.Ostrosky-Zeichner@uth.tmc.edu

¹ University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, 6431 Fannin St, MSB 2.112, Houston, TX 77030, USA

Introduction

Advanced surgical techniques and novel immunosuppressive regimens have increased the use of solid organ transplantation (SOT) as the preferred treatment of choice for end organ disease and many malignancies [1, 2]. However, potential complications related to surgical techniques and the use of immunosuppressive medications predispose transplant recipients to post-transplant infectious complications [3••]. Invasive fungal infections (IFI) are of a concern in SOT recipients even though bacterial and viral infections are more common in this population. This is owing to the high morbidity and mortality associated with IFIs despite newer prophylactic antifungal regimens [4, 5]. Among SOT recipients, the most commonly reported IFIs are invasive candidiasis (IC) and invasive aspergillosis [6•, 7–18]. The incidence of invasive fungal infections varies based on the type of organ transplant. Patients who receive the liver, lung, pancreas, and small bowel are more at risk of IFIs than patients who receive kidney or heart transplants. Infections due to *Candida* species account for about 50% of IFIs in SOT recipients [6•, 19••, 20]. They are particularly predominant after transplant of abdominal organs, whereas the main pathogens in thoracic organ transplants are *Aspergillus* species. The Transplant-Associated Infection Surveillance Network (TRANSNET) data showed that infections due to other fungi such as endemic dimorphic fungi, filamentous mold such as *Fusarium*, and zygomycosis are less common and make less than 20% of invasive fungal infections in SOT recipients [6•, 20].

The incidence of IC in an American series of SOT has been estimated to be around 2%, including pediatric cases [6•, 21]. Infections due to *Candida* species can range from mucosal colonization to disseminated disease. Of *Candida* species, *Candida albicans* is the predominant isolate and accounts for about 50% of isolates while *C. glabrata* is the most

common non-*albicans* *Candida* isolates besides *C. tropicalis* and *C. parapsilosis*. On the other hand, *C. guilliermondii* is seen more frequently in stem cell transplant recipients than in SOT recipients [19••]. The wide use of azoles for treatment or prophylaxis has allowed for selection of resistance to azoles and increased the incidence of non-*albicans* *Candida* species [22–25].

Risk Factors and Timing for IC in SOT Recipients

Risk factors of IC in the general population include total parenteral nutrition, broad-spectrum antibiotics, prolonged neutropenia, the use of central venous catheters for a long duration, long stay in the intensive care unit, diabetes mellitus, renal replacement therapy, and colonization with *Candida* [19••, 26, 27•]. In addition, SOT recipients have unique risk factors for IC such as the type of organ transplant, surgical anastomosis, number of cellular products transfused during transplant, and acute renal failure. Other risk factors also include early colonization, early surgical intervention or retransplantation, graft failure, and recent CMV infection [10, 19••, 21, 27•, 28, 29]. Liver transplant recipients have more risk of developing IC compared to other organs, and the risk is higher when a choledochojejunostomy rather than a choledostomy anastomosis is used [19••, 30]. Also, bladder drainage of pancreatic transplants has less risk than enteric drainage due to the high levels of colonization with *C. albicans* and complications related to surgery in the gut and biliary tree [2, 19••, 31–34]. In addition, many factors contribute to the level of immunosuppression including immunosuppressive agents and operative complications (ischemia, anastomotic leak, fluid collection, thrombosis, and presence of foreign bodies).

The timing of fungal infections after SOT is divided into early (0–30 days), intermediate (1–6 months), and late (>6 months). Infections seen in the early phase are commonly similar to those seen in immunocompetent postoperative patients, and endogenous *Candida* species are the most common cause of fungal infections in this stage [13]. *Candida* infections may also be derived from the transplant donor and result from contamination of preservation fluid or can be hospital acquired [34–36]. The intermediate stage has the highest rates of IFIs with the level of immunosuppression being the major player in the development of opportunistic infections. IC remains the most common of IFIs while the incidence of *Aspergillus* infections increases [20, 37]. By late stage, the risk of infections decreases as about 80% of SOT recipients achieve a satisfactory result from transplantation and are maintained on minimal long-term immunosuppression. Patients in the late stage are more likely to develop infections due to CMV, aspergillosis, or endemic fungi or undergo organ rejection [20, 34, 38].

