ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective correlation of 68ga‑psma uptake with clinical parameters in prostate cancer patients undergoing defnitive radiotherapy

Cem Onal1 · Nese Torun2 · Ezgi Oymak3 · Ozan C. Guler1 · Mehmet Reyhan2 · Ali F. Yapar2

Received: 9 November 2019 / Accepted: 10 March 2020 / Published online: 27 March 2020 © The Japanese Society of Nuclear Medicine 2020

Abstract

Objective The aim of the study is to investigate the correlation between the intensity of prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) uptake in primary tumor and clinico-pathological characteristics of non-metastatic prostate cancer patients treated with definitive radiotherapy (RT).

Methods Using the clinical data of 201 prostate cancer patients who were referred for ⁶⁸ Ga-PSMA-positron emission tomography (PET/CT) for staging and RT planning, we analyzed the correlations among intermediate- or high-risk disease based on Gleason score (GS), prostate-specifc antigen (PSA) level, D'Amico risk group classifcation, and maximum standardized uptake (SUV_{max}) of primary tumor.

Results Primary tumor was visualized via ⁶⁸ Ga-PSMA-PET/CT scan in 192 patients (95.5%). The median SUV_{max} of primary tumor and metastatic lymph node were 13.2 (range 3.3–83.7) and 11.4 (range 3.6–64.5), respectively. A signifcant moderate correlation was observed between PSA level and median tumor SUV_{max} as measured by ⁶⁸ Ga-PSMA-PET/CT (Spearman=0.425; *p*<0.001). Patients with serum PSA>10 ng/mL, GS>7, D'Amico high-risk group classifcation, and pelvic lymph node metastasis had signifcantly higher tracer uptake in primary tumor than their counterparts. The median SUV_{max} of primary tumor was highest in patients with GS 9. The primary tumor detection rates of ⁶⁸ Ga-PSMA-PET/CT were 83%, 92%, and 99% for patients with serum $PSA \leq 5.0$ ng/mL (14 patients, 7%), PSA 5.1–10.0 ng/mL (45 patients, 22%), and PSA>10 ng/mL (142 patients, 71%), respectively.

Conclusions We demonstrated a correlation between prostate tumor characteristics and PSMA tracer uptake. Patients with serum PSA > 10 ng/mL, GS > 7, D'Amico high-risk group classification, and pelvic lymph node metastasis had significantly higher SUV than their counterparts. In addition, the primary tumor detection rate was higher in patients with serum $PSA > 10$ ng/mL and $GS > 7$.

Keywords Prostate cancer · Positron emission tomography · Prostate-specifc membrane antigen · Staging · Radiotherapy

 \boxtimes Cem Onal hcemonal@hotmail.com

- Faculty of Medicine, Department of Radiation Oncology, Adana Dr Turgut Noyan Research and Treatment Center, Başkent University, 01120 Adana, Turkey
- ² Faculty of Medicine, Department of Nuclear Medicine, Adana Dr Turgut Noyan Research and Treatment Center, Başkent University, Adana, Turkey
- ³ Division of Radiation Oncology, Iskenderun Gelisim Hospital, Hatay, Turkey

Introduction

Prostate cancer is the most frequently diagnosed cancer and the second most common cause of cancer-related deaths in men worldwide [\[1](#page-7-0)]. Several treatment options are available, including watchful waiting, hormonal treatment, radical surgery, and radiotherapy (RT); RT may be administered alone or in combination with hormonal therapy. However, defnitive RT relies primarily on accurate clinical and radiological tumor staging based on clinical parameters, especially risk group classifcation. Currently, serum prostate-specifc antigen (PSA), clinical T stage, and Gleason score (GS) are used to defne prostate cancer risk groups [\[2](#page-7-1)]. PSA doubling time and PSA density are also used to predict disease outcome, but they are nonspecifc in determining prognosis [\[3](#page-7-2)].

