Ice-templated preparation and sodium storage ultrasmall SnO₂ nanoparticles embedded in three**dimensional graphene**

Longkai Pei, Qi Jin, Zhiqiang Zhu, Qing Zhao, Jing Liang, and Jun Chen (\boxtimes)

Key Laboratory of Advanced Energy Materials Chemistry (Ministry of Education), Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering, College of Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

Received: 23 July 2014 **Revised:** 15 September 2014 **Accepted:** 10 October 2014

© Tsinghua University Press and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

KEYWORDS

graphene, three-dimensional, ice-template, metal oxide, sodium-ion battery

ABSTRACT

We report on the ice-templated preparation and sodium storage of ultrasmall $SnO₂$ nanoparticles (3–4 nm) embedded in three-dimensional (3D) graphene (SnO2@3DG). SnO2@3DG was fabricated by hydrothermal assembly with ice-templated 3DG and a tin source. The structure and morphology analyses showed that 3DG has an interconnected porous architecture with a large pore volume of $0.578 \text{ cm}^3 \text{·g}^{-1}$ and a high surface area of $470.5 \text{ m}^2 \text{·g}^{-1}$. In comparison, SnO2@3DG exhibited a pore volume of 0.321 $\mathrm{cm^{3}\cdot g^{-1}}$ and a surface area of $237.7 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ with a homogeneous distribution of ultrasmall $SnO₂$ nanoparticles in a 3DG network. SnO₂@3DG showed a discharge capacity of $1,155$ mA·h·g⁻¹ in the initial cycle, a reversible capacity of $432 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{h} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ after 200 cycles at 100 mA·g^{-1} (with capacity retention of 85.7% relative to that in the second cycle), and a discharge capacity of 210 mA·h·g⁻¹ at a high rate of 800 mA·g⁻¹. This is due to the high distribution of $SnO₂$ nanoparticles in the 3DG network and the enhanced facilitation of electron/ion transport in the electrode.

1 Introduction

Three-dimensional graphene (3DG) has attracted much attention for its desirable physical and chemical properties such as low weight density, rich macroporosity and high electronic conductivity [1, 2]. To date, various methods including template-guided process, chemical vapor deposition (CVD) and solvothermal reactions have been devoted to the fabrication of 3DG [3, 4]. Ice-templating, which is also known as

Address correspondence to chenabc@nankai.edu.cn

freeze drying, is a template-guided technique [5, 6]. So far, various types of 3D architecture graphene have been successfully synthesized by freeze drying. Mann and co-workers first prepared high-order 3D scaffolds by freeze casting of polystyrene sulfonate-stabilized graphene sheets and poly(vinyl alcohol) solution in liquid nitrogen [7]. Recently, 3D porous structure of graphene/cellulose composites were obtained on a large scale by ball milling and an ice-templated process [8]. It is found that assistant agents play an important

role as a linker to obtain 3D structure, but also cause troublesome purification. Thus, it is desirable to develop an additive-free approach. One of the critical issues when fabricating 3DG via ice-templated processes is the precursor, which should be highly stable [9]. Recently, a graphene colloid was synthesized by simply employing graphene oxide (GO) and $N_2H_4 \cdot H_2O$ as the reactants in ammonia solution [10]. As the residual volatile $NH₃·H₂O$ and $N₂H₄·H₂O$ can be easily removed by evaporation, the colloidal graphene solution is a promising candidate precursor for the synthesis of 3D graphene.

To date, graphene-based composites have attracted tremendous interest for rechargeable batteries. For example, $SnO₂/graphene$ is of interest in lithium ion batteries (LIBs) due to its high theoretical capacity $(782 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{h} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ for SnO_2) and alleviation of particle aggregation as well as volume change during charge– discharge [11–14]. Moreover, SnO₂@3DG showed better lithium storage performance than that of $SnO_2@2DG$, which was attributed to the high surface area and 3D porous architecture [15]. Recently, $SnO₂@2DG$ composites have been successfully applied in sodium-ion batteries (SIBs). For example, Wang's group prepared $SnO₂/graphene$ composites with a stable capacity of 302 mA·h·g⁻¹ over 100 cycles at a current of 160 mA·g^{-1} [16], and Kim's group reported that the composites showed a reversible capacity of $330 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{h} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ over 150 cycles at 100 $mA·g⁻¹$ [17]. This raises interesting questions about the electrochemical performance of SnO2@3DG in SIBs?

