# Metal Determination in Tea, Wheat, and Wheat Flour Using Diluted Nitric Acid, High-Efficiency Nebulizer, and Axially Viewed ICP OES

Camila Alves Martins · Camila Cerveira · Guilherme Luiz Scheffler · Dirce Pozebon

Received: 16 August 2014/Accepted: 5 November 2014/Published online: 18 November 2014 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014

Abstract This study deals with the determination of Cu, Al, Cd, Mo, Pb, V, Sr, Ni, Cr, Co, Zn, Mn, and Fe in tea, wheat grain, and wheat flour by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP OES) with axially viewed plasma. Ultrasonic nebulization (USN) or pneumatic nebulization with aerosol desolvation (PN/DES) is used to introduce the sample solution into the ICP. Solutions of nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>) with different concentrations of the acid (4.6 to 9.1 mol  $L^{-1}$ ) and hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  were investigated for sample decomposition in closed vessel (made of TFMpolytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)) under microwave irradiation. Certified reference materials of tea, wheat flour, or bush branches and leaves were analyzed (0.5 g of sample in the presence of 2 mL H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+3 mL HNO<sub>3</sub>+2 mL H<sub>2</sub>O). Element concentrations found were in accordance with those certified in most cases, excepting for Al and V. Plasma-related matrix effects were not observed as denoted by the signal of the Ar 420.069-nm emission line. The analyte recoveries in spiked chamomile tea and wheat flour samples ranged from 87 to 107 %. By using USN, the limits of quantification (LOQs) of Cu, Al, Cd, Mo, Pb, V, Sr, Ni, Cr, Co, Zn, Mn, and Fe were 0.033, 0.006, 0.023, 0.33, 2.0, 0.33, 0.001, 0.33, 0.07, 0.033, 0.07, 0.001, and 0.02  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Quite similar LOQs were obtained for both nebulizers employed, with the exception of Pb; the LOQ of Pb was three times lower by using PN/ DES. Commercialized tea, wheat grain, and wheat flour were analyzed, and the concentrations found were reported.

Keywords ICP OES  $\cdot$  Metal determination  $\cdot$  Tea, wheat, and wheat flour  $\cdot$  Ultrasonic and pneumatic nebulization/aerosol desolvation  $\cdot$  Diluted nitric acid

# Introduction

Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP OES) and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) are usually employed for metal and metalloid determination in food and vegetable due to the wide linear response, low limits of detection (LODs), multielemental capability, fast analysis, good precision, and accuracy conferred by both techniques (Moreda-Piñeiro et al. 2005; Basgel and Erdemoğlu 2006; Gomez et al. 2007; Nardi et al. 2009; Altintig et al. 2014; Scheffler et al. 2014). Several toxic or nutrient elements are present in low concentration in food and vegetable. Thus, high efficiency nebulizers such as ultrasonic nebulization (USN) or pneumatic nebulization with desolvation system (PN/DES) can be employed as sample introduction systems in order to improve the LODs, mainly for ICP OES (Petry et al. 2005; Bentlin and Pozebon 2010; Scheffler and Pozebon 2013).

Determination of nutrient and toxic elements in tea has been conducted for checking element availability, quality control, or origin identification (Mierzwa et al. 1998; Fernández-Cáceres et al. 2001; Fernández et al. 2002; Nookabkaew et al. 2006; Gomez et al. 2007; Soylak et al. 2007; Ashraf and Mian 2008; Chen et al. 2009; Salahinejad and Aflaki 2010; Tokalioğlu 2012; Szymczycha-Madeja et al. 2012). As a rule, such determination has been carried out by means of ICP OES, ICP-MS, flame atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS), and graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (GFAAS), as reviewed by (Szymczycha-Madeja et al. 2012). These techniques have also been used for analysis of wheat flour in order to evaluate the concentration of nutrient and toxic elements and for wheat flour classification. Vrček and Vrček (2012) investigated toxic and essential elements in wheat flour from organic or conventionally cultivated wheat. Samples were submitted to microwave-assisted acid decomposition prior

C. A. Martins · C. Cerveira · G. L. Scheffler · D. Pozebon (⊠) Instituto de Química, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, PO Box 15003, CEP 91501-970 Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil e-mail: dircepoz@iq.ufrgs.br

analyte detection by ICP-MS. They observed differences among the wheat flour samples and suggested that toxic elements would be higher in the wheat conventionally cultivated. Araujo et al. (2008) determined Ca, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, P, and Zn in wheat flour by ICP OES in order to classify the wheat flour consumed in Brazil. Multivariate analysis of the data provided useful information on the similarity among the samples, which could be divided in three groups.

Hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), nitric (HNO<sub>3</sub>), hydrochloric (HCl), perchloric (HClO<sub>4</sub>), and hydrofluoric acid (HF) in open vessel and heating in hot plate/metallic block or in closed vessel in microwave oven have been used for tea and wheat flour decomposition. When the digestion has been conducted in closed vessel and heating in microwave oven, only HNO<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> have been used in most cases. The main advantages of using HNO<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in comparison to HCl and HClO<sub>4</sub> are the lower spectroscopic and/or matrix interference caused by both reagents in the determination of the analyte, mainly when ICP OES and ICP-MS are employed. Another advantage related with the use of HNO<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is the efficient sample decomposition in closed vessel under microwave irradiation, which reduces the risk of contamination and loss of volatile elements when compared to decomposition in open vessel. Usually, less than 10 mL of HNO<sub>3</sub> is used for sample decomposition in closed vessel. However, even more acid may be necessary when the decomposition is conducted in open vessel and heating on hot plate or metallic block.

