

Approximate controllability of retarded semilinear stochastic system with non local conditions

Anurag Shukla · Urvashi Arora · N. Sukavanam

Received: 4 July 2014 / Published online: 13 November 2014
© Korean Society for Computational and Applied Mathematics 2014

Abstract This paper deals with the approximate controllability of retarded semilinear stochastic system with nonlocal conditions in Hilbert Spaces under the assumption that the corresponding linear system is approximately controllable. The control function for this system is suitably constructed by using the infinite dimensional controllability operator. With this control function, the sufficient conditions for the approximate controllability of the proposed problem in Hilbert Space are established. The results are obtained by using Banach fixed point theorem. Finally, two examples are provided to illustrate the application of the obtained results.

Keywords Approximate controllability · Retarded stochastic semilinear systems · Nonlocal conditions

Mathematics Subject Classification 34K30 · 34K35 · 93C25

1 Introduction

Controllability is one of the fundamental concepts in modern mathematical control theory. This is the qualitative property of control systems and is of particular importance in control theory. Many dynamical systems are such that the control does not

A. Shukla (✉) · U. Arora · N. Sukavanam
Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee 247667, India
e-mail: anuragshukla259@gmail.com

U. Arora
e-mail: urvashiaroraiitr@gmail.com

N. Sukavanam
e-mail: nsukavanam@gmail.com

affect the complete state of the dynamical system but only a part of it. On the other hand, very often in real industrial processes it is possible to observe only a certain part of the complete state of the dynamical system. Therefore, it is very important to determine whether or not control of the complete state of the dynamical system is possible. So, here the concept of complete controllability and approximate controllability arises. Roughly speaking, controllability generally means, that it is possible to steer dynamical system from an arbitrary final state using the set of admissible controls. Controllability is also strongly connected with the theory of minimal realization of linear time-invariant control systems.

It is well known that controllability of deterministic equation is widely used in many fields of science and technology. Kalman [1] introduced the concept of controllability for finite dimensional deterministic linear control systems. Then Barnett [2] and Curtain [3] introduced the concepts of deterministic control theory in finite and infinite dimensional spaces. Balachandran [4] and Dauer et al. [5] studied the controllability of nonlinear systems in infinite dimensional spaces. However, in many cases, some kind of randomness can appear in the problem, so that the system should be modelled by a stochastic form. Only few authors have studied the extensions of deterministic controllability concepts to stochastic control systems. Klamka [6] studied the controllability of linear stochastic systems in finite dimensional spaces with delay and without delay in control as well as in state. In [7–11], Mahmudov et al. established results for controllability of linear and semilinear stochastic systems in Hilbert Spaces. Instead of this, Sakthivel et al. [12] studied the approximate controllability of nonlinear stochastic systems. Shen and Sun [13] studied the controllability of stochastic nonlinear systems with delay in control in finite dimensional as well as in infinite dimensional spaces.

Now, in the last few decades, there has been an expanding interest in the problems involving retarded systems. Retarded Systems are the systems having retarded arguments. Many real life problems that have in the past, sometimes been modelled by initial value problems for differential equations actually involve a significant memory effect that can be represented in a more refined model, using a differential equation incorporating retarded or delayed arguments (arguments that lag behind the current value). Therefore it becomes necessary and important to consider retarded systems as these systems have found many applications in mathematical physics, biology and finance.

On the other hand, Byszewski et al. [14] introduced nonlocal conditions into the initial value problems and argued that the corresponding models more accurately describe the phenomena since more information was taken into account at the onset of the experiment, thereby reducing the ill effects incurred by a single initial measurement. Motivated by these facts, our main purpose in this paper is to study the approximate controllability of retarded semilinear stochastic system with nonlocal conditions. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no results on the approximate controllability of retarded semilinear stochastic system with nonlocal conditions as treated in the current paper.

Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ be a complete space equipped with a normal filtration $\mathfrak{F}_t, t \in J = [0, T]$ generated by ω . Let X, U and E be the separable Hilbert spaces and $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ generates a strongly continuous compact semigroup (see [15]) denoted as

$S(t)$. $B : U \rightarrow X$ is a linear continuous operator. Suppose ω be a Q -Weiner process on $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_T, P)$ with the covariance operator Q such that $tr Q < \infty$. We assume that there exists a complete orthonormal system e_n in E , a bounded sequence of nonnegative real numbers λ_n such that $Qe_n = \lambda_n e_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ and a sequence β_n of independent Brownian motions such that

$$w(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_n} \beta_n(t) e_n, \quad e_n \in E, t \in J$$

and $\mathfrak{F}_t = \mathfrak{F}_t^\omega$, where \mathfrak{F}_t^ω is the σ -algebra generated by ω . Let $L_2^0 = L_2(Q^{1/2}E; X)$ be the space of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators from $Q^{1/2}E$ to X . Then the space L_2^0 is a separable Hilbert space equipped with the norm $\|\psi\|_Q^2 = tr[\psi Q \psi^*]$. Let $L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_t, X)$ be the space of \mathfrak{F}_t measurable square integrable random variables with values in the Hilbert space X . Let $L_2^{\mathfrak{F}}(J, X)$ is the space of all \mathfrak{F}_t adapted, X -valued measurable square integrable processes on $J \times \Omega$. Let $C([0, T]; L^2(\mathfrak{F}, X))$ be the Banach space of continuous maps from $[0, T]$ into $L^2(\mathfrak{F}, X)$ satisfying the condition $\sup_{t \in J} \mathbb{E} \|x(t)\|^2 < \infty$.

