



# $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ Boundedness for the Calderón Commutator with Rough Kernel

Jiecheng Chen<sup>1</sup> · Guoen Hu<sup>2</sup> · Xiangxing Tao<sup>2</sup>

Received: 22 February 2022 / Accepted: 22 August 2022 / Published online: 27 October 2022  
© Mathematica Josephina, Inc. 2022

## Abstract

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Omega$  be homogeneous of degree zero, integrable on  $S^{d-1}$  and have vanishing moment of order  $k$ ,  $a$  be a function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\nabla a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and  $T_{\Omega, a; k}$  be the  $d$ -dimensional Calderón commutator defined by

$$T_{\Omega, a; k} f(x) = \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{d+k}} (a(x) - a(y))^k f(y) dy.$$

In this paper, the authors prove that if

$$\sup_{\zeta \in S^{d-1}} \int_{S^{d-1}} |\Omega(\theta)| \log^\beta \left( \frac{1}{|\theta \cdot \zeta|} \right) d\theta < \infty,$$

with  $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ , then for  $\frac{2\beta}{2\beta-1} < p < 2\beta$ ,  $T_{\Omega, a; k}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Keywords** Calderón commutator · Fourier transform · Littlewood–Paley theory · Calderón reproducing formula · Approximation

---

The research of Jiecheng Chen was supported by the NNSF of China under Grant #12071437, the research of Guoen Hu (corresponding) author was supported by the NNSF of China under Grants #11871108, and the research of Xiangxing Tao was supported by the NNSF of China under Grant #12271483.

---

✉ Guoen Hu  
guoenxx@163.com

Jiecheng Chen  
jcchen@zjnu.edu.cn

Xiangxing Tao  
xxtao@zust.edu.cn

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, People's Republic of China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, School of Science, Zhejiang University of Science and Technology, Hangzhou 310023, People's Republic of China

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 42B20

**1 Introduction**

We will work on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Omega$  be homogeneous of degree zero, integrable on  $S^{d-1}$ , the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and have vanishing moment of order  $k$ , that is, for all multi-indices  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ ,

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} \Omega(\theta)\theta^\gamma d\theta = 0, \quad |\gamma| = k. \tag{1.1}$$

Let  $a$  be a function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\nabla a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Define the  $d$ -dimensional Calderón commutator  $T_{\Omega,a;k}$  by

$$T_{\Omega,a;k}f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{d+k}} (a(x) - a(y))^k f(y) dy. \tag{1.2}$$

For simplicity, we denote  $T_{\Omega,a;1}$  by  $T_{\Omega,a}$ . Commutators of this type were introduced by Calderón [1], who proved that if  $\Omega \in L \log L(S^{d-1})$ , then  $T_{\Omega,a}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . It should be pointed out that Calderón’s result in [1] also holds for  $T_{\Omega,a;k}$ . Pan et al. [13] improved Calderón’s result, and obtained the following conclusion.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $\Omega$  be homogeneous of degree zero, satisfy the vanishing moment (1.1) with  $k = 1$ ,  $a$  be a function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\nabla a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Suppose that  $\Omega \in H^1(S^{d-1})$  (the Hardy space on  $S^{d-1}$ ), then  $T_{\Omega,a}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$ .*

Chen et al. [4] showed that the converse of Theorem 1.1 is also true. Precisely, Chen et al. [4, p. 1501] established the following result.

**Theorem 1.2** *Let  $\Omega$  be homogeneous of degree zero,  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}_\alpha(S^{d-1})$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , and satisfy the vanishing moment (1.1) with  $k = 1$ ,  $a \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . If  $T_{\Omega,a}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for some  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , then  $\nabla a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .*

Hofmann [10] considered the weighted  $L^p$  boundedness with  $A_p$  weights for  $T_{\Omega,a;k}$ , and proved that if  $\Omega \in L^\infty(S^{d-1})$  and satisfies (1.1), then for  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $T_{\Omega,a;k}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, w)$ , where and in the following,  $A_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  denotes the weight function class of Muckenhoupt, see [7, Chap. 9] for the definition and properties of  $A_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Ding and Lai [5] considered the weak type endpoint estimate for  $T_{\Omega,a}$ , and proved that  $\Omega \in L \log L(S^{d-1})$  is a sufficient condition such that  $T_{\Omega,a}$  is bounded from  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  to  $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

For  $\beta \in [1, \infty)$ , we say that  $\Omega \in GS_\beta(S^{d-1})$  if  $\Omega \in L^1(S^{d-1})$  and

$$\sup_{\zeta \in S^{d-1}} \int_{S^{d-1}} |\Omega(\theta)| \log^\beta \left( \frac{1}{|\zeta \cdot \theta|} \right) d\theta < \infty. \tag{1.3}$$

The condition (1.3) was introduced by Grafakos and Stefanov [8] in order to study the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  boundedness for the homogeneous singular integral operator defined by

$$T_\Omega f(x) = \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^d} f(y) dy, \tag{1.4}$$

where  $\Omega$  is homogeneous of degree zero and has mean value zero on  $S^{d-1}$ . Obviously,  $L(\log L)^\beta(S^{d-1}) \subset GS_\beta(S^{d-1})$ . On the other hand, as it was pointed out in [8], there exist integrable functions on  $S^{d-1}$  which are not in  $H^1(S^{d-1})$  but satisfy (1.3) for all  $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ . Thus, it is of interest to consider the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  boundedness for operators such as  $T_\Omega$  and  $T_{\Omega,a;k}$  when  $\Omega \in GS_\beta(S^{d-1})$ . Grafakos and Stefanov [8] proved that if  $\Omega \in GS_\beta(S^{d-1})$  for some  $\beta \in (1, \infty]$ , then  $T_\Omega$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $1 + 1/\beta < p < 1 + \beta$ . Fan et al. [6] improved the result of [8], and proved the following result.

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $\Omega$  be homogeneous of degree zero, integrable and have mean value zero on  $S^{d-1}$ . Suppose that  $\Omega \in GS_\beta(S^{d-1})$  with  $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ , then for  $\frac{2\beta}{2\beta-1} < p < 2\beta$ ,  $T_\Omega$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .*

The purpose of this paper is to establish the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  boundedness of  $T_{\Omega,a;k}$  when  $\Omega \in GS_\beta(S^{d-1})$  for some  $\beta > 1$ . Our main result can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.4** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Omega$  be homogeneous of degree zero, satisfy the vanishing moment (1.1),  $a$  be a function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\nabla a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Suppose that  $\Omega \in GS_\beta(S^{d-1})$  with  $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ , Then for  $\frac{2\beta}{2\beta-1} < p < 2\beta$ ,  $T_{\Omega,a;k}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .*

Different from the operator  $T_\Omega$  defined by (1.4),  $T_{\Omega,a;k}$  is not a convolution operator, and the argument in [6, 8] does not apply to  $T_{\Omega,a;k}$  directly. To prove Theorem 1.4, we will first prove the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  boundedness of  $T_{\Omega,a;k}$  by employing the ideas used in [10], together with some new localizations and decompositions. The argument in the proof of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  boundedness is based on a refined decomposition appeared in (2.10). To prove the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  boundedness of  $T_{\Omega,a;k}$ , we will introduce a suitable approximation to  $T_{\Omega,a;k}$  by a sequence of integral operators, whose kernels enjoy Hörmander’s condition. We remark that the idea approximating rough convolution operators by smooth operators was originated by Watson [16].