In order to reduce the amount of HNO<sub>3</sub> used for sample decomposition in closed vessel under microwave irradiation, solutions of the acid instead of concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub> have been investigated and proposed (Bizzi et al. 2011a, b, 2014). The oxidation efficiency of the HNO<sub>3</sub> solution depends on the reactions that occur in the gas phase and in the presence of O<sub>2</sub>. These reactions involve nitrogen species generated during the oxidation of organic matter by O<sub>2</sub>, producing HNO<sub>3</sub>. Thus, regeneration of HNO3 occurs, and smaller quantities of the acid are then sufficient for the sample decomposition (Castro et al. 2009). Provided that there is sufficient amount of  $O_2$  in the sample decomposition flask, the amount of HNO<sub>3</sub> needed for the decomposition is reduced, as observed by Bizzi et al. (2011a, b) when they introduced  $O_2$  in the sample decomposition flask. However, it has also been observed that the HNO<sub>3</sub> oxidation efficiency in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was similar to that observed for  $O_2$  (Bizzi et al. 2014) when whole milk powder and bovine liver were analyzed. Therefore, the former procedure can be used, which is simpler and more easily conducted because it demands simpler instrumentation.

In the present work, diluted  $HNO_3$  in the presence  $H_2O_2$  is investigated for tea, wheat, and wheat flour decomposition in closed flask in microwave oven. Ultrasonic nebulization and PN/DES are employed for introducing the sample solution into the ICP in order to improve the limits of quantification (LOQs). Matrix effect and the residual carbon content in the sample solution are also investigated. The main features of the method are (i) evaluation of diluted HNO<sub>3</sub> for tea and wheat flour decomposition, (ii) comparison of the USN and PN/DES nebulizer performances with respect to sensitivity and accuracy for the investigated elements in tea and wheat flour, and (iii) evaluation of matrix effects.

# Experimental

#### Instrumentation

An Optima 2000DV ICP OES spectrometer (PerkinElmer, MA, USA) was used for elemental analysis. Operating conditions, accessories, and spectral lines monitored are summarized in Table 1. Argon (purity of 99.998 %, White Martins/ Praxair, Brazil) was used as plasma gas, auxiliary, and nebulizer gas. Nitrogen (purity of 99.996 %, White Martins/ Praxair, Brazil) was used as purging gas in the optical system of the spectrometer. A microwave oven (Berghof, Speedwave four, Germany) was employed to assist the sample decomposition. The microwave oven was equipped with 12 vessels (TFM-polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)) with a capacity of 60 mL, supporting 40 bars and 230 °C. The maximum power allowed is 1450 W.

Materials, Reagents, and Calibration Solutions

Water purified in a Milli-Q system (Millipore, USA), with conductivity of 18.2  $\Omega$ M cm<sup>-1</sup> was used throughout the work. Nitric acid and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> of suprapure grade were from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Calibration solutions (0.1 to 100 µg L<sup>-1</sup>) were prepared fresh daily by serial dilution of a 10 mg L<sup>-1</sup> stock solution (SCP33MS, SCP Science, Quebec, Canada). The calibration solutions were prepared in 5 % (*v*/*v*) HNO<sub>3</sub> or 15 % (*v*/*v*) HNO<sub>3</sub>. External calibration was used in the determination of all investigated elements.

# Samples

Certified bush branches and leaves (NIM-GBW07602, NACIS, China), tea (NIM-GBW08505, NACIS, China), and wheat flour (SRM1567a, NIST, USA) were analyzed for method evaluation. The method was applied for analysis of commercialized tea (chamomile, boldo (*Peumus boldus*), spearmint (*Mentha spicata*), orange plus lotus flower green tea, white tea (*Camellia sinensis*), and black tea), wheat flour, wheat grain, and milled/sieved wheat grain. All samples were purchased in local markets. The wheat grain sample was ground in an agate mortar, sieved in a home-made nylon sieve (particles size <120  $\mu$ m), and then stored in polypropylene

Parameter and accessories	Setting
Plasma power	1300 W
Argon flow rate (L $\min^{-1}$ )	Plasma, 15; auxiliary, 0.2; nebulizer, 0.5 for US and 0.6 for PN/DES
Purging gas (mL min <sup>-1</sup> )	2.0 (N <sub>2</sub> )
Sample flow rate (mL min <sup>-1</sup> )	0.8 for PN/DES and 2.5 for US
Spray chamber	Cyclonic (Glass Expansion)
Pneumatic nebulizer	APEX-Q (ESI); 140 °C (heating); 2 °C (cooling)
Ultrasonic nebulizer	US 5000 AT+(CETAC); 140 °C (heating); 3 °C (cooling)
Injector tube	Alumina (2 mm i.d.)
Plasma view	Axial
Spectral lines (nm)	Cu(I) 327.393; Al(I) 396.153; Cd(II) 228.802; Mo(I) 202.031; Pb(II) 220.353; V(II) 292.464; Sr(II) 407.771; Ni(II) 231.604; Cr(II) 267.716; Co(II) 228.616; Zn(II) 206.200; Mn(II) 257.610; Fe(II) 238.204; Ar(I) 420.069; Ar(I) 363.268; C(I) 193.030; C(I) 247.856
Integration time, signal processing	1-5 s (auto), peak area (5 points/peak, 3 for Cd)

Table 1 Instrumental parameters, spectral lines, and accessories employed for ICP OES

vial. The tea was removed from its respective sachet and then analyzed. All samples were analyzed in triplicate accompanied by three replicates of the blank.

