

# Online control of critical speed vibrations of a single-span rotor by a rotor dynamic vibration absorber at different installation positions†

Hang-ling Hu and Li-dong He\*

*School of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing, 100029, China* 

(Manuscript Received May 17, 2016; Revised October 14, 2016; Accepted January 16, 2017)

--

## **Abstract**

The serious vibration of rotors around the critical speed is a problem in rotor systems. To overcome this problem, a single-span two disk rotor bench was built to simulate the starting process of a rotor. A new Rotor dynamic vibration absorber (RDVA) was designed and installed in the middle of the rotor. A on-off control method based on speed was applied to control the on-off position of the electromagnet in RDVA. Therefore, the natural frequencies between two selected values could be changed. The principles for the vibration control of the rotor system were studied. The vibration suppression performance of an RDVA is a function of its location. The location of the RDVA is subject to several constraints due to the compact structure of the rotor system. As a result, RDVA cannot always be installed at the optimal location of vibration suppression. Accordingly, a study was performed to observe the effect of RDVA location on the vibration suppression performance. Results showed that installing RDVA with on-off control between the two disks not only suppressed the violent vibrations of the rotor at critical speed during the starting process but also avoided the two resonance peaks generated by the traditional absorber. RDVA maintained the vibration of the rotor at a low level in the entire speed range. Furthermore, the vibrations of the rotor system decreased by 20 % when RDVA was installed near the rotor support.

*--*

*Keywords*: Rotor dynamic vibration absorber; Critical speed; On-off control; Single-span rotor; Installation position

#### **1. Introduction**

Rotating machinery often causes vibration during operation because of unbalanced mass. A high-speed rotor, whose oper ating speed is usually above the first-order critical speed, must pass the critical speed. In this case, the rotor has strong resonance, which affects its stable operation. Rotor balancing is commonly used to reduce critical vibrations. By balancing the machine, the unbalanced mass is reduced. Rotor balancing with a balancing machine requires the removal and transportation of the rotor. These operations involve a large amount of time, manpower, and cost. Field balancing of the rotor can also be performed, but it requires repeated on and off switching of the unit; this repeated switching results in severe economic loss[1-4]. An automatic balancing device is also used to control unbalanced rotor vibrations. The unbalanced mass of the rotor of fans and other rotating machinery gradually increases during operation due to scaling, corrosion, or other reasons, all of which cause violent vibration. An online automatic balancing device can be utilized to balance the rotor during its operation [5-9]. Electromagnetic and hydraulic

automatic balancing devices are currently used. However, the structure of an automatic balancing device is complex. Furthermore, it is mostly used only in the machining field of grinders and machining centers because of its limited balancing ability and working conditions [10-14].

The application of a Dynamic vibration absorber (DVA) is an effective method for vibration control and suppressing structural vibrations [15-17]. A DVA generally consists of a mass, spring, and damper. This device connects the subsystem to the main system through elastic elements and generates inertial force from relative motion. The force created acts on the main system and suppresses its vibrations. Considering its excellent vibration control effect, DVA is widely used, especially in the control of structural vibrations caused by wind load or earthquakes [18]. The application of DVA for vibration control in rotating machinery has been widely studied. Nakano [19] investigated the effect of multiple dynamic absorbers on the chatter generated in end milling operations by performing a stability analysis and cutting test. Arrigan [20] used a semi-active tuning mass damper to effectively control the blade beat vibrations of a wind turbine. Campos[21] used a rotating vibration absorber to reduce lateral vibration during the spinning of a washing machine with a capacity of 8 kg. The results showed a significant reduction in the lateral vibra-

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 11228869

E-mail address: 1963he@163.com

<sup>†</sup>Recommended by Associate Editor Cheolung Cheong

<sup>©</sup> KSME & Springer 2017

tion of the machine basket when the absorber was used. The disadvantages of DVA are as follows: a new resonance peak is generated before or after the natural frequency and the effective damping band is narrow[22]. These drawbacks limit the wide application of DVA. Moreover, the location of DVA is subject to several constraints due to the compact structure of the rotor system. As a result, DVA cannot always be installed at the optimal location of vibration suppression. Therefore, the vibration suppression performance of DVA when installed in other positions in the rotor should be studied.