Diagnosis and Monitoring of *Candida* Infections in SOT Recipients

Pathogenesis and Clinical Forms of *Candida* Infections

C. albicans colonizes the skin and the gastrointestinal and genitourinary tracts while non-*albicans* *Candida* can also colonize mucocutaneous surfaces [39, 40•]. IC is frequently preceded by colonization, and it depends on the virulence of the organisms, the disruption of mucocutaneous barriers, and the intensity of immunosuppression [2, 41, 42]. Infections due to *Candida* species can involve the skin, the esophagus, the urinary tract, and surgical sutures lines. Candidemia may result from central venous access or from translocation across damaged intestinal barriers [41]. Following intra-abdominal organ transplantation especially liver transplants, intra-abdominal candidiasis can be seen in the form of peritoneal, perinephric, and biliary infections. Biloma, an infected hepatic fluid collection, is a serious complication of liver transplants and can lead to transplant failure [42–47].

Diagnosis and Monitoring of *Candida* Infections in SOT

Diagnosis of IC depends on the growth of *Candida* species from a sterile body site. The sensitivity of blood cultures to diagnose IC is around 50–70% [19••, 48]. Other non-culture-based rapid diagnostic methods such as antigens, antibodies, 1,3- β -D-glucan (β DG) detection assays, and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) are now being used as an adjunct to cultures. *Candida* antigen and anti-*Candida* assays are approved for use in Europe, but not in the USA. The best studied model is a combined mannan antigen/anti-mannan assays with IgG superior to IgM and a sensitivity/specificity of the combined assay of around 83 and 86%, respectively [49••, 50–52]. On the other hand, β DG is a cell wall constituent of *Candida* species and several fungi and has been approved by the FDA to aid in the diagnosis of IFIs. It has a sensitivity of 70% and a specificity of 87%. False-positive results from the use of albumin or immunoglobulin, antibiotics derived from fungi, gram positive and negative bacteremia, hemodialysis, and mucositis and with the use of glucan-containing material such as gauze [2, 21, 49••, 53–58]. The use of β DG allows identification of IC earlier than blood cultures, allowing earlier initiation of antifungal therapy. Likewise, the use of *Candida* PCR assays allowed earlier diagnosis and initiation of therapy for IC [49••, 59, 60]. The sensitivity and specificity of *Candida* PCR for IC can be variable [21, 49••]. T2MR is a fully automated technology that uses PCR and T magnetic resonance to amplify and detect *Candida* DNA allowing rapid, accurate, and species-specific diagnosis [61••].

Candida speciation is important for choosing antifungal therapy due to the difference in antifungal susceptibilities and in predicting clinical outcomes. The germ tube test

specifically identifies *C. albicans* and *C. dubliniensis*. However, chromogenic isolation media may better detect yeasts with less cost [21, 62]. The peptide nucleic acid fluorescent in situ hybridization assay (PNA-FISH) can recognize the five most common *Candida* species in positive blood cultures (*C. albicans*, *C. parapsilosis*, *C. glabrata*, *C. krusei*, and *C. tropicalis*). Most recently, the matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry assay (MALDI-TOF) has been used to identify strains of bacteria and yeasts. Hospital epidemiology can help to identify common local species, and testing for antifungal susceptibilities and azole resistance is recommended for *C. glabrata* and when treatment fails [19••, 63–67].

