## **REGULAR ARTICLE**



# **Characterization and Mechanism Analysis of Flexible UV Irradiated PAN‑Based Carbon Fiber Membranes Prepared**

**Chao Hou1 · Miao Yu<sup>1</sup> · Binjie Xin[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0683-8018)**

Received: 23 June 2023 / Revised: 25 September 2023 / Accepted: 1 October 2023 / Published online: 26 October 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to the Korean Fiber Society 2023

#### **Abstract**

To enhance the fexibility of electrostatically spun PAN-based carbon nanofber flms for wearable textile applications, this study aimed to prepare PAN nanofber flms by incorporating the 1173 photoinitiator. Subsequently, UV irradiation, preoxidation treatment, and high-temperature carbonization processes were employed to develop PAN nanofber flms with improved mechanical properties. The results indicated that UV irradiation treatment signifcantly promoted the degree of pre-oxidation reaction in PAN nanofber membranes. Thermal performance characterization demonstrated that UV irradiation reduced the initiation temperature of the cyclization reaction and mitigated the concentration of exothermic phenomena. Raman spectra analysis revealed increased graphitization in the carbon nanofber flm following UV irradiation, as evidenced by a decrease in the ID/IG value to 0.908. This suggests that UV irradiation facilitated stable carbonization and enhanced the graphitization of the carbon fber within PAN nanofber flms. Moreover, the stress–strain curve indicated that the breaking strength of the nanofber flm reached 1.45 MPa after 20 min of UV irradiation while maintaining an elongation at a break of 3.5%, demonstrating its remarkable strength and toughness. The resulting fexible carbon nanofber flm holds great potential for medical textiles, fltration membranes, fexible capacitors, and more applications.

**Keywords** Carbon fber · UV irradiation · High-temperature carbonization · Flexible · Wearable textile

## **1 Introduction**

Carbon fbers are high-strength and lightweight materials with over 92 wt% carbon [[1\]](#page-7-0). Surface treatments are often applied to carbon fbers to enhance their functionality and performance. These treatments include anodic oxidation [\[2](#page-7-1)], plasma treatment  $[3, 4]$  $[3, 4]$  $[3, 4]$  $[3, 4]$ , oxyfluorination  $[5]$  $[5]$  $[5]$ , ozone treatment [[6\]](#page-7-5), fuorination [[7\]](#page-7-6), argon ion beam treatment [\[8](#page-7-7)[–10](#page-7-8)], metal coating  $[11-14]$  $[11-14]$  $[11-14]$ , and chemical treatment  $[15, 16]$  $[15, 16]$  $[15, 16]$  $[15, 16]$ . These surface modifcations fnd extensive applications in various industrial felds, such as adsorption [\[17,](#page-7-13) [18](#page-7-14)], detox-ification [\[19\]](#page-7-15), catalysis [[20\]](#page-7-16), adhesion or composites [\[21,](#page-7-17) [22](#page-7-18)], and electrochemistry [[23,](#page-7-19) [24\]](#page-7-20). Due to their excellent electrical conductivity, thermal stability, and high specifc surface area, carbon fbers are widely utilized in sensors, oil–water separation, air fltration, catalytic degradation, and heavy metal ion detection [[25](#page-7-21)]. The main types of carbon

 $\boxtimes$  Binjie Xin xinbj@sues.edu.cn fbers used in industrial production are polyacrylonitrile (PAN)-based carbon fbers, viscous-based carbon fbers, and asphalt-based carbon fbers. PAN-based carbon fbers are a promising precursor material due to their cost-efectiveness and high yield.

However, conventional electrostatically spun carbon nanofber flms are prone to brittleness and breakage, which hinder their applications in various felds [[26\]](#page-7-22). Addressing this issue is crucial to expanding carbon nanofber membranes' application range. In recent years, there has been signifcant research interest in fexible carbon nanofber membranes, aiming to overcome their fragility and explore their potential applications in medical textiles, fltration membrane products, fexible capacitors, and other felds.

