REVIEW

Modulation of the host immune response by respiratory syncytial virus proteins

Megan E. Schmidt¹ and Steven M. Varga^{1,2,3*}

¹Interdisciplinary Graduate Program in Immunology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

²Department of Microbiology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

³Department of Pathology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

(Received Jan 31, 2017 / Accepted Feb 17, 2017)

Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) causes severe respiratory disease in both the very young and the elderly. Nearly all individuals become infected in early childhood, and reinfections with the virus are common throughout life. Despite its clinical impact, there remains no licensed RSV vaccine. RSV infection in the respiratory tract induces an inflammatory response by the host to facilitate efficient clearance of the virus. However, the host immune response also contributes to the respiratory disease observed following an RSV infection. RSV has evolved several mechanisms to evade the host immune response and promote virus replication through interactions between RSV proteins and immune components. In contrast, some RSV proteins also play critical roles in activating, rather than suppressing, host immunity. In this review, we discuss the interactions between individual RSV proteins and host factors that modulate the immune response and the implications of these interactions for the course of an RSV infection.

Keywords: RSV, virus, T cell, lung, vaccine

Introduction

Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is a leading cause of severe lower respiratory tract infection in infants and young children worldwide (Nair *et al.*, 2010). Nearly all individuals become infected with RSV by two years of age (Glezen *et al.*, 1986). RSV represents a significant healthcare burden worldwide, causing three-four million yearly hospitalizations (Nair *et al.*, 2010). Approximately 66,000–199,000 RSV-associated deaths occur annually, with the majority of those mortalities occurring in developing countries (Nair *et al.*, 2010). RSV

Copyright © 2017, The Microbiological Society of Korea

is also a significant cause of morbidity, hospitalization, and mortality in elderly and immunocompromised individuals (Falsey *et al.*, 2005; Jansen *et al.*, 2007). Additionally, reinfections with RSV are common throughout an individual's lifespan, although disease symptoms are generally milder compared to those observed in young children (Hall *et al.*, 2001). Due to the significant public health burden caused by RSV infections worldwide, development of an effective vaccine is a high priority. The first RSV vaccine candidate was a formalin-inactivated RSV (FI-RSV) developed for clinical trial in the 1960's. However, vaccinated children under two years of age exhibited enhanced morbidity and mortality following a subsequent natural RSV infection. To this day, despite extensive efforts, there remains no licensed RSV vaccine.

Prophylactic treatment with the RSV fusion protein-specific monoclonal antibody Palivizumab significantly reduces disease severity and hospitalization rates in infants and young children (Feltes et al., 2003). However, Palivizumab treatment comes at a considerable economic cost and is only recommended for use in either high-risk or premature infants with a gestational age of under 29 weeks (American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Infectious and American Academy of Pediatrics Bronchiolitis Guidelines, 2014). Management of RSV-induced disease severe enough to require hospitalization primarily involves supportive care, including supplemental fluids and oxygen, nasogastric feedings, and nasal suction (Borchers et al., 2013). Other treatments provided to hospitalized individuals include either bronchodilators or ribavirin, however their administration varies widely between treatment centers and conclusive evidence for their effectiveness is lacking (American Academy of Pediatrics Subcommittee on and Management of, 2006; Ventre and Randolph, 2007).

RSV induces a localized pulmonary infection and initiates a host inflammatory response that results in the recruitment of immune cells required for viral clearance. However, the host immune response also contributes to the severity of disease observed in RSV-infected individuals (Bohmwald *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, the immune response is vital for both control of RSV infection and initiation of disease. As such, RSV has evolved several mechanisms for evading the immune response to facilitate prolonged virus replication in the host. Paradoxically, RSV also employs strategies to cooperate with immune cells and help initiate the inflammatory response. Here, we will review the protective and pathogenic interactions individual RSV proteins have with components of the host immune response.

^{*}For correspondence. E-mail: steven-varga@uiowa.edu; Tel.: +1-319-335 -7784

RSV proteins

RSV is an enveloped virus belonging to the Pneumovirus genus in the Paramyxoviridae family. Its non-segmented, single-stranded, negative-sense RNA genome is 15.2 kb in length and contains 10 genes. In 3' to 5' order, the genome contains two nonstructural (NS1 and NS2), nucleocapsid (N), phosphoprotein (P), matrix (M), small hydrophobic (SH), attachment glycoprotein (G), fusion glycoprotein (F), M2, and polymerase (L) genes (Collins et al., 2013). Each gene encodes for its corresponding mRNA that in turn encodes for a single protein, with the exception of M2. The M2 mRNA contains two overlapping reading frames, which encode two individual proteins: M2-1 and M2-2 (Collins et al., 1986). RSV therefore encodes 11 known proteins, of which nine are structural proteins that make up the virion. The RSV virion consists of a nucleocapsid surrounded by an outer lipid membrane that is derived from the host cell plasma membrane during viral budding. Three virally-encoded proteins (SH, G, and F) are transmembrane proteins contained within the envelope, while M is present along the inner face of the envelope. The remaining structural proteins (N, P, M2-1, M2-2, and L) are involved in nucleocapsid structure and/or RNA synthesis, while the nonstructural proteins (NS1 and NS2) are not significantly packaged within the assembled virion (Collins and Crowe, 2007).

NS1 and NS2 are small proteins of 139 and 124 amino acids, respectively. As they are the first genes encoded by the genome, NS1 and NS2 mRNAs are abundant viral products produced early following infection (Collins and Wertz, 1983). While NS1 and NS2 are not essential for RSV replication, deletion of either gene significantly attenuates RSV replication *in vitro* and *in vivo* in cotton rats, chimpanzees, and African green monkeys (Jin *et al.*, 2000, 2003; Teng *et al.*, 2000). NS1 and NS2 are able to form both homo- and heteromers, corresponding to their ability to function both independently and cooperatively to exert synergistic effects (Swedan *et al.*, 2009, 2011). The primary function of NS1 and NS2 is to antagonize the type I interferon (IFN) antiviral response, but they also interfere with the host immune response in other ways, as described in detail below.

The G protein is a large 298 amino acid protein that is involved in viral attachment and also serves as a major target for neutralizing antibodies. G is not required for RSV replication in vitro, as recombinant RSV strains lacking the G gene replicate to similar levels as wild-type (WT) RSV (Teng et al., 2001). G is expressed in two forms: a transmembrane form (mG) and a secreted form (sG). sG accounts for as much as 80% of the total G protein released during the early stages of RSV infection (Hendricks et al., 1988). mG contains an N-terminal cytoplasmic tail adjacent to a hydrophobic signal anchor that serves as its transmembrane domain. sG is truncated near the N-terminus so it lacks the first 65 amino acids, including the transmembrane domain (Roberts et al., 1994). The ectodomain of G contains two mucin-like domains that vary widely in sequence across RSV isolates and are heavily glycosylated (Johnson et al., 1987). Between the two mucin-like domains lies a highly conserved region of 13 amino acids, which contains both a CX3C motif and a cysteine rich region (GCRR) of four cysteine residues connected by two disulfide bonds (Johnson *et al.*, 1987; Rueda *et al.*, 1994). Both the CX3C motif and the GCRR are critical for the G protein's modulation of the host immune response, as will be discussed below.

The F protein drives viral infection by mediating fusion of the virus with the target cell membrane and syncytia formation between neighboring cells. Given its obligatory role in viral entry, F is essential for RSV replication (Heminway et al., 1994). F is initially formed as an inactivate precursor protein, F₀, that becomes activated through proteolytic cleavage by a furin-like protease encoded by the host cell (Collins and Mottet, 1991; Bolt et al., 2000). The furin-like protease cleaves F₀ twice to generate three individual polypeptides: F_2 (109 amino acids), p27 (27 amino acids), and F_1 (438 amino acids). F₂ and F₁ remain connected by two disulfide bonds following cleavage, and make up the activated form of F (Gruber and Levine, 1983; Day et al., 2006). In contrast to the G protein, F is highly conserved with greater than 90% sequence identity between RSV isolates from either A or B RSV subgroups (Beeler and van Wyke Coelingh, 1989). Combined with its critical function during RSV replication, the F protein's high sequence conservation make it an ideal target for both neutralizing antibodies and small molecule inhibitors (Costello et al., 2012; McLellan et al., 2013).