Let X_2 be the closed subspace of $C([0, T]; L^2(\mathfrak{F}, X))$ consisting of measurable and \mathfrak{F}_t - adapted X valued processes $\phi \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathfrak{F}, X))$ endowed with the norm

$$\|\phi\|_{X_2} = \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \|\phi(t)\|_X^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

In this paper we examine the approximate controllability of the following semi-linear stochastic Retarded system with nonlocal conditions :

$$\left. \begin{aligned} dx(t) &= [Ax(t) + Bu(t) + f(t, x_t)]dt + \sigma(t, x_t)d\omega(t) \text{ for } t \in (0, T] \\ x(t) &= \psi(t), \text{ for } t \in [-h, 0), \quad x(0) = x_0 + g(x). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.1)$$

where the state $x(t) \in L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_t, X)$ and the control $u(t) \in L_2^{\mathfrak{F}}(J, U)$. $x_t \in L^2([-h, 0], X)$ and is defined as $x_t(s) = \{x(t + s) | -h \leq s \leq 0\}$ and $\psi = \{\psi(s) | -h \leq s \leq 0\} \in L^2([-h, 0], X)$. Moreover, the function $f : J \times X \rightarrow X$ is a purely nonlinear function and $\sigma : J \times X \rightarrow L_2^0$ is a nonlinear function and $g(x)$ is a continuous function from $C(J, X) \rightarrow X$.

For simplicity of considerations, we generally assume that the set of admissible controls $U_{ad} = L_2^{\mathfrak{F}}(J, U)$.

2 Preliminaries

It is well known that for given initial conditions, any admissible control $u \in U_{ad}$, for $t \in [-h, T]$ and suitable nonlinear functions $f(t, x(t))$ and $\sigma(t, x(t))$ there exists unique mild solution $x(t; x_0, u) \in L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_t, X)$ of the semilinear stochastic differential state

Eq. (1.1) which can be represented in the following integral form

$$x(t; x_0, u) = \begin{cases} S(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \int_0^t S(t-s)(Bu(s) + f(s, x_s))ds \\ + \int_0^t S(t-s)\sigma(s, x_s)d\omega(s) & \text{for } t \geq 0 \\ \psi(t) & \text{for } t \in [-h, 0) \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Let us introduce the following operators and sets $L_T \in \mathbb{L}(U_{ad}, L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_T, X))$ defined by

$$L_T u = \int_0^T S(T-s)Bu(s)ds$$

where $\mathbb{L}(X, Y)$ denotes the set of bounded linear operators from X to Y .

Then it can be seen that the adjoint operator $L_T^* \in L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_T, X) \rightarrow U_{ad}$ is given by

$$L_T^* z = B^* S^*(T-t)\mathbb{E}\{z|\mathfrak{F}_t\}$$

The set of all states reachable in time T from initial state $x(0) = x_0 \in L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_0, X)$, using admissible controls is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} R_T(U_{ad}) &= \{x(T; x_0, u) \in L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_T, X) : u \in U_{ad}\} \\ \text{where } x(T; x_0, u) &= S(T)(x_0 + g(x)) + \int_0^T S(T-s)Bu(s)ds \\ &+ \int_0^T S(T-s)f(s, x_s)ds + \int_0^T S(T-s)\sigma(s, x_s)d\omega(s) \end{aligned}$$

Let us now we introduce the linear controllability operator $\Pi_0^T \in \mathbb{L}(L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_T, X), L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_T, X))$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_0^T \{.\} &= L_T(L_T)^* \{.\} \\ &= \int_0^T S(T-t)BB^*S^*(T-t)\mathbb{E}\{.\|\mathfrak{F}_t\}dt \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding controllability operator for deterministic model is:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_s^T &= L_T(s)L_T^*(s) \\ &= \int_s^T S(T-t)BB^*S^*(T-t)dt \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.1 The stochastic dynamic system (1.1) is said to be approximately controllable on $[0, T]$ if

$$\overline{R_T(U_{ad})} = L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{S}_T, X)$$

Lemma 1 [16] Let $G : J \times \Omega \rightarrow L_2^0$ be a strongly measurable mapping such that $\int_0^T \mathbb{E} \|G(t)\|_{L_2^0}^p dt < \infty$. Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t G(s) d\omega(s) \right\|^p \leq L_G \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \|G(s)\|^p ds, \tag{2.2}$$

for all $t \in J$ and $p \geq 2$, where L_G is the constant involving p and T .