To obtain carbon fbers with excellent mechanical properties, pre-oxidation is commonly performed before the carbonization of polyacrylonitrile, resulting in a stable trapezoidal molecular structure. Previous studies have mainly focused on the thermal oxidation process of polyacrylonitrile. For instance, Shokrani Havigh et al. [[27](#page-7-23)] researched the carbonization temperature for converting polyacrylonitrile precursor fbers into carbon fbers. Liu and Kumar [[28\]](#page-7-24)

 $1$  School of Textiles and Fashion, Shanghai University of Engineering Science, Shanghai 201620, China

reported the relationship between processing conditions, chemical/physical structure, and tensile properties. However, the thermal oxidation process is time-consuming  $(2-3 h)$ and incurs high costs and energy consumption. As a result, alternative methods based on radiation oxidation have been developed, which induce changes in the polymer structure and positively impact the mechanical strength of polyacrylonitrile-based carbon fbers. Nevertheless, further improvements are necessary to enhance their convenience and environmental friendliness. Shortening the pre-oxidation phase of polyacrylonitrile fbers remains a focal point of research. Irradiation treatments have signifcantly afected polyacrylonitrile's molecular chain structure and thermal properties, forming free radicals and small molecules that can result in cross-linking or chain breakage.

In this study, 1173 was used as a photoinitiator to prepare a polyacrylonitrile spinning solution, which was then subjected to electrostatic spinning to obtain the primary fbrous flm. Subsequently, the flm underwent UV irradiation and thermal oxidation treatment to achieve an intermediate flm with a stable structure. Finally, high-temperature carbonization was conducted to obtain the fnal fexible carbon nanofiber membrane (FCNF) with sufficient mechanical strength. By investigating diferent UV irradiation times, it was found that UV irradiation treatment promoted the degree of pre-oxidation reaction of PAN nanofber membranes and facilitated the stable carbonization of PAN nanofber membranes and the best mechanical properties of carbon fber membranes were obtained at 20 min of UV irradiation.

## **2 Experimental**

## **2.1 Materials**

Polyacrylonitrile (PAN, Mw =150,000), *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF, purity 99.5%), anhydrous ethanol (CH<sub>3</sub>OH), and nitrogen (N2) were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. The photoinitiator 1173 (2-hydroxy-2-methylpropiophenone, purity>96.0%) was purchased from Toshiyaki (Shanghai) Chemical Industry Development Co. All reagents were used as received without further purifcation.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Flow chart of carbon fber preparation

#### **2.2 Preparation of Carbon Fiber Membranes**

Firstly, a PAN spinning solution was prepared by weighing a specifc amount of photoinitiator 1173 and PAN powder and adding them to DMF. The mass fraction of PAN in the spinning solution was set at 15%, with the photoinitiator 1173 mass being 2% of the PAN powder mass. The solution was then stirred on a magnetic stirrer for 8 h to achieve uniformity. Subsequently, the spinning solution was loaded into a 5 mL syringe, and a metal nozzle was connected to a rigid tube and hose. The metal receiver roller was wrapped with aluminum foil, and a high-voltage power supply was connected in preparation for electrostatic spinning. The electrostatic spinning process was conducted with a feed rate of 1.0 mL h−1, a voltage of 19 kV, and a distance of 15 cm between the needle and the roller collector. The humidity inside the electrostatic spinning chamber was maintained at  $75 \pm 5\%$ , and the temperature was set at  $20 \pm 2$  °C. After 4 h of spinning, the primary flm was detached and dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 8 h. The dried flms were then cut into 5 equal sizes and exposed to UV light in a PAN-TONE chamber for 0, 5, 10, 20, and 30 min, respectively, resulting in samples named UV-0, UV-5, UV-10, UV-20, and UV-30. Similarly, the samples were pre-oxidized for 45, 75, and 105 min, and the exact UV light treatment durations were applied. The carbon flm subjected to 5 min of UV light irradiation and 105 min of pre-oxidation was denoted as UV-5–105—other samples named in this way. Finally, the pre-oxidized flms were trimmed to appropriate sizes, placed in a quartz boat, and carbonized in a tube furnace. The carbonization process involved a temperature ramp from 50 to 1000 °C at a heating rate of 5 °C/min, with a 2-h holding time at the fnal temperature. Figure [1](#page-1-0) presents the fow chart depicting the preparation of carbon fber flms.