Prophylaxis and Prevention of *Candida* Infection

In order to prevent IFIs in SOT recipients, it is important to identify patients most likely to have the risk of developing an infection. The use, choice, and duration of antifungal agents remain controversial awaiting further studies [5, 19••, 21]. There is currently insufficient data to recommend the use of universal antifungal prophylaxis to prevent IFIs in all SOT recipients [5, 67, 68]. Instead, a more targeted approach in SOT recipients with predisposing conditions is preferred [19••]. To be effective, a prophylactic agent should be safe to the allograft, with minimal side effects, low or no interaction profile, and affordable. Duration of prophylaxis should be at least for 14 days post-transplantation and can be given until resolution of risk factors. Fluconazole 100–400 mg/day or (3–6 mg/kg daily) is the first line for antifungal prophylaxis and is commonly used in recipients of intra-abdominal organ transplantation. Antifungal prophylaxis is not routinely used to prevent *Candida* species infections in renal, heart, and lung transplantation. Patients who cannot tolerate fluconazole due to gastrointestinal side effects or drug interactions may require switching to other antifungal agents [21]. Also, liposomal amphotericin B (AmB) (1–2 mg/kg daily) is to be considered in SOT recipients at risk for *Aspergillus* species or other molds, or in centers where non-*albicans Candida* are frequently seen.

Recently, a multicenter study showed that anidulafungin or caspofungin given for 21 days were efficacious and well tolerated [19••, 69••, 70]. Echinocandins are active against non-*albicans Candida* and do not interact significantly with tacrolimus nor cause renal toxicity. These factors may allow them to gain more use in the future. Of note, SOT recipients who received antifungal prophylaxis developed more infections with non-*albicans Candida* infections. Breakthrough candidemia (particularly with non-*albicans Candida*) may develop during systemic antifungal prophylaxis and is associated with a high mortality rate [27•, 71–74].

Treatment (Preemptive, Early/Empirical, Definite, and Step-Down)

There is currently no recommendation to start preemptive treatment for IFIs in SOT recipients. Similarly, in non-neutropenic patients, the use of empirical antifungal therapy is not well established. Critically ill patients with otherwise unknown etiology of fever despite broad-spectrum antibiotics, with *Candida* colonization, suggestive clinical imaging and/or risk factors of IC, and detection of fungal markers should be considered for empirical treatment [5]. Antifungal therapy given early may lead to better outcomes in critically ill patients, but its use has to be weighed against its cost, toxicities, and risk of resistance [5]. Echinocandins should be used when patients develop hemodynamic instability, in patients who received azoles in the past, and in patients colonized with *Candida* species with azole resistance [5]. However, if other IFIs are on the differential, the safer choice would be liposomal amphotericin B or an azole, because echinocandins have a limited spectrum of activity (basically *Candida* and *Aspergillus*). The use of liposomal AmB is limited due to the risk of toxicity [5, 21].

Recommendations for treatment of IC in SOT recipients are not different from non-neutropenic patients and are based on different large randomized studies that included a small percentage of SOT recipients. Azoles are potent inhibitors of hepatic cytochrome P-450 CYP3A4 and increase the levels of sirolimus, everolimus, and calcineurin inhibitors (CNIs), thus, careful monitoring of drug levels is critical to reduce doses of CNIs after starting azoles [5, 19••, 75, 76].

Repeated blood cultures should be done every 48–72 h until clearance of candidemia [5]. It is strongly recommended to do a dilated fundoscopic exam and to remove central venous catheters as soon as possible [41]. Asymptomatic candiduria is frequently seen in SOT recipients especially in the kidney and pancreas, and treatment is not recommended unless urological procedures are indicated. Cystitis should be treated with fluconazole for 2 weeks, while patients with fluconazole-resistant *Candida* species should receive liposomal AmB or oral flucytosine for 2 weeks. For patients with pyelonephritis, fluconazole for susceptible *Candida* species or AmB with flucytosine or flucytosine alone are frequently used. Neither liposomal AmB nor echinocandins achieve satisfactory urinary levels. Removal of urinary catheters is strongly recommended [19••, 21, 77].