In this work, $SnO₂@3DG$ was successfully prepared and applied in SIBs. The preparation of 3DG involved a novel method using a graphene colloid and an icetemplated process. Furthermore, ice-templated 3DG turned out to be a robust substrate for anchoring $SnO₂$ via a simple hydrothermal treatment. The SnO2@3DG displayed mesoporous character with a size distribution from 2 to 100 nm (centered at 3 nm and 30 nm), macropores (3–10 μm), large pore volume $(0.321 \text{ cm}^3 \text{·} \text{g}^{-1})$ and high surface area $(237.7 \text{ m}^2 \text{·} \text{g}^{-1})$. The SnO2@3DG composites delivered a reversible capacity of 432 mA·h·g⁻¹ after 200 cycles at 100 mA·g⁻¹ with a capacity retention of 85.7% (relative to that in the second cycle). Meanwhile, a reversible capacity of 210 mA \cdot h \cdot g⁻¹ was obtained at a high current density

2 Results and discussion

The four steps in the fabrication process of $SnO₂@3DG$ are illustrated in Scheme 1. First, a graphene colloid was obtained by adding $NH₃·H₂O$ and $N₂H₄·H₂O$ to a GO aqueous suspension and the mixture heated at 95 ° C for 1 h. Second, the extremely well-dispersed graphene solution was frozen at –18 ° C to form an ice template with a subsequent lyophilization to obtain the reduced graphene oxide (rGO). Third, the 3DG was obtained by calcination at 350 ° C for 2 h in an Ar atmosphere. During the thermal process, most of the residual water was removed, but the oxygenated functional groups were retained [18]. This results in an improvement in conductivity (Fig. S1, in the Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM)). Finally, a mixture of 3DG, SnCl₂·2H₂O, and *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide was treated hydrothermally at 120 ° C for 12 h to obtain SnO₂@3DG. For comparison, SnO₂@2DG was obtained in a similar way, except for the absence of a freezedrying process.

Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of 3DG. From Fig. 1(a), it can be seen that a highly interconnected 3D network is formed with various macropores. From Fig. 1(b), the cross-linked spots between 3DG can be seen, which

Scheme 1 Schematic illustration of the preparation of $SnO₂(a)3DG$: (a) graphene oxide aqueous solution; (b) reduced graphene oxide colloid; (c) ice-templated solution; (d) reduced graphene oxide; (e) 3DG framework; (f) $SnO₂(*a*)3DG$ composite.

Figure 1 (a) SEM image of 3DG; (b) magnified image of the selected region in (a); (c) TEM and (d) HRTEM images of 3DG.

stem from the partially stacked flexible graphene sheets. Figure 1(c) shows the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of graphene with few layers. Figure 1(d) shows the high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image of the margin of the crumpled regions in 3DG. The above SEM and TEM images demonstrate the successful construction of 3DG. The successful synthesis is a result of several factors: (1) The reduction process gives partial removal of oxygenated groups such as hydroxyl and phenolic hydroxyl groups, but the carboxylic acid groups are still retained [19]. This leads to optimal electrostatic repulsion and the formation of a well-dispersed graphene colloid (Fig. S2, in the ESM). (2) In the colloidal dispersion, the large conjugated structure of graphene sheets can provide abundant $\pi-\pi$ stacking sites and strong binding between the sheets. This induces the formation of cross-links through partial coalescence or overlap of graphene sheets. Simultaneously, the residual hydrophilic functionalities on the graphene sheets can entrap ample water molecules. (3) During the freezing process at –18 ° C, the ice crystals formed act as a template, which leads to the formation of 3D networks after a sublimation process.