In what follows,  $C$  always denotes a positive constant that is independent of the main parameters involved but whose value may differ from line to line. We use the symbol  $A \lesssim B$  to denote that there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that  $A \leq CB$ . Constant with subscript such as  $C_1$ , does not change in different occurrences. For any set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\chi_E$  denotes its characteristic function. For a cube  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\lambda Q$  denotes the cube with the same center as  $Q$  whose side length is  $\lambda$  times that of  $Q$ . For a suitable function  $f$ , we denote  $\widehat{f}$  the Fourier transform of  $f$ . For  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $p'$  denotes the dual exponent of  $p$ , namely,  $p' = p/(p - 1)$ .

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.4: $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ Boundedness

This section is devoted to the proof of the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  boundedness of  $T_{\Omega,a;k}$ . For simplicity, we only consider the case  $k = 1$ . As it was pointed out in [10, Sect. 2], the argument in this section still works for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , if we proceed by induction on the order  $k$ .

Let  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a radial function,  $\text{supp } \phi \subset B(0, 2)$ ,  $\phi(x) = 1$  when  $|x| \leq 1$ . Set  $\varphi(x) = \phi(x) - \phi(2x)$ . We then have that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j}x) \equiv 1, \quad |x| > 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Let  $\varphi_j(x) = \varphi(2^{-j}x)$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

For a function  $\Omega \in L^1(S^{d-1})$ , define the operator  $W_{\Omega,j}$  by

$$W_{\Omega,j}h(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{d+1}} \varphi_j(x-y)h(y)dy. \tag{2.2}$$

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $\Omega$  be homogeneous of degree zero, integrable on  $S^{d-1}$ , satisfy the vanishing moment (1.1) with  $k = 1$  and  $\Omega \in GS_\beta(S^{d-1})$  for some  $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $a$  be a function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\nabla a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, for any  $r \in (0, \infty)$ , functions  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  which are supported on balls of radius no larger than  $r$ ,*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_2(x)T_{\Omega,a}\eta_1(x)dx \right| \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{d-1})}r^{-d} \prod_{j=1}^2 (\|\eta_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} + r\|\nabla\eta_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}).$$

Recall that under the hypothesis of Lemma 2.1, the operator  $T_{\Omega,m}$  defined by

$$T_{\Omega,m}f(x) = \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\Omega(x-y)(x_m-y_m)}{|x-y|^{d+1}} f(y)dy, \quad 1 \leq m \leq d \tag{2.3}$$

is bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (see [8]). Lemma 2.1 can be proved by repeating the proof of Lemma 2.5 in [10].

Let  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a radial function, have integral zero and  $\text{supp } \psi \subset B(0, 1)$ . Let  $Q_s$  be the operator defined by  $Q_s f(x) = \psi_s * f(x)$ , where  $\psi_s(x) = s^{-d}\psi(s^{-1}x)$ . We assume that

$$\int_0^\infty [\widehat{\psi}(s)]^4 \frac{ds}{s} = 1.$$

Then, the Calderón reproducing formula

$$\int_0^\infty Q_s^4 \frac{ds}{s} = I \tag{2.4}$$

holds true. In addition, the Littlewood–Paley theory tells us that

$$\left\| \left( \int_0^\infty |Q_s f|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{2.5}$$

For each fixed  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , set

$$T_{\Omega, a}^j f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_j(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

where

$$K_j(x, y) = \frac{\Omega(x - y)}{|x - y|^{d+1}} (a(x) - a(y)) \varphi_j(|x - y|).$$

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $\Omega$  be homogeneous of degree zero, integrable on  $S^{d-1}$  and  $\Omega \in GS_\beta(S^{d-1})$  for some  $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ , then for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $0 < s \leq 2^j$ ,*

$$\|Q_s W_{\Omega, j} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^{-j} \log^{-\beta}(2^j/s + 1) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

**Proof** Let  $K_{\Omega, j}(x) = \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^{d+1}} \varphi_j(|x|)$ . By Plancherel’s theorem, it suffices to prove that

$$|\widehat{\psi}_s(\xi) \widehat{K_{\Omega, j}}(\xi)| \lesssim 2^{-j} \log^{-\beta}(2^j/s + 1). \tag{2.6}$$

As it was proved by Grafakos and Stefanov [8, p. 458], we know that

$$|\widehat{K_{\Omega, j}}(\xi)| \lesssim 2^{-j} \log^{-\beta}(|2^j \xi| + 1).$$

On the other hand, it is easy to verify that

$$|\widehat{\psi}_s(\xi)| \lesssim \min\{1, |s\xi|\}.$$

Observe that (2.6) holds true when  $|2^j \xi| \leq 1$ , since

$$|s\xi| \log^{-\beta}(2^j|\xi| + 1) = \frac{s}{2^j} |2^j \xi| \log^{-\beta}(|2^j \xi| + 1) \lesssim \frac{s}{2^j} \lesssim \log^{-\beta}(2^j/s + 1).$$

If  $|s\xi| \geq 1$ , we certainly have that

$$|\widehat{\psi}_s(\xi) \widehat{K_{\Omega, j}}(\xi)| \lesssim 2^{-j} \log^{-\beta}(2^j|\xi| + 1) \lesssim 2^{-j} \log^{-\beta}(2^j/s + 1).$$

Now, we assume that  $s|\xi| < 1$  and  $|2^j \xi| > 1$ , and

$$2^{-k} 2^j < s \leq 2^{-k+1} 2^j, \quad 2^{k_1-1} < |\xi| \leq 2^{k_1}$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  respectively. Then  $j + k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $j + k_1 \leq k$  and

$$|s\xi| \log^{-\beta}(2^j|s\xi| + 1) \lesssim 2^{j-k+k_1}(j + k_1)^{-\beta} \lesssim k^{-\beta} \lesssim \log^{-\beta}(2^j/s + 1).$$

This verifies (2.6). □

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $\Omega$  be homogeneous of degree zero, satisfy the vanishing moment (1.1) with  $k = 1$  and  $\Omega \in GS_\beta(S^{d-1})$  for some  $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $a$  be a function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\nabla a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then*

- (i)  $T_{\Omega,a}1 \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ;
- (ii) for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $s \in (0, 2^j]$ ;

$$\|Q_s T_{\Omega,a}^j 1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{d-1})} 2^{-j} s.$$

Conclusion (ii) is just Lemma 2.4 in [10], while (i) of Lemma 2.3 can be proved by mimicking the proof of Lemma 2.3 in [10], since for all  $1 \leq m \leq d$ ,  $T_{\Omega,m}$  defined by (2.3) is bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  when  $\Omega \in GS_\beta(S^{d-1})$  for  $\beta > 1$ . We omit the details for brevity.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4**  *$L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  boundedness.* By (2.4), it suffices to prove that for  $f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\left| \int_0^\infty \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q_s^4 T_{\Omega,a} Q_t^4 f(x) g(x) dx \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\left| \int_0^\infty \int_t^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q_s^4 T_{\Omega,a} Q_t^4 f(x) g(x) dx \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{2.8}$$

Observe that (2.8) can be deduced from (2.7) and a standard duality argument. Thus, we only need to prove (2.7).

