

Oxygen defects boost polysulfides immobilization and catalytic conversion: First-principles computational characterization and experimental design

Qiu He 1 , Bin Yu 1 , Huan Wang 1 , Masud Rana 2 , Xiaobin Liao 1 , and Yan Zhao 1,3 (\boxtimes)

- *1 State Key Laboratory of Silicate Materials for Architectures, International School of Materials Science and Engineering, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan 430070, China*
- ² Materials Engineering, School of Mechanical and Mining Engineering, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, QLD 4072, Australia
³ The Institute of Technological Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China

 The Institute of Technological Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China

© Tsinghua University Press and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020 **Received:** 19 December 2019/ **Revised:** 16 April 2020 / **Accepted:** 2 May 2020

ABSTRACT

Although some experiments have shown that point defects in a cathode host material may enhance its performance for lithium-sulfur battery (LSB), the enhancement mechanism needs to be well investigated for the design of desired sulfur host. Herein, the first principle density functional theory (DFT) is adopted to investigate a high-performance sulfur host material based on oxygen-defective TiO₂ (D-TiO2). The adsorption energy comparisons and Gibbs free energy analyses verify that D-TiO2 has relatively better performances than defect-free TiO₂ in terms of anchoring effect and catalytic conversion of polysulfides. Meanwhile, D-TiO₂ is capable of absorbing the most soluble and diffusive long-chain polysulfides. The newly designed D-TiO₂ composited with three-dimensional graphene aerogel (D-TiO₂@Gr) has been shown to be an excellent sulfur host, maintaining a specific discharge capacity of 1,049.3 mAh·g⁻¹ after 100 cycles at 1C with a sulfur loading of 3.2 mg·cm⁻². Even with the sulfur mass loading increasing to 13.7 mg·cm⁻², an impressive stable cycling is obtained with an initial areal capacity of 14.6 mAh·cm⁻², confirming the effective enhancement of electrochemical performance by the oxygen defects. The DFT calculations shed lights on the enhancement mechanism of the oxygen defects and provide some guidance for designing advanced sulfur host materials.

KEYWORDS

oxygen defects, catalytic conversion, lithium-sulfur battery, density functional theory

1 Introduction

Lithium-sulfur battery (LSB) is emerging as one of the next-generation high-performance energy storage device, owing to its high theoretical capacity (1,675 mAh·g−1) and the abundant low-toxicity sulfur resources [1–5]. However, the industrial applications of LSB still need extensive research and developments to overcome its intrinsic limitations, including the low electronic/ionic conductivity of Li2S/S (electronic resistivity > 10^{14} ohm·cm; Li⁺ diffusivity $\approx 10^{-13}/5 \times 10^{-30}$ S·cm⁻¹), the large volume change of the cathode, the dissolution/diffusion of lithium polysulfides (so called "shuttle effect"), the sluggish reaction kinetics, and the low reaction potential $($ \sim 2.15 V) [6–8]. In general, conductive and porous host materials, such as porous carbonaceous materials, can serve as electron transfer network, and provide the buffer space for volume expansion [9, 10]. However, the intrinsic non-polar carbon has limited anchoring effects (0.1–0.7 eV) to polysulfides and can hardly accelerate the reaction kinetics [11]. The best solution for the LSB cathode is to find suitable host materials with both powerful immobilization and catalytic conversion capabilities of polysulfides, which is one of the main requirements for LSB [12–15]. Moreover, owing to the low reaction potential of LSB, it is necessary to have a high mass

loading of active sulfur to achieve a high areal capacity. In order to compete with commercial lithium ion battery (LIB), the areal capacity of LSB should at least be 4 mAh·cm−2 [16, 17]. This areal capacity is proportionally dependent on the sulfur loading in LSB. However, a high sulfur loading results in a thick electrode, leading to the deterioration of conductivity, mechanical property, and capacity retention. Therefore, the design of promising host materials with high areal capacity remains to be a tough challenge.

As mentioned above, a high-performance host material for LSB cathode should have both polysulfides trapping and catalytic conversion capabilities. For this purpose, many research groups have investigated the potential applications of polar compounds as the sulfur host materials, such as transitional metal oxides/nitrides/sulfides/borides/carbides/phosphides [18–27]. In general, it is difficult for a single compound to possess those two capabilities simultaneously, and several research groups have made progresses by combining different materials to form a functional heterojunction structure. Yang's group [28] have constructed twin-born TiO₂-TiN heterostructure separator for LSB, in which $TiO₂$ is for confining polysulfides and TiN is for accelerating polysulfides conversion. The synergistic effect is highly efficient for both polysulfides confinement and catalytic conversion. Recently, a similar

structure of VO_2 -VN was reported [29], and it was shown to be a successful sulfur host for LSB, demonstrating the effectiveness of the heterostructure approach. However, the fabrication of a heterostructure is a complicated process and it sometimes compromises the capability of each component. Accordingly, it is interesting to see if we can use defect engineering to design a single compound with both the polysulfides trapping and catalytic conversion capabilities. Defects engineering is widely used to design functional materials with active sites of enriched electrons. Moreover, nonmetallic atomic defects can enhance the conductivity of the host materials, and many of the defects-engineered materials were reported to be superior to their defect-free counterparts in confining polysulfides and accelerating polysulfides conversion [30–33]. However, the atomic level interactions of defects with polysulfides and the catalytic conversion processes are hard to be characterized even with high-resolution testing technology, while they are of great significance for applications [34]. Furthermore, most of the previous studies employed a large portions of metal compounds, which results in undesirable low sulfur mass loading (< 2 mg⋅cm⁻²), hindering the practical application of LSB [35].

To comprehensively illustrate the defects function mechanisms of host materials for sulfur cathode in atomic level, herein, we chose the defect-engineered $TiO₂$ (D-TiO₂) as an object to explore the effects of oxygen defects on polysulfides adsorption and catalytic conversion by means of density functional theory (DFT) calculations. Our calculations show that $D-TiO₂$ has distinctively higher polysulfide adsorption energies than the defect-free $TiO₂$, especially for the most soluble and diffusive long-chain polysulfides ($Li₂S₆$, $Li₂S₈$). Meanwhile, DFT Gibbs free energy analyses confirm that the polysulfides conversion is thermodynamically more favorable on the $D-TiO₂$ surface than on the defect-free $TiO₂$ surface. Therefore, the oxygen defects in $TiO₂$ are extremely beneficial in terms of anchoring effect and catalytic conversion of polysulfides. Based on our DFT calculations, we designed a sulfur host by integrating the oxygen-defective TiO₂ nanosheets with three-dimensional (3D) graphene (D-TiO₂@Gr). The highly conductive and porous 3D Gr provide a rapid electron transport network, and abundant space for sulfur loading as well as the volume buffering during cycling. The assembled LSB achieves high capacity retention, good rate capability, and especially high sulfur mass loading. The capacities of 1,049.3 mAh·g⁻¹ after 100 cycles at 1C and 582.4 mAh·g−1 after 450 cycles at 2C are delivered, corresponding to the decay rate of only 0.094% and 0.069% per cycle, respectively. When tested with much higher sulfur loading of 13.7 mg·cm⁻², D-TiO₂@Gr delivers an impressively high areal capacity of 10.9 mAh·cm−2 at a current density of 4.6 mA·cm−2 after 50 cycles. The overall improvement of electrochemical performance promotes the practical application of LSB and the strategy of defect-engineering provides an alternative way of functionalizing materials for the LSB system.

