# *Mucilaginibacter limnophilus* sp. nov., isolated from a lake<sup>§</sup>

# Shih-Yi Sheu<sup>1</sup>, Yi-Ru Xie<sup>2</sup>, and Wen-Ming Chen<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Marine Biotechnology, National Kaohsiung University of Science and Technology, Kaohsiung City 811, Taiwan <sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Microbiology, Department of Seafood Science, National Kaohsiung University of Science and Technology, Kaohsiung City 811, Taiwan

(Received Mar 21, 2019 / Revised Jul 25, 2019 / Accepted Jul 26, 2019)

A polyphasic taxonomy approach was used to characterize strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup>, isolated from a freshwater lake in Taiwan. Phylogenetic analyses, based on 16S rRNA gene sequences and coding sequences of an up-to-date bacterial core gene set (92 protein clusters), indicated that strain YBJ-36<sup>1</sup> formed a phylogenetic lineage in the genus Mucilaginibacter. 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity indicated that strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> is closely related to species within the genus Mucilaginibacter (93.8-97.8% sequence similarity) and is most similar to Mu*cilaginibacter fluminis* TTM- $2^{T}$  (97.8%), followed by *Mucila-ginibacter roseus* TTM- $1^{T}$  (97.2%). Microbiological analyses demonstrated that strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> is Gram-negative, aerobic, non-motile, rod-shaped, surrounded by a thick capsule, and forms pink-colored colonies. Strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> grew between 20-40°C (optimal range, 35-37°C), pH 5.5-7.0 (optimal pH of 6) and 0-2% NaCl (optimal concentration, 0.5%). The predominant fatty acids of strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> are iso-C<sub>15:0</sub> and summed feature 3 ( $C_{16:1} \omega 7c$  and/or  $C_{16:1} \omega 6c$ ), the major polar lipid is phosphatidylethanolamine, the major polyamine is homospermidine, and the major isoprenoid quinone is MK-7. The draft genome is approximately 4.63 Mb in size with a G+C content of 42.8 mol%. Strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> exhibited less than 35% DNA-DNA relatedness with Mucilaginibacter fluminis TTM-2<sup>T</sup> and *Mucilaginibacter roseus* TTM-1<sup>T</sup>. Based on phenotypic and genotypic properties and phylogenetic inference, strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> should be classified in a novel species of the genus Mucilaginibacter, for which the name Mucilaginibacter *limnophilus* sp. nov. is proposed. The type strain is YBJ-36<sup>T</sup>  $(= BCRC 81056^{T} = KCTC 52811^{T} = LMG 30058^{T}).$ 

*Keywords: Mucilaginibacter limnophilus* sp. nov., *Bacteroidetes*, *Sphingobacteriia*, *Sphingobacteriales*, *Sphingobacteriaceae*, polyphasic taxonomy

<sup>§</sup>Supplemental material for this article may be found at

http://www.springerlink.com/content/120956.

#### Introduction

The genus Mucilaginibacter (type species, Mucilaginibacter paludis) was first proposed by Pankratov et al. (2007) and was subsequently amended by Urai et al. (2008), Baik et al. (2010), and Chen et al. (2014). Mucilaginibacter belongs to the family Sphingobacteriaceae, order Sphingobacteriales, class Sphingobacteriia, and phylum Bacteroidetes (Ludwig et al., 2011). To date, the genus *Mucilaginibacter* contains more than 50 validly named species published on the List of Prokaryotic Names with Standing in Nomenclature (Parte, 2018). The genus Mucilaginibacter inhabits several different ecological niches and has been found in peat bog, wetland, marine sand, soil, plants, fresh water, tidal flat sediment, volcanic forest, and a wastewater treatment facility. Members of the genus Mucilaginibacter are Gram-negative rod-shaped bacteria, non-motile, non-spore-forming, strictly aerobic or facultative anaerobic, chemoorganotrophic, with a DNA G+C content ranging from 39.1 to 49.8 mol% (Pankratov et al., 2007; Baik et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014).

While investigating bacterial biodiversity in the Beigang Old River Park Lake in the vicinity of Yunlin County, Taiwan, a large number of bacterial strains were isolated by dilution plating. Among these, a bacterial strain designated YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> that produces pink colonies was found to represent a novel species of the genus *Mucilaginibacter*, based on phenotypic and phylogenetic analyses. In the present study, a polyphasic taxonomy approach was used to clarify the taxonomic characterization of strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup>.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Bacterial strains and culture conditions

A freshwater sample was collected from the Beigang Old River Park Lake (GPS location: 23°35′37″ N 120°18′35″ E) in the vicinity of Yunlin County, Taiwan. The water sample was spread on R2A agar (BD Difco) plates by the standard dilution plating method. After incubation of the plates at 25°C for 3 days, strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> was isolated from one of these plates and then repeatedly sub-cultured under the same conditions, to obtain a pure culture which colony was confirmed by stereo microscopic examination (S APO; Leica). The isolate was then stored at -80°C in R2A broth (BD Difco) with 20% (v/v) glycerol or by lyophilization. The strain was deposited in the Bioresource Collection and Research Center (BCRC 81056<sup>T</sup>), the Korean Collection for Type Cultures (KCTC 52811<sup>T</sup>) and the Belgian Co-ordinated Collection of Microorganisms (BCCM; LMG 30058<sup>T</sup>). Mucilagini*bacter fluminis* TTM-2<sup>T</sup> (= BCRC 80785<sup>T</sup>) and *Mucilagini-bacter amnicola* TAPP7<sup>T</sup> (= BCRC 80976<sup>T</sup>) were obtained from the Bioresource Collection and Research Center (BCRC).

<sup>\*</sup>For correspondence. E-mail: p62365@ms28.hinet.net; Tel.: +886-8-703-1148; Fax: +886-8-703-1503

Copyright © 2019, The Microbiological Society of Korea

*Mucilaginibacter roseus* TTM-1<sup>T</sup> (= KCTC 42273<sup>T</sup>), *Mucilaginibacter defluvii* A5<sup>T</sup> (= KCTC 23922<sup>T</sup>), *Mucilaginibacter lutimaris* BR-3<sup>T</sup> (= KCTC 23461<sup>T</sup>), and *Mucilaginibacter litoreus* BR-18<sup>T</sup> (= KCTC 23697<sup>T</sup>) were obtained from the Korean Collection for Type Cultures (KCTC). All six type strains were grown under the same conditions and used as reference strains for comparative taxonomic analyses.

# Morphological, physiological, and biochemical characterization

Cell morphology of strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> was observed by phasecontrast microscopy (DM 2000; Leica). Motility was tested using the hanging drop method (Beveridge et al., 2007), and the Spot Test Flagella Stain (BD Difco) was used for flagellum staining. Gliding motility was studied using phase-contrast microscopy as described by Bernardet et al. (2002). The Gram Stain Set S kit (BD Difco) and the Ryu non-staining KOH method (Powers, 1995) were used to perform the Gram reaction. The presence of flexirubin and carotenoid types of pigments was investigated as described by Reichenbach (1992) and Schmidt *et al.* (1994). Poly-β-hydroxybutyrate granule accumulation was examined as described by Schlegel et al. (1970) and Spiekermann et al. (1999). The presence of a capsule was assessed using the Hiss staining method as described by Beveridge et al. (2007). Colony morphology was observed on R2A agar by using a stereoscopic microscope (SMZ 800; Nikon).