We now prove (2.7). Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q_s^4 T_{\Omega,a} Q_t^4 f(x) g(x) dx \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^{2^j} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q_s T_{\Omega,a}^j Q_t^4 f(x) Q_s^3 g(x) dx \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &+ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q_s T_{\Omega,a}^j Q_t^4 f(x) Q_s^3 g(x) dx \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &+ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q_s^4 T_{\Omega,a}^j Q_t^4 f(x) g(x) dx \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} := D_1 + D_2 + D_3, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha \in \left(\frac{d+1}{d+2}, 1\right)$  is a constant.

We first consider term  $D_2$ . For each fixed  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\{I_{j,l}\}_l$  be a sequence of cubes having disjoint interiors and side length  $2^j$ , such that  $\mathbb{R}^d = \cup_l I_{j,l}$ . For each fixed  $j, l$ , let  $\omega_{j,l} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\text{supp } \omega_{j,l} \subset 48dI_{j,l}$ ,  $0 \leq \omega_{j,l} \leq 1$  and  $\omega_{j,l}(x) \equiv 1$  when  $x \in 32dI_{j,l}$ . Let  $I_{j,l}^* = 64dI_{j,l}$  and  $x_{j,l}$  be the center of  $I_{j,l}$ . For each  $l$ , set  $a_{j,l}(y) = (a(y) - a(x_{j,l}))\omega_{j,l}(y)$ , and  $h_{s,j,l}(y) = Q_s^2 g(y)\chi_{I_{j,l}}(y)$ . It is obvious that for all  $l$ ,

$$\|a_{j,l}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^j, \quad \|\nabla a_{j,l}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 1,$$

and for  $s \in (0, 2^j]$  and  $x \in \text{supp } Q_s h_{s,j,l}$ ,

$$T_{\Omega,a}^j h(x) = a_{j,l}(x)W_{\Omega,j}h(x) - W_{\Omega,j}(a_{j,l}h)(x).$$

For each fixed  $j$  and  $l$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} D_{j,l,1}(s, t) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [a_{j,l}, Q_s]W_{\Omega,j}Q_t^4 f(x)Q_s h_{s,j,l}(x)dx, \\ D_{j,l,2}(s, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a_{j,l}(x)Q_s W_{\Omega,j}Q_t^4 f(x)Q_s h_{s,j,l}(x)dx, \\ D_{j,l,3}(s, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q_s W_{\Omega,j}[a_{j,l}, Q_s]Q_t^4 f(x)h_{s,j,l}(x)dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D_{j,l,4}(s, t) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q_s W_{\Omega,j}(a_{j,l}Q_s Q_t^4 f)(x)h_{s,j,l}(x)dx,$$

where and in the following, for a locally integrable function  $b$  and an operator  $U$ ,  $[b, U]$  denotes the commutator of  $U$  with symbol  $b$ , namely,

$$[b, U]h(x) = b(x)Uh(x) - U(bh)(x). \tag{2.9}$$

Observe that both of  $Q_s$  and  $W_{\Omega,j}$  are convolution operators and  $Q_s W_{\Omega,j} = W_{\Omega,j} Q_s$ . For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $s \in (0, 2^j]$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q_s^4 T_{\Omega,a}^j Q_t^4 f(x)g(x)dx \\ &= \sum_l \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q_s T_{\Omega,a}^j Q_t^4 f(x)Q_s h_{s,j,l}(x)dx \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^4 \sum_l D_{j,l,n}(s, t). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

It now follows from Hölder’s inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_j \sum_l \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{1/\alpha}} D_{j,l,1}(s, t) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \\ & \leq \left\| \left( \sum_j \sum_l \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{1/\alpha}} |\chi_{I_{j,l}^*} Q_t^4 f|^2 2^{-j} s \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & \quad \times \left\| \left( \sum_j \sum_l \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{1/\alpha}} |W_{\Omega,j}[a_{j,l}, Q_s] Q_s h_{s,j,l}|^2 \frac{1}{2^{-j} s} \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Invoking the fact that  $\sum_l \chi_{I_{j,l}^*} \lesssim 1$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left( \sum_j \sum_l \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{1/\alpha}} |\chi_{I_{j,l}^*} Q_t^4 f|^2 2^{-j} s \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \left( \int_0^\infty |Q_t^4 f|^2 \int_0^t \sum_{j: 2^j \geq s^{\alpha} t^{1-\alpha}} 2^{-j} s \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $M_\Omega$  be the operator defined by

$$M_\Omega f(x) = \sup_{r>0} r^{-d} \int_{|x-y|<r} |\Omega(x-y)| |f(y)| dy.$$

The method of rotation of Calderón and Zygmund states that

$$\|M_\Omega f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{d-1})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad p \in (1, \infty). \tag{2.11}$$

Let  $M$  be the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator. Observe that when  $s \in (0, 2^j]$ ,

$$| [a_{j,l}, Q_s] h(x) | \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\psi_s(x-y)| |a_{j,l}(x) - a_{j,l}(y)| |h(y)| dy \lesssim s M h(x).$$

This, together with (2.11), yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left( \sum_j \sum_l \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{1/\alpha}} |W_{\Omega,j}[a_{j,l}, Q_s] Q_s h_{s,j,l}|^2 (2^{-j} s)^{-1} \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \\ & \lesssim \sum_j \sum_l \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{1/\alpha}} \|M_\Omega M Q_s h_{s,j,l}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 2^{-j} s \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \\ & \lesssim \sum_j \sum_l \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{1/\alpha}} \|h_{s,j,l}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 2^{-j} s \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2, \end{aligned}$$



where the last inequality follows from the fact that

$$\int_s^\infty \sum_{j:2^j \geq s^\alpha t^{1-\alpha}} 2^{-j} s \frac{dt}{t} \lesssim 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_j \sum_l \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} D_{j,l,1}(s, t) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Similar to the estimate (2.12), we have that

$$\left| \sum_j \sum_l \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} D_{j,l,3}(s, t) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{2.13}$$