# Sample Preparation

About 0.5 of sample was exactly weighed and transferred to the TMF-PTFE flask accompanying the microwave oven employed in the present study. Subsequently, HNO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and water (see Table 3) were added to the sample, the flask closed and placed in the rotor that was then transferred to the microwave oven. Procedure 1 given in Table 3 was selected for sample analysis. All samples were decomposed according to the program given in Table 2, which is suggested by the microwave oven manufacturer. After cooling to room temperature, the flask was opened and the sample solution transferred to a polypropylene vial where the sample solution volume was elevated to 15 mL by water addition. An infusion of chamomile tea was also prepared; 30 mL of boiling water was added to 0.5 g of sample and left in contact for 20 min. Next, the mixture was filtered (in a Whatman paper-fast filtration), and 1.5 mL of HNO<sub>3</sub> was added to the filtrate whose volume was completed to 30 mL by adding water.

 Table 2
 Microwave oven program applied for sample decomposition

Temperature (°C)	Pressure (bar)	Heating ramp (min)	Time (min)	Power (%)
170	38	5	2	80
190	38	5	2	90
50	38	5	2	0
50	0	3	15	0
50	0	0	0	0

#### **Results and Discussion**

Operating Conditions for USN

Ultrasonic nebulization improves sensitivity by an order of magnitude in comparison to conventional pneumatic nebulization. In the ultrasonic (US) nebulizer, the solution is pumped onto a piezoelectric transducer membrane surface, which is coupled to an oscillator that operates at the ultrasound frequency. The liquid breaks up into a very fine droplet aerosol on the oscillator, with a strict narrow droplet size distribution (the diameter of the particles is about 10 µm or lower). In the US, the aerosol is heated (about 140 °C) and subsequently condensed (about 3 °C). These processes increase the sample transport and give rise to a partially dried aerosol, which reduces plasma overloading and noise. It was observed that the sensitivity was little increased by increasing the temperature to 160 °C (the maximum allowed by the US nebulizer employed). However, matrix effects have also increased, as observed by the worse precision and accuracy. On the other hand, excessive cooling (temperature lower than 3 °C) impacted plasma robustness negatively, due to excessive water removal; water releases oxygen and hydrogen atomic species in the ICP where they are responsible for energy transfer. Thus, the heating and cooling temperatures (140 and 3 °C, respectively) recommended by the US nebulizer manufacturer were used in the present work.

Figure 1a shows the influence of the plasma power on the analyte signal (Mn(II)), plasma robustness (Mg(II)/Mg(I)), and plasma-related matrix effects (Ar(I) signal). It is observed in Fig. 1a that the ratio Mg(II)/Mg(I) remains almost constant from 1200 to 1500 W. Meanwhile, small signal variation is observed for Mn(II), Ar(I), Mg(I), and Mg(II) at plasma power higher than 1300 W. Thus, the plasma power was fixed in 1300 W for further measurements. Figure 1b illustrates the



**Fig. 1** Effect of plasma power and nebulizer gas flow rate on the analyte and argon signals and plasma robustness. Ultrasonic nebulization was used to introduce the sample (solution of decomposed chamomile tea) in the ICP

effects of the nebulizer gas flow rate. It can be seen that the plasma robustness (indicated by the ratio Mg(II)/Mg(I)) and the analyte Mn(II) signal decrease sharply when the nebulizer gas flow rate is greater than 0.5 L min<sup>-1</sup>. The ratio Mg(II)/ Mg(I) indicates how efficient is the energy transfer in the ICP. If the ratio is  $\geq$ 8.0, the ICP is considered robust, having more capability to support matrix effects. It is interesting to note the existence of a crossing point among the signal profiles of Mg(II) and Mg(I) at  $0.8 \text{ Lmin}^{-1}$ , which means that ionization is predominant at low nebulizer gas flow rate. The intensity of Ar(I) decreases with the nebulizer gas flow rate increase, demonstrating that excitation conditions are worsened in the ICP. In order to obtain better sensitivity and plasma robustness, the nebulizer gas flow rate was fixed at 0.5 or 0.6 L min<sup>-1</sup>, depending on the emission signal observed for each element.