The physiological characteristics of strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> and the six closest relatives were examined by growing bacteria at various pH values, temperatures and NaCl concentrations. The pH range for bacterial growth was estimated by measuring the optical densities (wavelength 600 nm) of R2A broth cultures. The pH of the medium was adjusted prior to sterilization to pH 4.0-9.0 (at intervals of 0.5 pH unit) using the following biological buffers (Breznak and Costilow, 2007): 100 mM citrate/Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (pH 4.0-5.5), 100 mM phosphate (pH 6.0–7.5), and 100 mM Tris (pH 8.0–9.0). The temperature range for growth was determined on R2A agar at 4, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 37, 40, 45, and 50°C. To investigate the tolerance to NaCl, R2A broth was prepared according to the formula of the BD Difco medium with NaCl concentration adjusted to 0, 0.5% and 1.0-6.0%, w/v (at intervals of 1.0%). Growth under anaerobic conditions was determined after incubating bacterial strain in anaerobic jars by using Anaero-Gen anaerobic system envelopes (Oxoid) at 30°C for 15 days. Growth was tested on nutrient agar, trypticase soy agar, R2A agar and LB agar (all from Difco) under aerobic condition at 30°C.

Catalase activity was determined by bubble production in 3% (v/v) hydrogen peroxide and oxidase activity was assessed colorimetrically using tetramethyl *p*-phenylenediamine. DNA hydrolysis was investigated on DNase test agar (BD Difco). Hydrolysis of casein (2% skimmed milk, w/v), starch (2.5% soluble starch, w/v), lecithin (10%, w/v), corn oil (3%, w/v) and Tweens 20, 40, 60, and 80 (1%, w/v) was determined using the methods of Tindall *et al.* (2007). Chitin hydrolysis was assessed on chitinase-detection agar and visualized by the formation of clear zones around the colonies as described by Wen *et al.* (2002). Hydrolysis of carboxymethyl cellulose (CM-cellulose) was tested as described by Bowman (2000)

using R2A agar as the basal medium. Additional biochemical tests were performed using API ZYM, API 20NE, and API 50CH kits (all from bioMérieux) and carbon source utilization was evaluated using the GN2 MicroPlate (Biolog). All commercial phenotypic tests were performed according to the manufacturers' instructions.

Sensitivity to antibiotics was tested by the disc diffusion method after spreading cell suspensions (0.5 McFarland standard) on R2A agar plates. The discs (Oxoid) contained the following antibiotics: ampicillin (10 µg), chloramphenicol (30 µg), gentamicin (10 µg), kanamycin (30 µg), nalidixic acid (30 µg), novobiocin (30 µg), penicillin G (10 U), rifampicin (5 µg), streptomycin (10 µg), sulfamethoxazole (23.75 µg)/ trimethoprim (1.25 µg), and tetracycline (30 µg). The effect of antibiotics on cell growth was assessed after 3 days at 30°C. A strain was considered as susceptible when the diameter of the inhibition zone was > 12 mm, moderately susceptible at 10–12 mm and resistant at < 10 mm as described by Nokhal and Schlegel (1983).

# Chemotaxonomic characterization

The fatty acid profile was analyzed on cells grown on R2A at 30°C for 3 days. The physiological age of the different bacterial cultures at the time of harvesting was standardized by the choice of sector from a quadrant streak on R2A agar plates, according to the MIDI protocol. Fatty acid methyl esters were prepared and separated according to the standard protocol of MIDI (Sherlock Microbial Identification System, version 6.0), analyzed by GC (Hewlett-Packard 5890 Series II) and identified by using the RTSBA6.00 database of the microbial identification system (Sasser, 1990). The polar lipids were extracted from cells grown on R2A at 30°C for 3 days, and analyzed by two-dimensional TLC according to Embley and Wait (1994). Ethanolic molybdophosphoric acid (10%) was used for the detection of the total polar lipids, ninhydrin for amino lipids, the Zinzadze reagent for phospholipids, Dragendorff reagent for choline-containing lipids and the  $\alpha$ -naphthol reagent for glycolipids. The sphingolipids were analyzed as described by Yoon et al. (2012), with DLdihydrosphingosine used as a standard. Polyamines were extracted and analysis was carried out as described by Busse and Auling (1988) and Busse et al. (1997). Cells were cultivated in R2-PYE broth (per L: 0.75 g peptone from casein, 0.75 g yeast extract, 0.3 g K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.024 g MgSO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7.2) at 30°C for 3 days, and homogenized in 0.2 M perchloric acid (HClO<sub>4</sub>) and centrifuged. Polyamines in the resultant supernatant were treated with dansyl chloride solution (7.5 µg/ml in acetone), and analyzed by HPLC on a D-7000 highspeed liquid chromatograph (Hitachi) and UV-VIS detector L-7420 (Hitachi). Isoprenoid quinones were extracted from cells grown on R2A at 30°C for 3 days, and purified according to the method of Collins (1994) and analyzed by HPLC with a Spherisorb ODS column using methanol/1-chlorobutane (100:10, v/v) as mobile phase (1.5 ml/min).

#### Phylogenetic analyses based on 16S rRNA gene

Genomic DNA was isolated using a bacterial genomic DNA purification kit (DP02-150, GeneMark). Primers 27F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3') and 1541R (5'-AAGG

AGGTGATCCAGCC-3') were used for amplification of bacterial 16S rRNA genes by PCR (Weisburg *et al.*, 1991; Anzai *et al.*, 1997). The 16S rRNA gene was sequenced and analyzed as described previously by Chen *et al.* (2001). The sequence fragments were assembled by manual adjustments to obtain a nearly full-length 16S rRNA gene sequence. The novel sequence was compared with those available from the EzBio-Cloud (Yoon *et al.*, 2017). The 16S rRNA gene sequences of novel strain and closely related type strains were aligned using the fast secondary-structure aware Infernal aligner available in the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) (Nawrocki and Eddy, 2007). Phylogenetic analysis was performed by using the software package BioEdit (Hall, 1999) and MEGA 7 (Kumar *et al.*, 2016). Phylogenetic trees were inferred by using three different methods, the neighbor-joining (Saitou and Nei, 1987), maximum-likelihood (Felsenstein, 1981) and maximum-parsimony (Kluge and Farris, 1969) methods. Evolutionary distance matrices for the neighbor-joining algorithm were calculated using Kimura's two-parameter model (Kimura, 1983). Bootstrap analysis with 1000 resamplings was conducted to obtain confidence levels for the branch nodes (Felsenstein, 1993).

# Genomic analysis and genome annotation

The whole genome sequence was prepared by the Genomics BioSci & Tech. Co., Ltd. (Taiwan) using the Illumina Next-Seq sequencer platform and using MultiQC v1.2 for evaluating read quality (Ewels *et al.*, 2016). The whole genome was assembled using SPAdes (version 3.10.1) (Bankevich *et al.*, 2012), and gene prediction and annotation by Prokka pipe-



Fig. 1. Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree based on 16S rRNA gene sequences showing the position of Mucilaginibacter limnophilus  $\hat{Y}BJ-36^{T}$  and closely related strains. Numbers at nodes are bootstrap percentages > 70% based on the neighbor-joining (above nodes) and maximum-parsimony (below nodes) tree-making algorithms. Filled circles indicate branches of the tree that were also recovered using the maximum-likelihood and maximum-parsimony tree-making algorithms. Open circles indicate that the corresponding nodes were also recovered in the tree generated with the maximum-parsimony algorithm. Sphingobacterium arenae H-12 was used as an out-group. Bar, 0.01 substitutions per nucleotide position.

#### 970 Sheu et al.

line (Seemann, 2014). The estimated genome-sequence-based digital DNA-DNA hybridization (dDDH) values were calculated as described by Meier-Kolthoff et al. (2013). Average nucleotide identity (ANI) calculations were performed by OrthoANI analysis (Lee et al., 2016). An up-to-date bacterial core gene set (UBCG, concatenated alignment of 92 core genes) and pipeline was utilized for phylogenetic tree construction as described by Na et al. (2018). The protein encoding genes were classified into functional categories based on eggNOG (evolutionary genealogy of genes: Nonsupervised Orthologous Groups)-Mapper using precomputed cluster and phylogenies from the eggNOG database as described by Huerta-Cepas et al. (2016, 2017). For gene prediction, these sequences were also submitted to Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystem Technology (RAST) (Aziz et al., 2008; Overbeek et al., 2014).