2 Methodology and experimental section

2.1 Synthesis of defective TiO2@Gr

The 3D ascorbic acid reduced graphene oxide was fabricated following the experimental process in a previous paper [36], and the $TiO₂$ nanosheets were synthesized by a sol-gel method followed by a hydrothermal process [37]. The condensed Gr suspension was diluted to 1 M (suspension A). Subsequently, 1.5 mg of $TiO₂$ nanosheets powders were homogeneously dispersed into suspension A, followed by a hydrothermal process at 95 °C for \sim 2 h. The resultant defect-free TiO₂@Gr aerogel was washed by DI water for three times. The aerogel was freeze-dried for 48 h, then dried in oven at 70 °C for 8 h. The defect-free TiO₂@Gr aerogel was finally annealed at 500 °C in Ar/H₂ (95%:5%, v/v) flow gas for 2 h to obtain D-TiO₂@Gr aerogel. The pure $TiO₂$ powders and pure 3D Gr aerogel were treated with the same annealing process as D-TiO₂@Gr, and they were used as the control samples.

2.2 Preparation of Li2S6 electrolyte

The $Li₂S₆$ electrolyte was synthesized by resolving the sulfur and Li2S powders with a molar ratio of 5:1 into liquid mixture of 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME, 99.5%) and 1,3-dioxolane (DOL, 99.5%) (1:1, v/v) with 1 M lithium bis (trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide (LiTFSI), following by vigorously stirring on a homothermal magnetic stirrer at \sim 70 °C for 48 h.

2.3 Structural characterizations

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) characterization was carried out using an X-ray diffractometer with a non-monochromated Cu K*α* X-ray source (Bruker D8 Advance). The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were collected by electric microscopes (JEOL JSM-7100F and Titan G2 60-300). The energy-dispersive X-ray spectra (EDS) were recorded using an Oxford IE250 system. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high resolution TEM (HRTEM) images were recorded with a Titan G2 60-300 instrument with EDS image corrector. The thermogravimetric (TG) curves were obtained from a thermal analyzer (Netzsch STA 449C), and the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were conducted using a VG MultiLab 2000 instrument. The electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) measurement was conducted with a Bruker EMX Plus spectrometer using an X band (9.78 GHz) at room temperature. Scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) and electron energy loss microscopy (EELS) were operated on a FEI Titan microscope with a CEOS probe aberration-corrector operated at 200 keV.

2.4 LSB cell assembly

The stainless-steel coin cells (CR2025-type) were assembled in a glovebox filled with argon. The electrolyte was 1.0 M LiTFSI dissolved in DOL and DME (1:1, v/v) with 0.1 M lithium nitrate (LiNO₃, 99.9%) as the additive. Li₂S₆ electrolyte was dropped into self-supported sulfur hosts as the sulfur source. The sulfur mass loading is controlled by the amount of $Li₂S₆$ electrolyte added to the D-TiO₂@Gr host material. In normal tests, the total amount of electrolyte added to each battery was 25 μL. In the tests of LSBs with high sulfur loadings of 6.8, 10.2, and 13.7 mg·cm−2, the contents of electrolyte were 35, 45, and 55 μL, corresponding to the sulfur contents of 75.4%, 82.2%, and 86%, respectively. A commercial Celgard polypropylene membrane was employed as the separator. The as-synthesized D-TiO2@Gr aerogel was directly used as the self-supported sulfur host.

2.5 Electrochemical tests

The galvanostatic charge-discharge, cyclic, and rate performance tests were carried out with a battery testing system (LAND CT2001A). The cyclic voltammetry (CV), open-circuit curves (OCV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) were performed with the CHI 600e electrochemical workstation and Autolab PGSTAT 302N.

2.6 First-principles calculation

All the first-principles DFT calculations have been performed

with the Vienna *ab-initio* simulation package (VASP) [38], using the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange-correlation functional [39] and the projector augmented wave (PAW) method [40]. The plane wave cutoff energy was set to be 550 eV, the electronic self-consistent convergence is 1×10^{-5} eV/atom and the force threshold for the geometry optimization is 0.02 eV \cdot Å⁻¹. For the surface related calculations, a 2 × 2 × 1 Gamma centered k mesh was employed, and the van der Waals (vdW) force was taken into consideration based on Grimme's dispersion correction method version 3 (DFT-D3) [41]. DFT-D3, as the last major version of DFT-D method, is close to the limit of "nonelectronic" approach for computing the dispersion energy, and it can be coupled with any standard density functional and applied to any element and most systems. For the transitional state search calculations, the k mesh was set as $2 \times 2 \times 2$ and the climbing-image nudged elastic band (CINEB) method was used [42].

The most stable surface of anatase $TiO₂$ (101) was determined by the surface energy calculations, and different configurations of Li₂S adsorbed on TiO₂ (101) were tested to explore the interactions of $Li₂S$ and $TiO₂$ surface and to determine the most attractive site on $TiO₂$ surface. Based on the exploration, the configurations of other polysulfides/S₈ adsorbed on $TiO₂$ were constructed to obtain the adsorption energy.