# Sample Decomposition

Typically, concentrated  $HNO_3$  and  $H_2O_2$  are used for decomposition of samples with organic matrix. It has been demonstrated that even diluted  $HNO_3$  was effective for decomposition of olive leaves, apple leaves, peach leaves, and pine

needles, bovine liver, and whole milk powder (Nóbrega et al. 2012 and references cited therein; Bizzi et al. 2014). In the present work, six solutions of  $HNO_3 + H_2O_2$  (see Table 3) were evaluated for tea and wheat flour decomposition. According to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) at confidence level of 95 %, for almost investigated elements, the respective concentration found in the samples treated with different amounts of the reagents was similar. The differences found can be seen in Fig. 2. According to Fig. 2a, the Mo, V, Cr, and Co concentrations found in tea are lower for procedure 4, whereas Al and Zn are for procedure 2. One can note that the concentrations of Mo and Co are also lower in wheat flour (Fig. 3b) treated according to procedure 4. When this sample was treated according to procedure 2, the concentration of Cr and Cd was lower. The concentration of Cd was also lower when the wheat flour was treated according to procedure 6. These results denote the influence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. By comparing procedures 1 and 2, more water and less HNO<sub>3</sub> are used in procedure 1 and the same amount of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is used in both procedures. However, the Zn and Al concentrations found in tea were lower for procedure 2. With respect to procedure 4, the amount HNO<sub>3</sub>+H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> seems to be insufficient for total extraction/solubilization of Mo, V, Cr, and Co in tea and Cr, Cd, and Co in wheat flour. The same can be inferred for Cd in wheat flour treated according to procedure 6. By considering the results obtained and the lower amount of reagent employed, procedure 1 was selected and used in the subsequent determinations. Procedure 1 is compared in Table 4 to others reported, highlighting the lower amount of HNO<sub>3</sub> used in the present work. The amount of HNO3 could be reduced even more by pressurizing with O2 the reaction medium (Bizzi et al. 2011a). However, a special flask is necessary in this case.

The carbon signal in the tea sample solutions did not differ markedly (Fig. 3a). Nevertheless, the lowest carbon emission signal was observed when more  $H_2O_2$  was added (procedure 5)- $H_2O_2$  generates high-energy free radicals in situ, which are quite effective in oxidizing the organic matter. In Fig. 3a, one can also note that the carbon intensity was higher when the sample tea was decomposed following procedures 2 and 3, in which more HNO<sub>3</sub> was used. However, less  $H_2O_2$  was used in

 Table 3
 Volume of reagents in the solutions investigated for sample decomposition

Procedure	H <sub>2</sub> O (mL)	HNO <sub>3</sub> (mL)	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> (mL)	HNO <sub>3</sub> in the mixture (mol $L^{-1}$ )
1	2	3	2	6.9
2	1	4	2	9.1
3	0	4	3	9.1
4	2	2	3	4.6
5	1	2	4	4.6
6	1	3	3	6.9



Fig. 2 Element concentration in chamomile tea (a) and wheat flour (b) decomposed according to procedures 1 to 6 given in Table 3. *V* was not detected in the wheat flour sample. Ultrasonic nebulization was employed for introducing the sample solution in the ICP





procedure 2, whereas water was not in procedure 3. These results emphasize that  $H_2O_2$  is really necessary and that  $H_2O$  also influences in the organic matter oxidation.

The signal of argon is a simple/reliable way to check shifts of the ICP equilibrium. To access possible plasma-related

matrix effects, two emission lines of Ar were monitored (Fig. 3b). For all procedures, the residual sample matrix had the same influence on the ICP, since the Ar emission signal was similar for all solutions of the tea sample.

Table 4	Procedures used	d for tea, leaves,	herbs, and v	wheat flour of	decomposition
---------	-----------------	--------------------	--------------	----------------	---------------