To estimate the term  $\int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{1/\alpha}} D_{j,l,2}(s, t) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t}$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} D_{j,l,2}(s, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q_s W_{\Omega,j} Q_t^4 f(x) [a_{j,l}, Q_s] h_{s,j,l}(x) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q_s W_{\Omega,j} Q_t^4 f(x) Q_s(a_{j,l} h_{s,j,l})(x) dx \\ &= D_{j,l,2}^1(s, t) + D_{j,l,2}^2(s, t). \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the estimate for  $D_{j,l,1}$ , we have that

$$\left| \sum_j \sum_l \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} D_{j,l,2}^1(s, t) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{2.14}$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_j \sum_l \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} D_{j,l,2}^2(s, t) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \\ & \leq \left\| \left( \sum_j \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} |Q_s^2(2^j W_{\Omega,j}) Q_t^3 f|^2 \log^\sigma(2^j/s + 1) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & \quad \times \left\| \left( \sum_j \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} |2^{-j} Q_t(\sum_l a_{j,l} h_{s,j,l})|^2 \log^{-\sigma}\left(\frac{2^j}{s} + 1\right) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & := I_1 I_2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma > 1$  is a constant such that  $2\beta - \sigma > 1$ . Invoking the estimate (2.5), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\lesssim \left( \sum_j \int_0^{2^j} \left\| 2^{-j} \sum_l a_{j,l} h_{s,j,l} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \log^{-\sigma} (2^j/s + 1) \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \left( \sum_j \int_0^{2^j} \left\| \sum_l |h_{s,j,l}| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \log^{-\sigma} (2^j/s + 1) \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left( \int_0^\infty \|Q_s^2 g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \sum_{j:2^j \geq s} \log^{-\sigma} (2^j/s + 1) \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $Q_s^2(2^j W_{\Omega,j}) = Q_s(2^j W_{\Omega,j})Q_s$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 and (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \left( \sum_j \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \left\| Q_s^2(2^j W_{\Omega,j}) Q_t^3 f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \log^\sigma \left( \frac{2^j}{s} + 1 \right) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \left( \sum_j \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \left\| Q_s Q_t^3 f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \log^{-2\beta+\sigma} (2^j/s + 1) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \left( \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty |Q_s Q_t^3 f|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimates for  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  show that

$$\left| \sum_j \sum_l \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{1/\alpha}} D_{j,l,2}^2(s, t) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

This, together with (2.14), gives us that

$$\left| \sum_j \sum_l \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{1/\alpha}} D_{j,l,2}(s, t) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{2.15}$$

We now estimate term corresponding to  $\int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{1/\alpha}} D_{j,l,4}(s, t) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t}$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sum_j \sum_l \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{1/\alpha}} D_{j,l,4}(s, t) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \\ &\leq \left\| \left( \sum_j \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{1/\alpha}} |Q_s Q_t^3 f|^2 \log^{-\sigma} (2^j/s + 1) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left\| \left( \sum_j \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{1/\alpha}} \left| \mathcal{Q}_t \left( \sum_l a_{j,l} W_{\Omega,j} \mathcal{Q}_s h_{s,j,l} \right) \right|^2 \log^\sigma \left( \frac{2^j}{s} + 1 \right) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & := I_3 I_4. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$I_3 \lesssim \left\| \left( \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{Q}_s \mathcal{Q}_t^3 f|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

On the other hand, it follows from Littlewood–Paley theory and Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 & \gtrsim \left( \sum_j \int_0^{2^j} \left\| \sum_l a_{j,l} W_{\Omega,j} \mathcal{Q}_s h_{s,j,l} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \log^\sigma \left( \frac{2^j}{s} + 1 \right) \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \gtrsim \left( \sum_j \int_0^{2^j} 2^{2j} \sum_l \|W_{\Omega,j} \mathcal{Q}_s h_{s,j,l}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \log^\sigma \left( \frac{2^j}{s} + 1 \right) \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \gtrsim \left( \sum_j \int_0^{2^j} \sum_l \|h_{s,j,l}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \log^{-2\beta+\sigma} \left( \frac{2^j}{s} + 1 \right) \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\|a_{j,l}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^j$ , and the supports of functions  $\{a_{j,l} W_{\Omega,j} \mathcal{Q}_s h_{s,j,l}\}$  have bounded overlaps. The estimate for  $I_4$ , together with the estimate for  $I_3$ , gives us that

$$\left| \sum_j \sum_l \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{1/\alpha}} D_{j,l,4}(s, t) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{2.16}$$

Combining inequalities (2.12), (2.13), (2.15) and (2.16) leads to that

$$|D_2| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

The estimate for  $D_1$  is fairly similar to the estimate  $D_2$ . For example, since

$$\int_0^t \sum_{j: 2^j \geq t} 2^{-j} s \frac{ds}{s} \lesssim 1, \quad \int_s^\infty \sum_{j: 2^j \geq t} 2^{-j} s \frac{dt}{t} \lesssim 1,$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_j \sum_l \int_0^{2^j} \int_0^t D_{j,l,1}(s, t) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \\ & \leq \left\| \left( \sum_j \sum_l \int_0^{2^j} \int_0^t |\chi_{I_{j,l}}^* \mathcal{Q}_t^4 f|^2 2^{-j} s \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left\| \left( \sum_j \sum_l \int_0^{2^j} \int_0^\infty |W_{\Omega, j}[a_{j, l}, Q_s] Q_s h_{s, j, l}|^2 (2^{-j} s)^{-1} \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimates for terms  $\sum_j \sum_l \int_0^{2^j} \int_0^t D_{j, l, i}(s, t) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t}$  ( $i = 2, 3, 4$ ) are parallel to the estimates for  $\sum_j \sum_l \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{1/\alpha}} D_{j, l, i}(s, t) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t}$ . Altogether, we have that

$$|D_1| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

It remains to consider  $D_3$ . This was essentially proved in [10, pp. 1281–1283]. For the sake of self-contained, we present the details here. Set

$$h(x, y) = \int \int \psi_s(x - z) \sum_{j: 2^j \leq s^\alpha t^{1-\alpha}} K_j(z, u) [\psi_t(u - y) - \psi_t(x - y)] dudz.$$

Let  $H$  be the operator with integral kernel  $h$ . It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |D_3| & \lesssim \left| \int_0^\infty \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H Q_t^3 f(x) Q_s^3 g(x) dx \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (Q_s T_{\Omega, a}^j 1)(x) Q_t^4 f(x) Q_s^3 g(x) dx \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \\ & = |D_{31}| + |D_{32}|. \end{aligned}$$

As in [10, p. 1282], we obtain by Lemma 2.1 and the mean value theorem that

$$|h(x, y)| \lesssim \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^\varrho t^{-d} \chi_{\{(x, y): |x-y| \leq Ct\}}(x, y),$$

where  $\varrho = (d + 2)\alpha - d - 1 \in (0, 1)$ . Then we have

$$|H Q_t^3 f(x)| \lesssim \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^\varrho M(Q_t^3 f)(x),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{31}| & \lesssim \int_0^\infty \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |M(Q_t^3 f)(x)| |Q_s^3 g(x)| dx \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^\varrho \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \left( \int_0^\infty \int_0^t |M(Q_t^3 f)|^2 \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^\varrho \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & \quad \times \left\| \left( \int_0^\infty \int_s^\infty |Q_s^3 g|^2 \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^\varrho \frac{dt}{t} \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \left\| \left( \int_0^\infty |M(Q_t^3 f)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\| \left( \int_0^\infty |Q_s^3 g|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