The specific surface energy (γ _s), an oxygen defect formation energy (E_d) and adsorption energy (E_a) were calculated by the following equations

$$
\gamma_{s} = \frac{1}{2A} \left(E(\text{Ti}_{x} \text{O}_{y}) - xE(\text{TiO}_{2}) - (\frac{1}{2}y - x)E(\text{O}_{2}) \right) \tag{1}
$$

$$
E_{d} = E(\text{Ti}_{x} \text{O}_{y-1}) + \frac{1}{2} E(\text{O}_{2}) - E(\text{Ti}_{x} \text{O}_{y})
$$
 (2)

$$
E_a = E(TiO_2 + Li_2S_x) - E(TiO_2) - E(Li_2S_x)
$$
 (3)

In Eq. (1), *A* is the cross-sectional area of the slab. The elementary reactions for the reduction of S₈ to Li₂S were listed below. The star "*" refers to the state of being absorbed, whereas the isolated state does not have a star mark. For Gibbs free energy calculations, the energy of $(L⁺ + e⁻)$ was calculated as the energy of a Li atom in the Li metal.

$$
S_8 + 16Li^+ \to S_8^* + 16Li^+ \tag{4}
$$

$$
S_8^* + 16Li^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow Li_2S_8^* + 14Li^+ \tag{5}
$$

$$
\text{Li}_2\text{S}_8^* + 14\text{Li}^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{S}_6^* + \text{Li}_2\text{S}_2 + 12\text{Li}^+ \tag{6}
$$

$$
Li_2S_6^* + Li_2S_2 + 12Li^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow Li_2S_4^* + 2Li_2S_2 + 10Li^+ \tag{7}
$$

$$
\text{Li}_2\text{S}_4^* + 2\text{Li}_2\text{S}_2 + 10\text{Li}^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{S}_2^* + 3\text{Li}_2\text{S}_2 + 8\text{Li}^+ \qquad (8)
$$

 $\text{Li}_2\text{S}_2^* + 3\text{Li}_2\text{S}_2 + 8\text{Li}^+ + 8e^- \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{S}^* + 7\text{Li}_2\text{S}$ (9)

3 Results and discussions

3.1 First-principles computational characterization of D-TiO2

In order to explore the effects of oxygen defects for the enhancement of polysulfides immobilization and catalytic conversion, we employed DFT to simulate the polysulfides adsorption kinetics and catalytic conversion process on the anatase $TiO₂$ surface. The surface energies of most commonly studied TiO₂ surfaces were calculated, including (001), (100), (110), (111) and (101) surfaces, as shown in Fig. S1 in the Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM). The surface with the lowest surface energy ((101), 0.2 J·m⁻², Fig. 1(a)) is the most stable surface and it was employed in the subsequent adsorption energy and Gibbs free energy calculations. Before calculating the adsorption energies of $Li₂S_x$ ($x = 1, 2, 4, 6, 8$)/S₈ with TiO₂, the preferential adsorption sites on $TiO₂$ (101) plane were

Figures 1 (a) and (b) The configuration of most stable TiO₂ (101) surface and its top layer. (The defect formation energies of oxygen at different sites are labelled aside and the unit is eV). (c) The fully optimized adsorption configurations of Li_{2Sx}/S₈ on D-TiO₂ (101) lattice plane with 3D differential charge contour surfaces (The iso-surface value is 0.001 e·Bohr⁻³, and the purple surfaces refer to the electronic loss and the blue to the electronic increase; the adsorption energies are labelled below). (d) and (e) The adsorption configurations form top view and side view with partial Ti, O atoms being hided. (f) The adsorption energy plots calculated with and without vdW interaction. (g) The free energy plots of Li2S*x*/S8 conversion reactions considered vdW interactions in isolated state, on TiO₂ (101) surface and on D-TiO₂ (101) surface, respectively. (h) The energy barrier plots of Li transport in frozen and relaxed TiO₂ bulk along the migration path shown by the insert.

tested with Li₂S. Six initial positions of Li₂S on the $TiO₂$ (101) plane were constructed and optimized (Fig. S2 in the ESM), among which the configuration (4) has the most negative adsorption energy. All the S-Ti bonds of different adsorption configurations are about 2.2 Å of the length, and most Li-O bonds are of \sim 1.8–2.0 Å. The topmost O atoms on TiO₂ (101) plane are the most active bonding sites to Li atoms of polysulfides. In addition, the Ti atoms have strong attractive interactions to S atoms, and sometimes the Ti atoms displaced from the equilibrium position. Based on the similar approach, the configurations for the $Li₂S_x/S₈$ -TiO₂ (101) complexes were constructed and optimized (Fig. S3 in the ESM). The adsorption energies of Li_2S_x/S_8 on the TiO₂ (101) surface decrease with the lengthening of polysulfides chain, and it is the weakest to S₈. The DFT-D3 adsorption energies are −4.41, −3.36, −2.93, −2.74, −2.4, and −0.71 eV for Li2S, Li2S2, Li2S4, Li2S6, Li2S8, and S8, respectively. This is in accordance with the differential charge distribution results (Fig. S3(a) in the ESM), the more negative adsorption energy corresponds to a more massive electron change. With the strong bonding of Li to O and S to Ti, the electrons transfer from Li and Ti atoms towards O and S atoms, respectively.

Based on the above results, we have constructed several D-TiO2 models by deleting an oxygen atom at different site on the TiO₂ (101) surface (Fig. 1(b), and Fig. S4 in the ESM), corresponding to the concentration of oxygen defects of 1.4%. The defect formation energies of oxygen at site 1, 2 and 3 are 4.39, 4.73 and 4.7 eV, respectively, indicating that the oxygen defect is most likely to be generated at site 1. The $D-TiO₂$ (101) defective surface with oxygen defect at site 1 also has the lowest energy compared to the other two, and we used it for the subsequent calculations. The most stable adsorption configurations of Li₂S_x/S₈ on D-TiO₂ (101) surface are shown in Figs. $1(c)-1(e)$ and Fig. S5 in the ESM. Although the D-TiO₂ (101) surface has one less O atom, the $Li₂S_x/S₈$ anchor ability has the similar trend as the defect-free $TiO₂$ (101) surface, and the DFT-D3 adsorption energies are –5.50, –4.16, –3.76, –4.07, –3.72, and –1.67 eV for Li₂S, Li₂S₂, Li₂S₄, Li₂S₆, Li₂S₈, and S₈, respectively (Fig. 1(f)). As we can see, the D-TiO₂ (101) surface is more active and has distinctively stronger interaction with $Li₂S_x/S₈$ than the defect-free TiO₂ (101) surface, showing more charge transfers and more negative adsorption energies. The O atom right below the missing O position on the $D-TiO₂$ surface may departure from the previous position after absorbing Li2S*x*/S8. The percentages of vdW contributions to the adsorption energies of Li₂S_x/S₈ on D-TiO₂ and TiO₂ are shown in Fig. S6 in the ESM. It turns out that the chemical bonding effect is stronger when the polysulfides molecular is smaller. For the adsorption of S_8 on the defect-free TiO₂ (101) surface, the interaction energies almost totally come from the vdW interaction contributions (DFT-D3), otherwise it will repel S_8 , whereas the D-TiO₂ (101) surface show more strong chemical interactions to S₈ but the vdW interaction contribution is still dominant. Figure 1(g) presents the free energy along the reaction path from S_8 to Li₂S. The overall free energies are 0.63, -3.78 , and -4.41 eV for isolated Li₂S_x/S₈ (no TiO₂ involved), $Li₂S_x/S₈$ on the TiO₂ (101) surface, and $Li₂S_x/S₈$ on the D-TiO₂ (101) surface, respectively. These values indicate that the overall reaction on $TiO₂$ or $D-TiO₂$ surfaces is exothermic, while it is endothermic without $TiO₂$. In these individual steps, only the reduction of $Li₂S₂$ to $Li₂S$ is apparently endothermic with a positive free energy, which means this step is the rate-limiting step. Encouragingly, $D-TiO₂$ can accelerate this reaction by reducing the free energy of reaction, facilitating effective transformation of S_8 to $Li₂S$.