Histological evaluation of the prostate is required to predict a tumor's biological behavior. However, histopathological fndings for prostatectomy and biopsy specimens do not always accurately refect actual disease status [[4,](#page-7-3) [5](#page-7-4)]. Discordance between clinical and pathological staging may be observed, and GS of biopsy and prostatectomy specimens may vary. Thus, the use of non-invasive methods to evaluate the entire prostate and the tumor biology before defnitive prostate RT is a potentially promising alternative approach.

Gallium-68 (68 Ga)-labeled prostate-specifc membrane antigen (PSMA) ligand, which is a cell surface glycoprotein highly expressed in prostate cancer cells, has emerged as a new promising PET tracer [\[6](#page-7-5)]. In primary staging of intermediate- to high-risk prostate cancer patients, ⁶⁸ Ga-PSMA-PET/CT has demonstrated greater sensitivity and specifcity in nodal staging than conventional imaging modalities [\[7](#page-7-6)]. Preclinical studies have demonstrated that high PSMA expression is significantly correlated with high GS [\[8,](#page-7-7) [9](#page-7-8)]. A few studies have evaluated the correlation between PSMA uptake and tumor characteristics [\[10](#page-7-9), [11](#page-7-10)]. However, these studies included a limited number of patients, who were treated with heterogeneous treatment modalities and had metastatic disease. Thus, we sought to investigate the correlation between intensity of PSMA uptake in primary tumor and the clinical and pathological characteristics in non-metastatic prostate cancer patients planned to be treated with defnitive RT.

Materials and methods

Patient selection

Clinical data of 201 prostate cancer patients who were intended for treatment with defnitive intensity-modulated RT between June 2014 and December 2017 were retrospectively analyzed. The inclusion criteria were as follows: referral for 68 Ga-PSMA-PET/CT for staging and/or RT planning and intermediate- or high-risk disease according to the D'Amico staging system [\[2](#page-7-1)]. The exclusion criteria were as follows: patients who had received hormonotherapy or chemotherapy before 68 Ga-PSMA-PET/CT delivery and patients with radical prostatectomy or those previously treated with radical RT. Patients with clinical and radiological evidence of distant metastasis were also excluded. In all patients, prostate cancer was verifed histologically with transrectal ultrasound (TRUS)-guided biopsy, and the GS results of TRUS biopsy served as the reference for the PET fndings. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

68 Ga‑PSMA‑PET/CT Imaging

The patients were imaged using a dedicated PET/CT system (Discovery-STE 8, General Electric Medical System, Milwaukee, WI, USA). The median activity of intravenously injected 68 Ga-PSMA was 150 MBq (range 78–199 MBq). During the distribution phase, the patients were asked to lie in the supine position in a quiet room. Combined image acquisition began 60 min after the ⁶⁸ Ga-PSMA injection. The patients were scanned on a flat-panel carbon fber-based composite table. An unenhanced CT scan (5-mm-thick slice) of the base of the skull down to the inferior border of the pelvis was obtained using a standardized protocol (140 kV and 80 mA). Subsequently, a PET scan of the base of the skull down to the inferior border of the pelvis (6–7 bed positions, 3 min per bed position) was acquired in three-dimensional mode without repositioning the patient on the table. CT and PET images were obtained while each patient breathes shallowly. Attenuation was corrected using the CT images.

Image analysis

PET/CT images were interpreted independently by two experienced nuclear medicine physicians, each with more than 15 years of clinical experience; these physicians were aware of all the available clinical data. Any disagreement was resolved by consensus. All transrectal-guided biopsies were performed before the 68 Ga-PSMA-PET/CT scan. The site of the primary tumor within the prostate gland was known to the ⁶⁸ Ga-PSMA-PET/CT interpreters based on the TRUS biopsy result. Initially, they assessed whether the primary tumor was visually distinguishable from the surrounding prostate tissue. The tumor was judged positive when focal tracer uptake of the tumor was higher than that of the surrounding prostate tissue. For calculation of the maximum standardized uptake (SUV_{max}) of the primary tumor, volumes of interest (VOIs) were drawn automatically with a manually adapted isocontour threshold centered on lesions with focally increased uptake; these lesions correspond to the tumor site verifed by TRUS biopsy. In cases where the primary tumor could not be clearly identifed from the PET images, the VOIs were placed over the area where the primary tumor was found based on the TRUS biopsy result. As regards lymph node evaluation, any focal uptake higher than the surrounding background activity that does not correspond to physiologic tracer accumulation was considered pathologic and suggestive of malignancy.