## **2.3 Characterization**

The morphology of the samples was examined by SEM (Zeiss Gemini 300). ImageJ's image processing program was used to measure the fber diameter and membrane porosity. FTIR (Spectrum Two, USA)) analyzed the molecular chain



structures. The extent of reaction (EOR) was measured by Eq. ([1\)](#page-2-0). The mechanical properties of the nano-fibrous membranes were evaluated at a strain rate of 2 mm/min using an XS (08) XT-2 Single Fiber Strength Tester (Shanghai Xusai Instruments Co.). The FCNF oscillated in the ultrasonic device (SK2200GT, Shanghai Kudos Ultrasonic Instrument Co., Ltd.) for a certain period to demonstrate the strength of the membranes. Wide-angle X-ray difraction (XRD) analysis was performed using a Bruker D8 Advance (Cu Kα irradiated radiation) difractometer. The Raman spectra were acquired on a Renishaw Raman via spectrometer. The thermal properties of the samples were determined by diferential scanning calorimeter DSC4000 (Perkin Elmer, USA) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA4000, PerKin Elmer, USA).

$$
EOR = \frac{I_{1600}}{I_{1600} + I_{C \equiv N}}
$$
(1)

 $I_{C \equiv N}$  indicates the intensity of the absorption peak of the cyanide group, and  $I_{1600}$  suggests the intensity of the absorption peak of the conjugated C=N group at  $1600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

## **3 Results and Discussions**

#### **3.1 Morphology of Carbon Nanofbers**

Figure [2\(](#page-2-1)a–c, e, f) displayed SEM images of carbonized nanofber flms after various durations of UV irradiation treatment, corresponding to irradiation times of 0 min, 5 min, 10 min, 20 min, and 30 min, respectively. Figure [2f](#page-2-1) presents the corresponding distribution of carbon nanofber diameters. Figure [2g](#page-2-1) featured the SEM image of the PANcarbonized nanofber membrane, while Fig. [2](#page-2-1)d depicted the corresponding distribution of carbon nanofiber diameters. Examining Fig. [2](#page-2-1)g, it becomes evident that the surface of the PAN-based carbon fbers was smooth and straight, displaying a disordered arrangement among the fbers, with a diameter of approximately 253 nm. Figure [2](#page-2-1)(a–c, e, f) demonstrated that carbon nanofbers subjected to diferent UV irradiation times had slightly roughened surfaces, exhibited similar apparent morphologies with almost no diferences, and displayed fber diameters that were uniformly distributed in the range of 200–250 nm.

### **3.2 Structure of Carbon Nanofbers**

<span id="page-2-0"></span>The PAN virgin fber membranes were subjected to UV irradiation for various durations and subsequently pre-oxidized in an air atmosphere for 45 min, 75 min, and 105 min, respectively. The FTIR spectra were acquired using a Fourier infrared spectrometer, as depicted in Fig. [3.](#page-3-0) Figure [4](#page-3-1) showed the PAN nanofber flm's color change at diferent pre-oxidation times at 250 °C. The degree of pre-oxidation reaction was determined using the provided formula, as presented in Table [1.](#page-3-2)

The stretching vibration absorption peak of –C≡N at 2245 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the absorption peak corresponding to –CH<sub>2</sub> at 1454 cm−1 exhibited thin and sharp profles, while the intensity of the C=N and C=C conjugate stretching vibration peaks at 1600 cm−1 was weak. This indicates the incipient cyclization and dehydrogenation reactions, suggesting that the response was at an early stage. Concurrently, the physical appearance of the 45-min pre-oxidation sample displayed a faint yellow color, resembling that of the primary fiber film (Fig. [4\)](#page-3-1), which was corroborated by the IR spectra. Despite being in the early stages, the calculated EOR values demonstrated that UV irradiation had a benefcial infuence on pre-oxidation.



<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Fig. 2 a**–**c**, **e**, **f** Carbonized nanofber membranes after UV irradiation for diferent times, **g** PAN carbonized nanofber membrane, **d** diameter distribution of pure polyacrylonitrile carbonized nanofbers, **h**

diameter distribution of carbonized nanofbers after UV irradiation at diferent times



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Diferent times of UV irradiation of PAN fber membrane after **a** pre-oxidation for 45 min, **b** pre-oxidation for 75 min, and **c** pre-oxidation for 105 min



<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Fig. 4** Color change of PAN nanofber membrane at diferent times of pre-oxidation at 250 °C

<span id="page-3-2"></span>**Table 1** The degree of response is calculated from FTIR plots

Time (min)	$\theta$	5.	10	20	30
45	0.5419	0.5453	0.5387	0.5494	0.5325
75	0.5711	0.5745	0.5746	0.5762	0.5702
105	0.5817	0.5843	0.5855	0.5875	0.5850