To obtain a deep understanding of the effect of the oxygen vacancy and the interaction of polysulfides with $D-TiO₂$, the projected density of states (PDOS) of TiO₂, D-TiO₂ and their adsorption configurations with $Li₂S₆$ were calculated (Fig. S7) in the ESM). The top of the valence bands of $TiO₂$ and $D-TiO₂$ is dominated by the O_2p orbitals and the conduction bands are mainly contributed by Ti_3d orbitals. When an oxygen vacancy is created, the Fermi energy of $TiO₂$ shifts into conduction band, indicating the existance of $Ti³⁺$ defect states. Furthermore, the electrical conductivity of $TiO₂$ is increased due to the n-type semiconductor behavior $[43]$. D-TiO₂ also shows asymmetric distributions of spin-up electrons and spindown ones owing to the oxygen vacancy, which demonstrate the magnetism and polarity of D-TiO₂. After absorbing Li₂S₆, the polarity of D-TiO₂ decreases, indicating the lone pair electrons of Ti participate in the bonding with $Li₂S₆$. The $S₂$ 3p and Li_2s PDOSs of D-TiO₂_Li₂S₆ show more states on the bottom of valance band than those of $TiO₂ Li₂S₆$, indicating the more electrons of Li and S in $D-TiO₂Li₂S₆$ transferred from anti-bonding orbitals to bonding orbitals. This result confirms the better adsorption of $Li₂S₆$ on D-TiO₂ than on defect-free TiO₂. Moreover, in D-TiO₂_Li₂S₆, the PDOSs of S_3p and Li 2s have better overlap with those of Ti_3d and O_2p, respectively, corresponding more feasible electron transfer and stronger interactions of S-Ti and Li-O, which is consistent with their atomic adsorption configurations and the differential charge analysis.

In addition, the transport barrier of Li inside the bulk $TiO₂$ has been calculated. The Li migration path displayed in Fig. S8 in the ESM has the two-dimensional "S" shape along the perspective of *a*-axis. The two ends of the pathway are spatially symmetrical sites which are the most stable sites for Li to stay. The energy barrier plots along the pathway indicate that the middle site could possibly be the most unstable site for Li, determining the overall transport energy barrier (Fig. 1(h)). The Li transport energy barrier as low as 0.49 eV in relaxed $TiO₂$ bulk is generally more reasonable than that of 0.83 eV in frozen TiO₂ bulk.

3.2 Experimental design and characterization of D-TiO2@Gr

According to the above DFT calculations, $D-TiO₂$ is a very promising polysulfide anchoring material for LSB. Therefore, we designed D-TiO₂@Gr combining of D-TiO₂ nanosheets with 3D graphene as the sulfur host and characterized its structure and electrochemical performance. The synthesis route of D-TiO₂@Gr is illustrated in Fig. 2(a). The TiO₂@Gr aerogel was fabricated through a hydrothermal process following by freeze-drying, and the D-TiO₂@Gr aerogel was formed after the calcination of the $TiO₂@Gr$ aerogel in a reducing atmosphere (Ar:H₂ = 95:5, v/v). In the TiO₂@Gr aerogel, the TiO2 nanosheets are evenly and tightly attached to the Gr sheets. From the SEM measurement, the pure 3D Gr aerogel without TiO₂ possesses abundant cross-linked micro pores, while the pure $TiO₂$ nanosheets without the Gr severely aggregated together (Figs. S9(a) and S9(b) in the ESM). In the D-TiO2@Gr aerogel, the porous framework of 3D Gr was well maintained while more pores were produced, and the $D-TiO₂$ nanosheets in rectangular shape with the edge lengths of 30–40 nm uniformly distributed on the surfaces of 3D Gr (Figs. $2(b)-2(e)$). Through STEM characterizations, the high crystallinity of $D-TiO₂$ nanosheets is confirmed by the clothlike imaging (Fig. 2(f)) and the ultrathin thickness of \sim 5 nm is shown by cross section imaging (Fig. 2(g)). The HRTEM image and embedded fast Fourier transform (FFT) pattern

Figure 2 (a) Synthetic scheme of 3D D-TiO₂@Gr. Structural characterizations of D-TiO₂@Gr. (b) and (c) SEM images with lower resolution and higher resolution, respectively. (d) and (e) TEM images, (f) STEM images of TiO2 sheets and (g) the magnified cross section imaging. (h) HRTEM image and the insert image is the corresponding FFT pattern. (i)–(k) STEM image with corresponding EDS mapping images.

show the highly ordered lattice fringes and the holistically well-aligned structure of the single-crystal $D-TiO₂$ nanosheets (Fig. 2(h)). The interplanar spacing is 3.5 Å, which is corresponding to the (101) lattice plane of anatase TiO₂. The STEM image (Fig. 2(i)) shows some black dots in the $TiO₂$ nanosheet surface, which indicates the presence of defects. The even elemental distribution maps of O and Ti confirm that TiO₂ is a homogeneous phase (Figs. 2(j) and $2(k)$)). Additionally, the EDS mapping of the D-TiO₂@Gr indicates the uniform distribution of C, Ti, and O, which further prove that the well-dispersed D-TiO₂ attached 3D graphene was obtained (Figs. $S9(c)$ – $S9(f)$ in the ESM).

The XRD patterns of defect-free TiO₂ and D-TiO₂@Gr are indexed to tetragonal anatase $TiO₂$ (PDF no. 01-071-1168). There is a weak satellite peak accompanying the diffraction peak of D-TiO₂ (101) lattice plane at \sim 25°, which is due to the slight diffraction of reduced Gr (002) lattice plane (Fig. 3(a)), and all the other diffraction peaks are at the same positions with those of TiO₂. The Raman spectrum (Fig. S10 in the ESM) of D-TiO₂ is almost overlapped with that of TiO₂, while D-TiO₂@Gr show the weak characteristic peaks of TiO₂ and the strong D, G peaks of Gr. Figure S11 in the ESM shows the TG measurement, and it turned out the mass percentage of Gr is about \sim 79.8% and the Gr pyrolyzes at temperature region of \sim 300–550 °C. The residual mass percentage of about 19.1%, which belongs to $D-TiO₂$. In order to confirm the existence of oxygen defects, the EPR spectra in Fig. 3(b) demonstrates that the $D-TiO₂$ is magnetic and the defect-free $TiO₂$ is nonmagnetic, which is also a proof of the existence of oxygen defects in the D-TiO₂ [19, 20, 44]. In addition, the core-level O 1s and Ti 2p XPS spectra of $D-TiO₂$ were also analyzed (Fig. 3(c) and Fig. S12 in the ESM), which both show an additional peak due to the oxygen defects compared with defect-free $TiO₂$ [37]. From the EELS comparison, we can see that oxygen defects in $D-TiO₂$ shift the peaks of Ti-L2,3 to the left (Fig. 3(d)).