No quantitative cut-off for prostate cancer lesions has been defined to date. The SUV_{max} values of metastases

were calculated within VOIs placed over the sites of pathologic tracer accumulation corresponding to the tumor site. In addition, SUV_{max} values were measured in areas of normal prostate tissue with physiologic tracer uptake; these measurements were obtained from patients with available magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Healthy prostate tissue was selected in correlation with MRI, and a VOI of 1 ± 0.06 cm³ was chosen for normal prostate tissue. SUV_{max} was separately measured for the entire cohort and in patients with MRI. For image analysis, SUV_{max} was chosen because SUV_{mean} depends on the volume of interest drawn by the investigator, whereas SUV_{max} is operatorindependent [[12](#page-7-11)].

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 20.0 software (SPSS for Windows, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive analysis was performed by calculating the mean, standard deviation, range, and median. Correlations of GS, PSA, and D'Amico risk group classification with SUV_{max} of primary tumor were described descriptively and then evaluated using Mann–Whitney *U* test and Kruskal–Wallis test. Spearman correlation coefficient was used to assess the correlation between primary tumor SUV_{max} and serum PSA values. For multivariate analysis, the possible factors identifed with univariate analysis were further entered into the logistic regression analysis to determine independent predictors of lymph node metastasis. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves with respective areas under the curve [AUC] were generated for the prediction of cut-off values to diferentiate high-risk patients and patients with high PSA values. A p value of < 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

Results

Patient and tumor characteristics are summarized in Table [1.](#page-2-0) Primary tumor was visualized in 192 patients (95.5%) in ⁶⁸ Ga-PSMA-PET/CT scan. Ninety-seven patients had GS 7 disease; of them, 47 (23.4%) had GS $3+4$ disease, whereas 50 (24.9%) had GS 4+3 disease. The median SUV_{max} of primary tumor was 13.2 (range 3.3–83.7) (Fig. [1a](#page-3-0)), whereas that of normal prostate tissue was 1.7 (range 1.1–3.5).

In 72 patients (35.8%), a pathologic 68 Ga-PSMA uptake corresponding to lymph node metastases was detected, with a median SUV_{max} of [1](#page-3-0)1.4 (range 3.6–64.5) (Fig. 1b). The median SUV_{max} of tumors was higher in patients with lymph node metastases than in those without malignant lymph node involvement $(25.0 \pm 21.3 \text{ vs. } 14.7 \pm 10.6, p < 0.001)$ (Fig. [3d](#page-4-0)).

Clinical T stage, PSA, GS, and SUV_{ma} x of primary tumor were signifcantly associated with lymph node metastasis

Table 1 Patient and tumor characteristics

according to our univariate logistic regression analysis. In the multivariate regression analysis, a 1-unit change of clinical T stage, PSA, GS, or SUV_{max} was significantly correlated with lymph node metastasis, with an AUC of 0.677 $(CI=0.617-0.738)$ for PSA, 0.637 $(CI=0.573-0.701)$ for SUV_{max} of primary tumor, 0.713 (CI=0.657–0.769) for clinical *T* stage, and 0.667 (CI=0.607–0.728) for GS, not statistically diferent from each other.