As for  $D_{32}$ , we split it into three parts as follows:

$$D_{32} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^t - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^{2^j} \int_0^t - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^j}^\infty \int_0^{(2^j t^{\alpha-1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} = D_{321} - D_{322} - D_{323}.$$

Let

$$\zeta(x) = \int_1^\infty \psi_t * \psi_t * \psi_t * \psi_t(x) \frac{dt}{t}, \quad P_s = \int_s^\infty Q_t^4 \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Han and Sawyer [9] proved that  $\zeta$  is a radial function which is supported on a ball having radius  $C$  and has mean value zero. Observe that  $P_s f(x) = \zeta_s * f(x)$  with  $\zeta_s(x) = s^{-d} \zeta(s^{-1}x)$ . The Littlewood–Paley theory tells us that

$$\left\| \left( \int_0^\infty |P_s f|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

(i) of Lemma 2.3 states that  $T_{\Omega,a}1 \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Recall that  $\text{supp } \psi \subset B(0, 1)$  and  $\psi$  has integral zero. Thus for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$|Q_s(T_{\Omega,a}1)(x)| \leq s^{-d} \int_{|x-y| \leq s} |\psi(s^{-1}(x-y))| |T_{\Omega,a}1(y) - \langle T_{\Omega,a}1 \rangle_{B(x,s)}| dy \lesssim 1,$$

where  $\langle T_{\Omega,a}1 \rangle_{B(x,s)}$  denotes the mean value of  $T_{\Omega,a}1$  on the ball centered at  $x$  and having radius  $s$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{321}| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty Q_s T_{\Omega,a}1(x) P_s f(x) Q_s^3 g(x) \frac{ds}{s} dx \right| \\ &\lesssim \left\| \left( \int_0^\infty |P_s f|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\| \left( \int_0^\infty |Q_s^3 g|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

From (ii) of Lemma 2.3 and Hölder’s inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{322}| &\lesssim \left\| \left( \sum_j \int_0^{2^j} \int_0^t 2^{-j} s |Q_t^4 f|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \left( \sum_j \int_0^{2^j} \int_0^t 2^{-j} s |Q_s^3 g|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

The same result holds true for  $D_{323}$ . Combining the estimates for terms  $D_{321}$ ,  $D_{322}$  and  $D_{323}$  give us that

$$|D_3| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

This leads to (2.7) and then establishes the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  boundedness of  $T_{\Omega,a}$ . □

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.4: $L^p$ Boundedness

We begin with some lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $\varpi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a radial function such that  $\text{supp } \varpi \subset \{1/4 \leq |\xi| \leq 4\}$  and*

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \varpi^3(2^{-l}\xi) = 1, \quad |\xi| > 0,$$

and  $S_l$  be the multiplier operator defined by

$$\widehat{S_l f}(\xi) = \varpi(2^{-l}\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $a$  be a function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\nabla a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |2^{kl} [a, S_l]^k f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{kl} [a, S_l]^k f_l \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \left\| \left( \sum_l |f_l|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \tag{3.2}$$

where and in the following, for a locally integrable function  $a$  and an operator  $U$ ,  $[a, U]^0 f = Uf$ , while for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$   $[a, U]^k$  denotes the commutator of  $[a, U]^{k-1}$  and  $a$ , defined as (2.9).

Note that (3.2) follows from (3.1) and a duality argument. For the case of  $k = 0$ , (3.1) follows from Littlewood–Paley theory. Inequality (3.1) with  $k = 1$  was proved in [3, Lemma 2.3], while for the case of  $k \geq 2$ , the proof of (3.1) is similar to the proof of [3, Lemma 2.3].

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  with  $n \leq k$ ,  $D, E$  be positive constants and  $E \leq 1$ ,  $m$  be a multiplier such that  $m \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and*

$$\|m\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq D^{-k} E$$

and for all multi-indices  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ ,

$$\|\partial^\gamma m\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq D^{|\gamma|-k}.$$

Let  $a$  be a function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\nabla a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and  $T_m$  be the multiplier operator defined by

$$\widehat{T_m f}(\xi) = m(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Then for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\|[a, T_m]^n f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim D^{n-k} E^\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

**Proof** Our argument here is a generalization of the proof of Lemma 2 in [11], together with some more refined estimates, see also [12, Lemma 2.3] for the original version. We only consider the case  $1 \leq n \leq k$ , since

$$\|[a, T_m]^0 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim D^{-k} E^\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

holds obviously.

Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be the same as in (2.1). Recall that  $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \{1/4 \leq |x| \leq 4\}$ , and

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j}x) \equiv 1, \quad |x| > 0.$$

Let  $\varphi_{l,D}(x) = \varphi(2^{-l}D^{-1}x)$  for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Set

$$W_l(x) = K(x)\varphi_{l,D}(x), \quad l \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $K$  is the inverse Fourier transform of  $m$ . Observing that for all multi-indices  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ ,  $\partial^\gamma \varphi(0) = 0$ , we thus have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{\varphi}(\xi)\xi^\gamma d\xi = 0.$$

This, in turn, implies that for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{W}_l(\xi)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( m(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2^l D}) - \sum_{|\gamma| \leq N} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \partial^\gamma m(\xi) \left( \frac{\eta}{2^l D} \right)^\gamma \right) \widehat{\varphi}(\eta) d\eta \right| \\ &\lesssim 2^{-l(N+1)} D^{-(N+1)} \sum_{|\gamma| = N+1} \|\partial^\gamma m\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\eta|^{N+1} |\widehat{\varphi}(\eta)| d\eta \\ &\lesssim 2^{-l(N+1)} D^{-k}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

On the other hand, a trivial computation gives that for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\|\widehat{W}_l\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|m\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\widehat{\varphi_{l,D}}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim D^{-k} E. \tag{3.4}$$

Combining the inequalities (3.3) and (3.4) shows that for any  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\|\widehat{W}_l\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^{-l(N+1)(1-\varepsilon)} D^{-k} E^\varepsilon. \tag{3.5}$$

Let  $T_{m,l}$  be the convolution operator with kernel  $W_l$ . Inequality (3.5), via Plancherel’s theorem, tells us that for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\|T_{m,l} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^{-l(N+1)(1-\varepsilon)} D^{-k} E^\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{3.6}$$

We claim that for all  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\|[a, T_{m,l}]^n f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^{-l(N+1)(1-\varepsilon)+ln} D^{n-k} E^\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{3.7}$$

Observe that  $\text{supp } W_l \subset \{x : |x| \leq D2^{l+2}\}$ . If  $I$  is a cube having side length  $2^l D$ , and  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\text{supp } f \subset I$ , then  $T_{m,l} f \subset 100dI$ . Therefore, to prove (3.7), we may assume that  $\text{supp } f \subset I$  with  $I$  a cube having side length  $2^l D$ . Let  $x_0 \in I$  and  $a_I(y) = (a(y) - a(x_0))\chi_{100dI}(y)$ . Then

$$\|a_I\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^l D.$$

Write

$$[a, T_{m,l}]^n f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n (a_I(x))^i C_n^i T_{m,l}((-a_I)^{k-i} f)(x).$$

It then follows from (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|[a, T_{m,l}]^n f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\lesssim \sum_{i=0}^n 2^{il} D^i \|T_{m,l}((-a_I)^{n-i} f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{nl-l(N+1)(1-\varepsilon)} D^{n-k} E^\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields (3.7).