Anastomotic tracheobronchitis in lung transplant recipients should be based on positive cultures, direct visual examination, and histopathological confirmation and should be treated with inhaled or systemic lipid formulations of AmB. Otherwise, when *Candida* is recovered from sputum, treatment is rarely necessary [19••, 21, 78–80].

Conclusions

Particularly after transplantation of abdominal organs, IC remains an important cause of morbidity and mortality. Diagnosis of IC can be made by recovering *Candida* species from a sterile body site by blood cultures or by other non-culture-based rapid diagnostic methods. Testing for antifungal susceptibilities should be done with non-*albicans* *Candida* and in treatment failure. Identifying patients with the highest risk of infection is the key in deciding appropriate antifungal prophylaxis in SOT recipients. Empirical treatment should be considered in critically ill patients and may improve their outcome but has to be weighed against its cost, toxicities, and risk of resistance.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of Interest Ahmed Al Hammadi declares that he has no conflict of interest.

Luis Ostrosky-Zeichner has received grants and consulting or speaking fees from Pfizer, Astellas, Merck, Gilead, T2 Biosystems, Associates of Cape Cod, Scynexis, and Cidara.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- Of major importance

1. Lortholary O, Dupont B. Antifungal prophylaxis during neutropenia and immunodeficiency. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 1997;10(3):477–504.
2. Tavanti A, Lupetti A, Ghelardi E, Corsini V, Davini P, Filipponi F, et al. Molecular monitoring of *Candida albicans* infections in liver transplant recipients. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2001;20(8):544–53.
3. Green M. Introduction: infections in solid organ transplantation. *Am J Transplant.* 2013;13:3–8. **This paper explains the risk factors in SOT recipients and different IFIs in different stages.**
4. Silveira FP, Husain S. Fungal infections in solid organ transplantation. *Med Mycol.* 2007;45(4):305–20.
5. Grossi P, Gasperina DD, Barchiesi F, Biancofiore G, Carafiello G, Gasperi AD, et al. Italian guidelines for diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of invasive fungal infections in solid organ transplant recipients. *Transplant Proc.* 2011;43(6):2463–71.
6. Pappas PG, Alexander BD, Andes DR, Hadley S, Kauffman CA, Freifeld A, et al. Invasive fungal infections among organ transplant recipients: results of the transplant-associated infection surveillance network (TRANSNET). *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;50(8):1101–11. **This paper describes the results of 23 US transplant centers to determine transplant-associated infections.**