SH is a small 64 amino acid transmembrane protein that is incorporated into mature virions at very low levels (Rixon et al., 2004). SH is dispensable for RSV replication in cell culture, and recombinant RSV strains lacking SH (Δ SH) replicate more efficiently that WT virus in some cell lines (Bukreyev et al., 1997; Karron et al., 1997; Jin et al., 2000). However, SH appears to play some role in RSV replication *in vivo*, as replication of Δ SH was attenuated in the upper and lower respiratory tract of mice and chimpanzees, respectively (Bukreyev et al., 1999; Whitehead et al., 1999). SH forms a pore-like structure in the membrane of infected cells, which exhibits ion-channel-like activity (Carter et al., 2010; Gan et al., 2012). While the exact role of SH ion-channel activity in RSV infection remains unclear, it appears to function as a cation-selective channel that alters membrane permeability (Gan et al., 2012; Triantafilou et al., 2013). The SH protein's ion-channel activity may have important implications for the immune response, as described below.

The N protein is a 391 amino acid protein that encapsidates both the viral genome and its positive-sense counterpart, the antigenome. This encapsidation protects the genome and may also reduce recognition by host pattern recognition receptors. The N protein's structure allows for the formation of flexible templates for the RNA polymerase. Individual N monomers can bind 7 nucleotides of RNA, with the RNA groove located at the hinge between N- and C-terminal domains of the monomer (Tawar *et al.*, 2009). The orientation of multiple monomers together in the same direction allows the polymerase access without disassembling the helix, further protecting the genomic RNA (Collins *et al.*, 2013).

While the remaining RSV proteins serve important functions during infection, they do not significantly interfere with the host immune response and will therefore only briefly be discussed here. The M protein lines the inner side of the virion envelope and is critical for RSV assembly in host cells, as mature virions cannot form in its absence (Mitra *et al.*, 2012). The L protein is the major subunit of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase and contains the catalytic domain. The P protein serves as an important co-factor for the polymerase complex and also assists in protecting the RSV genome by delivering free N protein to genomes or antigenomes that are not encapsidated (Grosfeld *et al.*, 1995; Castagne *et al.*, 2004). M2-1 functions as an essential transcription factor that binds RNA and may preferentially bind RSV mRNAs (Cartee and Wertz, 2001; Blondot *et al.*, 2012). In contrast, M2-2 appears to negatively regulate RNA synthesis, as recombinant RSV strains lacking M2-2 exhibit increased and prolonged transcription compared to WT RSV (Bermingham and Collins, 1999).

Host immune response to RSV

The innate immune response is critical for early control of RSV, prior to the induction of the adaptive response. The innate antiviral response is initiated in the airways following infection of ciliated epithelial cells, alveolar macrophages, and dendritic cells (DCs). Viral particles are recognized by host pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) on infected cells, including toll-like receptors (TLRs), retinoic acid-inducible gene-I-(RIG-I-) like receptors (RLRs), and nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-(NOD-) like receptors (NLRs) (Zeng et al., 2012). Signaling through these receptors induces the production of several chemokines and pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IP-10, MCP-1, MIP-1a, MIP-1β, RANTES, IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, and TNF- α (McNamara *et al.*, 2005; Yoon et al., 2007). Expression of these molecules leads to the recruitment and activation of important innate cell subsets, including monocytes, macrophages, natural killer

(NK) cells, neutrophils, and DCs. Additionally, infected cells produce the type I IFNs, IFN- α , and IFN- β , which give rise to an antiviral state in the airways by inducing transcription of many IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) (Sen and Sarkar, 2007).

Following the initial innate response, the adaptive immune response is initiated and plays an important role in viral clearance as well as protection against subsequent RSV infection (Varga and Braciale, 2013). DCs are critical for activation of the host adaptive response. Following uptake of viral antigen, airway-resident DCs migrate to the lung draining lymph nodes and activate RSV-specific CD4 and CD8 T cells. CD8 T cells are critical for viral clearance in both primary and secondary RSV infections (Cannon et al., 1987; Graham et al., 1991). CD4 T cells also play an important role during RSV infection through their ability to differentiate into multiple subsets, including Th1, Th2, Th17, and regulatory T cells (Tregs). Th1 CD4 T cells support viral clearance through their production of IFN-y, while Th2 cells that produce IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 are associated with more severe RSV disease (Lukacs et al., 2006; Boyoglu-Barnum et al., 2014). IL-17-producing Th17 cells are associated with mucus production and airway hyperreactivity, however, they may also contribute to viral clearance (Fujisawa et al., 2011; Mukherjee et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2016). Tregs are crucial for limiting lung inflammation during RSV infection, as there is significantly reduced immune cell infiltration in the lungs of mice in the absence of Tregs (Fulton et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2010; Durant et al., 2013). In addition to T cells, B cells are also vital for the adaptive immune response to RSV through the production of neutralizing antibodies specific to the F and G proteins. In humans, both serum IgG and mucosal IgA antibodies play important roles in protection against subsequent RSV infection. However, both anti-RSV IgG and IgA titers wane rapidly over time in humans. Neutralizing antibody titers were undetectable in

RSV protein	Modulation of the immune response	References
NS1/NS2	Inhibits type I IFN induction	Kotelkin <i>et al.</i> (2000), Spann <i>et al.</i> (2004), Munir <i>et al.</i> (2008)
	Prevents transcription of IFN-stimulated genes	Lo et al. (2005), Ramaswamy et al. (2006)
	Suppresses type III IFNs	Spann <i>et al.</i> (2004)
	Inhibits activation and proliferation of protective T cell populations (CD103 $^{+}$ CD8 T cells & Th17 cells)	Munir et al. (2011)
	Suppresses DC maturation and cytokine production	Munir <i>et al.</i> (2008)
	Prevents apotosis of infected cells	Bitko <i>et al.</i> (2007)
G	Mimics fractalkine to reduce immune cell migration	Tripp et al. (2001), Harcourt et al. (2006)
	Inhibits DC maturation and cytokine production	Johnson <i>et al.</i> (2012b)
	Suppresses the activity of Tregs	Boyogla-Barnum et al. (2014)
	Promotes Th2 cells and suppresses Th1 cells	Johnson <i>et al.</i> (1998), Tripp <i>et al.</i> (1999), Boyogla-Barnum <i>et al.</i> (2014)
	Enhances the CD8 T cell response	Bukreyev <i>et al.</i> (2006), Melendi <i>et al.</i> (2011) Boyogla-Barnum <i>et al.</i> (2014)
F	Induces production of pro-inflammatory cytokines	Kurt-Jones et al. (2000)
	Promotes neutrophil extracellular trap formation	Funchal <i>et al.</i> (2015)
SH	Reduces NF-κB promoter activty downstream of TNF-α	Fuentes et al. (2007)
	Mediates NLRP3 inflammasome activation	Triantafilou et al. (2013)
	Prevents apotosis of infected cells	Fuentes et al. (2007)
N	Interferes with the immunological synapse to interfere with CD4 T cell activation	Cespedes et al. (2014)

25-50% of young children, while over 75% of adults observed a four-fold reduction in neutralizing anti-RSV IgG titers within a year following natural RSV infection (Murphy *et al.*, 1986; Brandenburg *et al.*, 1997; Falsey *et al.*, 2006). Therefore, the RSV antibody response elicited does not confer lifelong protection, contributing to the ability of RSV to cause repeated infections.

Modulation of the host immune response by RSV proteins

NS1/NS2

NS1 and NS2 modulate the host immune response to RSV infection in a wide variety of ways, both independently and cooperatively (summarized in Table 1). Their primary and most well characterized function is the inhibition of the type I IFNs IFN- α and IFN- β . NS1 and NS2 cooperate to suppress the mRNA and protein of IFN- α and IFN- β in A549 human epithelial cells and human monocyte-derived macrophages (Spann *et al.*, 2004). NS1 alone is the primary driver of type I IFN suppression in human DCs (Munir *et al.*, 2008). In contrast, NS2 dominates the suppression of IFN- α by murine fibroblasts (Kotelkin *et al.*, 2006). As type I IFNs are critical antiviral cytokines, their inhibition by NS1 and NS2 is crucial for RSV replication and pathogenesis.

One of the primary pathways by which RNA viruses induce type I IFNs involves signaling through the PRR RIG-I. In this pathway, RIG-I recognizes viral RNA products, then interacts with the mitochondrial protein MAVS, ultimately resulting in the phosphorylation and activation of the transcription factor IRF3 by IRF3 kinases (Vareille et al., 2011). Activated IRF-3 dimerizes, translocates to the nucleus, and works together with other transcription factors, including NF- κ B, to induce transcription of IFN- β (Vareille *et al.*, 2011). NS1 and NS2 have been shown to target multiple areas within this pathway to inhibit IFN-β production. First, NS2 interacts with the N-terminal CARD domain of RIG-I, while NS1 binds with MAVS (Ling et al., 2009; Boyapalle et al., 2012). Together, these interactions prevent RIG-I and MAVS from binding together, resulting in the inhibition of the downstream activation of IRF-3. Phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of IRF-3 is inhibited by NS1 and NS2, highlighting the importance of the interactions between NS1 and NS2 with RIG-I and MAVS (Spann et al., 2005; Ling et al., 2009). Alternatively, NS1 can also inhibit IRF-3 by directly binding to IRF-3 and its transcriptional coactivator CBP/ p300 (Ren et al., 2011). As CBP/p300 is required for the DNAbinding activity of IRF-3, disruption of this interaction by NS-1 prevents IRF-3 from binding to and activating transcription from the IFN-β promoter (Suhara *et al.*, 2002; Ren *et al.*, 2011).