Following 75 min of heating, the intensity of the  $-C \equiv N$ absorption peak considerably decreased, while the absorption peak of C=N gradually intensifed, indicating further progress in the cyclization reaction. The physical appearance of the 75 min pre-oxidation sample exhibited a light-yellow color, and the EOR values listed in Table [1](#page-3-2) were all higher compared to the 45 min heating, indicating a more profound pre-oxidation reaction for the nanofiber membrane with increased heating time under the same irradiation period. As the UV irradiation time increased, the EOR value initially increased and then decreased. This can be attributed to the fact that prolonged UV irradiation detrimentally afected the macromolecular chain structure of PAN itself, negatively impacting the subsequent pre-oxidation process. As the heating time increased, the physical appearance exhibited a darker yellow color, as exemplifed by the 105 min preoxidation sample in Fig. [4.](#page-3-1) In conclusion, appropriate UV irradiation treatment enhanced the reactivity of the PAN nanofber flm within the same pre-oxidation duration.

## **3.3 Mechanical Properties**

Based on the combination of the EOR values and the preoxidized fbers' physical diagram, the 105 min pre-oxidized sample exhibited a relatively more adequate reaction. Consequently, other properties were further investigated. The stress–strain curves of carbon nanofber flms with diferent UV irradiation times are shown in Fig. [5](#page-4-0)a. Notably, UV-0–105 could not be characterized due to its brittleness. Of particular interest is the UV-20–105 sample, which exhibited the most favorable mechanical properties at a carbonization temperature of 1000 °C. It maintained an elongation at a break of 3.5% and achieved a breaking strength of 1.45 MPa, indicating considerable strength and toughness. Although UV-30–105 attained a higher elongation at a break of 3.7%, its breaking strength was only 0.4 MPa. The results for UV-5–105 and UV-10–105 were slightly better but still fell short. This is mainly because the photoinitiator 1173 is excited by UV irradiation, which causes PAN to produce free radicals and induces the formation of crosslinks between molecular chains, improving the material's mechanical properties. Prolonged UV irradiation may trigger molecular chain breakage or chain depolymerization reaction, Therefore, the mechanical properties decreased after 30 min irradiation time. As anticipated, UV irradiation treatment signifcantly infuenced the mechanical properties, with an irradiation time of 20 min deemed suitable.

In Fig. [5b](#page-4-0), the ultrasonic oscillation experiment was conducted to qualitatively assess the strength of the carbon nanofber flm by simulating external destructive forces

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 5 a** Stress–strain curve and **b** ultrasonic oscillation test of carbon nanofber membrane





<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 6** Raman spectrogram of carbon nanofber membrane

using ultrasonic waves. Visual observations revealed varying degrees of damage to UV-0–105 and UV-30–105 within 3 min. After 5 min, the beakers containing UV-5–105, UV-10–105, and UV-20–105 showed slight cloudiness, indicating partial breakage of the carbon nanofber flm. By the spanning time of 10 min, the distilled water in the UV-20–105 beaker was relatively clear, while the other samples had utterly disintegrated. This experiment indirectly demonstrated the excellent mechanical properties of UV-20–105.

## **3.4 Crystallinity Analysis**

Raman spectroscopy was utilized to examine the crystalline structure of the various carbon fbers. The Raman spectra presented in Fig. [6](#page-4-1) showcased two bands: the "D-peak" associated with disordered carbon structures and the "G-peak" associated with well-organized graphite structures. A lower ID/IG value indicated a more ordered carbon fber construction, while a higher ID/IG value indicated the opposite



<span id="page-4-2"></span>**Fig. 7** XRD plots of carbon nanofber membranes

[\[29](#page-7-25)]. The ID/IG values before and after UV irradiation were depicted in Fig. [6](#page-4-1), refecting the alteration in the crystalline state of the carbon nanofber surface. The ID/IG values of the carbon nanofber flms decreased from 0.925 without treatment to 0.908 after UV irradiation, indicating that the stable carbonization of the PAN nanofber flms was facilitated, and the graphitization of the carbon fbers was enhanced.