7. Patel R. Infections in recipients of kidney transplants. *Infect Dis Clin N Am.* 2001;15(3):901–52.
8. Singh N. Fungal infections in the recipients of solid organ transplantation. *Infect Dis Clin N Am.* 2003;17:113–34.
9. Paya CV. Fungal infections in solid-organ transplantation. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1993;16(5):677–88.
10. Hadley S, Karchmer AW. Fungal infections in solid organ transplant recipients. *Infect Dis Clin N Am.* 1995;9(4):1045–74.
11. Stelzmueller I, Lass-Floerl C, Geltner C, Graziadei I, Schneeberger S, Antretter H, et al. Zygomycosis and other rare filamentous fungal infections in solid organ transplant recipients. *Transpl Int.* 2008;21(6):534–46.
12. Minari A, Husni R, Avery R, Longworth D, Decamp M, Bertin M, et al. The incidence of invasive aspergillosis among solid organ transplant recipients and implications for prophylaxis in lung transplants. *Transpl Infect Dis.* 2002;4(4):195–200.
13. Patterson J, Peters J, Calhoun J, Levine S, Anzueto A, Al-Abdely H, et al. Investigation and control of aspergillosis and other filamentous fungal infections in solid organ transplant recipients. *Transpl Infect Dis.* 2000;2(1):22–8.
14. Trullas J, Cervera C, Benito N, Bellacasa JPDL, Agustí C, Rovira M, et al. Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in solid organ and bone marrow transplant recipients. *Transplant Proc.* 2005;37(9):4091–3.
15. Iversen M, Burton C, Vand S, Skovfoged L, Milman N, Carlsen J, et al. Aspergillus infection in lung transplant patients: incidence and prognosis. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2007;26:879–86.
16. Vilchez R, Shapiro R, Mccurry K, Kormos R, Abu-Elmagd K, Fung J, et al. Longitudinal study of cryptococcosis in adult solid organ transplant recipients. *Transpl Int.* 2003;16(5):336–40.
17. Mueller N, Fishman J. Asymptomatic pulmonary cryptococcosis in solid organ transplantation: report of four cases and review of the literature. *Transpl Infect Dis.* 2003;5(3):140–3.
18. Pugliese F, Ruberto F, Cappannoli A, Perrella S, Bruno K, Martelli S, et al. Incidence of fungal infections in a solid organ recipients dedicated intensive care unit. *Transplant Proc.* 2007;39(6):2005–7.
19. Silveira FP, Kusne S. *Candida* infections in solid organ transplantation. *Am J Transplant.* 2013;13:220–7. **An important paper reviewing the current guidelines in the diagnosis and treatment of IC in SOT recipients.**
20. Mizuta M, Blumberg EA. Impact of fungal infections on solid-organ transplant recipients. *Clin Microbiol Newsl.* 2005;27(16):123–6.
21. Gavaldà J, Meije Y, Fortún J, Roilides E, Saliba F, Lortholary O, et al. Invasive fungal infections in solid organ transplant recipients. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2014;20:27–48.
22. Golas M, Netsvyetayeva I, Piskorska K, Sikora M, Jaworska-Zaremba M, Mierzwinska-Nastalska E, et al. Polymerase chain reaction melting profiles of *Candida glabrata* clinical isolates in solid organ transplant recipients in comparison to the other group of surgical patients. *Transplant Proc.* 2014;46(5):1366–70.
23. Trick WE, Fridkin SK, Edwards JR, Hajjeh RA, Gaynes RP. Secular trend of hospital-acquired candidemia among intensive care unit patients in the United States during 1989–1999. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2002;35(5):627–30.
24. Bissell M. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in US hospitals: analysis of 24,179 cases from a prospective nationwide surveillance study. *Yearb Pathol Lab Med.* 2006;2006:285–6.
25. Malani A, Hmoud J, Chiu L, Carver PL, Bielaczyc A, Kauffman CA. *Candida glabrata* fungemia: experience in a tertiary care center. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2005;41(7):975–81.
26. Hadley S, Samore MH, Lewis WD, Jenkins RL, Karchmer AW, Hammer SM. Major infectious complications after orthotopic liver transplantation and comparison of outcomes in patients receiving cyclosporine or Fk506 as primary immunosuppression. *Transplantation.* 1995;59(6):851–8.