Following their secretion, type I IFNs signal through the IFN- α -receptor (IFNAR) to induce the expression of many antiviral genes. IFN- α and IFN- β bind IFNAR, which multimerizes and becomes phosphorylated by the tyrosine kinases Tyk2 and Jak1 (Hoffmann *et al.*, 2015). Phosphorylated IFNAR becomes a docking site for signaling proteins STAT2 and STAT1, which become activated, associate with IRF-9, and translocate into the nucleus to activate the transcription

of several ISGs (Hoffmann et al., 2015). NS1 and NS2 have been shown to prevent the transcription of ISGs by inhibiting STAT2. NS1 and NS2 act cooperatively to reduce the levels of STAT2 total protein and phosphorylated STAT2, although both NS1 and NS2 can inhibit STAT2 to some degree alone (Lo et al., 2005; Ramaswamy et al., 2006; Elliott et al., 2007). The observed inhibition of STAT2 occurs through proteasomal degradation, as the addition of protease inhibitors prevents NS1/NS2-mediated reduction of STAT2 (Elliott et al., 2007). NS1 can interact with E3 ubiquitin ligase proteins Elongin C and Cul2, suggesting that NS1 may act as an E3 ubiquitin ligase to degrade STAT2 (Elliott et al., 2007). NS1-mediated STAT2 degradation is dependent on Cul2 and another E3 ubiquitin ligase complex component Rbx1, supporting this hypothesis (Elliott et al., 2007). NS2 may also assist in STAT2 degradation as a ubiquitin ligase, as NS2 was shown to have ubiquitin and monoubiquitin activity of target proteins (Whelan et al., 2016). Downstream of STAT2, NS1 and NS2 have also been shown to inhibit IFN-dependent signaling by reducing the expression of ISGs (Lo et al., 2005; Ramaswamy et al., 2006).

In addition to the inhibition of type I IFNs, NS1 and NS2 also suppress the functions of many immune cell types including DCs, CD8 T cells, and CD4 T cells. NS1, alone or in combination with NS2, can suppress the maturation of human DCs by inhibiting their upregulation of the costimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86 and receptors critical for DC-T cell interactions, including CD38, CD54, and CD83 (Munir et al., 2008). Additionally, NS1 and NS2 cooperate to suppress DC cytokine and chemokine expression, including the production of IL-6, IL-8, RANTES, and TNF-a (Munir et al., 2008). However, antibody blockade of IFNAR ameliorates the suppressive effects of NS1 and NS2 on DCs, suggesting that they occur, at least in part, as a consequence of type I IFN inhibition (Munir et al., 2008). Another consequence of type I IFN suppression is the inhibition of RSVspecific CD8 T cells by NS2 following RSV infection in mice, which is abrogated in STAT1 knockout mice (Kotelkin et al., 2006). Using cocultures of human DCs and T cells, an IFN-independent role of NS1-mediated inhibition of specific T cell subsets has also been identified (Munir et al., 2011). NS1 inhibits CD8 T cells positive for the tissue-residency marker CD103 by reducing their numbers and suppressing their IFN-y production and expression of the degranulation marker CD107a (Munir et al., 2011). NS1 also reduces the frequency of IL-17-producing CD4 T cells, or Th17 cells (Munir et al., 2011). Therefore, NS1 inhibits protective cell subsets, as CD8 T cells are critical for RSV clearance, and IL-17 reduces viral load in the lungs of mice (Graham et al., 1991; Zhang et al., 2016). Lastly, NS1 enhances IL-4-producing Th2 CD4 T cells, suggesting that NS1 promotes a Th2 bias, which has been implicated in RSV-induced disease (Munir et al., 2011).

NS1 and NS2 have also been shown to mediate other general antiviral activities. Similar to type I IFNs, NS1 and NS2 are also able to suppress the type III IFNs IFN- λ 1, -2, and -3 following RSV infection to inhibit their antiviral activity (Kotenko *et al.*, 2003; Spann *et al.*, 2004). NS1 and NS2 also suppress the antiviral response of host cells by preventing the apoptosis of infected cells (Bitko *et al.*, 2007). Expressed early following RSV infection, NS1 and NS2 activate prosurvival molecules of the AKT pathway, including AKT, PDK, and GSK (Bitko *et al.*, 2007). The AKT pathway prevents apoptosis of infected cells in part through the activation of NF- κ B, which is also activated by NS1 and NS2 (Spann *et al.*, 2005; Bitko *et al.*, 2007). Therefore, NS1 and NS2 are critical for suppressing antiviral functions of the host immune response, which promotes sustained RSV replication.

G

Like NS1 and NS2, the RSV G protein interacts with the immune system in a variety of ways, mostly to evade the host's immune response (Table 1). Structural features of the G protein allow it to interact with immune cells and disrupt cell migration to the lung. The G protein's CX3C motif is structurally similar to the chemokine fractalkine (CX3CL1), which induces leukocyte chemotaxis via its receptor CX3CR1 (Fong et al., 1998). Similar to CX3CL1, the G protein's CX3C motif also binds to CX3CR1 and mediates leukocyte migration (Tripp *et al.*, 2001). Addition of recombinant G protein or its CX3C motif alone reduces CX3CR1⁺ CD4 and CD8 T cell recruitment to the lung following RSV infection, supporting the hypothesis that the G protein's CX3C motif functions as a CX3CL1 mimic (Harcourt et al., 2006). Accordingly, CX3CR1-deficient mice exhibited reduced NK1.1⁺ NK cell, CD11b⁺ macrophage, and R86-8C5⁺ neutrophil migration consistent with previous studies with viruses lacking the G gene or with antibody blockade of the CX3CL1-CX3CR1 interaction (Tripp et al., 1999; Haynes et al., 2003; Johnson et al., 2012a). However, contradictory to a previous study in which CD4 and CD8 T cell recruitment was altered by the CX3C motif, CX3CR1-deficient mice did not have any alterations in adaptive immune cell recruitment (Harcourt et al., 2006; Johnson et al., 2012a). Thus, further studies are needed to clarify the role of the G protein's CX3C motif in cell migration to the lung.

sG also plays a critical role in the modulation of the host immune response. sG was originally hypothesized to serve as an antigen decoy molecule for virus-neutralizing antibodies, which would provide a mechanism for immune evasion (Collins et al., 2001). sG does appear to serve as a decoy for neutralizing antibodies in vitro, as a recombinant RSV strain mutated to express only mG was more efficiently neutralized by RSV G-specific mouse serum than a WT RSV strain expressing both mG and sG (Bukreyev et al., 2008). However, sG's ability to serve as an antigen decoy is not a significant mechanism by which RSV evades the host immune response, as sG does not function in this manner in vivo (Bukreyev et al., 2008). In mice, sG was capable of preventing both G-specific and F-specific antibodies from reducing virus replication, suggesting that sG acts through an alternative mechanism *in vivo* to sustain RSV replication (Bukreyev et al., 2008). Instead of functioning as an antigen decoy, sG prolongs virus replication in vivo by inducing Fc-receptor-expressing leukocytes to produce pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-6, MIP-1a, RANTES, and IFN-y (Bukreyev et al., 2008). Similarly, sG was required to induce IL-6 production by human monocytes, which specifically required the GCRR to mediate its effects (Polack et al., 2005). However, sG suppressed the production of inflammatory cytokines IL-8 and RANTES from human lung epithelial cells, suggesting that sG may have different effects on different cell types in humans (Arnold *et al.*, 2004).

The G protein can also interact with several immune cell types to inhibit or enhance their number and/or function. G binds to the C-type lectins DC-SIGN and L-SIGN on DCs to suppress DC maturation as measured by the markers CD209 and CD163 (Johnson et al., 2012b). DC-SIGN and L-SIGN binding of G also inhibits the production of IFN-a, MIP-1 α , and MIP-1 β from DCs, particularly from plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) (Johnson et al., 2012b). G may also modulate the activity of Tregs, as prophylactic treatment with the anti-G antibody 131-2G slightly increased the frequency of Foxp3⁺ IL-10⁺ CD4 T cells (Boyoglu-Barnum *et al.*, 2014). In contrast, 131-2G treatment decreased the frequency of CD8 T cells following RSV infection, suggesting that G enhances the CD8 T cell response, consistent with previous studies (Bukreyev et al., 2006; Melendi et al., 2011; Jorquera et al., 2013; Boyoglu-Barnum et al., 2014).