Figure 3 (a) XRD plots of D-TiO₂@Gr and defect-free TiO₂. (b) EPR spectra, (c) XPS core-level O 1s profiles, and (d) EELS Ti-L edge spectra of D-TiO₂ and defect-free TiO₂.

3.3 Electrochemical performance of D-TiO2@Gr

We have assembled the LSB cells by using D-TiO₂@Gr as the host materials, and the active sulfur source is $Li₂S₆$ dissolved in electrolyte. A series of electrochemical tests of $D-TiO_2@Gr$ were performed, with the defect-free TiO₂@Gr and Gr as control samples. The CV tests were employed to reveal the functional mechanisms of D-TiO₂@Gr during cycling (Fig. 4(a)). After the activation of the first cycle, the second and following cycles become stable. The cathodic peak located at \sim 2.29 V refers to the reduction of S₈ to long-chain Li₂S_{*x*} ($4 \le x \le 8$) and the peak located at \sim 1.97 V indicates the further reduction to short-chain Li_2S_x ($1 \le x < 4$). During the repeatable charging process, the oxidation of Li2S to short-chain Li2S*x* appears at

Figure 4 (a) CV curves at first 3 cycles of D-TiO2@Gr at the scan rate of 0.1 mV·s−1. (b) The CV curves of symmetric batteries with the scan rate of 80 mV·s−1. (c) OCV plots, (d) cyclic curves at 1C, (e) rate curves (0.5–3C) and (f) charge-discharge curves at 0.5C of D-TiO2@Gr, TiO2@Gr and Gr, respectively. (g) The long-term cyclic curve of D-TiO2@Gr at 2C. The general sulfur mass loading is 3.2 mg·cm−2 for different hosts. (h) Areal specific capacity changing curves at 0.2C of D-TiO2@Gr with high mass loading of 6.8, 10.2 and 13.7 mg·cm−2.

 \sim 2.41 V and subsequently to long-chain Li₂S_x at \sim 2.46 V. The large gap between the reduction peak and oxidation peak of short-chain Li₂S_x illustrates that the conversion of Li₂S to short-chain Li_2S_x is extremely hard. This is due in part to the intrinsic insulating property of $Li₂S$, and this process is even tougher for TiO2@Gr and Gr supported cells which can be seen from the apparently larger polarization of redox peaks shown in Fig. S13(a) in the ESM. The CV curves of symmetrical cells supported by D-TiO₂@Gr, TiO₂@Gr and Gr hosts with Li₂S₆ electrolyte were tested at the voltage scan rate of 80 mV·s⁻¹ within a voltage range of $-0.8-0.8$ V (Fig. 4(b)). D-TiO₂@Gr achieved the higher current responses than TiO₂@Gr and Gr, which means $D-TiO₂$ is superior to TiO₂/Gr in effectively accelerating the reaction kinetics of polysulfides [18, 45, 46]. This result is well consistent with our DFT results that the oxygen defects can promote the conversion of polysulfides. The OCVs of half-batteries with lithium foil as counter electrode were tested (Fig. $4(c)$). The voltage loss of D-TiO₂@Gr after 12 h is only 0.57 mV, much lower than $TiO_2@Gr$ and Gr (2.37 and 2.42 mV, respectively). This finding demonstrated the $D-TiO₂$ is of great significance to inhibit the shuttle effect inside the cell.

To measure the capacity of the D-TiO₂@Gr supported LSB, the assembled cells were charged/discharged at the current density of 1C (1,675 mA·g⁻¹). As displayed in Fig. 4(d), the initial discharge capacity is 1,158.2 mAh·g−1 and retained to 90.6% with a reversible capacity of 1,049.3 mAh·g−1 after 100 cycles, which is equivalent to the decay per cycle of only 0.094%. The TiO₂@Gr and Gr supported cells discharge the similar high initial capacities of 1,192.8 and 1,163.4 mAh·g⁻¹, but the capacity decay rates are 0.35% and 0.5%, respectively, much higher than that of D-TiO₂@Gr. Furthermore, the rate

performance of a cell is also important for practical application, reflecting the electronic conductivity, ionic conductivity, and the structural stability of electrodes. The D-TiO₂@Gr electrode was successively charged/discharged at 0.5C, 1C, 2C, 3C and back to 0.5C (Fig. 4(e)). It exhibits high capacities at different current rates of 1,089.5, 971.6, 782.8, 604.7, and 1,069.0 mAh·g⁻¹, respectively. However, the $TiO₂@Gr$ and Gr control samples released comparable capacities (1,078.6 and 1,066 mAh·g⁻¹) at 0.5C, but the capacity gap sharply increases with the increasing of current density. Notably, at the high current density of 3C, the capacity of $D-TiO_2@Gr$ is two times greater than that of TiO2@Gr or Gr. The charge-discharge curves at 0.5C further show that the polarization of D-TiO₂@Gr is the smallest (Fig. $4(f)$). The excellent rate capacity of the D-TiO₂@Gr LSB can also be seen through the galvanostatic charge-discharge profiles at different current densities (Fig. S13(b) in the ESM), which indicate that $D-TiO₂$ promotes the fast reaction kinetics and good ionic/electronic conductivity. From the EIS profiles shown in Fig. S14 in the ESM, the charge transfer resistance of D-TiO₂@Gr is much lower than those of TiO₂@Gr and Gr. Furthermore, the ionic transfer resistances, in direct proportion to the slope of linear curve at lower frequency, show the same trend.