Lemma 2 Schwartz inequality: Let $\psi_1(x)$ and $\psi_2(x)$ be any two real integrable functions in $[a, b]$ then

$$\left[\int_a^b \psi_1(x) \psi_2(x) dx \right]^2 \leq \int_a^b [\psi_1(x)]^2 dx \int_a^b [\psi_2(x)]^2 dx$$

3 Main result

In this section, it will be shown that the system (1.1) is approximately controllable under appropriate conditions. Some sufficient conditions will be investigated to show how the solutions of (1.1) be steered approximately close to x_T at T . In order to prove our main results, we assume the following hypotheses:

- (i) The functions $f : J \times X \rightarrow X$ and $\sigma : J \times X \rightarrow L_2^0$ satisfy linear growth and Lipschitz conditions. Moreover, there exist positive constants L_1, L_2, L_3 and L_4 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(t, x_t) - f(t, y_t)\|^2 &\leq L_1 \|x_t - y_t\|^2, \\ \|\sigma(t, x_t) - \sigma(t, y_t)\|_{L_2^0}^2 &\leq L_2 \|x_t - y_t\|^2 \\ \|f(t, x_t)\|^2 &\leq L_3(1 + \|x_t\|^2), \quad \|\sigma(t, x_t)\|_{L_2^0}^2 \leq L_4(1 + \|x_t\|^2) \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) The function $g(x)$ is a continuous function and there exists a positive constants L_g such that

$$\|g(x) - g(y)\|^2 \leq L_g \|x - y\|^2, \quad \|g(x)\|^2 \leq L_g(1 + \|x\|^2)$$

for all $x, y \in C(J, X)$

(iii) For each $0 \leq t < T$, the operator $\alpha(\alpha I + \Gamma_s^T)^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ in the strong operator topology as $\alpha \rightarrow 0^+$, where

$$\Gamma_s^T = \int_s^T S(T-t)BB^*S^*(T-t)dt$$

is the controllability Grammian. Observe that the linear deterministic system corresponding to (1.1)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} dx'(t) &= [Ax(t) + Bu(t)]dt, \quad t \in J \\ x(0) &= x_0 \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{3.1}$$

is approximately controllable on $[s, T]$ iff the operator $\alpha(\alpha I + \Gamma_s^T)^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ strongly as $\alpha \rightarrow 0^+$ [7].

Now, for convenience, let us introduce the notation

$$l_1 = \max\{\|S(t)\|^2 : t \in [0, T]\}, \quad l_2 = \|B\|^2$$

$$M = \max\{\|\Gamma_s^T\|^2 : s \in [0, T]\}$$

Let us recall two lemmas concerning approximate controllability, which will be used in the proof. The following lemma is required to define the control function

Lemma 3 For any $x_T \in L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_T, X)$, there exists $\phi \in L_2^{\mathfrak{F}}(J, L_2^0)$ such that $x_T = \mathbb{E}x_T + \int_0^T \tilde{\phi}(s)d\omega(s)$.(see [7])

Now for any $\alpha > 0$ and $x_T \in L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_T, X)$, we define the control function

$$u^\alpha(t, x) = B^*S^*(T-t) \left((\alpha I + \Gamma_0^T)^{-1} (\mathbb{E}x_T - S(T)(x_0 + g(x)) \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^t (\alpha I + \Gamma_s^T)^{-1} \tilde{\phi}(s)d\omega(s) \right) \\ - B^*S^*(T-t) \int_0^t (\alpha I + \Gamma_s^T)^{-1} S(T-s)f(s, x_s)ds \\ - B^*S^*(T-t) \int_0^t (\alpha I + \Gamma_s^T)^{-1} S(T-s)\sigma(s, x_s)d\omega(s) \tag{3.2}$$

Lemma 4 There exists a positive constant M_u such that for all $x, y \in X_2$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\|u^\alpha(t, x) - u^\alpha(t, y)\|^2 \leq \frac{M_u}{\alpha^2} \|x - y\|_{X_2}^2$$

$$\mathbb{E}\|u^\alpha(t, x)\|^2 \leq \frac{M_u}{\alpha^2} \left(1 + \|x\|_{X_2}^2 \right)$$