In Fig. [7,](#page-4-2) broad difraction peaks were observed around  $2\theta = 26^\circ$  and 45°, corresponding to the characteristic peaks of the graphite (002) and (100) crystals on the surface. This indicated that the sample had undergone partial graphitization following high-temperature carbonization. The appearance of these graphite crystal facets may be due to the crystal structure adjustment triggered by UV irradiation, which promotes the growth of graphite crystal facets. A leftward shift of the XRD curves was observed in the fgure as the UV irradiation time increased, suggesting more signifcant cell parameters and increased crystalline surface spacing. The increase in cell parameters may be related to the action of UV light, which may lead to the breaking or structural change of some bonds in the polyacrylonitrile (PAN) molecule, afecting the crystal size and cell parameters. The grain size was calculated to be 10.59 nm for UV-10–105 and 10.37 nm for UV-20–105 using X-ray difraction analysis, according to the Debye–Scherrer Eq. [\(2](#page-5-0)). These changes in grain size may be due to UV irradiation triggering chain depolymerization reactions in the PAN molecules, leading to adjustments in the crystal structure and changes in grain size. The changes in grain size may afect the number and distribution of grain boundaries, which in turn afects the mechanical properties of the samples. The grain size fundamentally refected the size of the grain boundary region. A fner grain size resulted in more grain boundaries, increased interlacing, larger grain boundary areas, and a more uniform distribution of deformation among the grains.

Consequently, this facilitated reduced stress concentration, enhanced crack avoidance, and improved strength and toughness of the material. The material's mechanical properties were closely related to its crystallization [\[30\]](#page-7-26). Therefore, it was anticipated that the fbers subjected to 20 min of UV irradiation would exhibit superior mechanical properties.

$$
D_{hkl} = \frac{k\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta_{hkl}}\tag{2}
$$

where  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of X-rays,  $\theta$  is the diffraction angle,  $\beta$  is the width at half height (FWHM) of the diffraction peak at  $2\theta$ , and  $k = 0.89$  is a constant.

### **3.5 Thermal Performance Analysis**

The thermal properties of PAN nanofiber films under different UV irradiation times were analyzed using DSC spectra (Fig. [8\)](#page-5-1). Since the experiments were conducted under an N2 atmosphere, only the cyclization reaction occurred, while no indication oxidation reaction occurred. As depicted in Fig. [8,](#page-5-1) PAN exhibited a narrow exothermic peak, indicating the rapid release of a signifcant amount of reaction heat within a short period. This phenomenon can lead to the breakage of PAN molecular chains and is not conducive to producing high-performance carbon fbers. However, with increasing UV irradiation time, the DSC curve broadened and shifted to the left, indicating that UV irradiation treatment could induce cyclization and moderate the exothermic reaction. The enthalpy of the



<span id="page-5-1"></span>Fig. 8 DSC curves under  $N_2$  atmosphere with different UV irradiation times

<span id="page-5-0"></span>DSC exotherm under N2 conditions increased with longer UV irradiation time, as presented in Table [2,](#page-6-0) with UV-20 exhibiting the highest enthalpy of 686.13 J/g, suggesting a higher extent of nitrile group cyclization. This can be attributed to the excitation of more radicals and the promotion of the cyclization reaction by UV irradiation. These results confrm that UV irradiation before pre-oxidation can enhance the extent of the pre-oxidation response and alleviate the concentrated exothermic efect caused by the cyclization reaction during pre-oxidation treatment.

Figure [9](#page-6-1) illustrates PAN fbers' thermogravimetric (TG) curves under a nitrogen atmosphere for varying UV irradiation durations. The fgure reveals three distinctive weight loss stages for PAN fbers. The initial stage occurs within the temperature range of 94–130 ℃, attributed primarily to water evaporation. The subsequent stage spans from 310 to 480 ℃, during which both UV-irradiated and non-irradiated PAN fbers exhibit signifcant weight reduction, ranging from 36 to 44%. Remarkably, the PAN fber subjected to 20 min of UV irradiation displays the swiftest weight loss within this range, primarily attributed to cyclization and dehydrogenation reactions. The fnal stage occurs at temperatures ranging from 480 to 800 ℃, with a deceleration in fber weight loss. During this phase, cyclized molecular chains within the fber manifest increased stability, whereas uncyclized or cross-linked molecular chains undergo thermal cracking reactions. These fndings prove that UV irradiation efectively instigates rapid cyclization reactions within fbers by generating free radicals through chain breakage.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 2** Temperature and enthalpy of cyclization reaction at diferent UV irradiation times





<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 9** TG curves under  $N_2$  atmosphere with different UV irradiation times

