

# Chaotic behavior in fractional-order horizontal platform systems and its suppression using a fractional finite-time control strategy†

Mohammad Pourmahmood Aghababa\*

*Electrical Engineering Department, Urmia University of Technology, Urmia, Iran* 

(Manuscript Received July 11, 2012; Revised September 13, 2013; Accepted February 6, 2014) --

#### **Abstract**

The present paper investigates the dynamical properties of a non-autonomous fractional-order horizontal platform system (FOHPS). According to different parameter settings, we show that the FOHPS can possess stable, chaotic and unstable states. Using the maximal Lyapunov exponent criterion, we show that the FOHPS exhibits chaos. Strange attractors of the system are also plotted to validate chaotic behavior of the system. Since the chaotic behavior of the FOHPS may be undesirable, a fractional finite-time controller is introduced to suppress the chaos of the FOHPS with model uncertainties and external disturbances in a given finite time. We use the fractional Lyapunov theory to prove the finite time stability and robustness of the proposed scheme. Finally, computer simulations are given to illustrate the efficiency and applicability of the proposed fractional control method.

**,我们就会在这里的时候,我们就会**在这里的时候,我们就会在这里的时候,我们就会在这里的时候,我们就会在这里的时候,我们就会在这里的时候,我们就会在这里的时候,我们

*Keywords*: Horizontal platform; Chaotic state; Fractional-order equation; Finite-time controller

## **1. Introduction**

Recently, there has been an accelerating level of interest in the use of fractional calculus for modeling and control of dynamical systems. Fractional calculus is a 300-year-old mathematical topic where it generalizes the concept of integerorder differentiation/integration to arbitrary (non-integer) order one. Although it has a long history, for many years it had not been used in physics and engineering. However, during the last 20 years or so, fractional calculus has attracted increasing attention of physicists, chemists and engineers from an application point of view [1]. It has been found that many systems in interdisciplinary fields, such as differential oscillators [2], micro-electro-mechanical systems [3] and gyroscopes [4] can display fractional-order dynamics. The authors of these works have shown that modeling and describing integerorder systems with fractional-order differential equations can be useful in both application and research and can reveal more useful and applied dynamical properties of the system. More recently, there is a new trend to study the chaotic dynamics of fractional-order dynamical systems and to stabilize the unstable fixed points of them [5-11].

In recent years, several mechanical systems with chaotic phenomena have been developed [12-14]. And, control of mechanical systems has attracted the interest of many scholars [15-20]. One of the most interesting and attractive nonlinear dynamical systems is the horizontal platform system (HPS). It is a mechanical device that can freely rotate around the horizontal axis. The horizontal platform devices are widely used in offshore and earthquake engineering. It has been shown that these systems display a diverse range of dynamic behavior including both chaotic and regular motions [21]. Wu et al. [22] have used Lyapunov direct method to achieve a sufficient criterion for global chaos synchronization between two identical HPS coupled by linear state error feedback controller. By means of a linear state error feedback controller, the robust synchronization of the chaotic HPS with phase difference and parameter mismatches has been studied in Ref. [23]. Based on the Lyapunov stability theorem and Sylvester's criterion, some algebraic sufficient criteria for synchronization of two HPS coupled by sinusoidal state error feedback control have been derived in Ref. [24]. Pai and Yau [25] have designed an integral-type sliding mode controller for generalized projective synchronization of two HPS with uncertainties. Pai and Yau [26] have also designed an adaptive sliding mode control scheme for controlling the chaos in the state trajectories of the uncertain HPS. In Ref. [27], a finite-time control scheme has been proposed to synchronize two HPSs with uncertainties. However, to the best knowledge of the authors, there is no work in the literature about the control and dynamical analysis of the fractional-order horizontal platform systems (FOHPS), which remains as an open issue to be investigated.

In recent years, many scholars believe that the fractional

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +98 441 355 4180, Fax.: +98 441 355 4184

E-mail address: m.p.aghababa@ee.uut.ac.ir; m.pour13@gmail.com

<sup>†</sup> Recommended by Associate Editor Cong Wang

<sup>©</sup> KSME & Springer 2014

modeling of the traditional integer-order systems opens a wide door for research in the area of physical and engineering systems, as it truly serves as a generalization of the integer-order case. The traditional models of many systems need to be revisited within the framework of the fractionalorder differential equations, where the integer-order transfer functions of systems become simply special cases of the fractional-order ones. Therefore, in this paper, we generalize the integer-order model of the traditional HPS system to the fractional-order one to obtain more characteristics of the dynamics of the system and to discover more dynamical properties of the system. From both modeling and practical application points of view, the findings of this paper are of utility for many engineers and designers dealing with the applications of the gyro system.

This paper investigates the dynamical behavior of a nonautonomous fractional-order horizontal platform system by adopting fractional-order differential equations. We use the maximal Lyapunov exponent (MLE) criterion to show that the nonlinear behaviors of the FOHPS can be chaotic. We show that for some special settings of the system parameters, the state of the system can be stable or unstable. Subsequently, a fractional-order finite-time controller is proposed to suppress the chaotic state of the FOHPS in a given finite time. The where  $m$  is the smallest integer number larger than  $q$ . effects of model uncertainties and external disturbances are considered and the robustness and finite-time stability of the closed-loop system are proved fractional Lyapunov stability model for the HPS is given. theory [28].