RSV G also modulates host immunity by altering the inflammatory cytokine response. G is critical for the induction of the Th2 cytokine bias associated with RSV-induced disease. G exerts the Th2 bias by promoting IL-4- and IL-5-producing Th2 cells and suppressing IFN-y-producing Th1 cells (Hancock et al., 1996; Bembridge et al., 1998; Johnson et al., 1998; Tripp et al., 1999; Harcourt et al., 2006; Boyoglu-Barnum et al., 2014). This correlates to an increase in GATA-3⁺ cells and a decrease in T-bet⁺ cells, the lineagedefining transcription factors for Th2 and Th1 cells, respectively (Boyoglu-Barnum et al., 2014). In DCs, G is also able to suppress type I and type III IFNs through its CX3C motif (Shingai et al., 2008; Chirkova et al., 2013). Additionally, G is able to suppress the induction of ISGs, as ISG15 expression is reduced by G in both mouse and human epithelial cells (Moore et al., 2008; Oshansky et al., 2009).

F

Unlike the NS1, NS2, and G proteins whose interactions are primarily intended to evade host immunity, the majority of the F protein's interactions with the host serve to promote the immune response to RSV infection (Table 1). Immediately following infection, F interacts with PRRs TLR4 and CD14 to induce the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, and TNF- α (Kurt-Jones *et al.*, 2000). TLR4's recognition of F may also promote the trafficking of NK cells and CD14⁺ monocytes to the airways, the cytotoxic function of NK cells, and the expression of IL-12, as TLR4-deficient mice are impaired in these functions compared to mice expressing TLR4 (Haynes et al., 2001). Along these lines, TLR4 polymorphisms in the human genome correlate to an increased propensity to develop severe disease following RSV infection, supporting the hypothesis that the F protein's interaction with TLR4 is critical for inducing the innate immune response (Tal et al., 2004; Puthothu et al., 2006).

Recent work has demonstrated that the F protein signals through TLR4 to induce the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) by human neutrophils (Funchal *et al.*, 2015). Similar to prototypical NETs, the NETs induced by F protein are composed of chromatin fibers and antimicrobiocidal products including neutrophil elastase and myeloperoxidase (Brinkmann *et al.*, 2004; Funchal *et al.*, 2015). NET formation has been proposed as a role for viral control in studies with HIV-1 and poxvirus (Saitoh *et al.*, 2012; Jenne *et al.*, 2013). However, as evidenced by influenza virus, NET formation induced by respiratory pathogens may cause more extensive damage in the respiratory tract and not be beneficial to the host (Narasaraju *et al.*, 2011). *In vivo* studies evaluating NET formation in RSV infection are lacking, however, so whether NETs produced in the respiratory environment following an RSV infection are protective versus pathogenic requires further study.

SH

Although it is dispensable for virus replication, SH has been implicated in interfering with the host immune response in a number of ways (Table 1). First, SH has been shown to prevent the apoptosis of infected cells, as a Δ SH RSV strain accelerated apoptosis of both mouse fibroblast and human lung epithelial cells compared to WT RSV (Fuentes et al., 2007). This prevention of apoptosis was also shown using recombinant parainfluenza virus 5 (PIV5) strains either lacking SH (rPIV5∆SH) or expressing only the RSV SH protein (rPIV5ΔSH-RSV SH). While rPIV5ΔSH induced significantly increased cell death and cytopathic effect (CPE) in infected mouse fibroblasts and bovine epithelial cells, rPIV5∆SH-RSV SH infected cells were protected from cell death and CPE. Additionally, bovine epithelial cells that were coinfected with rPIV5 Δ SH and rPIV5 Δ SH-RSV SH were also protected from cell death and CPE, supporting the notion that RSV SH protein prevents apoptosis of infected cells (Fuentes et al., 2007).

The SH protein also interferes with critical signaling pathways following RSV infection, including the NF-kB pathway and NLRP3 inflammasome activation. Mouse fibroblasts transfected with GFP-tagged RSV SH protein had significantly reduced TNF-α-induced NF-κB promoter activity compared to GFP transfected controls, suggesting that SH inhibits the NF-κB signaling pathway (Fuentes *et al.*, 2007). More recently, SH has been shown to be an important mediator of NLRP3 inflammasome activation (Triantafilou et *al.*, 2013). IL-1 β and caspase 1 production was significantly reduced following infection with a ΔSH RSV strain compared to WT RSV (Triantafilou et al., 2013). Interestingly, RSV-induced IL-1 β production was also reduced in the presence of hexamethylene amiloride, EIPA, or benzamil ion channel inhibitors, suggesting that the ion channel activity of SH is critical for the SH-mediated activation of the inflammasome (Triantafilou et al., 2013).

Ν

While it is most commonly known for its role in protecting the viral RNA, N has recently been reported to localize to the surface of infected cells where it can inhibit T cell activation following RSV infection (Cespedes *et al.*, 2014). Soluble N protein impaired the activation of naïve OT-II transgenic CD4 T cells by OVA-pulsed DCs *in vitro* as measured by a reduced upregulation of surrogate activation marker CD69. Additionally, DCs transfected with lentivirus expressing RSV N protein induced significantly less CD69 upregulation and IL-2 and IFN-y production from OT-II CD4 T cells following coculture (Cespedes et al., 2014). Alternatively, the authors used an *in vitro* supported lipid bilayer system coated with peptide-MHC complexes, ICAM-1, and the RSV N protein to mimic an infected antigen presenting cell surface. Using this system, mature immunological synapse formation between CD4 T cells and the lipid bilayer was significantly reduced compared to controls lacking the N protein, supporting the role for surface N protein in the inhibition of T cell activation (Cespedes et al., 2014). While the mechanism behind the N protein's ability to inhibit T cell activation requires further study, it is likely that N interacts with one or more components of the TCR microcluster complex, such as CD3, CD4, CD28, or the TCR itself, which is present on the surface of the T cell. This hypothesis is supported by the findings that N does not colocalize or move with the peptide-MHC complex on the lipid bilayer. Instead, N colocalizes with the TCR complex on the T cell and can even induce TCR assembly in the absence of peptide-MHC complexes (Cespedes et al., 2014). Future studies identifying which protein N interacts with will be critical for identifying a mechanism mediating the N protein's inhibitory activity. Additionally, whether the N protein also inhibits the activation of CD8 T cells or is specific to CD4 T cells remains unexplored.

Concluding discussion

The RSV NS1, NS2, G, SH, and N proteins are all capable of suppressing the host immune response in a variety of ways. But are these immune interactions with RSV proteins strictly for the benefit of acute virus replication, or do they have longterm implications on the host immune response? In particular, could these interactions ultimately play a role in the poor long-term memory that is generated in RSV-infected individuals? While RSV proteins do not seem to directly suppress the antibody response, these interactions may interfere with the development of long-lived T cell memory. Protective T cell memory may be impacted through the NS1 protein's suppression of lung-resident CD103⁺ CD8 T cells or the reduced trafficking of CD4 and CD8 T cells into the lung through the actions of the G protein's CX3C motif mimicry of CX3CL1. The reduction of CD103⁺ CD8 T cells in the lung during a primary infection indicates that the numbers remaining in the lung upon secondary infection are also likely reduced. As lung-resident memory cells are critical for protection in other respiratory infections, such as influenza virus, it is possible that this early suppression of lung-resident T cells impacts the memory response formed during RSV infection (McMaster et al., 2015). It is also possible that the N protein's interference with the CD4 T cell immunological synapse during primary activation prevents the T cell from being adequately primed to differentiate into a long-lived memory cell. However, N exerted a significantly reduced effect on the activation of antigen-experienced CD4 T cells compared to naïve CD4 T cells, so a direct effect on memory cell reactivation by N in vivo is unlikely (Cespedes et al., 2014). Alternatively, the disruption of the CD4 T cell immunological synapse by the N protein could alter the induction of T follicular helper (T_{FH}) cells, which are critical for the development of long-lived antibody-secreting plasma cells. If T_{FH} induction is altered by the N protein, the production of RSV-specific antibodies would be significantly impacted and could provide an explanation for the lack of a long-lived antibody response generated by RSV infection in humans. Whether these RSV protein interactions with the immune response have an impact on the generation of protective memory T cells or T_{FH} induction are interesting topics for future study.