## **3.6 Mechanistic Analysis**

Previous studies have substantiated that UV irradiation on PAN generates free radicals, facilitating cross-linking between PAN molecular chains [\[31](#page-7-27)]. To a certain degree, this cross-linked structure hampers the disorientation of PAN nanofibers at elevated temperatures, thereby positively infuencing the mechanical properties of CNF flms [[28\]](#page-7-24). The photoinitiator 1173 is activated by UV irradiation, resulting in its conversion to excited state molecules or ions. These excited state molecules or ions can trigger the breaking of specifc bonds in the polyacrylonitrile molecule,

<span id="page-6-2"></span>**Fig. 10** Mechanism of the pre-oxidation reaction of PAN molecules under UV irradiation generating free radicals with unpaired electrons. Figure [10](#page-6-2) illustrates that oxygen in the ambient air reacts with the free radicals on the surface of PAN molecules, promoting crosslinking and initiating cyclization reactions. UV irradiation expedites the conversion of  $-C \equiv N$  to  $-C=N$ , resulting in a lowered starting temperature for the PAN cyclization reaction. During the pre-oxidation stage of PAN, cyclization, oxidation, and cross-linking reactions constitute the cyclization process. However, suppose the exothermic reaction is excessively concentrated. In that case, it can give rise to surface defects in the fbers and establish a skin–core structure, ultimately impeding sufficient pre-oxidation and adversely afecting the mechanical properties of the resulting carbon fibers.

## **4 Conclusion**

In this study, a series of processes, including electrostatic spinning, UV irradiation, pre-oxidation, and carbonization, were employed to prepare fexible carbon nanofber membranes with desirable mechanical strength using polyacrylonitrile (PAN) nanofiber membranes as the starting material. The fndings demonstrated that UV irradiation treatment enhanced the degree of pre-oxidation reaction, promoted graphitization, reduced surface defects, and increased the number while decreasing the size of grains in PAN nanofber membranes. The DSC analysis revealed an increase in exothermic enthalpy and broadening of peak shape with prolonged UV irradiation time. Notably, the highest enthalpy recorded for UV-20 was 686.13 J/g, which provided evidence that UV irradiation before pre-oxidation facilitated



 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

the pre-oxidation process. The toughening mechanism of PAN-based carbon nanofber flms through UV irradiation was elucidated by analyzing the changes in PAN molecular structure and molecular chains, leading to the generation of free radicals on PAN fbers. This initiates cyclization reactions and other processes at lower temperatures, broadening the temperature range in which exothermic reactions occur and mitigating their concentration. Consequently, the PAN fbers achieve stable and adequate pre-oxidation, resulting in improved mechanical properties of the carbon nanofber film. These findings offer valuable insights for advancing flexible carbon nanofiber film research.

**Acknowledgements** This project was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11702169) and Class III Peak Discipline of Shanghai—Materials Science and Engineering (High-Energy Beam Intelligent Processing and Green Manufacturing) (Project No.19YF1417900).

**Data Availability Statement** The data supporting the results of this study are presented in their entirety in the manuscript. These data are available from the authors upon reasonable request.

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors certify that none of their known fnancial conficts of interest or close personal ties might have appeared to have infuenced the research presented in this study.

