Investigation of Greenhouse Gas Emissions from the Soil Amended with Rice Straw Biochar

Gayoung Yoo*, You Jin Kim**, Yong Oon Lee***, and Weixin Ding***

Received June 15, 2015/Accepted September 22, 2015/Published Online December 4, 2015

·· Abstract

Biochar, which is a byproduct from pyrolysis of any kinds of biomass, has received attention recently for its potential to mitigate climate change if we are to apply it to agricultural soil. However, the effects of biochar application on greenhouse gas emissions are difficult to be generalized because we do not fully understand the mechanisms how biochar influences soil functions. In this study, Korean rice paddy soil was incubated for 30 d amended with biochars made from Chinese/Korean rice straw at low (300-400°C) and high (600°C) pyrolysis temperatures. The controls were prepared by amendment with the straw materials and nothing. Biochar addition significantly decreased the $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ evolution compared to the straw amendment. However, the FDA activity, microbial biomass, the abundance of methane related microorganisms were not changed by biochar addition. We observed an increase in the soil N_2O emissions with the biochar. We attributed it to the increased microbial nitrification followed by pH increase by biochar addition. Overall data suggests that care should be taken when we apply biochar to the rice paddy soils that are acidic and heavily fertilized, because it might stimulate N₂O emission through nitrification, although $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ are not changed or reduced. Keywords: biochar, climate change, greenhouse gas emission, rice paddy soil, soil improvement

··

1. Introduction

Rice paddy soil is a major source of greenhouse gas emissions in the agricultural sector. Global methane emissions from flooded rice paddy soils are estimated to be 40-53 Tg per year, which account for 6-10% of the total methane emissions (IPCC, 2001; Aselmann and Crutzen, 1989; Cao et al., 1996; Wassmann et al., 1993). Recent studies have reported that nitrous oxide emissions from paddy fields are also significant, accounting for approximately 20% of the total emissions from croplands (Xing et al., 2009; Zou et al., 2005). Therefore, many strategies have already been suggested for the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions from rice paddy fields (Shen, 2014; Wang *et al.*, 2012; Wassmann et al., 1993). Biochar application was suggested as one of the promising options to mitigate climate change by increasing soil carbon (C) sequestration and reducing greenhouse gas emissions (Zhang et al., 2010). However, the effects of biochar application on soil cannot be consistently predicted, because the feedstocks for biochar include a broad range of products. Therefore, we need to determine which biochars are

"effective." in terms of climate change mitigation and soil quality improvement.

One way to evaluate the effectiveness of biochar in mitigating climate change is to monitor the soil sequestration of C and additional greenhouse gas emissions after applying biochar to soils. The effects of biochar application on greenhouse gas emissions vary, depending on the kinds of raw materials of the biochar and the types of soils to be amended (Lu *et al.*, 2014; Yoo et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2012; Yoo and Kang, 2012). In order to predict these inconsistent effects, we must understand the mechanisms by which biochar influences soil ecosystems. The emission of greenhouse gases, primarily $CO₂$, $CH₄$, and $N₂O$, is closely related to the soil C and N dynamics, which are primarily mediated by soil microbiological activities that can be influenced directly and indirectly by biochar addition. The direct effects of biochar addition on soil microbes are related to the materials on the biochar surface. Although pyrolyzed materials are believed to be chemically inert, it was reported that some labile matter still exists on the surface (Bruun et al., 2012; Pereira et al., 2011; Cross and Sohi, 2011; Zimmerman et al.,

^{*}Member, Professor, Dept. of Environmental Science and Engineering, College of Engineering, Kyung Hee University, Yongin 17104, Korea (Corresponding Author, E-mailgayoo@khu.ac.kr)

^{**}M.Sc and Ph.D Candidate, Dept. of Environmental Science and Engineering, College of Engineering, Kyung Hee University, Yongin 17104, Korea (Email: ujin5294@khu.ac.kr)

^{***}Member, M.Sc Candidate, Dept. of Environmental Science and Engineering, College of Engineering, Kyung Hee University, Yongin 17104, Korea (Email: dldlsdml@naver.com)

^{****}Researcher, State Key Laboratory of Soil and Sustainable Agricultrure, Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210008, China

(E-mail: wxding@issas.ac.cn)

► 197 – 2197 – 2197 – 2197 – 2197 – (E-mail: wxding@issas.ac.cn)

2011). The residual bio-oils and recondensation products adsorbed onto the surfaces of some types of biochar might have toxic effects on soil microorganisms (Brown et al., 2006; McClellan *et al.*, 2007). On the other hand, many researchers have reported that the microbial activity was enhanced when biochar was added, because the biochar surfaces can serve as favorable sites for microorganisms due to the greater concentrations of adsorbed nutrients (Baldock and Smernik, 2002; Hamer et al., 2004; Pietikäinen et al., 2000).

Microbial activity can also be influenced indirectly via changes in the soil's chemical and physical environment. It has been widely reported that biochar addition increases the soil's pH (Van Zwieten et al., 2010) and Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) (Liang et al., 2006), which are important factors for microbial activity. An increase in the CEC would lead to better nutrient retention and reduced leaching (Liang et al., 2006; Major et al., 2010; Major, et al., 2012; Yao et al., 2012). Many studies have observed that the total porosity and aeration in soil increased after biochar amendment, and those changes in the soil's physical conditions influenced the microbial activity by providing microhabitats, and changing the oxygen and water status (Lehmann et al., 2011; Mukherjee and Zimmerman, 2013). In summary, soil microbial activity is an important factor that influences greenhouse gas emissions and the investigation of the changes in the soil microbial activity caused by biochar addition could provide us with the theoretical background needed to select an effective type of biochar.

In this study, we set out to explain the effects of biochar on the emissions of $CO₂$, CH₄, and N₂O. We compared the effects of biochar amendment to soil with those of its raw material, rice straw. Rice straw is the most common agricultural by-product in China and Korea, and amendment with rice straw has been widely accepted as a way to improve the soil fertility for rice production in both countries (Zou et al., 2005). However, since rice straw incorporation increases soil methane release, an alternative to rice straw incorporation could be the amendment of biochar made from rice straw to soils, because it could reduce the methane emissions from the rice paddy fields. In Korea, as the demand for rice straw is high for other purposes, such as forage of domestic animals, if we decided to use biochar incorporation to agricultural soils, there might be the possibility for the future utilization of Chinese rice straw as a raw material for biochar production. Considering these situations, we set up the objectives of this study as follows: 1) to compare the effects of the addition of biochars made from Chinese and Korean rice straw on the greenhouse gas emissions from Korean rice paddy soil and 2) to relate the patterns of the gas emissions with changes in the soil chemical and microbiological factors.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Soil and Biochar Preparation and Characterization

The soil for the incubation studies was collected from the surface (0-10 cm depth) of a rice paddy Hwasung si, Gyeonggido, Korea on Mar. 2013. The permission for the sampling was issued from the Gyeonggido Agricultural Research & Extension Services. Three soil cores were randomly collected, composited, and used for bulk density determination. In the laboratory, the soil samples were passed through a 2-mm sieve and air dried for 2 wks. The soil texture was determined using the hydrometer method.