Proof Let $x, y \in X_2$. From lemma 1, 2 and the assumptions on the data, we obtain $\mathbb{E}\|u^\alpha(t, x) - u^\alpha(t, y)\|^2$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 3\mathbb{E}\|B^*S^*(T-t)(\alpha I + \Gamma_0^T)^{-1}S(T)[g(y) - g(x)]\|^2 \\ &\quad + 3\mathbb{E}\left\|B^*S^*(T-t)\int_0^t(\alpha I + \Gamma_s^T)^{-1}S(T-s)[f(s, x_s) - f(s, y_s)]ds\right\|^2 \\ &\quad + 3\mathbb{E}\left\|B^*S^*(T-t)\int_0^t(\alpha I + \Gamma_s^T)^{-1}S(T-s)[\sigma(s, x_s) - \sigma(s, y_s)]d\omega(s)\right\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{3}{\alpha^2}\|B\|^2l_1^2\left[L_g\|x-y\|_{X_2}^2+t\int_0^tL_1\mathbb{E}\|x_s-y_s\|^2ds+L_\sigma\int_0^tL_2\mathbb{E}\|x_s-y_s\|^2ds\right] \\ &\leq \frac{3}{\alpha^2}\|B\|^2l_1^2\left[L_g\|x-y\|_{X_2}^2+TL_1T(T+h)\|x-y\|_{X_2}^2+L_\sigma L_2T(T+h)\|x-y\|_{X_2}^2\right] \\ &\leq \frac{3}{\alpha^2}l_2l_1^2[L_g+T^2L_1(T+h)+L_\sigma L_2T(T+h)]\|x-y\|_{X_2}^2 \\ &= \frac{M_u}{\alpha^2}\|x-y\|_{X_2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $M_u = 3l_2l_1^2[L_g + T^2L_1(T + h) + L_\sigma L_2T(T + h)]$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t\mathbb{E}\|x_s-y_s\|^2ds &\leq \int_0^T\mathbb{E}\int_{-h}^0\|x(t+s)-y(t+s)\|^2dtds \\ &= \int_0^T\mathbb{E}\int_{s-h}^s\|x(v)-y(v)\|^2dvds \\ &\leq \int_0^T\mathbb{E}\int_{-h}^T\|x(v)-y(v)\|^2dvds \\ &\leq T\left(\int_{-h}^T\mathbb{E}\|x(v)-y(v)\|^2dv\right) \\ &\leq T(T+h)\sup_{v\in[-h,T]}\mathbb{E}\|x(v)-y(v)\|^2 \\ &= T(T+h)\|x-y\|_{X_2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

The proof of second inequality can be verified by putting $u^\alpha(t, y) = 0$. So, the proof of the lemma is completed. \square

For any $\alpha > 0$, define the operator $\mathbf{P}_\alpha : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ by

$$(\mathbf{P}_\alpha x)(t) = \begin{cases} S(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \int_0^t S(t-s)[Bu^\alpha(s, x) + f(s, x_s)]ds \\ \quad + \int_0^t S(t-s)\sigma(s, x_s)d\omega(s) & \text{for } t > 0 \\ \psi(t) & \text{for } t \in [-h, 0) \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

To prove the approximate controllability, we first prove in theorem 3.1, the existence of a fixed point of the operator \mathbf{P}_α as above, using the contraction mapping principle. Then in theorem 3.2, we show that under certain assumptions the approximate controllability of the system (1.1) is implied by the approximate controllability of the corresponding linear system.

Theorem 3.1 *Under the hypothesis (i) – (iii), the system (1.1) has a mild solution on $[0, T]$.*

Proof The proof of this theorem is divided into several steps.

Step 1: For any $x \in X_2$, $\mathbf{P}_\alpha(x)(t)$ is continuous on $[-h, T]$. Let $-h \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$. Using lemmas 1, 2 and the assumptions on the theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \|(\mathbf{P}_\alpha x)(t_2) - (\mathbf{P}_\alpha x)(t_1)\|^2 \\ & \leq 8 \left\{ \mathbb{E} \|\psi(t_2) - \psi(t_1)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|(S(t_2) - S(t_1))(x_0 + g(x))\|^2 \right. \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^{t_1} [S(t_2 - s) - S(t_1 - s)] \times f(s, x_s) ds \right\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} S(t_2 - s) f(s, x_s) ds \right\|^2 \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^{t_1} [S(t_2 - s) - S(t_1 - s)] \sigma(s, x_s) d\omega(s) \right\|^2 \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} S(t_2 - s) \sigma(s, x_s) d\omega(s) \right\|^2 \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^{t_1} [S(t_2 - s) - S(t_1 - s)] Bu^\alpha(s, x) ds \right\|^2 \\ & \quad \left. + \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} S(t_2 - s) Bu^\alpha(s, x) ds \right\|^2 \right\} \\ & \leq 8 \left[\mathbb{E} \|\psi(t_2) - \psi(t_1)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|(S(t_2) - S(t_1))(x_0 + g(x))\|^2 \right. \\ & \quad + t_1 \int_0^{t_1} \mathbb{E} \|[S(t_2 - s) - S(t_1 - s)] \times f(s, x_s)\|^2 ds + l_1(t_2 - t_1) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbb{E} \|f(s, x_s)\|^2 ds \\ & \quad + t_1 \int_0^{t_1} \mathbb{E} \|[S(t_2 - s) - S(t_1 - s)] \sigma(s, x_s) d\omega(s)\|^2 \\ & \quad + l_1(t_2 - t_1) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbb{E} \|\sigma(s, x_s) d\omega(s)\|^2 \\ & \quad + t_1 \int_0^{t_1} \mathbb{E} \|[S(t_2 - s) - S(t_1 - s)] Bu^\alpha(s, x)\|^2 ds \\ & \quad \left. + \|B\|^2 l_1(t_2 - t_1) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbb{E} \|u^\alpha(s, x)\|^2 ds \right] \end{aligned}$$