## **References**

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>1. D. Gizik, C. Metzner, P. Middendorf, In: *20th International Conference on Composite Materials (ICCM)*, Copenhagen (2015)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>2. Y. Fu, Y. Lu, T. You, J. Wu, W. Cao, Surface and interface. Analysis **51**(8), 798 (2019)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>3. H. Lee, G. Kim, K. Kim, H. Kim, D.U. Kim, J. Mater. Eng. Perform. **32**(1), 415 (2023)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>4. J. Xiao, X. Zhang, Z. Zhao, J. Liu, Q. Chen, X. Wang, ACS Omega **7**(13), 10963 (2022)
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>5. Y.-J. Yim, K.-M. Bae, S.-J. Park, Macromol. Res. **26**(9), 794 (2018)
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>6. J. Li, Iran. J. Chem. Chem. Eng. Int. Engl. Ed. **29**(1), 141 (2010)
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>7. J.-C. Agopian, O. Teraube, M. Dubois, K. Charlet, Appl. Surf. Sci. **534**, 147647 (2020)
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>8. A.M. Borisov, N.G. Chechenin, V.A. Kazakov, E.S. Mashkova, M.A. Ovchinnikov, Nucl. Instrum. Method Phys. Res. Sect. B Beam Interact. Mater. Atoms. **460**, 132 (2019)
- 9. V.A. Anikin, A.M. Borisov, A.V. Makunin, E.S. Mashkova, M.A. Ovchinnikov, Phys. At. Nucl. **81**(11), 1547 (2018)
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>10. H. Maier, M. Rasinski, U. von Toussaint, H. Greuner, B. Boeswirth, M. Balden, S. Elgeti, C. Ruset, G.F. Matthews, Phys. Scripta. **T167**, 014048 (2016)
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>11. J.-J. Sha, Z.-Z. Lu, R.-Y. Sha, Y.-F. Zu, J.-X. Dai, Y.-Q. Xian, W. Zhang, D. Cui, C.-L. Yan, Trans. Nonferr. Metals Soc. China. **31**(2), 317 (2021)
- 12. V.A. Nelyub, S.Y. Fedorov, G.V. Malysheva, A.A. Berlin, Fibre Chem. **53**(4), 252 (2021)
- 13. G.T. Lee, Y.K.I. Cho, W.S. Kim, J. Y. Kim. **70**(7), 1022 (2021)
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>14. B. Du, S. Zhou, X. Zhang, C. Hong, Q. Qu, Surf. Coat. Technol. **350**, 146 (2018)
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>15. S. N. M. Salleh, N. M. Zainon, M. Z. Abdullah, The Efect of Oleic Acid as Post Spinning Treatment on Tensile strength of Polyacrylonitrile Fibers, vol. 1901. In 3rd Advanced Materials Conference (AMC), Langkawi (2016)
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>16. D. Chukov, S. Nematulloev, M. Zadorozhnyy, V. Tcherdyntsev, A. Stepashkin, D. Zherebtsov, Polymers **11**(4), 684 (2019)
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>17. B. Petrovic, M. Gorbounov, S. Masoudi Soltani, Micropor Mesopor Mater. **312**, 110751 (2021)
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>18. Z. Wang, W. Xu, F. Jie, Z. Zhao, K. Zhou, H. Liu, Sci. Rep. **11**(1), 16878 (2021)
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>19. B.-J. Kim, K.-M. Bae, H.-M. Lee, S.-J. Kang, S.-J. Park, J. Nanomater. **16**, 328 (2016)
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>20. W.-K. Jo, S. Karthikeyan, M.A. Isaacs, A.F. Lee, K. Wilson, S.-H. Shin, J.-H. Lee, M.-K. Kim, B.-S. Park, G. J. J. O. T. T. I. O. C. E. Sekaran. **74**, 289 (2017)
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>21. S.P. Kumar, K. Jayanarayanan, M. Balachandran, Compos. Part B Eng. **253**, 110560 (2023)
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>22. Z. Zhang, X. Li, S. Jestin, S. Termine, A.-F. Trompeta, A. Araújo, R. M. Santos, C. Charitidis, H. J. P. Dong*.* **13**(20), 3457 (2021)
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>23. N. Sivaraman, V. Duraisamy, S.M.S. Kumar, R. J. C. Thangamuthu. **307**, 135771 (2022)
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>24. F. Ding, G. Zhang, C. Chen, S. Jiang, H. Tang, L. Tan, M. J. A. A. E. M. Ma. **3**(2), 761 (2021)
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>25. F. Cesano, M.J. Uddin, K. Lozano, M. Zanetti, D. J. F. i. M. Scarano. **7**, 219 (2020)
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>26. S. Mallakpour, and E. J. C. E. J. Khadem*.* **302**, 344 (2016)
- <span id="page-7-23"></span>27. R. Shokrani Havigh, H. Mahmoudi Chenari, Sci. Rep, **12** (1), 10704 (2022)
- <span id="page-7-24"></span>28. S.-Y. Son, A.Y. Jo, G.Y. Jung, Y.-S. Chung, S. Lee, J. Ind. Eng. Chem. **73**, 47 (2019)
- <span id="page-7-25"></span>29. P. Feng, G. Song, X. Li, H. Xu, L. Xu, D. Lv, X. Zhu, Y. Huang, L. Ma, J. Coll. Interface Sci. **583**, 13 (2021)
- <span id="page-7-26"></span>30. H. Yuan, Y. Wang, H. Yu, Z. Wei, B. Ge, Y. Mei, J. Wuhan Univ. Technol. Mater Sci. Ed. **26**(3), 449 (2011)
- <span id="page-7-27"></span>31. A.Y. Jo, S.H. Yoo, Y.-S. Chung, S. Lee, Carbon **144**, 440 (2019)

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.