Correlation between GS and SUVmax

The correlation of GS scores with SUV_{max} of primary tumor is shown in Fig. [2,](#page-3-1) and detailed information on GS group is listed in Table [2](#page-3-2). For the entire cohort, patients with $GS > 7$ have significantly higher mean SUV_{max} values than those with GS 7 disease $(20.9 \pm 18.0 \text{ vs.})$ 15.6 ± 13.1 15.6 ± 13.1 15.6 ± 13.1 ; $p = 0.02$) (Fig. 3b). For subgroup analysis, correlations of intraprostatic SUV_{max} with clinical parameters were determined using the data from multiparametric MRI, where SUV was measured from the lesions detected through MRI. In 99 patients (49.3%) with MRI, SUV_{max} was significantly higher in patients with GS 7 disease than in those with $GS > 7$ disease (21.5 ± 16.8) vs. 15.8 ± 12.7 ; $p = 0.03$ $p = 0.03$) (Table 3). The median SUV_{max}

Fig. 1 Histogram of the distribution of maximum standardized uptake (SUV_{max}) of **a** primary tumor and **b** pelvic lymph nodes

Fig. 2 Box plots of maximum standardized uptake (SUV_{max}) of primary tumor according to Gleason score

Table 2 Median and mean maximum standardized uptake (SUV_{max}) of primary tumor according to Gleason scores

		Gleason score N Median SUV _{max} (range) Mean SUV _{max} \pm SD	
7		$97 \quad 12.0 \ (2.6 - 77.1)$	15.6 ± 13.1
8		$47 \quad 16.2 \ (1.5-55.3)$	19.3 ± 12.4
9		48 15.3 (1.3–83.7)	21.1 ± 19.4
10	9	$11.3(4.7 - 80.8)$	28.2 ± 21.5

of primary tumor was highest in patients with GS 9. The median SUV_{max} of the subgroups with GS $3+4$ and $4+3$ did not significantly differ (10.6 vs. 13.4; $p = 0.18$).

Of the nine patients without 68 Ga-PSMA uptake, six had GS 3+4 disease, one had GS 4+3 disease, and two had GS $5+4$ disease.

Correlation between PSA and SUVmax

Correlation between PSA values and primary tumor SUV_{max} is presented in Fig. [4.](#page-5-0) A signifcant moderate correlation was observed between PSA level and median tumor SUV_{max} measured by 68 Ga-PSMA-PET/CT (Spearman = 0.425; *p*<0.001). PSMA tracer uptake was higher in patients with $PSA \ge 10$ ng/mL than in those with $PSA < 10$ ng/mL, with a median SUV_{max} of 16.2 (range 3.7–83.7) vs. 8.0 (range 3.3–78.0) $(p < 0.001)$, as shown in Fig. [3c](#page-4-0).

The primary tumor detection rates of ⁶⁸ Ga-PSMA-PET/CT were 83%, 92%, and 99% for patients with serum $PSA \leq 5.0$ ng/mL (14 patients, 7%), PSA 5.1–10.0 ng/mL (45 patients, 22%), and $PSA > 10$ ng/mL (142 patients, 71%), respectively (Fig. [5](#page-5-1)a). Moreover, the pelvic lymph node detection rate of ⁶⁸ Ga-PSMA-PET/CT was higher in patients with serum $PSA > 10$ ng/mL than in patients with serum $PSA \leq 5.0$ ng/mL or with PSA 5.1–10.0 ng/mL (Fig. [5](#page-5-1)b). In ROC analysis, the area under the curve [[13\]](#page-7-12) was 0.646 (*p*<0.001; 95% confdence interval, 0.557–0.735), and the cut-off value of SUV_{max} in the present study was determined to be 11.5 for differentiating patients with $PSA > 10$ ng/mL.

 SUV_{max} of primary tumor was significantly lower in intermediate-risk patients than in high-risk patients based on the D'Amico scale (11.9±10.6 vs. 20.4±16.9, *p*<0.001)

Fig. 3 Box plot of maximum standardized uptake (SUVmax) of primary tumor according to **a** D'Amico risk group, **b** Gleason score (GS), **c** serum prostate-specifc antigen (PSA) values, and **d** pelvic lymph node status

Table 3 Correlation between maximum standardized uptake (SUV_{max}) and Gleason score (GS) in the entire cohort and in patients with multiparametric MRI

Gleason score	Median SUV_{max}	Mean $\text{SUV}_{\text{max}} \pm \text{SD}$	p
Entire cohort $(n=201)$			
7	12.0	$15.6 + 13.1$	0.02
>7	18.0	$20.9 + 15.9$	
	Patients with multiparametric MRI $(n=99)$		
	12.2	$15.8 + 12.7$	0.03
>7	18.6	$21.5 + 16.8$	

(Fig. [3](#page-4-0)a). The AUC was 0.726 (95% CI 0.647–0.805; $p < 0.001$), and the cut-off value of SUV_{max} was 11.7 for diferentiating high-risk patients.