To gain a better understanding of the correlation between the graphene colloid and 3DG, a series of control experiments were performed using zeta potential analysis (Fig. S3, in the ESM). According to the standard for colloid stabilization, zeta potential values more negative than –30 mV are generally

considered to present adequate repulsion to ensure the stability of colloids. Ammonia is found to be one of the key factors in obtaining the highly stable graphene colloid, since it can lead to the ionization of residual carboxylic acid moieties on the graphene. When the content of $NH₃·H₂O$ reached to 750 μ L (added to 100 mL of GO solution, 1 mg \cdot mL $^{-1}$), it gives the highest negative charge density on the graphene sheets (Fig. $S3(a)$, in the ESM). N₂H₄·H₂O also has an effect on the zeta potential (Fig. S3(b), in the ESM). After further adding 60 μ L of N₂H₄·H₂O to the above mixed solution, the graphene colloids shows a more negative charge density. As a consequence, 3DG can be obtained with 750 μ L of NH₃·H₂O and 60 μ L of $N_2H_4 \cdot H_2O$ added to the GO solution. The successful formation of 3DG is ascribed to the residual moderately hydrophilic functionalities on the graphene, which can not only provide optimal electrostatic repulsion between the sheets, but also grasp ample water molecules to form the macroporous network. However, no 3D framework was obtained when $N_2H_4 \cdot H_2O$ were added with the volumes of 30 μ L or 90 μ L (Figs. S3(c) and S3(d), in the ESM).

The 3DG has the following advantages as a support to embed $SnO₂$ nanoparticles: (1) The 3DG delivers a higher conductivity $(1.7 \times 10^{-1} \text{ S} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1})$ than that of graphene oxide $(3.4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1})$, which is attributed to its fewer number of functional groups; (2) There are more nucleation sites for $SnO₂$ on the GO than on rGO, which leads to more severe aggregation of $SnO₂$ particles (Fig. S4, in the ESM). Figure 2 shows the SEM and TEM images of SnO₂@3DG. The 3D structure of 3DG (Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)) is retained for $SnO_2@3DG$ (Fig. 2(a)), without any significant breakdown. Uniform nanocrystals are homogeneously distributed on the graphene sheets (Fig. 2(b)). The TEM image in Fig. 2(c) further illustrates that $SnO₂$ nanoparticles with an average particle size of 3.4 nm (inset of Fig. 2(c)) are homogeneously dispersed on graphene sheets. Moreover, tremendous nano-lacunas are formed among the nanoparticles. This is favorable in terms of tolerating the large volume expansion during the charge–discharge process [20]. The HRTEM image in Fig. 2(d) reveals a crystal lattice spacing of 0.33 nm, corresponding to the interplanar spacing of the (110) planes of $SnO₂$. The selected-area-electron diffraction

Figure 2 (a) and (b) SEM images of SnO₂@3DG; (c) TEM image of SnO₂@3DG. Inset: The corresponding particle size distribution diagram with the calculation of 100 particles; (d) HRTEM image of SnO₂@3DG. Inset: The corresponding SAED pattern.

(SAED) pattern (inset of Fig. 2(d)) confirms the presence of polycrystalline $SnO₂$ nanoparticles. In comparison, SnO2@2DG shows a typical sheet-like 2D structure, in which ultrasmall $SnO₂$ nanocrystals (3–5 nm) are anchored on the surface of graphene (Figs. S5(a)– S5(c), in the ESM).

The crystal phases of 3DG, SnO₂@2DG, and $SnO₂@3DG$ were analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD), as shown in Fig. 3(a). The XRD pattern of 3DG clearly reveals the typical characteristic of graphene with a hump at 24°–28°. The XRD patterns of both SnO₂@3DG and SnO₂@2DG exhibit similar diffraction peaks that can be readily indexed to tetragonal rutile $SnO₂$ (JCPDS No. 41-1445). However, no diffraction peaks of graphene could be found in the XRD patterns of either composite, which could be explained by the fact that the strong signals of $SnO₂$ obscure the characteristic peaks of graphene sheets. Figure 3(b) shows the Raman spectra of the three samples, in which two broad peaks at $1,335$ cm⁻¹ (D band) and $1,593$ cm⁻¹ (G band) are typical characteristics of graphene. Moreover, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was employed to explore the nature of the interaction between $SnO₂$ and graphene (Fig. S6, in the ESM). The scan spectra up to binding energy of 1,200 eV (Fig. $S6(a)$) display the presence of C, O and Sn elements. The spectrum in the binding energy range of 480 to 500 eV (Fig. S6(b)) shows the peaks of Sn $3d_{3/2}$ and Sn $3d_{5/2}$, indicating the formation of $SnO₂-containing composites.$ The C 1s spectra of the composites are shown in Fig. S6(c). The peak at 284.8 eV is assigned to C–C, while the peak at 286.6 eV corresponds to C–O–Sn. This indicates the formation of C–O–Sn bonds between the $SnO₂$ and graphene [21].