Sample/mass	Reagents and decomposition procedure	Analyte	Technique	Reference
Tea leaves/5 g	Ashing at 500 °C for 6 h, followed by dissolution with 2 mL of 6 mol $L^{-1}$ HCl	Al, B, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Na, P, Pb, Zn	ICP OES	Chen et al. (2009)
Medicinal herbs/2 g	Treatment with 10 mL HNO <sub>3</sub> in PTFE vessel; solution evaporation to dryness followed by fuming with HClO <sub>4</sub> and HF	Cd, Co, Pb, Al, Cr, Fe, V	GFAAS; ICP OES	Gomez et al. (2007)
Green, black, and instant teas/0.5 g	Treatment with 10 mL HNO <sub>3</sub> in open vessel on sand bath for 1 h, followed by addition of 1 mL of HClO <sub>4</sub> and heating for 1 h more	Al, Ba, Ca, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Na, Sr, Ti, Zn	ICP OES	Fernández-Cáceres et al. (2001)
Black tea/0.5 g	Treatment with 10 mL HNO <sub>3</sub> in open vessel on sand bath for 1 h, followed by addition of 1 mL of HClO <sub>4</sub> and heating for 1 h more	Fe, Cu, Zn, Mn, Cr, Pb, Ni, Cd, Co	ICP OES	(Ashraf and Mian 2008)
Wheat flour/0.5 g	10 mL of $HNO_3$ +0.5 mL of $H_2O_2$ in open vessel and heating in hot plate	Ca, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, P, Zn	ICP OES	Araujo et al. (2008)
Tea leaves/0.3 g	Addition of 7.5+2.5+1 mL (HNO <sub>3</sub> +HCl+HF) in closed vessel and heating in microwave oven	Ba, Cu, Fe, Pb, Zn	ICP OES	Mierzwa et al. (1998)
Popular herbal tea products/0.25 g	2 mL $H_2O_2$ +6.0 mL of $HNO_3$ in closed vessel and heating in microwave oven	Mg, Al, Ca, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Se, Sr, Sb, Ba, As, Cd, Hg, Pb	ICP-MS	Nookabkaew et al. (2006)
Black tea/1 g	5 mL of HNO <sub>3</sub> and the mixture kept standing overnight at room temperature, followed by heating on a hot plate (80 °C for 30 min), cooling down, addition of 1 mL HNO <sub>3</sub> +4 ml HClO <sub>4</sub> , and heating for 1 h at 130 °C	Al, As, Pb, Cr, Cd, Ni, Fe, Zn, Cu, Mn, Ca, Mg	ICP OES	Salahinejad and Aflaki (2010)
Medicinal herbs/ 0.2 g	5 mL $HNO_3+3$ mL $H_2O_2$ and the mixture left standing for 20 min, followed by heating in closed vessel in microwave oven	Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Rb, Sr, Pb	ICP-MS	Tokalioğlu (2012)
Tea/0.2 g	2 mL H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> +6.0 mL of HNO <sub>3</sub> in closed vessel and heating in microwave oven	Cu, Zn, Ni	FAAS	Soylak et al. (2007)
Organic wheat flour/0.5 g	5 mL of HNO <sub>3</sub> in closed quartz vessel and heating in microwave oven	As, Cd, Cr, Fe, Mg, Mo, Ni, V, Al, As, Cd, Pb	ICP-MS	Vrček and Vrček (2012)
Botanical material/0.5 g	6 mL 3 mol $L^{-1}$ HNO <sub>3</sub> in closed quartz vessel pressurized (5 bars) with O <sub>2</sub> and heating in microwave oven	Al, Ca, K, Fe, Mg, Na	ICP OES	Bizzi et al. 2011a
Tea and wheat flour/0.5 g	3 mL HNO <sub>3</sub> +2 mL H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> in closed vessel and heating in microwave oven	Cu, Al, Cd, Pb, V, Sr, Cr, Co, Zn, Mn, Fe, Pb	ICP OES	Present work

# Accuracy and LOQ

Certified tea, wheat flour, and bush branch and leaves were analyzed in order to check the accuracy of the method. The results obtained are given in Table 5, together with the limits of quantification (LOQs). The LOQs were determined by the following equation: LD=m+10s, where *s* is the standard deviation of ten consecutive measurements of the sample blank and *m* is the respective mean. The sample mass and dilutions involved were taken into account to calculate the LOQs.

In Table 5, it can be seen that the concentrations found are typically in agreement with those certified. However, lower Al concentration than that certified was found in all samples. In such matrices, it is possible that Al is bound to Si, whose compounds are not solubilized by HNO<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Bizzi et al. (2011b) obtained accurate results for Al in botanical material (olive leaves, apple leaves, peach leaves, and pine needles) digested with diluted HNO<sub>3</sub>. The authors concluded that 3 mol L<sup>-1</sup> HNO<sub>3</sub> was suitable for an efficient digestion of 500 mg of botanical sample using 5 bars of O<sub>2</sub> pressure in the microwave flask. By comparing the results obtained for Al in the present work with those reported for botanical material, it can be stated that purging with  $O_2$  is very important for complete solubilization of Al with diluted HNO<sub>3</sub>. By pressurizing with O<sub>2</sub>, Bizzi et al. (2011b) also obtained better results for Fe. In the present study, the concentration of Fe found in tea and in bush branch and leaves was lower than that certified.

Concentration values lower than those certified were also obtained for V and Cr in bush branch and leaves and Zn in tea.

Although it was not investigated in the present work, the accuracy for Al could be improved by using HF in addition to HNO<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. According to Table 4, several authors reported the use of HF and/or HClO<sub>4</sub> and HCl. However, the latter two acids must be avoided because of spectral interference caused by Cl (in the case of using ICP-MS) and the risk of explosion associated with HClO<sub>4</sub>. Another alternative would be the use of quartz flask, rather than TFM-PTFE. A more efficient decomposition is possible in a quartz flask in view of the higher temperature of the reaction medium. As reported by Vrček and Vrček (2012), good accuracy was obtained for several elements (including Cr, V, Al) in wheat flour decomposed by HNO3 and microwave irradiation in closed quartz flask. In this case, efficient decomposition was achieved by using HNO<sub>3</sub> only. In another work (Bizzi et al. 2014), accurate results were obtained for several elements determined in whole milk powder and bovine liver decomposed in quartz flask by means of very diluted HNO<sub>3</sub>  $(1 \text{ mol } L^{-1})$  and  $H_2O_2$ .

With respect to the results shown in Table 5, one can still observe that higher Cd concentration than that certified was found in tea and wheat flour. The main reasons for the incorrect Cd concentration determined could be contamination and/ or spectral interference, which could not be identified. Additional studies were conducted to evaluate the accuracy of the method. The investigated elements were added to three

**Table 5** Results (mean $\pm$ standard deviation, n=3) obtained in the analysis of the certified reference materials

Element	Tea GBW08505			Wheat flour NIST 1567a			Bush branches and leaves GBW07602			LOQs	
	Certified	Found USN	Found PN/DES	Certified	Found USN	Found PN/DES	Certified	Found USN	Found PN/DES	US	PN/DES
Cu	16.2±1.9	19.7±0.1	15.7±0.3	2.10±0.2	1.98±0.09	2.10±0.18	5.2±0.5	5.6±0.1	5.0±0.2	0.033	0.020
Al	-	634±24	620±15	5.7±1.3	$2.88{\pm}0.4$	$3.6 \pm 0.4$	$2140 \pm 2$	830±91	$1050{\pm}100$	0.006	0.016
Cd	$0.032 {\pm} 0.005$	$0.059 {\pm} 0.003$	$0.074 {\pm} 0.011$	$0.026 {\pm} 0.002$	$0.07{\pm}0.01$	$0.06 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.14{\pm}0.06$	$0.16{\pm}0.06$	$0.12{\pm}0.01$	0.023	0.01
Мо	-	< 0.33	< 0.46	$0.48{\pm}0.03$	$0.44{\pm}0.03$	$0.43{\pm}0.02$	$0.26 {\pm} 0.04$	$0.26{\pm}0.01$	$0.24{\pm}0.03$	0.33	0.46
Pb	$1.06 {\pm} 0.10$	$1.05{\pm}0.07$	$1.01{\pm}0.06$	< 0.020	<2.0	< 0.66	$7.1 \pm 1.1$	$7.3{\pm}0.5$	$7.0\pm0.2$	2.0	0.66
V	_	$0.37{\pm}0.03$	$0.30{\pm}0.02$	(0.011)	< 0.33	< 0.16	$2.4{\pm}0.3$	$1.58{\pm}0.02$	$1.32{\pm}0.06$	0.33	0.16
Sr	$10.8 {\pm} 1.8$	9.4±0.6	$10.1{\pm}0.2$	_	$0.83{\pm}0.08$	$0.92{\pm}0.06$	$345 \pm 11$	$343{\pm}16$	$336{\pm}5$	0.001	0.001
Ni	$7.61 {\pm} 0.48$	$7.02{\pm}0.21$	$7.20{\pm}0.28$	-	< 0.33	< 0.165	$1.7 {\pm} 0.4$	$1.56{\pm}0.05$	$1.56{\pm}0.12$	0.33	0.16
Cr	(0.8)	$0.87{\pm}0.03$	$0.80{\pm}0.05$	-	$0.14{\pm}0.01$	$0.12{\pm}0.02$	$2.3 \pm 0.3$	$1.38{\pm}0.05$	$1.27 {\pm} 0.05$	0.07	0.10
Со	(0.2)	$0.14{\pm}0.01$	$0.15{\pm}0.01$	(0.006)	< 0.033	< 0.013	$0.39{\pm}0.05$	$0.20{\pm}0.01$	$0.36{\pm}0.02$	0.033	0.013
Zn	$38.7 \pm 3.9$	28.6±1	26.4±1.3	$11.6 {\pm} 0.4$	$13.6 \pm 1.4$	$11.0 {\pm} 0.2$	$20.6 \pm 2.2$	22.3±0.9	$21.2{\pm}0.4$	0.066	0.033
Mn	$766 \pm 28$	$752{\pm}13$	$707{\pm}31$	9.4±0.9	$8.7{\pm}0.3$	9.0±0.1	58±6	55±1	55±2	0.001	0.004
Fe	373±23	332±8	332±8	$14.1 \pm 0.5$	14.4±1.5	13.5±0.3	$1020 \pm 67$	849±43	934±20	0.02	0.04

Values in parenthesis are noncertified, while those marked in bold are not different of the certified ones at 95 % confidence level. All values are in micrograms per gram

USN ultrasonic nebulization, PN/DES pneumatic nebulization/aerosol desolvation

Table 6Element concentrations in micrograms per gram (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, n=3) found in commercial samples of tea, wheat flour, and wheatgrain

	Sample									
Element	Chamomile	Boldo	Spearmint	Orange and green tea	White tea	Black tea	Wheat flour	Brown wheat flour	Whole wheat grain	Milled wheat grain
Cu	12.90±0.50	4.00±0.12	18.93±0.66	$14.10 {\pm} 0.80$	17.70±0.60	$12.40 \pm 0.40$	2.00±0.15	4.10±0.26	$5.90 {\pm} 0.27$	6.48±0.22
Al	$402 \pm 26$	$173\pm3$	$1607 \pm 35$	$2560{\pm}216$	$1331 \pm 64$	$698 \pm 31$	$6.20{\pm}0.48$	$6.60{\pm}0.56$	$12.40{\pm}1.25$	$34.72 \pm 3.15$
Cd	$0.24{\pm}0.01$	$0.04{\pm}0.01$	< 0.007	< 0.007	$0.08{\pm}0.01$	$0.05{\pm}0.01$	$0.050{\pm}0.01$	$0.05{\pm}0.01$	$0.06{\pm}0.01$	$0.06{\pm}0.01$
Mo	$0.62{\pm}0.06$	$0.37{\pm}0.01$	$0.98{\pm}0.03$	< 0.1	$0.14{\pm}0.01$	$0.13{\pm}0.01$	$0.21 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.40{\pm}0.02$	$0.19{\pm}0.01$	$0.20{\pm}0.01$
Pb	< 0.2	$0.95{\pm}0.08$	$0.89{\pm}0.03$	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	$0.74{\pm}0.03$	$0.70{\pm}0.02$
V	$0.83{\pm}0.03$	$0.60{\pm}0.04$	$2.63 {\pm} 0.11$	$0.83{\pm}0.08$	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Sr	$79.50{\pm}1.30$	$90.00{\pm}1.20$	$122.07{\pm}2.68$	$45.42 {\pm} 1.88$	$14.70{\pm}0.50$	$27.90{\pm}0.60$	$0.78{\pm}0.05$	$2.97 {\pm} 0.15$	$1.42 {\pm} 0.07$	$1.30{\pm}0.04$
Ni	$1.93{\pm}0.11$	$0.40{\pm}0.03$	$2.31{\pm}0.08$	$2.86{\pm}0.17$	$4.10{\pm}0.20$	$0.88{\pm}0.06$	$0.16{\pm}0.01$	< 0.1	$0.18{\pm}0.01$	$0.22{\pm}0.01$
Cr	$1.53 {\pm} 0.11$	$0.16 {\pm} 0.01$	$2.52 {\pm} 0.14$	$1.17{\pm}0.11$	$1.13{\pm}0.04$	$0.31 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.15 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.22{\pm}0.01$	$0.27{\pm}0.02$	$0.364 \pm 0.007$
Co	$0.23{\pm}0.02$	$0.05{\pm}0.01$	$0.50{\pm}0.04$	$0.06 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.26{\pm}0.01$	$0.05 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.09{\pm}0.01$	$0.11{\pm}0.01$	$0.10{\pm}0.01$	$0.105 \pm 0.005$
Zn	$10.00 {\pm} 0.72$	$3.80{\pm}0.08$	$23.80{\pm}1.30$	$6.85{\pm}0.50$	$12.55 \pm 1.10$	$8.80{\pm}0.68$	$11.60 {\pm} 0.70$	$27.90{\pm}1.40$	$52.00{\pm}5.40$	$51.66{\pm}0.99$
Mn	65±3	65±5	91±4	$1174 \pm 20$	$1100{\pm}26$	72±3	$6.30{\pm}0.20$	$33.70 {\pm} 1.75$	$48.70 {\pm} 3.10$	$52.79 \pm 1.35$
Fe	$411 \pm 10$	223±13	1342±45	361±7	187±18	88±3	$65.70{\pm}2.10$	$29.50{\pm}1.70$	$42.46{\pm}1.09$	$89.77 {\pm} 0.32$

Pneumatic nebulization/aerosol desolvation was used for introducing the sample solution into the ICP

replicates of chamomile tea and wheat flour before their decomposition, in order to obtain 5  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup> of Cu, Al, Cd, Mo, Pb, V, Sr, Ni, Cr, and Co or 50  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup> of Zn, Mn, and Fe in the final solution. The analyte recovery ranged from 87 to 107 %, which were considered satisfactory. Thus, it was concluded that the inaccurate concentration found in the certified materials was not due to matrix effects in sample transport and processes occurring in the ICP.

The performance of US was compared to that of PN/DES. According to the results presented in Table 5, it can be concluded that both nebulizers can be employed, but accuracy for Co, Cu, and Fe was better by employing PN/DES. The main advantage of PN/DES in comparison to the US is less sample consumption and waste generation (much longer washing time is required for the US nebulizer). In addition, the LOQs of PN/DES and USN are almost similar, with the exception of Pb (see Table 5).

#### Method application

Tea, wheat flour, and wheat grain samples were analyzed according to the proposed method. As shown in Table 6, higher concentrations of the investigated elements were found in spearmint tea, excepting Cd and Mn. Very toxic elements such as Cd and Pb were not detected in all samples of tea. The element concentrations found in the chamomile tea are quite similar of those reported by Başgel and Erdemoğlu (2006) for tea from Turkey. Conversely, higher element concentrations were reported by Chen et al. (2009) for tea from China. Szymczycha-Madeja et al. (2012) reviewed the elemental analysis of tea by spectrometric techniques. The concentration values of the investigated elements that are summarized in the review are in accordance with those found in the samples analyzed in the present work.

The chamomile tea was extracted with hot water in order to simulate the analyte concentration in the consumed infusion. The amounts of Cu, Al, Cd, Mo, V, Sr, Ni, Cr, Co, Zn, Mn, and Fe extracted were 18, 6, 49, 21, <1, 10, 38, 2, 22, 31, 10, and 1%, respectively. Lead was not detected in the extract; the most extracted elements were Cd, Zn, and Ni, while Fe, Cr, and Al were the elements less extracted. These results are in accordance with those reported elsewhere (Nookabkaew et al. 2006; Szymczycha-Madeja et al. 2012, 2013). It is important to remark that Al is fortunately poorly extracted, which is a potentially toxic element and present in high concentration in tea.

With respect to wheat, in Table 6, one can see that the concentrations of nutrients elements such as Zn, Mn, and Fe are higher in the grain. The Cr concentration found in wheat flour is close to that reported by Tejera et al. (2013), whereas the Cu, Zn, Mn, and Fe concentrations agree with those reported by Araujo et al. (2008).