Discussion

In this study, we demonstrated a correlation between prostate tumor characteristics and 68 Ga-PSMA tracer uptake. Patients with serum $PSA > 10$ ng/mL, $GS > 7$, D'Amico high-risk group classifcation, and pelvic lymph node metastasis had signifcantly higher tracer uptake in primary tumor than their counterparts. The primary tumor detection rate of ⁶⁸ Ga-PSMA-PET/CT was 95.5%, and tumor detection rates were higher in patients with serum PSA>10 ng/mL and $GS > 7$.

Prostate cancer cells typically show increased PSMA expression, enabling targeted PET imaging with PSMA ligands; among these ligands, 68 Ga-PSMA has demon-strated high affinity for PSMA [\[14,](#page-7-13) [15\]](#page-7-14). Besides prostate cancer cells, normal prostatic tissue also exhibits PSMA expression as proved by immunohistochemical studies

Fig. 4 Scatter plot showing the correlation between serum prostatespecifc antigen (PSA) values and maximum standardized uptake (SUV_{max}) of primary tumor

[[16,](#page-7-15) [17\]](#page-7-16). However, the intensity of tracer uptake is lower in benign prostate tissue than in malignant cells [[10](#page-7-9), [18](#page-7-17)], consistent with our current fndings. Although increased tracer uptake in prostate cancer cells has been demonstrated, in some cases, minimal or no tracer uptake was observed despite a positive diagnosis of prostate cancer. Maurer et al. [\[7](#page-7-6)] reported that 8.4% of prostate tumors showed no increase or only a slight increase in tracer accumulation in 30 patients with intermediate- to high-risk prostate cancer staged with 68 Ga-PSMA-PET/CT. In another study, Budäus et al. [[19\]](#page-7-18) reported that 7.1% of primary tumors were negative based

We investigated the correlation between PSMA uptake and several clinical and pathological parameters. Despite signifcant changes in the clinical and histologic diagnosis of prostate cancer, the Gleason grading system remains one of the most powerful prognostic predictors in prostate cancer. However, this system has undergone signifcant revisions and continues to have defciencies that can potentially impact patient care. The correct diagnosis and grading of prostate cancer are crucial for a patient's prognosis and therapeutic options. The 2005 and 2014 International Society of Urological Pathology grading consensus conferences have improved the overall Gleason grading system [\[20,](#page-7-19) [21](#page-7-20)]. However, this system continues to have limitations which a new prostate cancer grading system would improve upon.

Studies have demonstrated that patients with GS 6 and 7 display signifcantly lower PSMA accumulation than those with $GS > 7$ [\[10,](#page-7-9) [11,](#page-7-10) [18](#page-7-17)]. In the current study, we analyzed SUV_{max} according to the GS. Although the clinical behavior of GS 3+4 and 4+3 differs, primary tumor SUV_{max} did not significantly differ. The median SUV_{max} values were highest in patients with GS 9, and no significant difference was observed between GS groups. Studies have shown that SUV_{max} is lower in GS 10 tumors than in GS 9 tumors consistent with our findings—assuming that the lower

Fig. 5 Detection rate of **a** primary tumor and **b** lymph node metastasis according to serum prostate-specifc antigen (PSA) values

intraprostatic tracer uptake is caused by dediferentiation of tumor cells in GS 10 prostate carcinomas [[11](#page-7-10), [18,](#page-7-17) [22](#page-7-21)]. We performed an additional analysis in patients with available multiparametric MRI to better defne the tumor sites. Again, primary tumor SUV_{max} values significantly differed between patients with GS 7 and $GS > 7$.