The $SnO₂$ contents in both composites were measured by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). As shown in Fig. 3(c), the weight percentages of $SnO₂$ in $SnO₂@3DG$ and $SnO₂@2DG$ are 71.5% and 70.4%, respectively. In addition, the content of $SnO₂$ in $SnO₂@3DG$ has an obvious effect on the 3D structure. A 3D structure with many large pores is obtained with a lower loading mass of $SnO₂$ (40.2%) in the composite (Fig. S7(a), in the ESM). Figures S7(b)–S7(d) show that the 3D structure gradually changes as the content of $SnO₂$ increases. When the mass loading of $SnO₂$ is 90.5%, the 3D structure in the composite completely collapses. Figure 3(d) shows that the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area of 3DG is as high as $470.5 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$. Moreover, the specific surface area of SnO₂@3DG $(237.7 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{g}^{-1})$ is about twice that of SnO₂@2DG $(113.5 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{g}^{-1})$. This result highlights that the icetemplated strategy is an effective way to obtain a high

Figure 3 (a) XRD profiles, (b) Raman spectra, (c) TGA curves, and (d) N_2 adsorption–desorption isotherms of SnO₂@3DG (71.5%) SnO₂), SnO₂@2DG (70.4% SnO₂) and 3DG.

surface area. Based on the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda method, the maxima in the pore size distribution of SnO₂@3DG occur at around 3 nm and 30 nm (Fig. S8, in the ESM). The broad pore size distribution spanning from 2 to 100 nm implies that $SnO_2@3DG$ is rich in hierarchical pores. Consequently, the high surface area and rich porosity of SnO₂@3DG are closely related to the presence of meso/macro pores.

The sodium storage performance of $SnO_2@3DG$ and $SnO₂@2DG$ was investigated. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show the charge–discharge curves of $SnO₂@3DG$ and SnO₂@2DG tested at a current density of 100 mA·g⁻¹. The $SnO₂@3DG$ electrode exhibits a high discharge capacity of $1,155 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{h} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ and a charge capacity of $480 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{h} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ in the initial cycle (inset of Fig. 4(a)). The irreversible capacity loss $(675 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{h} \cdot \text{g}^{-1})$ mainly originated from the formation of a solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) film [16, 17, 22], while the side reaction of oxygen-containing functional groups on graphene also leads to partial capacity loss (ca. 70 mA \cdot h·g⁻¹, Fig. S9 (in the ESM)). During the second cycle, it delivers a discharge capacity of $504 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{h} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$, accounting for 94.4% of the theoretical capacity (533.9 mA \cdot h·g⁻¹, Fig. S10 (in the ESM)). This indicates a high utilization

 $210 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{h} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ at 50 and 800 mA $\cdot \text{g}^{-1}$, respectively. However, SnO₂@2DG shows capacities of only 391 mA·h·g⁻¹ and 127 mA·h·g⁻¹ at 50 and 800 mA·g⁻¹, respectively. Figure 4(d) exhibits the cycling performance of the composites. The $SnO_2@3DG$ electrode shows an obviously enhanced cycling performance compared to that of $SnO_2@2DG$. The $SnO_2@3DG$ electrode retains a reversible capacity of $432 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{h} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ after 200 cycles (85.7% capacity retention relative to the second cycle). This result is superior to that of $SnO₂@2DG$ (301 mA·h·g⁻¹ after 200 cycles, 71.4% capacity retention) and those reported for $SnO₂/graphene$ materials [16, 17]. To investigate the effect of the unique structure on the cycling performance, SnO₂@3DG composites with different contents of $SnO₂$ are

of the active material in the composite. Notably, a reversible capacity of $432 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{h} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ is still maintained after 200 cycles. In contrast, the $SnO_2@2DG$ electrode exhibits a discharge capacity of $1,067$ mA \cdot h \cdot g⁻¹ and a charge capacity of 411 mA \cdot h \cdot g⁻¹ (inset of Fig. 4(b)). The capacity retention is 78.9% and the maintained capacity after 200 cycles is only 301 mA \cdot h \cdot g⁻¹. Figure 4(c) shows the rate performance of the two composites. SnO₂@3DG delivers capacities of 551 mA·h· g^{-1} and