Hence Using Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that the right hand side of the above inequality tends to zero as $t_2 - t_1 \rightarrow 0$. Thus we conclude that $\mathbf{P}_\alpha(x)(t)$ is continuous from right in $[-h, T)$. A similar argument shows that it is also continuous from left in $(-h, T]$. Thus $\mathbf{P}_\alpha(x)(t)$ is continuous on $[-h, T]$.

Step 2: We show that $\mathbf{P}_\alpha(X_2) \subset X_2$. Let $x \in X_2$. From 3.2 and assumption (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|(\mathbf{P}_\alpha x)\|^2 &\leq \mathbb{E}\left\|\psi(t) + S(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \int_0^t S(t-s)(Bu^\alpha(s, x) + f(s, x_s))ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t S(t-s)\sigma(s, x_s)d\omega(s)\right\|^2 \leq 6\left[\mathbb{E}\|\psi(t)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|S(t)x_0\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{E}\|S(t)g(x)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t S(t-s)Bu^\alpha(s, x)ds\right\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t S(t-s)f(s, x_s)ds\right\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t S(t-s)\sigma(s, x_s)d\omega(s)\right\|^2\right] \\ &\leq 6\left[\|\psi(t)\|^2 + l_1\|x_0\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + l_1L_g(1 + \|x\|_{X_2}^2) + \frac{M_u l_1 l_2 T}{\alpha^2}(1 + \|x\|_{X_2}^2) + l_1 T L_3 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(1 + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|x_s\|^2 ds\right) + L_4 L_\sigma \left(1 + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|x_s\|^2 ds\right)\right] \\ &\leq 6\left[\|\psi(t)\|^2 + l_1\|x_0\|^2 + l_1L_g(1 + \|x\|_{X_2}^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{M_u l_1 l_2 T}{\alpha^2}(1 + \|x\|_{X_2}^2) + l_1 T L_3(1 + T(T + h)\|x\|_{X_2}^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + L_4 L_\sigma(1 + T(T + h)\|x\|_{X_2}^2)\right] \\ &= B_1 + B_2\|x\|_{X_2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $B_1 > 0$ and $B_2 > 0$ are suitable constants. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|x_r\|^2 dr &\leq \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \int_{-h}^0 \|x(r+s)\|^2 ds dr \\ &= \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \int_{r-h}^r \|x(v)\|^2 dv dr \\ &\leq \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \int_{-h}^T \|x(v)\|^2 dv dr \\ &\leq T \left(\int_{-h}^T \mathbb{E}\|x(v)\|^2 dv\right) \\ &\leq T(T+h) \sup_{t \in [-h, T]} \mathbb{E}\|x(t)\|^2 \\ &\leq T(T+h)\|x\|_{X_2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [-h, T]$. Hence $\mathbb{E}\|(\mathbf{P}_\alpha x)(t)\|^2 < \infty$, therefore \mathbf{P}_α maps X_2 into itself.

Step 3: Now we prove that for each fixed $\alpha > 0$, the operator \mathbf{P}_α has a unique fixed point in X_2 . We claim that there exists a natural number n such that \mathbf{P}_α^n is a contraction on X_2 . To see this, let $x \in X_2$ so for $t \in [-h, T]$, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left\| (\mathbf{P}_\alpha x)_t - (\mathbf{P}_\alpha y)_t \right\|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\| S(t)[g(x) - g(y)] + \int_0^t S(t-s)B[u^\alpha(s, x) - u^\alpha(s, y)]ds \right. \\ & \quad + \int_0^t S(t-s)[f(s, x_s) - f(s, y_s)]ds \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^t S(t-s)[\sigma(s, x_s) - \sigma(s, y_s)]d\omega(s) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq 4 \left(l_1 M_g \|x - y\|_{X_2}^2 + \frac{M_u T l_2 l_1}{\alpha^2} \|x - y\|_{X_2}^2 + T l_1 L_1 \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \|x_s - y_s\|^2 ds + l_1 L_2 L_\sigma \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \|x_s - y_s\|^2 ds \right) \\ &\leq 4 \left(l_1 M_g \|x - y\|_{X_2}^2 + \frac{M_u T l_2 l_1}{\alpha^2} \|x - y\|_{X_2}^2 + T l_1 L_1 T(T+h) \|x - y\|_{X_2}^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + l_1 L_2 L_\sigma T(T+h) \|x - y\|_{X_2}^2 \right) \\ &\leq 4 \left(l_1 M_g + \frac{M_u T l_2 l_1}{\alpha^2} + T l_1 L_1 T(T+h) + l_1 L_2 L_\sigma T(T+h) \right) \|x - y\|_{X_2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain a positive real constant $\gamma(\alpha)$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| (\mathbf{P}_\alpha x)_t - (\mathbf{P}_\alpha y)_t \right\|^2 \leq \gamma(\alpha) \|x - y\|_{X_2}^2$$