We now conclude the proof of Lemma 3.2. Recall that  $E \in (0, 1]$ . It suffices to prove Lemma 3.2 for the case of  $\varepsilon \in (2/3, 1)$ . For fixed  $\varepsilon \in (2/3, 1)$ , we choose  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(N_1 + 1)(1 - \varepsilon) > n$ ,  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(N_2 + 1)(1 - \varepsilon) < n$ . It follows from (3.7) that

$$\|[a, T_m]^n f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \sum_{l \leq 0} \|[a, T_{m,l}]^n f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \|[a, T_{m,l}]^n f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim D^{n-k} E^\varepsilon \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-l(N_1+1)(1-\varepsilon)+ln} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\quad + D^{n-k} E^\varepsilon \sum_{l \leq 0} 2^{-l(N_2+1)(1-\varepsilon)+ln} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\lesssim D^{n-k} E^\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  with  $n \leq k$ ,  $D$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  be positive constants with  $A, B < 1$ ,  $m$  be a multiplier such that  $m \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and*

$$\|m\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq D^{-k} (AB)^{k+1},$$

and for all multi-indices  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ ,

$$\|\partial^\gamma m\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq D^{|\gamma|-k} B^{-|\gamma|}.$$

Let  $T_m$  be the multiplier operator defined by

$$\widehat{T_m f}(\xi) = m(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Let  $a$  be a function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\nabla a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then for any  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\|[a, T_m]^n f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim D^{n-k} A^\sigma B^{k-n+\sigma} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{3.8}$$

**Proof** Let  $T_{m,l}$  be the same as in the proof of Lemma 3.2. As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we know that for all  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|[a, T_{m,l}]^n f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\lesssim 2^{-l(N+1)(1-\varepsilon)+nl} D^{n-k} \\ &\quad \times B^{-(N+1)(1-\varepsilon)+(k+1)\varepsilon} A^{(k+1)\varepsilon} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

For each fixed  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ , we choose  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$(k + 1)\varepsilon - k - \sigma > 1 - \varepsilon,$$

and choose  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(N_1 + 1)(1 - \varepsilon) > n, \quad -(N_1 + 1)(1 - \varepsilon) + (k + 1)\varepsilon > k - n + \sigma.$$

Also, we choose  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(N_2 + 1)(1 - \varepsilon) < n$ . Note that such a  $N_2$  satisfies

$$-(N_2 + 1)(1 - \varepsilon) + (k + 1)\varepsilon > k - n + \sigma.$$

Recalling that  $B < 1$ , we have that

$$B^{-(N_1+1)(1-\varepsilon)+(k+1)\varepsilon} \leq B^{k-n+\sigma}, \quad B^{-(N_2+1)(1-\varepsilon)+(k+1)\varepsilon} \leq B^{k-n+\sigma}.$$

Our desired estimate (3.8) now follows (3.9) by

$$\begin{aligned} \|[a, T_m]^n f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\lesssim D^{n-k} A^\sigma B^{k-n+\sigma} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-l(N_1+1)(1-\varepsilon)+ln} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\quad + D^{n-k} A^\sigma B^{k-n+\sigma} \sum_{l \leq 0} 2^{-l(N_2+1)(1-\varepsilon)+ln} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\lesssim D^{n-k} B^{k-n+\sigma} A^\sigma \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $(k + 1)\varepsilon > \sigma$  and  $A < 1$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3. □

The following conclusion is a variant of Theorem 1 in [11], and will be useful in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \in (0, 1/2)$  be a constant,  $\{\mu_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a sequence of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ . Suppose that for some  $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ ,*

$$\|\mu_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^{-jk}, \quad |\widehat{\mu_j}(\xi)| \lesssim 2^{-jk} \min\{|A2^j \xi|^{k+1}, \log^{-\beta}(2 + |2^j \xi|)\},$$

and for all multi-indices  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ ,

$$\|\partial^\gamma \widehat{\mu_j}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^{j(|\gamma|-k)}.$$

Let  $K(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_j(x)$  and  $T$  be the convolution operator with kernel  $K$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , function  $a$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\nabla a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\|[a, T]^k f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \log^{-\varepsilon\beta+1} \left(\frac{1}{A}\right) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

**Proof** At first, we claim that for  $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $0 \leq k_1 \leq k$ ,

$$\|Tf\|_{L^2_{k_1-k}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2_{k_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \tag{3.10}$$

where  $\|f\|_{L^2_{k_w}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$  for  $k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the Sobolev norm defined as

$$\|f\|_{L^2_{k_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^{2k_2} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

In fact, by the Fourier transform estimate of  $\mu_j$ , we have that for each fixed  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\mu_j}(\xi)| \lesssim \sum_{j: 2^j \geq |\xi|^{-1}} 2^{-jk} + |\xi|^{k+1} \sum_{j: 2^j \leq |\xi|^{-1}} 2^j \lesssim |\xi|^k.$$

This, together with Plancherel’s theorem, gives (3.10).

Let  $U_j$  be the convolution operator with kernel  $\mu_j$ , and  $\varpi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $0 \leq \varpi \leq 1$ ,  $\text{supp } \varpi \subset \{1/4 \leq |\xi| \leq 4\}$  and

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \varpi^3(2^{-l}\xi) = 1, \quad |\xi| > 0.$$

Set  $m_j(x) = \widehat{\mu_j}(\xi)$ , and  $m_j^l(\xi) = m_j(\xi)\varpi(2^{j-l}\xi)$ . Define the operator  $U_j^l$  by

$$U_j^l f(\xi) = m_j^l(\xi)\varpi(2^{j-l}\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Now let  $S_l$  be the multiplier operator defined as in Lemma 3.1. Let  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $B = B(0, R)$  be a ball such that  $\text{supp } f \subset B$ , and let  $x_0 \in B$ . We can write

$$\begin{aligned} [a, T]^k f &= \sum_{n=0}^k C_k^n (a - a(x_0))^{k-n} T((a(x_0) - a)^n f)(x) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^k C_k^n (a - a(x_0))^{k-n} \sum_l \sum_j (S_{l-j} U_j^l S_{l-j})((a(x_0) - a)^n f) \\ &= \sum_l \sum_j [a, S_{l-j} U_j^l S_{l-j}]^k f. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

We now estimate  $\|[a, S_{l-j} U_j^l S_{l-j}]^k f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ . At first, we have that  $m_j^l \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and

$$|m_j^l(\xi)| \lesssim 2^{-jk} \min\{A^{k+1} 2^{l(k+1)}, \log^{-\beta}(2 + 2^l)\}.$$