Figure 4(g) presents the longtime cycling of D-TiO₂@Gr electrode with stable cycling behavior at the current rate of 2C. The initial discharge capacity is 847.4 mAh·g−1 while the retention capacity is 582.4 mAh·g−1 after 450 cycles, leading to ultra-low fading of 0.068% per cycle. Since a high areal capacity is required to achieve for LSB to compete with commercial LIBs, it is important to test the electrochemical performance of an electrode with a high sulfur loading. As shown in Fig. 4(h)

and Fig. S15 in the ESM, when the mass loading of sulfur is 6.8 mg·cm−2, the D-TiO2@Gr electrode has an initial areal capacity of 9.6 mAh·cm−2 at 0.2C, and maintains at 7.4 mAh·cm−2 after 50 cycles. Furthermore, the high sulfur loading of 10.2 and 13.7 mg·cm−2 were achieved, and the cells perform with the initial capacities of 13.8 and 14.6 mAh·cm−2 with the retention areal capacities of 9.1 and 10.9 mAh·cm−2 after 50 cycles, respectively. Table S1 in the ESM summarizes the comparison of the electrochemical performances of the D-TiO₂@Gr with various titanium oxide-based sulfur host from the literature, and D-TiO₂@Gr show very competitive performance in terms of specific capacity and areal capacity.

The post processing structure and morphology characterizations of the cycled batteries are performed to prove the structural stability of D-TiO2@Gr and its blocking capability for polysulfide. The XPS full spectra of the cycled $D-TiO_2@Gr-S$ and the D-TiO₂@Gr before cycling show similar characteristics of the Ti, O, and C elements, while their Ti 2p core-level XPS spectra show similar chemical environment of Ti, indicating the good structural stability of D-TiO₂@Gr (Fig. S16 in the ESM). In addition, the S 2p peak in the XPS spectra of the D-TiO2@Gr-S was deconvoluted into several peaks indexed to Li_2S_2/Li_2S , S_8 and sulfate, indicating the effective trapping of sulfur species in the D-TiO₂@Gr host and the strong chemical interaction between them [47, 48]. The SEM and TEM images of D-TiO2@Gr-S after cycling, including all high sulfur-loading ones are shown in Fig. 5. Under the sulfur loading of 3.2 mg·cm−2, the morphology of the D-TiO2@Dr cathode shows little changes as compared to that of pre-cycling and it possesses homogeneous sulfur distributions. On the contrary, the defect-free TiO₂@Gr or Gr cathode shows obvious aggregation of micro-level sulfur particles after cycling, and the Gr cathode is almost wrapped by sulfur, which further demonstrates that D-TiO₂ plays a key role in dispersing sulfur species and catalyzing polysulfide conversion in the LSB (Fig. S17 in the ESM). In addition, with the increase of sulfur loadings to 6.8, 10.2, and 13.7 mg⋅cm⁻², the only difference noticed is the increase of the thickness of Gr sheets for the D-TiO₂@Gr cathode, and there is no accumulation of sulfur, indicating that $D-TiO₂$ has the ability to induce the uniform deposition of insoluble polysulfides/S₈. In summary, with the robust polysulfide immobilization and excellent catalytic capability, $D-TiO_2@Gr$ reveals a new route for the design of the cathode host materials for LSB, especially with high sulfur loadings.

4 Conclusions

The effects of oxygen defects in $TiO₂$ for the enhancement of the polysulfide confining and catalytic conversion were explored by a series of DFT calculations and experiments. The first-principles calculations show that $D-TiO₂$ can significantly enhance the polysulfide immobilization and catalytic conversion as compared to defect-free TiO₂. The calculated adsorption energies of $Li₂S_x$ on D-TiO₂ is much higher than those on defect-free TiO₂, especially for the most soluble $Li₂S₆$ and $Li₂S₈$. The D-TiO₂ (101) surface gives the lowest free energy of reactions for S_8 reducing to Li₂S. Based on our DFT calculations, we designed a new high-performance host material D-TiO₂@Gr for LSB by combining $D-TiO₂$ with the highly conductive porous 3D graphene. The D-TiO₂@Gr supported LSBs show excellent electrochemical performance. Especially with the high sulfur loading up to 13.7 mg·cm⁻², the D-TiO2@Gr-S cathode shows a high areal capacity of 10.9 mAh·cm−2 with a good cycling stability. The oxygen defects in $D-TiO₂$ show significant enhancement of polysulfide immobilization and catalytic conversion, and the defect-engineering approach

Figure 5 The SEM images of S-D-TiO2@Gr with different sulfur mass loadings after cycling. The sulfur mass loadings are 3.2 ((a)–(c)), 6.8 ((e) and (f)), 10.2 ((g) and (h)) and 13.7 ((i) and (j)) mg·cm⁻², respectively. The elemental mappings of (c-1) C, (c-2) Ti, and (c-3) S are corresponds to SEM image (c). (d) The SEM image of D-TiO2 nanosheets separated from D-TiO2@Gr after cycling with sulfur mass loading of 3.2 mg·cm−2 and the elemental mappings of (d-1) O, (d-2) Ti, and (d-3) S.

provides an alternative strategy for developing new functional materials for the high-performance LSB system.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Excellent Dissertation Cultivation Funds of Wuhan University of Technology (No. 2018-YS-013).

Electronic Supplementary Material: Supplementary material (further details of the DFT calculations, the SEM measurements, Raman spectra, electrochemistry measurements and performance comparison table) is available in the online version of this article at https://doi.org/10.1007/s12274-020-2850-5.