Studies have demonstrated a correlation between PSA levels and PSMA tracer uptake, especially based on the biochemical evidence, in recurrent prostate cancer patients. [\[10](#page-7-9), [18](#page-7-17), [23](#page-7-22)[–26](#page-8-0)]. Our results support this fnding, as patients with higher PSA values had significantly higher primary SUV_{max} . Moreover, with increasing PSA values, the primary tumor detection rate of ⁶⁸ Ga-PSMA-PET/CT also increased. Primary tumor could not be visualized in only 1 of the 142 patients with PSA>10 ng/mL, and the tumor detection rate in patients with PSA>10 ng/mL was 99%. Similarly, Meyrick et al. [[26\]](#page-8-0) reported that primary tumor was not detected by 68 Ga-PSMA-PET/CT in only 1 of the 35 patients with $PSA > 10$ $PSA > 10$ ng/mL. Uprimny et al. [10] also found a signifcant diference in tracer uptake between patients with $PSA < 10$ ng/mL and those with $PSA \ge 10$ ng/mL, consistent with our fndings. Furthermore, the pelvic lymph node detection rate of ⁶⁸ Ga-PSMA-PET/CT was higher in patients with PSA>10 ng/mL than in their counterparts.

Risk group identifcation is extremely important especially for defnitive RT, because RT felds and doses and hormonotherapy delivery are decided on according to risk. In the current study, we analyzed only intermediate- and high-risk patients according to the D'Amico classifcation [\[2](#page-7-1)], and found that mean SUV_{max} is significantly higher in tumors with high D'Amico risk classifcation than in tumors with intermediate-risk group classifcation. Furthermore, promising data show that 68 Ga-PSMA-PET/CT demonstrates higher sensitivity and specifcity for nodal staging compared with CT and other tracers [[7,](#page-7-6) [27](#page-8-1)]. Investigating 90 patients, Uprimny et al. [[10\]](#page-7-9) found 82 lymph nodes with pathological tracer uptake in 26.7% of the patients, and the median SUV_{max} of primary tumor was significantly higher in patients with metastatic lymph nodes (18.7 vs. 9.7; $p < 0.001$). In the current study, 72 patients (35.8%) had a pathologic 68 Ga-PSMA uptake in lymph nodes, and the median SUV_{max} of tumors was higher in patients with lymph node metastases than in those without malignant lymph node involvement (25.0 vs. 14.7; *p*<0.001).

Histological evaluation of the prostate is required to predict a tumor's biological behavior. However, histological evaluation involves invasive biopsy procedures and is subject to sampling error. A discordance between clinical and pathological staging may be observed, and GS of biopsy and prostatectomy specimens may vary. The TRUS-guided 10–12 core biopsy is frequently used to diagnose prostate cancer [[28\]](#page-8-2). Unfortunately, biopsy has relatively low sensitivity for high-grade cancer detection, with 25–30% of men with low-risk disease being upgraded at confrmatory biopsy or radical prostatectomy [[29](#page-8-3)]. Thus, our results must be interpreted with caution. To better defne prostate tumor, we used multiparametric MRI in 99 patients. We also found a similar correlation between primary tumor SUV_{max} in the entire cohort and in patients with multiparametric MRI. Nevertheless, ⁶⁸ Ga-PSMA-PET/ CT seems to be a promising diagnostic tool for the identifcation of malignant segments in the prostate. These fndings are in accordance with the results of a study involving 30 high-risk prostate cancer patients who underwent 68 Ga-PSMA-PET/ CT imaging prior to radical prostatectomy. Budäus et al. [\[19\]](#page-7-18) reported that in 92.9% of the patients, intraprostatic tumor foci were predicted correctly. Therefore, PSMA PET/CT may play an important role not only in detecting metastases but also in localizing tumor segments in the prostate. For defnitive RT, identifcation of high malignant intraprostatic lesion is extremely helpful because of the high risk of local recurrence of these so-called dominant intraprostatic tumor lesions after local treatment [[30](#page-8-4), [31\]](#page-8-5). We previously demonstrated the dosimetric feasibility of simultaneous-integrated boost radiation dose for intraprostatic lesion based on an MRI-guided defnition of dominant intraprostatic tumor lesions [\[32](#page-8-6)]. It has recently been shown that delineation of target volume and dominant intraprostatic tumor lesions is also feasible with ⁶⁸ Ga-PSMA-PET/CT [\[33\]](#page-8-7). Because of some benefits of PET compared with MRI, irradiation planning based on PSMA-PET/CT would be of great interest.