27. Hal SV, Marriott D, Chen S, Nguyen Q, Sorrell T, Ellis D, et al. Candidemia following solid organ transplantation in the era of antifungal prophylaxis: the Australian experience. *Transpl Infect Dis*. 2009;11(2):122–7. **This is an Australian study reviewing the rates of IFIs risk factors, presentation, and outcomes in SOT recipients and the effect of antifungal prophylaxis.**
28. Hibberd PL, Rubin RH. Clinical aspects of fungal infection in organ transplant recipients. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1994;19:S33–S40.
29. Patel R, Portela D, Badley AD, Harmsen WS, Larson-Keller JJ, Ilstrup DM, et al. Risk factors of invasive *Candida* and non-*Candida* fungal infections after liver transplantation. *Transplantation*. 1996;62(7):926–34.
30. Collins LA, Samore MH, Roberts MS, Luzzati R, Jenkins RL, Lewis WD, et al. Risk factors for invasive fungal infections complicating orthotopic liver transplantation. *J Infect Dis*. 1994;170(3):644–52.
31. Benedetti E, Gruessner AC, Troppmann C, Papalois BE, Sutherland DE, Dunn DL, et al. Intra-abdominal fungal infections after pancreatic transplantation: incidence, treatment, and outcome. *J Am Coll Surg*. 1996;183(4):307–16.
32. Wamock DW. Fungal complications of transplantation: diagnosis, treatment and prevention. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 1995;36:73–90.
33. Winston DJ, Emmanouilides C, Busuttill RW. Infections in liver transplant recipients. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1995;21(5):1077–91.
34. Patterson J. Epidemiology of fungal infections in solid organ transplant patients. *Transpl Infect Dis*. 1999;1(4):229–36.
35. Marco F, Lockhart SR, Pfaller MA, Pujol C, Rangel-Frausto MS, Wiblin T, et al. Elucidating the origins of nosocomial infections with *Candida albicans* by DNA fingerprinting with the complex probe Ca3. *J Clin Microbiol*. 1999;37(9):2817–28.
36. Sanchez V, Vazquez JA, Barth-Jones D, Dembry L, Sobel JD, Zervos MJ. Nosocomial acquisition of *Candida parapsilosis*: an epidemiologic study. *Am J Med*. 1993;94(6):577–82.
37. Husain S, Alexander BD, Munoz P, Avery RK, Houston S, Pruet T, et al. Opportunistic mycelial fungal infections in organ transplant recipients: emerging importance of non-*Aspergillus* mycelial fungi. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2003;37(2):221–9.
38. Fishman JA, Rubin RH. Infection in organ-transplant recipients. *N Engl J Med*. 1998;338(24):1741–51.
39. Achkar JM, Fries BC. *Candida* infections of the genitourinary tract. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2010;23(2):253–73.
40. Sobel JD. The emergence of non-*albicans* *Candida* species as causes of invasive candidiasis and candidemia. *Curr Infect Dis Rep*. 2006;8(6):427–33. **This paper reviews the importance of non-*albicans* *Candida* in IC.**
41. Shoham S, Marwaha S. Invasive fungal infections in the ICU. *J Intensive Care Med*. 2009;25:78–92.
42. Shoham S, Marr KA. Invasive fungal infections in solid organ transplant recipients. *Future Microbiol*. 2012;7(5):639–55.
43. Florescu D, Islam K, Grant W, Mercer D, Langnas A, Botha J, et al. Incidence and outcome of fungal infections in pediatric small bowel transplant recipients. *Transpl Infect Dis*. 2010;12(6):497–504.
44. Reid GE, Grim SA, Sankary H, Benedetti E, Oberholzer J, Clark NM. Early intra-abdominal infections associated with orthotopic liver transplantation. *Transplantation*. 2009;87(11):1706–11.
45. Said A, Safdar N, Lucey MR, Knechtle SJ, D’Alessandro A, Musat A, et al. Infected bilomas in liver transplant recipients, incidence, risk factors and implications for prevention. *Am J Transplant*. 2004;4(4):574–82.
46. Safdar N, Said A, Lucey MR, Knechtle SJ, D’Alessandro A, Musat A, et al. Infected bilomas in liver transplant recipients: clinical features, optimal management, and risk factors for mortality. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2004;39(4):517–25.
47. Nucci M, Anaissie E, Betts RF, Dupont BF, Wu C, Buell DN, et al. Early removal of central venous catheter in patients with candidemia does not improve outcome: analysis of 842 patients from 2 randomized clinical trials. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010;51(3):295–303.