References

- [1] Kim, H.; Lee, J.; Ahn, H.; Kim, O.; Park, M. J. Synthesis of three-dimensionally interconnected sulfur-rich polymers for cathode materials of high-rate lithium-sulfur batteries. *Nat*. *Commun*. **2015**, *6*, 7278.
- [2] Manthiram, A.; Chung, S. H.; Zu, C. X. Lithium-sulfur batteries: Progress and prospects. *Adv*. *Mater*. **2015**, *27*, 1980–2006.
- [3] Son, Y.; Lee, J. S.; Son, Y.; Jang, J. H.; Cho, J. Recent advances in lithium sulfide cathode materials and their use in lithium sulfur batteries. *Adv*. *Energy Mater*. **2015**, *5*, 1500110.
- [4] Yang, Y.; Zheng, G. Y.; Cui, Y. Nanostructured sulfur cathodes. *Chem*. *Soc*. *Rev*. **2013**, *42*, 3018–3032.
- [5] Guo, W.; Fu, Y. Z. A perspective on energy densities of rechargeable Li-S batteries and alternative sulfur-based cathode materials. *Energy Environ*. *Mater*. **2018**, *1*, 20–27.
- [6] Yu, T.; Li, F.; Liu, C. Y.; Zhang, S. T.; Xu, H. Y.; Yang, G. C. Understanding the role of lithium sulfide clusters in lithium–sulfur batteries. *J*. *Mater*. *Chem*. *A* **2017**, *5*, 9293–9298.
- [7] Xu, R.; Lu, J.; Amine, K. Progress in mechanistic understanding and characterization techniques of Li-S batteries. *Adv*. *Energy Mater*. **2015**, *5*, 1500408.
- [8] He, Y. B.; Chang, Z.; Wu, S. C.; Zhou, H. S. Effective strategies for long-cycle life lithium-sulfur batteries. *J*. *Mater*. *Chem*. *A* **2018**, *6*, 6155–6182.
- [9] Fu, A.; Wang, C. Z.; Pei, F.; Cui, J. Q.; Fang, X. L.; Zheng, N. F. Recent advances in hollow porous carbon materials for lithiumsulfur batteries. *Small* **2019**, *15*, 1804786.
- [10] Ogoke, O.; Wu, G.; Wang, X. L.; Casimir, A.; Ma, L.; Wu, T. P.; Lu, J. Effective strategies for stabilizing sulfur for advanced lithium-sulfur batteries. *J*. *Mater*. *Chem*. *A* **2017**, *5*, 448–469.
- [11] Pang, Q.; Liang, X.; Kwok, C. Y.; Nazar, L. F. Advances in lithiumsulfur batteries based on multifunctional cathodes and electrolytes. *Nat*. *Energy* **2016**, *1*, 16132.
- [12] Wu, Y. L.; Zhu, X. R.; Li, P. R.; Zhang, T.; Li, M.; Deng, J.; Huang, Y.; Ding, P.; Wang, S. X.; Zhang, R. et al. Ultradispersed W*x*C nanoparticles enable fast polysulfide interconversion for highperformance Li-S batteries. *Nano Energy* **2019**, *59*, 636–643.
- [13] Yu, M. L.; Zhou, S.; Wang, Z. Y.; Wang, Y. W.; Zhang, N.; Wang, S.; Zhao, J. J.; Qiu, J. S. Accelerating polysulfide redox conversion on bifunctional electrocatalytic electrode for stable Li-S batteries. *Energy Storage Mater*. **2018**, *20*, 98–107.
- [14] Du, L. Y.; Wu, Q.; Yang, L. J.; Wang, X.; Che, R. C.; Lyu, Z.; Chen, W.; Wang, X. Z.; Hu, Z. Efficient synergism of electrocatalysis and physical confinement leading to durable high-power lithium-sulfur batteries. *Nano Energy* **2019**, *57*, 34–40.
- [15] Xu, L. L.; Zhao, H. Y.; Sun, M. Z.; Huang, B. L.; Wang, J. W.; Xia, J. L.; Li, N.; Yin, D. D.; Luo, M.; Luo, F. et al. Oxygen vacancies on layered niobic acid that weaken the catalytic conversion of polysulfides in lithium-sulfur batteries. *Angew Chem*.*, Int*. *Ed*. **2019**, *58*, 11491– 11496.
- [16] Yu, M. L.; Wang, Z. Y.; Wang, Y. W.; Dong, Y. F.; Qiu, J. S. Freestanding flexible Li₂S paper electrode with high mass and capacity loading for high-energy Li-S batteries. *Adv*. *Energy Mater*. **2017**, *7*, 1700018.
- [17] Peng, H. J.; Huang, J. Q.; Cheng, X. B.; Zhang, Q. Review on highloading and high-energy lithium-sulfur batteries. *Adv*. *Energy Mater*. **2017**, *7*, 1700260.
- [18] Yang, W.; Yang, W.; Dong, L. B.; Gao, X. C.; Wang, G. X.; Shao, G. J. Enabling immobilization and conversion of polysulfides through a nitrogen-doped carbon nanotubes/ultrathin MoS₂ nanosheet core-shell architecture for lithium-sulfur batteries. *J*. *Mater*. *Chem*. *A* **2019**, *7*, 13103–13112.
- [19] Salhabi, E. H. M.; Zhao, J. L.; Wang, J. Y.; Yang, M.; Wang, B.; Wang, D. Hollow multi-shelled structural TiO_{2−*x*} with multiple spatial confinement for long-life lithium-sulfur batteries. *Angew*. *Chem*.*, Int*. *Ed*. **2019**, *58*, 9078–9082.
- [20] Wang, H. E.; Yin, K. L.; Qin, N.; Zhao, X.; Xia, F. J.; Hu, Z. Y.; Guo, G. L.; Cao, G. Z.; Zhang, W. J. Oxygen-deficient titanium dioxide as a functional host for lithium-sulfur batteries. *J*. *Mater*. *Chem*. *A* **2019**, *7*, 10346–10353.
- [21] Cai, W. L.; Li, G. R.; Zhang, K. L.; Xiao, G. N.; Wang, C.; Ye, K. F.; Chen, Z. W.; Zhu, Y. C.; Qian, Y. T. Conductive nanocrystalline niobium carbide as high-efficiency polysulfides tamer for lithium-sulfur batteries. *Adv*. *Funct*. *Mater*. **2018**, *28*, 1704865.
- [22] Hao, B. Y.; Li, H.; Lv, W.; Zhang, Y. B.; Niu, S. Z.; Qi, Q.; Xiao, S. J.; Li, J.; Kang, F. Y.; Yang, Q. H. Reviving catalytic activity of nitrides by the doping of the inert surface layer to promote polysulfide conversion in lithium-sulfur batteries. *Nano Energy* **2019**, *60*, 305–311.
- [23] Li, C. C.; Liu, X. B.; Zhu, L.; Huang, R. Z.; Zhao, M. W.; Xu, L. Q.; Qian, Y. T. Conductive and polar titanium boride as a sulfur host for advanced lithium-sulfur batteries. *Chem*. *Mater*. **2018**, *30*, 6969–6977.
- [24] Guan, B.; Fan, L. S.; Wu, X.; Wang, P. X.; Qiu, Y.; Wang, M. X.; Guo, Z. K.; Zhang, N. Q.; Sun, K. N. The facile synthesis and enhanced lithium-sulfur battery performance of an amorphous cobalt boride (Co2B)@graphene composite cathode. *J*. *Mater*. *Chem*. *A* **2018**, *6*, 24045–24049.
- [25] Chen, Y.; Zhang, W. X.; Zhou, D.; Tian, H. J.; Su, D. W.; Wang, C. Y.; Stockdale, D.; Kang, F. Y.; Li, B. H.; Wang, G. X. Co-Fe mixed metal phosphide nanocubes with highly interconnected-pore architecture as an efficient polysulfide mediator for lithium-sulfur batteries. *ACS Nano* **2019**, *13*, 4731–4741.
- [26] Razaq, R.; Sun, D.; Xin, Y.; Li, Q.; Huang, T. Z.; Zheng, L.; Zhang, Z. L.; Huang, Y. H. Enhanced kinetics of polysulfide redox reactions on Mo2C/CNT in lithium-sulfur batteries. *Nanotechnology* **2018**, *29*, 295401.
- [27] Wang, J. W.; Zhou, B.; Zhao, H. Y.; Wu, M. M.; Yang, Y. D.; Sun, X. L.; Wang, D. H.; Du, Y. P. A sandwich-type sulfur cathode based on multifunctional ceria hollow spheres for high-performance lithium–sulfur batteries. *Mater*. *Chem*. *Front*. **2019**, *3*, 1317–1322.
- [28] Zhou, T. H.; Lv, W.; Li, J.; Zhou, G. M.; Zhao, Y.; Fan, S. X.; Liu, B. L.; Li, B. H.; Kang, F. Y.; Yang, Q. H. Twinborn $TiO₂-TiN$ heterostructures enabling smooth trapping-diffusion-conversion of polysulfides towards ultralong life lithium-sulfur batteries. *Energy Environ*. *Sci*. **2017**, *10*, 1694–1703.
- [29] Song, Y. Z.; Zhao, W.; Kong, L.; Zhang, L.; Zhu, X. Y.; Shao, Y. L.; Ding, F.; Zhang, Q.; Sun, J. Y.; Liu, Z. F. Synchronous immobilization and conversion of polysulfides on a $VO₂-VN$ binary host targeting high sulfur load Li-S batteries. *Energy Environ*. *Sci*. **2018**, *11*, 2620–2630.
- [30] Choudhury, B.; Bayan, S.; Choudhury, A.; Chakraborty, P. Narrowing of band gap and effective charge carrier separation in oxygen deficient TiO₂ nanotubes with improved visible light photocatalytic activity. *J*. *Colloid Interf*. *Sci* **2016**, *465*, 1–10.
- [31] Liu, M. M; Zhang, C. C.; Su, J. M.; Chen, X.; Ma, T. Y.; Huang, T.; Yu, A. S. Propelling polysulfide conversion by defect-rich $MoS₂$ nanosheets for high-performance lithium-sulfur batteries. *ACS Appl*. *Mater*. *Interfaces* **2019**, *11*, 20788–20795.
- [32] Tian, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, Y. G.; Ricardez-Sandoval, L. A.; Wang, X.; Li, J. D. Construction of oxygen-deficient La(OH)3 nanorods wrapped by reduced graphene oxide for polysulfide trapping toward high-performance lithium/sulfur batteries. *ACS Appl*. *Mater*. *Interfaces* **2019**, *11*, 23271–23279.
- [33] Wang, Y. K.; Zhang, R. F.; Chen, J.; Wu, H.; Lu, S. Y.; Wang, K.; Li, H. L.; Harris, C. J.; Xi, K.; Kumar, R. V. et al. Enhancing catalytic