for all $t \in [-h, T]$ and for any $x, y \in X_2$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{P}_\alpha^2(x)(t) - \mathbf{P}_\alpha^2(y)(t) \right\|^2 &\leq \gamma(\alpha) \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{P}_\alpha(x)(s) - \mathbf{P}_\alpha(y)(s) \right\|^2 ds \\ &\leq \gamma(\alpha) \int_0^t \gamma(\alpha) \mathbb{E} \|x - y\|^2 ds \\ &= \gamma^2(\alpha) t \|x - y\|_{X_2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Using Mathematical Induction, one can get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{P}_\alpha^n(x)(t) - \mathbf{P}_\alpha^n(y)(t) \right\|^2 &\leq \gamma(\alpha) \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{P}_\alpha^{n-1}(x)(s) - \mathbf{P}_\alpha^{n-1}(y)(s) \right\|^2 ds \\ &\leq \frac{(t^{n-1})(\gamma(\alpha))^n}{(n-1)!} \|x - y\|_{X_2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

In general,

$$\|\mathbf{P}_\alpha^n(x)(t) - \mathbf{P}_\alpha^n(y)(t)\|_{X_2}^2 \leq \frac{(T^{n-1})(\gamma(\alpha))^n}{(n-1)!} \|x - y\|_{X_2}^2$$

For any fixed $\alpha > 0$, there exists n such that $\frac{(T^{n-1})(\gamma(\alpha))^n}{(n-1)!} < 1$. It follows that \mathbf{P}_α^n is a contraction mapping for sufficiently large n . Then, by the contraction principle the operator \mathbf{P}_α has a unique fixed point x_α in X_2 , which is the mild solution of (1.1). \square

Theorem 3.2 *If the assumptions (i) – (iii) are satisfied, $\{S(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is compact and f, σ are uniformly bounded, then the system (1.1) is approximately controllable on $[-h, T]$.*

Proof Let x_α be a fixed point in P_α in X_2 . By using the stochastic Fubini theorem, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} x^\alpha(T) &= x_T - \alpha(\alpha I + \Gamma_0^T)^{-1} \left(\mathbb{E}x_T - S(T)(x_0 + g(x)) \right) \\ &\quad + \alpha \int_0^T (\alpha I + \Gamma_s^T)^{-1} S(T-s) f(s, x_s^\alpha) ds \\ &\quad + \alpha \int_0^T (\alpha I + \Gamma_s^T)^{-1} S(T-s) \sigma(s, x_s^\alpha) d\omega(s) \\ &\quad - \alpha \int_0^T (\alpha I + \Gamma_s^T)^{-1} \tilde{\phi}(s) d\omega(s) \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption that f and σ are uniformly bounded, there exists $D > 0$ such that

$$\|f(s, x_s^\alpha)\|^2 + \|\sigma(s, x_s^\alpha)\|^2 \leq D$$

Then there is a subsequence denoted by $\{f(s, x_s^\alpha), \sigma(s, x_s^\alpha)\}$ weakly converging to say $\{f(s, w), \sigma(s, w)\}$ in $X \times L^0_2$. Now the compactness of $S(t)$ implies $S(T-s) f(s, x_s^\alpha) \rightarrow S(T-s) f(s), S(T-s) \sigma(s, x_s^\alpha) \rightarrow S(T-s) \sigma(s)$ in $J \times \Omega$.

From the above equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|x^\alpha(T) - x_T\|^2 &\leq 6\|\alpha(\alpha I + \Gamma_0^T)^{-1}\|^2 \|\mathbb{E}x_T - S(T)(x_0 + g(x))\|^2 \\ &\quad + 6\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \|\alpha(\alpha I + \Gamma_s^T)^{-1} \tilde{\phi}(s)\|^2 ds \right) \\ &\quad + 6\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \|\alpha(\alpha I + \Gamma_s^T)^{-1}\| \|S(T-s)[f(s, x_s^\alpha) - f(s)]\| ds \right)^2 \\ &\quad + 6\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \|\alpha(\alpha I + \Gamma_s^T)^{-1} S(T-s) f(s)\| ds \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ 6\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \|\alpha(\alpha I + \Gamma_s^T)^{-1}\|^2 \|S(T-s)[\sigma(r, x_s^\alpha) - \sigma(s)]\|^2 ds \right) \\
 &+ 6\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \left\| \alpha(\alpha I + \Gamma_s^T)^{-1} S(T-s)\sigma(s) \right\|^2 ds \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since by definition of Γ_s^T , for all $0 \leq s < T$ the operator $\alpha(\alpha I + \Gamma_s^T)^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0^+$ and moreover $\|\alpha(\alpha I + \Gamma_s^T)^{-1}\| \leq 1$. Thus by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain $\mathbb{E}\|x^\alpha(T) - x_T\|^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0^+$. This gives the approximate controllability. \square