# **DNA-DNA hybridization**

DNA-DNA hybridization experiments were carried out at 55°C in triplicate with photobiotin-labelled probes by the method of Ezaki *et al.* (1989). The signal produced by self-hybridization was taken as 100%, and percentage homology values were calculated from duplicate samples.

#### Nucleotide and whole genome sequence accession numbers

The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession numbers for the 16S rRNA gene sequence and the whole genome of *Mucilaginibacter limnophilus* strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> are LT703451 and SACK-00000000.

# **Results and Discussion**

# Phylogenetic and genomic analyses

Comparative analysis of the 16S rRNA gene sequence of strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> (1,401 nucleotides) was performed. Phylo-

genetic analyses revealed that strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> belonged to the genus *Mucilaginibacter* within the family *Sphingobacteriaceae*. In the neighbour-joining tree, strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> formed a monophyletic cluster along with *M. fluminis* TTM-2<sup>T</sup>, *M. roseus* TTM-1<sup>T</sup>, and *M. defluvii* A5<sup>T</sup> (Fig. 1). The overall topologies of the maximum-parsimony and maximum-likelihood trees were similar (Supplementary data Fig. S1). Sequence similarity calculations (conducted on > 1,400 bp) indicated that strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> is closely related to species of the genus *Mucilaginibacter* (93.8–97.8% sequence similarity) and has high sequence similarity to *M. fluminis* TTM-2<sup>T</sup> (97.8%), *M. roseus* TTM-1<sup>T</sup> (97.2%), *M. gynuensis* YC7003<sup>T</sup> (96.8%), *M. herbaticus* DR-9<sup>T</sup> (96.7%), and *M. defluvii* A5<sup>T</sup> (96.7%). Sequence similarities < 96.6% were observed with all other type strains of *Mucilaginibacter* species listed in Fig. 1.

To further investigate the taxonomic rank of strain YBJ- $36^{T}$ , whole genome sequencing and assembly was performed. The genome sequence of strain YBJ- $36^{T}$  is 4.63 Mb (sequencing metrics included an average coverage of 454X, and 40 contigs with an N<sub>50</sub> value of 417,795 bp). Gene prediction and annotation identified 4,025 protein encoding genes, seven rRNA genes, and 38 tRNA genes. Comparison of the PCR-amplified 16S rRNA gene sequence with the corresponding sequence from the genomic sequencing effort revealed a single base difference between the two sequences, located in the reverse primer (primer 1541R) area. The DNA G+C content of strain YBJ- $36^{T}$ , calculated directly from the genome sequence, is 42.8 mol%, which is within the range of 39.1–49.8 mol% reported for the genus *Mucilaginibacter* (Kim *et al.*, 2012; Chen *et al.*, 2014).

Average nucleotide identity (ANI) values between the genome of strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> and type strains of other *Mucilaginibacter* species with publicly available genome sequences were calculated. ANI values were found to be between 70.0–71.4% (Supplementary data Table S1), which are lower than the previously proposed 95–96% cut-off threshold for species delimitation (Richter and Rosselló-Móra, 2009). In ad-

Table 1. Differential characteristics of *Mucilaginibacter limnophilus* YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> and phylogenetically closely related *Mucilaginibacter* species

Strains: 1, Strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup>; 2, *M. fluminis* TTM-2<sup>T</sup>; 3, *M. roseus* TTM-1<sup>T</sup>; 4, *M. defluvii* A5<sup>T</sup>; 5, *M. lutimaris* BR-3<sup>T</sup>; 6, *M. litoreus* BR-18<sup>T</sup>; 7, *M. amnicola* TAPP7<sup>T</sup>; 8, *M. paludis* TPT56<sup>T</sup>. Data were obtained in this study except the G+C content of *M. fluminis* TTM-2<sup>T</sup> (Sheu *et al.*, 2016), *M. roseus* TTM-1<sup>T</sup> (Chen *et al.*, 2016), *M. defluvii* A5<sup>T</sup> (Hwang *et al.*, 2014), *M. lutimaris* BR-3<sup>T</sup> (Kim *et al.*, 2012), *M. litoreus* BR-18<sup>T</sup> (Yoon *et al.*, 2012) and *M. amnicola* TAPP7<sup>T</sup> (Chen *et al.*, 2018), and data for *M. paludis* TPT56<sup>T</sup> from Pankratov *et al.* (2007) and Aydogan *et al.* (2017). +, Positive reaction; -, negative reaction; w, weakly positive reaction. All strains are positive for oxidase, β-galactosidase (PNPG), alkaline phosphatase, leucine arylamidase, acid phosphatase, naphthol-AS-BI-phosphohydrolase, α-glucosidase and *N*-acetyl-β-glucosaminidase activities, hydrolysis of esculin. In the API 50CH test system, all strains can produce acids from D-mannose, esculin, trehalose and gentiobiose. All strains are negative for: Gram staining; indole production; D-glucose acidification; arginine dihydrolase, C14 lipase, trypsin and α-chymotrypsin activities; assimilation of caprate, adipate and phenyl-acetate; hydrolysis of casein, gelatin and chitin.

Characteristic	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Colony pigmentation	pink	light pink	pink	pale pink	light pink	light pink	pink	light pink to reddish
Temperature range for growth (°C) (optimum)	20–40 (35–37)	15-37 (30)	10–37 (30–37)	10-37 (25)	4-37 (25)	10–40 (25–30)	10–30 (15–20)	2-33 (20)
pH range for growth (optimum)	5.5-7 (6)	4-8 (6)	6-8 (6-7)	6-8 (7)	6-7 (6)	6-7 (6)	4-8 (6)	4.2-8.2 (6-6.5)
NaCl range for growth (%, w/v) (optimum)	0-2 (0.5)	0-1 (0.5)	0-2 (0.5)	0-2 (0-0.5)	0-1 (0)	0-2 (0)	0-1 (0.5)	0-1
Anaerobic growth	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+
Nitrate reduction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
Hydrolysis of :								
Starch	+	+	+	+	W	-	-	+
CM-cellulose	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
Urea	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+

Table 1. Continued								
Characteristic	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Assimilation of (API 20NE):								
Glucose	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Arabinose	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
Mannose	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Mannitol	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	W
N-Acetyl-glucosamine	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Maltose	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Gluconate	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Malate	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Citrate	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Enzymatic activities (API ZYM):								
C4 esterase	+	-	-	-	w	w	+	+
C8 esterase lipase	+	-	-	-	+	w	+	+
Valine arylamidase	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Cystine arylamidase	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
α-Galactosidase	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
β-Galactosidase	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
β-Glucuronidase	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
β-Glucosidase	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-
a-Mannosidase	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
α-Fucosidase	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
Acid production (API 50CH):								
Erythritol	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
D-Arabinose	+	+	+	+	-	w	+	-
L-Arabinose	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
D-Ribose	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
D-Xylose	+	+	+	+	-	W	+	-
Methyl β-xyloside	W	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
D-Galactose	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
D-Glucose	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
D-Fructose	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-
L-Rhamnose	W	+	+	+	-	w	-	-
Methyl α-mannoside	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Methyl α-glucoside	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
N-Acetylglucosamine	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Amygdaline	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Arbutin	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Salicin	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Cellobiose	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Maltose	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lactose	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Melibiose	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Sucrose	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Inulin	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
Melezitose	w	+	+	+	-	w	+	-
Raffinose	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Starch	+	+	+	+	-	w	+	-
Glycogen	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
D-Turanose	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
D-Lyxose	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
L-Fucose	w	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
D-Arabitol	-	+	_	_	-	-	-	_
5-Ketogluconate	+	+	+	W	-	-	-	_
DNA G+C content (mol%)	42.8	46.6	45.1	41.2	49.8	42.4	45.6	46.1

dition, the dDDH values between strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> and the related *Mucilaginibacter* strains were 20.8–23.6%, which is below the 70% threshold for species delineation (Goris *et al.*, 2007). These data suggest that strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> is a separate species within the genus *Mucilaginibacter*. Genome-based phylogeny was performed with a set of core genes rather than a single gene. Specifically, an up-to-date bacterial core gene set (UBCG, concatenated alignment of 92 core genes) and pipeline was utilized for phylogenetic tree construction. The constructed phylogenetic tree (based on the coding sequences of 92 protein clusters) showed that strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> formed a distinct phylogenetic lineage within the genus *Mucilaginibacter* (Supplementary data Fig. S2), which also indicates that strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> should be considered to be a novel species of the genus *Mucilaginibacter*.