Figure 4 Charge–discharge curves of (a) SnO₂@3DG (71.5% SnO₂) and (b) SnO₂@2DG (70.4% SnO₂) at a current density of 100 mA·g⁻¹; (c) rate performance of $\text{SnO}_2(\partial_2)$ and $\text{SnO}_2(\partial_2)$ tested at different current densities from 50 to 800 mA·g⁻¹; (d) Cycling performance of SnO₂@3DG and SnO₂@2DG at the current density of 100 mA·g⁻¹.

compared (Fig. S11, in the ESM). The composites with low mass loadings of $SnO₂$ (40.2% and 57.4%) deliver relatively low capacity but show good cycling performance. In contrast, the composite with a much higher mass loading of $SnO₂$ (90.5%) shows an initial high capacity but with inferior cycling stability, which can be ascribed to the severe aggregation and pulverization of nanoparticles (Fig. S12, in the ESM). The 3D framework limits the agglomeration and pulverization of $SnO₂$ nanoparticles during the sodiation/desodiation process, which is mostly responsible for the enhanced capacity and high-rate cycling performance. Thus the $SnO₂@3DG$ composite with a $SnO₂$ mass loading of 71.5% shows the optimum combination of high capacity and stable cycling.

Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show the Nyquist plots of SnO₂@3DG and SnO₂@2DG. The high frequency semicircle is associated with sodium-ion migration through the SEI film and the middle frequency semicircle is linked to the charge transfer reaction. The charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) values of SnO₂@3DG decreases from 48.5Ω at 308 K to 32.2Ω at 328 K , while the SnO₂@2DG shows R_{ct} values of 77.3 Ω at 308 K and 35.9 Ω at 328 K. This indicates that in the measured temperature range, higher temperature results in better electrode kinetics. Both composites show similar R_e values while the R_{SEI} values of $SnO₂@3DG$ are higher than those of $SnO₂@2DG$, which is due to the larger surface area of $SnO₂@3DG$ (Table S1, in the ESM). The exchange currents (i_0) and the apparent activation energies (E_a) for the intercalation of sodium are calculated by the following equations [23]:

$$
i_0 = RT/nFR_{\rm ct} \tag{1}
$$

$$
i_0 = A \exp(-E_a/RT) \tag{2}
$$

The calculated value of E_a is 20.2 kJ·mol⁻¹ for SnO₂@3DG and $35.8 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ for $SnO_2@2DG$ (Fig. 5(c), and Eq. (S1) in the ESM). The lower activation energy of SnO2@3DG is indicative of more facile sodium intercalation kinetics [24, 25]. The enhanced kinetics arises from the particular structure of the $SnO₂@3DG$ composites, in which the 3D graphene architecture can not only provide a high electronic and ionic conductivity but also offer large surface areas that favor effective contact between electrolyte and materials.

An explanation of the much better electrochemical performance of SnO₂@3DG compared with that of

Figure 5 Electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) plots of (a) $SnO_2@3DG$ (71.5% SnO_2) and (b) $SnO_2@2DG$ (70.4% SnO_2) from 308 K to 328 K with 50% depth of discharge in the second cycle; (c) Arrhenius plots of ln(*T*/*R*ct) versus 1/*T*. Inset: the equivalent circuits of SnO₂@3DG and SnO₂@2DG. R_e is electrolyte resistance. R_{SEI} and C_{SEI} are the resistance and constant phase element of SEI film. R_{ct} and C_{ct} represent the charge transfer resistance and a constant phase element, respectively. W is the Warburg impendence related to the diffusion of sodium ions.

SnO2@2DG is schematically shown in Scheme 2. The elastic and specific 3D structures can not only enhance the mechanical strength of electrodes, but also act as a buffer that accommodates the volume expansion of $SnO₂$ nanocrystals during the charge–discharge process. Second, the large surface area and various meso-/macro pores of SnO₂@3DG can offer multidimensional channels to facilitate the transport of Na+ in the electrode. This should shorten the diffusion length from the external electrolyte to the interior surface and afford easy electrolyte accessibility.

Scheme 2 Schematic illustration of the efficacy of $\text{SnO}_2(\widehat{a})$ 3DG for sodium storage during the charge–discharge process.