We prepared rice straws from the domestic farms in China and Korea and used them as our amendments of organic matter and biochar. The owners of the land gave permission to conduct the study using the materials produced from their farms. We used the same rice species from China and Korea, which is Oryza sativa L., to reduce the variability of feedstock itself. The straws from China and Korea were air dried and chopped into 1 cm length and stored at a cool and dry place before application. We prepared four different biochars from Chinese and Korean rice straws at low (300-400°C) and high (600°C) temperatures. From Chinese rice straw, C-Char400 and C-Char600 were produced and from Korean rice straw, K-Char300 and K-Char600 were produced. In Korea, rice straw material is already widely used for multiple purposes, so it might not be economically efficient to use it as a feedstock for biochar production. Therefore, we could not exclude the possibility of importing Chinese rice straw as biochar feedstock if we wanted to apply biochar to soils as a new management practice. This possible scenario is the reason to compare the effects of biochar made from Chinese and Korean rice straw.

The pH of the soil and biochar was determined with a glass electrode using a 1:1 (w/v) and 1:5 (w/v) soil- and biochar-todeionized-water ratio, respectively. The bulk densities of the amendments were measured by measuring the dry weight of the materials of the known volume using mass cylinder. The total C and N contents were determined by combustion analysis using a Carlo Erba NS 1500 C/N analyzer (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy). −Hot water extractable C (HWC) was measured following the method by (Haynes and Francis, 1993). The $NO₃⁻$ and $NH₄⁺$ concentrations were determined using the salicylate microplate method (Sims et al., 1995).

2.2 Incubation

2198 − Water Filled Pore Space (WFPS) in order to maintain the waterlogged conditions and then it was incubated in the dark at no - 2198 – KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering The soil microcosms were constructed using 0.30 L glass jars with a septum. Each jar contained 50 g of oven-dry-weightequivalent soil. The treatments consisted of amendment with Chinese biochar produced at 400ºC (C-Char400), Chinese biochar produced at 600ºC (C-Char600), Korean biochar produced at 300ºC (K-Char300), and Korean biochar produced at 600ºC (K-Char600). The treatment samples were compared with working controls amended with Korean rice straw (K-straw), Chinese rice straw (C-straw), or no addition (No-Add). The application rate of biochar was 4% by weight, which was in the middle level of the additions reported by Kolb et al. (2009) and Yanai et al. (2007). After the biochar was added, the soil was adjusted to 200% Water Filled Pore Space (WFPS) in order to maintain the waterlogged conditions and then it was incubated in the dark at

25°C for 30 days. We applied mineral N as $(NH₃)₂CO₃$ at a 200 kg 25°C fo
N ha^{−1} N ha⁻¹ rate to all of the treatments including the controls. Our incubation experiment was performed with six replications. After 15 days, half of the samples were used to analyze the soil's chemical and biological parameters, and the results were labeled as 15D samples. When the incubation was over after 30 days, the rest of the samples were analyzed and labeled as 30D samples. During the incubation, the glass containers were sealed except when the lids of the containers were opened every 3d in order to aerate the microcosms. The headspace was recirculated with ambient air.

2.3 Measurements

Gas samples from the headspace were collected at 0, 0.5, 1, 3, 6, 15, 21, and 30 d after the initiation of the incubation. The concentrations of $CO₂$, CH₄, and N₂O were measured using gas chromatography (Agilent 7890A, USA) with two detectors. The CO2 and CH4 were detected using a hydrogen Flame Ionization Detector (FID), and the N_2O was detected using an Electron Capture Detector (ECD). The gas fluxes were calculated from the changes in the headspace concentration over the measured period using the following equation (Troy et al., 2013).

$$
Flux = \frac{dGas}{dt} \times \frac{V}{A} \times \frac{p \times 100 \times MW}{R} \times \frac{273}{273 + T}
$$
(1)

where $dGas/dt$ is the change in the gas concentration over time; V is the volume of the incubation container; p is the atmospheric pressure; MW is the molecular weight of the gas; R is a gas V is the volume of the incubation container; *p* is the atmospheric pressure; MW is the molecular weight of the gas; *R* is a gas constant, 8314 Jmol⁻¹K⁻¹; A is the area of the container; and T is the temperature in Celsius.

After 15 d and 30 d of incubation, destructive soil sampling was conducted and the samples were labeled 15D and 30D, respectively. In order to measure the soil C sequestration after 30 d of incubation, the total C was measured by combustion analysis using a Carlo Erba NS 1500 C/N analyzer (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy). In order to determine the labile C content, the hot water extractable C (HWC) was measured following the method by Haynes and Francis (1993). In order to investigate the N availability, the NH₄⁺N and NO₃⁻ ⁻N concentrations were determined using 2M KCl extraction and colorimetric methods (Sims et al., 1995).

measured using methylumbelliferyl compounds as model substrates gr

(Kang and Freeman, 1999). The enzymes under analysis were b-

Vol. 20, No. 6 / September 2016 − 2199 − The microbial biomass C was measured using the CHCl₃ fumigation extraction method (Vance et al., 1987). The 0.5 M K2SO4 solution was used in order to extract the fumigated and unfumigated samples, and the C contents in the extracts were analyzed using a TOC analyzer (TOC-V, Shimadzu, Japan). The overall soil microbial enzymatic activity was evaluated using the Fluorescein Diacetate (FDA) hydrolysis method Adam and Duncan (2001). The fumigation–extraction method was used to measure the microbial C (Solaiman, 2007; Vance et al., 1987), with 0.45 as the extraction factor. The soil enzymatic activity involved in the decomposition of specific compounds was (Kang and Freeman, 1999). The enzymes under analysis were b-

glucosidase, cellobiohydrolase, and N-acetylglucosaminidase. These enzymes play a key role in the decomposition of cellulose, hemicelluloses, and chitin, respectively. In order to estimate the abundance of methane-related microorganisms, real time quantitative PCR was performed using an I-Cycler TM (Version 3.0a, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and SYBR Green (Bio-Rad) as the detection system in a reaction mixture of 30 ml with a specific primer for each group. The detailed method can be found in the study by Seo et al. (2014).

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Analysis of variance was performed using the MIXED procedure of SAS 9.1 (SAS Institute, 2001) on the soil $CO₂$, CH₄, and N₂O emission rates; HWC; microbial biomass C; FDA activity; exoenzyme activities (b-glucosidase, cellobiohydrolase, N-acetylglucosaminidase); abundance of methanogens and methanotrophs; NH_4^+ and NO_3^- ⁻N contents; and pH. The treatments and dates were the fixed variables for the soil gas analysis. The least squares means were used to test for significant differences among the treatments at the 5% probability level. For the CH⁴ and N_2O emission rates, comparisons were made at two levels: among the straw-added treatments (C-Straw and K-Straw) and the No-Add control, and among the biochar amendments (C-Char400, C-Char600, K-Char300, and K-Char600) and the No-Add control due to a huge difference between the values from the straw and biochar treatments.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Characteristics of the Soil and Biochar

