

# Free vibration analysis of bi-directional functionally graded annular plates using finite annular prism methods†

Chih-Ping Wu\* and Lu-Ting Yu

*Department of Civil Engineering, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 70101, Taiwan* 

(Manuscript Received August 13, 2018; Revised January 14, 2019; Accepted January 25, 2019) --

## **Abstract**

Based on Reissner's mixed variational theorem, the authors develop a finite annular prism method (FAPM) for the three-dimensional (3D) free vibration analysis of bi-directional functionally graded (FG) annular plates with assorted boundary conditions. In this formulation, the FG annular plate is divided into a number of finite annular prisms with triangular cross-sections, in which Fourier functions and Lagrange polynomials are used to interpolate the circumferential direction and radial-thickness surface variations of primary field variables in each individual prism, respectively. The material properties of the FG annular plate are assumed to obey an exponential function distribution varying doubly exponentially through the radial-thickness surface. These FAPM solutions for the frequency parameters and their corresponding mode shapes of the FG annular plate closely agree with the solutions obtained using other 3D approaches available in the literature.

*Keywords*: Annular plates; Finite annular prism methods; Functionally graded material; Reissner's mixed variation theorem; Various boundary conditions; Vibration

**,我们就会在这里的时候,我们就会**在这里的时候,我们就会在这里的时候,我们就会在这里的时候,我们就会在这里的时候,我们就会在这里的时候,我们就会在这里的时候,我们

## **1. Introduction**

In recent decades, a new class of materials, the so-called functionally graded materials (FGMs), has been successfully developed and rapidly popularized for use in a variety of advanced industrial fields, such as high performance aircraft, heat engine, armor plating, electronics, and biomedical sectors [1, 2]. In practice, FGMs can be artificially made by mixing two or more different phase materials according to the predefined distributions of the volume fractions of the constituents over the structural domain. Hence, FGM structures have material properties that vary continuously and smoothly over the structural domain, which can be used to avoid interfacial stress concentrations, which often occur in conventional laminated composite structures, the material properties of which suddenly change when they across through the interfaces between adjacent layers. Both the assorted structural analyses of FGM beam-, plate-, and shell-like structures [3-10] and the optimization of the material composition of FGMs to obtain some desired physical properties [11-14], such as natural frequency parameters, critical load parameters, gross stiffness, and total weight, have thus attracted considerable attention. Among these, the review in this work focuses on articles examining the structural behavior of one- and multi-directional functionally graded (FG) annular and circular plates.

A variety of two-dimensional (2D) plate theories for the analysis of conventional laminated composite plates were extended to the analysis of FGM plates, such as the classical plate theory (CPT), first-order shear deformation theory (FSDT), third-order shear deformation theory (TSDT), fourorder shear deformation theory (FOSDT), and discrete layer theory. Based on the CPT, Kumar and Lal [15] and Lal and Ahlawat [16] presented analytical and numerical results for the axisymmetric free vibration analysis of bi-directional FG annular and circular plates resting on the Winkler-type foundation either subjected or non-subjected to an initial in-plane load. In conjunction with the FSDT and differential quadrature (DQ) method, Tornabene et al. [17] showed 2D DQ solutions for the vibration analysis of FG conical, cylindrical, and annular plate structures, in which two simple power-law distributions of material properties were assumed, and the issue was also studied by Su et al*.* [18] using the FSDT combined with the Rayleigh-Ritz method. On the basis of the FSDT and Ritz method, Wang et al. [19] proposed a unified method for the vibration analysis of FG circular, annular, and sector plates with general boundary conditions, in which the material properties of the plate were assumed to obey a general fourparameter power-law distribution through the thickness direction according to the volume fractions of the constituents. The

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +886 6 2757575, Fax.: +886 6 2370804

E-mail address: cpwu@mail.ncku.edu.tw

<sup>†</sup> Recommended by Associate Editor Heung Soo Kim

<sup>©</sup> KSME & Springer 2019

material properties of the four-parameter power-law model were also used by Tornabene [20] for the dynamic analysis of moderately thick FG conical shells, cylindrical shells, and annular plates, in which the DQ method was used to discretize the system equations. Based on the FSDT combined with the von Kármán geometrical nonlinearity effect, Amini et al*.* [21] developed a nonlinear formulation to examine the geometrical nonlinearity effects on the free and forced vibration behavior of FG annular plates. Saidi et al*.* [22] developed an uncon strained TSDT for the axisymmetric bending and buckling spline (NURBS) basis functions was developed for the free analyses of thick FG circular plates, in which the results of the maximum displacement and critical load parameters of the plates with different values for the volume fractions of the constituents were presented. A TSDT-based finite element method (FEM) was developed by Talha and Singh [23] to investigate both static and free vibration analyses of FGM plates, in which a continuous isoparameter Lagrangian finite element with 13 degrees of freedom per node was used to obtain the corresponding numerical solutions. Hosseini- Hashemi et al. [24] showed the exact closed-form solutions for the natural frequencies of thick circular plates using the TSDT. Sahraee and Saidi [25] investigated the axisymmetric bending behavior of thick FG circular plates using an FOSDT, in which it was found that the maximum values of deflections of the plate obtained using the FOSDT and TSDT were close to each other, while the through-thickness distributions of the shear stress components obtained using the FOSDT were more accurate than those obtained using the TSDT when they were compared with the exact 3D solutions available in the literature. Batra [26] developed a higher-order shear and nor mal deformable theory for FG incompressible linear elastic plates using the principle of virtual work, and applied it to the free vibration analysis of simply-supported FG rectangular plates. Based on the FSDT and TSDT combined with the meshless method, Ferreira et al*.* [27] examined the free vibration behavior of FG rectangular plates, in which the Mori- Tanaka technique was used to estimate the effective material properties of these FG plates. Based on a refine theory, Lal and Rani [28] presented analytical and numerical results for the axisymmetric vibration of sandwiched annular plates. Malekzadeh and Hamzehkolaei [29] developed a discrete layer approach combined with the DQ method for the free vibration analysis of multilayered FG annular plates in ther mal environment. The above-mentioned 2D advanced and refined plate theories have been included in the Carrier unified formulation (CUF) [30] and can be regarded as its special plate versions.

Some recently proposed numerical methods combined with the 2D refined and advanced plate theories were used for the free vibration analysis of FG plates. Mercan et al. [31] studied the free vibration behavior of FGM and carbon nanotubereinforced composite annular thick plates using the FSDT and the discrete singular convolution (DSC), in which the regularized Shannon delta kernel and the Lagrange delta sequence kernel were used to discretize the derivatives of the primary variables with respect to the spacial coordinates in terms of linear combinations of their nodal variables. Based on the CST, Shi et al. [32] developed a unified formulation for the free vibration analysis of orthotropic plates of revolution with general boundary conditions, in which the spectro-geometric method and the Rayleigh-Ritz technique were used, such that the geometry of a variety of plates can be described in terms of mathematical or design parameters. An isogeometric finite element approach [33, 34] based on nonuniform rational B vibration analysis of circular, annular, and sector plates by Qin et al*.* [35] and that of bi-directional FG plates with variable thickness by Lieu et al. [36]. The NURBS basis functions were used to model the displacement field and geometry of the structures considered, such that they can preserve the exact geometry of the structures and can provide higher continuity of basis functions and their derivatives.

In the above-mentioned 2D refined and advanced plate theories, including the CST, FSDT, TSDT and FOSDT, some kinematic assumptions have to be made *a priori*, and the accuracy of their results are difficult to evaluate when the structural behavior of a very thick plate is investigated because some 3D effects on the plates may not be captured. Development of the 3D analytical and numerical methods for the current issue is thus necessary.

Some three-dimensional (3D) weak- and strong-form for mulations have been developed for the assorted structural analyses of one- and multi-directional FG single- and multilayered plates. Based on the principle of virtual displacements (PVD), So and Leissa [37] and Kang and Leissa [38] developed a weak-form formulation of 3D polynomials-Ritz method to investigate the free vibration behavior of isotropic homogeneous thick circular and annular plates and linearly tapered annular plates, respectively, in which the displacement components were selected as the primary variables, the admissible functions for which were chosen as trigonometric functions in the circumferential coordinate and algebraic polynomials in the radial and thickness coordinates. The 3D Ritz method was also extended to the free vibration analysis of circular and annular plates with different edge conditions by Liew and Yang [39, 40]. The above-mentioned issue was also re-examined by Zhou et al*.* [41] using a 3D Chebyshev-Ritz method, in which the nominal polynomials were replaced with the Chebyshev polynomials for the admissible functions of the displacement components in the radial and thickness directions, such that a stable numerical operation could be guaranteed even when a large number of admissible functions were used. The 3D Chebyshev-Ritz method was thus extended to the 3D free vibration analysis of isotropic homogeneous thick circular plates on the Pasternak-type foundation by Zhou et al*.* [42] and to that of FG annular plates in temperature-dependent and -independent environments by Shi and Dong [43] and Dong [44], respectively. Nie and Zhong [45, 46] developed a strong-form formulation of the state space differential quadrature (SSDQ) method for the dynamic analysis of multidirectional FG annular plates with various boundary conditions and simply-supported FG annular sectorial plates. In the SSDQ method, the admissible functions of the displacement components were selected as the harmonic functions in the time domain, Fourier functions in the circumferential coordinate, and DQ interpolation functions in the radial coordinate, such that the 3D motion equations, which comprise a set of partial differential equations, will be reduced as a set of ordinal differential equations in the thickness direction. As a result, the free vibration behavior of the plate can be examined using the state space method. The SSDQ method was also extended to a free vibration analysis of multi-directional FG circular and annular plates by Kermani et al. [47] and Malekzadeh et al. [48]. Vel and Batra [49] presented 3D exact solutions for the free and force vibrations of simply-supported, FG rectangular plates using the power series method. Within the framework of 3D elasticity theory, Zhao et al. [50] presented 3D exact solutions for the free vibration of thick functionally graded annular sector plates with arbitrary boundary conditions. The above-mentioned 3D exact and numerical solutions can provide a reference to assess the performance of a variety of 2D advanced and refined theories.

Most of the 2D refined and advanced plate theories and 3D semi-analytical numerical methods mentioned above were derived on the basis of the PVD, in which the displacement components were regarded as the primary variables, rather than being based on Reissner's mixed variational theorem (RMVT), in which the displacement and transverse stress components were regarded as the primary variables. It is well known that the RMVT-based models are superior to the PVDbased models, especially in the case of laminated composite and multi-layered FGM plates [51-54]. This is mainly due to the fact that the continuity conditions of the displacement and transverse stress components are satisfied at the interfaces between adjacent layers for the former, while only the displacement continuity conditions are satisfied for the latter, that results a set of single-valued solutions of transverse stress components is obtained at the interfaces for the RMVT-based models, while multiple sets of solutions of the transverse stress components are obtained at these places, which violates the 3D elasticity theory. In addition, the highest order of the derivatives of field variables involved in both the strong- and weak-form formulations of the former is one-half lower than that in those of the latter, that results less time consuming required for the RMVT-based models than that required for the PVD-based models.