activity of titanium oxide in lithium-sulfur batteries by band engineering. *Adv*. *Energy Mater*. **2019**, *9*, 1900953.

- [34] Chen, X.; Hou, T. Z.; Persson, K. A.; Zhang, Q. Combining theory and experiment in lithium–sulfur batteries: Current progress and future perspectives. *Mater*. *Today* **2019**, *22*, 142–158.
- [35] Rana, M.; Li, M.; Huang, X.; Luo, B.; Gentle, I.; Knibbe, R. Recent advances in separators to mitigate technical challenges associated with re-chargeable lithium sulfur batteries. *J*. *Mater*. *Chem*. *A* **2019**, *7*, 6596–6615.
- [36] Hummers, W. S. Jr., Offeman, R. E. Preparation of graphitic oxide. *J*. *Am*. *Chem*. *Soc*. **1958**, *80*, 1339.
- [37] Wan, J. W.; Chen, W. X.; Jia, C. Y.; Zheng, L. R.; Dong, J. C.; Zheng, X. S.; Wang, Y.; Yan, W. S.; Chen, C.; Peng, Q. et al. Defect effects on $TiO₂$ nanosheets: Stabilizing single atomic site Au and promoting catalytic properties. *Adv*. *Mater*. **2018**, *30*, 1705369.
- [38] Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Efficient iterative schemes for *ab initio* total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys*. *Rev*. *B* **1996**, *54*, 11169–11186.
- [39] Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys*. *Rev*. *Lett*. **1996**, *77*, 3865–3868.
- [40] Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. *Phys*. *Rev*. *B* **1999**, *59*, 1758–1775.
- [41] Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H. A consistent and accurate *ab initio* parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu. *J*. *Chem*. *Phys*. **2010**, *132*, 154104.
- [42] Henkelman, G.; Uberuaga, B. P.; Jónsson, H. A climbing image

nudged elastic band method for finding saddle points and minimum energy paths. *J*. *Chem*. *Phys*. **2000**, *113*, 9901–9904.

- [43] Savva, A. I.; Smith, K. A.; Lawson, M.; Croft, S. R.; Weltner, A. E.; Jones, C. D.; Bull, H.; Simmonds, P. J.; Li, L.; Xiong, H. Defect generation in $TiO₂$ nanotube anodes via heat treatment in various atmospheres for lithium-ion batteries. *Phys*. *Chem*. *Chem*. *Phys*. **2018**, *20*, 22537–22546.
- [44] Sarkar, A.; Khan, G. G. The formation and detection techniques of oxygen vacancies in titanium oxide-based nanostructures. *Nanoscale* **2019**, *11*, 3414–3444.
- [45] Li, B. Q.; Peng, H. J.; Chen, X.; Zhang, S. Y.; Xie, J.; Zhao, C. X.; Zhang, Q. Polysulfide electrocatalysis on framework porphyrin in high-capacity and high-stable lithium-sulfur batteries. *CCS Chem*. **2019**, *1*, 128–137.
- [46] Gao, X. J.; Yang, X. F.; Li, M. S.; Sun, Q.; Liang, J. N.; Luo, J.; Wang, J. W.; Li, W. H.; Liang, J. W.; Liu, Y. L. et al. Cobalt-doped $SnS₂$ with dual active centers of synergistic absorption-catalysis effect for high-S loading Li-S batteries. *Adv*. *Funct*. *Mater*. **2019**, *29*, 1806724.
- [47] Cai, D.; Lu, M. J.; Li, L.; Cao, J. M.; Chen, D.; Tu, H. R.; Li, J. Z.; Han, W. A highly conductive MOF of graphene analogue Ni₃(HITP)₂ as a sulfur host for high-performance lithium–sulfur batteries. *Small* **2019**, *15*, 1902605.
- [48] Liu, S. F.; Ji, X.; Yue, J.; Hou, S.; Wang, P. F.; Cui, C. Y.; Chen, J.; Shao, B. W.; Li, J. R.; Han, F. D. et al. High interfacial-energy interphase promoting safe lithium metal batteries. *J*. *Am*. *Chem*. *Soc*. **2020**, *142*, 2438–2447.