To overcome the inadequacies of rotor balancing techniques and DVA, a single-span two-disk rotor bench was established in this study, and a novel Rotor dynamic vibration absorber (RDVA) was designed and applied to the rotor vibration control system. The on-off control method based on speed was applied to study the principles of single-span rotor vibration control as the rotor passed through the critical speed during the starting process. The RDVA was installed in different can be divided as positions in the rotor, and the effect of RDVA location on rotor vibration control performance was studied. Fo overcome the inadequacies of rotor balancing techniques<br>
where<br>
the DDVA, a single-span two-disk rotot bench was established<br>
this study, and a novel Rotor dynamic vibration absorber<br>
the study, and a new Hotor dynamic

#### **2. Control principle and structure of RDVA**

#### *2.1 Control principle of RDVA*

The rotor system was discretized into a system with multiple degrees of freedom. The dynamical equation of the rotor system under the effect of unbalanced force can be expressed as [23]

$$
\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{C}\dot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{F}e^{\mathbf{j}\omega t}, \qquad (1)
$$

where *M*, *C* and *K* are the mass, damping, and stiffness matrices of the rotor system, respectively.  $C = C_0 + C_c$ ,  $K = K_0 + K_K$ , DVA can be expressed as follows:  $C_0$  is the transition damping matrix.  $C_C$  is the entire coupled damping matrix.  $K_0$  is the transition stiffness matrix, and  $K_K$  is the entire coupled stiffness matrix.  $\ddot{x}$ ,  $\dot{x}$  and  $x$  are the acceleration, velocity, and displacement matrices of the main rotor system, respectively. *F* is the unbalanced force matrix of the rotor. *ω* is the frequency of the exciting force. The response of the rotor under steady-state unbalanced excitation is **Control principle by KDFA**<br>
The rotor system was discretized into a system with multi-<br>
degrees of freedom. The dynamical equation of the rotor<br>
degrees of freedom. The dynamical equation of the rotor<br>
term under the eff 23)<br> **EVALUATE:**<br> **EVALUAT**  $\phi$  entire coupled stiffness matrix.  $\vec{x}$ ,  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{x}$  are the accoupled stiffness matrix.  $\vec{x}$ ,  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{x}$  are the accoupled stiffness matrix.  $\vec{x}$ ,  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{x}$  are the accoupled stiffness mat Example surface the role of the transition suffiness matrix, and  $K_k$  is  $G(\omega) = [1 - (\frac{\omega}{\omega_k})^2 + j2\xi_k(\frac{\omega}{\omega_k})^1 \cdot \frac{1}{K}/\{(\frac{\omega}{\Omega_k})^2(\frac{\omega}{\omega_k})^2 - \frac{1}{K}} \text{min, velocity, and displacement matrices of the main system, respectively.  $F$  is the unbalanced force matrix of  $[\frac{\omega}{\omega_k}]^2 + (\frac{\omega}{\Omega_k})^2(1+\mu) + 1 + j2\xi_k$$ if everyon, where the activation is the matrix  $\vec{x}$ ,  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{x}$  are the ac-<br>  $\cos \theta = \frac{V(\omega)}{R_2} + 1/2\varsigma_s(\frac{\omega}{\omega_s}) + 1/2\varsigma_s(\frac{\omega}{\omega_s}) + \frac{V(\varsigma)}{R_1} + \frac{V(\varsigma)}{R_2} + \frac{V(\varsigma)}{R_2}$ <br>  $\vec{x}$ , where the matrix of the noti **K<sub>0</sub>** is the transition stiffness matrix, and **K**<sub>K</sub> is<br>
stiffness matrix, and  $\mathbf{K}_K$  is  $G(\omega) = [1 - (\frac{\omega}{\omega_2})^2 + j2\xi_n(\frac{\omega}{\omega_0})] \cdot \frac{1}{K_i} / {\{\frac{\omega}{\Omega_i}\}} (\frac{\omega}{\omega_2})^2 -$ <br>
ty, and displacement matrices of the main<br>
ectively.

$$
\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{j} \circ t} \tag{2}
$$

where  $X$  is the amplitude matrix of the rotor. Substituting Eq. (2) into Eq. (1) results in

$$
(-\omega^2 \mathbf{M} + j\omega \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{K})\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{F} \tag{3}
$$