# **DNA-DNA hybridization**

Since complete genome information is not available for the reference species and since it was proposed that DNA-DNA relatedness below 70% can be used to define a novel species (Wayne *et al.*, 1987), DNA-DNA hybridization experiments between strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> and *M. fluminis* TTM-2<sup>T</sup> and *M. roseus* TTM-1<sup>T</sup> were performed. DNA-DNA relatedness between YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> and *M. fluminis* TTM-2<sup>T</sup> and *M. roseus* TTM-1<sup>T</sup> was found to be 34.3  $\pm$  1.1% and 28.2  $\pm$  1.2%, re-

spectively. Therefore, strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> can be considered to be a separate species within the genus *Mucilaginibacter*.

# Morphological, physiological, and biochemical characteristics

Cells of strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> are rod-shaped and are surrounded by a thick capsule (Supplementary data Fig. S3). Cells grew well on R2A agar and nutrient agar, but not on trypticase soy agar or LB agar. Strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> was resistant to ampicillin, penicillin G, gentamicin, kanamycin, and chloramphenicol, and sensitive to nalidixic acid, novobiocin, rifampicin, streptomycin, tetracycline, and sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim. Detailed phenotypic and biochemical analysis results of strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> are provided in the species description, and selected characteristics of strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> and the selected type strains are compared in Table 1. As shown in Table 1, phenotypic examination revealed several common traits shared between the novel strain and seven related strains (*M. fluminis*  $TTM-2^{T}$ , *M. roseus*  $TTM-1^{T}$ , *M. defluvii*  $A5^{T}$ , *M. lutimaris* BR-3<sup>T</sup>, *M. litoreus* BR-18<sup>T</sup>, *M. amnicola* TAPP7<sup>T</sup>, and *M. paludis*  $TPT56^{T}$ ). However, strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> could be clearly differentiated from these seven related strains by a higher optimal growth temperature (35-37°C), an inability to grow at lower temperatures (< 20°C), and an inability to produce acid from maltose and lactose.

**Table 2.** Cellular fatty acid composition of *Mucilaginibacter limnophilus* YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> and phylogenetically closely related *Mucilaginibacter* species Strains: 1, Strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup>; 2, *M. fluminis* TTM-2<sup>T</sup>; 3, *M. roseus* TTM-1<sup>T</sup>; 4, *M. defluvii* A5<sup>T</sup>; 5, *M. lutimaris* BR-3<sup>T</sup>; 6, *M. litoreus* BR-18<sup>T</sup>; 7, *M. amnicola* TAPP7<sup>T</sup>; 8, *M. paludis* TPT56<sup>T</sup>. All data were obtained from this study except data for *M. paludis* TPT56<sup>T</sup> from Pankratov *et al.* (2007). All strains were grown on R2A agar at 30°C for 3 days. Only fatty acids with more than 1% of the total acids in at least one of the strains are shown. Major fatty acids (>