3 Conclusions

SnO2@3DG has been successfully prepared by assembling ice-templated 3DG with a tin source in a hydrothermal process and employed in SIBs. The as-prepared SnO₂@3DG shows a hierarchical porous architecture with meso/macro-pores and large surface area. Moreover, it exhibits a high cycling stability and a high rate performance. A reversible capacity of $432 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{h} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ and high capacity retention of 85.7% were maintained over 200 cycles at 100 mA·g⁻¹. Even at a high current density of 800 mA·g^{-1} , the capacity was 210 mA·h·g–1. The enhanced performance can be attributed to the effective limitation of agglomeration and pulverization of $SnO₂$ nanoparticles during the sodiation/desodiation process by the 3D network, and the high electronic and ionic conductivity of 3DG.

4 Experimental methods

4.1.1 Synthesis of graphene solution with different volumes of NH3·H2O

Graphene oxide was prepared from graphite (325 mesh, Alfa Aesar) by a modified Staudenmeier method [26]. The obtained GO (100 mg) was dispersed in distilled water (100 mL) and then exfoliated to generate GO nanosheets by ultrasonication. The brown suspension was transferred to a round-bottomed flask, to which different amounts of NH3·H2O (0, 250, 500, 750, 1,000, 1,250, 1,500, 1,750, 2,000 μL) were added. Finally, the solution was stirring in an oil bath at 95 ° C for 1 h.

4.1.2 Synthesis of graphene colloid with different volumes of N2H4·H2O

100 mg of GO was dispersed in distilled water (100 mL) and then exfoliated to generate GO nanosheets by ultrasonication. The brown suspension was transferred to a round-bottomed flask and 750 μ L of NH₃·H₂O was added to the mixture. Subsequently, different amounts of $N_2H_4·H_2O$ (80%, Guangfu Fine Chemicals, Tianjin, China), namely 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180, 210, and 240 μL were added. The solution was stirred in

an oil bath at 95 ° C for 1 h. After cooling naturally, the solution was frozen at –18 ° C in the refrigerator and directly dehydrated via a freeze drying process to maintain the 3D monolithic architecture. Finally, the as-prepared 3DG was treated by calcination at 350 ° C for 2 h in Ar.

4.1.3 Synthesis of SnO2@3DG

In a typical procedure, 80 mg of 3D graphene was dispersed in a mixture of 80 mL of *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) and 10 mL of deionized water. Subsequently, a precise amount of $SnCl₂·2H₂O$ (0.4 g, Guangfu Fine Chemicals, Tianjin, China) were added to the solution followed by adding 1 mL of HCl (36.0%–38.0%). After stirring for 5 h, the suspension was transferred to a Teflon-lined autoclave (100 mL in capacity), sealed tightly, and heated at 120 ° C for 12 h. The obtained SnO₂@3DG was washed several times with ethanol and dried under vacuum at 120 ° C for 24 h.

4.1.4 Synthesis of SnO2@2DG

The preparation of $SnO_2@2DG$ was similar to the process for $SnO_2@3DG$, except for the replacement of 3DG with 2DG. The 2DG was synthesized by adding NH₃·H₂O (750 μL) and N₂H₄·H₂O (60 μL) to a GO solution (100 mg, 1 mg/mL) without freeze drying, but using a direct filtration and drying process in a vacuum oven.

4.2 Materials characterization

Structural analysis was carried out by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku MiniFlex600, Cu Kα radiation) with a scanning speed of 4° min⁻¹ from 10° -80°. Raman spectra were obtained using a confocal Raman microscope (DXR, Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 532 nm excitation from an argon-ion laser. Morphologies and structures were characterized by SEM (FEI Nanosem 430, 10 kV) and TEM (Philips Tecnai G2F20, 200 kV). The specific surface area and pore size distribution were analyzed using Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms at 77 K on a BELSORP-mini instrument. The TGA measurements were carried out in air flow at a heating rate of 5 ° C·min–1 using a Netzsch STA 449 F3 Jupiter analyzer. The zeta potentials were measured with Zetasizer (Malvern, Nano ZS90). The Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded on a FTIR-650 spectrometer (Gangdong Co., Ltd., Tianjin, China).