Furthermore, by the fact that

$$|\partial^\gamma \phi(2^{j-l}\xi)| \lesssim 2^{(j-l)|\gamma|}, \quad |\partial^\gamma m_j(\xi)| \lesssim 2^{j(l|\gamma|-k)},$$

it then follows that for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ ,

$$|\partial^\gamma m_j^l(\xi)| \lesssim \begin{cases} 2^{j(l|\gamma|-k)} & \text{if } l \in \mathbb{N} \\ 2^{j(l|\gamma|-k)} 2^{-|\gamma|l} & \text{if } l \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

An application of Lemma 3.2 (with  $D = 2^j$ ,  $E = \min\{(A2^l)^{k+1}, l^{-\beta}\}$ ) yields

$$\|[a, U_j^l]^n f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^{j(n-k)} \min\{(A2^l)^{k+1}, l^{-\beta}\}^e \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.12}$$

On the other hand, we deduce from Lemma 3.3 (with  $D = 2^j$  and  $B = 2^l$ ) that for some  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\|[a, U_j^l]^n f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^{j(n-k)} 2^{l(k-n)} A^\sigma 2^{\sigma l} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad l \leq 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Write

$$[a, S_{l-j}U_j^l S_{l-j}]^k = \sum_{n_1=0}^k C_k^{n_1} [a, S_{l-j}]^{n_1} \sum_{n_2=0}^{k-n_1} C_{k-n_1}^{n_2} [a, U_j^l]^{n_2} [a, S_{l-j}]^{k-n_1-n_2}.$$

For fixed  $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  with  $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = k$ , a standard computation involving Lemma 3.1, estimates (3.12) and (3.13) leads to that for  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} [a, S_{l-j}]^{n_1} [a, U_j^l]^{n_2} [a, S_{l-j}]^{n_3} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2(j-l)n_1} \|[a, U_j^l]^{n_2} [a, S_{l-j}]^{n_3} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \\ & \lesssim \min\{(A2^l)^{k+1}, l^{-\beta}\} 2^{2\varepsilon} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2; \end{aligned}$$

and for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_-$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} [a, S_{l-j}]^{n_1} [a, U_j^l]^{n_2} [a, S_{l-j}]^{n_3} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2(j-l)n_1} \|[a, U_j^l]^{n_2} [a, S_{l-j}]^{n_3} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \\ & \lesssim A^{2\sigma} 2^{2\sigma l} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_l \|[a, S_{l-j}U_j^l S_{l-j}]^k f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \sum_{l: l > \log(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}})} \|[a, S_{l-j}U_j^l S_{l-j}]^k f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &+ \sum_{l: 0 \leq l \leq \log(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}})} \|[a, S_{l-j}U_j^l S_{l-j}]^k f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &+ \sum_{l: l < 0} \|[a, S_{l-j}U_j^l S_{l-j}]^k f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\lesssim \left( \sum_{l: l > \log(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}})} l^{-\varepsilon\beta} + A^\sigma \sum_{l: l < 0} 2^{\sigma l} \right) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &+ A^{(k+1)\varepsilon} \sum_{l: 0 \leq l \leq \log(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}})} 2^{(k+1)l\varepsilon} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\lesssim \log^{-\varepsilon\beta+1} \left(\frac{1}{A}\right) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This, via (3.11), leads to our desired conclusion. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.4**  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  boundedness. By duality, it suffices to prove that  $T_{\Omega,a;k}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $2 < p < 2\beta$ .

For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $K_j(x) = \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^{d+k}} \chi_{\{2^{j-1} \leq |x| < 2^j\}}(x)$ . Let  $\omega \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a nonnegative radial function such that

$$\text{supp } \omega \subset \{x : |x| \leq 1/4\}, \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \omega(x) dx = 1,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x^\gamma \omega(x) dx = 0, \quad 1 \leq |\gamma| \leq k.$$

For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , set  $\omega_j(x) = 2^{-dj} \omega(2^{-j}x)$ . For a positive integer  $l$ , define

$$H_l(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} K_j * \omega_{j-l}(x).$$

Let  $R_l$  be the convolution operator with kernel  $H_l$ . For a function  $a$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\nabla a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , recall that  $[a, R_l]^k$  denotes the  $k$ -th commutator of  $R_l$  with symbol  $a$ .

We claim that for each fixed  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\|T_{\Omega,a;k} f - [a, R_l]^k f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim l^{-\varepsilon\beta+1} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{3.14}$$

To prove this, write

$$H_l(x) - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} K_j(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (K_j(x) - K_j * \omega_{j-l}(x)) =: \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_{j,l}(x).$$

By the vanishing moment of  $\omega$ , we know that for all multi-indices  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$  with  $1 \leq |\gamma| \leq k$ ,  $\partial^\gamma \widehat{\omega}(0) = 0$ . By Taylor series expansion and the fact that  $\widehat{\omega}(0) = 1$ , we deduce that

$$|\widehat{\omega}(2^{j-l}\xi) - 1| \lesssim \min\{1, |2^{j-l}\xi|^{k+1}\}.$$

When  $\Omega \in GS_\beta(S^{d-1})$  for some  $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ , it was proved in [8, p. 458] that

$$|\widehat{K_j}(\xi)| \lesssim 2^{-jk} \min\{1, \log^{-\beta}(2 + |2^j \xi|)\}.$$

Thus, the Fourier transform estimate

$$|\widehat{\mu_{j,l}}(\xi)| = |\widehat{K_j}(\xi)| |\widehat{\omega}(2^{j-l}\xi) - 1| \lesssim 2^{-jk} \min\{\log^{-\beta}(2 + |2^j \xi|), |2^{j-l}\xi|^{k+1}\} \tag{3.15}$$

holds true. On the other hand, a trivial computation shows that for all multi-indices  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ ,

$$\|\partial^\gamma \widehat{K}_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{d-1})} 2^{(|\gamma|-k)j},$$

and so for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$|\partial^\gamma \widehat{\mu}_{j,l}(\xi)| \lesssim \sum_{\gamma_1+\gamma_2=\gamma} |\partial^{\gamma_1} \widehat{K}_j(\xi)| |\partial^{\gamma_2} \widehat{\omega}(2^{j-l}\xi)| \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{d-1})} 2^{j(|\gamma|-k)}. \tag{3.16}$$

The Fourier transforms (3.15) and (3.16), via Theorem 3.4 with  $A = 2^{-l}$ , lead to (3.14) immediately.

Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  be a constant which will be chosen later. An application of (3.14) gives us that

$$\|[a, R_{2^l}]^k f - [a, R_{2^{l+1}}]^k f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^{(-\varepsilon\beta+1)l} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{3.17}$$

Therefore, the series

$$T_{\Omega,a;k} = [a, R_2]^k + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} ([a, R_{2^{l+1}}]^k - [a, R_{2^l}]^k) \tag{3.18}$$

converges in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  operator norm.