48. Berenguer J, Buck M, Witebsky F, Stock F, Pizzo PA, Walsh TJ. Lysis—centrifugation blood cultures in the detection of tissue-proven invasive candidiasis disseminated versus single-organ infection. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*. 1993;17(2):103–9.
49. Pappas PG, Kauffman CA, Andes DR, Clancy CJ, Marr KA, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, et al. Executive summary: clinical practice guideline for the management of candidiasis: 2016 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;62(4):409–17. **The latest practice guidelines for the management of candidiasis in the US by the Infectious Diseases Society of America.**
50. Mikulska M, Calandra T, Sanguinetti M, Poulain D, Viscoli C. The use of mannan antigen and anti-mannan antibodies in the diagnosis of invasive candidiasis: recommendations from the third European Conference on Infections in Leukemia. *Crit Care*. 2010;14(6):R222.
51. Yera H, Sendid B, Francois N, Camus D, Poulain D. Contribution of serological tests and blood culture to the early diagnosis of systemic candidiasis. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2001;20(12):864–70.
52. Prella M, Bille J, Pugnale M, Duvoisin B, Cavassini M, Calandra T, et al. Early diagnosis of invasive candidiasis with mannan antigenemia and antimannan antibodies. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2005;51(2):95–101.
53. Hachem RY, Kontoyiannis DP, Chemaly RF, Jiang Y, Reitzel R, Raad I. Utility of galactomannan enzyme immunoassay and (1,3) beta-D-glucan in diagnosis of invasive fungal infections: low sensitivity for *Aspergillus fumigatus* infection in hematologic malignancy patients. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2008;47(1):129–33.
54. Ellis M, Al-Ramadi B, Finkelman M, Hedstrom U, Kristensen J, Ali-Zadeh H, et al. Assessment of the clinical utility of serial beta-D-glucan concentrations in patients with persistent neutropenic fever. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2008;57(Pt 3):287–95.
55. Pickering JW, Sant HW, Bowles CAP, Roberts WL, Woods GL. Evaluation of a (1→3)-D-glucan assay for diagnosis of invasive fungal infections. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2005;43(12):5957–62.
56. Kato A, Takita T, Furuhashi M, Takahashi T, Maruyama Y, Hishida A. Elevation of blood (1→3)-beta-D-glucan concentrations in hemodialysis patients. *Nephron*. 2001;89(1):15–9.
57. Usami M, Ohata A, Horiuchi T, Nagasawa K, Wakabayashi T, Tanaka S. Positive (1,3)-beta-D-glucan in blood components and release of (1,3)-beta-D-glucan from depth-type membrane filters for blood processing. *Transfusion*. 2002;42(9):1189–95.
58. Mennink-Kersten MA, Warris A, Verweij PE. 1,3-β-D-glucan in patients receiving intravenous amoxicillin-clavulanic acid. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;354(26):2834–5.
59. Avni T, Leibovici L, Paul M. PCR diagnosis of invasive candidiasis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2010;49(2):665–70.
60. McMullan R, Metwally L, Coyle PV, Hedderwick S, McCloskey B, O’neill HJ, et al. A prospective clinical trial of a real-time polymerase chain reaction assay for the diagnosis of candidemia in nonneutropenic, critically ill adults. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2008;46(6):890–6.
61. Mylonakis E, Clancy CJ, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Garey KW, Alangaden GJ, Vazquez JA, et al. T2 magnetic resonance assay for the rapid diagnosis of candidemia in whole blood: a clinical trial. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;60(6):892–9. **A multicenter trial that introduced a new technique using T2 magnetic resonance and PCR to amplify and detect *Candida* DNA.**
62. Powell HL, Sand CA, Rennie RP. Evaluation of CHROMagar *Candida* for presumptive identification of clinically important *Candida* species. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*. 1998;32(3):201–4.