#### **2. Chaos in horizontal platform systems**

#### *2.1 Mathematical model of HPS*

The HPS is a mechanical device composed of a platform and an accelerometer located on the platform. The platform can freely rotate about the horizontal axis, which penetrates its mass center. The accelerometer produces an output signal to the actuator, subsequently generating a torque to inverse the deviates from horizon. The motion equations of the HPS are given by [21] chaotic state of the FOHPS in a given finite time. The where m is the smallest integer number ls<br>sto of model uncertainings and external disturbances are<br>didered and the redbition of the fractional Lyapunov stability of t

$$
A\ddot{x} + D\dot{x} + k\dot{g}\sin x - \frac{3g}{R}(B-C)\cos x \sin x = F\cos \omega t
$$
 (1)

where  $A = 0.3$ ,  $B = 0.5$  and  $C = 0.3$  are the inertia moment of the platform,  $D = 0.4$  is the damping coefficient,  $k =$  that the FOHPS states are unstable for  $0.01 \le q < 0.06$  How-<br>0.11559633 is the proportional constant of the accelerometer, ever, when we set  $0.06 \le q < 0.75$ , we find  $g = 0.98$  is the acceleration constant of gravity,  $R = 6378000$  is the radius of the Earth, *x* is the rotation of the platform relative for  $0.06 \le q < 0.75$  are shown in Fig. 1. Since the correspond-For the above-mentioned parameters values the nonautonomous HPS Eq. (1) exhibits chaotic behavior [21]. Assement. The acceleration constant of grinomous and the non-<br>and the non-automous system are set to  $a = 43$ ,  $b = 3.776$ ,  $I = 4$ ,<br>atation of the platform to balance the HPS, when the platform We use the maximal Lyapunov e

Eq. (1) is transformed into the following normal form

*and Technology 28 (5) (2014) 1875–1880*  
\n
$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{x}_1 = x_2 \\
\dot{x}_2 = -ax_2 - b\sin x_1 + l\cos x_1 \sin x_1 + h\cos \omega t\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2)  
\nhere a = D/A = 4/3, b = kg/A = 3.776, 1 = 3g(B-C)/RA =  
\n6 × 10<sup>-6</sup> and h = F/A = 3.4/4 are the HPS parameters.  
\n2 *Mathematical model of HPS*  
\nDefinition 1 [1]. The qth-order fractional integration of  
\naction *f(t)* is given by  
\n
$$
r_0 I_t^q f(t) = r_0 D_t^{-q} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \frac{f(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{q-1}} d\tau.
$$
\n(3)  
\nDefinition 2 [1]. The Caputo fractional derivative of order *q*  
\n2 a function *f(t)* is defined as follows:  
\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t \frac{f^{(m)}(\tau)}{\tau^{(m)}(\tau)} d\tau, & m=1 < a < m\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

where  $a = D/A = 4/3$ ,  $b = kg/A = 3.776$ ,  $l = 3g(B-C)/RA =$  $4.6 \times 10^{-6}$  and h = F/A = 3.4/4 are the HPS parameters.

#### *2.2 Mathematical model of HPS*

Definition 1 [1]. The *q*th-order fractional integration of function  $f(t)$  is given by

here a = D/A = 4/3, b = kg/A = 3.776, l = 3g(B-C)/RA =  
\n5 × 10<sup>-6</sup> and h = F/A = 3.4/4 are the HPS parameters.  
\n2. **Mathematical model of HPS**  
\nDefinition 1 [1]. The qth-order fractional integration of  
\naction 
$$
f(t)
$$
 is given by  
\n
$$
I_0 I_i^q f(t) = I_0 D_i^{-q} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \frac{f(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{q-1}} d\tau.
$$
\n(3)  
\nDefinition 2 [1]. The Caputo fractional derivative of order q

Definition 2 [1]. The Caputo fractional derivative of order *q* of a function *f(t)* is defined as follows:

$$
\int \dot{x}_1 = x_2
$$
\n
$$
\int \dot{x}_2 = -ax_2 - b \sin x_1 + l \cos x_1 \sin x_1 + h \cos \omega t
$$
\n(2)  
\nhere a = D/A = 4/3, b = kg/A = 3.776, l = 3g(B-C)/RA =  
\n5 × 10<sup>-6</sup> and h = F/A = 3.4/4 are the HPS parameters.  
\n2 *Mathematical model of HPS*  
\nDefinition 1 [1]. The *q*th-order fractional integration of  
\naction *f*(*t*) is given by  
\n<sub>6</sub>  $I_i^q f(t) = \int_0^{t} D_i^{-q} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \frac{f(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{q-1}} d\tau$ . (3)  
\nDefinition 2 [1]. The Caputo fractional derivative of order *q*  
\na function *f*(*t*) is defined as follows:  
\n
$$
\int_0^{p} f(t) = \begin{cases}\n\frac{1}{\Gamma(m-q)} \int_0^t \frac{f^{(m)}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{q-m+1}} d\tau & m-1 < q < m \\
\frac{d^m}{dt^m} f(t) & q = m\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(4)  
\nBased on the definition of the fractional-order differential  
\nuations and using Eq. (2), the following fractional-order  
\nodd for the HPS is given.  
\n
$$
\begin{cases}\nD^q x_1 = x_2 \\
D^q x_2 = -ax_2 - b \sin x_1 + l \cos x_1 \sin x_1 + h \cos \omega t\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(5)  
\ntherefore a =  $q \in (0,1)$  is the fractional order of the system.  
\nIn order to investigate the dynamical behavior of the FOGS  
\n1. (5), we assume that the fractional order *q* and harmonic  
\nque amplitude *F* can be changed. The other parameters of the