Modal matrix *Φ*, which consists of inherent vectors origi-

where **X** is the amplitude matrix of the rotor. Substituting Eq. (2) into Eq. (1) results in  
\n
$$
(-\omega^2 \mathbf{M} + j\omega \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{K})\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{F}
$$
.  
\nModal matrix  $\Phi$ , which consists of inherent vectors origin-  
\nnating from the analytical intrinsic values, is as follows:  
\n $\Phi = [\mathbf{X}_1 \quad \mathbf{X}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{X}_n] = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{11} & \varphi_{12} & \cdots & \varphi_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi_{n1} & \varphi_{n2} & \cdots & \varphi_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$ .  
\n $\mathbf{X} = \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i^T \mathbf{F} \Phi_i G_i (d\mathbf{F} \Phi_i \mathbf{F})$   
\n $\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 & \mathbf{X}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{X}_n \end{bmatrix}$   
\n $\mathbf{X} = \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i^T \mathbf{F} \Phi_i G_i (d\mathbf{F} \Phi_i \mathbf{F})$   
\n $\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 & \mathbf{X}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{X}_n \end{bmatrix}$   
\n $\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 & \mathbf{X}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{X}_n \end{bmatrix}$   
\n $\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 & \mathbf{X}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{X}_n \end{bmatrix}$   
\n $\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 & \mathbf{X}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{X}_n \end{bmatrix}$   
\n $\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 & \mathbf{X}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{X}_n \end{bmatrix}$   
\n $\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 & \mathbf{X}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{X}_n \end{bmatrix}$   
\n $\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 & \mathbf{X}_2 & \cdots & \math$ 

This modal matrix was used for coordinate transformation between the physical and modal coordinate systems.

$$
\mathbf{X} = \Phi \delta \tag{5}
$$

Substituting Eq. (5) into Eq. (3) and multiplying both sides with  $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ <sup>T</sup> results in rechable 31 (5) (2017) 2075-2081<br>
This modal matrix was used for coordinate transformation<br>
ween the physical and modal coordinate systems.<br> **X** = Φδ . (5)<br>
Substituting Eq. (5) into Eq. (3) and multiplying both sides<br>

$$
(\Phi^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{G}\Phi)\delta = \Phi^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{F},\qquad(6)
$$

**e** and Technology 31 (5) (2017) 2075~2081<br>
This modal matrix was used for coordinate transformation<br>
between the physical and modal coordinate systems.<br>  $\mathbf{X} = \Phi \delta$ . (5)<br>
Substituting Eq. (5) into Eq. (3) and multiply force vector of DVA is  $F_d$ . Therefore, the dynamic equation of the rotor system with the dynamic vibration absorber device where<br>  $\Phi^T G \Phi = -\omega^2 \Phi^T M \Phi + j\omega \Phi^T C \Phi + \Phi^T K \Phi$ .<br>
When the vibration absorber acts on the rotor, the conforce vector of DVA is  $F_d$ . Therefore, the dynamic equation<br>
the rotor system with the dynamic vibration absorber d  $\mathbf{X} = \Phi \delta$ . (5)<br>
Substituting Eq. (5) into Eq. (3) and multiplying both sides<br>
th  $\Phi^T$  results in<br>
(Φ<sup>T</sup> GΦ)δ = Φ<sup>T</sup> **F**, (6)<br>
ere<br>  $\Phi^T G \Phi = -\omega^2 \Phi^T \mathbf{M} \Phi + j\omega \Phi^T C \Phi + \Phi^T \mathbf{K} \Phi$ .<br>
When the vibration absorber  $\Phi^T \mathbf{F}$ , (6)<br>  $\Phi^T \mathbf{M} \Phi + j\omega \Phi^T \mathbf{C} \Phi + \Phi^T \mathbf{K} \Phi$ .<br>
(by  $\Phi^T \mathbf{M} \Phi + j\omega \Phi^T \mathbf{C} \Phi + \Phi^T \mathbf{K} \Phi$ ).<br>
(by  $\Phi^T \mathbf{F}$  and  $\Phi^T \mathbf{R}$  is  $\Phi^T (\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_d)$ .<br>
(b)<br>  $\Phi^T (\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_d)$ .<br>
(7)<br>
(a)<br>  $\Phi^T$ ( $\Phi^T G \Phi$ ) $\delta = \Phi^T F$ , (6)<br>
ere<br> **a**<sup>T</sup>( $G \Phi = -\omega^2 \Phi^T M \Phi + j\omega \Phi^T C \Phi + \Phi^T K \Phi$ .<br>
When the vibration absorber acts on the rotor, the control<br>
rotor system with the dynamic vibration absorber device<br>
to be divided as<br>  $(\Phi^T$  $\Phi$ ) $\delta$  =  $\Phi$ <sup>T</sup>**F**, (6)<br> **b** =  $-\omega^2$ **Φ**<sup>T</sup>**MΦ** +  $j\omega$ **Φ**<sup>T</sup>**CΦ** + **Φ**<sup>T</sup>**KΦ**.<br>
the vibration absorber acts on the rotor, the control<br>
cost of DVA is  $\mathbf{F}_d$ . Therefore, the dynamic equation of<br>
system with the d  $\Phi$ )δ =  $\Phi^{\mathsf{T}}$ **F**, (6)<br>  $\Phi = -\omega^2 \Phi^{\mathsf{T}} M \Phi + j\omega \Phi^{\mathsf{T}} C \Phi + \Phi^{\mathsf{T}} K \Phi$ .<br>
the vibration absorber acts on the rotor, the control<br>
ctor of DVA is  $\mathbf{F}_d$ . Therefore, the dynamic equation of<br>
r system with the dyna  $= -\omega^2 \Phi^{\mathsf{T}} M \Phi + j\omega \Phi^{\mathsf{T}} C \Phi + \Phi^{\mathsf{T}} K \Phi$ .<br>
the vibration absorber acts on the rotor, the control<br>
or of DVA is  $\mathbf{F}_d$ . Therefore, the dynamic equation of<br>
system with the dynamic vibration absorber device<br>
ided a