For  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $L_l(x, y) = H_l(x - y)(a(x) - a(y))^k$ . We claim that for any  $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x-y| \geq 2|y-y'|} |L_l(x, y) - L_l(x, y')| dx \\ & + \int_{|x-y| \geq 2|y-y'|} |L_l(y, x) - L_l(y', x)| dx \lesssim l. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

To prove this, let  $|y - y'| = r$ . A trivial computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x-y| \geq 2r} |H_l(x - y)(a(y) - a(y'))^k| dx & \lesssim r \sum_j \int_{|x| \geq 2r} |K_j * \omega_{j-l}(x)| dx \\ & \lesssim r^k \sum_{j: 2^{j-2} \geq r} \|K_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\omega_{j-l}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\|K_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^{-j}$ . For each fixed  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , observe that

$$\|\omega_{j-l}(\cdot - y) - \omega_{j-l}(\cdot - y')\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \min\{1, 2^{l-j}|y - y'|\}.$$

It then follows from Young’s inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{|x-y|\geq 2r} |H_l(x-y) - H_l(x-y')||a(x) - a(y)|^k dx \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^n r \leq |x-y| \leq 2^{n+1} r} |H_l(x-y) - H_l(x-y')||a(x) - a(y)|^k dx \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2^n r)^k \sum_{j: 2^j \approx 2^n r} \|K_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\omega_{j-l}(\cdot - y) - \omega_{j-l}(\cdot - y')\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \min\{1, 2^{-k} 2^l\} \lesssim l.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates above gives us that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{|x-y|\geq 2|y-y'|} |L_l(x, y) - L_l(x, y')| dx \\
 &\leq \int_{|x-y|\geq 2r} |H_l(x-y)(a(y) - a(y'))^k| dx \\
 &\quad + \int_{|x-y|\geq 2r} |H_l(x-y) - H_l(x-y')||a(x) - a(y)|^k dx \lesssim l.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can verify that

$$\int_{|x-y|\geq 2|y-y'|} |L_l(y, x) - L_l(y', x)| dx \lesssim l.$$

This establishes (3.19).

Recall that  $T_{\Omega, a; k}$  is bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . It follows from (3.14) that  $[a, R_l]^k$  is also bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with bound independent of  $l$ . This, along with (3.19) and Calderón-Zygmud theory, tells us that

$$\|[a, R_l]^k f - [a, R_{l+1}]^k f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim l \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad p \in (1, \infty),$$

and so

$$\|[a, R_{2^l}]^k f - [a, R_{2^{l+1}}]^k f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^l \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad p \in (1, \infty). \tag{3.20}$$

Interpolating inequalities (3.17) and (3.20) shows that for any  $\varrho \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \in (2, \infty)$ ,

$$\|[a, R_{2^l}]^k f - [a, R_{2^{l+1}}]^k f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^{(-2\varepsilon\beta/p+1+\varrho)l} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

For each  $p$  with  $2 < p < 2\beta$ , we can choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  close to 1 sufficiently, and  $\varrho > 0$  close to 0 sufficiently, such that  $2\varepsilon\beta/p - 1 - \varrho > 0$ . This, in turn, shows that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \|[a, R_{2^l}]^k f - [a, R_{2^{l+1}}]^k f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

and the series (3.18) converges in the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  operator norm. Therefore,  $T_{\Omega, a; k}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $2 < p < 2\beta$ . This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.4.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5** Let  $\Omega$  be homogeneous of degree zero, integrable and have mean value zero on  $S^{d-1}$ ,  $T_{\Omega}$  be the homogeneous singular integral operator defined by (1.4). For  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , define the commutator of  $T_{\Omega}$  and  $b$  by

$$[b, T_{\Omega}]f(x) = b(x)T_{\Omega}f(x) - T_{\Omega}(bf)(x).$$

When  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}_{\alpha}(S^{d-1})$  with  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , Uchiyama [15] proved that  $[b, T_{\Omega}]$  is a compact operator on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  ( $p \in (1, \infty)$ ) if and only if  $b \in \text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $\text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in the  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  topology, which coincide with the space of functions of vanishing mean oscillation. When  $\Omega \in GS_{\beta}(S^{d-1})$  for  $\beta \in (2, \infty)$ , Chen and Hu [2] considered the compactness of  $[b, T_{\Omega}]$  on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\beta/(\beta - 1) < p < \beta$ . For other work about the compactness of  $[b, T_{\Omega}]$ , see [14] and the references therein. It is of interest to characterize the compactness of Calderón commutator  $T_{\Omega, a; k}$  on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  ( $p \in (1, \infty)$ ). We will consider this in a forthcoming paper.

**Acknowledgements** The authors would like to express their sincerely thanks to the referee for his/her valuable remarks and suggestions, which made this paper more readable. Also, the authors would like to thank professor Dashan Fan for helpful suggestions and comments.

## References

1. Calderón, A.P.: Commutators of singular integral operators. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **53**, 1092–1099 (1965)
2. Chen, J., Hu, G.: Compact commutator of rough singular integral operators. Can. Math. Bull. **58**, 19–29 (2015)
3. Chen, Y., Ding, Y.: Necessary and sufficient conditions for the bounds of the Calderón type commutator for the Littlewood–Paley operator. Nonlinear Anal. TMA **130**, 279–297 (2016)
4. Chen, Y., Ding, Y., Hong, G.: Commutators with fractional differential and new characterizations of BMO–Sobolev spaces. Anal. PDE **9**, 1497–1522 (2016)
5. Ding, Y., Lai, X.: Weak type (1, 1) bounded criterion for singular integral with rough kernel and its applications. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **371**, 1649–1675 (2019)
6. Fan, D., Guo, K., Pan, Y.: A note of a rough singular integral operator. Math. Inequal. Appl. **1**, 73–81 (1999)
7. Grafakos, L.: Modern Fourier Analysis, GTM 250, 2nd edn. Springer, New York (2008)
8. Grafakos, L., Stefanov, A.:  $L^p$  bounds for singular integrals and maximal singular integrals with rough kernels. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **47**, 455–469 (1998)
9. Han, Y., Sawyer, E.T.: Para-accretive functions, the weak boundedness properties and the  $Tb$  theorem. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **6**, 17–41 (1990)



10. Hofmann, S.: Weighted norm inequalities for commutators of rough singular integrals. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **39**, 1275–1304 (1990)
11. Hu, G.:  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boundness for the commutators of convolution operators. *Nagoya Math. J.* **163**, 55–70 (2001)
12. Ma, B., Hu, G.: Maximal operators associated with the commutators of spherical means. *Tohoku Math. J.* **50**, 349–363 (1998)
13. Pan, Y., Wu, Q., Yang, D.: A remark on multilinear singular integrals with rough kernels. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **253**, 310–321 (2001)
14. Tao, J., Yang, D., Yuan, W., Zhang, Y.: Compactness characterizations of commutators on ball Banach function spaces. *Potential Anal.* (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11118-021-09953-w>
15. Uchiyama, A.: On the compactness of operators of Hankel type. *Tohoku Math. J.* **30**, 163–171 (1978)
16. Watson, D.K.: Weighted estimates for singular integrals via Fourier transform estimates. *Duke Math. J.* **60**, 389–399 (1990)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.