Our study is not without inherent limitations because of its retrospective nature and the associated selection biases. Moreover, we could not perform histological verifcation of primary tumor and lymph nodes; thus, we could not exclude the PSMA-PET false-positive areas. Despite the high specifcity in lymph nodes, the reported sensitivity of 68 Ga-PSMA-PET/CT is only 60%–70% due to lower detection rates of small lymph node metastasis, necessitating caution when interpreting negative scans. In addition, ⁶⁸ Ga-PSMA-PET/CT is not accepted as a routine imaging modality for prostate cancer staging. Because the conclusion of the present study is premature, validation of this study through other large-scale studies are required to interpret these fndings in clinical practice. Despite these inherent limitations, this study is important due to the relatively high patient number and the homogeneous patient population, wherein we analyzed only the non-metastatic treatmentnaïve patients undergoing definitive RT and excluded patients with relapse after local treatment.

Conclusion

68 Ga-PSMA-PET/CT was introduced for primary tumor staging of prostate cancer, and various studies have demonstrated that primary tumors may display diferent PSMA uptake intensities. Patients with serum PSA >10 ng/mL, GS>7, D'Amico high-risk group classifcation, and pelvic lymph node metastasis had signifcantly higher SUV than their counterparts. The primary tumor detection rate of ⁶⁸ Ga-PSMA-PET/CT was 95.5%, and tumor detection rates were higher in patients with serum PSA>10 ng/mL and GS>7. Hence, our data can be used as a basis for further prospective studies.

Funding None.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conficts of interest.

Ethical approval All the procedures in studies involving human participants were performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. This study was approved by the Baskent University Institutional Review Board (Project no: KA19/45) and was supported by the Baskent University Research Fund.

Informed consent Informed consent was obtained from all participants included in the study.