$$
(\Phi^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{G}\Phi)\delta = \Phi^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_{d}). \tag{7}
$$

The force  $F_d$  of DVA that acts on the rotor can be expressed as

$$
F_{d} = \frac{-m\omega^{2}(k + j c\omega)}{k - m\omega^{2} + j c\omega} \delta_{i},
$$
\n(8)

where *m*, *k*, and *c* are the mass, stiffness, and damping coefficients, respectively, of DVA.

Therefore, the transfer function of the rotor system with

through the critical speed during the rotor system with the dynamic vibration absorber device  
IDVA was installed in different  
the effect of RDVA location on  
mmance was studied.  
(
$$
\Phi^T G \Phi) \delta = \Phi^T (F - F_e)
$$
.  
(7)  
**structure of RDVA**  
*VA*  
**EXECUTE:** The force  $F_d \text{ of DVA}$  that acts on the rotor can be expressed  
reertized into a system with multi-  
edynamical equation of the rotor  
mbalanced force can be expressed  
the dynamical equation of the rotor  
abalanced force can be expressed  

$$
F_d = \frac{-m\omega^2 (k + j\omega)}{k - m\omega^2 + j\omega} \delta_l,
$$
(8)  
and sampling coefficients, respectively, of DVA.  
According, and stiffness matrix, and  $K_k$  is  
matrix.  $C_c$  is the entire coupled  
msition stiffness matrix, and  $K_k$  is  
matrix.  $K_k$  is and x are the mass, stiffness, and damping coeffi-  
centrix.  $X$  is a dx are the mass, stiffness, and damping  
matrix, and  $K_k$  is  
of  $\omega = [1 - (\frac{\omega}{\omega_0})^2 + j2\xi_c(\frac{\omega}{\omega_0}) + \frac{1}{\Delta}/\{\frac{\omega}{\omega_0}\}^2(\frac{\omega}{\omega_0})^2 -$   
splacement matrices of the main  
is the unbalanced force matrix of  
 $Q$  of the excting force. The re-  
eddy-state unbalanced excitation is  
(2)  
where  
matrix of the rotor. Substituting Eq.  $\Omega_z = \sqrt{\frac{K_r}{M_r}}$ ,  $\omega_z = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$ ,  $\zeta_z = \frac{C_e}{2m\omega_z}$ .  
(3)  
 $\Omega_z$ ,  $\omega_q$ , and  $\zeta_z$  are the natural frequencies of the rotor and  
DVA and the damping ratio of DVA, respectively.  $\mu$  is the  
consists of inherent vectors origin.  
(4)  
 $\phi_{z1}$   $\phi_{z2}$  ...  $\phi_{z_n}$   
 $\phi_{z_n}$  (4)  
 $\phi_{z_1}$   $\phi_{z_2}$  ...  $\phi_{z_n}$   
(5)

where

$$
\Omega_i = \sqrt{\frac{K_i}{M_i}}, \qquad \omega_n = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}, \qquad \zeta_n = \frac{c_n}{2m\omega_n}.
$$

placement matrices of the mann<br>
is the unbalanced force matrix of<br>
is of the exciting force. The re-<br>
dy-state unbalanced excitation is<br>
(2)<br>
where<br>
trix of the rotor. Substituting Eq.<br>
(3)<br>  $Q_n = \sqrt{\frac{K}{M_1}}$ ,  $\omega_n = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m$ placement intension of the main<br>  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \cos(n\pi x) \cos(n\pi x)$ <br>  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\in$  $\Omega_i$ ,  $\omega_n$  and  $\zeta_n$  are the natural frequencies of the rotor and DVA and the damping ratio of DVA, respectively.  $\mu$  is the mass ratio between the absorber and rotor system. The steady state response of the rotor is  $\frac{i}{i}$ ,  $\omega_n = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$ ,  $\zeta_n = \frac{c_n}{2m\omega_n}$ .<br>
And  $\zeta_n$  are the natural frequencies of the rotor and the damping ratio of DVA, respectively.  $\mu$  is the est of the rotor is<br>  $\int_{i}^{T} \mathbf{F} \Phi_i G_i(\omega)$ . (1<br>  $\int_{i}^{T} \mathbf{F$ 

$$
\mathbf{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_i^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{F} \Phi_i G_i(\omega) .
$$
 (10)

Fig. 1 shows the vibration control effect of DVA on the rotor at different damping ratios of DVA when the mass ratio



Fig. 1. Vibration control effect of DVA under different damping ratios.



Fig. 2. Vibration control effect of DVA under different damping ratios.

is 0.1. When the natural frequency of DVA and the frequency of rotor excitation force are equal, the inertial force from the relative movement acting on the rotor and the vibration amplitude of the rotor system decrease substantially. However, two new resonance peaks of resonance frequencies appear simultaneously before and after the natural frequency. The amplitudes of the peaks are small when the damping ratio is large. The frequencies of the two peaks do not change.

Fig. 2 shows the vibration control effect of DVA on the rotor at different mass ratios when the damping ratio of DVA is 0.01. With the increase in mass ratio, the frequency interval of the two new peaks becomes large, and the frequency bandwidth of vibration control widens. However, a large mass ratio would increase the weight load of the rotor.

The traditional dynamic vibration absorber is likely to increase the rotor vibrations when it deviates from the tuning frequency and would generate two new resonance peaks. Therefore, the traditional dynamic vibration absorber is unsuitable for rotor vibration control.

To overcome the disadvantages of the traditional dynamic vibration absorber, a new type of RDVA was designed to control the vibrations of a single-span rotor system.



Fig. 3. Structure of RDVA.



Fig. 4. Photo of RDVA.

#### *2.2 Structure of RDVA*

The designed RDVA was based on the structure of the rotor test bench and critical vibration characteristics (shown in Figs. 3 and 4). The mass ratio of RDVA was 0.1. RDVA consisted of connection, spring, and mass units. The connection unit consisted of a connecting bearing with adjustment for axial positioning and a hoop. The hoop was fitted over the bearing outer ring to transmit the rotor vibrations. However, the hoop itself did not rotate. The spring unit consisted of four springs with the same stiffness distributed uniformly on the circumference. The mass unit consisted of the less massive annular ring  $(m_0)$  and electromagnet suspended from the bracket  $(m_1)$ . The electromagnet picked up the annular ring when it was energized, and it disengaged from the annular ring when the power was turned off.

#### *2.3 Vibration control principle of RDVA*

The RDVA effectively supressed the rotor vibrations when the natural frequency of RDVA and the frequency of exciting force were equal. The natural frequency of RDVA depended on the mass of the mass unit and the stiffness of the spring unit. The frequency of RDVA is

$$
f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}},
$$

where *m* is the mass of the mass unit and *k* is the stiffness of the spring unit.

In this study, the spring stiffness of RDVA remained unchanged. The natural frequency was changed by changing the mass of the mass unit. The mass of the mass unit was  $m_0$ and increased to  $m_0 + m_1$  by controlling the on-off status of the electromagnet. The natural frequency of the RDVA with the annular ring of a mass of  $m_0$  is given by  $f_0 = \sqrt{k/m_0/(2\pi)}$ . To make the two resonance peaks of the frequencies generated by the RDVA higher than the rotor operating speed,  $f_0$  was maintained higher than the frequency corresponding to the rotor operating speed. Thus, in the entire range of rotor operating speeds, this RDVA was prevented from generating two new resonance peaks to increase rotor vibration. When the annular ring  $m_0$  picked up the electromagnet  $m_1$  in the rotor critical resonance region, the natural frequency of RDVA is where *m* is the mass of the mass unit and *k* is the stiffness of<br>  $\frac{F_{\text{Ectromagnet mass}}(m) \text{ (g)}}{\text{Ectromagnet mass}}$ the sping unit.<br>
In this study, the spring stiffness of RDVA remained<br>
In this study, the spring stiffness of RDVA rem given by  $f_1 = \sqrt{k/(m_0 + m_1)}/(2\pi)$ .  $f_1$  remained consistent with the frequency corresponding to the rotor critical speed.

During the operation, the rotor vibration was small when the rotor speed was in the noncritical speed resonance region. The electromagent was under power outage and disengaged the annular ring. The RDVA consisting of an annular ring had no vibration control effect on the rotor and did not generate new resonance peaks to increase the rotor vibration. The rotor vibration was large when the rotor speed was in the critical speed resonance region. The on-off control method based on speed was applied to control the on-off status of the electromagnet; thus, the electromagnet picked up the annular ring, and the RDVA exerted a vibration control effect. The on off control method based on speed for RDVA supressed the rotor vibration at the critical speed and prevented RDVA from generating two new resonance peaks.

# **3. Experimental studies on single-span rotor vibration control**

#### *3.1 Single-span rotor bench*

Figs. 5 and 6 show the single-span two-disk rotor bench. The rotor is belt driven. The speed of the drive motor was adjusted by a speed controller, and the rotor speed was varied from 0 rpm to 1800 rpm. The diameter of the rotor was 15 mm, and the bearing span was 600 mm. The rotor had two disks. The weight of each disk was 6.89 kg, the diameter of the disk was 200 mm, and the thickness of the disk was 30 mm.

The experimental test system consisted of an LC-8000 multichannel vibration monitoring fault diagnostic system equipped with eight input channels and a specialized vibration signal processing acquisition board. The measuring support frame for horizontal vibration acceleration was selected for this experiment.

Table 1. Structural parameters of RDVA.

| Annular ring mass $(m_0)(g)$      | 180  |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| Electromagnet mass $(m_1)$ (g)    | 1250 |
| Frequency of $m_0$ and $m_1$ (Hz) |      |
| Frequency of $m_0$ (Hz)           | 564  |
| Single spring stiffness (N/mm)    | 7 98 |



Fig. 5. Structure of the single-span rotor bench.



Fig. 6. Photo of the single-span rotor bench.

# *3.2 Experimental study on the principle of single-span rotor vibration control based on RDVA*

When the single-span rotor's speed was increased from 0 rpm to 1800 rpm, the critical speed was 1196 rpm. The vibration of the rotor rapidly increased because of the unbalanced mass at the critical speed in the starting process. A new ver sion of RDVA was designed and installed on the rotor to study the principles of rotor vibration control and develop methods to suppress violent rotor vibrations at the critical speed. Moreover, the vibration suppression performance of the RDVA when the RDVA was installed in different positions in the rotor was compared.

## *3.2.1 Parameters of RDVA*

To suppress the vibration of the rotor at the critical speed under on-off conditions, an appropriate mass unit that con sisted of an annular ring and electromagnets was selected. The stiffness of the spring could be obtained from the natural frequency (Eq. (11)) of RDVA. The structural parameters of RDVA are shown in Table 1.

First, the annular ring of RDVA was installed on the rotor with bearings, hoops, and springs. When the electromagnet was not energized, the natural frequency of the RDVA con sisting of an annular ring only was calculated with Eq. (11) as 56.4 Hz. The corresponding speed was 3384 rpm. The speed range of the first peak of the two resonance peaks generated by the absorber was 3200 rpm to 3300 rpm. This speed was much higher than the maximum rotor speed of 1800 rpm. Therefore, the RDVA consisting of an annular ring only in stalled on the rotor did not generate new resonance peaks to increase the vibration of the rotor. Based on these results, the on-off control method based on

speed was applied to control the on-off condition of the electromagnet using a controllable property of RDVA in the rotor critical resonance region to suppress violent rotor vibration at the critical speed. The electromagnet was not energized in the noncritical resonance region; therefore, the RDVA did not increase nor inhibit rotor vibration.

# *3.2.2 Experimental study on the rotor with and without on off control*

The effect of RDVA with or without on-off control on rotor vibration control was investigated. Without on-off control corresponded to the condition of the electromagnet absorbing and holding the annular ring during the speeding up of the rotor. With on-off control corresponded to the condition of the electromagnet picking up an annular ring only in the rotor critical resonance region.

When the rotor was equipped with the RDVA without on off control, the electromagnet always absorbed an annular ring. The natural frequency of RDVA was consistent with the rotor critical speed corresponding frequency. The violent rotor vibration was effectively suppressed in the critical resonance region from 1080 rpm to 1260 rpm, as shown in Fig. 7. How ever, in the speed range of 900 rpm to 1020 rpm, the rotor system generated a new peak  $(11.2 \text{ m/s}^2)$ , and a second peak  $(27.2 \text{ m/s}^2)$  was generated in the speed range of 1480 rpm to <sup>1590</sup> rpm. Therefore, the electromagnet always absorbing an annular ring generated two new peaks.

When the rotor was equipped with RDVA with on-off control, the electromagnet in RDVA absorbed and held the annular ring in the resonant critical speed region of 1080 rpm to 1260 rpm. In this speed range, the natural frequency of RDVA was close to or consistent with the frequency corresponding to the rotor speed, and the rotor critical resonance region was within the effective vibration control bandwidth of RDVA. The rotor critical vibration was effectively suppressed by the on-off control. The maximum amplitude at the measuring point decreased from 30.7 m/s<sup>2</sup> to 3.5 m/s<sup>2</sup>. This decrease vibr amounted to 88.6 %. The electromagnet disengaged the annular ring in the noncritical areas.

Therefore, the RDVA with on-off control suppressed the violent vibration of the single-span rotor in the critical resonant region during the speeding up process and avoided the generation of two new resonance peaks. The vibration of the single-span rotor remained at a low level in the entire process of speeding up, as shown in Fig. 7.



Fig. 7. Vibration of the single-span rotor during speeding up.



Fig. 8. Vibration of the rotor at different locations of RDVA.

# *3.2.3 Experimental study on the installation positions of the RDVA for the rotor system*

The vibration suppression effect was considerable when the RDVA was installed in the middle of the rotor. However, the actual structure of the rotor system was compact, and the in stallation space was limited. As a result, the installation of RDVA may be impossible at position 1 (indicated in Fig. 5). An alternative position needs to be selected. Therefore, the RDVA was installed at the three other positions, namely, (i) between the two disks at 50 mm (Position 2) from one disk, (ii) between the two disks at 100 mm (Position 3) from one disk, and (iii) near the rotor support (Position 4), as shown in Fig. 5. The effect of the installation position of the RDVA on the vibration suppression performance was studied. The vibration data are presented in Fig. 8.

When the RDVA was installed at position 2, the rotor vibration amplitude in the critical resonance region decreased by 77.9 % to a value of 6.9 m/s<sup>2</sup>. Similarly, when the RDVA was installed at position 3, the amplitude decreased by 67.1 % to  $10.1 \text{ m/s}^2$ . The reduction in amplitude was lower than the one corresponding to the RDVA installation at position 1, but the magnitude of vibration was significantly reduced compared with the original vibrations. Hence, the vibration of the singlespan two-disk rotor system was suppressed effectively by installing the RDVA between the two disks. When the RDVA was near the support (Position 4), the magnitude of vibration was  $24.3 \text{ m/s}^2$ , which corresponded to a  $20.8$  % reduction in the amplitude of vibration. In addition, the vibration suppression performance was reduced when the position of the RDVA was close to the support.

## **4. Conclusions**

(1) The on-off control method based on speed can control the on-off position of the electromagnet in RDVA to change the natural frequencies of RDVA between two selected values.

(2) The RDVA with on-off control can eliminate the two amplitude peaks of traditional DVA, thereby reducing the negative effects of such devices on rotor vibration control.

(3) The RDVA effectively suppressed the violent vibration of the single-span rotor at speed during the starting process, such that the single-span rotor vibration remained at a low level.

(4) The vibration of the single-span two-disk rotor system was suppressed effectively by installing an RDVA between the two disks. The vibration suppression performance was reduced when the position of the RDVA was close to the support. However, the vibrations of the rotor system still under went a reduction of around 20 %.

## **Acknowledgment**

This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 program) (Item No.: 2012CB026000).

#### Nomenclature-

- *M* : Mass matrix of the rotor system
- *C* : Damping matrix of the rotor system
- *K* : Stiffness matrix of the rotor system
- $C_0$ : Transition damping matrix of the rotor system
- $C_{\rm C}$  : Entire coupled damping matrix of the rotor system
- $K_0$ : Transition stiffness matrix of the rotor system
- $K_K$  : Entire coupled stiffness matrix of the rotor system
- $\ddot{x}$  : Acceleration matrix of the rotor system
- **x**& : Velocity matrix of the rotor system
- *x* : Displacement matrix of the rotor system
- *F* : Unbalanced force matrix of the rotor
- *ω* : Frequency of exciting force
- *X* : Amplitude matrix of the rotor
- *Φ* : Modal matrix
- *F<sup>d</sup>* : Control force vector of DVA
- *G*(*ω*) : Transfer function
- $\Omega_i$ : Natural frequency of the rotor
- $\omega_n$  : Natural frequency of DVA
- $\zeta_n$ : Damping ratio of DVA
- $\mu$  : Mass ratio between the absorber and rotor system

#### **References**

- [1] J. J. Gao, H. Y. Miao, H. Xu and W. M. Wang, Multi-rotors system coupling optimization and unbalance response analysis with finite element method, *Chinese Journal of Vibration and Shock*, 24 (2) (2005) 1-4 (in Chinese).
- [2] H. Y. Miao, J. J. Gao, H. Xu and B. Wang, A study of virtual balancing of flexible rotor based on finite element method, *Chinese Journal of Vibration, Measurement & Diagnosis*, 24 (3) (2004) 184-188 (in Chinese).
- [3] R. E. D. Bishop and G. M. L. Gladwell, The vibration and balancing of an unbalanced flexible rotor, *Journal of Me chanical Engineering Science*, 1 (1) (1959) 66-77.
- [4] J. W. Lund and J. Tonnesen, Analysis and experiments on multi-plane balancing of a flexible rotor, *Journal of Engineering for Industry*, 94 (1) (1972) 233-242.
- [5] F. Sève, M. A. Andrianoely, A. Berlioz, R. Dufour and M. Charreyron, Balancing of machinery with a flexible variable speed rotor, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 264 (2) (2003) 287-302.
- [6] B. Hredzak and G. X. Guo, New electromechanical balancing device for active imbalance compensation, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 294 (4) (2006) 737-751.
- [7] Y. R. Su, L. D. He, Z. W. Wang and J. Chang, Study on dual-plane active hydraulic balancing technology for single disk rigid rotor system, *Proceedings of the CSEE*, 29 (35) (2009) 119-124 (in Chinese).
- [8] Z. W. Wang, L. D. He and Y. R. Su, Application of hydraulic automatic balancing technology on a fan rotor, *Proceedings of the CSEE*, 29 (5) (2009) 86-90 (in Chinese).
- [9] Q. K. Chen, J. Y. Li, J. Zhao and Z. D. Wu, Rotor balance in-situ of large centrifugal ventilator, *Metallurgy Power* (5) (2001) 10-15 (in Chinese).
- [10] S. Zhou and J. Shi, Optimal one-plane active balancing of a rigid rotor during acceleration, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 249 (1) (2002) 196-205.
- [11] Z. Gosiewski, Automatic balancing of flexible rotors, Part <sup>Ⅱ</sup>: synthesis of system, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 114 (1) (1987) 103-119.
- [12] J. D. Moon, B. S. Kim and S. H. Lee, Development of the active balancing device for high-speed spindle system using influence coefficients, *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, 46 (9) (2006) 978-987.
- [13] A. B. Palazzolo, S. Jagannathan, A. F. Kascak, G. T. Mota gue and L. J. Kiraly, Hybrid active vibration control of rotorbearing systems using piezoelectric actuators, *Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, 115 (1) (1993) 111-119.
- [14] S. Y. Zhou and J. J. Shi, Active balancing and vibration control of rotating machinery: a survey, *Shock and Vibration Digest*, 33 (5) (2001) 361-371.
- [15] M. Rahnavard, M. Hashemi, F. Farahmand and A. F. Dizaji, Designing a hand rest tremor dynamic vibration absorber using H<sup>2</sup> optimization method, *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 28 (5) (2014) 1609-1614.
- [16] N. D. Anh and N. X. Nguyen, Research on the design of

non-traditional dynamic vibration absorber for damped structures under ground motion, *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 30 (2) (2016) 593-602.

- [17] S. C. Huang, C. Y. Tsai and H. H. Liao, Parametric study on a collocated PZT beam vibration absorber and power harvester, *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 30 (11) (2016) 4877-4885.
- [18] S. Pourzeynali, S. Salimi and H. E. Kalesar, Robust multiobjective optimization design of TMD control device to re duce tall building responses against earthquake excitations using genetic algorithms, *Scientia Iranica*, 20 (2) (2013) 207-221.
- [19] Y. Nakano, H. Takahara and E. Kondo, Countermeasure against chatter in end milling operations using multiple dynamic absorbers, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 332 (6) (2013) 1626-1638.
- [20] J. Arrigan, V. Pakrashi, B. Basu and S. Nagarajaiah, Control of flapwise vibrations in wind turbine blades using semi active tuned mass dampers, *Structural Control and Health Moniting*, 18 (8) (2011) 840-851.
- [21] R. O. Campos and R. Nicoletti, Vibration reduction in vertical washing machine using a rotating dynamic absorber, *Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering*, 37 (1) (2015) 339-348.
- [22] Y. Z. Liu, D. L. Yu, H. G. Zhao and X. S. Wen, Review of passive dynamic vibration absorbers, *Chinese Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, 43 (3) (2007) 14-21 (in Chinese).
- [23] K. Seto, *Dynamic vibration absorber and its applitions,* Mechanic industry Press, Beijing, China (2013) (in Chinese).



**Hang-ling Hu** received his B.S. degree in Process Equipment and Control En gineering of Beijing University of Chemical Technology in 2014. Now he is studying for his M.S. degree in Mechanical Engineering of the same school. His research interests are vibration control of pipeline and rotating machinery.

**Li-dong He** is a Ph.D. supervisior at Beijing University of Chemical Technology. His research interests are rotor automatic balancing technology, sealing technology and fault diagnosis.