The working electrodes were prepared by dispersing the as-prepared material, carbon black, and poly(vinylidene fluoride) binder in a weight ratio of 8:1:1. The resulting slurry was pasted onto copper foil and dried at 110 ° C in vacuum for 8 h. By using Na as counter and reference electrodes, and glass microfiber (Whatman) as the separator, CR2032-type coin cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove box (Mikrouna Universal 2440/750). The electrolyte was $1 M$ NaClO₄ in tetraethylene glycol dimethyl ether (TEGDME) with 5 wt.% fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC). The assembled cells were tested at different rates in the voltage range 0.01 to 2.5 V using a LAND-CT2001A battery-testing instrument. EIS were collected with an AC voltage of 5 mV amplitude in the frequency range from 100 kHz to 10 mHz.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Programs) (No. 2011CB935900), the National Natural Science Foundation (NSFC) (No. 51231003), Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China (No. IRT13R30 and B12015), and Tianjin High-Tech (No. 13JCQNJC06400 and 12ZCZDJC35300).

Electronic Supplementary Material: Supplementary material (colloid tests, FT-IR spectra, SEM images and zeta potential of 3DG prepared with different amounts of $NH_3·H_2O$ and $N_2H_4·H_2O$, SEM images and TEM images of SnO₂@2DG, SEM images and electrochemical performances of $SnO₂@3DG$ with different $SnO₂$ contents, pore size distribution of $SnO_2@3DG$, and R_{ct} values of $SnO_2@3DG$ and $SnO_2@2DG$) is available in the online version of this article at http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/s12274-014-0609-6.

Reference

[1] Huang, X.; Qi, X. Y.; Boey, F.; Zhang, H. Graphene-based composites. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 666–686.

- [2] Xu, Y. X.; Huang, X. Q.; Lin, Z. Y.; Zhong, X.; Huang, Y.; Duan, X. F. One-step strategy to graphene/ $Ni(OH)_{2}$ composite hydrogels as advanced three-dimensional supercapacitor electrode materials. *Nano Res.* **2013**, *6*, 65–76.
- [3] Li, C.; Shi, G. Q. Three-dimensional graphene architectures. *Nanoscale* **2012**, *4*, 5549–5563.
- [4] Nardecchia, S.; Carriazo, D.; Luisa Ferrer, M.; Gutierrez, M. C.; del Monte, F. Three dimensional macroporous architectures and aerogels built of carbon nanotubes and/or graphene: Synthesis and applications. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 794–830.
- [5] Li, W. L.; Lu, K.; Walz, J. Y. Freeze casting of porous materials: review of critical factors in microstructure evolution. *Inter. Mater. Rev.* **2012**, *57*, 37–60.
- [6] Xu, Y. X.; Sheng, K. X.; Li, C.; Shi, G. Q. Self-assembled graphene hydrogel via a one-step hydrothermal process. *ACS Nano* **2010**, *4*, 4324–4330.
- [7] Vickery, J. L.; Patil, A. J.; Mann, S. Fabrication of graphene–polymer nanocomposites with higher-order threedimensional architectures. *Adv. Mater.* **2009**, *21*, 2180–2184.
- [8] Ouyang, W. Z.; Sun, J. H.; Memon, J.; Wang, C.; Geng, J. X.; Huang, Y. Scalable preparation of three-dimensional porous structures of reduced graphene oxide/cellulose composites and their application in supercapacitors. *Carbon* **2013**, *62*, 501–509.
- [9] Deville, S. Freeze-casting of porous ceramics: A review of current achievements and issues. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2008**, *10*, 155–169.
- [10] Li, D.; Müller, M. B.; Gilje, S.; Kaner, R. B.; Wallace, G. G. Processable aqueous dispersions of graphene nanosheets. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2008**, *3*, 101–105.
- [11] Chen, S.; Wang, M.; Ye, J. F.; Cai, J. G.; Ma, Y. R.; Zhou, H. H.; Qi, L. M. Kinetics-controlled growth of aligned mesocrystalline $SnO₂$ nanorod arrays for lithium-ion batteries with superior rate performance. *Nano Res.* **2013**, *6*, 243–252.
- [12] Zhou, X.; Wan, L.-J.; Guo, Y.-G. Binding $SnO₂$ nanocrystals in nitrogen-doped graphene sheets as anode materials for lithium-ion batteries. *Adv. Mater.* **2013**, *25*, 2152–2157.
- [13] Kim, H.; Kim, S.-W.; Park, Y.-U.; Gwon, H.; Seo, D.-H.; Kim, Y.; Kang, K. SnO $\frac{1}{2}$ graphene composite with high lithium storage capability for lithium rechargeable batteries. *Nano Res.* **2010**, *3*, 813–821.
- [14] Cui, L. F.; Shen, J.; Cheng, F. Y.; Tao, Z. L.; Chen, J. SnO₂ nanoparticles@polypyrrole nanowires composite as anode materials for rechargeable lithium-ion batteries. *J. Power Sources* **2011**, *196*, 2195–2201.
- [15] Huang, Y. S.; Wu, D. Q.; Han, S.; Li, S.; Xiao, L.; Zhang, F.; Feng, X. L. Assembly of tin oxide/graphene nanosheets