In order to capture the 3D behavior of FGM plates and such as the complicated solution process and difficulty related to use for one- and multi-directional FGM plates, on the basis of the RMVT, Wu and Li [53, 54] developed the finite rectangular and cylindrical prism methods (FRPM and FCPM) for the analysis of one-directional FG rectangular plates and hollow cylinders, respectively, with various boundary conditions. Wu and Yu [55] developed an isoparametric finite annular  $\zeta$  and  $\eta$  denote the natural coordinates, which are located on



Fig. 1. Configuration and coordinates of an annular plate and the (4x2) mesh of T6 FAPM models with (a) C-C; (b) C-S; (c) C-F; (d) S-S boundary conditions.

prism method (FAPM) with quadrilateral cross-sections for the bending analysis of bi-directional FG circular plates with different boundary conditions. Implementation of these RMVT-based FRPM, FCPM and FAPM proved their solutions to be accurate and to converge rapidly. In the current paper, the FAPM with triangular cross sections is extended to the 3D free vibration analysis of bi-directional FG thick annular plates with combinations of free, clamped, and simplysupported edges. The material properties of the FG annular plates are assumed to obey an exponential function distribution varying doubly exponentially through the radial-thickness surface. A parametric study with regard to some key effects on the natural frequency parameters and the corresponding mode shapes of the bi-directional FG thick annular plates with nine different boundary conditions is undertaken, including the material-property gradient indices, aspect ratios, and different boundary conditions. pixam inctuare (*i x i n i y i n i n i n i n n* **i** *F* (*R*) *n n i F*(*R*) *n n f i <i>n n*

# **2. The isoparametric FAPMs**

shells and overcome the restrictions of 3D analytical methods, is  $h_m (m=1-N)$ . The boundary conditions of the annular Consider an *N*<sub>l</sub>-layered bi-directional FG thick annular plate, as shown in Fig. 1, in which  $N_l$  is the total number of layers constituting the annular plate. The thickness and mid-surface inner and outer radii of the annular plate are defined as  $h$ ,  $R_1$ and *R*<sup>2</sup> , respectively. The thickness of each individual layer plate are considered to be combinations of free, clamped and simply-supported edges. The cylindrical global coordinate system (i.e.,  $r$ ,  $\theta$  and  $z$  coordinates) is located on the mid-surface of the annular plate. The typical three-node linear, six-node quadratic and 10-node cubic parent annular prisms in the natural coordinate system are shown in Fig. 2, in which directive boundary conditions is undertaken, including the<br>material-property gradient indices, aspect ratios, and different<br>boundary conditions.<br>2. **The isoparametric FAPMs**<br>Consider an  $N_r$ -layered bi-directional FG thic the right-angle node of the nodal triangular surface of a typical annular prism (i.e., the radial-thickness surface). The mapping relations between the global and natural coordinates of each point in the prism domain are expressed as *c.-P. Wu and L.-T. Yu / Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 33*<br>ight-angle node of the nodal triangular surface of a typical<br>lar prism (i.e., the radial-thickness surface). The mapping<br>ions between the global an

$$
r^{(e)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_e} r_i^{(e)} \psi_i^{(e)} (\xi, \eta) \text{ and } z^{(e)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_e} z_i^{(e)} \psi_i^{(e)} (\xi, \eta) \tag{1}
$$
  
where  $n_e$  denotes the degree of approximation used to de-

scribe the coordinate transformation for the isoparametric interpolation) functions of the annular prism. The isoparametric annular prisms are used in the implementation of these FAPMs, in which the degree of approximation used to describe the coordinate transformation is equal to that used to represent each primary field variable, such that the values of  $n<sub>s</sub>$ are taken to be three, six, and 10 for the linear, quadratic, and cubic FAPM, respectively. ion) functions of the multiplinary. The isoparamite the signature principlinary contribute transformation is equal to that used to  $\left(e^{\alpha}\right)^{(n)}$ ,  $\left(e^{\alpha}\right)^{(n)}$  (c)  $\left(e^{\alpha}\right)^{(n)}$  (e)  $\left(e^{\alpha}\right)^{(n)}$  (e)  $\left(e^{\alpha}\right)^{(n)}$  (e **probation)** thurchoos of the annual prisms are used in the insplanant increase of equilibration of these the coordinate transformation is equal to that used to  $\left(e\right)$ <br> **EVALUATE THE CONTINE CONTINUATE:** (a) T3 linear c erpolation) functions of the annular prism serve the annular prisms are used in the implementation of these<br> **PMs**, in which the degree of approximation is equal to that used to<br>
the coordinate transformation is equal to s n, denotes the degree of approximation used to de-<br>
the coordinate transformation for the inequalitative of the simulation of the simulative of the simulative particle of the simulative particle of the simulative partic the coordinate transformation for the isoparametric<br>
in prism, and  $w_i(z, \eta) = 1 - a_i$  denote the share (or<br>
believes are set in the implementation of these<br>
in which the degree of approximation are the coordinate prism and olation) interesting the moduli priori The isoparameterized in the degree of the particular prison are used in the implemetation of these the form interesting (see Fig. 2. The FAPM in the degree of approximation is equal

## *2.1 Kinematic and kinetic assumptions*

Since the RMVT is used in this formulation, the displacement and transverse stress components are selected as the valid for the orthotropic materials, are given primary field variables. Variations of the primary field variables over the radial-thickness nodal surface and circumferential direction are assumed to be separable, and for a typical annular prism of the *m*th-layer they are thus given by If we write the the set of approximator asset on the the neutral coordinate transformation is equal to that used to<br>  $\left(\phi^{(s)}\right)_i^{(s)}$  (5) T10 eulic prism.<br>
to be three, six, and 10 for the linear, quadratic, and<br>
M, res *i u*, *i w* in the matric experiment in excels to the coordinate transformation is equal to that used to Fig. 2. The FAPM in the natural coordinates: (a) T3 linear particle the primary field variable, such that the v to be three, six, and 10 for the linear, quadratic, and<br>
M, respectively.<br> *antic and kinetic assumptions*<br> *antic and kinetic assumptions*<br> *antic and kinetic assumptions*<br> *b* exacts in this formulation, the displace-<br> **EXERCUSE ANOTE ANTERE ANTERE ANTERE ANTERE ANTERE ANTERE THE CONSIDERATION OF A CONSIDERATION CONTINUOS AND THE CONSIDERATION CONSIDERATION CONSIDERATION CONSIDERATION CONSIDERATION CONSIDERATION CONSIDERATION CONSIDERAT antic and kinetic assumptions**<br>
(a),  $\left(\theta^{(s)}\right)_{i}^{(s)}$  (i = 1,...,n<sub>n</sub>) are the corresponding<br>
below the role of the primal contribute pit is<br>
the RMVT is used in this formulation, the displace-<br>
transverse trensce co The line consideration of the prison distribution (and surface of the prison domain transverse stress components are selected as the vial for the orthotropic materials, are given as transverse stress components are select

$$
\left[u_r^{(e)}(r,\theta,z,t)\right]^{(m)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n_d}\left[\phi^{(e)}(r,z,t)\right]_i\left[u^{(e)}(\theta)\right]_i^{(m)}\hspace{1cm}(2)\hspace{1cm}\left|\begin{array}{c} \tau_{\theta z}^{(m)} \\ \tau_{rz}^{(m)} \end{array}\right|\hspace{1cm}\left|\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array}\right|
$$

fullar prism of the *m*<sub>th</sub>-layer they are thus given by

\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\nu^{(e)}(r,\theta,z,t)\end{bmatrix}^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left[\phi^{(e)}(r,z,t)\right]_i \left[\nu^{(e)}(\theta)\right]_i^{(m)}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\nu^{(e)}(r,\theta,z,t)\end{bmatrix}^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left[\phi^{(e)}(r,z,t)\right]_i \left[\nu^{(e)}(\theta)\right]_i^{(m)}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\nu^{(e)}(r,\theta,z,t)\end{bmatrix}^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left[\phi^{(e)}(r,z,t)\right]_i \left[\nu^{(e)}(\theta)\right]_i^{(m)}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\nu^{(e)}(\theta)\end{bmatrix}^{(m)}_i
$$
\n(4) Where  $\sigma_r^{(m)}$ ,  $\sigma_r^{(m)}$ ,  $\sigma_s^{(m)}$ , ... a

\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\tau_{r,z}^{(e)}(r,\theta,z,t)\end{bmatrix}^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left[\phi^{(e)}(r,z,t)\right]_i \left[\tau_{13}^{(e)}(\theta)\right]_i^{(m)}
$$
\n(5) are the elastic  
pendent of the while they are

\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\tau_{0z}^{(e)}(r,\theta,z,t)\end{bmatrix}^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left[\phi^{(e)}(r,z,t)\right]_i \left[\tau_{23}^{(e)}(\theta)\right]_i^{(m)}
$$
\n(6) while they are

$$
\left[u_{z}^{(e)}(r,\theta,z,t)\right]^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left[\phi^{(e)}(r,z,t)\right]_i \left[w^{(e)}(\theta)\right]_i^{(m)} \tag{4}
$$

$$
\left[\tau_{rz}^{(e)}\left(r,\theta,z,t\right)\right]^{(m)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n_d}\left[\phi^{(e)}\left(r,z,t\right)\right]_i\left[\tau_{13}^{(e)}\left(\theta\right)\right]^{(m)}_i\tag{5}
$$

$$
\left[\tau_{\theta z}^{(e)}\left(r,\theta,z,t\right)\right]^{(m)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n_d}\left[\phi^{(e)}\left(r,z,t\right)\right]_i\left[\tau_{23}^{(e)}\left(\theta\right)\right]_i^{(m)}\tag{6}
$$

$$
\left[\sigma_z^{(e)}(r,\theta,z,t)\right]^{(m)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n_d}\left[\phi^{(e)}(r,z,t)\right]_i\left[\sigma_z^{(e)}(\theta)\right]_i^{(m)}\qquad(7)
$$

trepresent and the pinning field with the basis of  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha$  represents the time variable; ( $\alpha$ ) and the variable in the variable of the strengthenial of the strengthenial of the strengthenial of the time variable for t *e*)  $\binom{m}{a}$   $\binom{e}{b}$ **i** *x* (*s*<sup>i</sup>) and *i v* (*s*<sup>i</sup>) (*s* **i** *Let*  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int$ As the mediatric assumptions<br> *i* (*s*<sup>(*n*)<sup>23</sup>), the corresponding shape (or inter-<br> *ift and kinetic assumptions*<br> *ift (x insection)* the stress in this formulation, the dispose over the control stress perform from th</sup> *i* set, and (10 for the hustra quadratic, and<br> *i* external the britan quadratic, and<br> **i** the set and (b) or the hustra quadratic, and<br> **i** the set and (b)  $\left(e^{i\theta}\right)^{2n}$  ( $t = 1, ..., n, 0$ ) are the contexponding shape (or are the nodal displacement and transverse stress components of a typical annular prism of the *m*th-layer of the annular plate, and  $n_d$  denotes the total number of nodes of a typical annular prism, such that the values of  $n_d$  are three, six, and 10 for the linear, quadratic and cubic annular prisms with triangular cross sections, respectively. The symbols, T3, T6 and T10, are used to represent three-node linear, six-node quadratic, and 10-node cubic annular prisms, the typical parent annular prisms of which in natural coordinates are shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 2. The FAPM in the natural coordinates: (a) T3 linear prism; (b) T6 quadratic prism; (c) T10 cubic prism.

 $(e)$ <sup>(*i*)</sup>,  $(i = 1, \dots, n_d)$  are the correspon polation) functions used to interpolate the primary field vari-

ables over the nodal surface of the prism domain. The linear constitutive equations of the *m*th-layer, which are

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \phi^{(e)} \end{pmatrix}_{i}^{(m)} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n_d)
$$
 are the corresponding shape (or inter-  
polation) functions used to interpolate the primary field variables over the nodal surface of the prism domain.  
The linear constitutive equations of the *m*<sub>th</sub>-layer, which are  
valid for the orthotropic materials, are given as  

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_r^{(m)} \\ \sigma_\theta^{(m)} \\ \sigma_z^{(m)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1^{(m)} & c_2^{(m)} & c_3^{(m)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c_1^{(m)} & c_2^{(m)} & c_3^{(m)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c_1^{(m)} & c_2^{(m)} & c_3^{(m)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_r^{(m)} \\ \varepsilon_\theta^{(m)} \\ \varepsilon_r^{(m)} \\ \varepsilon_r^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}
$$
(8)

We have the degree of *q* and *W* (5, *q*) is 
$$
1 - 1 - n
$$
, denote the shape (or *q*) (4, *q*) is  $1 - 1 - n$ , denote the shape (or *q*) is  $1 - 1 - 1$  and *q* (4, *q*) is  $1 - 1 - 1$  and *q* (4, *q*) is  $1 - 1 - 1$  and *q* (4, *q*) is  $1 - 1 - 1$  and *q* (4, *q*) is  $1 - 1 - 1$  and *q* (4, *q*) is  $1 - 1 - 1$  and *q* (4, *q*) is  $1 - 1 - 1$  and *q* (4, *q*) is  $1 - 1 - 1$  and *q* (4, *q*) is  $1 - 1 - 1$  and *q* (4, *q*) is  $1 - 1 - 1$ , *q* is  $1 - 1$ . The *q* is  $1 - 1$ , *q* is 

 $d^{(m)} = \sum_{r=0}^{n_d} \left[ \phi^{(e)}(r, z, t) \right] \left[ w^{(e)}(\theta) \right]^{(m)}$  (4) where  $\sigma_r^{(m)}$ ,  $\sigma_{\theta}^{(m)}$ ,  $\cdots$  and  $\tau_{r\theta}^{(m)}$  are the stress components; taken to be three, six, and 10 for the linear, quadratic, and<br>
ic FAPM, respectively.<br> **Kinematic and kinetic assumptions**<br> **Controllation**  $\left(\phi^{(a)}\right)^{(a)}$   $(i = 1, \cdots, n_s)$  are the corresponding shape (or interval and kine  $\sum_{m}^{m} \left[ \int_{\mathcal{A}}(e) \left( \frac{1}{m} \pi t \right) \right] \left[ \frac{1}{m} (e) \left( \frac{1}{m} \right) \right]^{(m)}$  are the elastic coefficients, which are considered to be inde*i* ( $\phi^{(n)}$ ),  $(i = 1, \dots, n_a)$  are the corresponding shape (or identify the pointage of  $\phi^{(n)}$ ) controlled to the primary field variables. We then be ables over the nodal surface of the primary field variables. Variation **Example and kinetic assumptions**<br> **Example and kinetic assumptions**<br> **Example and the consistent control** the primary field variance the RMVT is used in this formulation, the displace-<br>
ables over the nodal surface of th  $\frac{m}{m}$   $\frac{m}{m}$   $\frac{m}{m}$   $\frac{m}{m}$   $\frac{m}{m}$  while they are variable over the radial-thickness surface of the *incentral the that issumption*<br> **i** and transverse stress components are selected as the valid for the oritotropic materials, are given as<br>
and transverse stress components are selected as the valid for the orthotropic **EXECUTE THE CONSIDERATION**<br>
Subset over the nodal surface of the prism domain,<br>
the distribution couples are selected as the wind for the primary method that the distributions of the *m*-bity is such that distribute equa transverse iters components are elected as the valid for the orthotropic materials, are given as<br>
eld variables. Variations of the primary field vari-<br>
the radial-thickness nodal surface and circumferen-<br>
is m of the *m*s *i* and transverse stress components are selected as the valid for the orthotopic materials, are given as<br> *i* and transverse free the primary field vari-<br>
direction are assumed to be separable, and for a typical<br> *ilar* that difference stress components are selected as the valid for the orthotropic materials, are given as<br>
reasy of the principle system of the perimaty field vari-<br>
direction are assumed to be separable, and for a typical<br>  $c_{ij}^{(m)}$ pendent of the circumferential coordinate in the analysis,  $\binom{m}{ij}(r, z)$ .  $\begin{bmatrix} e^{(n)} \\ e_1^{(n)} & e^{(n)}_{23} & e^{(n)}_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{(n)}_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{(n)}_{55} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{(n)}_{65} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{(n)} \\ e^{(n)} \\ e^{(n)} \\ e^{(n)} \\ e^{(n)} \end{bmatrix}$  (8)<br>  $\gamma_{e}^{(n)}$ ,  $\gamma_{e}^{(n)}$ , ... and  $\tau_{e}^{(n$ e elastic coefficients, which are considered to be inde-<br>
at of the circumferential coordinate in the analysis,<br>
they are variable over the radial-thickness surface of the<br>
are prism (i.e.,  $c_{ij}^{(m)}(r, z)$ ).<br>
strain-displ ie elastic coefficients, which are considered to be inde-<br>
art of the circumferential coordinate in the analysis,<br> *rhey* are variable over the radial-thickness surface of the<br>
ar prism (i.e.,  $c_{ij}^{(m)}(r, z)$ ).<br> *r r*  $\sigma_x^{(m)}\left\{\sigma_y^{(m)}\right\} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{c_{11}^{(m)}} & \frac{1}{c_{21}^{(m)}} & \frac{1}{c_{21}^{(m)}} & \frac{1}{c_{21}^{(m)}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{41}^{(m)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{42}^{(m)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{53}^{(m)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{62}^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{c_{12}^{($  $\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_s^{(m)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_s^{(m)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{oo}^{(m)} & 0 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} y_{r,\sigma}^{(m)} \\ y_{r,\sigma}^{(m)} \end{array}\right]$ <br>  $\tau_r^{(m)}, \sigma_{\theta}^{(m)}, \cdots$  and  $\tau_{r,\theta}^{(m)}$  are the stress components;<br>  $\cdot$ ,  $\cdot$  and  $\tau_{r,\theta}^{(m)}$  $\tau_{r,n}^{(m)}$   $\begin{bmatrix} \tau_{r,n}^{(m)} \\ \tau_{r,n}^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}$  **0 0 0 0** *c*  $\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{r,n}^{(m)} \\ \sigma_{r,n}^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{r,n}^{(m)} \\ \gamma_{r,n}^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}$ <br> **i i** *i*  $\tau_{r,n}^{(m)}$  *...* and  $\tau_{r,n}^{(m)}$  are the stress components;<br> <sup>(m)</sup>,  $\sigma_{ij}^{(m)}$ , ... and  $\tau_{ij}^{(m)}$  are the stress components;<br>  $\vdots$  and  $\gamma_{ij}^{(m)}$  are the strain components, and  $c_{ij}^{(m)}$ <br>
astic coefficients, which are considered to be inde-<br>
of the circumferential coordinate re  $\sigma_r^{(m)}$ ,  $\sigma_{\theta}^{(m)}$ ,  $\cdots$  and  $\tau_{r,\theta}^{(m)}$  are the stress components;<br>  $\epsilon_{\theta}^{(m)}$ ,  $\cdots$  and  $\tau_{r,\theta}^{(m)}$  are the strain components, and  $\epsilon_{\theta}^{(m)}$ <br>
the elastic coefficients, which are considered to be inde-,... and  $Y_{r,\theta}$  are the stant components, and  $Y_{\theta}$ <br>assite coefficients, which are considered to be inde-<br>of the circumferential coordinate in the analysis,<br>y are variable over the radial-thickness surface of the<br>ism *i* g  $_{\rho}$  w and  $Y_{\rho\phi}$  are the stant components, and  $\Phi$ <br>the elastic coefficients, which are considered to be inde-<br>dent of the circumferential coordinate in the analysis,<br>the they are variable over the radial-thic

*d*  $\overline{f}$   $\overline{f}$  of the *m*th-layer, based on the assumed displacement components in Eqs. (2)-(4), are given by they are variable over the radial-thickness surface of the<br>ar prism (i.e.,  $c_{ij}^{(m)}(r, z)$ ).<br>estrain-displacement relations for a typical annular prism<br>*e m*<sub>th</sub>-layer, based on the assumed displacement compo-<br>in Eqs. ( *i i i i*

$$
\left(e^{i}\right)_{i}^{(m)},\ \left(\tau_{13}^{(e)}\right)_{i}^{(m)},\ \left(\tau_{23}^{(e)}\right)_{i}^{(m)} \text{ and } \left(\sigma_{3}^{(e)}\right)_{i}^{(m)} \text{ with } (i=1,2,\cdots,n_{d}) \qquad \qquad \left[\varepsilon_{r}^{(e)}\left(r,\ \theta,\ z,\ t\right)\right]^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{d}}\left(D_{r}\,\phi_{i}^{(e)}\right)\left(u_{i}^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} \tag{9}
$$

the *m*<sub>th</sub>-layer, based on the assumed displacement components in Eqs. (2)-(4), are given by

\n
$$
\left[\varepsilon_r^{(e)}(r, \theta, z, t)\right]^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(D_r \phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(u_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} \qquad (9)
$$
\n
$$
\left[\varepsilon_\theta^{(e)}(r, \theta, z, t)\right]^{(m)} = \left(1/r\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(\phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(v_i^{(e)}, \phi_i^{(m)}\right)^{(m)} + \left(1/r\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(\phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(u_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} \qquad (10)
$$
\n
$$
\left[\varepsilon_z^{(e)}(r, \theta, z, t)\right]^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(D_z \phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(w_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} \qquad (11)
$$
\n
$$
\left[\gamma_r^{(e)}(r, \theta, z, t)\right]^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(D_z \phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(u_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(D_r \phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(w_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)}
$$

$$
\[ \varepsilon_z^{(e)}(r,\theta,z,t) \]^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left( D_z \phi_i^{(e)} \right) \left( w_i^{(e)} \right)^{(m)} \tag{11}
$$

$$
\[ \varepsilon_{r}^{(e)}(r, \theta, z, t) \]^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} (D_{r} \phi_{i}^{(e)}) (u_{i}^{(e)})^{(m)} \qquad (9)
$$
\n
$$
\[ \varepsilon_{\theta}^{(e)}(r, \theta, z, t) \]^{(m)} = (1/r) \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} (\phi_{i}^{(e)}) (v_{i}^{(e)}, \theta)^{(m)} + (1/r) \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} (\phi_{i}^{(e)}) (u_{i}^{(e)})^{(m)} \qquad (10)
$$
\n
$$
\[ \varepsilon_{z}^{(e)}(r, \theta, z, t) \]^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} (D_{z} \phi_{i}^{(e)}) (w_{i}^{(e)})^{(m)} \qquad (11)
$$
\n
$$
\[ \gamma_{r}^{(e)}(r, \theta, z, t) \]^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} (D_{z} \phi_{i}^{(e)}) (u_{i}^{(e)})^{(m)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} (D_{r} \phi_{i}^{(e)}) (w_{i}^{(e)})^{(m)} \qquad (12)
$$

C.-P. Wu and L.-T. Yu /Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 33 (5) (2019) 2267~227:  
\n
$$
\left[\gamma_e^{(e)}(r, \theta, z, t)\right]^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(D_z \phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(\gamma_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} + \left(1/r\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(\phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(\gamma_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)}
$$
\nwhere the symbols  $N_e$  and  $A_e$  denote  
\nprisms in each individual layer and  
\n
$$
\left[\gamma_{e\theta}^{(e)}(r, \theta, z, t)\right]^{(m)} = \left(1/r\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(\phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(u_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)}
$$
\n(13) at typical annular prism, respectively  
\nfor the transposition of the matrices

C.-P. Wu and L.-T. Yu/Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 33 (5) (2019) 2267~2279  
\n
$$
\left[\gamma_{\theta}^{(e)}(r, \theta, z, t)\right]^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(D_z \phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(\psi_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} + \left(1/r\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(\phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(\psi_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)}
$$
\nwhere the symbols  $N_e$  and  $A_e$  denote the  
\nprisms in each individual layer and the cro  
\n
$$
\left[\gamma_{r\theta}^{(e)}(r, \theta, z, t)\right]^{(m)} = \left(1/r\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(\phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(\psi_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)}
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(D_r \phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(\psi_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} - \left(1/r\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(\phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(\psi_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)}
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(D_r \phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(\psi_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} - \left(1/r\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(\phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(\psi_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)}
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(D_r \phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(\psi_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} - \left(1/r\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(\phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(\psi_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)}
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(D_r \phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(\psi_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} - \left(1/r\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(\phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(\psi_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)}
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(D_r \phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(\psi_i^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} - \left(1/r\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \left(\phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(\psi_i^{(e)}\right)^
$$

where the commas denote partial differentiation with respect to the suffix variables, and  $D_r \phi_i^{(e)} = \partial \phi_i^{(e)} / \partial r$ ,  $D_z \phi_i^{(e)} = \partial \phi_i^{(e)} / \partial z$ .

# *2.2 The Hamilton principle*

The Hamilton principle is used to derive the motion equations of the FG annular plate, and the corresponding functional  $(I<sub>p</sub>)$  of the plate is written in the form of

$$
I_R = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L \ dt \tag{15}
$$

where *L* represents the Lagrange energy functional,  $L = T - \Pi_R$ , in which *T* and  $\Pi_R$  denote the kinetic energy and  $\mathbf{\Omega}^{(e)}$ RMVT-based potential energy functionals, respectively, and they are given as the plate is written in the form of<br>the plate is written in the form of<br> $\int_{R_1}^{R_2} L dt$ <br>L represents the Lagrange energy function<br> $R_2$ , in which T and  $\Pi_R$  denote the kinetic energy<br>assed potential energy functionals, <sup>2</sup> *L dt*<br>
<sup>2</sup> *L dt*<br>
<sup>2</sup> *L dt*<br>
<sup>2</sup> *C n n* in which *T* and  $\Pi_R$  denote the kinetic energy functionals, respective<br>
sased potential energy functionals, respective<br>
iven as<br>
<sup>2</sup>  $\int_2^{2\pi} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \left\{ (\rho/2) \$ *<sup>r</sup> h R* represents the Lagrange energy<br> *i*, in which *T* and  $\Pi_R$  denote the kinetic<br>
ased potential energy functionals, respe<br>
iven as<br>  $\int_{r^2}^{2\pi} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \left\{ (\rho/2) \left[ (\partial u_r / \partial t)^2 \right] \right\} r dr d\theta dz$ <br>  $\int_{R_1}^{R_2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{R$ 

$$
T = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \left( (\rho/2) \left[ (\partial u_r / \partial t)^2 + (\partial u_z / \partial t)^2 \right] \right) r \, dr \, d\theta \, dz
$$
\n
$$
+ (\partial u_\theta / \partial t)^2 + (\partial u_z / \partial t)^2 \right] \, r \, dr \, d\theta \, dz
$$
\n
$$
(16) \qquad \mathbf{B}_{5}^{(e)} = \begin{bmatrix} (\phi_i^{(e)}) & 0 \\ 0 & (\phi_i^{(e)}) \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{B}_{6}^{(e)} = \begin{bmatrix} (D_z \phi_i^{(e)}) \end{bmatrix}, \text{ in which } i = 0 \text{ and } j = 1 \text{ and } j = 2 \text{
$$

$$
= T - \Pi_{R}
$$
, in which *T* and  $\Pi_{R}$  denote the kinetic energy and  
\n
$$
T = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \left\{ (\rho / 2) \left[ (\partial u_{r} / \partial t)^{2} \right] \right\} r dr d\theta dz
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \left\{ (\rho / 2) \left[ (\partial u_{r} / \partial t)^{2} \right] \right\} r dr d\theta dz
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \left[ \sigma_{r} \varepsilon_{r} + \sigma_{\theta} \varepsilon_{\theta} + \sigma_{z} \varepsilon_{z} + \tau_{r} \gamma_{r} \right] \left[ \sigma_{\theta} \varepsilon_{\theta} \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \left[ \sigma_{r} \varepsilon_{r} + \sigma_{\theta} \varepsilon_{\theta} + \sigma_{z} \varepsilon_{z} + \tau_{r} \gamma_{r} \right] \left[ \sigma_{\theta} \varepsilon_{\theta} \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \int_{\Gamma_{\sigma}} \left( \overline{u_{k}} u_{k} \right) d\Gamma dz - \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \int_{\Gamma_{\sigma}} \left[ (u_{k} - \overline{u_{k}}) t_{k} \right] d\Gamma dz
$$
\n2.3 Motion e

where  $\rho$  is the mass density of the plate considered,  $\Gamma$ . and  $\Gamma$ <sub>u</sub> denote the portions of the edge boundary, in which  $\Gamma$ the surface traction and displacement components (i.e.,  $\overline{t_k}$ and  $\overline{u}_k$  ( $k = r$ ,  $\theta$  and *z*)) are prescribed, respectively, and  $B(\sigma_{ii})$  is the complementary energy density function.

Substituting the kinematic and kinetic assumptions, given in<br> *ls.* (2)-(4) and (5)-(7), respectively, in Eqs. (16) and (17) and<br> *forming the first-order variation of I<sub>R</sub> lead to the following<br>
<i>l*<br> *d*  $I_R = \delta \int_{t_1}^{t_$ Eqs. (2)-(4) and (5)-(7), respectively, in Eqs. (16) and (17) and while they are independent of the circumferential direction. performing the first-order variation of  $I_R$  lead to the following form *e*  $\overline{I}_k$   $(k = r, \theta \text{ and } z)$  are prescribed, respectively, and<br> *i* is the complementary energy density function.<br>
Situating the kinematic and kinetic assumptions, given in<br>
2)-(4) and (5)-(7), respectively, in Eqs. (16)

$$
\delta I_{R} = \delta \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} L dt = \delta \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (T - \Pi_{R}) dt
$$
 (18)

$$
T = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}
$$

 $[\gamma_{\theta_{\tau}}^{(e)}(r,\theta,z,t)]^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (D_{\tau}\phi_i^{(e)}) (\gamma_i^{(e)})^{(m)} + (1/r) \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\phi_i^{(e)}) (\gamma_i^{(e)},\theta_i^{(m)})$  where the symbols  $N_e$  and  $A_e$  denote the humber of annual prisms in each individual layer and the cross-sectional area of C.-P. Wu and L.-T. Yu / Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 33 (5) (2019) 2267-2279<br>
,  $\theta$ , z, t)  $\int_0^{(\infty)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( D_z \phi_i^{(\infty)} \right) \left( v_i^{(\infty)} \right)^{(\infty)} + (1/r) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \phi_i^{(\infty)} \right) \left( v_i^{(\infty)} \right)^{(\infty)}$  where  $d^{m} = \frac{r_d}{\sqrt{2}} (D \phi^{(e)}) \left( \psi^{(n)} + (1/r) \frac{r_d}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \phi^{(e)} \right) \left( \psi^{(e)} \right) \right)$  where the symbols  $N_e$  and  $A_e$  denote the number of annular *i i*  $\gamma_{0}^{(e)}(r, \theta, z, t)^{m} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (D_{i} \phi_{i}^{(e)}) (v_{i}^{(e)})^{(m)} + (1/r) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\phi_{i}^{(e)}) (w_{i}^{(e)})^{(m)}$  where the symbols  $N_{e}$  and  $A_{e}$  denote the number of annular prisms in each individual layer and the cross-se (13) a typical annular prism, respectively; the superscript *T* stands for the transposition of the matrices or vectors, and

*D v r v <sup>t</sup> I L dt* <sup>=</sup> <sup>ò</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup> / 2 / / / *<sup>z</sup> <sup>T</sup> u t u t u t r dr d dz* - <sup>=</sup> <sup>é</sup> ¶ ¶ <sup>ë</sup> + ¶ ¶ + ¶ ¶ <sup>ù</sup> ò ò ò /2 /2 ( ) *k k k k k <sup>h</sup> <sup>h</sup>B r dr d dz t u d d z u u t d dz* <sup>q</sup> <sup>q</sup> <sup>q</sup> <sup>q</sup> <sup>s</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>s</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>s</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>t</sup> <sup>g</sup> <sup>t</sup> <sup>g</sup> <sup>t</sup> <sup>g</sup> <sup>s</sup> <sup>q</sup> - G - G P = <sup>é</sup> + + + <sup>ë</sup> + + - <sup>ù</sup> - G - é ù - G ë û ò ò ò ò ò ò ò *<sup>t</sup> <sup>t</sup>* <sup>d</sup> <sup>d</sup> <sup>d</sup> *I L dt T dt* = = - P ò ò (18) ò ò ò òò åå **u u w w** [ ] ( ) ( ) 1 ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) *e e T e <sup>r</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>r</sup> <sup>e</sup>* <sup>e</sup> *<sup>p</sup>* = <sup>e</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>q</sup> <sup>g</sup> <sup>q</sup> = **B u** , [ ] ( ) ( ) 2 ( ) ( ) ( ) 1 ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) *e e e <sup>z</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>p</sup> <sup>T</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>r</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>r</sup> <sup>e</sup>* <sup>s</sup> *<sup>p</sup>* = <sup>s</sup> <sup>s</sup> <sup>q</sup> <sup>t</sup> <sup>q</sup> = **Q B u** + **Q B** <sup>s</sup> , [ ] ( ) ( ) 4 ( ) ( ) 3 ( ) ( ) ( ) *e e e e T e <sup>z</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>r</sup> <sup>z</sup> <sup>e</sup>*<sup>e</sup> *<sup>s</sup>* = <sup>g</sup> <sup>g</sup> <sup>q</sup> = **B u** + **B w** , [ ] ( ) ( ) 5 ( ) ( ) ( ) *e e T e <sup>z</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>r</sup> <sup>z</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>s</sup>* <sup>s</sup> = = **B** <sup>t</sup> <sup>q</sup> <sup>t</sup> <sup>t</sup> , ( ) ( ) <sup>6</sup> (*e*) *<sup>e</sup> <sup>e</sup>* <sup>e</sup> *<sup>z</sup>* <sup>=</sup> **<sup>B</sup> <sup>w</sup>** , ( ) ( ) 2 (*e*) *<sup>e</sup> <sup>e</sup>* <sup>s</sup> *<sup>z</sup>* = **B** <sup>s</sup> , ú û ù ê ë <sup>é</sup> <sup>=</sup> ( ) ( ) ( ) *e <sup>i</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>i</sup> vu* **<sup>u</sup>** , [ ] ( ) (*e*) *<sup>i</sup> <sup>e</sup>* **<sup>w</sup>** <sup>=</sup> *<sup>w</sup>* , ( ) úû ù êë <sup>é</sup> <sup>=</sup> *<sup>i</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>i</sup> <sup>e</sup> e* ( ) 23 ( ) ( ) 13 t t <sup>t</sup> , [( ) ]*<sup>i</sup> <sup>e</sup>* (*e*) <sup>3</sup> ( ) <sup>s</sup> <sup>=</sup> <sup>s</sup>, ( ) ( ) ú û ù ê ë <sup>é</sup> <sup>=</sup> ( ) 44 ( ) ( ) 55 0 1/ 1/ 0 *e e e c <sup>c</sup>* **<sup>S</sup>** , ú û ù ê ë é = ( ) 66 ( ) 22 ( ) 12 ( ) 12 ( ) 11 ( ) 0 0 0 0 *e ee ee ep Q Q Q Q Q* **Q** , ú û ù ê ë é = 0 ( ) 23 ( ) 13 ( ) *e e e <sup>z</sup> Q Q* **Q** , ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ú û ù ê ë é ¶ - =¶ ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) 1 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 0*e <sup>i</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>r</sup> <sup>i</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>i</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>i</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>i</sup> <sup>e</sup> r i e r D r <sup>r</sup> <sup>r</sup> <sup>D</sup>* f ff f f f <sup>q</sup> **<sup>B</sup>** <sup>q</sup> , [ ] ( ) ( ) 2 *e <sup>i</sup> <sup>e</sup>* **<sup>B</sup>** <sup>=</sup> <sup>f</sup> , ( ) ( ) ú û ù ê ë <sup>é</sup> <sup>=</sup> ( ) ( ) ( ) <sup>3</sup> 0 0 *e <sup>z</sup> <sup>i</sup> <sup>e</sup> e z i D D* f <sup>f</sup> **<sup>B</sup>** , ( ) ( )( ú û ù ê ë é ¶ <sup>=</sup> <sup>f</sup> <sup>q</sup> <sup>f</sup> ( ) ( ) ( ) <sup>4</sup> 1/ *e <sup>i</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>e</sup> <sup>r</sup> <sup>i</sup> rD* **B** , ( ) ( ) ú û ù ê ë <sup>é</sup> <sup>=</sup> ( ) ( ) ( ) <sup>5</sup> 0 0 *e <sup>i</sup> <sup>e</sup> e i* f <sup>f</sup> **<sup>B</sup>** , [( )] ( ) ( ) 6 *e <sup>z</sup> <sup>i</sup> <sup>e</sup>* **B** = *D* <sup>f</sup>, in which *i* = 1, 2, …, *nd*; ( / ) ( ) 33 ( )3 ( )3 ( ) ( ) *ee <sup>l</sup> <sup>e</sup> k e kl <sup>e</sup> kl Q* = *c* - *c c c* (*k*, *l* = 1 and 2), ( ) 33 ( )3 ( )3 / *e e k e <sup>k</sup> Q* = *c c* (*k* = 1 and 2), ( ) <sup>66</sup> ( ) 66 *<sup>e</sup> <sup>e</sup> Q* = *c* .

#### *2.3 Motion equations*

bed, respectively, and illustrative examples, in wh<br>
ensity function.<br>
cassumptions, given in ing doubly exponentially thro<br>
Eqs. (16) and (17) and while they are independent of<br>  $V_R$  lead to the following Nine different  $\int_{R}^{\infty} [\sigma, E_{\tau} + \sigma, \kappa_{\tau} + \sigma, \kappa_{\tau} + \sigma, \kappa_{\tau} + \tau_{\tau}, \gamma_{\alpha}]$ <br>  $+ \int_{\tau_{\mu}}^{\infty} [\sigma, E_{\tau} + \sigma, \kappa_{\tau} + \tau_{\alpha}, \gamma_{\alpha} - B(\sigma_{\nu})] r dr d\theta dz$  (17)  $Q_{33}^{(a)} = c_{33}^{(a)} + (e_{33}^{(a)} (k + 1 \text{ and } 2))$ ,  $Q_{42}^{(a)} = c_{42}^{(a)}$ .<br>  $\int_{\tau_{\mu}}^{\$ 2.3 Monon equations<br>
of the plate considered,  $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ . The free vibration behavior of a multilayer<br>
due edge boundary, in which plate with combinations of free, clamped,<br>
cement components (i.e.,  $\overline{t_k}$  supported boun The free vibration behavior of a multilayered FG annular plate with combinations of free, clamped, and simply supported boundary edges is studied in the following illustrative examples, in which the material properties are considered to obey an exponential function distribution varying doubly exponentially through the radial-thickness surface,

Nine different boundary conditions considered in this work are given as follows:

For clamped-clamped (C-C ) supports,

$$
u_r^{(e)} = u_\theta^{(e)} = u_z^{(e)} = 0 \qquad \text{at } r = R_1 \text{ and } R_2. \tag{21}
$$

$$
u_r^{(e)} = u_\theta^{(e)} = u_z^{(e)} = 0 \qquad \text{at } r = R_1,
$$
\n(22a)  
\n
$$
u_\theta^{(e)} = u_z^{(e)} = \sigma_r^{(e)} = 0 \qquad \text{at } r = R_2.
$$
\n(22b)

(20)

$$
\mathbf{S}^{(e)}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_s^{(e)}\big) \qquad u_r^{(e)} = u_\theta^{(e)} = u_z^{(e)} = 0 \qquad \text{at } r = R_1,\tag{23a}
$$

$$
\sigma_r^{(e)} = \tau_{r\theta}^{(e)} = \tau_{rz}^{(e)} = 0 \qquad \text{at } r = R_2. \tag{23b}
$$

For simply supported-clamped (S-C) supports,

$$
u_{\theta}^{(e)} = u_{z}^{(e)} = \sigma_{r}^{(e)} = 0 \quad \text{at } r = R_{1}, \tag{24a} \text{ m}
$$

 $e^e = 0$  at  $r = R_2$ . (24b)  $u_r^{(e)} = u_\theta^{(e)} = u_z^{(e)} = 0$  at  $r = R_2$ . (24b) . (24b)

For simply supported-simply supported (S-S) supports,

$$
u_{\theta}^{(e)} = u_z^{(e)} = \sigma_r^{(e)} = 0
$$
 at  $r = R_1$  and  $r = R_2$ . (25)

For simply supported-free (S-F) supports,

$$
u_{\theta}^{(e)} = u_{z}^{(e)} = \sigma_{r}^{(e)} = 0 \quad \text{at } r = R_{1},
$$
\n
$$
\sigma_{r}^{(e)} = \tau_{r\theta}^{(e)} = \tau_{rz}^{(e)} = 0 \quad \text{at } r = R_{2}.
$$
\n(26a)

For free-clamped (F-C) supports,

$$
\sigma_r^{(e)} = \tau_{r\theta}^{(e)} = \tau_{rz}^{(e)} = 0 \qquad \text{at } r = R_1,
$$
 (27a)

$$
u_r^{(e)} = u_\theta^{(e)} = u_z^{(e)} = 0 \qquad \text{at } r = R_2. \tag{27b}
$$

For free-simply supported (F-S) supports,

$$
\sigma_r^{(e)} = \tau_{r\theta}^{(e)} = \tau_{rz}^{(e)} = 0 \qquad \text{at } r = R_1,\tag{28a}
$$

$$
u_{\theta}^{(e)} = u_{z}^{(e)} = \sigma_{r}^{(e)} = 0 \quad \text{at } r = R_{2}.
$$
 (28b)

For free-free (F-F) supports,

$$
\sigma_r^{(e)} = \tau_{r\theta}^{(e)} = \tau_{rz}^{(e)} = 0 \qquad \text{at } r = R_1 \text{ and } r = R_2. \tag{29}
$$

This formulation can also be used for the analysis of multilayered FG circular plates. In that case, the edge conditions at  $r = R_2$  for the free, simply-supported and clamped edges will  $r = R_2$  for the free, simply-supported and clamped edges will<br>remain the same as those mentioned above, while the edge<br>remain the same as those mentioned above, while the edge conditions at  $r = R_1$  should be replaced with the continuity conditions at  $r = 0$ , which are given as

$$
u_r^{(e)} = u_\theta^{(e)} = \tau_{rz}^{(e)} = 0 \qquad \text{at} \quad r = 0. \tag{30} \qquad \text{st}
$$

In this formulation, the primary field variables of each individual annular prism, which are given in Eqs. (2)-(7), are further expanded as the single Fourier series in the rewritten as follows: circumferential coordinate and assigned the harmonic function in the time domain, and they are rewritten as

$$
\left(\mu_{r}^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} = \sum_{\hat{n}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_d} \left(\phi_{j}^{(e)}\right) \left(\mu_{\hat{n}}^{(e)}\right)_{j}^{(m)} \cos \hat{n} \theta \ e^{i \omega t} \tag{31} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{K}_{34} & \mathbf{K}_{35} & \mathbf{K}_{36} \\ \mathbf{K}_{41} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{K}_{43} & \mathbf{K}_{44} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \end{array}
$$

$$
\left(u_{\theta}^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} = \sum_{\hat{n}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_d} \left(\phi_j^{(e)}\right) \left(v_{\hat{n}}^{(e)}\right)^{(m)}_j \sin \hat{n} \theta \ e^{i\omega t} \tag{32} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{K}_{52} & \mathbf{K}_{53} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{K}_{55} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{K}_{61} & \mathbf{K}_{62} & \mathbf{K}_{63} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{K}_{66} \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\left(u_{z}^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} = \sum_{\hat{n}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_d} \left(\phi_j^{(e)}\right) \left(w_{\hat{n}}^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} \cos \hat{n} \theta \ e^{i \omega t} \tag{33}
$$

$$
\left(\tau_{rz}^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} = \sum_{\hat{n}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_d} \left(\phi_j^{(e)}\right) \left(\tau_{13\hat{n}}^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} \cos \hat{n} \theta \ e^{i\omega t} \tag{34}
$$

$$
\left(\tau_{\theta z}^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} = \sum_{\hat{n}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_d} \left(\phi_j^{(e)}\right) \left(\tau_{23\hat{n}}^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} \sin \hat{n} \theta e^{i\omega t}
$$
\n(35)

$$
\left(\sigma_z^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} = \sum_{\hat{n}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_d} \left(\phi_j^{(e)}\right) \left(\sigma_{3\hat{n}}^{(e)}\right)^{(m)} \cos \hat{n} \theta \ e^{i\omega t}
$$
\nnontrivial solut  
ficient matrix

\n

where  $\hat{n}$  denotes the half-wave number of a typical vibration

mode, the value of which is either a positive integer or zero, and  $\omega$  represents the natural frequencies of the plate.

Introducing the kinetic and kinematic models of the FAPMs (Eqs. (31)-(36)) and the corresponding boundary conditions at the edges, given in Eqs. (21)-(29), and applying the Halmiton principle (i.e.,  $\delta I_R = 0$ ), we thus obtain the motion equations of the multi-layered FG annular plate as follows: blogy 33 (5) (2019) 2267~2279<br>
alue of which is either a positive integer or zero,<br>
esents the natural frequencies of the plate.<br>
g the kinetic and kinematic models of the FAPMs<br>
(6)) and the corresponding boundary condit

( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) 21 22 25 26 ( ) ( ) ( ) 34 35 36 ( ) ( ) ( ) 1 1 <sup>41</sup> 43 44 ( ) ( ) ( ) 52 53 <sup>55</sup> ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) 61 62 63 <sup>66</sup> ( ) <sup>11</sup> 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <sup>0</sup> 0 0 <sup>0</sup> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 *l e e e <sup>e</sup> <sup>e</sup> e e e e N N e e e <sup>e</sup> e e m e e e <sup>e</sup> e e e <sup>e</sup> e k <sup>k</sup> <sup>m</sup> m* w = = <sup>ì</sup> <sup>é</sup> <sup>ù</sup> <sup>ï</sup> <sup>ê</sup> <sup>ú</sup> í ï î ë û -åå ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ˆ ( ) ( ) ( ) ˆ ( ) <sup>22</sup> ( ) ( ) <sup>ˆ</sup> <sup>33</sup> ( ) <sup>13</sup> <sup>ˆ</sup> 1 1 ( ) <sup>23</sup> <sup>ˆ</sup> ( ) <sup>3</sup> <sup>ˆ</sup> <sup>0</sup> 0 0 0 0 <sup>0</sup> 0 0 0 0 0 <sup>0</sup> 0 0 0 0 0 0 <sup>0</sup> 0 0 0 0 0 0 <sup>0</sup> 0 0 0 0 0 0 <sup>0</sup> *l e <sup>m</sup> en j m m en <sup>j</sup> <sup>e</sup> e <sup>e</sup> N N n j en m e j en j en j u vw <sup>m</sup>* t t s = = é ù ê ú <sup>é</sup> <sup>ù</sup> <sup>ü</sup> é ù <sup>ê</sup> <sup>ú</sup> <sup>ï</sup> ê ú ê ú <sup>ê</sup> <sup>ú</sup> <sup>ý</sup> <sup>=</sup> ê ú ê ú <sup>ï</sup> <sup>ë</sup> <sup>û</sup> <sup>þ</sup> ë û ê ú ë û å å (37) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) , , *e e e e e e ij i j ji j i <sup>k</sup>* <sup>f</sup> <sup>f</sup> <sup>f</sup> <sup>f</sup> <sup>=</sup> *<sup>k</sup>* ; ( ) *<sup>e</sup>* and Yu [55], and ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) 11 22 33 , *<sup>e</sup> e e e e e i j e <sup>A</sup> m m m r dA* = = = <sup>r</sup> <sup>j</sup> <sup>j</sup> òò

where  $k_{ij}^{(e)} (\phi_i^{(e)}, \phi_j^{(e)}) = k_{ji}^{(e)} (\phi_j^{(e)}, \phi_i^{(e)})$ ;  $k_{ij}^{(e)}$  can refer to Wu where  $i, j = 1 - n_d$ .

By imposing the continuity conditions of each node's nodal primary variables (i.e., the nodal displacement and transverse stress components) at the nodal lines between adjacent prisms, the local stiffness and mass matrices of each prism in Eq. (37) can be assembled as their corresponding global stiffness and mass matrices for the FG annular plate, and Eq.  $(37)$  can be (a) [55], and  $m_{11}^{22} = m_{22}^{22} = m_{33}^{22} = \prod_{A_e} \rho r \varphi_i^{22} \varphi_j^{22} dA_e$ ,<br>  $i,j = 1-n_d$ .<br>
Eq. ii)  $i = 1-n_d$ .<br> multiply conditions of each node's nodal<br>
, the nodal displacement and transverse<br>
the nodal lines between adjacent prisms,<br>
mass matrices of each prism in Eq. (37)<br>
heir corresponding global stiffness and<br>
FG annular pla

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n\mathbf{K}_{11} & \mathbf{K}_{12} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{K}_{13} & \mathbf{K}_{14} \\
\mathbf{K}_{21} & \mathbf{K}_{22} & \mathbf{K}_{23} & \mathbf{K}_{24} \\
\mathbf{K}_{25} & \mathbf{K}_{26} & \mathbf{K}_{27} & \mathbf{K}_{28} \\
\mathbf{K}_{29} & \mathbf{K}_{20} & \mathbf{K}_{21} & \mathbf{K}_{22} \\
\mathbf{K}_{21} & \mathbf{K}_{22} & \mathbf{K}_{23} & \mathbf{K}_{24} \\
\mathbf{K}_{25} & \mathbf{K}_{26} & \mathbf{K}_{27} & \mathbf{K}_{28} \\
\mathbf{K}_{27} & \mathbf{K}_{28} & \mathbf{K}_{29} & \mathbf{K}_{20} \\
\mathbf{K}_{21} & \mathbf{K}_{22} & \mathbf{K}_{23} & \mathbf{K}_{20} \\
\mathbf{K}_{21} & \mathbf{K}_{22} & \mathbf{K}_{23} & \mathbf{K}_{24} \\
\mathbf{K}_{22} & \mathbf{K}_{23} & \mathbf{K}_{24} & \mathbf{K}_{25} \\
\mathbf{K}_{21} & \mathbf{K}_{22} & \mathbf{K}_{23} & \mathbf{K}_{24} \\
\mathbf{K}_{21} & \mathbf{K}_{22} & \mathbf{K}_{23} & \mathbf{K}_{24} \\
\mathbf{K}_{21} & \mathbf{K}_{22} & \mathbf{K}_{24} & \mathbf{K}_{25} \\
\mathbf{K}_{21} & \mathbf{K}_{22} & \mathbf{K}_{
$$

 $\sum_{i=0}^{\left(e\right)}\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\phi_i^{(e)}\right) \left(\sigma_{3i}^{(e)}\right)_{i}^{m} \cos \hat{n} \theta e^{i\omega t}$  (36) is indicated the natural frequencies of the multi-Eq. (38) represents a standard eigenvalue problem, and a nontrivial solution of this exists if the determinant of the coeftheir corresponding mode shapes can thus be obtained.

$\boldsymbol{n}$	Theories	$\Omega_{\rm i}$	$\Omega$ ,	$\Omega$ <sub>3</sub>	$\Omega_{\scriptscriptstyle 4}$	$\Omega_{\varsigma}$	
	Current T3 (16x4)	0.1005	0.3335	0.4113	0.6329	0.6986	
$\boldsymbol{0}$	Current T3 $(32x4)$	0.0994	0.3281	0.4101	0.6220	0.6946	
	Current T3 (32x8)	0.0965	0.3188	0.4101	0.6038	0.6945	
	Current T3 $(64x16)$	0.0954	0.3149	0.4097	0.5953	0.6933	
	Current T6 (8x4)	0.0959	0.3180	0.4097	0.6047	0.6952	
	Current T6 (16x4)	0.0953	0.3146	0.4096	0.5952	0.6934	
	Current T6 $(32x4)$	0.0951	0.3139	0.4096	0.5933	0.6930	
	Current T6 $(32x8)$	0.0951	0.3137	0.4096	0.5927	0.6930	
	Current $T10(8x4)$	0.0953	0.3143	0.4096	0.5938	0.6935	
	Current T10 (16x4)	0.0951	0.3137	0.4096	0.5927	0.6930	
	Current T10 $(32x4)$	0.0951	0.3135	0.4096	0.5924	0.6929	
	Kermani et al. [47]	0.095	0.314	0.410	0.593	0.693	
	Nie and Zhong [45]	0.096	NA	$\rm NA$	NA	$\rm NA$	
	Current T3 $(16x4)$	0.2026	0.4158	0.4740	0.6196	0.7782	
	Current T3 $(32x4)$	0.1981	0.4044	0.4678	0.6046	0.7793	
	Current T3 $(32x8)$	0.1923	0.4044	0.4547	0.6047	0.7569	
	Current T3 $(64x16)$	0.1889	0.3917	0.4482	0.5980	0.7465	
	Current T6 (8x4)	0.1925	0.4078	0.4531	0.6059	0.7574	
	Current T6 (16x4)	0.1892	0.3998	0.4482	0.5994	0.7466	
$\mathbf{1}$	Current T6 (32x4)	0.1879	0.3939	0.4463	0.5954	0.7437	
	Current T6 $(32x8)$	0.1877	0.3940	0.4459	0.5954	0.7426	
	Current T10 $(8x4)$	0.1886	0.4001	0.4460	0.5993	0.7409	
	Current T10 (16x4)	0.1877	0.3941	0.4457	0.5955	0.7422	
	Current T10 $(32x8)$	0.1870	0.3895	0.4450	0.5925	0.7418	
	Kermani et al. [47]	0.187	0.390	0.445	0.593	0.746	
	Nie and Zhong [45]	0.186	NA	NA	NA	NA	
$\sqrt{2}$	Current T3 (16x4)	0.2924	0.5585	0.5993	0.7493	0.9238	
	Current T3 $(32x4)$	0.2889	0.5543	0.5906	0.7411	0.9195	
	Current T3 $(32x8)$	0.2832	0.5542	0.5774	0.7411	0.8966	
	Current T3 $(64x16)$	0.2807	0.5530	0.5711	0.7389	0.8851	
	Current T6 $(8x4)$	0.2829	0.5544	0.5787	0.7403	0.9055	
	Current T6 (16x4)	0.2807	0.5530	0.5713	0.7384	0.8864	
	Current T6 (32x4)	0.2801	0.5527	0.5697	0.7383	0.8827	
	Current T6 $(32x8)$	0.2800	0.5526	0.5693	0.7382	0.8819	
	Current T10 (8x4)	0.2805	0.5530	0.5703	0.7383	0.8849	
	Current T10 (16x4)	0.2800	0.5526	0.5692	0.7382	0.8815	
	Current T10 (32x4)	0.2799	0.5525	0.5690	0.7382	0.8811	
	Kermani et al. [47]	0.280	0.553	0.569	0.738	0.882	
	Nie and Zhong [45]	0.277	NA	NA	NA	NA	

Table 1. Convergence of T3, T6 and T10 FAPM solutions for the first five frequency parameters of fully-clamped, one-directional exponential function-type FG circular plates with different vibration modes.

#### **3. Illustrative examples**

# *3.1 One-directional exponential function-type FG circular plates*

For comparison purposes, the authors examine the free vibration behavior of a one-directional exponential functiontype FG circular plate with clamped boundary conditions, in which the continuity conditions at the center of the circular plate are used as mentioned in Eq. (30). The problem was previously investigated by Nie and Zhong [45] and Kermani et al. [47] using the SSDQ method, and the corresponding solutions are thus used to validate the accuracy and conver gence rate of solutions obtained using the current T3, T6 and T10 IFAPMs.

The material properties of the one-directional FG circular plate are assumed to obey an exponential function distribution through the thickness direction, as follows:

$$
c_{ij}(z) = c_{ij}^0 e^{\kappa_{ez}[0.5 + (z/h)]}
$$
 (39a)

$$
\rho(z) = \rho_0 e^{\kappa_{ez}[0.5 + (z/h)]} \tag{39b}
$$

where  $\kappa_{e}$  stands for the material-property gradient index in the thickness directions.  $\rho_0$  denotes the mass density at the bottom surface of the circular plates.  $c_{ij}^0$  (*i*, *j* = 1-6) are the elastic coefficients at the bottom surface of the circular plate, and are given as follows:

$$
\mathbf{C}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11}^0 & c_{12}^0 & c_{12}^0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c_{12}^0 & c_{11}^0 & c_{12}^0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c_{12}^0 & c_{12}^0 & c_{11}^0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (c_{11}^0 - c_{12}^0)/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (c_{11}^0 - c_{12}^0)/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (c_{11}^0 - c_{12}^0)/2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
(40)

$h/R_2$	Theories	$\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle{ez}}=0$	$\kappa_{ez} = 1$	$\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle{ez}}=2$	$\kappa_{e z} = 3$	$\kappa_{_{e z}}=4$	$\kappa_{e z} = 5$		
	Current T3 $(16x4)$	0.1030	0.1005	0.0947	0.0868	0.0784	0.0705		
	Current T3 $(32x4)$	0.1016	0.0994 0.0938 0.0779 0.0861 0.0907 0.0740 0.0987 0.0965 0.0827 0.0976 0.0954 0.0896 0.0816 0.0728 0.0730 0.0981 0.0959 0.0900 0.0819 0.0975 0.0895 0.0725 0.0953 0.0814 0.0973 0.0951 0.0893 0.0812 0.0724 0.0973 0.0951 0.0893 0.0724 0.0812 0.0975 0.0953 0.0895 0.0814 0.0725 0.0973 0.0893 0.0724 0.0951 0.0812 0.0972 0.0951 0.0893 0.0812 0.0724 0.090 0.073 0.098 0.096 0.082 0.2899 0.3315 0.3253 0.3104 0.2675 0.3293 0.3236 0.3091 0.2890 0.2669 0.3210 0.3152 0.2997 0.2780 0.2540 0.3181 0.3124 0.2967 0.2746 0.2500 0.3190 0.3130 0.2971 0.2498 0.2747 0.3179 0.2962 0.3120 0.2739 0.2490 0.3175 0.3117 0.2959 0.2737 0.2488 0.3172 0.3115 0.2957 0.2735 0.2487 0.3177 0.3119 0.2961 0.2738 0.2489 0.3173 0.3115 0.2958 0.2736 0.2487 0.2957 0.3171 0.3114 0.2735 0.2487 0.319 0.313 0.298 0.275 0.250	0.0701					
	Current T3 $(32x8)$			and T10 FAPM are obtained when meshes $(n_r \times n_z) = (64 \times 16)$ , $(32x8)$ and $(32x4)$ are used, respectively. These convergent solutions are shown to be in excellent agreement with the 3D SSDQ solutions obtained by Kermani et al. [47] and Nie and Zhong [45]. The performance of these FAPMs are $T10 > T6$ T3, in which the symbol ">" represents more accurate solu- regard to the free vibration analysis of the bi-directional expo- nential function-type FG annular plates with nine different boundary conditions. Results also show the frequency parame-	0.0657				
	Current T3 $(64x16)$					0.0644			
	Current T6 (8x4)						0.0644		
	Current T6 (16x4)						0.0640 0.0639 0.0639 0.0640 0.0639 0.0639 0.064 0.2458 0.2454 0.2303 0.2258 0.2252 0.2244 0.2243 0.2242 0.2243 0.2242 0.2241 0.225		
	Current T6 (32x4)								
	Current T6 $(32x8)$								
	Current T10 $(8x4)$								
	Current T10 $(16x4)$								
	Current T10 (32x4)								
	Nie and Zhong [45]								
	Current T3 $(16x4)$								
$0.2\,$ 0.4	Current T3 (32x4)								
	Current T3 (32x8)								
	Current T3 $(64x16)$								
	Current T6 $(8x4)$								
	Current T6 (16x4)								
	Current T6 $(32x4)$								
	Current T6 (32x8)								
	Current T10 $(8x4)$								
	Current T10 (16x4)								
	Current T10 $(32x4)$								
	Nie and Zhong [45]								
	in which $C_0$ is the elastic coefficient matrix at the bottom surface of the circular plate, the relevant coefficients $c_{ii}^0$ of which can be obtained using the corresponding Young's modulus $E_0$ and Poisson's ratio $v_0$ , as well as $c_{11}^0$ =								
	$E_0(1-v_0)/[(1+v_0)(1-2v_0)]$ , $c_{12}^0 = c_{11}^0 v_0/(1-v_0)$ . In this paper,								
	the ceramic material is used as the reference material, the								
	material properties of which are $E_0 = 380$ GPa, $v_0 = 0.3$			tions and a fast convergence rate, such that the T6 with a uni-					
	and $\rho_0 = 3800 \text{ kg/m}^3$ [45, 47].				form $(32x8)$ mesh and the T10 with a uniform $(32x4)$ mesh				
	Table 1 shows the convergence studies for the current T3, T6			are recommended for the following parametric study with					
	and T10 FAPM solutions of the first five frequency parameters								
	of the FG circular plate with clamped boundary conditions								
	and different vibration modes, in which a dimensionless								
	frequency parameter $\Omega$ is defined as $\Omega = \omega h \sqrt{\rho_0 / c_{11}^0}$ . The			ters decrease when the material-property gradient index ( $\kappa_{\rm m}$ )					
				$\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}$ contracted and the object third process to be directed (1.1D.)					

Table 2. Convergence of T3, T6 and T10 FAPM solutions for the lowest frequency parameters of fully-clamped, one-directional exponential function-type FG circular plates with different values of aspect ratios and material property gradient indices  $\kappa_{\varepsilon}$ .

and T10 FAPM solutions of the first five frequency parameters of the FG circular plate with clamped boundary conditions and different vibration modes, in which a dimensionless frequency parameter  $\Omega$  is defined as  $\Omega = \omega h \sqrt{\rho_0 / c_{11}^0}$ . The term decrease when the material-proper material-property gradient index  $\kappa_e$  is taken to be  $\kappa_e = 1$ , becomes lesser which represe and the aspect ratios of the circular plate are taken to be  $h/R_2$  = 0.2 and  $h = 0.2$  m. Table 2 shows the convergence studies for the current T3, T6 and T10 FAPM solutions for the lowest frequency parameters of the FG circular plate with clamped boundary conditions, different aspect ratios, and different material-property gradient indices values. In these two tables, the uniform meshes on the nodal surface (i.e., the radialthickness surface) are taken to be  $(n_r \times n_z) = (16x4)$ , (32x4), (32x8) and (64x16) for the T3 FAPM,  $(n_r \times n_z) = (8x4)$ , (16x4), (32x4) and (32x8) for the T6 FAPM, and  $(n_r \times n_z) = (8x4)$ , (16x4) and (32x4) for the T10 FAPM, in which  $n_r$  and  $n_z$  are the total numbers of annular prisms used in the radial and thickness directions, respectively.

It can be seen in Tables 1 and 2 that the current FAPM solutions converge rapidly. The convergent solutions for T3, T6

 $c_{ij}^0$  of (32x8) and (32x4) are used, respectively. These convergent and Poisson's ratio  $v_0$ , as well as  $c_{11}^0 =$  SSDQ solutions obtained by Kermani et al. [47] and Nie and  $\frac{1}{\pi}$ . The ters decrease when the material-property gradient index ( $\kappa_{e}$ ) and T10 FAPM are obtained when meshes  $(n_r \times n_z) = (64 \times 16)$ , solutions are shown to be in excellent agreement with the 3D Zhong [45]. The performance of these FAPMs are  $T10 > T6$  > T3, in which the symbol ">" represents more accurate solutions and a fast convergence rate, such that the T6 with a uniform  $(32x8)$  mesh and the T10 with a uniform  $(32x4)$  mesh are recommended for the following parametric study with regard to the free vibration analysis of the bi-directional exponential function-type FG annular plates with nine different boundary conditions. Results also show the frequency paramebecomes greater and the plate thickness-to-radius ratio  $(h/R_2)$ becomes lesser, which represents the gross stiffness-to-mass ratio of the FG circular plate becomes softer. *i* and to the free vibration analysis of the bi-directional expo-<br> *i* and function-type FG annular plates with inne different<br>
indirectional exponential function-type and the plate thickness-to-radius ratio  $(h/R_2)$ <br>
com

# *3.2 Bi-directional exponential function-type FG annular plates*

The free vibration behavior of a bi-directional exponential function-type FG annular plate with various boundary conditions is investigated in this section. The material properties of the FG annular plate are assumed to obey a bi-directional ex ponential function distribution over the radial-thickness surface. They are given as follows: directional exponential function-type FG annular<br>
res<br>
free vibration behavior of a bi-directional exponential<br>
n-type FG annular plate with various boundary condi-<br>
investigated in this section. The material properties o *exponential function-type FG annular*<br> *exponential*<br> *exponential*<br>
annular plate with various boundary condi-<br> *ed* in this section. The material properties of<br>
late are assumed to obey a bi-directional ex-<br> *n* distri critional exponential function-type FG annular<br>vibration behavior of a bi-directional exponential<br>pe FG annular plate with various boundary condi-<br>estigated in this section. The material properties of<br>ular plate are assum pration behavior of a bi-directional exponential<br>
FG annular plate with various boundary condi-<br>
gated in this section. The material properties of<br>
r plate are assumed to obey a bi-directional ex-<br>
tion distribution over *example to the particularity scheme frequency parameterease when the material-property gradient index (* $\kappa_{\alpha}$ *)* are greater and the plate thickness-to-radius ratio ( $h/R_2$ ) are less stifferes to reduce the presents of undary conditions. Results also show the frequency parame-<br>s decrease when the material-property gradient index ( $\kappa_{\kappa}$ )<br>comes geraer and the plate thickness-to-radius ratio ( $h/R_2$ )<br>comes lesser, which represents the on behavior of a bi-directional exponential<br>
annular plate with various boundary condi-<br>
d in this section. The material properties of<br>
the are assumed to obey a bi-directional ex-<br>
distribution over the radial-thickness vibration behavior of a bi-directional exponential<br>pe FG annular plate with various boundary condi-<br>estigated in this section. The material properties of<br>ular plate are assumed to obey a bi-directional ex-<br>unction distrib

$$
c_{ij}(r, z) = c_{ij}^{0} e^{\kappa_{ez}[0.5 + (z/h)]} e^{\kappa_{er}(r/R_2)}, \qquad (41a)
$$

$$
\rho(r,\ z) = \rho_0 \, e^{\kappa_{ez} \left[0.5 + (z/h)\right]} \, e^{\kappa_{er}(r/R_2)},\tag{41b}
$$

Table 3. Convergent solutions of T6 and T10 FAPMs for the first five frequency parameters of bi-directional exponential function-type FG annular plates with different boundary conditions.

<b>BCs</b>	Theories	$\Omega_{1}$	$\Omega$ ,	$\Omega$	$\Omega_{\scriptscriptstyle{A}}$	$\Omega_{\rm s}$
$C-C$	Current T6 $(32x8)$	0.2578	0.4877	0.5696	0.7827	0.8817
	Current T10 $(32x4)$	0.2576	0.4877	0.5691	0.7825	0.8817
$C-S$	Current T6 $(32x8)$	0.1726	0.3558	0.4877	0.5046	0.8817
	Current T10 $(32x4)$	0.1725	0.3557	0.4877	0.5043	0.8817
$C-F$	Current $T6(32x8)$	0.0404	0.0492	0.2279	0.3555	0.5854
	Current T10 $(32x4)$	0.0404	0.0492	0.2277	0.3555	0.5850
S-C	Current T6 $(32x8)$	0.2283	0.4877	0.5451	0.6344	0.8817
	Current T10 $(32x4)$	0.2282	0.4877	0.5449	0.6343	0.8817
$S-S$	Current T6 $(32x8)$	0.1453	0.2983	0.4757	0.4877	0.8670
	Current T10 $(32x4)$	0.1453	0.2983	0.4757	0.4877	0.8668
$S-F$	Current $T6(32x8)$	0.0276	0.0492	0.2000	0.2980	0.5574
	Current $T10(32x4)$	0.0276	0.0492	0.2000	0.2980	0.5573
F-C	Current T6 $(32x8)$	0.1129	0.3534	0.4559	0.6329	0.6866
	Current T10 $(32x4)$	0.1129	0.3532	0.4559	0.6329	0.6863
F-S	Current $T6(32x8)$	0.0485	0.2823	0.2977	0.4559	0.6257
	Current T10 $(32x4)$	0.0485	0.2823	0.2977	0.4559	0.6256
$F-F$	Current T6 $(32x8)$	0.0788	0.2974	0.3490	0.5855	0.7066
	Current T10 $(32x4)$	0.0788	0.2974	0.3490	0.5855	0.7065



Fig. 3. The mode shapes of the displacement component *u<sub>s</sub>* corresponding to the lowest frequency parameter of the bi-directional exponential function-type FG annular plate with (a) C-C; (b) C-S; (c) C-F; (d) S-C; (e) S-S; (f) S-F; (g) F-C; (h) F-S; (i) F-F boundary conditions.

where  $\kappa_{er}$  stands for the material-property gradient index in  $\frac{1}{2}$ the radial directions.

A dimensionless frequency parameter  $\Omega$  is defined as

having the same form as that used in the numerical example 3.1.

Table 3 shows the convergent solutions of T6 and T10

Table 4. Convergent solutions of T6 and T10 FAPMs for the lowest frequency parameters of simply-supported, bi-directional exponential functiontype FG annular plates with different values of the inner radius-to-outer radius ratios.

Theories	$R_1/R_2 = 0.05$	$R_1/R_2 = 0.1$	$R_1/R_2 = 0.3$	$R_1/R_2 = 0.5$	$R_1/R_2 = 0.8$
Current T6 $(32x8)$	0.1119	0.1207	0.1825	0.2333	0.1963
Current $T10(32x4)$	0.1118	0.1207	0.1825	0.2333	0.1963

Table 5. Convergent solutions of T6 and T10 FAPMs for the lowest frequency parameters of simply-supported, bi-directional exponential functiontype FG annular plates with different values of the material-property gradient indices  $\kappa_{e}$  and  $\kappa_{e}$ .





Fig. 4. The mode shapes of the displacement component *u* corresponding to the first three frequency parameters of the simply-supported, bidirectional exponential function-type FG annular plate (a)  $\Omega_1$ ; (b)  $\Omega_2$ ; (c)  $\Omega_3$ , in which  $\hat{n} = 0$ .

FAPMs for the first five frequency parameters of the bidirectional exponential function-type FG annular plates with nine different boundary conditions, which are the CC, CS, CF, SC, SS, SF, FC, FS and FF boundary conditions, where  $\kappa_{er} = \kappa_{ez} = 1$ ;  $h/R_2 = 0.2$ ;  $R_1/R_2 = 0.2$  and  $R_2 = 1$  m, and  $\hat{n} = 0$ , FG axisymmetric annular plates which is the axisymmetric mode. It can be seen in Table 3 that conditions, CC, CS, CF, SC, SS, S the solutions of frequency parameters of the plate obtained using the T6 FAPM with the mesh (32x8) and the T10 FAPM As expected, the results show the axisymmetric behavior to with the mesh (32x4) are closely agree with each other, and that the effects of different boundary conditions on the frequency parameters of the annular plate are significant. It is noted that the lowest frequency parameters of the annular plates do not always occur at  $\hat{n} = 0$  when the plates under a free edge condition. For example, the lowest frequency parameters occur at  $\hat{n} = 2$  for the FF boundary conditions, and at  $\hat{n} = 1$  for the CF and SF boundary conditions, which are asymmetric vibration modes, while  $\hat{n} = 0$ , which is the axisymmetric vibration mode, for the others. The magnitude order of the lowest frequency parameters of the annular plates for different boundary conditions is  $CC > SC > CS > SS > FC$ > FS for the axisymmetric vibration mode cases, which reflects the magnitude order with regard to the gross stiffness of the annular plates with different boundary conditions. This observation is also supported by the results of Liu and Lee [56] and Zhou et al*.* [57], in which the free vibration behavior

of a single-layered isotropic annular plate with different boundary conditions was examined.

Fig. 3 shows the mode shapes of the displacement  $u<sub>x</sub>$  at the mid-surface of the bi-directional exponential function-type FG axisymmetric annular plates with nine different boundary conditions, CC, CS, CF, SC, SS, SF, FC, FS and FF, in which  $h/R_2 = 0.1$ ;  $R_1/R_2 = 0.2$  and  $R_2 = 1$  m occur in the circumferential direction for the plates with assorted boundary conditions.

Fig. 4 shows the mode shapes of the displacement  $u<sub>z</sub>$  on the mid-surface of the bi-directional exponential functiontype FG annular plates with SS boundary conditions for the vibration modes corresponding to the first three lowest fre-(c)<br>
(c)<br>
(c) the first three frequency parameters of the simply-supported, bi-<br>  $\Omega_3$ , in which  $\hat{n} = 0$ .<br>
(of a single-layered isotropic annular plate with different<br>
brounday conditions was examined.<br>
Fig. 3 shows th quency parameters, i.e.,  $\Omega_i$  (*i* = 1 – 3), in which  $h/R_2 = 0.1$ ;  $R_1/R_2 = 0.2$  and  $R_2 = 1$ quency parameters of the simply-supported, bi-<br>
1 isotropic annular plate with different<br>
swas examined.<br>
mode shapes of the displacement  $u_z$  at<br>
he bi-directional exponential function-type<br>
mnular plates with nine diffe axisymmetric behavior in the circumferential direction for these mode shapes of the displacement component  $u_z$  is observed, while there are no remarkable variations similar to those of trigonometric functions with a series of half wave numbers in the radial direction because there are variable coefficients appearing in the motion equations in the radial direction, which make it impossible to represent the vibration behavior of the annular plate as the simple harmonic vibration mode.

Table 4 shows the convergent solutions of T6 and T10 FAPMs for the lowest frequency parameters of simply supported, bi-directional exponential function-type FG annular plates with different values of inner radius-to-outer radius C-P. Wu and L-T. Yu / Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 33 (5) (2019) 2267-2279 <br>
Table 4 shows the convergent solutions of T6 and T10<br>
Exercences<br>
EAPMs for the lowest frequency parameters of simply-<br>
surporte and  $R_1/R_2 = 0.05, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5$  and 0.8. It can be seen in Table 4 that the convergent solutions of T6 FAPM with the (32x8) mesh are exactly the same as those of T10 FAPM with the (32x4) mesh. The frequency parameters initially increase when the  $R_1/R_2$  ratio change from 0.05 to 0.5, and [ then they will decrease when the  $R_1/R_2$  ratio becomes greater.

Table 5 shows the convergent solutions of T6 and T10 FAPMs for the lowest frequency parameters of simply supported, bi-directional exponential function-type FG annular plates with different values of the material-property gradient indices  $\kappa_{er}$  and  $\kappa_{ez}$ , in which  $h/R_2 = 0.2$ ,  $R_1/R_2 = 0.2$ , convergent solutions of T6 FAPM with the<br>e exactly the same as those of T10 FAPM<br>) mesh. The frequency parameters initially<br>the  $R_1/R_2$  ratio change from 0.05 to 0.5, and<br>crease when the  $R_1/R_2$  ratio becomes greater.<br>w  $R_2 = 1$  m, and  $\hat{n} = 0$ . It can be seen in Table 5 that the frequency parameters decrease when the values of  $\kappa_{er}$  and  $\kappa_{er}$ become greater. Results also show the frequency parameter FAPMs for the lowest requency parameters of simply-<br>range of  $R_{\text{eff}}$ ,  $R_{\text{eff}}$  and  $R_{\text{eff}}$  is 9.02,  $R_{\text{2}} = 1$ ,  $\bar{R}_{\text{2}} = 4$ ,  $\bar{R}_{\text{2}} = 1$ ,  $\bar{R}_{\text{2}} = 4$ ,  $\bar{R}_{\text{2}} = 4$ ,  $\bar{R}_{\text{2}} = 4$ ,  $\bar{R}_{\text{2}} = 4$ , supported, bi-directional exponential function-type FG ammu-<br>
Far plates with different values of inner radius-to-outer radius 3-10.<br>
Intrinsics, in which  $h/R_2 = 0.2$ ,  $R_2 = 1$  m,  $\kappa_o = \kappa_c = 1$ ,  $\hat{n} = 0$  [2] M. Koizumi, In putch of intervent values of the reading to find the case of (1, 3) (1, 3) case of the convergent solutions, in which  $h/R_2 = 0.05$ , 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.8. It can be seen in 28 (1-2) (1997) 1-4.<br>
Table 4 fluth the conve Tatos, in Which *M*x-2 = 0.2, *K*<sub>2</sub> = 1 m,  $K_2 = K_2 = 1$ ,  $n = 0.05$ , 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.8. It can be seen in 28 (1-2)(1997) 1-4.<br>
Table 4 that the convergent solutions of T6 FAPM with the [3] J.N. Reddy, C. M. Wang and S on the frequency parameter of the FG annular plate is more significant than the effect of  $\kappa_{er}$ .

## **4. Concluding remarks**

Within the framework of 3D elasticity theory, the authors develop a weak-form formulation of various RMVT-based FAPMs to examine the free vibration behavior of bi directional exponential function-type FG thick annular plates with nine different boundary conditions. Implementation of these FAPMs shows that the current FAPM solutions con verge rapidly and that their convergent solutions are in excellent agreement with the 3D SSDQ solutions available in the literature. The performance of assorted FAPMs is T10 > T6 > T3, in which the symbol ">" represents more accurate results and a rapid convergence rate, and the current T6 and T10 FAPMs with the (32x8) and (32x4) meshes, respectively, are recommended for the analysis of typical bi-directional FG thick annular and circular plates.

In the numerical examples, the results show that the effects of different boundary conditions on the frequency parameters of the bi-directional FG annular plates are significant. The frequency parameters decrease when the material-property gradient index becomes greater and the plate becomes thinner, which represents the gross stiffness-to-mass ratio of the FG FAPMs with the (32x8) and (32x4) meshes, respectively, are [12] S. Ding and C. P. Wu, Opti<br>recommended for the analysis of typical bi-directional FG this the thermal shick annular and circular plates.<br>this the mumerical e circular plate becomes softer. In addition, the effect of  $\kappa_{\alpha}$  on the frequency parameter of the FG annular plate is more significant than the effect of  $\kappa_{er}$ . Because accurate solutions for [15] the issue discussed herein are rare in the literature, these con vergent solutions obtained using the FAPMs can provide a reference for assessing the performance of other numerical methods.

#### **References**

- [1] M. Koizumi, Concept of FGM, *Ceramic Trans*., 34 (1993) 3-10.
- [2] M. Koizumi, FGM activities in Japan, *Compos. Part B-Eng.,* 28 (1-2) (1997) 1-4.
- [3] J. N. Reddy, C. M. Wang and S. Kitipornchai, Axisymmetric bending of functionally graded circular and annular plates, *Eur. J. Mech. A/Solids,* 18 (2) (1999) 185-199.
- is initially Eur. J. Mech. A/Solids, 18 (2) (19<br>
10 0.5, and [4] K. Swaminathan, D. T. Naveenku<br>
i greater. Carrera, Stress, vibration and b<br>
and T10 plates-A state-of-the art review, C<br>
f simply-<br>
10-31.<br>
FG annu-<br>
[5] E [4] K. Swaminathan, D. T. Naveenkumar, A. M. Zenkour and E. Carrera, Stress, vibration and buckling analyses of FGM plates-A state-of-the art review, *Compos. Struct*., 120 (2015) 10-31.
	- [5] E. Carrera, S. Brischetto and A. Robaldo, Variable kinematic model for the analysis of functionally graded material plates, *AIAA J*., 46 (1) (2008) 194-203.
	- [6] M. Cinefra, S. Belouettar, M. Soave and E. Carrera, Variable kinematic models applied to free-vibration analysis of functionally graded material shells, *Eur. J. Mech. A/Solids,* 29 (6) (2010) 1078-1087.
	- [7] D. K. Jha, T. Kant and R. K. Singh, A critical review of recent research on functionally graded plates, *Compos. Struct.,* 96 (2013) 833-849.
	- [8] F. Tornabene and S. Brischetto, 3D capability of refined GDQ models for the bending analysis of composite and sandwich plates, spherical and doubly-curved shells, *Thin- Walled Struct*., 129 (2018) 94-124.
	- [9] C. P. Wu, K. H. Chiu and Y. M. Wang, A review on the three-dimensional analytical approaches of multilayered and functionally graded piezoelectric plates and shells, *CMC- Comput. Mater. Continua,* 8 (2) (2008) 93-132.
	- [10] C. P. Wu and Y. C. Liu, A review of semi-analytical numerical methods for laminated composite and multilayered functionally graded elastic/piezoelectric plates and shells, *Compos. Struct*., 147 (2016) 1-15.
	- [11] Y. Ootao, Y. Tanigawa and O. Ishimaru, Optimization of material composition of functionally graded plate for thermal stress relaxation using a genetic algorithm, *J. Therm. Stresses,* 23 (3) (2000) 257-271.
	- [12] S. Ding and C. P. Wu, Optimization of material composition to minimize the thermal stresses induced in FGM plates with temperature-dependent material properties, *Int. J. Mech. Mater. Des.,* 14 (4) (2018) 527-549.
	- [13] O. S. Hussein and S. B. Mulani, Optimization of in-plane functionally graded panels for buckling strength: Unstiffened, stiffened panels, and panels with cutouts, *Thin-Walled Struct*., 122 (2018) 173-181.
	- [14] L. F. Qian and R. C. Batra, Design of bidirectional functionally graded plate for optimal natural frequencies, *J. Sound Vib.,* 280 (2005) 415-424.
	- [15] Y. Kumar and R. Lal, Prediction of frequencies of free axisymmetric vibration of two-directional functionally graded annular plates on Winkler foundation, *Eur. J. Mech. A/Solids,* 42 (2013) 219-228.
	- [16] R. Lal and N. Ahlawat, Buckling and vibrations of two-

directional functionally graded circular plates subjected to hydrostatic in-plane force, *J. Vib. Control,* 23 (13) (2017) 2111-2127.

- [17] F. Tornabene, E. Viola and D. J. Inman, 2-D differential quadrature solution for vibration analysis of functionally graded conical, cylindrical shell and annular plate structures, *J. Sound Vib*., 328 (3) (2009) 259-290.
- [18] Z. Su, G. Jin, S. Shi, T. Ye and X. Jia, A unified solution for vibration analysis of functionally graded cylindrical, conical shells and annular plates with general boundary con ditions, *Int. J. Mech. Sci*., 80 (2014) 62-80.
- [19] Q. Wang, D. Shi, Q. Liang and X. Shi, A unified solution for vibration analysis of functionally graded circular, annular and sector plates with general boundary conditions, *Compos. Part B-Eng.,* 88 (2016) 264-294.
- [20] F. Tornabene, Free vibration analysis of functionally graded conical, cylindrical shell and annular plate structures with a four-parameter power-law distribution, *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg*., 198 (37-40) (2009) 2911-2935.
- [21] M. H. Amini, M. Soleimani, A. Altafi and A. Rastgoo, Effects of geometric nonlinearity on free and forced vibration analysis of moderately thick annular functionally graded plate, *Mech. Adv. Mater. Struct*., 20 (9) (2013) 709-720.
- [22] A. R. Saidi, A. Rasouli and S. Sahraee, Axisymmetric bending and buckling analysis of thick functionally graded circular plates using unconstrained third-order shear defor mation plate theory, *Compos. Struct.,* 89 (2009) 110-119.
- [23] M. Talha and B. N. Singh, Static response and free vibration analysis of FGM plates using higher order shear defor mation theory, *Appl. Math. Modell*., 34 (12) (2010) 3991-4011.
- [24] S. Hosseini-Hashemi, M. Es'haghi, H. R. D. Taher and M. Fadaie, Exact closed-form frequency equations for thick cir cular plates using a third-order shear deformation theory, *J. Sound Vib*., 329 (16) (2010) 3382-3396.
- [25] S. Sahraee and A. R. Saidi, Axisymmetric bending analysis of thick functionally graded circular plates using fourth order shear deformation theory, *Eur. J. Mech. A/Solids,* 28 (5) (2009) 974-984.
- [26] R. C. Batra, Higher-order shear and normal deformable theory for functionally graded incompressible linear elastic plates, *Thin-Walled Struct.,* 45 (12) (2007) 974-982.
- [27] A. J. M. Ferreira, R. C. Batra, C. M. C. Roque, L. F. Qian and R. M. N. Jorge, Natural frequencies of functionally graded plates by a meshless method, *Compos. Struct.,* 75 (2006) 593-600.
- [28] R. Lal and R. Rani, On radially symmetric vibrations of non-uniform annular sandwich plates, *Thin-Walled Struct*., 94 (2015) 562-576.
- [29] P. Malekzadeh and N. S. Hamzehkolaei, A 3D discrete layer-differential quadrature free vibration of multilayered FG annular plates in thermal environment, *Mech. Adv. Mater. Struct*., 20 (4) (2013) 316-330.
- [30] E. Carrera, Theories and finite elements for multilayered, anisotropic, composite plates and shells, *Arch. Comput. Meth. Engng.,* 9 (2) (2002) 87-140.
- [31] K. Mercan, A. K. Baltacioglu and Ö. Civalek, Free vibration of laminated and FGM/CNT composites annular thick plates with shear deformation by discrete singular convolution method, *Compos. Struct.,* 186 (2018) 139-153.
- [32] X. Shi, C. Li, F. Wang and F. Wei, A unified formulation for free transverse vibration analysis of orthotropic plates of revolution with general boundary conditions, *Mech. Adv. Mater. Struct.,* 25 (2) (2018) 87-99.
- [33] T. J. R. Hughes, J. A. Cottrell and Y. Bazilevs, Isogeometric analysis: CAD, finite elements, NURBS, exact geometry and mesh refinement, *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng*., 194 (39-41) (2005) 4135-4195.
- [34] J. A. Cottrell, T. J. R. Hughes and Y. Bazilevs, *Isogeometric Analysis: Toward Integration of CAD and FEA,* Chichesrer, United Kindom: John Wiley & Sons (2009).
- [35] X. Qin, G. Jin, M. Chen and S. Yin, Free in-plane vibration analysis of circular, annular, and sector plates using iso geometric approach, *Shock Vib.,* 4314761 (2018).
- [36] Q. X. Lieu, S. Lee, J. Kang and J. Lee, Bending and free vibration analyses of in-plane bi-directional functionally graded plates with variable thickness using isogeometric analysis, *Compos. Struct.,* 192 (2018) 434-451.
- [37] J. So and A. W. Leissa, Three-dimensional vibrations of thick circular and annular plates, *J. Sound Vib.,* 209 (1) (1998) 15-41.
- [38] J. H. Kang and A. W. Leissa, Three-dimensional vibrations of thick, linearly tapered, annular plates, *J. Sound Vib.,* 217 (5) (1998) 927-944.
- [39] K. M. Liew and B. Yang, Three-dimensional elasticity solutions for free vibrations of circular plates: A polynomials-Ritz analysis, *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng*., 175 (1-2) (1999) 189-201.
- [40] K. M. Liew and B. Yang, Elasticity solutions for free vibrations of annular plates from three-dimensional analysis, *Int. J. Solids Struct*., 37 (52) (2000) 7689-7702.
- [41] D. Zhou, F. T. K. Au, Y. K. Cheung and S. H. Lo, Threedimensional vibration analysis of circular and annular plates via the Chebyshev-Ritz method, *Int. J. Solids Struct*., 40 (12) (2003) 3089-3105.
- [42] D. Zhou, S. H. Lo, F. T. K. Au and Y. K. Cheung, Threedimensional free vibration of thick circular plates on Paster nak foundation, *J. Sound Vib*., 292 (3-5) (2006) 726-741.
- [43] P. Shi and C. Y. Dong, Vibration analysis of functionally graded annular plates with mixed boundary conditions in thermal environment, *J. Sound Vib*., 331 (15) (2012) 3649- 3662.
- [44] C. Y. Dong, Three-dimensional free vibration analysis of functionally graded annular plates using the Chebyshev-Ritz method, *Mater. Des*., 29 (8) (2008) 1518-1525.
- [45] G. Nie and Z. Zhong, Dynamic analysis of multidirectional functionally graded annular plates, *Appl. Math. Modell*., 34 (3) (2010) 608-616.
- [46] G. J. Nie and Z. Zhong, Vibration analysis of functionally graded annular sectorial plates with simply supported radial edges, *Compos. Struct*., 84 (2) (2008) 167-176.
- [47] I. D. Kermani, M. Ghayour and H. R. Mirdamadi, Free vibration analysis of multi-directional functionally graded circular and annular plates, *J. Mech. Sci. Technol*., 26 (11) (2012) 3399-3410.
- [48] P. Malekzadeh, S. A. Shahpari and H. R. Ziaee, Threedimensional free vibration of thick functionally graded annular plates in thermal environment, *J. Sound Vib*., 329 (4) (2010) 425-442.
- [49] S. S. Vel and R. C. Batra, Three-dimensional exact solution for the vibration of functionally graded rectangular plates, *J. Sound Vib.,* 272 (3-5) (2004) 703-730.
- [50] J. Zhao, Y. Zhang, K. Choe, X. Qu, A. Wang and Q. Wang, Three-dimensional exact solution for the free vibration of thick functionally graded annular sector plates with arbitrary boundary conditions, *Compos. Part B,* 159 (2019) 418-436.
- [51] E. Carrera, Historical review of zig-zag theories for multilayered plates and shells, *Appl. Mech. Rev*., 56 (3) (2003) 287-308.
- [52] E. Carrera, Assessment of theories for free vibration analysis of homogeneous and multilayered plates, *Shock Vib*., 11 (3-4) (2004) 261-270.
- [53] C. P. Wu and H. Y. Li, An RMVT-based finite rectangular prism method for the 3D analysis of sandwich FGM plates with various boundary conditions, *CMC-Comput. Mater. Continua.,* 34 (1) (2013) 27-62.
- [54] C. P. Wu and H. Y. Li, RMVT-based finite cylindrical prism methods for multilayered functionally graded circular hollow cylinders with various boundary conditions, *Compos.*

*Struct*., 100 (2013) 592-608.

- [55] C. P. Wu and L. T. Yu, Quasi-3D static analysis of twodirectional functionally graded circular plates, *Steel Compos. Struct.,* 27 (2018) 789-801.
- [56] C. F. Liu and Y. T. Lee, Finite element analysis of threedimensional vibrations of thick circular and annular plates, *J. Sound Vib*., 233 (1) (2000) 63-80.
- [57] D. Zhou, F. T. K. Au, Y. K. Cheung and S. H. Lo, Threedimensional vibration analysis of circular and annular plates via the Chebyshev-Ritz method, *Int. J. Solids Struct*., 40 (12) (2003) 3089-3105.



**Chih-Ping Wu** obtained his Ph.D. degree from the Engineering Mechanics, Ohio State University, USA, in 1988. Since then, he worked as an Associate Professor at the Department of Civil Engineering (CE), National Cheng Kung University (NCKU), Taiwan. In 1993 and 2003, he was promoted to be

the Full Professor and Distinguished Professor at NCKU, respectively. From 2003 to 2006, he served as the Head of the CE Department, NCKU. He majored in the research areas including FGM plates/shells, nonlocal continuum mechanics, computational mechanics, finite element methods, meshless methods, perturbation methods, and three-dimensional elasticity/piezoelectricity.