# Conclusions

It was demonstrated that Cu, Mo, Pb, Sr, Ni, and Co can be accurately determined in tea, wheat flour, and wheat grain using diluted  $HNO_3$  (6.9 mol L<sup>-1</sup>) and  $H_2O_2$  under microwave irradiation in closed vessel. Conversely, the Al and V concentrations found were lower than the certified concentration values. Lower concentrations than those certified were also found for Cr in wheat flour and bush branches and leaves as well as Zn and Fe in tea. It was concluded that additional studies about the use of diluted  $HNO_3$  are necessary, using quartz flask to decompose such samples, or addition of HF in the case of Al. Higher Cd concentration than that certified was found in tea and wheat flour, possibly due to contamination and/or spectral interference that could not be identified.

With respect to the HNO<sub>3</sub> solutions investigated, the lowest carbon signal was observed when 1 mL  $H_2O+2$  mL  $HNO_3+4$  mL  $H_2O_2$  were used for the decomposition of chamomile tea. Meanwhile, the largest carbon signal was observed when 4 mL  $HNO_3+3$  ml  $H_2O_2$  was used (without adding water). These results demonstrate the influence of  $H_2O_2$  in the organic compound oxidation.

The use of USN or PN/DES enhanced sensitivity, allowing the determination of low concentrations of the investigated elements using ICP OES. Both US and PN/DES led to quite similar LODs, but with the use of PN/DES, the sample consumption is lower as well as waste generation. At robust plasma conditions, no matrix effects were observed even using diluted HNO<sub>3</sub> for sample decomposition.

Acknowledgments The authors acknowledge FAPERGS for financial support and CNPq and CAPES for scholarships.

**Conflict of Interest** C.A. Martins declares that she has no conflict of interest. C. Cerveira declares that she has no conflict of interest. G.L. Scheffler declares that he has no conflict of interest. D. Pozebon declares that she has no conflict of interest. This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects.

#### References

Altintig E, Altundag H, Tuzen M (2014) Bull Chem Soc Ethiop 28:9

Araujo RGO, Macedo SM, Korn MGA, Pimentel MF, Bruns RE, Ferreira SLC (2008) J Braz Chem Soc 19:935

Ashraf W, Mian AA (2008) Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 81:101

- Başgel S, Erdemoğlu SB (2006) Sci Total Environ 359:82
- Bentlin FRS, Pozebon D (2010) J Braz Chem Soc 21(4):627
- Bizzi CA, Barin JS, Garcia EE, Nóbrega JA, Dressler VL, Flores EMM (2011a) Spectrochim Acta B 66(5):394
- Bizzi CA, Barin SJ, Muller EI, Schmidt L, Nóbrega JA, Flores EMM (2011b) Talanta 83:1324
- Bizzi CA, Flores ELM, Nóbrega JA, Oliveira SSO, Oliveira JSS, Schmidt L, Mortari S (2014) J Anal At Spectrom 29:332
- Castro JT, Santos EC, Santos WPC, Costa LM, Korn M, Nóbrega JA, Korn MGA (2009) Talanta 78:1378
- Chen Y, Yu M, Xu J, Chen X, Shi J (2009) J Sci Food Agric 89:2350
- Fernández PL, Pablos F, Martín MJ, González AG (2002) Food Chem 76: 483
- Fernández-Cáceres PL, Martín MJ, Pablos F, González G (2001) J Agric Food Chem 49:4775
- Gomez MR, Cerutti S, Sombra LL, Silva MF, Martínez LD (2007) Food Chem Toxicol 45:1060
- Mierzwa J, Sun YC, Chung YT, Yang MH (1998) Talanta 47:1263
- Moreda-Piñeiro A, Fisher A, Hill SJ (2005) J Food Comp Anal 16:195
- Nardi EP, Evangelista FS, Tormen L, SantPierre TD, Curtius AJ, Souza SS, Barbosa F Jr (2009) Food Chem 112:727
- Nóbrega JA, Pirola C, Fialho LL, Rota G, de Campos JCEKMA, Pollo F (2012) Talanta 98:272
- Nookabkaew S, Rangkadilok N, Satayavivad J (2006) J Agric Food Chem 54:6939
- Petry CF, Pozebon D, Bentlin FRS (2005) At Spectrosc 26:19
- Salahinejad M, Aflaki F (2010) Biol Trace Elem Res 134:109
- Scheffler GL, Pozebon D (2013) Anal Chim Acta 789:33
- Scheffler GL, Dressler VL, Pozebon D (2014) Food Anal Methods 7:1415
- Soylak M, Tuzen M, Souza AS, Korn MGA, Ferreira SLC (2007) J Hazard Mater 149:264
- Szymczycha-Madeja A, Welna M, Pohl P (2012) Trac-Trend Anal Chem 35:165
- Szymczycha-Madeja A, Welna M, Zyrnicki W (2013) J Braz Chem Soc 24:777
- Tejera RL, Luis G, González-Weller D, Caballero JM, Gutiérrez AJ, Rubio C, Hardisson A (2013) Nutr Hosp 28:506
- Tokalioğlu S (2012) Food Chem 134:2504
- Vrček V, Vrček IV (2012) Int J Food Sci Technol 47:1777