into 3D hierarchical frameworks for high-performance lithium storage. *ChemSusChem* **2013**, *6*, 1510–1515.

- [16] Su, D. W.; Ahn, H.-J.; Wang, G. X. SnO₂@graphene nanocomposites as anode materials for Na-ion batteries with superior electrochemical performance. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 3131–3133.
- [17] Wang, Y.-X.; Lim, Y.-G.; Park, M.-S.; Chou, S.-L.; Kim, J. H.; Liu, H.-K.; Dou, S.-X.; Kim, Y.-J. Ultrafine $SnO₂$ nanoparticle loading onto reduced graphene oxide as anodes for sodium-ion batteries with superior rate and cycling performances. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2014**, *2*, 529–534.
- [18] Xiao, M.; Du, X. S.; Meng, Y. Z.; Gong, K. C. The influence of thermal treatment conditions on the structures and electrical conductivities of graphite oxide. *New Carbon Mater.* **2004**, *19*, 92–96.
- [19] Nguyen, S. T.; Ruoff, R. S.; Stankovich, S.; Dikin, D. A.; Piner, R. D.; Kohlhaas, K. A.; Kleinhammes, A.; Jia, Y.; Wu, Y. Synthesis of graphene-based nanosheets via chemical reduction of exfoliated graphite oxide. *Carbon* **2007**, *45*, 1558–1565.
- [20] Zhu, Z. Q.; Cheng, F. Y.; Chen, J. Investigation of effects of carbon coating on the electrochemical performance of Li4Ti5O12/C nanocomposites. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2013**, *1*, 9484–9490.
- [21] Liang, J. F.; Cai, Z.; Tian, Y.; Li, L. D.; Geng, J. X.; Guo, L. Deposition $SnO₂/nitrogen-doped graphene nanocomposites$ on the separator: A new type of flexible electrode for energy storage devices. *ACS Appl. Mater. Inter* **2013**, *5*, 12148–12155.
- [22] Wang, Z. Y.; Zhang, H.; Li, N.; Shi, Z. J.; Gu, Z. N.; Cao, G. P. Laterally confined graphene nanosheets and graphene/ $SnO₂$ composites as high-rate anode materials for lithium-ion batteries. *Nano Res.* **2010**, *3*, 748–756.
- [23] Wang, L. J.; Zhang, K.; Hu, Z.; Duan, W. C.; Cheng, F. Y.; Chen, J. Porous CuO nanowires as the anode of rechargeable Na-ion batteries. *Nano Res.* **2014**, *7*, 199–208.
- [24] Cheng, F. Y.; Wang, H. B.; Zhu, Z. Q.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, T. R.; Tao, Z. L.; Chen, J. Porous $Limn_2O_4$ nanorods with durable high-rate capability for rechargeable Li-ion batteries. *Energ Environ. Sci.* **2011**, *4*, 3668–3675.
- [25] Gao, H.; Hu, Z.; Zhang, K.; Cheng, F.; Chen, J. Intergrown $Li₂FeSiO₄LiFePO₄-C$ nanocomposites as high-capacity cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 3040–3042.
- [26] McAllister, M. J.; Li, J.-L.; Adamson, D. H.; Schniepp, H. C.; Abdala, A. A.; Liu, J.; Herrera-Alonso, M.; Milius, D. L.; Car, R.; Prud'homme, R. K.; et al. Single sheet functionalized graphene by oxidation and thermal expansion of graphite. *Chem. Mater.* **2007**, *19*, 4396–4404.