Remark 3.1 If we consider the time varying semilinear retarded stochastic differential equation in finite dimensional spaces with nonlocal conditions of the form

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 dx(t) &= [A(t)x(t) + B(t)u(t) + f(t, x_t)]dt + \sigma(t, x_t)d\omega(t) \text{ for } t \in (0, T] \\
 x(t) &= \psi(t) \text{ for } t \in [-h, 0), \quad x(0) = x_0 + g(x).
 \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{3.4}$$

where $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ are the matrices of $n \times n$ and $n \times m$ respectively, and f, σ and g are defined as previously. The solution of the above equation is

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t; x_0, u) &= \phi(t, t_0)x_0 + \int_0^t \phi(t, s)B(s)u(s)ds \\
 &+ \int_0^t \phi(t, s)f(s, x_s)ds + \int_0^t \phi(t, s)\sigma(s, x_s)d\omega(s)
 \end{aligned}$$

If the functions f, σ and g satisfy the conditions (i) and (ii) and the corresponding linear system is approximately controllable, then by suitably applying the above theorem, one can show that the system (3.4) is approximately controllable.

4 Examples

Example 1 Consider the retarded stochastic heat equation with nonlocal conditions

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 dz(t, \theta) &= [z_{\theta\theta} + Bu(t, \theta) + p(t, z_t)]dt + k(t, z_t)d\omega(t) \\
 z(t, 0) = z(t, \pi) &= 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad 0 < \theta < \pi \\
 z(t, \theta) = \psi(\theta) & \quad -h \leq t < 0, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi \\
 z(0, \theta) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i z(t_i, \theta) &= z_0(\theta) \quad t \in J
 \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{4.1}$$

where B is a bounded linear operator from a Hilbert space U into X , $x_t \in L^2([-h, 0], X)$ and is defined as $x_t(s) = \{x(t+s) \mid -h \leq s \leq 0\}$ and $\psi = \{\psi(s) \mid -h \leq s \leq 0\} \in L^2([-h, 0], X)$. and $p : J \times X \rightarrow X, k : J \times X \rightarrow L^0_2$ are all continuous and uniformly bounded, $u(t)$ is a feedback control and w is a Q -Wiener process.

Let $X = L_2[0, \pi]$, and let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be an operator defined by

$$Az = z_{\theta\theta}$$

with domain

$$D(A) = \{z(\cdot) \in X | z, z_{\theta} \text{ are absolutely continuous, } z_{\theta\theta} \in X, z(0) = z(\pi) = 0\}$$

Furthermore, A has discrete spectrum, the eigen values are $-n^2, n = 1, 2, \dots$ with the corresponding normalized characteristic vectors $e_n(s) = (2/\pi)^{1/2} \sin ns$, then

$$Az = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} -n^2 \langle z, e_n \rangle e_n, \quad z \in X$$

It is known that A generates a compact semigroup $S(t), t > 0$ in X and is given by

$$S(t)z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 t} \langle z, e_n \rangle e_n(\theta), \quad z \in X$$

Let $f : J \times X \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$f(t, x_t)(\theta) = p(t, x_t(\theta)), \quad (t, x_t) \in J \times X, \theta \in [0, \pi].$$

Let $\sigma : J \times X \rightarrow L_0^2$ be defined by

$$\sigma(t, x_t)(\theta) = k(t, x_t(\theta)), \quad (t, x_t) \in J \times X, \theta \in [0, \pi].$$

The function $g : C(J, X) \rightarrow X$ is defined as

$$g(z)(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i z(t_i, \theta)$$

for $0 < t_i < T$ and $\theta \in [0, \pi]$.

With this choice of A, B, f, σ and g , (1.1) is the abstract formulation of (4.1) such that the conditions in (i) and (ii) are satisfied.

Now define an infinite-dimensional space

$$U = \left\{ u : u = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_n e_n(\theta) \mid \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_n^2 < \infty \right\}$$

with the norm defined by

$$\|u\|_U = \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_n^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

and a linear continuous mapping B from $U \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$Bu = 2u_2e_1(\theta) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_n(t)e_n(\theta)$$

It is obvious that for $u(t, \theta, \omega) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_n(t, \omega)e_n(\theta) \in L_2^{\mathfrak{F}}(J, U)$

$$Bu(t) = 2u_2(t)e_1(\theta) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_n(t)e_n(\theta) \in L_2^{\mathfrak{F}}(J, X).$$

Moreover

$$B^*v = (2v_1 + v_2)e_2(\theta) + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} v_n e_n(\theta),$$

$$B^*S^*(t)z = (2z_1e^{-t} + z_2e^{-4t})e_2(\theta) + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} z_n e^{-n^2t} e_n(\theta),$$

for $v = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n e_n(\theta)$ and $z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n e_n(\theta)$.