Fatty acid    1    2    3    4    5    6    7      Straight chain:   <	10%) are indicated in bold. TR	, 1 race (< 1%); -,	not detected.							
Straight chain: $C_{120}$ TR  1.5  1.4  1.3  1.3  1.4  2.0 $C_{140}$ TR  1.1  TR  1.0  1.2  1.3  1.5 $C_{150}$ -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  - $C_{160}$ 8.2  4.2  2.5  4.9  6.1  7.0  8.8 $C_{180}$ 1.8  TR  TR  3.2  3.7  5.3  3.2    Branched:  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -    anteiso- $C_{150}$ TR  1.8  1.6  2.3  2.0  2.5  3.8    anteiso- $C_{150}$ -  -  -  TR  -  -  -    iso- $C_{150}$ 36.4  37.5  33.3  25.3  24.5  24.4  18.5    iso- $C_{170}$ 2.5  1.7  -  1.2  -  -  -    Unsaturated:  -  -  TR  TR  2.2  2.6  2.	Fatty acid	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Straight chain:									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C <sub>12:0</sub>	TR	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.4	2.0	-	
$C_{150}$ $C_{160}$ $8.2$ $4.2$ $2.5$ $4.9$ $6.1$ $7.0$ $8.8$ $C_{180}$ $1.8$ TRTR $3.2$ $3.7$ $5.3$ $3.2$ Branched:anteiso- $C_{140}$ TR $1.8$ $1.6$ $2.3$ $2.0$ $2.5$ $3.8$ anteiso- $C_{150}$ $  -$ TR $ -$ anteiso- $C_{170}$ $      iso-C_{150}$ $36.4$ $37.5$ $33.3$ $25.3$ $24.5$ $24.4$ $18.5$ $iso-C_{170}$ $       iso-C_{150}$ $36.4$ $37.5$ $33.3$ $25.3$ $24.5$ $24.4$ $18.5$ $iso-C_{150}$ $36.4$ $37.5$ $33.3$ $25.3$ $24.5$ $24.4$ $18.5$ $iso-C_{170}$ $2.5$ $1.7$ $     iso-C_{150}$ $2.5$ $1.7$ $ 1.2$ $ 1.0$ $1.4$ Unsaturated: $      2.2$ $C_{161}$ $05$ $2.0$ $3.5$ $5.5$ $5.6$ $4.3$ $4.4$ $5.7$ $C_{181}$ $09$ $1.8$ TRTR $2.9$ $3.2$ $3.6$ $2.6$ Hydroxy: $         iso-C_{150}$ <td< td=""><td>C<sub>14:0</sub></td><td>TR</td><td>1.1</td><td>TR</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.2</td><td>1.3</td><td>1.5</td><td>TR</td><td></td></td<>	C <sub>14:0</sub>	TR	1.1	TR	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.5	TR	
$C_{160}$ $8.2$ $4.2$ $2.5$ $4.9$ $6.1$ $7.0$ $8.8$ $C_{180}$ $1.8$ $TR$ $TR$ $3.2$ $3.7$ $5.3$ $3.2$ Branched:anteiso- $C_{140}$ $TR$ $1.8$ $1.6$ $2.3$ $2.0$ $2.5$ $3.8$ anteiso- $C_{150}$ $   TR$ $ -$ anteiso- $C_{170}$ $     -$ iso- $C_{150}$ $364$ $37.5$ $33.3$ $25.3$ $24.5$ $24.4$ $18.5$ iso- $C_{150}$ $36.4$ $37.5$ $33.3$ $25.3$ $24.5$ $24.4$ $18.5$ iso- $C_{150}$ $2.5$ $1.7$ $  TR$ $ -$ usaturated: $C_{15.1} \omega 6c$ $TR$ $TR$ $TR$ $  TR$ $ 2.2$ $C_{16.1} \omega 5c$ $2.0$ $3.5$ $5.5$ $5.6$ $4.3$ $4.4$ $5.7$ $C_{18.1} \omega 9c$ $1.8$ $TR$ $TR$ $TR$ $2.9$ $3.2$ $3.6$ $2.6$ Hydroxy: $C_{16.9} 3-OH$ $TR$ $TR$ $TR$ $TR$ $TR$ $1.2$ $1.5$ $2.1$ iso- $C_{15.9} 3-OH$ $8.4$ $8.7$ $6.9$ $8.2$ $8.0$ $7.8$ $6.5$	C <sub>15:0</sub>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.2	
$C_{18.0}$ 1.8TRTR3.23.75.33.2Branched:anteiso- $C_{14.0}$ TR1.81.62.32.02.53.8anteiso- $C_{15.0}$ TRanteiso- $C_{17.0}$ TR-iso- $C_{15.0}$ 36.437.533.325.324.524.418.5iso- $C_{15.0}$ 36.437.533.325.324.524.418.5iso- $C_{15.0}$ 2.51.7TRiso- $C_{17.0}$ 2.51.7-1.2-1.01.4Unsaturated:TR-2.2 $C_{16.1} \omega 5c$ 2.03.55.55.64.34.45.7 $C_{18.1} \omega 9c$ 1.8TRTRTR2.93.23.62.6Hydroxy:iso- $C_{15.9}$ 3-OHTRTRTRTRTR1.21.52.1iso- $C_{15.9}$ 3-OH8.48.76.98.28.07.86.5Summed features*	C <sub>16:0</sub>	8.2	4.2	2.5	4.9	6.1	7.0	8.8	3.1	
Branched:anteiso- $C_{14,0}$ TR1.81.62.32.02.53.8anteiso- $C_{15,0}$ TRanteiso- $C_{17,0}$ iso- $C_{150}$ 36.437.533.325.324.524.418.5iso- $C_{160}$ TRiso- $C_{17,0}$ 2.51.7-1.21.01.4Unsaturated:TR-2.2 $C_{151}$ $\omega 6c$ TRTRTR-TR2.2 $C_{161}$ $\omega 5c$ 2.03.55.55.64.34.45.7 $C_{181}$ $\omega 9c$ 1.8TRTR2.93.23.62.6Hydroxy:iso- $C_{150}$ 3-OHTRTRTRTR1.21.52.1iso- $C_{150}$ 3-OH8.48.76.98.28.07.86.5Summed features*	C <sub>18:0</sub>	1.8	TR	TR	3.2	3.7	5.3	3.2	TR	
anteiso-C <sub>140</sub> TR    1.8    1.6    2.3    2.0    2.5    3.8      anteiso-C <sub>150</sub> -    -    -    TR    -    -      anteiso-C <sub>170</sub> -    -    -    TR    -    -      iso-C <sub>150</sub> 36.4    37.5    33.3    25.3    24.5    24.4    18.5      iso-C <sub>150</sub> -    -    -    TR    -    -    -      iso-C <sub>160</sub> -    -    -    1.2    1.0    1.4      Unsaturated:    -    -    -    TR    -    2.2      C <sub>161</sub> \u03bb<	Branched:									
anteiso-C <sub>15:0</sub> -  -  -  TR  -  -    anteiso-C <sub>17:0</sub> -  -  -  -  -  -  -    iso-C <sub>15:0</sub> 36.4  37.5  33.3  25.3  24.5  24.4  18.5    iso-C <sub>15:0</sub> -  -	anteiso-C <sub>14:0</sub>	TR	1.8	1.6	2.3	2.0	2.5	3.8	-	
anteiso- $C_{17:0}$ iso- $C_{15:0}$ 36.437.533.325.324.524.418.5iso- $C_{16:0}$ TRiso- $C_{17:0}$ 2.51.7-1.2-1.01.4Unsaturated:TR-2.2- $C_{15:1} \omega 6c$ TRTRTR-2.2 $C_{16:1} \omega 5c$ 2.03.55.55.64.34.45.7 $C_{18:1} \omega 9c$ 1.8TRTR2.93.23.62.6Hydroxy:TRTRTR2.11.52.1iso- $C_{15:0}$ 3-OH2.01.61.92.42.11.4TRiso- $C_{17:0}$ 3-OH8.48.76.98.28.07.86.5Summed features*	anteiso-C <sub>15:0</sub>	-	-	-	-	TR	-	-	21.1	
iso-C15.036.437.533.325.324.524.418.5iso-C16.0TRiso-C17.02.51.7-1.2-1.01.4Unsaturated:TR-2.2-C15.1 $\omega$ 6cTRTRTR-2.2C16.1 $\omega$ 5c2.03.55.55.64.34.45.7C18.1 $\omega$ 9c1.8TRTR2.93.23.62.6Hydroxy:TR1.21.52.1iso-C15.0 3-OH2.01.61.92.42.11.4TRiso-C17.0 3-OH8.48.76.98.28.07.86.5Summed features*	anteiso-C <sub>17:0</sub>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.5	
iso-C16.0TRiso-C17.02.51.7-1.2-1.01.4Unsaturated:C15:1 $\omega$ 6cTRTRTR-2.2C16:1 $\omega$ 5c2.03.55.55.64.34.45.7C18:1 $\omega$ 9c1.8TRTR2.93.23.62.6Hydroxy:C16:0 3-OHTRTRTRTR1.21.52.1iso-C15:0 3-OH2.01.61.92.42.11.4TRiso-C17:0 3-OH8.48.76.98.28.07.86.5Summed features*	iso-C <sub>15:0</sub>	36.4	37.5	33.3	25.3	24.5	24.4	18.5	15.7	
iso- $C_{17.0}$ 2.51.7-1.2-1.01.4Unsaturated: $C_{15.1} \omega 6c$ TRTRTR-2.2 $C_{16.1} \omega 5c$ 2.03.55.55.64.34.45.7 $C_{18.1} \omega 9c$ 1.8TRTR2.93.23.62.6Hydroxy: $C_{16.0}$ 3-OHTRTRTRTR1.21.52.1iso- $C_{15.0}$ 3-OH2.01.61.92.42.11.4TRiso- $C_{17.0}$ 3-OH8.48.76.98.28.07.86.5Summed features*	iso-C <sub>16:0</sub>	-	-	-	-	TR	-	-	1.5	
Unsaturated: $C_{15:1} \omega 6c$ TRTR-TR-2.2 $C_{16:1} \omega 5c$ 2.03.55.55.64.34.45.7 $C_{18:1} \omega 9c$ 1.8TRTR2.93.23.62.6Hydroxy: $C_{16:0}$ 3-OHTRTRTRTR1.21.52.1iso- $C_{15:0}$ 3-OH2.01.61.92.42.11.4TRiso- $C_{17:0}$ 3-OH8.48.76.98.28.07.86.5Summed features*	iso-C <sub>17:0</sub>	2.5	1.7	-	1.2	-	1.0	1.4	TR	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Unsaturated:									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C <sub>15:1</sub> <i>w</i> 6 <i>c</i>	TR	TR	-	-	TR	-	2.2	TR	
C18:1 \$\overline{9}c\$  1.8  TR  TR  2.9  3.2  3.6  2.6    Hydroxy:	$C_{16:1} \omega 5c$	2.0	3.5	5.5	5.6	4.3	4.4	5.7	5.8	
Hydroxy:  C16.0 3-OH  TR  TR  TR  TR  1.2  1.5  2.1    iso-C15.0 3-OH  2.0  1.6  1.9  2.4  2.1  1.4  TR    iso-C17.0 3-OH  8.4  8.7  6.9  8.2  8.0  7.8  6.5    Summed features*	$C_{18:1} \omega 9c$	1.8	TR	TR	2.9	3.2	3.6	2.6	TR	
C <sub>160</sub> 3-OH    TR    TR    TR    TR    1.2    1.5    2.1      iso-C <sub>150</sub> 3-OH    2.0    1.6    1.9    2.4    2.1    1.4    TR      iso-C <sub>170</sub> 3-OH    8.4    8.7    6.9    8.2    8.0    7.8    6.5      Summed features*	Hydroxy:									
iso-C <sub>15:0</sub> 3-OH  2.0  1.6  1.9  2.4  2.1  1.4  TR    iso-C <sub>17:0</sub> 3-OH  8.4  8.7  6.9  8.2  8.0  7.8  6.5    Summed features*	C <sub>16:0</sub> 3-OH	TR	TR	TR	TR	1.2	1.5	2.1	TR	
iso-C <sub>17:0</sub> 3-OH 8.4 8.7 6.9 8.2 8.0 7.8 6.5 Summed features*	iso-C <sub>15:0</sub> 3-OH	2.0	1.6	1.9	2.4	2.1	1.4	TR	1.8	
Summed features*	iso-C <sub>17:0</sub> 3-OH	8.4	8.7	6.9	8.2	8.0	7.8	6.5	8.9	
	Summed features*									
3 31.6 33.6 39.1 35.0 38.8 35.0 38.7	3	31.6	33.6	39.1	35.0	38.8	35.0	38.7	24.7	
9 2.3 3.8 6.7 5.7 2.6 2.4 2.0	9	2.3	3.8	6.7	5.7	2.6	2.4	2.0	TR	