The physicochemical properties and elemental analysis of the soil, feedstock, and biochar are shown in Table 1. The biochar and straw were both alkaline, with the highest pH in the K-Char600 and the lowest in the K-Straw. The elemental analysis of the rice straw and biochar revealed that the atomic H/C ratio of the rice straw was 1.50 on average and it was reduced to 0.76 for the biochar produced at a low temperature (C-Char300 and K-Char400) and 0.31 for the biochar produced at a high temperature (C-Char600 and K-Char600). This result indicates that the higher the pyrolysis temperature, the higher the aromaticity of the biochar. The high aromaticity of the biochar is also consistent with the amount of labile C contained in the biochar as suggested by Krull et al. (2011). The HWC content was higher in the C-Char400 and K-Char300, than in the C-Char600 and K-Char600. The C/N ratios of the rice straw were higher than those of the biochars. The Chinese rice straw and biochars had lower C/N ratios than the Korean ones, which indicated more extensive N fertilization in China than in Korea with the exception of the C-Char600, which had a similar C/N ratio to the K-Char600. We will further discuss the relationship between the C/N ratios of the amended materials and the greenhouse gas emissions later in this study. The bulk densities of the rice straw and the biochar were similar with an average of

pH	Bulk Density	Total C	Total N	C/N ratio	$NH4$ ⁺	NO ₂	P_2O_5	SiO ₂	K	Ca	Na		Mg
	$g \text{ cm}^{-1}$	soil $g \text{ kg}^{-1}$			$mg \, kg^{-1}$ soil								
5.4(1:5)	0.87	14.07	1.18	11.92	8.18	4.21	44	68	0.23	6.7	0.33		1.47
		pH	Bulk Density	C	N	H C/N ratio		H/C ratio		HWC		NH_4^+	NO ₃
			$g \text{ cm}^{-1}$		$\frac{0}{0}$					$mg \, kg^{-1} \, soil$			
Amend- ment	C-Straw	8.31	0.12	38.80	0.49	4.89	79.18	1.51		31346.0		14.13	7.14
	K-Straw	7.19	0.12	40.18	0.31	4.99	129.61	1.49		31425.2		41.15	2.76
	C-Char400	7.84	0.10	61.71	1.27	3.41	48.59	0.66		3189.0		2.77	5.49
	C-Char ₆₀₀	9.86	0.14	72.83	1.06	1.79	68.71	0.30		204.0		0.61	0.56
	K -Char 300	6.75	0.16	50.50	0.89	3.62	56.74	0.86		9414.8		3.20	1.51
	K -Char 600	10.54	0.13	50.31	0.79	1.35	63.68	0.32		1772.0		0.74	2.46

Table 1. Physico-chemical Characteristics of Soil and aMended Materials

0.13 g cm[−]³ .

3.2 Soil $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ Emissions and Related Microbial **Activities**

The accumulated amount of soil $CO₂$ emissions was significantly influenced by the treatments (Fig. $1(a)$). The addition of C-straw and K-straw significantly increased the soil $CO₂$ emission rates

−Different letters stand for significant difference at a 5% Fig. 1. Changes in Emission Rates on Accumulated Evolution Influenced by Treatments of: (a) $CO₂$, (b) $CH₄$ During 0-15 days and 16-30 days (Multiple comparisons were made among C-Straw, K-Straw, and No-Add represented as capital letters and among C-Char400, C-Char600, K-Char300, K-Char600, and No-Add represented as lower case letters. probability level)

2200 − KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering Civil Engineering Allowsky Control apparent in the results of the CO₂ evolution pattern. in comparison to the No-Add control. The soils amended with all of the kinds of biochar emitted significantly less $CO₂$ than the straw-amended soils. The C-Char400 and K-Char300 treatments showed slightly higher $CO₂$ emissions than the C-Char600 and K-Char600 treatments during the first 15 days (0-15 days). For the accumulated $CO₂$ during days 16-30, the pattern of lower $CO₂$ emission rates in the biochar-treated soil compared to those of the straw-added soils was maintained, but the $CO₂$ emissions were higher in all of the biochar treatments than in the No-Add control. The higher $CO₂$ emission rates from the C-Char400 and K-Char300 compared to those from the C-Char600 and K-Char600 were related to the higher HWC contents in the C-Char400 and K-Char300 biochars (Table 1), and this result was consistent with the results of the study by Bruun et al. (2012). They reported that the biochar produced from fast pyrolysis at low temperatures might still contain bio-available C for the microbial population. Jones et al. (2011) and Zimmerman et al. (2011) observed an increase in the CO₂ emissions from the soils with biochar amendments compared to the non-amended soils, especially during the initial stage after application. However, J ones et al. (2011) argued that the initial C loss from the increased $CO₂$ evolution was negligible compared to the amount of C stored within the biochar itself. The results from the longer incubation and field experiments tended to show lower emission rates of $CO₂$ from biochar-treated soils, which indicated the resistant characteristics of biochar (Liu et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2014). The HWC content that remained in the treated soils after 15 and 30 days of incubation revealed that the HWC content remained higher in the straw-added soils than in the biocharadded soils (Fig. 2(a)). The HWC contents in the biochar-added soils were similar to or lower than those in the No-Add control. The data on CO₂ emission and HWC implied that additional HWC contents derived from biochar might have been decomposed during the 30 d of incubation although we did not consider the priming effect of biochar on the existing soil C. Contrary to our expectations, the lower C/N ratio of the Chinese straw and biochar did not significantly influence the $CO₂$ emission patterns. apparent in the results of the $CO₂$ evolution pattern.

Fig. 2. Changes in: (a) Hot Water Extractable C (HWC), (b) FDA Activity, (c) Microbial Biomass C Influenced by Treatments for the 15D and 30D Samples (Multiple comparisons were made among C-Straw, K-Straw, and No-Add represented as capital letters and among C-Char400, C-Char600, K-Char300, K-Char600, and No-Add represented as lower case letters. Different letters stand for significant difference at 5% probability level)

while the HWC contents and FDA activities had a relatively
lower correlation with the CO_2 emission rates (Table 2). Sánchez-
Vol. 20, No. 6 / September 2016 $-2201 -$ The FDA activity was significantly increased in the strawamended soils, but there was no treatment effect in the biocharadded soils (Fig. 2(b)). The microbial biomass C was also increased in the straw-amended soils compared with the No-Add soil (Fig. 2(c)). However, in the C-Char400 and K-Char300 soils, the microbial biomass C was not changed, while in the C-Char600 and K-Char600 soils, a slight decrease was observed. The microbial biomass C contents had high correlation coefficients with the $CO₂$ emission rates, FDA activities, and HWC contents, lower correlation with the CO₂ emission rates (Table 2). Sánchez-

Table 2. Pearson Correlation Coefficients between $CO₂$ Emission Rate, Hot Water Extractable C (HWC), Fluoresecein Dehydrogenase Activity (FDA), and Microbial Biomass C (MBC)

	$CO2$ emission rate	HWC	FDA	MBC
$CO2$ emission rate		0.4750	$0.4797*$	$0.6961***$
HWC			0.4130	$0.7286***$
FDA				$0.7289***$
MBC				

 $*$, $**$, $***$ indicates the significance level at 10, 5, and 1% probability levels, respectively.

Fig. 3. Changes in Activities of: (a) b-glucosaminidase, (b) Cellobiohydrolase, (c) N-acetylglucosaminidase Influenced by treatments (*indicates the extremely high values which is beyond the detection range. Bars with different letters are significantly different at 5% probability level)

Gayoung Yoo, You Jin Kim, Yong Oon Lee, and Weixin Ding

*Different letters indicate significant difference at a 5% probability level.

Monedero et al. (2008) also reported a good correlation between the microbial biomass C and the FDA activity. As a sufficient substrate for the microbial hydrolysis was added when measuring FDA activities, FDA activities were the proxy for potential microbial activities, which could be highly related to the microbial biomass. The relatively lower correlations between the $CO₂$ emissions with the HWC contents and the FDA activities indicated that there are other factors influencing $CO₂$ emission in the soil with biochar amendment. The HWC might be adsorbed to the biochar surface and could not be easily utilized by microbes (Chen, accepted) .

of the various exo-enzymes together with the microbial biomass The activity of the exo-enzymes (β-glucosidase, cellobiohydrolase, and N-acetylglucosaminidase) was influenced by both the straw and biochar treatments (Fig. 3). Compared to the No-Add treatment, all of the enzyme activities increased with the C-Straw and K-Straw treatments, whereas the effects of the biochar addition varied by the kinds of enzyme. Considering that there was no biochar effect on overall microbial activity (FDA activity), our results on specific enzymes seem to be contradictory. However, Bailey et al. (2011) reported that biochar addition could increase enzyme activities without an increase in overall microbial activity because biochar could provide chemical modification to enzymes or absorption sites for the longer term stability of enzymes. For β-glucosidase, there was no difference in the activity between the Chinese biochar treatments (C-Char400 and C-Char600) and the No-Add control, while for the K-Char300 and K-Char600 soils, the activity was slightly reduced. The data on the β-glucosidase showed the different responses in the Chinese and Korean biochars, indicating that the difference in the β-glucosidase activities might be related to the different C status for two biochars. In our study, the lower C/N ratios of the Chinese biochar might have stimulated the β-glucosidase activity. For cellobiohydrolase, all of the biochars did not change the activity of this enzyme in comparison to that of the control. The N-acetylglucosaminidase activity was enhanced for the C-Char600 and K-Char600 in the 15D samples, but no other significant changes were seen. The initial increase in this enzyme during the early stage of incubation implied that the enzyme involved in the soil N dynamics could be influenced by the different mineral N contents of the amended materials. The NH_4^+ contents of the C-Char600 and K-Char600 were lower than those of the C-Char400 and K-Char400 (Table 1). The lower ammonium contents might have stimulated the activity of the N-acetylglucosaminidase, which involves decomposition of chitin (Chung et $al., 2007$). Jin et al. (2013) argued that the changes in the activity and FDA activity could represent changes in the microbial

community structure. If we related the reduction in the microbial biomass in the C-Char600 and K-Char600 treatments with the maintained and/or stimulated activities of the exo-enzymes in these treatments, we could suggest that the addition of biochar, especially produced at higher temperatures, might have increased the metabolizing efficiency of the soil microbes. This result was consistent with those of Dempster et al. (2010), who reported a decrease in the microbial biomass and community by the biochar addition at high application rates, while the activities of the exoenzymes were maintained in the biochar treatment.

The soil C contents increased in all of the treated soils (Table 3). In comparison to the No-Add control, the straw amendment increased the C contents by 40% and the biochar amendment enhanced the C storage by 84-119%. The smallest increase in the C content observed in the K-Char300 treatment was probably due to the higher labile C content of this biochar.

2202 − KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering

and addition of biochar can increase aeration status in the soils. Case

Acce Journal of Civil Engineering The average rate of $CH₄$ emissions was also significantly affected by the straw treatments (Fig. 1(b)). In the C-straw and K-straw treatments, the $CH₄$ emission rate was approximately 600 times greater than that from any of the other treatments. Considering that the $CO₂$ emission rate in the straw amendment treatment was three times higher than that of the other treatments, the huge increase in the CH₄ emissions indicated that the decomposition of straw occurred primarily under anaerobic conditions, resulting in methanogenesis (Le Mer and Roger, 2001). In contrast to the straw amendment treatments, the biochar treatments did not significantly change the soil CH⁴ emission rates except for the K-Char300 treatment, which showed a 2-16 times greater rate than that from the No-Add control. We attributed the increase in the $CH₄$ emissions from the K-Char300 treatment to its extremely higher labile C content (Table 1). The HWC content in the K-Char300 was 25 times higher than those in any of the other biochars on average. The very high amount of labile C in the K-Char300 treatment indicates that this material contains enough available C to boost the methanogenesis in the soil in a similar way that organic matter can. The other biochar treatments did not significantly change methane emission probably from the two reasons. The first one was that the labile C contents existent on biochar were not sufficient enough to stimulate methane emission. Although the C-Char400 contains higher HWC content than C-Char600 and K-Char600, the amount is only one third of that in K-Char300 (Table 1) and as a result of higher $CO₂$ emission from the C-Char400 and K-Char300, the remaining soil HWC contents were almost identical except for the slightly high level addition of biochar can increase aeration status in the soils. Case

Fig. 4. Changes in Abundances of Methanogens (mcrA) and Methanotrophs (pmoA) Influenced by Treatments for the 15D and 30D Samples (Multiple comparisons were made among C-Straw, K-Straw, and No-Add represented as capital letters and among C-Char400, C-Char600, K-Char300, K-Char600, and No-Add represented as lower case letters. Different letters stand for significant difference at 5% probability level)

et al. (2012) reported that 10% biochar amendment decreased soil bulk density and enhanced soil aeration. It was also suggested that increased soil aeration could decrease methane production and/or increase methane oxidation in soil (Van Zwieten et al., 2009).

The abundance of the methanogens was 5-20 times higher in the C-Straw and K-Straw treatments than in the No-Add control both in the 15D and 30D samples, while the abundance of the methanotrophs was not changed by the same treatments (Fig. 4). Contrast to the results from straw amendments, biochar amendments did not increase the abundance of methanogens, rather, it was slightly decreased in the C-Char400 and K-Char300 treatments for the 15D samples. The no change in the abundance of methanogens in the K-Char300 soil was un-expected because significantly higher $CH₄$ emission due to higher labile C content of this biochar was observed from this soil. In the case of K-Char300 treatment, the high content of HWC in this biochar increased methane emission without boosting up the abundance of methanogens. This result indirectly implies that the soil physical condition influenced by biochar addition might limit the growth of methanogens. However, further investigation is needed to solve this apparent contradictory result. Overall results

indicated that the amendment of biochar increased microbial metabolic efficiency without the change in the abundance of methane-related microbes, resulting in no change in CH₄ emission.

Inconsistent with our results, many researchers have observed a reduction in the CH_4 emissions from the biochar-amended soils in comparison with the soils with no additions (Kammann et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2011; Rondon, 2006; Wang et al., 2012; Yoo and Kang, 2012). Karhu et al. (2011) reported a reduction in the CH4 emissions and attributed it to an increase in the soil aeration caused by the biochar addition. Liu et al. (2011) also observed a reduction in the CH₄ emissions and no significant change in the community structure of the methanogens and methanotrophs. They attributed the reduction in the $CH₄$ emissions to the increased pH and the decreased microbial biomass resulting from the biochar addition. In the study by Liu et al. (2011), the soil pH started at 5.9 and increased up to 8.5 in the biochartreated soils. As has been reported, most methanogenic archaea grow at pH values near neutral with a range of 6.5-7.5 (Wang et al., 1993; Yang and Chang, 1998). Therefore, the biochar addition in Liu et al. (2011)'s study probably increased the soil pH beyond the optimal range for methanogenesis. However, in our study, although the biochar addition increased the soil pH from 5.4 to 6.8, this increase was not enough to suppress the $CH₄$ emissions from the biochar added soils.

3.3 Soil N2O Emissions and Available N Contents

The soil N_2O emission rates decreased following the addition of C-Straw and K-Straw in comparison to the No-Add control and the values were very small and almost null during the 16-30 days. The reduction in the N_2O emissions by organic matter incorporation into soils has been widely reported (Pelster et al., 2013) and attributed to the subsequent immobilization of the mineral N. Very low N_2O emissions from the straw amended soils could be the conversion of N_2O to N_2 . Since methanogenesis was prevalent in the C-Straw and K-Straw treatments, we could assume that the C-Straw and K-Straw soils were under severely oxygen-limiting conditions which were favorable for complete −denitrification (Cayuela *et al.*, 2014). Due to possible immobilization of available N and consumption of $NO₃⁻$ through denitrification, the amount of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- were decreased in the C-Straw and K-Straw treatments (Table 4).

The biochar amendment did not change the N_2O emissions in

Table 4. Gridinges in Soli NH ₄ and NO ₃ Contents and pH inhuenced by Treatments for the TSD and SuD Samples											
			C-Straw	K-Straw	C -Char 400	C -Char 600	K -Char 300	K-Char ₆₀₀	No-add		
15D	$NH4+$	$(mg kg^{-1} soil)$	$0.41a*$	0.35a	0.68a	0.42a	0.69a	0.44a	35.04b		
	NO ₁		9.63a	13.41a	35.62b	35.90b	31.50b	31.88b	29.53 _b		
	pH		6.32c	6.23c	5.83b	6.31c	5.83b	6.67d	5.60a		
30D	$NH4$ ⁺	$(mg kg-1 soil)$	0.90a	0.65a	1.03a	0.60a	0.55a	0.28a	15.56b		
	NO ₂		12.85a	19.70b	32.80d	30.58cd	28.42cd	28.10c	28.52cd		
	pH		6.17 _b	6.1 _b	5.94b	6.46c	5.96b	6.76d	5.32a		
*Different letters stand for significant difference at a 5% probability level.											
Vol. 20, No. 6 / September 2016					$-2203-$						

Table 4. Changes in Soil NH $_4^{\ast}$ and NO $_3^-$ Contents and pH Influenced by Treatments for the 15D and 30D Samples

Fig. 5. Changes in Emission Rates on Accumulated Evolution influenced by Treatments of N₂O (Multiple comparisons were made among C-Straw, K-Straw, and No-Add represented as capital letters and among C-Char400, C-Char600, K-Char300, K-Char600, and No-Add represented as lower case letters. Different letters stand for significant difference at a 5% probability level)

comparison to the No-Add control during the 0-15 days except for the K-Char600 treatment (Fig. 5). During days 16-30, the $N₂O$ emissions were not changed by the amendment with C-Char400 or K-Char300, but they increased in the C-Char600 and K-Char600 soils compared to those of the No-Add control. The increase in the $N₂O$ emissions by the biochar amendment in the rice paddy soils was contrary to many reports that have observed a reduction in the N_2O emissions after adding biochar to soils in saturated conditions (Case et al., 2012; Kammann et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2011; Yanai et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2010). The explanations for the reduction were enhanced soil aeration (Rogovska et al., 2011), the increased pH (Rondon et al., 2006), and the microbial immobilization of the soil $NO₃$. On the other hand, when the soil was not under saturated conditions, the increase in the N_2O emissions by the biochar addition was reported by Yoo and Kang (2012) and Troy *et al.* (2013) . The incubation conditions of these studies were 70% Water-Filled Pore Space (WFPS) and 26% gravimetric water content, respectively.

−Char600 and K-Char600, respectively. This difference in the Sánchez-García et al. (2014) emphasized the importance of identifying the predominant N_2O formation pathways in order to understand the mechanisms by which the biochar addition changes the N_2O emissions. Bateman and Baggs (2005) found that nitrification was the primary process producing N_2O at 35-60% WFPS, whereas denitrification was the predominant process above 70% WFPS. However, the N_2O production originates from much more complicated pathways; therefore, the %WFPS could only provide a rough estimate for the primary process of $N₂O$ production. In our study, although the soils were completely saturated, we observed a pattern of increased N_2O emissions with biochar addition. The soil pH was slightly increased from 5.4 to 5.9 in the C-Char400 and K-Char400 treatments, while a substantial increase was observed from 5.4 to 6.5~6.8 in the Cchange in the soil pH might partly explain the different patterns

of the N_2O emissions among the biochar treatments. Although the relationship between soil pH and N_2O emissions is particularly complicated, N_2O emissions tend to decrease in soil with a higher pH when denitrification prevails (ŠImek and Cooper, 2002) and increase in soil with a higher pH when nitrification prevails (Sánchez-García et al., 2014). As we observed an increase in the $N₂O$ emissions from the C-Char600 and K-Char600 soils together with the soil pH, we could assume that the prevalent process of N_2O emissions from these soils was from nitrification. The changes in the NH_4^+ and NO_3^- further supported this idea. In all of the biochar amended treatments, the NH_4^+ contents were dramatically lower, while the NO_3^- contents increased, indicating that the biochar amendment stimulated nitrification, which was also reported by Sánchez-García et al. (2014), Singh et al. (2010), Yoo and Kang (2012). We still needed to determine why in our soils, which were completely waterlogged during the incubation period, the N_2O emissions primarily originated from nitrification. According to Beccari et al. (1992), nitrification can occur when the dissolved concentration primarily originated from nitrification. According to Beccari *et al.* (1992), nitrification can occur when the dissolved concentration of oxygen is greater than 2 mg l^{−1}. During our incubation, we aerated the incubation jars every 3 d, which probably ensured partly aerated conditions in the waterlogged jars. The overall results indicated that the primary process of the $N₂O$ production in our incubation might have been nitrification and the pH increase in the C-Char600 and K-Char600 soils might have stimulated N₂O emission. However, we still could not exclude the possibility of activated denitrification by biochar addition as mechanism for enhanced N2O flux because denitrification process often does not simultaneously change with nitrate or denitrifier abundances (Song et al., 2010; Song et al., 2012).

Our observations of the changes in $N₂O$ emissions following biochar addition were unique, because we found enhanced N_2O emissions from the biochar-added soils even when the soil water conditions were saturated. This result implied that care should be taken when applying biochar to rice paddy soils that are heavily fertilized with the mineral N as is common in China and Korea.

4. Conclusions

2204 − KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering

he soil pH by the biochar addition.

he soil pH by the biochar addition.

he soil pH by the biochar addition. The results of this study showed that biochar amendment did not significantly increase the $CO₂$ or $CH₄$ emissions from the rice paddy soils. As a result, the C storage in the biochar added soils was increased by 84-119%. The results of the microbial biomass C and the exo-enzyme activity implied that the addition of biochar might have increased the metabolizing efficiency of soil microbes, especially in those treatments of biochar produced at high temperatures, because we observed a reduction in the microbial biomass C, and maintained or increased activities of the exo-enzymes. We observed a significant increase in the $N₂O$ emissions from the treatments of biochar produced at higher temperatures. In the rice paddy soils in Korea, which are generally acidic and heavily fertilized with the mineral N, amendment with stimulated by an increase in the soil pH by the biochar addition.

In this case, the ability of the biochar to reduce the denitrification by increasing the aeration might be counterbalanced by the stimulation of the nitrification process. Our observations of the increased N_2O emissions in the waterlogged rice paddy soils following the biochar addition were unique and could be added to the existing database about the effects of biochar on N_2O emissions from agricultural soils. In soils with low organic C and heavy N fertilization, such as those in China and Korea, biochar addition could increase the $N₂O$ emissions even from water logged rice paddy soils. Despite the increased $N₂O$ emissions from the biochar-added soils, soil amendment with biochar made from straw had the capacity to decrease the Global Warming from the biochar-added soils, soil amendment with biochar made
from straw had the capacity to decrease the Global Warming
Potential (GWP) by approximately 1600 gC m⁻² in comparison to the soils amended with rice straw.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported under the framework of international cooperation program managed by National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2013K2A2A2000839) and by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2013R1A1A2060747), Republic of Korea. Furthermore, this work was carried out with the support of "Cooperative Research Program for Agriculture Science & Technology Development (Project No.PJ009253022015)" Rural Development Administration, Republic of Korea.

References

- Adam, G. and Duncan, H. (2001). "Development of a sensitive and rapid method for the measurement of total microbial activity using Fluorescein Diacetate (FDA) in a range of soils." Soil Biology and Biochemistry, Vol. 33, Nos. 7-8, pp. 943-951, DOI: 10.1016/s0038- 0717(00)00244-3.
- Aselmann, I. and Crutzen, P. J. (1989). "Global distribution of natural freshwater wetlands and rice paddies, their net primary productivity, seasonality and possible methane emissions." Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry, Voil. 8, No. 4, pp. 307-358, DOI: 10.1007/BF00052709.
- Bailey, V. L., Fansler, S. J., Smith, J. L., and Bolton, H. (2011). "Reconciling apparent variability in effects of biochar amendment on soil enzyme activities by assay optimization." Soil Biology and Biochemistry, Vol. 43, No. 2, pp. 296-301, DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.10.014.
- Baldock, J. A. and Smernik, R. J. (2002). "Chemical composition and bioavailability of thermally altered Pinus resinosa (Red pine) wood." Organic Geochemistry, Vol. 33, No. 9, pp. 1093-1109, DOI: 1016/ s0146-6380(02)00062-1.
- Bateman, E. J. and Baggs, E. M. (2005). "Contributions of nitrification and denitrification to N2O emissions from soils at different waterfilled pore space." *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, Vol. 41, No. 6, pp. 379-388, DOI: 10.1007/s00374-005-0858-3.
- Beccari, M., Pinto, A. C. D., Ramadori, R., and Tomei, M. C. (1992). "Effects of dissolved oxygen and diffusion resistances on nitrification kinetics." Water Research, Vol. 26, No. 8, pp. 1099-1104, DOI: 10.1016/0043-1354(92)90146-U.
- Vol. 00, No. 6 / September 2016 − 2205

P. (2006). "Production and characterization of synthetic wood chars for use as surrogates for natural sorbents." Organic Geochemistry, Vo. 37, No. 3, pp. 321-333, DOI: 10.1016/j.orggeochem.2005.10.008.

- Bruun, E. W., Ambus, P., Egsgaard, H., and Hauggaard-Nielsen, H. (2012). "Effects of slow and fast pyrolysis biochar on soil C and N turnover dynamics." Soil Biology and Biochemistry, Vol. 46, pp. 73-79, DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.11.019.
- Calvelo Pereira, R., Kaal, J., Camps Arbestain, M., Pardo Lorenzo, R., Aitkenhead, W., Hedley, M., F. Macías, F., Hindmarsh, J., and Maciá-Agulló, J. A. (2011). "Contribution to characterisation of biochar to estimate the labile fraction of carbon." Organic Geochemistry, Vol. 42, No. 11, pp. 1331-1342, DOI: 10.1016/j.orggeochem.2011.09.002.
- Cao, M., Gregson, K., Marshall, S., Dent, J. B., and Heal, O. W. (1996). "Global methane emissions from rice paddies." Chemosphere, Vol. 33, No. 5, pp. 879-897, DOI: 10.1016/0045-6535(96)00231-7.
- Case, S. D. C., McNamara Niall P., Reay David S., and Whitaker Jeanette (2012). "The effect of biochar addition on N2O and CO2 emissions from a sandy loam soil – The role of soil aeration." Soil Biology and Biochemistry, Vol. 51, pp. 125-134, DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.03.017.
- Cayuela, M. L., van Zwieten, L., Singh, B. P., Jeffery, S., Roig, A., and Sánchez-Monedero, M. A. (2014). "Biochar's role in mitigating soil nitrous oxide emissions: A review and meta-analysis." Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, Vol. 191, pp. 5-16, DOI: 10.1016/ j.agee.2013.10.009.
- Chen, J., Kim, H., and Yoo, G. (accepted). "Effects of biochar on CO2 and N2O emissions following fertilizer application to cultivated grassland soil." Plosone.
- Chung, H., Zak, D. R., Reich, P. B., and Ellsworth, D. S. (2007). "Plant species richness, elevated CO2, and atmospheric nitrogen deposition alter soil microbial community composition and function." Global Change Biology, Voil. 13, No. 5, pp. 980-989, DOI: 10.1111/j.1365- 2486.2007.01313.x.
- Cross, A. and Sohi, S. P. (2011). "The priming potential of biochar products in relation to labile carbon contents and soil organic matter status." Soil Biology and Biochemistry, Vol. 43, No.10, pp. 2127- 2134, DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.06.016.
- Dempster, D. N., Gleeson, D. B., Solaiman, Z. M., Jones, D. L., and Murphy, D. V. (2010). "Biochar addition to soil changed microbial community structure and decreased microbial biomass carbon and net inorganic nitrogen mineralized." 19th. World. Congress. Of. Soil. Science.
- Hamer, U., Marschner, B., Brodowski, S., and Amelung, W. (2004). "Interactive priming of black carbon and glucose mineralisation." Organic Geochemistry, Vol. 35, No. 7, pp. 823-830, DOI: 10.1016/ j.orggeochem.2004.03.003.
- Haynes, R. J. and Francis, G. S. (1993). "Changes in microbial biomass C, soil carbohydrate composition and aggregate stability induced by growth of selected crop and forage species under field conditions." Journal of Soil Science, Vol. 44, No. 4, pp. 665-675, DOI: 10.1111/ j.1365-2389.1993.tb02331.x.
- IPCC (2001). "Climate Change 2001: The scientific Basis." Cambridge Univ. Press, New York.
- Jin, L., Son, Y., Yoon, T. K., Kang, Y. J., Kim, W., and Chung, H. (2013). "High concentrations of single-walled carbon nanotubes lower soil enzyme activity and microbial biomass." Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, Vol. l, No. 88, pp. 9-15, DOI: 10.1016/j.ecoenv. 2012.10.031.
- Jones, D. L., Murphy, D. V., Khalid, M., Ahmad, W., Edwards-Jones, G., and DeLuca, T. H. (2011). "Short-term biochar-induced increase in soil CO2 release is both biotically and abiotically mediated." Soil

Biology and Biochemistry, Vol. 43, No. 8, pp. 1723-1731, DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.04.018.

- Kammann, C., Ratering, S., Eckhard, C., and Müller, C. (2012). "Biochar and Hydrochar Effects on Greenhouse Gas (Carbon Dioxide, Nitrous Oxide, and Methane) Fluxes from Soils." Journal of Environmental Quality, Vol. 41, No. 4, pp. 1052-1066, DOI: 10.2134/jeq2011.0132.
- Kang, H. and Freeman, C. (1999). "Phosphatase and arylsulphatase activities in wetland soils: annual variation and controlling factors." Soil Biology and Biochemistry, Vol. 31, No. 3, pp. 449-454, DOI: 10.1016/S0038-0717(98)00150-3.
- Karhu, K., Mattila, T., Bergström, I., and Regina, K. (2011). "Biochar addition to agricultural soil increased CH4 uptake and water holding capacity – Results from a short-term pilot field study." Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, Vol. 140, Nos. 1-2, pp. 309-313, DOI: 10.1016/j.agee.2010.12.005.
- Kolb, S. E., Fermanich, K. J., and Dornbush, M. E. (2009). "Effect of Charcoal Quantity on Microbial Biomass and Activity in Temperate Soils." Soil Science Society of America Journal, Vol. 73, No. 4, pp. 1173-1181, DOI: 10.2136/sssaj2008.0232.
- Krull, E. S., Baldock, J. A., Skjemstad, F. O., and Smernik, R. F. (2011). Characteristics of biochar: Organo-mineral properties. In J. Lehmann, et al. (Ed.), Biochar for environmental management. UK: Science and Technology MPG Books.
- Le Mer, J. and Roger, P. (2001). "Production, oxidation, emission and consumption of methane by soils: A review." European Journal of Soil Biology, Vol. 37, No. 1, pp. 25-50, DOI: 10.1016/S1164-5563 (01)01067-6.
- Lehmann, J., Rillig, M. C., Thies, J., Masiello, C. A., Hockaday, W. C., and Crowley, D. (2011). "Biochar effects on soil biota – A review." Soil Biology and Biochemistry, Vol. 43, No. 9, pp. 1812-1836, DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.04.022.
- Liang, B., Lehmann, J., Solomon, D., Kinyangi, J., Grossman, J., O'Neill, B., Skjemstad, O., Thies, J., Luizão, F. J., Petersen, J., and Neves, E. G. (2006). "Black carbon increases cation exchange capacity in soils." Soil Science Society of America Journal, Vol. 70, No. 5, pp. 1719-1730, DOI: 10.2136/sssaj2005.0383.
- Liu, Y., Yang, M., Wu, Y., Wang, H., Chen, Y., and Wu, W. (2011). "Reducing CH4 and CO2 emissions from waterlogged paddy soil with biochar." Journal of Soils and Sediments, Vol. 11, No. 6, pp. 930-939, DOI: 10.1007/s11368-011-0376-x.
- Lu, W., Ding, W., Zhang, J., Li, Y., Luo, J., Bolan, N., and Xie, Z. (2014). "Biochar suppressed the decomposition of organic carbon in a cultivated sandy loam soil: A negative priming effect." Soil Biology and Biochemistry, Vol. 76, pp. 12-21, DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2014. 04.029.
- Major, J., Rondon, M., Molina, D., Riha, S. J., and Lehmann, J. (2012). "Nutrient Leaching in a Colombian Savanna Oxisol Amended with Biochar." Journal of Environmental Quality, Vol. 41, No. 4, pp. 1076-1086, DOI: 10.2134/jeq2011.0128.
- Major, J., Rondon, M., Molina, D., Riha, S., and Lehmann, J. (2010). "Maize yield and nutrition during 4 years after biochar application to a Colombian savanna oxisol." Plant and Soil, Vol. 333, Nos. 1-2, pp. 117-128, DOI: 10.1007/s11104-010-0327-0.
- McClellan, A. T., Deenil, J., Uehara, G., Antal, M. McClellan, A. T., Deenil, J., Uehara, G., and Antal, M. (2007). "Effect of flash carbonized macadamia nutshell charcoal on plant growth and soil chemical properties." American Society of Agronomy, Abstract.
- nutrient release from a range of laboratory-produced biochars and

11. $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ Mukherjee, A. and Zimmerman, A. R. (2013). "Organic carbon and biochar–soil mixtures." Geoderma, Vols. 193-194, pp. 122-130, DOI:

10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.10.002.

- Pelster, D. E., Chantigny, M. H., Rochette, P., Angers, D. A., Laganière, J., Zebarth, B., and Goyer, C. (2013). "Crop residue incorporation alters soil nitrous oxide emissions during freeze–thaw cycles." Canadian Journal of Soil Science, Vol. 93, No. 4, pp. 415-425, DOI: 10.4141/ ciss2012-043.
- Pietikäinen, J., Kiikkilä, O., and Fritze, H. (2000). "Charcoal as a habitat for microbes and its effect on the microbial community of the underlying humus." Oikos, Vol. 89, No. 2, pp. 231-242, DOI: 10.1034/j.1600-0706.2000.890203.x.
- Rogovska, N., Laird, D., Cruse, R., Fleming, P., Parkin, T., and Meek, D. (2011). "Impact of biochar on manure carbon stabilization and greenhouse gas emissions." Soil Science Society of America Journal, Vol. 75, No. 3, pp. 871-879, DOI: 10.2136/sssaj2010.0270.
- Rondon, M. A., Molina, D., Hurtado, N., Ramirez, J., Kehmann, J., Major, J., and Amezqauita, E. (2006). "Enhancing the productivity of crops and grasses while reducing greenhouse gas emissions through biochar amendments to unfertile tropical soils." 18th World Congress of Soil Science.
- Seo, J., Jang, I., Gebauer, G., and Kang, H. (2014). "Abundance of methanogens, methanotrophic bacteria, and denitrifiers in rice paddy soils." Wetlands, Vol. 34, No. 2, pp. 213-223, DOI: 10.1007/s13157- 013-0477-y.
- Shen, J., Tang, H., Liu, J., Wang, C., Li, Y., Ge, T., Jones, D.L., and Wu, J. (2014). "Contrasting effects of straw and straw-derived biochar amendments on greenhouse gas emissions within double rice cropping systems." Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, Vol. 188, pp. 264-274, DOI: 10.1016/j.agee.2014.03.002.
- Sims, G., Ellsworth, T., and Mulvaney, R. (1995). "Microscale determination of inorganic nitrogen in water and soil extracts." Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, Vol. 26, Nos. 1-2, pp. 303-316, DOI: 10.1080/00103629509369298.
- Singh, B. P., Hatton, B. J., Singh, B., Cowie, A. L., and Kathuria, A. (2010). "Influence of biochars on nitrous oxide emission and nitrogen leaching from two contrasting soils." Journal of Environmental Quality, Vol. 39, No. 4, pp. 1224-1235, DOI: 10.2134/jeq2009.0138.
- Solaiman, Z. (2007). Measurement of Microbial Biomass and Activity in Soil. In A. Varma and R. Oelmüller (Eds.), Advanced Techniques in Soil Microbiology (Vol. 11, pp. 201-211): Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Song, K., Kang, H., Zhang, L., and Mitch, W. J. (2012). "Seasonal and spatial variations of denitrification and denitrifying bacterial community structure in created riverine wetlands." Ecological Engineering, Vol. 38, No. 1, pp. 130-134, DOI: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2011.09.008.
- Song, K., Lee, S. H., Mitsch, W. J., and Kang, H. (2010). "Different responses of denitrification rates and denitrifying bacterial communities to hydrologic pulsing in created wetlands." Soil Biology and Biochemistry, Vol. 42, No. 10, pp. 1721-1727, DOI: 10.1016/ j.soilbio.2010.06.007.
- Sánchez-García, M., Roig, A., Sanchez-Monedero, M. A., and Cayuela, M. L. (2014). "Biochar increases soil N2O emissions produced by nitrification-mediated pathways." Frontiers in Environmental Science, DOI: 10.3389/fenvs.2014.00025.
- Sánchez-Monedero, M. A., Mondini, C., Cayuela, M. L., Roig, A., Contin, M., and De Nobili, M. (2008). "Fluorescein diacetate hydrolysis, respiration and microbial biomass in freshly amended soils." Biology and Fertility of Soils, Vol. 44, No. 6, pp. 885-890, DOI: 10.1007/ s00374-007-0263-1.
- 2206 − KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering
following pig manure application." Soil Biology and Biochemistry,
Following pig manure application." Soil Biology and Biochemistry, Troy, S. M., Lawlor, P. G., O' Flynn, C. J., and Healy, M. G. (2013). "Impact of biochar addition to soil on greenhouse gas emissions

Vol. 60, pp. 173-181, DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.01.019.

- Van Zwieten, L., Kimber, S., Downie, A., Morris, S., Petty, S., Rust, J., and Chan, K. (2010). "A glasshouse study on the interaction of low mineral ash biochar with nitrogen in a sandy soil." Soil Research, Vol. 48, No. 7, pp. 569-576, DOI: 10.1071/SR10003.
- Van Zwieten, L., Singh, B., Joseph, S., Kimber, S., Cowie, A., and Chan, K. Y. (2009). Biochar and emissions of non-CO2 greenhouse gases from soil. In: Lehmann, J., Joseph, S. (Eds.), Biochar for Environmental Management – Science and Technology. Earthscan, London, pp. 227-249.
- Vance, E. D., Brookes, P. C., and Jenkinson, D. S. (1987). "An extraction method for measuring soil microbial biomass C." Soil Biology and Biochemistry, Vol. 19, No. 6, pp. 703-707, DOI: 10.1016/0038- 0717(87)90052-6
- Wang, J., Pan, X., Liu, Y., Zhang, X., and Xiong, Z. (2012). "Effects of biochar amendment in two soils on greenhouse gas emissions and crop production." Plant and Soil, Vol. 360, Nos. 1-2, pp. 287-298, DOI: 10.1007/s11104-012-1250-3.
- Wang, J., Zhang, M., Xiong, Z., Liu, P., and Pan, G. (2011). "Effects of biochar addition on N2O and CO2 emissions from two paddy soils." Biology and Fertility of Soils, Vol. 47, No. 8, pp. 887-896, DOI: 10.1007/s00374-011-0595-8.
- Wang, Z. P., DeLaune, R. D., Masscheleyn, P. H., and Patrick, W. H. (1993). Soil redox and pH effects on methane production in a flooded rice soil Other Information: Pub. in Soil Science Society of American Jnl. 57, 382-385(1993). See also PB--93-222800 (pp. Medium: X; Size: Pages: (6 p)).
- Wassmann, R., Papen, H., and Rennenberg, H. (1993). "Methane emission from rice paddies and possible mitigation strategies." Chemosphere, Vol. 26, Nos. 1-4, pp. 201-217, DOI: 10.1016/0045-6535(93)90422-2.
- Xing, G., Zhao, X., Xiong, Z., Yan, X., Xu, H., Xie, Y., and Shi, S. (2009). "Nitrous oxide emission from paddy fields in China." Acta Ecologica Sinica, Vol. 29, No. 1, pp. 45-50, DOI: 10.1016/j.chnaes. 2009.04.006.
- Yanai, Y., Toyota, K., and Okazaki, M. (2007). "Effects of charcoal addition on N2O emissions from soil resulting from rewetting airdried soil in short-term laboratory experiments." Soil Science &

Plant Nutrition, Vol. 53, No. 2, pp. 181-188, DOI: 10.1111/j.1747- 0765.2007.00123.x.

- Yang, S.-S. and Chang, H.-L. (1998). "Effect of environmental conditions on methane production and emission from paddy soil." Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, Vol. 69, No. 1, pp. 69-80, DOI: 10.1016/S0167-8809(98)00098-X.
- Yao, Y., Gao, B., Zhang, M., Inyang, M., and Zimmerman, A. R. (2012). "Effect of biochar amendment on sorption and leaching of nitrate, ammonium, and phosphate in a sandy soil." Chemosphere, Vol. 89, No. 11, pp. 1467-1471, DOI: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.06.002.
- Yoo, G. and Kang, H. (2012). "Effects of Biochar Addition on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Microbial Responses in a Short-Term Laboratory Experiment." Journal of Environmental Quality, Vol. 41, No. 4, pp. 1193-1202, DOI: 10.2134/jeq2011.0157.
- Yoo, G., Kim, H., Chen, J., and Kim, Y. (2014). "Effects of biochar addition on nitrogen leaching and soil structure following fertilizer application to rice paddy soil." Soil Science Society of America Journal, Vol. 78, No. 3, pp. 852-860, DOI: 10.2136/sssaj2013.05.0160.
- Zhang, A., Cui, L., Pan, G., Li, L., Hussain, Q., Zhang, X., Zheng, J., and Crowley, D. (2010). "Effect of biochar amendment on yield and methane and nitrous oxide emissions from a rice paddy from Tai Lake plain, China." Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, Vol. 139, No. 4, pp. 469-475, DOI: 10.1016/j.agee.2010.09.003.
- Zimmerman, A. R., Gao, B., and Ahn, M.-Y. (2011). "Positive and negative carbon mineralization priming effects among a variety of biochar-amended soils." Soil Biology and Biochemistry, Vol. 43, No. 6, pp. 1169-1179, DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.02.005.
- Zou, J., Huang, Y., Jiang, J., Zheng, X., and Sass, R. L. (2005). "A 3 year field measurement of methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice paddies in China: Effects of water regime, crop residue, and fertilizer application." Global Biogeochemical Cycles, Vol. 19, No. 2, DOI: 10.1029/2004GB002401.
- ŠImek, M., and Cooper, J. E. (2002). "The influence of soil pH on denitrification: Progress towards the understanding of this interaction over the last 50 years." European Journal of Soil Science, Vol. 53, No. 3, 345-354, DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2389.2002.00461.x.