References

- 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2018. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68(1):7–30.
- 2. D'Amico AV, Whittington R, Schultz D, Malkowicz SB, Tomaszewski JE, Wein A. Outcome based staging for clinically localized adenocarcinoma of the prostate. J Urol. 1997;158(4):1422–6.
- 3. Vickers AJ, Kent M, Mulhall J, Sandhu J. Counseling the postradical prostatectomy patients about functional recovery: high predictiveness of current status. Urology. 2014;84(1):158–63.
- 4. Truesdale MD, Cheetham PJ, Turk AT, Sartori S, Hruby GW, Dinneen EP, et al. Gleason score concordance on biopsy-confrmed prostate cancer: is pathological re-evaluation necessary prior to radical prostatectomy? BJU Int. 2011;107(5):749–54.
- 5. Grasso AA, Cozzi G, Palumbo C, Albo G, Rocco B. Concordance between biopsy and radical prostatectomy specimen Gleason score in internal and external pathology facilities. Anticancer Res. 2014;34(10):5585–8.
- 6. Minner S, Wittmer C, Graefen M, Salomon G, Steuber T, Haese A, et al. High level PSMA expression is associated with early PSA recurrence in surgically treated prostate cancer. Prostate. 2011;71(3):281–8.
- 7. Maurer T, Gschwend JE, Rauscher I, Souvatzoglou M, Haller B, Weirich G, et al. Diagnostic efficacy of (68)Gallium-PSMA positron emission tomography compared to conventional imaging for lymph node staging of 130 consecutive patients with intermediate to high risk prostate cancer. J Urol. 2016;195(5):1436–43.
- 8. Kasperzyk JL, Finn SP, Flavin R, Fiorentino M, Lis R, Hendrickson WK, et al. Prostate-specifc membrane antigen protein expression in tumor tissue and risk of lethal prostate cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2013;22(12):2354–63.
- 9. Perner S, Hofer MD, Kim R, Shah RB, Li H, Moller P, et al. Prostate-specifc membrane antigen expression as a predictor of
- prostate cancer progression. Hum Pathol. 2007;38(5):696–701. 10. Uprimny C, Kroiss AS, Decristoforo C, Fritz J, von Guggenberg E, Kendler D, et al. (68)Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT in primary staging of prostate cancer: PSA and Gleason score predict the intensity of tracer accumulation in the primary tumour. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2017;44(6):941–9.
- 11. Koerber SA, Utzinger MT, Kratochwil C, Kesch C, Haefner MF, Katayama S, et al. (68)Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT in newly diagnosed carcinoma of the prostate: correlation of intraprostatic PSMA uptake with several clinical parameters. J Nucl Med. 2017;58(12):1943–8.
- 12. Afshar-Oromieh A, Malcher A, Eder M, Eisenhut M, Linhart HG, Hadaschik BA, et al. PET imaging with a [68Ga]gallium-labelled PSMA ligand for the diagnosis of prostate cancer: biodistribution in humans and frst evaluation of tumour lesions. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2013;40(4):486–95.
- 13. Will L, Giesel FL, Freitag MT, Berger AK, Mier W, Kopka K, et al. Integration of CT urography improves diagnostic confdence of (68)Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT in prostate cancer patients. Cancer Imaging. 2017;17(1):30.
- 14. Schafer M, Bauder-Wust U, Leotta K, Zoller F, Mier W, Haberkorn U, et al. A dimerized urea-based inhibitor of the prostatespecifc membrane antigen for 68Ga-PET imaging of prostate cancer. EJNMMI Res. 2012;2(1):23.
- 15. Demirci E, Sahin OE, Ocak M, Akovali B, Nematyazar J, Kabasakal L. Normal distribution pattern and physiological variants of 68Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT imaging. Nucl Med Commun. 2016;37(11):1169–79.
- 16. Bostwick DG, Pacelli A, Blute M, Roche P, Murphy GP. Prostate specifc membrane antigen expression in prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia and adenocarcinoma: a study of 184 cases. Cancer. 1998;82(11):2256–61.
- 17. Sweat SD, Pacelli A, Murphy GP, Bostwick DG. Prostate-specifc membrane antigen expression is greatest in prostate adenocarcinoma and lymph node metastases. Urology. 1998;52(4):637–40.
- 18. Sachpekidis C, Kopka K, Eder M, Hadaschik BA, Freitag MT, Pan L, et al. 68Ga-PSMA-11 dynamic PET/CT imaging in primary prostate cancer. Clin Nucl Med. 2016;41(11):e473–e479479.
- 19. Budaus L, Leyh-Bannurah SR, Salomon G, Michl U, Heinzer H, Huland H, et al. Initial experience of (68)Ga-PSMA PET/CT imaging in high-risk prostate cancer patients prior to radical prostatectomy. Eur Urol. 2016;69(3):393–6.
- 20. Epstein JI, Allsbrook WC, Amin MB, Egevad LL, Committee IG. The 2005 International society of urological pathology (ISUP) consensus conference on Gleason grading of prostatic carcinoma. Am J Surg Pathol. 2005;29(9):1228–422.
- 21. Epstein JI. International society of urological pathology (ISUP) grading of prostate cancer: author's reply. Am J Surg Pathol. 2016;40(6):862–4.
- 22. Bakht MK, Derecichei I, Li Y, Ferraiuolo RM, Dunning M, Oh SW, et al. Neuroendocrine diferentiation of prostate cancer leads to PSMA suppression. Endocr Relat Cancer. 2018;26(2):131–46.
- 23. van Leeuwen PJ, Stricker P, Hruby G, Kneebone A, Ting F, Thompson B, et al. (68) Ga-PSMA has a high detection rate of prostate cancer recurrence outside the prostatic fossa in patients being considered for salvage radiation treatment. BJU Int. 2016;117(5):732–9.
- 24. Afshar-Oromieh A, Avtzi E, Giesel FL, Holland-Letz T, