

# Strongly nonlinear free vibration of four edges simply supported stiffened plates with geometric imperfections<sup>†</sup>

Zhaoting Chen\* , Ronghui Wang, Li Chen and Chunguang Dong

*School of Civil Engineering and Transportation, South China University of Technology ,Guangzhou 510640, China* 

(Manuscript Received August 7, 2015; Revised March 29, 2016; Accepted April 5, 2016) --

## **Abstract**

This article investigated the strongly nonlinear free vibration of four edges simply supported stiffened plates with geometric imperfections. The von Karman nonlinear strain-displacement relationships are applied. The nonlinear vibration of stiffened plate is reduced to a one-degree-of-freedom nonlinear system by assuming mode shapes. The Multiple scales Lindstedt-Poincare method (MSLP) and Modified Lindstedt-Poincare method (MLP) are used to solve the governing equations of vibration. Numerical examples for stiffened plates with different initial geometric imperfections are presented in order to discuss the influences to the strongly nonlinear free vibration of the stiffened plate. The results showed that: the frequency ratio reduced as the initial geometric imperfections of plate increased, which showed that the increase of the initial geometric imperfections of plate can lead to the decrease of nonlinear effect; by comparing the results calculated by MSLP method, using MS method to study strongly nonlinear vibration can lead to serious mistakes.

<u> La componenta de la compo</u>

*Keywords*: Strongly nonlinear; Free vibration; Stiffened plate; Geometric imperfection

## **1. Introduction**

A thin plate stiffened by ribs in one or two directions can achieve greater strength with relatively less material. Therefore, stiffened plates are widely used in ships, aircrafts, bridges, etc. Due to the extensive use of the stiffened plates, the vibration characteristics of stiffened plates are of considerable importance to mechanical and structural engineers.

The dynamic behaviour of stiffened plates has been the subject of intensive study for many years, numerous studies have been reported in open literature. Among the well-known solution techniques to the vibration of stiffened plates, there are grillage model [1], Rayleigh-Ritz method [2, 3], finite element method [4, 5], finite difference method [6, 7], differential quadrature method [8], meshless method [9] and other methods [10-12].

When the deflection of structure is under a great timevarying load which may lead to large deformations of structure, geometrical nonlinear vibrations will occur. At large deflection level, membrane stresses are produced which give additional stiffness to the structure, moreover the straindisplacement relationship becomes nonlinear in this range. Extensive researches on nonlinear vibration analysis of plates have been done. For example, see Haterbouch and Benamar

[13], Nerantzaki and Katsikadelis [14], Celep and Guler [15]. Presently, the common analytical methods for the nonlinear vibration of plates include Ritz method, Galerkin method, perturbation method, successive approximation method, finite difference method, Runge-Kutta integration and so on [16-22].

Recently, Li et al. [23] obtained the analytic free vibration solutions of corner point supported rectangular plates by an up-to-date symplectic superposition method. Nikkhoo et al. [24] studied the vibration of a rectangular plate under multiple moving inertial loads by employing eigenfunction expansion method. Joshi et al. [25] studied the free vibration and geometrically linear thermal buckling phenomenon of a thin rectangular isotropic plate by an analytical model. Breslavsky et al. [26] investigated the static and dynamic analyses of hyperelastic plates by a method for building a local model, which approximates the plate behavior around a deformed configuration. Naghsh and Azhari [27] analyzed the large amplitude free vibration of point supported laminated composite skew plates by the Element-free Galerkin (EFG) method. Razavi and Shooshtari [28] studied the nonlinear free vibration of symmetric magneto-electro-elastic laminated rectangular plates with simply supported boundary condition, and solved the nonlinear ordinary differential equations by using the Galerkin method. Hassanabadi et al. [29] studied the vibration of a thin rectangular plate carrying a moving oscillator, the transverse vibration of a thin rectangular plate under a traveling mass-spring-damper system is revealed by eigenfunction ex-

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 13751788124

E-mail address: libertyczt@163.com

<sup>†</sup> Recommended by Associate Editor Ohseop Song

<sup>©</sup> KSME & Springer 2016

pansion method. Hassanabadi et al. [30] studied the dynamic behavior of a circular thin plate traversed by a moving mass, a series of the plate natural shape functions is used to discretize the spatial domain, and a novel effective computational optimization is developed. Viswanathan et al. [31] studied the free vibration of symmetric angle-ply laminated truncated conical shell by using the spline method.

Geometric imperfections have been recognized since a long time for having a major effect on the linear and non-linear characteristics of thin-walled structures such as plates and shells [32]. The influence of geometric imperfections on the behaviour of plates has also been reported by many researches, such as Amabili [33], Alijani [34], Hui [35], and so on.

However, even if a large number of theoretical studies on nonlinear vibration of plates are available in the scientific literature, results of stiffened plates considering geometric imperfections are very scarce.

The aim of this paper is to analyze the strongly nonlinear free vibration of four edges simply supported stiffened plate with initial geometric imperfections. In the paper, the initial geometric imperfections of the plate taken into account are considered only in z direction. The nonlinear vibration of stiffened plate is reduced to a one-degree-of-freedom nonlinear system by assuming mode shapes. The Multiple scales Lindstedt-Poincare method (MSLP) and Modified Lindstedt- Poincare method (MLP) are used to solve the governing equations of vibration. Some numerical example are presented to demonstrate how the initial geometric imperfections of plate influence the strongly nonlinear free vibration of stiffened plate.

#### **2. Derivation of the governing equation**

Consider a stiffened plate in Fig. 1, which is composed of *x* stiffeners, *y*-stiffeners and a plate. In order to simplify the problem, material nonlinearity is not considered in this paper. In the following computation, the plate and its stiffeners are made of the same material, and the material of stiffened plate is isotropic. The nomenclature is as follows: *a* and *b* are the lengths in *x*-direction and *y*-direction, respectively; *ρ*, *E* and *μ* are the mass density, Young's modulus and Poisson ratio of the stiffened plate, respectively;  $N_x$  and  $N_y$  are the numbers of *x*-stiffeners and *y*-stiffeners, respectively; the coordinates of the *i*th x-stiffener and y-stiffener are  $y = y_i$  and  $x = x_i$ , respectively;  $b_1$  and  $a_1$  are the distances between neighboring *x*stiffeners and *y*-stiffeners, respectively;  $A_x$  and  $A_y$  are the cross-section areas of *x*-stiffeners and *y*-stiffeners, respectively; *EI<sup>x</sup>* and *EI<sup>y</sup>* are the flexural rigidity of *x*-stiffeners and *y*-stiffeners with respect to the neutral surface, respectively; *h* is the thickness of plate;  $d_x$  is the distance between the neutral plane of *x*-section and the bending neutral plane of the com pound section;  $d_y$  is the distance between the neutral plane of  $\blacksquare$ *y*-section and the bending neutral plane of the compound section; the flexural rigidity of plate is:  $D = Eh^3/[12(1-\mu^2)]$ .

A stiffened plate with four edges simply supported is inves-



Fig. 1. Structure of the stiffened plate.

tigated in this paper. *u* and *v* denote the displacements of the middle surface of the plate along the *x*-direction and the *y* direction, respectively, and *w* denotes the deflection of the plate. Taking geometric nonlinearity and initial geometric imperfections of the plate into consideration, according to von Karman's theory, the middle surface strain-displacement relationships and changes in the curvature and torsion are given by [36]: *<sup>x</sup> u w w w x x x x* 1. Structure of the stiffened plate.<br>
1. Structure of the stiffened plate.<br>
1. Structure of the stiffened plate.<br>
and v denote the displacements of the<br>
deld surface of the plate along the x-direction and the y-<br>
existing *y v*<br> *y y l.* **Structure of the stiffened plate.<br>
L. Structure of the stiffened plate.<br>
and v denote the displacements of the<br>
delle surface of the plate along the** *x***-direction and the** *y***-<br>
2. Taking geometric** *y*<br> *y*<br> *y*<br>
acture of the stiffened plate.<br> **i** this paper. *u* and *v* denote the displacements of the<br>
urface of the plate along the *x*-direction and the *y*-<br>
respectively, and *w* denotes the deflection of the<br>
bi <sup>e</sup> ¶ ¶ ¶ ¶ æ ö **Example 10**<br>
Functure of the stiffened plate.<br>
Structure of the stiffened plate.<br>
d in this paper. *u* and *v* denote the displacements of the<br>
e surface of the plate along the *x*-direction and the *y*-<br>
ion, respective sideration, according to voir<br>
ce strain-displacement rela-<br>
ature and torsion are given<br>
(1a)<br>
(1b)<br>
(1b)<br>
(1c)<br>
fections of the plate associ *x* 1. Structure of the stiffened plate.<br>
Let in this paper. *u* and *v* denote the displacements of the plate surface of the plate along the *x*-direction and the *y*-<br>
tion, respectively, and *w* denotes the deflection cture of the stiffened plate.<br>
this paper. *u* and *v* denote the displacements of the<br>
triface of the plate along the *x*-direction and the *y*-<br>
respectively, and *w* denotes the deflection of the<br>
sing geometric nonlin y<br>
nucture of the stiffened plate.<br>
In this paper. *u* and *v* denote the displacements of the<br>
surface of the plate along the *x*-direction and the *y*-<br>
interaking geometric nonlinearity and initial geometric<br>
retions o Structure of the stiffened plate.<br>
in this paper. *u* and *v* denote the displacements of the<br>
e surface of the plate along the *x*-direction and the *y*-<br>
on, respectively, and *w* denotes the deflection of the<br>
Taking g ucture of the stiffened plate.<br>
n this paper. *u* and *v* denote the displacements of the<br>
surface of the plate along the *x*-direction and the *y*-<br>
treaction of the plate into consideration, according to<br>
surface of the

$$
\varepsilon_x^0 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial x}
$$
(1a)

$$
\varepsilon_y^0 = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial y}
$$
 (1b)

$$
\gamma_{xy}^{0} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w^{0}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w^{0}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}
$$
(1c)

where the initial geometric imperfections of the plate associated with zero initial tension are denoted by out-of-plane displacement  $w^0$ .

The boundary conditions of the stiffened plate with four edges simply supported are:  $u = v = w = M_x = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} = 0$  at *x*  $= 0$ , *a*;  $u = v = w = M_y = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} = 0$  at  $y = 0$ , *b*. Then, for single mode analysis, the displacement can be assumed as: [36]:<br>  $\varepsilon_s^6 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w^6}{\partial x}$  (1a)<br>  $\varepsilon_s^6 = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \frac{\partial w^6}{\partial y}$  (1b)<br>  $\gamma_s^6 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w^$  $= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w^3}{\partial x}$  (1a)<br>  $= \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial y}$  (1b)<br>  $= \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w$  $\varepsilon_z^0 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial x}$  (1a)<br>  $\varepsilon_y^0 = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial y}$  (1b)<br>  $v_w^0 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial y} + \$  $\varepsilon_y^0 = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial y}$  (1b)<br>  $r_y^0 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}$  (1c)<br>
ere the initial geometric imperfections of th (1b)<br>  $\frac{w^0}{dy} + \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}$  (1c)<br>
Frections of the plate associ-<br>
denoted by out-of-plane dis-<br>
the stiffened plate with four<br>  $v = w = M_x = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} = 0$  at x<br>  $x = 0$  at  $y = 0$ , b. Then, for sin-<br>
ent can be  $\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}$  (1c)<br>
initial geometric imperfections of the plate associ-<br>
ro initial tension

$$
u(x, y, t) = u'_{mn}(t) \sin \frac{2m\pi x}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi y}{b}
$$
 (2a)

$$
v(x, y, t) = v'_{mn}(t) \sin \frac{m\pi x}{a} \sin \frac{2n\pi y}{b}
$$
 (2b)

$$
w(x, y, t) = \left[ w_{mn}^t(t) + w_{ij}^0 \right] \sin \frac{m\pi x}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi y}{b}
$$
 (2c)

where *m* and *n* are the numbers of half-waves in *x* and *y* directions, respectively.

According to Eqs. (1a)-(1c) and (2a)-(2c), using the method of Ref. [37] which based on the Lagrange equation and the

energy principle, ignoring the effect of damping, then, the (m, n) th nonlinear free vibration differential coefficient equation can be obtained as follows:

$$
\ddot{\overline{w}}_{mn} + \hat{\alpha}_1 \overline{w}_{mn} + \hat{\alpha}_2 (\overline{w}_{mn})^2 + \hat{\alpha}_3 (\overline{w}_{mn})^3 = 0
$$
\n(3)

2 *Chen et al.* / Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 30 (8) (2016) 3469-3476<br>
1 argy principle, ignoring the effect of damping, then, the  $(m,$ <br>
th nonlinear free vibration differential coefficient equation<br>  $\ddot$ where  $\dot{\overline{w}}_{mn}$  is the derivative of  $\overline{w}_{mn}$  with respect to  $\tau$ ,  $\ddot{\overline{w}}_{mn}$  is  $\overline{3m^4\pi^4}$ the second derivative of  $\overline{w}_{mn}$  with respect to  $\tau$ ,  $\overline{w}_{mn}$  and  $\tau$   $\overline{q}_{4} = \frac{\overline{q}_{4} + \overline{q}_{4}}{q_{4} + \overline{q}_{5} + \overline{q}_{6}}$ are the dimensionless quantities of  $w_{mn}$  and  $t$ , which based Z Chen et al. Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 30 (8) (2016) 3469-3476<br>
on the formation: (1) the following the effect of damping then, the (m,<br>
in the nonlinear free vibration differential coefficient equatio Z. Chen et al. / Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 30 (<br>
nergy principle, ignoring the effect of damping, then, the (m,<br>
1) th nonlinear free vibration differential coefficient equation<br>  $\vec{v}_n = \left(-\frac{m^2 \pi^2 b}{$  $w_{mn}/h$ , respectively. The dimensionless coefficient  $\hat{\alpha}_1 \sim \hat{\alpha}_3$ are given as follows:  $\overline{w}_{mn} + \hat{\alpha}_2 (\overline{w}_{mn})^2 + \hat{\alpha}_3 (\overline{w}_{mn})^3 = 0$  (3)<br>
, is the derivative of  $\overline{w}_{mn}$  with respect to  $\tau$ ,  $\overline{\dot{w}}_{mn}$  is<br>
derivative of  $\overline{w}_{mn}$  with respect to  $\tau$ ,  $\overline{\dot{w}}_{mn}$  and  $\tau$ <br>
nensionless quantities Z Chen et al. / Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 30 (8) (2016) 3469-3476<br>
regy principle, ignoring the effect of damping, then, the (m,<br>
th nonlinear free vibration differential coefficient equation<br>  $\vec{w}_{nn} +$ is the derivative of  $\overline{w}_{mn}$  with respect to  $\tau$ ,  $\ddot{\overline{w}}_{mn}$ <br>derivative of  $\overline{w}_{mn}$  with respect to  $\tau$ ,  $\overline{w}_{mn}$  and<br>nensionless quantities of  $w_{mn}$  and  $t$ , which ba<br>llowing transformation:  $\tau = t\sqrt{D/h\rho a^2$ 2 *Chen et al. / Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 30 (8) (2016) 3469-3476*<br>
regy principle, ignoring the effect of damping, then, the (m,<br>
th nonlinear free vibration differential coefficient equation<br>  $\vec{w}_{nn$ derivative of  $\overline{w}_{mn}$  with respect to  $\tau$ ,  $\overline{w}_{mn}$ <br>derivative of  $\overline{w}_{mn}$  with respect to  $\tau$ ,  $\overline{w}_{mn}$ <br>nensionless quantities of  $w_{mn}$  and  $t$ , which<br>llowing transformation:  $\tau = t\sqrt{D/h\rho a^2b^2}$ ,<br>spectively. energy principle, ignoring the effect of damping, then, the (m,<br>
3 and b nonlinear free vibration differential coefficient equation<br>  $\zeta_1 = \left( \frac{n^2 \pi^2 b}{3m^2} - \frac{mn \pi^2}{12b} + \frac{mn \pi^2}{4b} \mu \right) \left[ (-1)^2 \frac{m}{16b^2} + \frac{m}{16b^2}$  $\int_{\alpha_{\min}}^{\alpha_{\min}} \frac{\cos_1(x_m)}{\sin 2x} dx$  is the derivative of  $\overline{w}_{mn}$  with respect to  $\tau$ ,  $\overline{w}_{mn}$  is  $\xi_1 = \frac{9m^2\pi^4 b}{64a^2} + \frac{m^2n^2\pi^4}{32a^2} + \frac{9n^4\pi^4 a}{64b^2}$ <br>
derivative of  $\overline{w}_{mn}$  with respect to  $\tau$ ,  $\$  $\begin{aligned}\n\tilde{k}_1 \overline{w}_{\text{m}} + a_2 \left( \overline{w}_{\text{m}} \right)^2 + a_2 \left( \overline{w}_{\text{m}} \right)^3 &= 0 \\
&= \frac{9m \pi^4}{64a^3} + \frac{9m^4 \pi^4}{32ab} + \frac{9m^4 \pi^4}{64b^3} \\
&= \frac{9m^4 \pi^4 b}{32ab} + \frac{9m^4 \pi^4}{64b^3} \\
&= \frac{9m^4 \pi^4 b}{8a^3} + \frac{2m^4 \pi^4}{32ab} + \frac{9m^4 \pi^4}{64b^3$  $\ddot{\vec{w}}_{\text{av}} + \hat{\alpha}_1 \vec{w}_{\text{av}} + \hat{\alpha}_2 (\vec{w}_{\text{av}})^2 + \hat{\alpha}_3 (\vec{w}_{\text{av}})^2 = 0$  (3)  $\begin{cases}\n\ddot{\vec{w}}_{\text{av}} + \hat{\alpha}_1 (\vec{w}_{\text{av}}) + \hat{\alpha}_1 (\vec{w}_{\text{av}})^2 = 0 & (3) \\
\hline\n\end{cases}$   $\begin{cases}\n\ddot{\vec{w}}_{\text{av}} & \text{is the derivative of } \vec{w}_{\text{av}} \text{ with respect to } \tau, \vec{w}_{\text{av}} \text{ is an$ **Example 19** is the derivative of  $\overline{w}_{\text{max}}$  with respect to  $\tau$ ,  $\overline{w}_{\text{max}}$  is  $\zeta_3 = \frac{9m^3\pi^5 b}{64a^3} + \frac{m^2n^2\pi^4}{64b^3}$ <br>
since of  $\overline{w}_{\text{max}}$  with respect to  $\tau$ ,  $\overline{w}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\tau$ <br>
since  $\overline{w}_{\text$ s the derivative of  $\vec{w}_{\text{max}}$  with respect to  $\tau$ ,  $\vec{w}_{\text{max}}$  is<br>
simple derivative of  $\vec{w}_{\text{max}}$  with respect to  $\tau$ ,  $\vec{w}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\tau$ <br>
simple transformation:  $\tau = t\sqrt{D/h\rho a^2b^2}$ ,  $\vec{w}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\tau$ ,  $\$ ere  $\hat{w}_{\text{max}}^*$  is the derivative of  $\bar{w}_{\text{max}}^*$  with respect to  $\tau$ ,  $\bar{w}_{\text{max}}^*$  is  $\frac{64a^3}{8a^3}EA_1B_1^* + \frac{3n^2\pi^2}{8b^3}EA_1B_1^*$ <br>
the dimensionless quantities of  $w_{\text{max}}$  and  $\tau$ , which based<br>  $x_0/h$ , **EXERENT AND (and the intervalse squarities of**  $\vec{w}_{mn}$  with respect to  $\vec{r}$ ,  $\vec{w}_{mn}$  and  $\vec{r}$   $\vec{r}$  and  $\vec{r}$   $\vec{r}$   $\vec{r}$  and  $\vec{r}$   $\vec{r}$   $\vec{r}$   $\vec{r}$   $\vec{r}$   $\vec{r}$   $\vec{r}$   $\vec{r}$   $\vec{r}$   $\vec{$ *x* second derivative of  $\overline{w}_{nn}$  with respect to  $r$ ,  $\overline{w}_{nn}$  and  $r$ <br> *x* the dimensionless quantities of  $w_{nn}$  and  $r$ <br> *x* the dimensionless coefficient  $\hat{\alpha}_i \sim \hat{\alpha}_3$ <br> *x* defines and  $\hat{\alpha}_i \sim \hat{\alpha}_i$ <br> *x* de *y* and  $y = \frac{ab^2 \zeta_y y_2 - bh^2 \zeta_z \omega}{ab\phi^2 - 4aby_1 y_2}$ <br> *y*  $a^2 b^2 (bx + \overrightarrow{w}_{\text{max}}^2 \overrightarrow{w}_{\text{max}}^2 G_1)/D\chi$ <br> *y*  $a^2 b^2 (b^2 + \overrightarrow{w}_{\text{max}}^2 \overrightarrow{w}_{\text{max}}^2 G_1)/D\chi$ <br> *y*  $a^2 b^2 (b^2 + \overrightarrow{w}_{\text{max}}^2 \overrightarrow{w}_{\text{min}}^2 G_1)/D\chi$ <br> *y*  $a^2 b^2 (b$ *y* and *y* a

$$
\hat{\alpha}_1 = \rho a^2 b^2 \left( hZ + \overline{w}_{mn}^0 \overline{w}_{mn}^0 G_1 \right) / D \chi \tag{4a}
$$

$$
\hat{\alpha}_2 = \rho a^2 b^2 \left( \overline{w}_{mn}^0 G_2 + 3h^2 \delta_3 / 4 \right) / D \chi \tag{4b}
$$

$$
\hat{\alpha}_3 = \rho a^2 b^2 \left( G_3 + h^3 \zeta_4 / 4 \right) / D \chi \tag{4c}
$$

e given as follows:  
\n
$$
\hat{\alpha}_1 = \rho a^2 b^2 (hZ + \overline{w}_{mn}^0 \overline{w}_{mn}^0 G_1)/D\chi
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\alpha}_2 = \rho a^2 b^2 (m_Z + \overline{w}_{mn}^0 \overline{w}_{mn}^0 G_1)/D\chi
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\alpha}_3 = \rho a^2 b^2 (\overline{w}_{mn}^0 G_2 + 3h^2 \delta_3/4)/D\chi
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\alpha}_3 = \rho a^2 b^2 (\overline{w}_{mn}^0 G_2 + 3h^2 \delta_3/4)/D\chi
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\alpha}_3 = \rho a^2 b^2 (\overline{w}_{mn}^0 G_2 + 3h^2 \delta_3/4)/D\chi
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\alpha}_3 = \rho a^2 b^2 (\overline{w}_{mn}^0 G_2 + 3h^2 \delta_3/4)/D\chi
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\alpha}_4 = \frac{m^2 \pi^2 b}{b} + \frac{n^2 \pi^2 a}{8b} (1 - \mu)
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\alpha}_5 = \frac{m^2 \pi^2 b}{b} + \frac{m^2 \pi^2 b}{8a} (1 - \mu)
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\alpha}_7 = \frac{a^4 abD}{8} (\frac{m^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{b^2})^2 + \frac{m^4 \pi^4}{2a^3} \delta_1 + \frac{n^4 \pi^4}{2b^3} \delta_2
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\alpha}_8 = (E A_3 d_2^2 + E I_3) \beta_1^2 / 2
$$
\n
$$
\delta_1 = (E A_3 d_2^2 + E I_3) \beta_1^2 / 2
$$
\n
$$
\delta_2 = (E A_3 d_2^2 + E I_3) \beta_1^2 / 2
$$
\n
$$
\delta_3 = E A_4 d_3 B_2^2 / 1 + E A_3 d_3 B_2^2 / 2
$$
\n
$$
\delta_4 = \frac{m^2 \pi^2 a}{b} \text{ (5d)} \text{ as, and solves it through}
$$
\n
$$
\delta_5 = E A_4 d_3 B_2^2 / 1 + E A_3 d_3 B
$$

$$
\chi = ab \rho h / 8 + a \rho A_x \beta_1^x / 4 + b \rho A_y \beta_1^y / 4 \tag{5}
$$

$$
\delta_{\rm l} = \left( EA_x d_x^2 + EI_x \right) \beta_{\rm l}^x / 2 \tag{5c}
$$

$$
\delta_2 = \left( EA_y d_y^2 + EI_y \right) \beta_1^{\nu} / 2 \tag{5d}
$$

$$
\delta_3 = EA_x d_x \beta_2^x \gamma_1 + EA_y d_y \beta_2^y \gamma_2 \tag{5e}
$$

$$
\beta_1^x = \sum_{i=1}^{N_x} \sin^2 \frac{n\pi y_i}{b}
$$
 (5f)  $\frac{du}{s}$ 

$$
\beta_1^{\mathrm{y}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_y} \sin^2 \frac{m \pi x_i}{a} \tag{5g}
$$

$$
\beta_2^x = \sum_{i=1}^{N_x} \sin^3 \frac{n\pi y_i}{b} \tag{5h}
$$

$$
\beta_2^{\mathrm{y}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mathrm{y}}} \sin^3 \frac{m \pi x_i}{a} \tag{5i}
$$

$$
\beta_3^x = \sum_{i=1}^{N_x} \sin^4 \frac{n\pi y_i}{b} \tag{5j}
$$

$$
\beta_3^{\rm y} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\rm y}} \sin^4 \frac{m \pi x_i}{a} \tag{5k}
$$

$$
z = abph/8 + aρA3β12 + bρA3β12/4
$$
\n
$$
z = abph/8 + aρA3β12/4 + bρA3β12/4
$$
\n
$$
δ1 = [EA3d22 + EI2]β12/2
$$
\n
$$
β1 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sin^2 \frac{n\pi y_i}{b}
$$
\n
$$
β22 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sin^2 \frac{n\pi y_i}{a}
$$
\n
$$
β22 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sin^2 \frac{n\pi y_i}{a}
$$
\n
$$
β22 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sin^2 \frac{n\pi y_i}{a}
$$
\n
$$
β22 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sin^2 \frac{n\pi y_i}{a}
$$
\n
$$
β22 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sin^2 \frac{n\pi y_i}{a}
$$
\n
$$
β22 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sin^2 \frac{n\pi y_i}{a}
$$
\n
$$
β22 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sin^2 \frac{n\pi y_i}{a}
$$
\n
$$
β22 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sin^2 \frac{n\pi y_i}{a}
$$
\n
$$
β22 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sin^2 \frac{n\pi y_i}{a}
$$
\n
$$
β22 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sin^2 \frac{n\pi y_i}{a}
$$
\n
$$
β22 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sin^2 \frac{n\
$$

$$
\gamma_2 = \frac{n^3 \pi^3}{3b^3} \Big[ \big( -1 \big)^n - 1 \Big] \tag{5n}
$$

$$
G_1 = \frac{Eh}{2(1-\mu^2)} \Big( 2ah\phi_u \zeta_1 + 2bh\phi_v \zeta_2 + h^3 \zeta_3 \Big)
$$
 (5n)

$$
G_2 = \frac{Eh}{2(1-\mu^2)} \left[ 3ah\zeta_1 \phi_u + 3bh\zeta_2 \phi_v + \frac{3}{2}h^3 \zeta_3 \right]
$$
 (50)

$$
\beta_2^x = \sum_{i=1}^{j=1} \sin^3 \frac{n\pi y_i}{b}
$$
\n
$$
\beta_2^x = \sum_{i=1}^{N_y} \sin^3 \frac{n\pi x_i}{b}
$$
\n
$$
\beta_2^x = \sum_{i=1}^{N_y} \sin^3 \frac{n\pi x_i}{a}
$$
\n
$$
\beta_3^x = \sum_{i=1}^{N_y} \sin^4 \frac{n\pi y_i}{b}
$$
\n
$$
\beta_4^x = \sum_{i=1}^{N_y} \sin^4 \frac{n\pi x_i}{b}
$$
\n
$$
\beta_5^x = \sum_{i=1}^{N_y} \sin^4 \frac{n\pi x_i}{b}
$$
\n
$$
\beta_6^x = \sum_{i=1}^{N_y} \sin^4 \frac{n\pi x_i}{b}
$$
\n
$$
\gamma_1 = \frac{m^3 \pi^3}{3a^3} \Big[ (-1)^n - 1 \Big]
$$
\n
$$
\gamma_2 = \frac{Eh}{3b^3} \Big[ (-1)^n - 1 \Big]
$$
\n
$$
\gamma_1 = \frac{Eh}{3a^3} \Big[ (-1)^n - 1 \Big]
$$
\n
$$
\gamma_2 = \frac{Eh}{2(1-\mu^2)} \Big[ 2ah\phi_n \zeta_1 + 2bh\phi_n \zeta_2 + h^3 \zeta_3 \Big]
$$
\n
$$
\gamma_3 = \frac{Eh}{2(1-\mu^2)} \Big[ 2ah\phi_n \zeta_1 + 2bh\phi_n \zeta_2 + h^3 \zeta_3 \Big]
$$
\n
$$
\gamma_4 = \frac{Eh}{2(1-\mu^2)} \Big[ 3ah\zeta_1 \phi_n + 3bh\zeta_2 \phi_n + \frac{3}{2}h^3 \zeta_3 \Big]
$$
\n
$$
\gamma_5 = \frac{Eh}{2(1-\mu^2)} \Big[ 3ah\zeta_1 \phi_n + bh\zeta_2 \phi_n + \frac{3}{2}h^3 \zeta_3 \Big]
$$
\n
$$
\gamma_6 = \frac{Eh}{2(1-\mu^2)} \Big[ 3ah\zeta_1 \phi_n + bh\zeta_2 \phi_n + \frac{3}{4}h^3 \zeta_3 \Big]
$$
\n
$$
\gamma_7 = \frac{Eh}{2(1-\mu^2)} \Big
$$

$$
d\text{ Technology } 30 \text{ (8) (2016) } 3469-3476
$$
\n
$$
\zeta_1 = \left(-\frac{m^3 \pi^2 b}{3na^2} - \frac{mn\pi^2}{12b} + \frac{mn\pi^2}{4b} \mu\right) \left[(-1)^n - 1\right] \tag{5q}
$$
\n
$$
\zeta_2 = \left(-\frac{n^3 \pi^2 a}{3na^2} - \frac{mn\pi^2}{12b} + \frac{mn\pi^2}{a^2} \mu\right) \left[(-1)^n - 1\right] \tag{5r}
$$

Technology 30 (8) (2016) 3469-3476  
\n
$$
\zeta_1 = \left( -\frac{m^3 \pi^2 b}{3na^2} - \frac{mn \pi^2}{12b} + \frac{mn \pi^2}{4b} \mu \right) \left[ (-1)^n - 1 \right]
$$
\n(5q)  
\n
$$
\zeta_2 = \left( -\frac{n^3 \pi^2 a}{3mb^2} - \frac{mn \pi^2}{12a} + \frac{mn \pi^2}{4a} \mu \right) \left[ (-1)^m - 1 \right]
$$
\n(5r)  
\n
$$
\zeta_3 = \frac{9m^4 \pi^4 b}{64a^3} + \frac{m^2 n^2 \pi^4}{32ab} + \frac{9n^4 \pi^4 a}{64b^3}
$$
\n(5s)  
\n
$$
\zeta_4 = \frac{3m^4 \pi^4}{8a^3} E A_x \beta_3^x + \frac{3n^4 \pi^4}{8b^3} E A_y \beta_3^y
$$
\n(5t)  
\n
$$
\phi_u = \frac{2bh^2 \zeta_1 \psi_2 - bh^2 \zeta_2 \varphi}{ab\varphi^2 - 4ab\psi_1 \psi_2}
$$
\n(5u)  
\n
$$
\phi_v = \frac{2ah^2 \zeta_2 \psi_1 - ah^2 \zeta_1 \varphi}{ab\varphi^2 - 4ab\psi_1 \psi_2}
$$
\n(5v)  
\n
$$
\varphi = \frac{4}{9} \left[ (-1)^m - 1 \right] \left[ (-1)^n - 1 \right] (1 + \mu)
$$
\n(5w)  
\n
$$
\psi_1 = \frac{m^2 \pi^2 b}{a} + \frac{n^2 \pi^2 a}{8b} (1 - \mu)
$$
\n(5x)  
\n
$$
\psi_1 = \frac{n^2 \pi^2 a}{a} + \frac{n^2 \pi^2 b}{8b} (1 - \mu)
$$
\n(5x)

$$
\zeta_{3} = \frac{9m^{4}\pi^{4}b}{64a^{3}} + \frac{m^{2}n^{2}\pi^{4}}{32ab} + \frac{9n^{4}\pi^{4}a}{64b^{3}}
$$
\n
$$
\zeta_{4} = \frac{3m^{4}\pi^{4}}{8a^{3}}E A_{x}\beta_{3}^{x} + \frac{3n^{4}\pi^{4}}{8b^{3}}E A_{y}\beta_{3}^{y}
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{u} = \frac{2bh^{2}\zeta_{1}\psi_{2} - bh^{2}\zeta_{2}\varphi}{ab\varphi^{2} - 4ab\psi_{1}\psi_{2}}
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{v} = \frac{2ah^{2}\zeta_{2}\psi_{1} - ah^{2}\zeta_{1}\varphi}{ab\varphi^{2} - 4ab\psi_{1}\psi_{2}}
$$
\n
$$
\varphi_{v} = \frac{4}{9}\left[(-1)^{m} - 1\right]\left[(-1)^{n} - 1\right](1 + \mu)
$$
\n
$$
\zeta_{v} = \frac{4}{9}\pi^{2}b_{x} - \frac{m^{2}\pi^{2}a_{x}}{m^{2}\pi^{2}a_{x}}
$$
\n
$$
(5v)
$$

$$
\zeta_4 = \frac{3m^4\pi^4}{8a^3} EA_x \beta_3^x + \frac{3n^4\pi^4}{8b^3} EA_y \beta_3^y \tag{5t}
$$

$$
\phi_u = \frac{2bh^2 \zeta_1 \psi_2 - bh^2 \zeta_2 \varphi}{ab\varphi^2 - 4ab\psi_1 \psi_2} \tag{5u}
$$

$$
\zeta_{4} = \frac{3m^{4}\pi^{4}}{8a^{3}}EA_{x}\beta_{3}^{x} + \frac{3n^{4}\pi^{4}}{8b^{3}}EA_{y}\beta_{3}^{y}
$$
\n(5t)\n
$$
\phi_{u} = \frac{2bh^{2}\zeta_{1}\psi_{2} - bh^{2}\zeta_{2}\varphi}{ab\varphi^{2} - 4ab\psi_{1}\psi_{2}}
$$
\n(5u)\n
$$
\phi_{v} = \frac{2ah^{2}\zeta_{2}\psi_{1} - ah^{2}\zeta_{1}\varphi}{ab\varphi^{2} - 4ab\psi_{1}\psi_{2}}
$$
\n(5v)\n
$$
\varphi = \frac{4}{9}\left[(-1)^{m} - 1\right]\left[-1\right)^{n} - 1\left[(1 + \mu)\right]
$$
\n(5w)\n
$$
\psi_{1} = \frac{m^{2}\pi^{2}b}{a} + \frac{n^{2}\pi^{2}a}{8b}(1 - \mu)
$$
\n(5x)\n
$$
\psi_{2} = \frac{n^{2}\pi^{2}a}{a} + \frac{m^{2}\pi^{2}b}{a}(1 - \mu)
$$
\n(5y)

$$
\varphi = \frac{4}{9} \Big[ (-1)^m - 1 \Big] \Big[ (-1)^n - 1 \Big] (1 + \mu) \tag{5w}
$$

$$
\psi_1 = \frac{m^2 \pi^2 b}{a} + \frac{n^2 \pi^2 a}{8b} (1 - \mu)
$$
 (5x)

$$
l\text{ Technology } 30 \text{ (8) (2016) } 3469-3476
$$
\n
$$
\zeta_{1} = \left(-\frac{m^{3}\pi^{2}b}{3na^{2}} - \frac{mn\pi^{2}}{12b} + \frac{mn\pi^{2}}{4b}\mu\right)\left[(-1)^{n} - 1\right] \qquad (5q)
$$
\n
$$
\zeta_{2} = \left(-\frac{n^{3}\pi^{2}a}{3mb^{2}} - \frac{mn\pi^{2}}{12a} + \frac{mn\pi^{2}}{4a}\mu\right)\left[(-1)^{n} - 1\right] \qquad (5r)
$$
\n
$$
\zeta_{3} = \frac{9m^{4}\pi^{4}b}{64a^{3}} + \frac{m^{2}n^{2}\pi^{4}}{32ab} + \frac{9n^{4}\pi^{4}a}{64b^{3}} \qquad (5s)
$$
\n
$$
\zeta_{4} = \frac{3m^{4}\pi^{4}}{8a^{3}}E A_{x} \beta_{3}^{x} + \frac{3n^{4}\pi^{4}}{8b^{3}}E A_{y} \beta_{3}^{y} \qquad (5t)
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{u} = \frac{2bh^{2}\zeta_{1}\psi_{2} - bh^{2}\zeta_{2}\varphi}{ab\varphi^{2} - 4ab\psi_{1}\psi_{2}} \qquad (5u)
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{v} = \frac{2ah^{2}\zeta_{2}\psi_{1} - ah^{2}\zeta_{1}\varphi}{ab\varphi^{2} - 4ab\psi_{1}\psi_{2}} \qquad (5v)
$$
\n
$$
\varphi = \frac{4}{9}\left[(-1)^{n} - 1\right]\left[-1\right] - 1\left[1 + \mu\right] \qquad (5w)
$$
\n
$$
\psi_{1} = \frac{m^{2}\pi^{2}b}{a} + \frac{n^{2}\pi^{2}a}{8b}\left(1 - \mu\right) \qquad (5x)
$$
\n
$$
\psi_{2} = \frac{n^{2}\pi^{2}a}{b} + \frac{m^{2}\pi^{2}b}{8a}\left(1 - \mu\right) \qquad (5y)
$$
\nSolution procedure\nFor Eq. (3), if the value of  $\hat{\alpha}_{2}/\hat{\alpha}_{1}$  or  $\hat{\alpha}_{3}/\hat{\alpha}_{1}$  is far

# **3. Solution procedure**

= $\left(-\frac{3na^2}{3ma^2} - \frac{n\pi^2}{12b} + \frac{n\pi^2}{4b}\mu\right)\left[-1 - 1\right]$  (54)<br>
= $\left(-\frac{n^3\pi^2a}{3mb^2} - \frac{mn\pi^2}{12a} + \frac{mn\pi^2}{4a}\mu\right)\left[-1\right]$  (5r)<br>
= $\frac{9m^4\pi^4b}{64a^3} + \frac{m^2n^2a}{32ab} + \frac{9n^4\pi^4a}{64b^3}$  (5s)<br>
= $\frac{3m^4\pi^4}{8a^3}E A_4\beta_$  $\zeta_1 = \left[ -\frac{m\pi^2 b}{3m\delta^2} - \frac{m n\pi}{12b} + \frac{m n\pi^2}{4m\delta} \mu \right] \left[ (-1)^n - 1 \right]$  (5q)<br>  $\zeta_2 = \left[ -\frac{n^3\pi^2 a}{3m\delta^2} - \frac{m m\pi^2}{12a} + \frac{m m\pi^2}{4a} \mu \right] \left[ (-1)^n - 1 \right]$  (5r)<br>  $\zeta_3 = \frac{9m^4\pi^4 b}{64a^3} + \frac{m^2 n^2 \pi^4}{32ab} + \frac{9n^4 \$  $\zeta_5 = \frac{9m^4\pi^5 b}{64a^3} + \frac{m^2n^2\pi^4}{32ab} + \frac{9n^2\pi^4 a}{64b^3}$  (5s)<br>  $\zeta_4 = \frac{3m^4\pi^4}{8a^3} E A_4 \beta_3^2 + \frac{3n^4\pi^4}{8b^3} E A_5 \beta_3^3$  (5t)<br>  $\phi_s = \frac{2bh^2 \zeta_s \psi_2 - bh^2 \zeta_s \phi}{ab\phi^2 - 4ab\psi_s \psi_2}$  (5u)<br>  $\phi_s = \frac{2ah^2 \zeta_s \psi_1 - ah$ the Eq. (3) will be considered as the weakly nonlinear equation. Otherwise, it turns into the case of strong nonlinearity.

This paper considers the Eq. (3) as the strongly nonlinear case, and solves it through the Multiple scales Lindstedt- Poincare method (MSLP).

*x h*, respectively. The dimensionless coefficient  $\hat{\alpha}_i - \hat{\alpha}_3$ <br> *igiven* as follows:<br>  $\hat{\alpha}_i = \rho a^2 b^2 (hZ + \overline{w}_{\text{min}}^2 \overline{w}_{\text{min}}^2 G_1)/D\chi$ <br>  $\hat{\alpha}_i = \rho a^2 b^2 (\overline{w}_{\text{min}}^2 G_2 + 3h^2 \delta_3/4)/D\chi$ <br>  $\hat{\alpha}_i = \rho a^2 b^2 (\overline{w}_{$ According to MSLP method, a parameter ε should be introduced so that the linear and nonlinear terms appear in the abov  $u_0 = 4a\omega v_y v_y$ ,<br>  $\varphi = \frac{4}{9} \left[ (-1)^n - 1 \right] \left[ (-1)^n - 1 \right] (1 + \mu)$  (5w)<br>  $\psi_1 = \frac{m^2 \pi^2 b}{a} + \frac{n^2 \pi^2 a}{8a} (1 - \mu)$  (5x)<br>  $\psi_2 = \frac{n^2 \pi^2 a}{b} + \frac{m^2 \pi^2 b}{8a} (1 - \mu)$ . (5y)<br>
3. Solution procedure<br>
For Eq. (3), if the valu  $\psi_1 = \frac{m^2 \pi^2 b}{8b} + \frac{n^2 \pi^2 a}{8b} (1 - \mu)$  (5x)<br>  $\psi_2 = \frac{n^2 \pi^2 a}{8a} + \frac{m^2 \pi^2 b}{8a} (1 - \mu)$ . (5y)<br> **Solution procedure**<br>
For Eq. (3), if the value of  $\hat{\alpha}_2/\hat{\alpha}_1$  or  $\hat{\alpha}_3/\hat{\alpha}_4$  is far less than 1,<br>  $\Omega$ . Otherwise  $\frac{n \pi \Delta \alpha}{b} + \frac{m \pi \delta}{8a} (1 - \mu)$ . (5y)<br> **(5y)**<br> **(ion procedure**<br>
(q. (3), if the value of  $\hat{\alpha}_2/\hat{\alpha}_1$  or  $\hat{\alpha}_3/\hat{\alpha}_1$  is far less than 1,<br>
(3) will be considered as the weakly nonlinear equa-<br>
newise, it turns into For Eq. (3), if the value of  $\hat{\alpha}_z/\hat{\alpha}_1$  or  $\hat{\alpha}_s/\hat{\alpha}_i$  is far less than 1,<br>For Eq. (3), if the value of  $\hat{\alpha}_z/\hat{\alpha}_i$  or  $\hat{\alpha}_s/\hat{\alpha}_i$  is far less than 1,<br>This paper considered as the weakly nonlinear equa-<br>inc  $/ \hat{\alpha}_i$  is far less than 1,<br>akly nonlinear equa-<br>rong nonlinearity.<br>le strongly nonlinear<br>ble scales Lindstedt-<br>ter  $\varepsilon$  should be intro-<br>terms appear in the<br> $\hat{\alpha}_2 = \varepsilon \alpha_2$ ,  $\hat{\alpha}_3 = \varepsilon \alpha_3$ ,<br>we obtain:<br> $\int_0^3 = 0$ 2. **Solution procedure**<br>
For Eq. (3), if the value of  $\hat{\alpha}_2/\hat{\alpha}_1$  or  $\hat{\alpha}_2/\hat{\alpha}_1$  is far less than 1,<br>
the Eq. (3) will be considered as the weakly nonlinear equa-<br>
tion. Otherwise, it turns into the case of strong n 1. Otherwise, it turns into the case of strong nonlinearity.<br>
This paper considers the Eq. (3) as the strongly nonlinear<br>
i.e, and solves it through the Multiple scales Lindstedt-<br>
incare method (MSLP).<br>
According to MSLP coology of  $\vec{v}$ ,  $\vec{v}$ , indiced to the linear and nonlinear terms appear in the<br>ne perturbation equation. Suppose  $\hat{\alpha}_z = \varepsilon \alpha_z$ ,  $\hat{\alpha}_z = \varepsilon \alpha_z$ ,<br> $\overline{\Omega}$ ,  $\vec{\alpha}_z$ , and substituting them into Eq. (3), we obtain:

$$
\overline{\Omega}^2 \ddot{\overline{w}}_{mn} + \overline{\omega}_0^2 \overline{w}_{mn} + \varepsilon \alpha_2 \left( \overline{w}_{mn} \right)^2 + \varepsilon^2 \alpha_3 \left( \overline{w}_{mn} \right)^3 = 0 \tag{6}
$$

where  $\overline{\omega}_0^2 = \hat{\alpha}_1$ ,  $\overline{\omega}_0$  is the natural frequency,  $\overline{\Omega}$  is the nonlinear frequency,  $\dot{\vec{w}}_{mn}$  is the second derivative of  $\vec{w}_{mn}$ with respect to  $\hat{\tau}$ . ere  $\overline{\omega}_0^2 = \hat{\alpha}_1$ ,  $\overline{\omega}_0$  is the natural frequency,  $\overline{\Omega}$  is the halinear frequency,  $\overline{\hat{w}}_{mn}$  is the second derivative of  $\overline{\hat{w}}_{mn}$ <br>th respect to  $\hat{\tau}$ .<br>fintroducing the time scales:  $T_0 = \hat{\tau}$ ,  $T_$ 

Introducing the time scales:  $T_0 = \hat{\tau}$ ,  $T_1 = \varepsilon \hat{\tau}$ ,  $T_2 = \varepsilon^2 \hat{\tau}$ . An

nlinear frequency, 
$$
\overline{\dot{w}}_{mn}
$$
 is the second derivative of  $\overline{w}_{mn}$   
th respect to  $\hat{\tau}$ .  
Introducing the time scales:  $T_0 = \hat{\tau}$ ,  $T_1 = \varepsilon \hat{\tau}$ ,  $T_2 = \varepsilon^2 \hat{\tau}$ . An  
proximate solution can be given by  

$$
\overline{w}_{mn}(\hat{\tau}, \varepsilon) = U_0(T_0, T_1, T_2) + \varepsilon U_1(T_0, T_1, T_2) + \varepsilon^2 U_2(T_0, T_1, T_2).
$$
(7)  
Suppose:  
 $\overline{\Omega}^2 = \overline{\omega}_0^2 + \varepsilon \overline{\Omega}_1 + \varepsilon^2 \overline{\Omega}_2$ .  
(8)  
Substituting Eqs. (7) and (8) into Eq. (6), and equating the

$$
\overline{\Omega}^2 = \overline{\omega}_0^2 + \varepsilon \overline{\Omega}_1 + \varepsilon^2 \overline{\Omega}_2 . \tag{8}
$$

 $\beta_i^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin^2 \frac{n\pi x_i}{a}$ <br>  $\beta_i^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin^2 \frac{n\pi x_i}{b}$ <br>  $\beta_i^$  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sin^2 \frac{i \pi x_i}{b}$  (5f) directed iteration equation can be mean and nonlinear are entropy in  $\frac{3}{2} \sin^2 \frac{m \pi x_i}{a}$ <br>  $= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sin^3 \frac{m \pi x_i}{b}$  (5g)  $\hat{t} = \Omega r$ , and substituting them into Eq. (3), we ob  $\left(z_2 = \frac{Eh}{2(1-\kappa^2)}\right]$  3ah $\zeta_1 \phi_u + 3bh\zeta_2 \phi_v + \frac{3}{2}h^3 \zeta_3$  (50) coefficients of  $\varepsilon^0$ ,  $\varepsilon^1$  and  $\varepsilon^2$  in both sides of the equation, we (5)<br>
(5)<br>
(5)<br>
(5)<br>
(5)<br>
(5)<br>
Where  $\overline{\omega}_0^2 = \hat{\alpha}_1$ ,  $\overline{\omega}_0$  is the natural theorem<br>
(5)<br>
with respect to  $\hat{r}$ .<br>
(5)<br>
with respect to  $\hat{r}$ .<br>
(5)<br>
(5)<br>
Introducing the time scales:  $T_0 = \hat{r}$ ,<br>  $\overline{x}_L$ <br>
(5)<br>
I  $B_i^x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin^n \frac{n\pi y_i}{a}$ <br>  $B_i^x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin^n \frac{n\pi x_i}{a}$ <br>  $B_j^x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty$ (58)<br>  $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sin^3 \frac{n\pi y_r}{a}$ <br>  $= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sin^4 \frac{n\pi y_r}{a}$ <br>
(56)<br>  $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sin^4 \frac{n\pi x_r}{a}$ <br>
(56)<br>  $\sum_{$  $u_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} \sin^2 \frac{n\pi x_i}{a}$ <br>  $\beta_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} \sin^2 \frac{n\pi x_i}{a}$ <br>  $\beta_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} \sin^4 \frac{n\pi y_i}{a}$ <br>  $\beta_3^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} \sin^4 \frac{n\pi y_i}{a}$ <br>  $\gamma_1 = \frac{m^2 \pi^2}{3a^3} \Big[ (-1)^n - 1 \Big]$ <br>  $\gamma_2 = \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{3b^3} \Big[ (-1)^n - 1 \Big]$ <br> Substituting Eqs. (7) and (8) into Eq. (6), and equating the Suppose:<br>  $\overline{\Omega}^2 = \overline{\omega}_0^2 + \varepsilon \overline{\Omega}_1 + \varepsilon^2 \overline{\Omega}_2$ .<br>
Substituting Eqs. (7) and (8) into Eq. (6), and<br>
coefficients of  $\varepsilon^0$ ,  $\varepsilon^1$  and  $\varepsilon^2$  in both sides of the obtain<br>  $\overline{\Omega}^2 D_0^2 U_0 + \overline{\Omega}^2 U_0 = 0$ 

$$
\overline{\Omega}^2 D_0^2 U_0 + \overline{\Omega}^2 U_0 = 0 \tag{9}
$$

$$
\overline{\Omega}^2 D_0^2 U_1 + \overline{\Omega}^2 U_1 = -2\overline{\Omega}^2 D_0 D_1 U_0 + \overline{\Omega}^2 U_0 - \alpha_2 U_0^2 \tag{10}
$$

3472 *Z. Chen et al. / Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 30 (8) (2016) 3469-3476*  
\n
$$
\overline{\Omega}^2 D_0^2 U_1 + \overline{\Omega}^2 U_1 = -2\overline{\Omega}^2 D_0 D_1 U_0 + \overline{\Omega} U_0 - \alpha_2 U_0^2
$$
\n
$$
- \overline{\Omega}^2 D_1^3 U_0 + \overline{\Omega} U_1 - 2\overline{\Omega}^2 D_0 D_0 U_0
$$
\n
$$
- \overline{\Omega}^2 D_0^3 U_1 - 2\overline{\Omega}^2 D_0 D_0 U_0
$$
\n
$$
- \overline{\Omega}^2 D_0^3 U_0 + \overline{\Omega} U_1 + \overline{\Omega} U_0
$$
\n
$$
- \overline{\Omega}^2 D_0 U_0 - \overline{\Omega} U_0 U_0
$$
\n
$$
- \overline{\Omega}^2 D_0 U_0
$$
\n
$$
- 2\alpha_2 U_0 U_1 - \alpha_3 U_0^3.
$$
\nFor the steady response, it demands  $D_2 \lambda = 0$  and  $D_2$   
\n
$$
U_0 = A(T_1, T_1) e^{iT_0} + \overline{A}(T_1, T_2) e^{-iT_0}
$$
\n
$$
U_0 = A(T_1, T_2) e^{iT_0} + \overline{A}(T_1, T_2) e^{-iT_0}
$$
\n
$$
U_0 = A(T_1, T_2) e^{iT_0} + \overline{A}(T_1, T_2) e^{-iT_0}
$$
\n(12)  
\nwhere A is unknown complex function, and  $\overline{A}$  is the conjugate  
\ncomplex of A.  
\nSubstituting Eq. (12) into Eq. (10) yields:  
\n
$$
\overline{\Omega}^2 D_0^2 U_1 + \overline{\Omega}^2 U_1 = -2i\overline{\Omega}^2 D_1 A e^{iT_0} + \overline{\Omega} A e^{iT_0}
$$
\n
$$
- \alpha_2 A^2 e^{2iT_0} - \alpha_2 A \overline{A} + CC
$$
\n(13)  
\nwhere CC is the complex conjugate part to the preceding  
\nterms. The secular terms are eliminated by:

The complex solution of Eq. (9) can be written as

$$
U_0 = A(T_1, T_2) e^{i T_0} + \overline{A}(T_1, T_2) e^{-i T_0}
$$
 (12)

where *A* is unknown complex function, and  $\overline{A}$  is the conjugate complex of *A*.

Substituting Eq. (12) into Eq. (10) yields:

The complex solution of Eq. (9) can be written as  
\n
$$
U_0 = A(T_1, T_2)e^{iT_0} + \overline{A}(T_1, T_2)e^{-iT_0}
$$
\n(12)  
\nhere A is unknown complex function, and  $\overline{A}$  is the conjugate  
\nmplex of A.  
\nSubstituting Eq. (12) into Eq. (10) yields:  
\n
$$
\overline{\Omega}^2 D_0^2 U_1 + \overline{\Omega}^2 U_1 = -2i\overline{\Omega}^2 D_1 A e^{iT_0} + \overline{\Omega}_1 A e^{iT_0}
$$
\n
$$
- \alpha_2 A^2 e^{2iT_0} - \alpha_2 A \overline{A} + CC
$$
\n(13)

where *CC* is the complex conjugate part to the preceding terms. The secular terms are eliminated by:

$$
-2i\overline{\Omega}^2 D_1 A e^{i T_0} + \overline{\Omega}_1 A e^{i T_0} = 0.
$$
 (14)

$$
U_1 = \frac{\alpha_2}{3\overline{\Omega}^2} \Big( A^2 e^{2iT_0} + \overline{A}^2 e^{-2iT_0} - 6A\overline{A} \Big). \tag{15}
$$

Substituting Eqs. (12) and (15) into Eq. (11) yields:

$$
\Omega^2 D_o^2 U_1 + \Omega^2 U_1 = -2i\Omega^2 D_1 A e^{i\tau_0} + \Omega_1 A e^{i\tau_0}
$$
\n
$$
- \alpha_2 A^2 e^{i\tau_0} - \alpha_2 A \overline{A} + CC
$$
\n(13)\n
$$
-2i\overline{\Omega}^2 D_1 A e^{i\tau_0} + \Omega_1 A e^{i\tau_0} = 0.
$$
\n(14)\n
$$
-2i\overline{\Omega}^2 D_1 A e^{i\tau_0} + \overline{\Omega}_1 A e^{i\tau_0} = 0.
$$
\n(14)\n
$$
-2i\overline{\Omega}^2 D_1 A e^{i\tau_0} + \overline{\Omega}_1 A e^{i\tau_0} = 0.
$$
\n(15)\n
$$
= \frac{\alpha_1}{3\overline{\Omega^2}} \left( A^2 e^{2i\tau_0} + \overline{A}^2 e^{-2i\tau_0} - 6A \overline{A} \right).
$$
\n(16)\n
$$
U_1 = \frac{\alpha_2}{3\overline{\Omega^2}} \left( A^2 e^{2i\tau_0} + \overline{A}^2 e^{-2i\tau_0} - 6A \overline{A} \right).
$$
\nSubstituting Eqs. (12) and (15) into Eq. (11) yields:\n
$$
U_1 = \frac{\alpha_2}{3\overline{\Omega^2}} \left( A^2 e^{2i\tau_0} + \overline{A}^2 e^{-2i\tau_0} - 6A \overline{A} \right).
$$
\n(15)\nSubstituting Eqs. (12) and (15) into Eq. (11) yields:\n
$$
U_1 = \frac{\alpha_1}{3\overline{\Omega^2}} \left[ 2i\overline{\Omega}^2 D_2 A - \overline{\Omega}_2 A + \left( 3\alpha_3 - \frac{10\alpha_2^2}{3\overline{\Omega^2}} \right) A^2 \overline{A} \right] e^{i\tau_0}
$$
\n(16)\n
$$
= \left[ 2i\overline{\Omega}^2 D_2 A - \overline{\Omega}_2 A + \left( 3\alpha_3 - \frac{10\alpha_2^2}{3\overline{\Omega^2}} \right) A^2 \overline{A} \right] e^{i\tau_0
$$

where *CC* is the complex conjugate part to the preceding terms, and *NST* stands for non-secular terms. The secular terms are eliminated by:

$$
2i\overline{\Omega}^2 D_2 A - \overline{\Omega}_2 A + \left(3\alpha_3 - \frac{10\alpha_2^2}{3\overline{\Omega}^2}\right) A^2 \overline{A} = 0.
$$
 (17) The

In order to solve Eq. (17), The complex function *A* can be expressed as

$$
A = \frac{1}{2} \lambda \left( T_2 \right) e^{i \theta \left( T_2 \right)} \tag{18}
$$

where  $\lambda(T_2)$  and  $\gamma(T_2)$  are real functions with respect to  $T_2$ . . Substituting Eq. (18) into Eq. (17), and separating the real and imaginary part, respectively, then we obtain:

$$
\overline{\Omega}^2 D, \lambda = 0 \tag{19}
$$

Z. Chen et al. / Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 30 (8) (2016) 3469-3476  
\n
$$
D_0 D_1 U_0 + \overline{\Omega}_1 U_0 - \alpha_2 U_0^2
$$
\n
$$
D_0 D_1 U_1 - 2\overline{\Omega}^2 D_0 D_2 U_0
$$
\n
$$
D_1^2 U_0 + \overline{\Omega}_1 U_1 + \overline{\Omega}_2 U_0
$$
\n
$$
D_1^2 U_0 + \overline{\Omega}_1 U_1 + \overline{\Omega}_2 U_0
$$
\n
$$
U_0 U_1 - \alpha_3 U_0^3
$$
\n
$$
U_0 U_1 - \alpha_4 U_0^3
$$
\n
$$
U_0 U_1 - \alpha_5 U_0^3
$$
\nFor the steady response, it demands  $D_2 \lambda = 0$  and  $D_2 \gamma = 0$ , thus:  
\n
$$
T_2 e^{-iT_0}
$$
\n
$$
(12)
$$
\n
$$
(18\alpha \overline{\Omega}^2 - 20\alpha^2)
$$
\n
$$
(19)
$$

For the steady response, it demands  $D_2 \lambda = 0$  and  $D_2 \gamma = 0$ , thus:

d Technology 30 (8) (2016) 3469-3476  
\n
$$
\overline{\Omega}^2 D_2 \lambda = 0
$$
\n(19)  
\n
$$
\overline{\Omega}^2 D_2 \theta = -\frac{1}{2} \overline{\Omega}_2 + \left(\frac{9\alpha_3 \overline{\Omega}^2 - 10\alpha_2^2}{24\overline{\Omega}^2}\right) \lambda^2.
$$
\n(20)  
\nFor the steady response, it demands  $D_2 \lambda = 0$  and  $D_2 \gamma = 0$ ,  
\nus:  
\n
$$
\overline{\Omega}_2 = \left(\frac{18\alpha_3 \overline{\Omega}^2 - 20\alpha_2^2}{24\overline{\Omega}^2}\right) \lambda^2.
$$
\n(21)  
\nSubstituting Eq. (21) into Eq. (8), the nonlinear frequency  
\n*n* be solved as follows:

Substituting Eq. (21) into Eq. (8), the nonlinear frequency can be solved as follows:

2. Chen et al. *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology* 30 (8) (2016) 3469-3476  
\n
$$
D_0^2 U_1 + \overline{\Omega}^2 U_1 = -2\overline{\Omega}^2 D_0 D_1 U_0 + \overline{\Omega} U_0 - \alpha_z U_0^2
$$
\n
$$
- \overline{\Omega}^2 D_2 U_0 + \overline{\Omega} U_1 - 2\overline{\Omega}^2 D_0 D_0 U_0
$$
\n
$$
- \overline{\Omega}^2 D_1 U_0 + \overline{\Omega} U_1
$$
\n
$$
- 2\overline{\Omega}^2 D_0 U_0 + \overline{\Omega} U_0
$$
\n
$$
- \overline{\Omega}^2 D_1 U_0 + \overline{\Omega} U_0
$$
\n
$$
- 2\alpha_z U_0 U_1 - \alpha_z U_0^3.
$$
\nFor the steady response, it demands  $D_2 \lambda = 0$  and  $D_2 \gamma = 0$ ,  
\ncomplex solution of Eq. (9) can be written as  
\n
$$
= A(T_1, T_2) e^{\alpha_0} + \overline{A}(T_1, T_2) e^{-\alpha_0}
$$
\n
$$
= A(T_1, T_2) e^{\alpha_0} + \overline{A}(T_1, T_2) e^{-\alpha_0}
$$
\n
$$
= A(T_1, T_2) e^{\alpha_0} + \overline{A}(T_1, T_2) e^{-\alpha_0}
$$
\n
$$
= A(\overline{A})^2 + \overline{A}^2 U_1 = -2\overline{A}^2 D_1 A e^{\alpha_0} + \overline{A}_1 A e^{\alpha_0}
$$
\n
$$
= \alpha_2 A \overline{A} e^{\alpha_0}
$$
\n
$$
= \alpha_2 A \overline{A} + CC
$$
\n
$$
= \alpha_2 A \overline{A}^2 e^{\alpha_0} - \alpha_2 A \overline{A} + CC
$$
\n
$$
= \alpha_2 A \overline{A}^2 e^{\alpha_0}
$$
\n
$$
= \alpha_2 A \overline{A}^2 e^{\alpha_0}
$$
\n
$$
= \alpha_2 A \overline{A}^2 e^{\alpha_0}
$$
\n
$$
=
$$

## **4. Numerical results and discussion**

## *4.1 Example A*

<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> 0 . *iT iT* - W + W = *i D Ae Ae* (14) <sup>1</sup> *D A* <sup>=</sup> <sup>0</sup> is selected, which implies *A A T* <sup>=</sup> ( <sup>2</sup> ) and <sup>1</sup> W = <sup>0</sup> . Therefore the solution of Eq. (13) is:  $(A^2e^{2x_0} + A^2e^{-x_0} - 6AA).$  (15)  $= 0.3, A_x = 7.2 \times 10^4 \text{ m}^2, A_y = 6.0 \times 10^4 \text{ m}^2, EI_x = 1.78 \times 10^5 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^2,$ re *A* is unknown complex function, and  $\overline{A}$  is the conjugate<br>
plex of *A*.<br>
bestituting Eq. (12) into Eq. (10) yields:<br>  $2\pi \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (L_i + \overline{\Omega}^2 U_i + \overline{\Omega}^2 U_i = -2\overline{\Omega}^2 D_i A e^{i\alpha} + \overline{\Omega}^2 A e^{i\alpha}$ <br>  $-\alpha_x A^2 e^{2i\alpha} - \$ iver *i* and *i* and *A* is the conjugate<br> *i*  $\Omega_2 = \left(\frac{1}{24\Omega^2}\right)A^2$ .<br>
Substituting Eq. (12) into Eq. (10) yields:<br>  $\overline{\Omega}^2 D_x^2 U_1 + \overline{\Omega}^2 U_1 = -2\overline{\Omega}^2 D_x A e^{x_1} + \overline{\Omega}_x A e^{x_2}$ <br>  $-a_x A^T + CC$ <br>  $-a_x A^T e^{x_0} - a_x A \overline{A$ The fundamental parameters of the stiffened plate with four edges simply supported are as follows:  $a = 3$  m,  $b = 2$  m,  $E = 2.06 \times 10^{11}$  Pa,  $\rho = 7.85 \times 10^{3}$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>,  $h = 0.014$  m,  $N_x = N_y = 1$ ,  $\mu$  $EI_y = 1.03 \times 10^5$  N·m<sup>2</sup>.

*i*  $\overline{\Omega} = \overline{\omega}_s \sqrt{\frac{4\overline{\omega}_s^2 + 3e^2 \alpha_s \lambda^2}} + \sqrt{\frac{4\overline{\omega}_s^2 + 3e^2 \alpha_s \lambda^2}{8\overline{\omega}_s^2}} - \frac{5e^2 \alpha_s}{6\overline{\omega}_s^2}$ <br> *D*,  $Ae^{i\overline{\alpha}} + \overline{\Omega}_s A e^{i\overline{\alpha}} = 0$ .<br>
(14) **4. Numerical results and discussion**<br>
0 is selected, which  $-a_2A^2e^{2i\alpha} - a_2AA + CC$ <br>
CC is the complex conjugate part to the preceding<br>
The secular terms are eliminated by:<br>  $\overline{\Omega}^2 P_4Ae^{i\alpha} + \overline{\Omega}_4Ae^{i\alpha} = 0$ .<br>  $(\overline{\Omega}^2 P_4Ae^{i\alpha} + \overline{\Omega}_4Ae^{i\alpha} = 0)$ .<br>  $(\overline{\Omega}^2 P_4Ae^{i\alpha} + \overline{\$ ere *CC* is the complex conjugate part to the preceding<br>  $\overline{\Omega} = \overline{\omega}_n \sqrt{\frac{4\overline{\omega}_6^2 + 3c^2\alpha_x\lambda^2}{8\overline{\omega}_6^2}} + \sqrt{\frac{4\overline{\omega}_6^2 + 3c^2\alpha_x\lambda^2}{8\overline{\omega}_6^2}}$ <br>  $-2x\overline{\Omega}^2D_xd^{\alpha_n} + \overline{\Omega}_xd^{\alpha_n} = 0.$  (14)<br>  $D_xA = 0$  is selec CC is the complex conjugate part to the preceding<br>
The secular terms are eliminated by:<br>
The secular terms are eliminated by:<br>
The secular terms are eliminated by:<br>
To interferent and  $\overline{\Omega}^2 D_1 A e^{r_0} + \overline{\Omega}_1 A e^{r_0} = 0$ According to the analysis of parameters mentioned above, the (2, 2)th mode of the stiffened plate is of strong nonlinearity, so this paper investigated the influence of the initial geometric imperfections of plate through the (2, 2)th mode. Four cases are analyzed including:  $w_1^0 = 0$ ,  $w_2^0 = 0.001 m$ ,  $w_3^0 =$  $\left(\frac{\alpha_3 \lambda^2}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{5\varepsilon^2 \alpha_2^2 \lambda^2}{6\overline{\omega_0}^4}$ .<br>
(22)<br>
Figure 1.0001 (22)<br>
Figure 1.0001 (22)<br>
Figure 1.0001 m,  $N_x = N_y = 1$ ,  $\mu$ <br>  $EI_x = 1.78 \times 10^5$  N·m<sup>2</sup>,<br>
Figure 1.78×10<sup>5</sup> N·m<sup>2</sup>,<br>
Figure 1.78×10<sup>5</sup> N·m<sup>2</sup>,<br>
Fig 0.002 *m* and  $w_i^0 = 0.003$  *m*.  $+3\epsilon \frac{\alpha_3 \lambda_4}{8\overline{\omega}_0^2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{4\omega_0 + 3\epsilon \alpha_3 \lambda_4}{8\overline{\omega}_0^2}\right)} - \frac{3\epsilon \alpha_2 \lambda_4}{6\overline{\omega}_0^4}$ .<br>
(22)<br>
results and discussion<br>
that parameters of the stiffened plate with four<br>
upported are as follows:  $a = 3$  m,  $b = 2$  m

 $U_1 = \frac{\alpha_1}{3\Omega^2} \left( A^2 e^{3/26} + \overline{A}^2 e^{3/26} - 6 \overline{A} \overline{A} \right)$ <br>  $= \left[ 2\overline{A}^2 D_2 A - \overline{\Omega}_2 A + \left( 3\alpha_2 - \frac{10\alpha_2^2}{3\Omega^2} \right) A^2 \overline{A} = 0$ . (15)  $2.66 \times 10^4$  The  $\alpha_2 = 7.8 \times 10^5$  Washestituting Eqs. (12) and (15) in *i*  $\frac{dz_2}{3\Omega^2} (A^2e^{2x_6} + \overline{A}^2e^{-2x_6} - 6A\overline{A})$ .<br>  $= \frac{dz_2}{3\Omega^2} (A^2e^{2x_6} + \overline{A}^2e^{-2x_6} - 6A\overline{A})$ .<br>  $= 1.93 \times 10^5 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ,  $h = 0.014 \text{ m}$ ,  $H_a = 0.014$ endes simply supported are as follows:  $a = \frac{a_2}{3\Omega^2} (A^2 e^{2i\theta_1} + \overline{A}^2 e^{-2i\theta_0} - 6A\overline{A})$ .<br>  $= \frac{a_2}{3\Omega^2} (A^2 e^{2i\theta_1} + \overline{A}^2 e^{-2i\theta_0} - 6A\overline{A})$ .<br>
(15)  $= 2.05 \times 10^1 \text{ Pa}, \rho = 7.85 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3, \hbar = 0.014 \text{$ Meanwhile, for verifying the accuracy of the method of this paper, the results calculated by the Modified Lindstedt- Poincare method (MLP) which is suitable for application in strongly nonlinear case [38] are listed. The results calculated by the Multiple scales method (MS) which is suitable for application in weakly nonlinear case [39] are also listed for comparison.

The following Figs. 2-7 are about the amplitude  $\lambda$ frequency ratio *f* curve, where frequency ratio *f* is  $\overline{\Omega}/\overline{\omega}_0$ which calculated by Eq. (22).

Figs. 2-5 shows that the results calculated by MSLP method are very close to MLP method, and the Eq. (22) deduced by this paper is correct. However, the results calculated by the MS method are very different from MSLP and MLP method. It shows that the MS method can not be applied to calculate strongly nonlinear case.

From Fig. 6, it can be observed that the initial geometric imperfections of plate affect the frequency ratio. Given the changes of imperfection within 0 to 0.003 m, the frequency



Fig. 2. The  $\lambda$ -*f* curve  $(w_1^0 = 0)$ .



Fig. 3. The  $\lambda$ -*f* curve ( $w_2^0 = 0.001$  *m*).



Fig. 4. The  $\lambda$ -*f* curve ( $w_3^0 = 0.002$  *m*).

ratio reduced as the initial geometric imperfections of plate increased, and the ratio declined about 4% at most. In other words, the increase of the initial geometric imperfections of plate can lead to the decrease of nonlinear effect.

From Fig. 7, the results calculated by MS method also show that the increase of the initial geometric imperfections of plate will lead to the decrease of nonlinear effect. However, with the imperfection changes from 0 to 0.003 m, the frequency ratio reaches declined about 85% at most. Comparing the results calculated by MSLP method, it is easy to see that using MS method to study strongly nonlinear vibration can lead to serious mistakes.



Fig. 5. The  $\lambda$ -*f* curve ( $w_4^0 = 0.003$  *m*).



Fig. 6. The results calculated by MSLP method.



Fig. 7. The results calculated by MS method.

#### *4.2 Example B*

The fundamental parameters of the stiffened plates with four edges simply supported are as follows:  $a = 3$  m,  $b = 2$  m,  $E = 2.06 \times 10^{11}$  Pa,  $\rho = 7.85 \times 10^3$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>,  $h = 0.014$  m,  $N_x = N_y$  $= 3, \mu = 0.3, A_x = 4.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2, A_y = 3.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2, EL_x = 5.27 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2$  $10^4$  N·m<sup>2</sup>,  $EI_y = 2.22 \times 10^4$  N·m<sup>2</sup>.

. According to the analysis of parameters mentioned above, the (1, 2)th mode of this stiffened plate is of strong nonlinearity, so the (1, 2)th mode is investigated. Similarly, four cases are analyzed including:  $w_1^0 = 0$ ,  $w_2^0 = 0.001$  *m*,  $w_3^0 = 0.002$  *m* THEFT THE SUBSERVITY of the stiffened plates with<br>as follows:  $a = 3$  m,  $b = 2$  m,<br> $\frac{3}{2}$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>,  $h = 0.014$  m,  $N_x = N_y$ <br> $y = 3.6 \times 10^{-4}$  m<sup>2</sup>,  $EI_x = 5.27 \times$ <br>parameters mentioned above,<br>q plate is of strong nonlinear-<br>st <sup>1</sup><br>
7<br>
3 m, *b* = 2 m,<br>
14 m,  $N_x = N_y$ ,<br>  $EI_x = 5.27 \times$ <br>
tioned above,<br>
mg nonlinear-<br>
ly, four cases<br>  $w_3^0 = 0.002$  *m* and  $w_4^0 = 0.003$  m. <sup>4</sup> *w m* <sup>=</sup> 0.003 .



Fig. 8. The results calculated by MSLP method.



Fig. 9. The results calculated by MS method.

As the same as Fig. 6, it can be observed from Fig. 8 that the initial geometric imperfections of plate affect the frequency ratio. The frequency ratio reduces about 10% with the imperfection changes from 0 to 0.003 m.

Similarly, it can be observed from Figs. 8 and 9 that using MS method to study strongly nonlinear vibration can lead to serious mistakes.

## *4.3 Example C*

Using the fundamental parameters of example B, and setting  $N_x = N_y = 5$ . The (1, 2)th mode is investigated.

As the same as Figs. 6 and 8, it can be observed from Fig. 10 that the initial geometric imperfections of plate affect the frequency ratio. The frequency ratio reduces about 7% with the imperfection changes from 0 to 0.003 m.

From Figs. 10 and 11, it can be observed that there is a huge difference among the results by using MSLP method and MS method, which indicates that serious mistakes can be occurred if using MS method to study strongly nonlinear vibration.

## **5. Conclusions**

This paper investigated the strongly nonlinear free vibration of four edges simply supported stiffened plate with initial



Fig. 10. The results calculated by MSLP method.



Fig. 11. The results calculated by MS method.

geometric imperfections. The Multiple scales Lindstedt-Poincare method (MSLP) and the Modified Lindstedt-Poincare method (MLP) are used to solve the governing equations of vibration. Numerical examples for stiffened plates with different initial geometric imperfections are presented in order to discuss the influences to the strongly nonlinear free vibration of the stiffened plate, which yields the following conclusions:

(1) The results calculated by MSLP method are very close to MLP method. It supported that the equations deduced by this paper is correct.

(2) The frequency ratio reduced as the initial geometric imperfections of plate increased, which showed that the increase of the initial geometric imperfections of plate can lead to the decrease of nonlinear effect.

(3) There is a huge difference among the results by using MSLP method and MS method. It indicates that using MS method to study strongly nonlinear vibration can lead to serious mistakes.

# **Acknowledgment**

All the authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the National Natural Science of Foundation of China (No. 51378220).

#### **References**

- structures by grillage method, *J. Sound Vib*., 99 (3) (1985) 333-350.
- [2] K. M. Liew, Y. Xiang, S. Kitipornchai and J. L. Meek, For mulation of Mindlin-Engesser model for stiffened plate vibration, *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng*., 120 (3-4) (1995) 339-353.
- [3] O. K. Bedair and M. S. Troitsky, A study of the fundamental frequency characteristics of eccentrically and concentrically simply supported stiffened plates, *Int. J. Mech. Sci*., 39 (11) (1997) 1257-1272.
- [4] M. Barik and M. Mukhopadhyay, A new stiffened plate element for the analysis of arbitrary plates, *Thin-Walled Struct.,* 40 (7-8) (2002) 625-639.
- [5] G. M. Voros, Buckling and free vibration analysis of stiffened panels, *Thin-Walled Struct*., 47 (4) (2009) 382-390.
- [6] M. Mukhopadhyay, Vibration and stability analysis of stiffened plates by semi-analytic finite difference method-part I: consideration of bending only, *J. Sound Vib*., 130 (1) (1989) 27-39.
- [7] M. Mukhopadhyay, Vibration and stability analysis of stiffened plates by semi-analytic finite difference method- part II: consideration of bending and axial displacements, *J. Sound Vib*., 130 (1) (1989) 41-53.
- [8] H. Zeng and C. W. Bert, A differential quadrature analysis of vibration for rectangular stiffened plates, *J. Sound Vib*., 241 (2) (2001) 247-252.
- [9] L. X. Peng, K. M. Liew and S. Kitipornchai, Buckling and free vibration analyses of stiffened plates using the FSDT meshfree method, *J. Sound Vib*., 289 (3) (2006) 421-449.
- [10] E. J. Sapountzakis and V. G. Mokos, An improved model for the dynamic analysis of plates stiffened by parallel beams, *Eng. Struct*., 30 (6) (2008) 1720-1733.
- [11] L. Dozio and M. Ricciardi, Free vibration analysis of ribbed plates by a combined analytical-numerical method, *J. Sound Vib*., 319 (1-2) (2009) 681-697.
- [12] H. A. Xu, J. T. Du and W. L. Li, Vibrations of rectangular plates reinforced by any number of beams of arbitrary lengths and placement angles, *J. Sound Vib*., 329 (18) (2010) 3759-3779.
- [13] M. Haterbouch and R. Benamar, Geometrically nonlinear free vibrations of simply supported isotropic thin circular plates, *J. Sound Vib*., 280 (3-5) (2005) 903-924.
- [14] M. S. Nerantzaki and J. T. Katsikadelis, Nonlinear dynamic analysis of circular plates with varying thickness, *Arch. Appl. Mech*., 77 (6) (2007) 381-391.
- [15] Z. Celep and K. Guler, Axisymmetric forced vibrations of an elastic free circular plate on a tensionless two-parameter foundation, *J. Sound Vib*., 301 (3-5) (2007) 495-509.
- [16] M. Amabili, Nonlinear vibrations of rectangular plates with different boundary conditions: theory and experiments, *Comput. Struct*., 82 (31-32) (2004) 2587-2605.
- [17] I. V. Andrianov, V. V. Danishevs' Kyy and J. Awrejcewicz,

An artificial small perturbation parameter and nonlinear plate vibrations, *J. Sound Vib*., 283 (3-5) (2005) 561-571.

- [1] T. Balendra and N. E. Shanmugam, Free vibration of plate [18] S. Stoykov and P. Ribeiro, Periodic geometrically nonlinear free vibrations of circular plates, *J. Sound Vib.*, 315 (3) (2008) 536-555.
	- [19] O. Thomas and S. Bilbao, Geometrically nonlinear flexural vibrations of plates: In-plane boundary conditions and some symmetry properties, *J. Sound Vib*., 315 (3) (2008) 569-590.
	- [20] M. K. Singha and R. Daripa, Nonlinear vibration and dynamic stability analysis of composite plates, *J. Sound Vib*., 328 (4-5) (2009) 541-554.
	- [21] J. S. Peng, Y. Q. Yuan, J. Yang and S. Kitipornchai, A semianalytic approach for the nonlinear dynamic response of circular plates, *Appl. Math. Model*., 33 (12) (2009) 4303- 4313.
	- [22] J. Yang, Y. X. Hao, W. Zhang and S. Kitipornchai, Nonlinear dynamic response of a functionally graded plate with a through-width surface crack, *Nonlinear Dyn*., 59 (1-2) (2010) 207-219.
	- [23] R. Li et al., Analytic free vibration solutions of rectangular thin plates point-supported at a corner, *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences,* 96-97 (2015) 199-205.
	- [24] A. Nikkhoo et al., Vibration of a thin rectangular plate subjected to series of moving inertial loads, *Mechanics Re search Communications*, 55 (1) (2014) 105-113.
	- [25] P. V. Joshi, N. K. Jain and G. D. Ramtekkar, Effect of thermal environment on free vibration of cracked rectangular plate: An analytical approach, *Thin-Walled Structures*, 91 (2015) 38-49.
	- [26] I. D. Breslavsky, M. Amabili and M. Legrand, Physically and geometrically non-linear vibrations of thin rectangular plates, *International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics*, 58 (1) (2014) 30-40.
	- [27] A. Naghsh and M. Azhari, Non-linear free vibration analysis of point supported laminated composite skew plates, *International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics*, 76 (2015) 64- 76.
	- [28] S. Razavi and A. Shooshtari, Nonlinear free vibration of magneto-electro-elastic rectangular plates, *Composite Structures*, 119 (119) (2015) 377-384.
	- [29] M. E. Hassanabadi, J. V. Amiri and M. R. Davoodi, On the vibration of a thin rectangular plate carrying a moving oscillator, *Scientia Iranica. Transaction A, Civil Engineering*, 21 (2) (2014) 284-294.
	- [30] M. E. Hassanabadi, N. K. Attari, A. Nikkhoo and M. Baranadan, An optimum modal superposition approach in the computation of moving mass induced vibrations of a distributed parameter system, *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical En gineering Science*, 229 (6) (2015) 1015-1028, DOI: 10.1177/09544062 14542968.
	- [31] K. K. Viswanathan et al., Free vibration of symmetric angle ply truncated conical shells under different boundary conditions using spline method, *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 29 (5) (2015) 2073-2080.
- [32] C. Camier, C. Touzé and O. Thomas, Non-linear vibrations of imperfect free-edge circular plates and shells, *European Journal of Mechanics A/Solids,* 28 (3) (2009) 500-515.
- [33] M. Amabili, Theory and experiments for large-amplitude vibrations of rectangular plates with geometric imperfections, *Journal of Sound and Vibration,* 291 (3-5) (2006) 539-565.
- [34] F. Alijani and M. Amabili, Theory and experiments for nonlinear vibrations of imperfect rectangular plates with free edges, *Journal of Sound and Vibration,* 332 (14) (2013) 3564-3588.
- [35] D. Hui, Effects of geometric imperfections on large amplitude vibrations of rectangular plates with hysteresis damping, *Journal of Applied Mechanics,* 51 (1) (1984) 216-220.
- [36] Y. C. Fung, *Foundations of solid mechanics,* Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall (1965).
- [37] N. Ma, R. Wang and P. Li, Nonlinear dynamic response of a stiffened plate with four edges clamped under primary

resonance excitation, *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 70 (1) (2012) 627-648.

- [38] G. M. Abd EL-Latif, On a problem of modified Lindstedt-Poincare for certain strongly non-linear oscillators, *Applied Mathematics and Computation,* 152 (3) (2004) 821-836.
- [39] A. H. Nayfeh and D. T. Mook, *Nonlinear Oscillations*, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1979).



**Zhaoting Chen** is a doctoral candidate pursuing a Ph.D. degree in Bridge and Tunnel Engineering at South China University of Technology, China. His current research area is mainly on the nonlinear dynamics analysis of stiffened plate using analytical method.