For unsaturated fatty acids, the position of the double bond is located by counting from the methyl ( $\omega$ ) end of the carbon chain. *cis* isomer is indicated by the suffix *c*. \*Summed features are groups of two or three fatty acids that cannot be separated by GLC using the MIDI system. Summed feature 3 comprised C<sub>16:1</sub>  $\omega$ 7*c* and/or C<sub>16:1</sub>  $\omega$ 6*c* and summed feature 9 comprised C<sub>16:0</sub> 10-methyl and/or iso-C<sub>17:1</sub>  $\omega$ 9*c*.

#### Chemotaxonomic characteristics

The predominant cellular fatty acids (i.e., > 10% of total fatty acids) of strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> are iso- $C_{15:0}$  (36.4%) and summed feature 3 ( $C_{16:1} \ \omega 7c$  and/or  $C_{16:1} \ \omega 6c$ ; 31.6%). The fatty acid profile of strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> is similar to those of the seven related *Mucilaginibacter* species described above, although there are differences in the relative proportions of some components (Table 2). The major fatty acids of this group are iso- $C_{15:0}$  and summed feature 3 and the major hydroxy fatty acid is iso- $C_{17:0}$  3-OH, which are the main fatty acids found in *Mucilaginibacter* species (Pankratov *et al.*, 2007; Baik *et al.*, 2010). However, *M. paludis* TPT56<sup>T</sup> contains a larger amount of anteiso- $C_{15:0}$ , which is different than the other strains.

Strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> exhibited a complex polar lipid profile consisting of phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), two uncharacterized aminophospholipids (APL1 and APL2), one uncharacterized sphingolipid (SL1), two uncharacterized phospholipids (PL1 and PL2), and four uncharacterized lipids (L1-L4) (Supplementary data Fig. S4). The predominant polar lipid detected in strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> was PE, which is consistent with previous descriptions of Mucilaginibacter species (Jiang et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2012; Yoon et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014; Hwang et al., 2014). Strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> also contains sphingolipid, which is the characteristic polar lipid of Sphingobacteriaceae the family members (Steyn et al., 1998). Polyamines detected in strain YBJ-36<sup>1</sup> were homospermidine (HSPD, 98.5%) and putrescine (PUT, 1.5%) (Supplementary data Fig. S5). HSPD was the main polyamine detected in strain YBJ- $36^{T}$ , which is consistent with the known polyamine profile of the family Sphingobacteriaceae (Steyn et al., 1998). The predominant respiratory quinone detected in strain YBJ-36 was menaquinone (MK-7), which is typical of members of the genus Mucilaginibacter (Pankratov et al., 2007; Baik et al., 2010).

# Insight from genome sequence of the new taxon

Based on eggNOG classification, the protein encoding genes in strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> genome are classified into 22 functional categories (Supplementary data Table S2). Most of coding sequences are classified as functional unknown (S, 22.9% of all assigned eggNOG), followed by those identified as having roles in carbohydrate transport and metabolism (G, 9.2%), cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis (M, 8.7%), transcription (K, 6.6%), amino acid transport and metabolism (E, 6.4%), and signal transduction mechanisms (T, 5.9%).

According to Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystem Technology (RAST), strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> genome contains 295 genes involved in carbohydrate metabolism including central carbohydrate metabolism, aminosugars, diand oligosaccharides, one-carbon metabolism, organic acids, polysaccharides, monosaccharides and fermentation. There are 50 genes in strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> genome related with resistance to antibiotics such as multidrug resistance-tripartite systems found in Gram-negative bacteria, resistance to fluoroquinolones, beta-lactamase, multidrug resistance efflux pumps and related with resistance to toxic compounds such as copper homeostasis, cobalt-zinc-cadmium resistance, zinc resistance, arsenic resistance and resistance to chromium compounds.

Thirty-two genes in strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> genome are assigned as putative functions in synthesis of capsular and extracellular polysaccharides including dTDP-rhamnose synthesis, capsular heptose biosynthesis, polysaccharide deacetylases and rhamnose containing glycans. In addition, 33 genes in strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> genome are annotated with putative functions related to phages, prophages, transposable elements and plasmids. Except for one gene has a relationship with phages and prophages, the rest genes are associated with the conjugative transposon, *Bacteroidales*.

In conclusion, the genome information of strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> provides the basis for understanding the properties that this novel stain may have the potential abilities in metabolism of various carbohydrates, fermentation, synthesis of capsule, resistance to antibiotics and toxic compounds, and horizontal transfer of genetic elements between bacterial species. These abilities may confer a competitive ecological advantage to this strain in the complex microbial ecosystem.

# Taxonomic conclusion

Based on the phylogenetic analysis presented in this work, strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> occupies a distinct position within the genus *Mucilaginibacter*. The sufficiently low degree of DNA-DNA relatedness between strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> and the closely related *Mucilaginibacter* species (< 35%) differentiated these two strains from each other. Chemotaxonomic data of fatty acids, polar lipids, polyamine, and quinone for strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> and genomic DNA G+C content were consistent with the genus *Mucilaginibacter*. Distinct differences in certain phenotypic, biochemical, chemotaxonomic, and genotypic characteristics between strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> and the closely related *Mucilaginibacter* species were observed. We consider strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> to be a novel species of the genus *Mucilaginibacter*, for which we propose the name *Mucilaginibacter limnophilus* sp. nov.

#### Description of Mucilaginibacter limnophilus sp. nov.

*Mucilaginibacter limnophilus* (lim.no'phi.lus. Gr. n. *limnos* lake; L. adj. *philus* from Gr. adj. *philos* loving, friendly to; N.L. masc. adj. *limnophilus* lake-loving).

Cells are Gram-negative, aerobic, non-motile, rod-shaped and surrounded by a thick capsule. After incubation on R2A agar 48 h at 30°C, the mean cell size is 0.4–0.5 µm in width and 0.7-1.5 µm in length. Colonies on R2A agar are pink, mucoid, convex and circular with irregular, curled margins. The colony size is approximately 4.0-5.5 mm in diameter after 48 h at 30°C. Growth occurs at 20-40°C (optimal range, 35-37°C), at pH 5.5-7 (optimal pH of 6) and with 0-2% NaCl (optimal concentration, 0.5%). Negative for poly-βhydroxybutyrate accumulation. Flexirubin-type pigments are not produced. Carotenoid pigments are present with maximum absorption at 485 nm. Positive for oxidase and catalase activities and hydrolysis of starch and CM-cellulose. Negative for urease and lipase activities and hydrolysis of casein, chitin, lecithin, DNA, Tweens 20, 40, 60, and 80. In API 20NE tests, positive for aesculin hydrolysis and  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity, and assimilation of glucose, mannose, N-acetyl-glucosamine and maltose, and negative for nitrate reduction, indole production, D-glucose acidification, arginine dihydrolase and urease activities, gelatin hydrolysis, and assimilation of arabinose, mannitol, gluconate, caprate, adipate, malate, citrate and phenyl-acetate. In the API ZYM kit, alkaline phosphatase, C4 esterase, C8 esterase lipase, leucine arylamidase, valine arylamidase, cystine arylamidase, acid phosphatase, naphthol-AS-BI-phosphohydrolase, a-galactosidase,  $\beta$ -galactosidase,  $\alpha$ -glucosidase,  $\beta$ -glucosidase, *N*-acetyl-β-glucosaminidase, α-mannosidase, and α-fucosidase activities are present, but C14 lipase, trypsin, α-chymotrypsin, and β-glucuronidase activities are absent. Acids are produced from D-arabinose, D-xylose, D-galactose, D-glucose, D-fructose, D-mannose, methyl a-mannoside, methyl aglucoside, N-acetylglucosamine, amygdalin, esculin, salicin, cellobiose, melibiose, sucrose, trehalose, inulin, raffinose, starch, glycogen, gentiobiose, D-turanose, D-lyxose, and 5ketogluconate, and weakly produced from methyl β-xyloside, L-rhamnose, melezitose, and L-fucose. Negative for erythritol, L-arabinose, D-ribose, glycerol, L-xylose, D-adonitol, L-sorbose, dulcitol, inositol, D-mannitol, D-sorbitol, arbutin, D-maltose, D-lactose, xylitol, D-tagatose, D-fucose, Darabitol, L-arabitol, gluconate, and 2-ketogluconate. The following compounds are utilized as sole carbon sources in the GN2 microplate: a-cyclodextrin, dextrin, glycogen, N-acetyl-D-glucosamine, cellobiose, D-fructose, D-galactose, gentibiose,  $\alpha$ -D-glucose, maltose, D-mannose, melibose,  $\beta$ -methyl-D-glucoside, sucrose, trehalose, and D-turanose. All other substrates in the GN2 microplate are not utilized. The major fatty acids (> 10% of total fatty acids) are iso- $C_{15:0}$ and summed feature 3 ( $C_{16:1} \omega 7c$  and/or  $C_{16:1} \omega 6c$ ). The respiratory quinone is MK-7. The polar lipid profile consists of phosphatidylethanolamine, two uncharacterized aminophospholipids, one uncharacterized sphingolipid, two uncharacterized phospholipids and four uncharacterized lipids. The major polyamine is homospermidine. The DNA G+C content of the type strain is 42.8 mol%.

The type strain is YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> (= BCRC 81056<sup>T</sup> = KCTC 52811<sup>T</sup> = LMG 30058<sup>T</sup>) isolated from the freshwater of the Beigang Old River Park Lake in the vicinity of Yunlin County, Taiwan. The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession numbers for the 16S rRNA gene sequence and the whole genome of *Mucilaginibacter limnophilus* strain YBJ-36<sup>T</sup> are LT703451 and SACK00000000, respectively.

# References

- Anzai, Y., Kudo, Y., and Oyaizu, H. 1997. The phylogeny of genera Chryseomonas, Flavimonas, and Pseudomonas supports synonymy of these three genera. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 47, 249–251.
- Aydogan, E.L., Busse, H.J., Moser, G., Müller, C., Kämpfer, P., and Glaeser, S.P. 2017. Proposal of *Mucilaginibacter galii* sp. nov. isolated from leaves of *Galium album*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 67, 1318–1326.
- Aziz, R.K., Bartels, D., Best, A.A., DeJongh, M., Disz, T., Edwards, R.A., Formsma, K., Gerdes, S., Glass, E.M., Kubal, M., et al. 2008. The RAST server: rapid annotations using subsystems technology. BMC Genomics 9, 75.
- Baik, K.S., Park, S.C., Kim, E.M., Lim, C.H., and Seong, C.N. 2010. *Mucilaginibacter rigui* sp. nov., isolated from wetland freshwater, and emended description of the genus *Mucilaginibacter*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **60**, 134–139.

Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A.A., Dvorkin, M.,

Kulikov, A.S., Lesin, V.M., Nikolenko, S.I., Pham, S., Prjibelski, A.D., *et al.* 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* **19**, 455–477.

- Bernardet, J.F., Nakagawa, Y., and Holmes, B. 2002. Proposed minimal standards for describing new taxa of the family *Flavobacteriaceae* and emended description of the family. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 52, 1049–1070.
- Beveridge, T.J., Lawrence, J.R., and Murray, R.G.E. 2007. Sampling and staining for light microscopy, pp. 19–33. *In* Beveridge, T.J., Breznak, J.A., Marzluf, G.A., Schmidt, T.M., Snyder, L.R., *et al.* (eds.). Methods for General and Molecular Bacteriology, 3rd ed, American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC, USA.
- Bowman, J.P. 2000. Description of *Cellulophaga algicola* sp. nov., isolated from the surfaces of Antarctic algae, and reclassification of *Cytophaga uliginosa* (ZoBell and Upham 1944) Reichenbach 1989 as *Cellulophaga uliginosa* comb. nov. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **50**, 1861–1868.
- Breznak, J.A. and Costilow, R.N. 2007. Physicochemical factors in growth, pp. 309–329. *In* Beveridge, T.J., Breznak, J.A., Marzluf, G.A., Schmidt, T.M., Snyder, L.R., *et al.* (eds.). Methods for General and Molecular Bacteriology, 3rd ed, American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC, USA.
- Busse, H.J. and Auling, G. 1988. Polyamine pattern as chemotaxonomic marker within the *Proteobacteria*. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 11, 1–8.
- Busse, H.J., Bunka, S., Hensel, A., and Lubitz, W. 1997. Discrimination of members of the family *Pasteurellaceae* based on polyamine patterns. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 47, 698–708.
- Chen, W.M., Chen, Y.L., and Sheu, S.Y. 2016. *Mucilaginibacter roseus* sp. nov., isolated from a freshwater river. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 66, 1112–1118.
- Chen, W.M., Hsieh, T.Y., and Sheu, S.Y. 2018. Mucilaginibacter amnicola sp. nov., isolated from a freshwater creek MyBook is a cheap paperback edition of the original book and will be sold at uniform, low price. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 68, 394–401.
- Chen, W.M., Laevens, S., Lee, T.M., Coenye, T., de Vos, P., Mergeay, M., and Vandamme, P. 2001. *Ralstonia taiwanensis* sp. nov., isolated from root nodules of *Mimosa* species and sputum of a cystic fibrosis patient. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 51, 1729–1735.
- Chen, X.Y., Zhao, R., Tian, Y., Kong, B.H., Li, X.D., Chen, Z.L., and Li, Y.H. 2014. Mucilaginibacter polytrichastri sp. nov., isolated from a moss (*Polytrichastrum formosum*), and emended description of the genus Mucilaginibacter. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 64, 1395–1400.
- **Collins, M.D.** 1994. Isoprenoid quinones, pp. 265–309. *In* Good-fellow, M. and O'Donnell, A.G. (eds.), Chemical Methods in Prokaryotic Systematics, Wiley, Chichester, England.
- Embley, T.M. and Wait, R. 1994. Structural lipids of eubacteria, pp. 121–161. *In* Goodfellow, M. and O'Donnell, A.G. (eds.), Chemical Methods in Prokaryotic Systematics, Wiley, Chichester, England.
- Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S., and Käller, M. 2016. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 3047–3048.
- Ezaki, T., Hashimoto, Y., and Yabuuchi, E. 1989. Fluorometric DNA-DNA hybridization in microdilution wells as an alternative to membrane filter hybridization in which radioisotopes are used to determine genetic relatedness among bacterial strains. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **39**, 224–229.
- Felsenstein, J. 1981. Evolutionary trees from DNA sequences: a maximum likelihood approach. J. Mol. Evol. 17, 368–376.
- Felsenstein, J. 1993. PHYLIP (phylogeny inference package), version 3.5c. Distributed by the author. Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, USA.
- Goris, J., Konstantinidis, K.T., Klappenbach, J.A., Coenye, T., Vandamme, P., and Tiedje, J.M. 2007. DNA-DNA hybridization values and their relationship to whole-genome sequence similarities.

Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 57, 81–91.

- Hall, T.A. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser. 41, 95–98.
- Huerta-Cepas, J., Forslund, K., Coelho, L.P., Szklarczyk, D., Jensen, L.J., von Mering, C., and Bork, P. 2017. Fast genome-wide functional annotation through orthology assignment by eggNOGmapper. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 34, 2115–2122.
- Huerta-Cepas, J., Szklarczyk, D., Forslund, K., Cook, H., Heller, D., Walter, M.C., Rattei, T., Mende, D.R., Sunagawa, S., Kuhn, M., et al. 2016. eggNOG4.5: a hierarchical orthology framework with improved functional annotations for eukaryotic, prokaryotic and viral sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 44, D286–D293.
- Hwang, Y.M., Baik, K.S., and Seong, C.N. 2014. Mucilaginibacter defluvii sp. nov., isolated from a dye wastewater treatment facility. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 64, 565–571.
- Jiang, F., Dai, J., Wang, Y., Xue, X., Xu, M., Guo, Y., Li, W., Fang, C., and Peng, F. 2012. *Mucilaginibacter soli* sp. nov., isolated from Arctic tundra soil. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 62, 1630–1635.
- Kim, J.H., Kang, S.J., Jung, Y.T., Oh, T.K., and Yoon, J.H. 2012. Mucilaginibacter lutimaris sp. nov., isolated from a tidal flat sediment. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 62, 515–519.
- Kimura, M. 1983. The Neutral Theory of Molecular Evolution. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, USA.
- Kluge, A.G. and Farris, F.S. 1969. Quantitative phyletics and the evolution of anurans. *Syst. Zool.* **18**, 1–32.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33, 1870–1874.
- Lee, I., Kim, Y.O., Park, S.C., and Chun, J. 2016. OrthoANI: an improved algorithm and software for calculating average nucleotide identity. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 66, 1100–1103.
- Ludwig, W., Euzéby, J., and Whitman, W.B. 2011. Taxonomic outlines of the phyla Bacteroidetes, Spirochaetes, Tenericutes (Mollicutes), Acidobacteria, Fibrobacteres, Fusobacteria, Dictyoglomi, Gemmatimonadetes, Lentisphaerae, Verrucomicrobia, Chlamydiae, and Planctomycetes, pp. 21–24. In Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, 2nd edn, vol. 4, Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, USA.
- Meier-Kolthoff, J.P., Auch, A.F., Klenk, H.P., and Göker, M. 2013. Genome sequence-based species delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance functions. *BMC Bioinformatics* 14, 60.
- Na, S.I., Kim, Y.O., Yoon, S.H., Ha, S.M., Baek, I., and Chun, J. 2018. UBCG: Up-to-date bacterial core gene set and pipeline for phylogenomic tree reconstruction. J. Microbiol. 56, 280–285.
- Nawrocki, E.P. and Eddy, S.R. 2007. Query-dependent banding (QDB) for faster RNA similarity searches. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **3**, e56.
- Nokhal, T.H. and Schlegel, H.G. 1983. Taxonomic study of Paracoccus denitrificans. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 33, 26–37.
- Overbeek, R., Olson, R., Pusch, G.D., Olsen, G.J., Davis, J.J., Disz, T., Edwards, R.A., Gerdes, S., Parrello, B., Shukla, M., et al. 2014. The SEED and the Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology (RAST). Nucleic Acids Res. 42, D206– D214.
- Pankratov, T.A., Tindall, B.J., Liesack, W., and Dedysh, S.N. 2007. Mucilaginibacter paludis gen. nov., sp. nov. and Mucilaginibacter gracilis sp. nov., pectin-, xylan- and laminarin-degrading members of the family Sphingobacteriaceae from acidic Sphagnum peat bog. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 57, 2349–2354.
- Parte, A.C. 2018. LPSN-list of prokaryotic names with standing in nomenclature (bacterio.net), 20 years on. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 68, 1825–1829.
- Powers, E.M. 1995. Efficacy of the Ryu nonstaining KOH technique for rapidly determining Gram reactions of food-borne and water-

borne bacteria and yeasts. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 61, 3756-3758.

- Reichenbach, H. 1992. The order *Cytophagales*, pp. 3631–3675. *In* Balows, A., Trüper, H.G., Dworkin, M., Harder, W., Schleifer, K.H., *et al.* (eds.). The Prokaryotes, a Handbook on the Biology of Bacteria: Ecophysiology, Isolation, Identification, Applications, 2nd ed. Springer, New York, NY, USA.
- Richter, M. and Rosselló-Móra, R. 2009. Shifting the genomic gold standard for the prokaryotic species definition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 19126–19131.
- Saitou, N. and Nei, M. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for constructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 4, 406–425.
- Sasser, M. 1990. Identification of bacteria by gas chromatography of cellular fatty acids. MIDI Technical Note 101. MIDI Inc. Newark, DE, USA.
- Schlegel, H.G., Lafferty, R., and Krauss, I. 1970. The isolation of mutants not accumulating poly-β-hydroxybutyric acid. *Arch. Microbiol.* 71, 283–294.
- Schmidt, K., Connor, A., and Britton, G. 1994. Analysis of pigments: carotenoids and related polyenes, pp. 403–461. *In* Goodfellow, M. and O'Donnell, A.G. (eds.). Chemical Methods in Prokaryotic Systematics, Wiley, Chichester, England.
- Seemann, T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2068–2069.
- Sheu, S.Y., Chen, Y.L., and Chen, W.M. 2016. Mucilaginibacter fluminis sp. nov., isolated from a freshwater river. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 66, 4567–4574.
- Spiekermann, P., Rehm, B.H.A., Kalscheuer, R., Baumeister, D., and Steinbuchel, A. 1999. A sensitive, viable-colony staining method using nile red for direct screening of bacteria that accumulate polyhydroxyalkanoic acids and other lipid storage compounds. *Arch. Microbiol.* 171, 73–80.
- Steyn, P.L., Segers, P., Vancanneyt, M., Sandra, P., Kersters, K., and Joubert, J.J. 1998. Classification of heparinolytic bacteria into a new genus, *Pedobacter*, comprising four species: *Pedobacter heparinus* comb. nov., *Pedobacter piscium* comb. nov., *Pedobacter africanus* sp. nov. and *Pedobacter saltans* sp. nov. Proposal of the family *Sphingobacteriaceae* fam. nov. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 48, 165–177.
- Tindall, B.J., Sikorski, J., Smibert, R.A., and Krieg, N.R. 2007. Phenotypic characterization and the principles of comparative systematics, pp. 330–393. *In* Reddy, C.A., Beveridge, T.J., Breznak, J.A., Marzluf, G.A., Schmidt, T.M., and Snyder, L.R. (eds.), Methods for General and Molecular Bacteriology, 3rd, American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC, USA.
- Urai, M., Aizawa, T., Nakagawa, Y., Nakajima, M., and Sunairi, M. 2008. Mucilaginibacter kameinonensis sp., nov., isolated from garden soil. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 58, 2046–2050.
- Wayne, L.G., Brenner, D.J., Colwell, R.R., Grimont, P.A.D., and Kandler, O. 1987. Report of the ad hoc committee on reconciliation of approaches to bacterial systematics. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 37, 463–464.
- Weisburg, W.G., Burns, S.M., Pelletier, D.A., and Lane, D.J. 1991. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. J. Bacteriol. 173, 697–703.
- Wen, C.M., Tseng, C.S., Cheng, C.Y., and Li, Y.K. 2002. Purification, characterization and cloning of a chitinase from *Bacillus* sp. NCTU2. *Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem.* 35, 213–219.
- Yoon, J.H., Kang, S.J., Park, S., and Oh, T.K. 2012. Mucilaginibacter litoreus sp. nov., isolated from marine sand. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 62, 2822–2827.
- Yoon, S.H., Ha, S.M., Kwon, S., Lim, J., Kim, Y., Seo, H., and Chun, J. 2017. Introducing EzBioCloud: a taxonomically united database of 16S rRNA gene sequences and whole-genome assemblies. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 67, 1613–1617.