In contrast, RSV F and G proteins cooperate with the immune response to enhance the inflammatory response following RSV infection. F binds to TLR4 and CD14, which is critical for the activation of the innate immune response and promotes inflammatory cell infiltration. Why would it be beneficial to RSV for F to play such a critical role in the host immune response? The F protein's ability to signal through TLR4 to induce the formation of NETs by neutrophils could provide an explanation. If NETs in the lung following RSV infection serve to exacerbate disease, as suspected for influenza virus infection, it is possible that the primary role of F signaling through TLR4 is to be pathogenic through the formation of NETs, rather than by activating the innate immune response. Further studies on the role of pulmonary NET formation following RSV infection could provide clarity on this knowledge gap. Additionally, the G protein promotes the host inflammatory response by enhancing CD8 T cell responses following RSV infection. However, this raises an interesting question as to how the enhancement of CD8 T cells would benefit the virus. As CD8 T cells are vital for RSV clearance, it remains unclear why G would enhance a cell population that is critical for RSV's eventual demise. However, this property of the G protein to enhance CD8 T cell activity may have the potential to be taken advantage of in future vaccine design. Additionally, interference with the immune suppressive functions of RSV proteins should be considered in the design of an RSV vaccine.

Further studies examining the interactions between individual RSV proteins and the host factors responsible for modulating the immune response are likely to provide valuable information to further our understanding of the underlying mechanisms that contribute to RSV pathogenesis. Such insights should also aid in the development of a future RSV vaccine.

References

- American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Infectious, D. and American Academy of Pediatrics Bronchiolitis Guidelines, C. 2014. Updated guidance for palivizumab prophylaxis among infants and young children at increased risk of hospitalization for respiratory syncytial virus infection. *Pediatrics* 134, 415–420.
- American Academy of Pediatrics Subcommittee on, D. and Management of, B. 2006. Diagnosis and management of bronchiolitis. *Pediatrics* 118, 1774–1793.
- Arnold, R., Konig, B., Werchau, H., and Konig, W. 2004. Respiratory syncytial virus deficient in soluble G protein induced an increased proinflammatory response in human lung epithelial cells. *Virology* 330, 384–397.

Beeler, J.A. and van Wyke Coelingh, K. 1989. Neutralization epitopes

of the F glycoprotein of respiratory syncytial virus: effect of mutation upon fusion function. J. Virol. **63**, 2941–2950.

- Bembridge, G.P., Garcia-Beato, R., Lopez, J.A., Melero, J.A., and Taylor, G. 1998. Subcellular site of expression and route of vaccination influence pulmonary eosinophilia following respiratory syncytial virus challenge in BALB/c mice sensitized to the attachment G protein. J. Immunol. 161, 2473–2480.
- Bermingham, A. and Collins, P.L. 1999. The M2-2 protein of human respiratory syncytial virus is a regulatory factor involved in the balance between RNA replication and transcription. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **96**, 11259–11264.
- Bitko, V., Shulyayeva, O., Mazumder, B., Musiyenko, A., Ramaswamy, M., Look, D.C., and Barik, S. 2007. Nonstructural proteins of respiratory syncytial virus suppress premature apoptosis by an NFkappaB-dependent, interferon-independent mechanism and facilitate virus growth. J. Virol. 81, 1786–1795.
- Blondot, M.L., Dubosclard, V., Fix, J., Lassoued, S., Aumont-Nicaise, M., Bontems, F., Eleouet, J.F., and Sizun, C. 2012. Structure and functional analysis of the RNA- and viral phosphoprotein-binding domain of respiratory syncytial virus M2-1 protein. *PLoS Pathog.* 8, e1002734.
- Bohmwald, K., Espinoza, J.A., Becerra, D., Rivera, K., Lay, M.K., Bueno, S.M., Riedel, C.A., and Kalergis, A.M. 2015. Inflammatory damage on respiratory and nervous systems due to hRSV infection. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 36, 14–21.
- Bolt, G., Pedersen, L.O., and Birkeslund, H.H. 2000. Cleavage of the respiratory syncytial virus fusion protein is required for its surface expression: role of furin. *Virus Res.* **68**, 25–33.
- Borchers, A.T., Chang, C., Gershwin, M.E., and Gershwin, L.J. 2013. Respiratory syncytial virus--a comprehensive review. *Clin. Rev. Allergy Immunol.* **45**, 331–379.
- Boyapalle, S., Wong, T., Garay, J., Teng, M., San Juan-Vergara, H., Mohapatra, S., and Mohapatra, S. 2012. Respiratory syncytial virus NS1 protein colocalizes with mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein MAVS following infection. *PLoS One* 7, e29386.
- Boyoglu-Barnum, S., Chirkova, T., Todd, S.O., Barnum, T.R., Gaston, K.A., Jorquera, P., Haynes, L.M., Tripp, R.A., Moore, M.L., and Anderson, L.J. 2014. Prophylaxis with a respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) anti-G protein monoclonal antibody shifts the adaptive immune response to RSV rA2-line19F infection from Th2 to Th1 in BALB/c mice. J. Virol. 88, 10569–10583.
- Brandenburg, A.H., Groen, J., van Steensel-Moll, H.A., Claas, E.C., Rothbarth, P.H., Neijens, H.J., and Osterhaus, A.D. 1997. Respiratory syncytial virus specific serum antibodies in infants under six months of age: limited serological response upon infection. *J. Med. Virol.* 52, 97–104.
- Brinkmann, V., Reichard, U., Goosmann, C., Fauler, B., Uhlemann, Y., Weiss, D.S., Weinrauch, Y., and Zychlinsky, A. 2004. Neutrophil extracellular traps kill bacteria. *Science* 303, 1532–1535.
- Bukreyev, A., Serra, M.E., Laham, F.R., Melendi, G.A., Kleeberger, S.R., Collins, P.L., and Polack, F.P. 2006. The cysteine-rich region and secreted form of the attachment G glycoprotein of respiratory syncytial virus enhance the cytotoxic T-lymphocyte response despite lacking major histocompatibility complex class I-restricted epitopes. J. Virol. 80, 5854–5861.
- Bukreyev, A., Whitehead, S.S., Bukreyeva, N., Murphy, B.R., and Collins, P.L. 1999. Interferon gamma expressed by a recombinant respiratory syncytial virus attenuates virus replication in mice without compromising immunogenicity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **96**, 2367–2372.
- Bukreyev, A., Whitehead, S.S., Murphy, B.R., and Collins, P.L. 1997. Recombinant respiratory syncytial virus from which the entire SH gene has been deleted grows efficiently in cell culture and exhibits site-specific attenuation in the respiratory tract of the mouse. J. Virol. 71, 8973–8982.
- Bukreyev, A., Yang, L., Fricke, J., Cheng, L., Ward, J.M., Murphy, B.R., and Collins, P.L. 2008. The secreted form of respiratory

168 Schmidt and Varga

syncytial virus G glycoprotein helps the virus evade antibodymediated restriction of replication by acting as an antigen decoy and through effects on Fc receptor-bearing leukocytes. *J. Virol.* **82**, 12191–12204.

- Cannon, M.J., Stott, E.J., Taylor, G., and Askonas, B.A. 1987. Clearance of persistent respiratory syncytial virus infections in immunodeficient mice following transfer of primed T cells. *Immunology* 62, 133–138.
- **Cartee, T.L. and Wertz, G.W.** 2001. Respiratory syncytial virus M2-1 protein requires phosphorylation for efficient function and binds viral RNA during infection. *J. Virol.* **75**, 12188–12197.
- Carter, S.D., Dent, K.C., Atkins, E., Foster, T.L., Verow, M., Gorny, P., Harris, M., Hiscox, J.A., Ranson, N.A., Griffin, S., et al. 2010. Direct visualization of the small hydrophobic protein of human respiratory syncytial virus reveals the structural basis for membrane permeability. FEBS Lett. 584, 2786–2790.
- Castagne, N., Barbier, A., Bernard, J., Rezaei, H., Huet, J.C., Henry, C., Da Costa, B., and Eleouet, J.F. 2004. Biochemical characterization of the respiratory syncytial virus P-P and P-N protein complexes and localization of the P protein oligomerization domain. *J. Gen. Virol.* 85, 1643–1653.
- Cespedes, P.F., Bueno, S.M., Ramirez, B.A., Gomez, R.S., Riquelme, S.A., Palavecino, C.E., Mackern-Oberti, J.P., Mora, J.E., Depoil, D., Sacristan, C., et al. 2014. Surface expression of the hRSV nucleoprotein impairs immunological synapse formation with T cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, E3214–3223.
- Chirkova, T., Boyoglu-Barnum, S., Gaston, K.A., Malik, F.M., Trau, S.P., Oomens, A.G., and Anderson, L.J. 2013. Respiratory syncytial virus G protein CX3C motif impairs human airway epithelial and immune cell responses. *J. Virol.* **87**, 13466–13479.
- **Collins, P.L., Chanock, R.M., and Murphy, B.R.** 2001. Respiratory syncytial virus. *In* Knipe, D.M. and Howley, P.M. (eds.), Fields Virology, pp. 1443–1486. Lippincott, Williams, & Wilkins, Philadelphia, USA.
- **Collins, P.L. and Crowe, J.E.** 2007. Respiratory syncytial virus and metapneumovirus. *In* Knipe, D.M. and Howley, P.M. (eds.), Fields Virology, pp. 1601–1646. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, USA.
- Collins, P.L., Dickens, L.E., Buckler-White, A., Olmsted, R.A., Spriggs, M.K., Camargo, E., and Coelingh, K.V. 1986. Nucleotide sequences for the gene junctions of human respiratory syncytial virus reveal distinctive features of intergenic structure and gene order. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 83, 4594–4598.
- Collins, P.L., Fearns, R., and Graham, B.S. 2013. Respiratory syncytial virus: virology, reverse genetics, and pathogenesis of disease. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* **372**, 3–38.
- **Collins, P.L. and Mottet, G.** 1991. Post-translational processing and oligomerization of the fusion glycoprotein of human respiratory syncytial virus. *J. Gen. Virol.* **72** (**Pt 12**), 3095–3101.
- **Collins, P.L. and Wertz, G.W.** 1983. cDNA cloning and transcriptional mapping of nine polyadenylylated RNAs encoded by the genome of human respiratory syncytial virus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **80**, 3208–3212.
- Costello, H.M., Ray, W.C., Chaiwatpongsakorn, S., and Peeples, M.E. 2012. Targeting RSV with vaccines and small molecule drugs. *Infect. Disord. Drug Targets* **12**, 110–128.
- Day, N.D., Branigan, P.J., Liu, C., Gutshall, L.L., Luo, J., Melero, J.A., Sarisky, R.T., and Del Vecchio, A.M. 2006. Contribution of cysteine residues in the extracellular domain of the F protein of human respiratory syncytial virus to its function. *Virol. J.* **3**, 34.
- Durant, L.R., Makris, S., Voorburg, C.M., Loebbermann, J., Johansson, C., and Openshaw, P.J. 2013. Regulatory T cells prevent Th2 immune responses and pulmonary eosinophilia during respiratory syncytial virus infection in mice. J. Virol. 87, 10946–10954.
- Elliott, J., Lynch, O.T., Suessmuth, Y., Qian, P., Boyd, C.R., Burrows, J.F., Buick, R., Stevenson, N.J., Touzelet, O., Gadina, M., et al. 2007. Respiratory syncytial virus NS1 protein degrades STAT2

by using the Elongin-Cullin E3 ligase. J. Virol. 81, 3428–3436.

- Falsey, A.R., Hennessey, P.A., Formica, M.A., Cox, C., and Walsh, E.E. 2005. Respiratory syncytial virus infection in elderly and high-risk adults. N. Engl. J. Med. 352, 1749–1759.
- Falsey, A.R., Singh, H.K., and Walsh, E.E. 2006. Serum antibody decay in adults following natural respiratory syncytial virus infection. J. Med. Virol. 78, 1493–1497.
- Feltes, T.F., Cabalka, A.K., Meissner, H.C., Piazza, F.M., Carlin, D.A., Top, F.H.Jr., Connor, E.M., Sondheimer, H.M., and Cardiac Synagis Study, G. 2003. Palivizumab prophylaxis reduces hospitalization due to respiratory syncytial virus in young children with hemodynamically significant congenital heart disease. *J. Pediatr.* 143, 532–540.
- Fong, A.M., Robinson, L.A., Steeber, D.A., Tedder, T.F., Yoshie, O., Imai, T., and Patel, D.D. 1998. Fractalkine and CX3CR1 mediate a novel mechanism of leukocyte capture, firm adhesion, and activation under physiologic flow. J. Exp. Med. 188, 1413–1419.
- Fuentes, S., Tran, K.C., Luthra, P., Teng, M.N., and He, B. 2007. Function of the respiratory syncytial virus small hydrophobic protein. J. Virol. 81, 8361–8366.
- Fujisawa, T., Chang, M.M., Velichko, S., Thai, P., Hung, L.Y., Huang, F., Phuong, N., Chen, Y., and Wu, R. 2011. NF-kappaB mediates IL-1beta- and IL-17A-induced MUC5B expression in airway epithelial cells. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 45, 246–252.
- Fulton, R.B., Meyerholz, D.K., and Varga, S.M. 2010. Foxp3+ CD4 regulatory T cells limit pulmonary immunopathology by modulating the CD8 T cell response during respiratory syncytial virus infection. J. Immunol. 185, 2382–2392.
- Funchal, G.A., Jaeger, N., Czepielewski, R.S., Machado, M.S., Muraro, S.P., Stein, R.T., Bonorino, C.B., and Porto, B.N. 2015. Respiratory syncytial virus fusion protein promotes TLR-4-dependent neutrophil extracellular trap formation by human neutrophils. *PLoS One* 10, e0124082.
- Gan, S.W., Tan, E., Lin, X., Yu, D., Wang, J., Tan, G.M., Vararattanavech, A., Yeo, C.Y., Soon, C.H., Soong, T.W., et al. 2012. The small hydrophobic protein of the human respiratory syncytial virus forms pentameric ion channels. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 24671– 24689.
- Glezen, W.P., Taber, L.H., Frank, A.L., and Kasel, J.A. 1986. Risk of primary infection and reinfection with respiratory syncytial virus. *Am. J. Dis. Child.* **140**, 543–546.
- Graham, B.S., Bunton, L.A., Wright, P.F., and Karzon, D.T. 1991. Role of T lymphocyte subsets in the pathogenesis of primary infection and rechallenge with respiratory syncytial virus in mice. *J. Clin. Invest.* 88, 1026–1033.
- Grosfeld, H., Hill, M.G., and Collins, P.L. 1995. RNA replication by respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is directed by the N, P, and L proteins; transcription also occurs under these conditions but requires RSV superinfection for efficient synthesis of full-length mRNA. J. Virol. 69, 5677–5686.
- Gruber, C. and Levine, S. 1983. Respiratory syncytial virus polypeptides. III. The envelope-associated proteins. J. Gen. Virol. 64 (Pt 4), 825–832.
- Hall, C.B., Long, C.E., and Schnabel, K.C. 2001. Respiratory syncytial virus infections in previously healthy working adults. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 33, 792–796.
- Hancock, G.E., Speelman, D.J., Heers, K., Bortell, E., Smith, J., and Cosco, C. 1996. Generation of atypical pulmonary inflammatory responses in BALB/c mice after immunization with the native attachment (G) glycoprotein of respiratory syncytial virus. *J. Virol.* **70**, 7783–7791.
- Harcourt, J., Alvarez, R., Jones, L.P., Henderson, C., Anderson, L.J., and Tripp, R.A. 2006. Respiratory syncytial virus G protein and G protein CX3C motif adversely affect CX3CR1+ T cell responses. *J. Immunol.* **176**, 1600–1608.
- Haynes, L.M., Jones, L.P., Barskey, A., Anderson, L.J., and Tripp, R.A. 2003. Enhanced disease and pulmonary eosinophilia asso-

ciated with formalin-inactivated respiratory syncytial virus vaccination are linked to G glycoprotein CX3C-CX3CR1 interaction and expression of substance P. *J. Virol.* **77**, 9831–9844.

- Haynes, L.M., Moore, D.D., Kurt-Jones, E.A., Finberg, R.W., Anderson, L.J., and Tripp, R.A. 2001. Involvement of toll-like receptor 4 in innate immunity to respiratory syncytial virus. *J. Virol.* 75, 10730–10737.
- Heminway, B.R., Yu, Y., Tanaka, Y., Perrine, K.G., Gustafson, E., Bernstein, J.M., and Galinski, M.S. 1994. Analysis of respiratory syncytial virus F, G, and SH proteins in cell fusion. *Virology* 200, 801–805.
- Hendricks, D.A., McIntosh, K., and Patterson, J.L. 1988. Further characterization of the soluble form of the G glycoprotein of respiratory syncytial virus. J. Virol. 62, 2228–2233.
- Hoffmann, H.H., Schneider, W.M., and Rice, C.M. 2015. Interferons and viruses: an evolutionary arms race of molecular interactions. *Trends Immunol.* **36**, 124–138.
- Jansen, A.G., Sanders, E.A., Hoes, A.W., van Loon, A.M., and Hak, E. 2007. Influenza- and respiratory syncytial virus-associated mortality and hospitalisations. *Eur. Respir. J.* 30, 1158–1166.
- Jenne, C.N., Wong, C.H., Zemp, F.J., McDonald, B., Rahman, M.M., Forsyth, P.A., McFadden, G., and Kubes, P. 2013. Neutrophils recruited to sites of infection protect from virus challenge by releasing neutrophil extracellular traps. *Cell Host Microbe* 13, 169–180.
- Jin, H., Cheng, X., Traina-Dorge, V.L., Park, H.J., Zhou, H., Soike, K., and Kemble, G. 2003. Evaluation of recombinant respiratory syncytial virus gene deletion mutants in African green monkeys for their potential as live attenuated vaccine candidates. *Vaccine* 21, 3647–3652.
- Jin, H., Zhou, H., Cheng, X., Tang, R., Munoz, M., and Nguyen, N. 2000. Recombinant respiratory syncytial viruses with deletions in the NS1, NS2, SH, and M2-2 genes are attenuated *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Virology 273, 210–218.
- Johnson, C.H., Miao, C., Blanchard, E.G., Caidi, H., Radu, G.U., Harcourt, J.L., and Haynes, L.M. 2012a. Effect of chemokine receptor CX3CR1 deficiency in a murine model of respiratory syncytial virus infection. *Comp. Med.* 62, 14–20.
- Johnson, P.R., Spriggs, M.K., Olmsted, R.A., and Collins, P.L. 1987. The G glycoprotein of human respiratory syncytial viruses of subgroups A and B: extensive sequence divergence between antigenically related proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 84, 5625– 5629.
- Johnson, T.R., Johnson, J.E., Roberts, S.R., Wertz, G.W., Parker, R.A., and Graham, B.S. 1998. Priming with secreted glycoprotein G of respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) augments interleukin-5 production and tissue eosinophilia after RSV challenge. J. Virol. 72, 2871–2880.
- Johnson, T.R., McLellan, J.S., and Graham, B.S. 2012b. Respiratory syncytial virus glycoprotein G interacts with DC-SIGN and L-SIGN to activate ERK1 and ERK2. *J. Virol.* **86**, 1339–1347.
- Jorquera, P.A., Choi, Y., Oakley, K.E., Powell, T.J., Boyd, J.G., Palath, N., Haynes, L.M., Anderson, L.J., and Tripp, R.A. 2013. Nanoparticle vaccines encompassing the respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) G protein CX3C chemokine motif induce robust immunity protecting from challenge and disease. *PLoS One* 8, e74905.
- Karron, R.A., Buonagurio, D.A., Georgiu, A.F., Whitehead, S.S., Adamus, J.E., Clements-Mann, M.L., Harris, D.O., Randolph, V.B., Udem, S.A., Murphy, B.R., *et al.* 1997. Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) SH and G proteins are not essential for viral replication *in vitro*: clinical evaluation and molecular characterization of a cold-passaged, attenuated RSV subgroup B mutant. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **94**, 13961–13966.
- Kotelkin, A., Belyakov, I.M., Yang, L., Berzofsky, J.A., Collins, P.L., and Bukreyev, A. 2006. The NS2 protein of human respiratory syncytial virus suppresses the cytotoxic T-cell response as a consequence of suppressing the type I interferon response. J. Virol.

80, 5958-5967.

- Kotenko, S.V., Gallagher, G., Baurin, V.V., Lewis-Antes, A., Shen, M., Shah, N.K., Langer, J.A., Sheikh, F., Dickensheets, H., and Donnelly, R.P. 2003. IFN-lambdas mediate antiviral protection through a distinct class II cytokine receptor complex. *Nat. Immunol.* 4, 69–77.
- Kurt-Jones, E.A., Popova, L., Kwinn, L., Haynes, L.M., Jones, L.P., Tripp, R.A., Walsh, E.E., Freeman, M.W., Golenbock, D.T., Anderson, L.J., et al. 2000. Pattern recognition receptors TLR4 and CD14 mediate response to respiratory syncytial virus. *Nat. Immunol.* 1, 398–401.
- Lee, D.C., Harker, J.A., Tregoning, J.S., Atabani, S.F., Johansson, C., Schwarze, J., and Openshaw, P.J. 2010. CD25+ natural regulatory T cells are critical in limiting innate and adaptive immunity and resolving disease following respiratory syncytial virus infection. *J. Virol.* **84**, 8790–8798.
- Ling, Z., Tran, K.C., and Teng, M.N. 2009. Human respiratory syncytial virus nonstructural protein NS2 antagonizes the activation of beta interferon transcription by interacting with RIG-I. *J. Virol.* 83, 3734–3742.
- Lo, M.S., Brazas, R.M., and Holtzman, M.J. 2005. Respiratory syncytial virus nonstructural proteins NS1 and NS2 mediate inhibition of Stat2 expression and alpha/beta interferon responsiveness. J. Virol. 79, 9315–9319.
- Lukacs, N.W., Moore, M.L., Rudd, B.D., Berlin, A.A., Collins, R.D., Olson, S.J., Ho, S.B., and Peebles, R.S.Jr. 2006. Differential immune responses and pulmonary pathophysiology are induced by two different strains of respiratory syncytial virus. *Am. J. Pathol.* 169, 977–986.
- McLellan, J.S., Ray, W.C., and Peeples, M.E. 2013. Structure and function of respiratory syncytial virus surface glycoproteins. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* **372**, 83–104.
- McMaster, S.R., Wilson, J.J., Wang, H., and Kohlmeier, J.E. 2015. Airway-resident memory CD8 T cells provide antigen-specific protection against respiratory virus challenge through rapid IFNgamma production. J. Immunol. 195, 203–209.
- McNamara, P.S., Flanagan, B.F., Hart, C.A., and Smyth, R.L. 2005. Production of chemokines in the lungs of infants with severe respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis. *J. Infect. Dis.* **191**, 1225– 1232.
- Melendi, G.A., Bridget, D., Monsalvo, A.C., Laham, F.F., Acosta, P., Delgado, M.F., Polack, F.P., and Irusta, P.M. 2011. Conserved cysteine residues within the attachment G glycoprotein of respiratory syncytial virus play a critical role in the enhancement of cytotoxic T-lymphocyte responses. *Virus Genes* **42**, 46–54.
- Mitra, R., Baviskar, P., Duncan-Decocq, R.R., Patel, D., and Oomens, A.G. 2012. The human respiratory syncytial virus matrix protein is required for maturation of viral filaments. *J. Virol.* 86, 4432–4443.
- Moore, E.C., Barber, J., and Tripp, R.A. 2008. Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) attachment and nonstructural proteins modify the type I interferon response associated with suppressor of cytokine signaling (SOCS) proteins and IFN-stimulated gene-15 (ISG15). *Virol. J.* 5, 116.
- Mukherjee, S., Lindell, D.M., Berlin, A.A., Morris, S.B., Shanley, T.P., Hershenson, M.B., and Lukacs, N.W. 2011. IL-17-induced pulmonary pathogenesis during respiratory viral infection and exacerbation of allergic disease. *Am. J. Pathol.* **179**, 248–258.
- Munir, S., Hillyer, P., Le Nouen, C., Buchholz, U.J., Rabin, R.L., Collins, P.L., and Bukreyev, A. 2011. Respiratory syncytial virus interferon antagonist NS1 protein suppresses and skews the human T lymphocyte response. *PLoS Pathog.* 7, e1001336.
- Munir, S., Le Nouen, C., Luongo, C., Buchholz, U.J., Collins, P.L., and Bukreyev, A. 2008. Nonstructural proteins 1 and 2 of respiratory syncytial virus suppress maturation of human dendritic cells. J. Virol. 82, 8780–8796.
- Murphy, B.R., Graham, B.S., Prince, G.A., Walsh, E.E., Chanock,

170 Schmidt and Varga

R.M., Karzon, D.T., and Wright, P.F. 1986. Serum and nasal-wash immunoglobulin G and A antibody response of infants and children to respiratory syncytial virus F and G glycoproteins following primary infection. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **23**, 1009–1014.