63. Wilson DA, Joyce MJ, Hall LS, Reller LB, Roberts GD, Hall GS, et al. Multicenter evaluation of a *Candida albicans* peptide nucleic acid fluorescent in situ hybridization probe for characterization of yeast isolates from blood cultures. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2005;43(6):2909–12.
64. Hall L, Febre KML, Deml SM, Wohlfiel SL, Wengenack NL. Evaluation of the yeast traffic light PNA FISH probes for identification of *Candida* species from positive blood cultures. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2012;50(4):1446–8.
65. Shibata A, Kaneko T, Makimura K, Onozaki M, Ogiwara T, Shibata H, et al. Comparative study of ability for growth support and species differentiation by colony features on commercial chromogenic agar, Pourmedia Vi *Candida* and CHROMagar *Candida*. *Nippon Ishinkin Gakkai Zasshi.* 2008;49(1):33–8.
66. Lockhart SR, Wagner D, Iqbal N, Pappas PG, Andes DR, Kauffman CA, et al. Comparison of in vitro susceptibility characteristics of *Candida* species from cases of invasive candidiasis in solid organ and stem cell transplant recipients: transplant-associated infections surveillance network (TRANSNET), 2001 to 2006. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2011;49(7):2404–10.
67. Cruciani M, Mengoli C, Malena M, Bosco O, Serpelloni G, Grossi P. Antifungal prophylaxis in liver transplant patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Liver Transpl.* 2006;12(5):850–8.
68. Playford EG, Webster AC, Sorrell TC, Craig JC. Antifungal agents for preventing fungal infections in solid organ transplant recipients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2004;(3):CD004291-1-CD004291-63.
69. •• Winston D, Limaye A, Pelletier S, Safdar N, Morris M, Meneses K, et al. Randomized, double-blind trial of anidulafungin versus fluconazole for prophylaxis of invasive fungal infections in high-risk liver transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant.* 2014;14(12):2758–64. **A trial that showed that anidulafungin had similar efficacy as fluconazole for liver transplant recipients.**
70. Fortún J, Martín-Dávila P, Montejo M, Muñoz P, Cisneros JM, Ramos A, et al. Prophylaxis with caspofungin for invasive fungal infections in high-risk liver transplant recipients. *Transplantation.* 2009;87(3):424–35.
71. Köse Ş, Türken M, Akkoçlu G, Karaca C, Senger S. Disseminated candidiasis developing during prophylaxis with fluconazole in a small-intestine transplant recipient. *Prog Transplant.* 2012;22(1):110–2.
72. Uzun O, Ascioğlu S, Anaissie EJ, Rex JH. Risk factors and predictors of outcome in patients with cancer and breakthrough candidemia. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2001;32(12):1713–7.
73. Husain S, Tollemar J, Dominguez EA, Baumgarten K, Humar A, Paterson DL, et al. Changes in the spectrum and risk factors for invasive candidiasis in liver transplant recipients: prospective, multicenter, case-controlled study I. *Transplantation.* 2003;75(12):2023–9.
74. Marik PE. Fungal infections in solid organ transplantation. *Expert Opin Pharmacother.* 2006;7(3):297–305.
75. Lipp H-P. Antifungal agents—clinical pharmacokinetics and drug interactions. *Mycoses.* 2008;51(s1):7–18.
76. Saad AH, Depestel DD, Carver PL. Factors influencing the magnitude and clinical significance of drug interactions between azole antifungals and select immunosuppressants. *Pharmacotherapy.* 2006;26(12):1730–44.
77. Pappas PG, Kauffman CA, Andes D, Benjamin JDK, Calandra TF, Edwards JJE, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of candidiasis: 2009 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2009;48(5):503–35.
78. El-Ebiary M, Torres A, Fábregas N, Bellacasa JPD, González J, Ramirez J, et al. Significance of the isolation of *Candida* species from respiratory samples in critically ill, non-neutropenic patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 1997;156(2 Pt1):583–90.
79. Rello J, Esandi M-E, Diaz E, Mariscal D, Gallego M, Vallès J. The role of *Candida* sp isolated from bronchoscopic samples in nonneutropenic patients. *Chest.* 1998;114(1):146–9.
80. Wood GC, Mueller EW, Croce MA, Boucher BA, Fabian TC. *Candida* sp. isolated from bronchoalveolar lavage: clinical significance in critically ill trauma patients. *Intensive Care Med.* 2006;32(4):599–603.