Based on the definition of the fractional-order differential equations and using Eq. (2), the following fractional-order where *m* is the smallest integer number larger than *q*.<br>
Based on the definition of the fractional-order differentiations and using Eq. (2), the following fraction<br>
model for the HPS is given.<br>  $\int D^q x_1 = x_2$ <br>  $D^q x_2 = -$ 

$$
\begin{cases}\nD^q x_1 = x_2 \\
D^q x_2 = -\alpha x_2 - b \sin x_1 + l \cos x_1 \sin x_1 + h \cos \omega t\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(5)

where  $q \in (0,1)$  is the fractional order of the system.

rotation of the platform to balance the HPS, when the platform We use the maximal Lyapunov exponent criterion and apply the Sin colucitation and external distitutions or expected on the dentition of the reactional-order<br>
Sin cost and the rebubities and finite-time stability of the equations and using Eq. (2), the following fractional-order<br>
Se In order to investigate the dynamical behavior of the FOGS Eq. (5), we assume that the fractional order  $q$  and harmonic torque amplitude *F* can be changed. The other parameters of the system are set to  $a = 4/3$ ,  $b = 3.776$ ,  $l = 4.6 \times 10^{-6}$  and  $\omega = 1.8$ . numerical method introduced in Ref. [29] to calculate the system's MLE. The initial conditions of the system are chosen as where *m* is the smallest integer number larger than *q*.<br>
Based on the definition of the fractional-order differential<br>
equations and using Eq. (2), the following fractional-order<br>
model for the HPS is given.<br>  $\int D^s x_1 =$ different parameter settings in two case studies.  $\begin{cases} D^6x_1 = x_2 \\ D^6x_2 = -ax_2 - b\sin x_1 + l\cos x_1 \sin x_1 + h\cos \omega t \end{cases}$  (5)<br>where  $q \in (0,1)$  is the fractional order of the system.<br>In order to investigate the dynamical behavior of the FOGS<br>Eq. (5), we assume that the fractional or

## *2.2.1 Case1:*  $F = 3.4$  *and*  $q \in (0,1)$

The rivers a meteoral method of a parameter setting in two expects of a parameter bottle about the harmonic of the partom. The platform is other to investigate the dynamical behavior can freely rotate about the horizontal In this case, we set  $F = 3.4$  and change the fractional order *q* from 0.01 to 0.99. After running the simulations, we observe  $[D^9x_2 = -ax_2 - b\sin x_1 + l\cos x_1 \sin x_1 + h\cos \omega t$ <br>
where  $q \in (0,1)$  is the fractional order of the system.<br>
In order to investigate the dynamical behavior of the FOGS<br>
Eq. (5), we assume that the fractional order q and harmonic<br> tem behavior becomes chaotic. The MLEs of the HPS Eq. (5) where  $q \in (0,1)$  is the fractional order of the system.<br>
In order to investigate the dynamical behavior of the FOGS<br>
Eq. (5), we assume that the fractional order q and harmonic<br>
torque amplitude F can be changed. The othe ing MLEs are positive, one can conclude that the system state is chaotic. The strange attractor of the HPS Eq. (5) for  $q = 0.1$ is revealed in Fig. 2. One can see that the system Eq. (5) exhibits rich and chaotic dynamics. On the other hand, changing *q* from 0.75 to 0.99, we see that the first state of the HPS con-



Fig. 1. Maximal Lyapunov exponents of the FOHPS with different values of *q*.



Fig. 2. Strange attractors of the FOHPS with different values of  $q = 0.1$ .

verges to zero, while the second state of the HPS shows a periodic behavior.

#### *2.2.2 Case2:*  $q = 0.1$  and  $F \in (1,20)$

In this case, we select  $q = 0.1$  and we change the harmonic torque amplitude *F* from 1 to 20. After doing the simulations, we understand that the HPS Eq. (5) possesses chaotic state for different values of *F*. Fig. 3 shows the MLEs of the system for different values of  $F \in (1,20)$ . It is apparent that MLEs are positive, indicating that the system possesses chaos. The strange attractor of the system Eq. (5) for  $F = 5$  is plotted in Fig. 4. One can see that the system behavior is chaotic. iodic behavior.<br>
(constant  $l > 0$  and  $q \in (0,1)$  is<br>  $2 \text{ Case 2: } q = 0.1 \text{ and } F \in (1,20)$ <br>
Assume that there exists a L<br>
understand that the HPS Eq. (5) for also the bindions,<br>
understand that the HPS Eq. (5) for Sessess chaot

## **3. Designing a finite-time fractional controller for chaos suppression of FOHPS**

Theorem 1 [28]. Let  $x = 0$  be an equilibrium point for the non-autonomous fractional-order system

$$
D^q x(t) = f(x, t) \tag{6}
$$



Fig. 3. Maximal Lyapunov exponents of the FOHPS with different values of *F*.



Fig. 4. Strange attractors of the FOHPS with different values of *F* = 5.

where  $f(x, t)$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition with Lipschitz constant *l*>0 and  $q \in (0,1)$  is the fractional order of the system. Assume that there exists a Lyapunov function  $V(x(t), t)$  which satisfies the following conditions. x, t) satisfies the Lipschitz condition with Lipschitz<br>  $l > 0$  and  $q \in (0,1)$  is the fractional order of the system.<br>
that there exists a Lyapunov function  $V(x(t), t)$  which<br>
the following conditions.<br>  $\int_0^t \frac{\mathbf{x}}{t} V(x(t), t$ *<sup>q</sup> D x x Defined to* and  $q \in (0, 1)$  is the Lipschitz condition with Lipschitz<br>  $l > 0$  and  $q \in (0, 1)$  is the fractional order of the system.<br>
Imme that there exists a Lyapunov function  $V(x(t), t)$  which<br>
firs the following conditio ere  $f(x, t)$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition with Lipschitz<br>
stant  $l > 0$  and  $q \in (0,1)$  is the fractional order of the system.<br>
sume that there exists a Lyapunov function  $V(x(t), t)$  which<br>
sifies the following conditions.

$$
\alpha_1 \|x\|^a \le V(x(t), t) \le \alpha_2 \|x\| \tag{7}
$$

$$
\dot{V}(x(t),t) \le -\alpha_3 \|x\| \tag{8}
$$

where  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\alpha_3$  and *a* are positive constants. Then the equilibrium point of the non-autonomous system Eq. (6) is Miattag-Leffler (asymptotically) stable.

Since in real world applications model uncertainties and external disturbances affect the dynamics of the system, the following uncertain FOHPS with a control input is taken into where  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\alpha_3$  and  $a$  are positive constants. T.<br>equilibrium point of the non-autonomous system Eq.<br>Miattag-Leffler (asymptotically) stable.<br>Since in real world applications model uncertainties<br>ternal distu *l*>0 and  $q \in (0,1)$  is the fractional order of the system.<br>that there exists a Lyapunov function  $V(x(t), t)$  which<br>the following conditions.<br> $\forall x(t), t \geq \alpha_x ||x||$  (7)<br> $\Rightarrow t \leq V(x(t), t) \leq \alpha_x ||x||$  (7)<br> $\Rightarrow$   $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  and *a* ar *f f <i>f d f g* = (0,1) is the fractional order of the system.<br> **e** that there exists a Lyapunov function  $V(x(t), t)$  which<br>
the following conditions.<br>  $\|\tilde{f} \le V(x(t), t) \le \alpha_2 \|\tilde{x}\|$  (7)<br>  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  and *a* ar Let us that  $l > 0$  and  $q ∈ (0,1)$  is the fractional order of the system.<br>
sume that there exists a Lyapunov function  $V(x(t), t)$  which<br>
isfies the following conditions.<br>  $\alpha_x ||x||^x \le V(x(t), t) \le \alpha_x ||x||$  (7)<br>  $\dot{V}(x(t), t) \le -\alpha_x ||x||$ 

$$
\begin{cases}\nD^q x_1 = x_2 \\
D^q x_2 = -ax_2 - b \sin x_1 + l \cos x_1 \sin x_1 + h \cos \omega t \\
+ \Delta f(t) + d(t) + u(t)\n\end{cases} \tag{9}
$$

certainty, external disturbance and control input, respectively.

Assumption 1. It is assumed that the uncertainty term and external disturbance are unknown, but bounded as follows:

$$
\left\|D^{1-q}\Delta f(t)\right\|_1 \leq \alpha, \left\|D^{1-q}d(t)\right\|_1 \leq \beta \tag{10}
$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are given positive constants.

*M. P. Aghababa/Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 28 (5) (2014)*<br> *X*(*t*) = [ $x_1(t), x_2(t)$ ]<sup>*r*</sup> is the state vector of the system <br>
As a result, according to  $y'(X)$ ,  $d(t)$  and  $u(t)$  represent the system model Theorem 2. Consider the non-autonomous uncertain chaotic FOHPS Eq. (9). If this system is controlled by the control law Eq. (11), then its chaotic behavior will be suppressed in finite time. Assumption 1. It is assumed that the uncertainty term and<br>
ontows, we prove that if<br>
seternal disturbance are unknown, but bounded as follows:<br>
finite time.<br>
From the inequality Eq.<br>  $||D^{1-s}\Delta f(t)||_s \leq \alpha$ ,  $||D^{s-s}d(t)||_s \leq \beta$ *B* are given positive constants.<br> *dt*<br>
If this system is controlled by the control law<br>
If this system is controlled by the control law<br>  $d t \le -\frac{d||X(t)||_1}{m||X(t)||_1} + n||X(t)||_1^2$ <br>  $\left. -D^{-p+1}((\alpha + \beta + l_1|x_1|^c + l_2|x_2|^c + \frac{1}{m} +$ 

$$
u(t) = -f(x,t) - D^{q-1}((\alpha + \beta + l_1 |x_1|^c + l_2 |x_2|^c + \text{sign}(x_1)D^{1-q}(x_2) + k_1 |x_1|)sign(x_2) - k_2 x_2)
$$
\n(11)

where  $f(x,t) = -ax$ ,  $-b \sin x_1 + l \cos x_1 \sin x_1 + h \cos \omega t$  and  $l_1$ , *,*  $k_1$ *,*  $k_2$  are positive constants and  $0 \le c \le l$  is a real number.

Proof. Choosing a Lyapunov function candidate in the form

$$
V(t) = sign^{t}(X(t))X(t) = sign(x_1)\dot{x}_1 + sign(x_2)\dot{x}_2 =
$$
  
sign(x<sub>1</sub>)D<sup>1-q</sup>(D<sup>q</sup>x<sub>1</sub>) + sign(x<sub>2</sub>)D<sup>1-q</sup>(D<sup>q</sup>x<sub>2</sub>). (12)

Substituting  $D^q x$  and  $D^q x$ , from Eq. (9) into Eq. (12), one has

$$
\dot{V}(t) = sign(x_1)D^{1-q}(x_2) + sign(x_2)D^{1-q}(-ax_2 - b\sin x_1 + l\cos x_1\sin x_1 + h\cos \omega t + \Delta f(t) + d(t) + u(t)).
$$
\n(13)

Using Assumption 1, one can obtain

1 1 1 2 2 2 <sup>1</sup> 1 1 <sup>1</sup> 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 *q q <sup>q</sup> <sup>q</sup> V t sign x D x sign x D ax* - - - - £ <sup>+</sup> - + £ <sup>+</sup> - & (14) have 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 ( ) .

Introducing the control law Eq. (9) into the right hand side of Eq. (14) and after some mathematical manipulations, we

$$
\dot{V}(t) \le -k_1 |x_1| - k_2 |x_2| - l_1 |x_1|^c - l_2 |x_2|^c \tag{15}
$$

$$
\dot{V}(t) \leq -(m(|x_1| + |x_2|) + n(|x_1| + |x_2|)^c) = -m||X||_1 - n||X||_1^c
$$
\n(16)

where  $m = min\{k_1, k_2\}$  and  $n = min\{l_1, l_2\}$  are two positive a constants.

1878<br>
W. P. Aghababa/Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 28 (5) (2014) 1875~1880<br>
where  $X(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t)]^T$  is the state vector of the system As a result, according to Theorem<br>
and  $\Delta f(X)$ ,  $d(t)$  and  $u(t)$  repres 1878<br>
and  $\Delta f(X) = [x_1(t), x_2(t)]^T$  is the state vector of the system and *Technology* 28 (5) (2014) 1875~1880<br>
and  $\Delta f(X)$ ,  $d(t)$  and  $u(t)$  represent the system model un-<br>
certainty, external disturbance and control input, r *M. P. Aghababa / Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 28 (5) (2014) 1875-1880*<br>
1 (*Af (X*),  $d(t)$  and  $u(t)$  represent the system model un-<br>  $\Delta f(X)$ ,  $d(t)$  and  $u(t)$  represent the system model un-<br>
the uncertai 18<br>
18  $M P$ . Aghababa / Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 28 (5) (2014) 1875-1880<br>
18 2 (2014) 1875-1880<br>
18 2 (X) = [x(1), x<sub>1</sub>(t)] and  $u(t)$  tepresent the system model un-<br>
the uncertain FOHPS Eq. (9) will co **1** 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 As a result, according to Theorem 1, the state trajectories of the uncertain FOHPS Eq. (9) will converge to zero and its chaotic behavior will be suppressed asymptotically. In what follows, we prove that the chaos suppression take places in finite time. 28 (5) (2014) 1875~1880<br>
according to Theorem 1, the state trajectories of<br>
FOHPS Eq. (9) will converge to zero and its<br>
ior will be suppressed asymptotically. In what<br>
rove that the chaos suppression take places in<br>
equa *dd Technology 28 (5) (2014) 1875-1880*<br>
As a result, according to Theorem 1, the state trajectories of<br>
a tuncertain FOHPS Eq. (9) will converge to zero and its<br>
acotic behavior will be suppressed asymptotically. In what *dt* & = £ - - (17)

From the inequality Eq. (16), we have

$$
\dot{V}(t) = \frac{d\left\|X(t)\right\|_{1}}{dt} \leq -m\left\|X\right\|_{1} - n\left\|X\right\|_{1}^{c}.
$$
\n(17)

Using some simple calculations, we get

1878  
\n1878  
\n1878  
\n1878  
\n1879  
\nWhere 
$$
X(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t)]^T
$$
 is the state vector of the system  
\nand  $\Delta f(X)$ ,  $d(t)$  and  $u(t)$  represent the system  
\npartial  $\Delta f(X)$ ,  $d(t)$  and  $u(t)$  represent the system  
\nconstant  
\n $\Delta f(X)$ ,  $d(t)$  and  $u(t)$  represent the system model un-  
\n $\Delta f(X)$ ,  $d(t)$  and  $u(t)$  represent the system model  
\n $|D^{1-s}\Delta f(t)|_1 \le \alpha$ ,  $|D^{1-s}d(t)|_1 \le \beta$   
\n189  
\n190  
\n101  
\n102  
\n103  
\n104  
\n105  
\n106  
\n107  
\n108  
\n109  
\n100  
\n101  
\n101  
\n103  
\n101  
\n103  
\n105  
\n106  
\n107  
\n108  
\n109  
\n101  
\n101  
\n101  
\n103  
\n105  
\n106  
\n107  
\n108  
\n109  
\n100  
\n101  
\n101  
\n103  
\n105  
\n106  
\n107  
\n108  
\n109  
\n101  
\n101  
\n101  
\n102  
\n103  
\n103  
\n104  
\n105  
\n106  
\n107  
\n108  
\n109  
\n101  
\n101  
\n101  
\n102  
\n103  
\n104  
\n105  
\n106  
\n107  
\n108  
\n10

Taking integral of both sides of Eq. (18) from 0 to *T* and let $t_{1}$   $t_{1}$   $t_{2}$   $t_{1}$   $t_{2}$   $t_{1}$   $t_{2}$   $t_{2}$   $t_{1}$   $t_{2}$   $t_{1}$   $t_{2}$   $t_{1}$   $t_{2}$   $t_{2}$   $t_{1}$   $t_{2}$   $t_{2}$   $t_{1}$   $t_{2}$   $t_{2}$   $t_{1}$   $t_{2}$   $t_{1}$   $t_{2}$   $t_{2}$   $t_{1}$   $t_{2}$   $t_{2}$   $t_{1}$   $t_{2}$   $t_{2$ 

$$
||D^{1/2}Δf(t)|| = |X(f) - D^{2/2}(x) + k|x| \sin x + k \cos x \sin x + k \cos x \cos x
$$
\n
$$
||D^{1/2}Δf(t)|| \le \alpha, ||D^{1/2}d(t)|| \le \beta
$$
\n
$$
||D^{1/2}Δf(t)|| \le \alpha, ||D^{1/2}d(t)|| \le \beta
$$
\n
$$
||D^{1/2}Δf(t)|| \le \alpha, ||D^{1/2}d(t)|| \le \beta
$$
\n
$$
||D^{1/2}Δf(t)|| \le \alpha, ||D^{1/2}d(t)|| \le \beta
$$
\n
$$
||D^{1/2}Δf(t)|| \le \alpha, ||D^{1/2}d(t)|| \le
$$

This completes the proof.

## **4. Simulation of the proposed finite-time fractionalorder controller**

Here, we assume that the fractional order of the system Eq. (9) is equal to 0.1, where the system has a MLE of 0.1738. Moreover, the following model uncertainty and external disturbance are considered in the simulation.

 $g(x, y) = (x, y + \kappa_1) \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 + \kappa_3 \kappa_4 \kappa_5 \kappa_6 \kappa_7$ ,  $\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 \sin x_1 + \hbar \cos x_1 \sin x_1 + \hbar \cos x_2 \sin x_1 + \hbar \cos x_3 \sin x_2 \sin x_3 + \hbar \cos x_4 \sin x_5 \sin x_6 \sin x_7 \sin x_8 \sin x_9 \sin x_1 \sin x_1 \cos x_2 \sin x_3 \sin x_1 + \hbar \cos x_1 \sin x_1 + \hbar \cos x_2 \sin x_3 \sin x_$  $f(x,t) = -ax_x - b\sin x_x + l\cos x_x \sin x_x + b\cos x dx$  and  $l_x$ <br>  $f_x$  are positive constants and  $Q < c \le t$  is a real number.<br>  $f(x,t) = -ax_x - b\sin x_x + l\cos x_x \sin x_x + b\cos x dx$  and  $l_y$ <br>  $f(x) = x(f(x))$ <br>  $f(x) = x(gn(x))x'(t) = sign(x, x) + sign(x, x)$ <br>  $f(x) = \frac{x}{2}$ <br>  $f(x) = \frac{x}{2}$ <br>  $f(x$ *g* are positive constants and  $0 < c < l$  is a real number.<br>  $\lim_{\delta} x_i(t_l) = x_j(t_l) = 0$  and  $X(T) = 0$  ( $T = max \{t_1, t_2\}$ ),<br>  $0 = \frac{1}{|X(t)|} \times \frac{1}{|X(t)|} \times \frac{1}{|X(t)|}$ <br>  $0 = \frac{1}{m(1-c)} \ln(n + c)$ <br>  $\lim_{\delta} \frac{1}{N} \int_{0}^{N} f(X(t)) \times (1 - \text{sign}(x, \lambda \lambda \$ of Choosing a Lyopunov function candidate in the form<br>  $s(n) = \frac{1}{8}x(nx)h^{1/8}(x)h^{1/8}(x) + sign(x, h)xh^{1/8}(x)$ <br>  $= \frac{1}{1-e} \int_{x=0}^{x} \frac{d||X(t)||_n^{1/8}}{n||X(t)||_n^{1/8}} = -\frac{1}{m(1-e)} \ln(n + \frac{1}{(x(n)^{1/8}}(x(n)^{1/8}(x) + sign(x, h)^{1/8}(x)) + \frac{1}{(x(n)^{1/8}}$ *b k*, *k*<sub>2</sub> are  $f(x,t) = -ax_1 - b \sin x_1 + l \cos x_1 \sin x_1 + h \cos \omega t$  and *l<sub>i</sub>*  $k$ , *k*<sub>2</sub> are positive constants and *o*-cc-? is a real number.<br> *b x*<sub>2</sub> are positive constants and  $f(x) = x_2(t_2) = 0$  and  $X(T) = 0$  ( $T = mx \{t_1, t_2\}$ *k*, are positive constants and  $\theta \le c \le 1$  is a real number.<br>  $d(t) = \frac{1}{2}x_0(t_0) = x_0(t_0) = 0$  and  $X(T) = 0$  ( $T = max \{t_1, t_2\}$ ), or<br>  $d(t) = \frac{1}{2}X(t_0)$  and taking its time derivative, we have<br>  $\theta \le \frac{1}{2}x_0(t_0) = \frac{1}{2}X(t$ *bot Choosing a Lyapuro function candidate in the form*<br> *f*(*t*) = *xigni*(*x*(*x*) *k*(*t*) = *xigni*(*x*, )*k*<sub>2</sub> = <br> *f*(*x*) *x*(*t*) = *xigni*(*x*, )*b*<sup>1</sup><sup>*x*</sup>(*x*)*k*, + *sign(x*, )*k*<sub>2</sub> = <br> *m*||*x*(*x*)|<sup>*x*</sup> the derivative, we have<br>  $(x, y, x_1 + s\iota g n(x_2, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_5, z_5)$ <br>  $\|x(y)\|_{\infty}^{1-\infty} \int_{x(0)}^{\infty} m \left\| x(y) \right\|_{\infty}^{1-\infty} n = -\frac{1}{m(1-c)} \ln(n + \frac{1}{m(1-c)} \ln(n + \frac{1}{m(1-c)}) \ln(n +$ - + + + + D Prof. Choosing a Lyaquov interior candidate in the form<br>  $V(t) = sign^r(X(t))X(t) = sign(x_1)x_1 + sign(x_2)x_2 =$ <br>  $V(t) = sign^r(X(t))X(t) = sign(x_1)x_1 + sign(x_2)x_2 =$ <br>  $sign(X)D^{r+1}(D^r x_1) + sign(x_2)D^{r+1}(D^r x_2).$ <br>
Substituting  $D^r x_1$  and  $D^r x_2$  from Eq. (9) into Eq This completes the proof.<br>  $\hat{V}(t) \leq sign(x, yD^{1-s}(x, y + sign(x, yD^{1-s}(-ax, y - bsinx, + lcosax + \Delta f(t) + d(t) + u(t))$ .<br>  $\hat{V}(t) \leq sign(x, yD^{1-s}(x, y + sign(x, yD^{1-s}(-ax, y - bsinx, + lcosax + hcosax + u(t)) + |\Delta f(0)|$ <br>  $-\delta \sin x, + l \cos x, \sin x, + h \cos ax + u(t)) + |\Delta f(0)|$ <br>  $-\delta \sin x, + l \cos x, \sin x, + h \cos ax + u(t)) + |\Delta f$ The parameters of the controller Eq.  $(11)$  are selected as  $l_1$  $= l_2 = k_1 = k_2 =$ *y* = *x*<sub>2</sub>(*t*<sub>2</sub>) = 0 and *x*(*t*) = 0 (*t* = *max* { *t*<sub>1</sub>, *t*<sub>2</sub>}), one nas<br>  $\frac{1}{1-c} \int_{x(0)}^{x(0)} \frac{d||X(t)||_1^{1-c}}{m||X(t)||_1^{1-c}} = -\frac{1}{m(1-c)}\ln(n +$  (19)<br>  $\left| \int_{X(0)}^{x(0)} = \frac{1}{m(1-c)}\ln\left(m||X(0)||_1^{1-c} + n\right)$ .<br>
ompletes the p  $T \le -\frac{1}{1-c} \int_{x(0)}^{x(r)} \frac{d||X(t)||_1^{1-c}}{m||X(t)||_1^{1-c}} = -\frac{1}{m(1-c)} \ln(m||X(0)||_1^{1-c} + n)$ . (19)<br>  $m||X(t)||_1^{1-c} \Big| X(T) = \frac{1}{m(1-c)} \ln(m||X(0)||_1^{1-c} + n)$ .<br>
This completes the proof.<br>
4. **Simulation of the proposed finite-time fractional-or** (9) are shown in Fig. 5. It is obvious that the chaotic motions of the non-autonomous uncertain chaotic FOHPS system are suppressed quickly in a finite time. The time history of the applied control input is depicted in Fig. 6. It is seen that the control input converges to zero.

Using Assumption 1, one can obtain<br>Using Assumption 1, one can obtain<br>Using Assumption 1, one can obtain<br>
Using Assumption 1, one can obtain<br>
Using Assumption 1, one can obtain<br>
Using Assumption 1, one can obtain<br>  $\hat{r}(t$  (16) fers from undesirable oscillations. Furthermore, the time his-To compare the performance of the proposed fractional finite-time technique, the fractional sliding mode control strategy introduced in Ref. [31] is applied to stabilize the uncertain chaotic FOHPS Eq. (9). Fig. 7 reveals the state trajectories of the controlled uncertain chaotic FOHPS Eq. (9) via the proposed method in Ref. [31]. It is seen that the second state suftory of the applied control input via the proposed sliding mode approach in Ref. [31] is appeared in Fig. 8. One can see that the control input has permanent chattering which restricts the



Fig. 5. State trajectories of the controlled FOHPS Eq. (9) via the proposed method.



Fig. 6. Time histories of the applied control input Eq. (11) via the proposed method.



Fig. 7. State trajectories of the controlled FOHPS Eq. (9) via the method in Ref. [31].

practical implementation of the controller proposed in Ref. [31]. However, the method proposed in our paper can quickly stabilize the uncertain chaotic FOHPS in a robust manner.



Fig. 8. Time histories of the applied control input via the method in Ref. [31].

## **5. Conclusions**

This paper studies the possible existence of the chaos for a non-autonomous fractional-order horizontal platform system (FOHPS). Using the maximal Lyapunov exponent criterion, it is shown that the FOHPS exhibits chaotic behavior. A robust finite-time controller is then designed to attenuate the chaotic behavior of the system in the presence of both model uncertainty and external disturbance. Using the fractional Lyapunov stability theory, the finite-time stability and robustness of the proposed scheme are mathematically proved. A numerical simulation illustrates the superiority of the proposed technique compared to the sliding mode approach existing in the literature.

#### **References**

- [1] I. Podlubny, *Fractional differential equations*, Academic Press, New York, USA (1999).
- [2] W. Zhang, Dynamic behaviors of nonlinear fractional-order differential oscillator, *The Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 23 (2009) 1058-1064.
- [3] M. P. Aghababa, Chaos in a fractional-order micro-electromechanical resonator and its suppression, *Chinese Physics B*, 21 (2012) 100505.
- [4] M. P. Aghababa and H. P. Aghababa, The rich dynamics of fractional-order gyros applying a fractional controller, *Proceedings of Institution of Mechanical Engineers Part I: Journal of Systems and Control Engineering*, 227 (2013) 588-601.
- [5] M. P. Aghababa, Robust stabilization and synchronization of a class of fractional-order chaotic systems via a novel fractional sliding mode controller, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 17 (2012) 2670-2681.
- [6] M. P. Aghababa, Finite-time chaos control and synchronization of fractional-order chaotic (hyperchaotic) systems via fractional nonsingular terminal sliding mode technique, *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 69 (2012) 247-261.
- [7] M. P. Aghababa, Robust finite-time stabilization of frac-

tional-order chaotic systems based on fractional Lyapunov stability theory, *ASME Journal on Computational and Nonlinear Dynamics*, 7 (2012) 0210101.

- [8] M. P. Aghababa, A novel terminal sliding mode controller for a class of non-autonomous fractional-order systems, *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 73 (2013) 679-688.
- [9] M. P. Aghababa, Design of a chatter-free terminal sliding mode controller for nonlinear fractional-order dynamical systems, *International Journal of Control*, 86 (2013) 1744-1756.
- [10] M. P. Aghababa, No-chatter variable structure control for fractional nonlinear complex systems, *Nonlinear Dynam*ics, 73 (2013) 2329-2342.
- [11] M. P. Aghababa, A fractional-order controller for vibration suppression of uncertain structures, *ISA Transactions*, 52 (2013) 881-887.
- [12] S. K. A. Shah, A. S. Fallah and L. A. Louca, On the chaotic dynamic response of deterministic nonlinear single and multi-degree-of-freedom systems, *The Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 26 (2012) 1697-1709.
- [13] M. Ataei, A. A. Atai, S. Mirjavadi, M. Sahebnasagh and M. Nikkhah-Bahrami, Application of impulse damper in control of a chaotic friction-induced vibration, *The Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 25 (2011) 279-285.
- [14] M. P. Aghababa and H. P. Aghababa, Chaos synchronization of gyroscopes using an adaptive robust finite-time controller, *The Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 27 (2013) 909-916.
- [15] S. Yim, Design of a robust controller for rollover prevention with active suspension and differential braking, *The Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 26 (2012) 213-222.
- [16] S. I. Han, C. S. Jeong and S. Y. Yang, Robust sliding mode control for uncertain servo system using friction observer and recurrent fuzzy neural networks, *The Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 26 (2012) 1149-1159.
- [17] S. Kang, W. Kim, H. J. Kim and J. Park, Adaptive feedforward control of ionic polymer metal composites with disturbance cancellation, *The Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 26 (2012) 205-212.
- [18] H. C. Cho, Lyapunov theory based robust control of complicated nonlinear mechanical systems with uncertainty, *The Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 22 (2008) 2142-2150.
- [19] T. D. Viet, P. T. Doan, H. Giang, H. K. Kim and S. B. Kim, Control of a 2-DOF omnidirectional mobile inverted pendulum, *The Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 26 (2012) 2921-2928, 2012.
- [20] M. Zareh and S. Soheili, A modified model reference adap-

tive control with application to MEMS gyroscope, *The Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 25 (2011) 2061- 2066.

- [21] Z. M. Ge, T. C. Yu and Y. S. Chen, Chaos synchronization of a horizontal platform system, *Journal of Sound and Vibration,* 268 (2003) 731-749.
- [22] X. Wu, J. Cai and M. Wang, Master-slave chaos synchronization criteria for the horizontal platform systems via linear state error feedback control, *Journal of Sound and Vibration,* 295 (2006) 378-387.
- [23] X. Wu, J. Cai and M. Wang, Robust synchronization of chaotic horizontal platform systems with phase difference, *Journal of Sound and Vibration,* 305 (2007) 481-491.
- [24] J. Cai, X. Wu and S. Chen, Chaos synchronization criteria and costs of sinusoidally coupled horizontal platform systems, *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, (2007) 86852.
- [25] N. S. Pai and H. T. Yau, Generalized projective synchronization for the horizontal platform systems via an integraltype sliding mode control, *Journal of Vibration and Control,* 17 (2011) 11-17.
- [26] N. S. Pai and H. T. Yau, Suppression of chaotic behavior in horizontal platform systems based on an adaptive sliding mode control scheme, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 16 (2011) 133-143.
- [27] M. P. Aghababa and H. P. Aghababa, Synchronization of mechanical horizontal platform systems in finite time, *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 36 (2012) 4579-4591.
- [28] Y. Li, Y. Q. Chen and I. Podlubny, Mittag-Leffler stability of fractional order nonlinear dynamic systems, *Automatica*, 45 (2009) 1965-1969.
- [29] M. T. Rosenstein, J. J. Collins and C. J. De Luca, A practical method for calculating largest Lyapunov exponents from small data sets, *Physica D*, 65 (1993) 117-134.
- [30] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK (1952).
- [31] T. Binazadeh and M. H. Shafiei, Output tracking of uncertain fractional-order nonlinear systems via a novel fractional-order sliding mode approach, *Mechatronics*, 23 (2013) 888-892.



**Mohammad Pourmahmood Aghababa** received his Ph.D. in Control Engineering from the University of Tabriz in 2011. He is currently an Assistant Professor in the Department of Electrical Engineering of the Urmia University of Technology, Urmia, Iran. His research interests include nonlinear control and fractional calculus.