Let $\|B^*S^*(t)z\| = 0, \quad t \in [0, T]$, it follows that

$$\|2z_1e^{-t} + z_2e^{-4t}\|^2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \|z_n e^{-n^2t}\|^2 = 0, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

$\Rightarrow z_n = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \Rightarrow z = 0$

Thus by theorem 4.1.7 of [3], the deterministic linear system corresponding to (4.1) is approximately controllable on $[0, T]$. Therefore the system (4.1) is approximately controllable provided that f, σ and g satisfy the assumptions (i) and (ii).

Example 2 Consider a two-dimensional retarded semi-linear stochastic system

$$\left. \begin{aligned} dx(t) &= [Ax(t) + Bu(t) + f(t, x_t)]dt + \sigma(t, x_t)d\omega(t) \text{ for } t \in (0, T] \\ x(t) &= \psi(t) \text{ for } t \in [-h, 0), \quad x(0) = x_0 + g(x). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4.2)$$

where $\omega(t)$ is a one dimensional Wiener process, $x = (x_1, x_2) \in R^2$ and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1.2 & -0.2 \\ 0.6 & 2.4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$f(t, x_t) = \frac{1}{a} \begin{bmatrix} \sin x_t \\ x_t \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma(t, x_t) = \frac{1}{b} \begin{bmatrix} x_t & 0 \\ 0 & \cos x_t \end{bmatrix},$$

The controllability matrix can be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_0^T &= \int_0^T \exp(A(T-s))BB^*\exp(A^*(T-s))ds \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.74 - 0.74e^{-2T} & 0.24T \\ 0.24T & -0.36 + 0.36e^{2T} \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

which is nonsingular for $T > 0$.

If we take Euclidean norm then

$$\|f(t, x_t) - f(t, y_t)\|^2 \leq \frac{2}{a^2}\|x_t - y_t\|^2, \quad \|\sigma(t, x_t) - \sigma(t, y_t)\|^2 \leq \frac{2}{b^2}\|x_t - y_t\|^2$$

Let $L_1 = \frac{2}{a^2}$, and $L_2 = \frac{2}{b^2}$. Now, one can easily see that the assumption (i) is satisfied by f and σ . Also assumption (iii) is satisfied as described above. So, it can be easily verified from theorem (3.1),(3.2) that the system (4.2) is approximately controllable provided the assumption (ii) is also satisfied.

References

1. Kalman, R.E.: Mathematical description of linear dynamical systems. J. SIAM Control Ser. A **1**, 152–192 (1963)
2. Barnett, S.: Introduction to Mathematical Control Theory. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1975)
3. Curtain, R.F., Zwart, H.: An Introduction to Infinite-Dimensional Linear Systems Theory, Texts in Applied Mathematics, vol. 21. Springer, New York (1995)
4. Balachandran, K., Dauer, J.P.: Controllability of nonlinear systems in Banach spaces: a survey. J. Optim. Theory Appl. **115**(1), 7–28 (2002)
5. Dauer, J.P., Mahmudov, N.I.: Approximate controllability of semilinear function equations in Hilbert spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **273**, 310–327 (2002)
6. Klamka, J.: Stochastic controllability of linear systems with delay in control. Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. **55**(1), 2007 (2007)
7. Mahmudov, N.I.: Controllability of linear stochastic systems. IEEE Trans. Automat. Control **46**(5), 724–731 (2001)
8. Mahmudov, N.I.: Approximate controllability of semilinear deterministic and stochastic evolution equations in abstract spaces. SIAM J. Control Optim. **42**(5), 1604–1622 (2003)
9. Mahmudov, N.I., Zorlu, S.: Controllability of non-linear stochastic systems. Int. J. Control **76**(2), 95–104 (2003)
10. Mahmudov, N.I.: Controllability of linear stochastic systems in Hilbert spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **259**(1), 64–82 (2001)
11. Mahmudov, N.I., Şemi, N.: Approximate controllability of semilinear control systems in Hilbert spaces. TWMS J. Appl. Eng. Math. **2**(1), 67–74 (2012)
12. Sakthivel, R., Kim, J.-H., Mahmudov, N.I.: On controllability of nonlinear stochastic systems. Rep. Math. Phys. **58**(3), 433–443 (2006)
13. Shen, L., Sun, J.: Relative controllability of stochastic nonlinear systems with delay in control. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **13**(6), 2880–2887 (2012)
14. Byszewski, L., Lakshmikantham, V.: Theorem about the existence and uniqueness of a solution of a nonlocal abstract Cauchy problem in a Banach space. Appl. Anal. **40**(1), 11–19 (1991)
15. Pazy, A.: Semigroup of Linear Operators and Application to Partial Differential Equations. Springer Verlag, New York (1983)
16. Da Prato, G., Zabczyk, J.: Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 44. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992)