- Nair, H., Nokes, D.J., Gessner, B.D., Dherani, M., Madhi, S.A., Singleton, R.J., O'Brien, K.L., Roca, A., Wright, P.F., Bruce, N., et al. 2010. Global burden of acute lower respiratory infections due to respiratory syncytial virus in young children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 375, 1545–1555.
- Narasaraju, T., Yang, E., Samy, R.P., Ng, H.H., Poh, W.P., Liew, A.A., Phoon, M.C., van Rooijen, N., and Chow, V.T. 2011. Excessive neutrophils and neutrophil extracellular traps contribute to acute lung injury of influenza pneumonitis. *Am. J. Pathol.* **179**, 199–210.
- Oshansky, C.M., Krunkosky, T.M., Barber, J., Jones, L.P., and Tripp, R.A. 2009. Respiratory syncytial virus proteins modulate suppressors of cytokine signaling 1 and 3 and the type I interferon response to infection by a toll-like receptor pathway. *Viral. Immunol.* **22**, 147–161.
- Polack, F.P., Irusta, P.M., Hoffman, S.J., Schiatti, M.P., Melendi, G.A., Delgado, M.F., Laham, F.R., Thumar, B., Hendry, R.M., Melero, J.A., et al. 2005. The cysteine-rich region of respiratory syncytial virus attachment protein inhibits innate immunity elicited by the virus and endotoxin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102, 8996– 9001.
- Puthothu, B., Forster, J., Heinzmann, A., and Krueger, M. 2006. TLR-4 and CD14 polymorphisms in respiratory syncytial virus associated disease. *Dis. Markers* 22, 303–308.
- Ramaswamy, M., Shi, L., Varga, S.M., Barik, S., Behlke, M.A., and Look, D.C. 2006. Respiratory syncytial virus nonstructural protein 2 specifically inhibits type I interferon signal transduction. *Virology* 344, 328–339.
- Ren, J., Liu, T., Pang, L., Li, K., Garofalo, R.P., Casola, A., and Bao, X. 2011. A novel mechanism for the inhibition of interferon regulatory factor-3-dependent gene expression by human respiratory syncytial virus NS1 protein. J. Gen. Virol. 92, 2153–2159.
- Rixon, H.W., Brown, G., Aitken, J., McDonald, T., Graham, S., and Sugrue, R.J. 2004. The small hydrophobic (SH) protein accumulates within lipid-raft structures of the Golgi complex during respiratory syncytial virus infection. J. Gen. Virol. 85, 1153–1165.
- **Roberts, S.R., Lichtenstein, D., Ball, L.A., and Wertz, G.W.** 1994. The membrane-associated and secreted forms of the respiratory syncytial virus attachment glycoprotein G are synthesized from alternative initiation codons. *J. Virol.* **68**, 4538–4546.
- Rueda, P., Garcia-Barreno, B., and Melero, J.A. 1994. Loss of conserved cysteine residues in the attachment (G) glycoprotein of two human respiratory syncytial virus escape mutants that contain multiple A-G substitutions (hypermutations). *Virology* 198, 653–662.
- Saitoh, T., Komano, J., Saitoh, Y., Misawa, T., Takahama, M., Kozaki, T., Uehata, T., Iwasaki, H., Omori, H., Yamaoka, S., et al. 2012. Neutrophil extracellular traps mediate a host defense response to human immunodeficiency virus-1. Cell Host Microbe 12, 109– 116.
- Sen, G.C. and Sarkar, S.N. 2007. The interferon-stimulated genes: targets of direct signaling by interferons, double-stranded RNA, and viruses. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* **316**, 233–250.
- Shingai, M., Azuma, M., Ebihara, T., Sasai, M., Funami, K., Ayata, M., Ogura, H., Tsutsumi, H., Matsumoto, M., and Seya, T. 2008. Soluble G protein of respiratory syncytial virus inhibits Toll-like receptor 3/4-mediated IFN-beta induction. *Int. Immunol.* 20, 1169–1180.
- Spann, K.M., Tran, K.C., Chi, B., Rabin, R.L., and Collins, P.L. 2004. Suppression of the induction of alpha, beta, and lambda interferons by the NS1 and NS2 proteins of human respiratory syncytial virus in human epithelial cells and macrophages [corrected]. *J. Virol.* 78, 4363–4369.

Spann, K.M., Tran, K.C., and Collins, P.L. 2005. Effects of nons-

tructural proteins NS1 and NS2 of human respiratory syncytial virus on interferon regulatory factor 3, NF-kappaB, and proin-flammatory cytokines. *J. Virol.* **79**, 5353–5362.

- Suhara, W., Yoneyama, M., Kitabayashi, I., and Fujita, T. 2002. Direct involvement of CREB-binding protein/p300 in sequence-specific DNA binding of virus-activated interferon regulatory factor-3 holocomplex. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 22304–22313.
- Swedan, S., Andrews, J., Majumdar, T., Musiyenko, A., and Barik, S. 2011. Multiple functional domains and complexes of the two nonstructural proteins of human respiratory syncytial virus contribute to interferon suppression and cellular location. *J. Virol.* 85, 10090–10100.
- Swedan, S., Musiyenko, A., and Barik, S. 2009. Respiratory syncytial virus nonstructural proteins decrease levels of multiple members of the cellular interferon pathways. J. Virol. 83, 9682–9693.
- Tal, G., Mandelberg, A., Dalal, I., Cesar, K., Somekh, E., Tal, A., Oron, A., Itskovich, S., Ballin, A., Houri, S., et al. 2004. Association between common Toll-like receptor 4 mutations and severe respiratory syncytial virus disease. J. Infect. Dis. 189, 2057– 2063.
- Tawar, R.G., Duquerroy, S., Vonrhein, C., Varela, P.F., Damier-Piolle, L., Castagne, N., MacLellan, K., Bedouelle, H., Bricogne, G., Bhella, D., et al. 2009. Crystal structure of a nucleocapsid-like nucleoprotein-RNA complex of respiratory syncytial virus. Science 326, 1279–1283.
- Teng, M.N., Whitehead, S.S., Bermingham, A., St Claire, M., Elkins, W.R., Murphy, B.R., and Collins, P.L. 2000. Recombinant respiratory syncytial virus that does not express the NS1 or M2-2 protein is highly attenuated and immunogenic in chimpanzees. J. Virol. 74, 9317–9321.
- Teng, M.N., Whitehead, S.S., and Collins, P.L. 2001. Contribution of the respiratory syncytial virus G glycoprotein and its secreted and membrane-bound forms to virus replication *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Virology 289, 283–296.
- Triantafilou, K., Kar, S., Vakakis, E., Kotecha, S., and Triantafilou, M. 2013. Human respiratory syncytial virus viroporin SH: a viral recognition pathway used by the host to signal inflammasome activation. *Thorax* 68, 66–75.
- Tripp, R.A., Jones, L.P., Haynes, L.M., Zheng, H., Murphy, P.M., and Anderson, L.J. 2001. CX3C chemokine mimicry by respiratory syncytial virus G glycoprotein. *Nat. Immunol.* 2, 732–738.
- Tripp, R.A., Moore, D., Jones, L., Sullender, W., Winter, J., and Anderson, L.J. 1999. Respiratory syncytial virus G and/or SH protein alters Th1 cytokines, natural killer cells, and neutrophils responding to pulmonary infection in BALB/c mice. J. Virol. 73, 7099–7107.
- Vareille, M., Kieninger, E., Edwards, M.R., and Regamey, N. 2011. The airway epithelium: soldier in the fight against respiratory viruses. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 24, 210–229.
- Varga, S.M. and Braciale, T.J. 2013. The adaptive immune response to respiratory syncytial virus. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* 372, 155–171.
- Ventre, K. and Randolph, A.G. 2007. Ribavirin for respiratory syncytial virus infection of the lower respiratory tract in infants and young children. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* CD000181.
- Whelan, J.N., Tran, K.C., van Rossum, D.B., and Teng, M.N. 2016. Identification of respiratory syncytial virus nonstructural protein 2 residues essential for exploitation of the host ubiquitin system and inhibition of innate immune responses. J. Virol. 90, 6453–6463.
- Whitehead, S.S., Bukreyev, A., Teng, M.N., Firestone, C.Y., St Claire, M., Elkins, W.R., Collins, P.L., and Murphy, B.R. 1999. Recombinant respiratory syncytial virus bearing a deletion of either the NS2 or SH gene is attenuated in chimpanzees. J. Virol. 73, 3438– 3442.
- Yoon, J.S., Kim, H.H., Lee, Y., and Lee, J.S. 2007. Cytokine induction by respiratory syncytial virus and adenovirus in bronchial

epithelial cells. Pediatr. Pulmonol. 42, 277-282.

- Zeng, R., Cui, Y., Hai, Y., and Liu, Y. 2012. Pattern recognition receptors for respiratory syncytial virus infection and design of vaccines. *Virus Res.* 167, 138–145.
- Zhang, G., Zhou, K.F., and Lu, Z.H. 2016. Interleukin-17 enhances the removal of respiratory syncytial virus in mice by promoting neutrophil migration and reducing interferon-gamma expression. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 15, gmr7002.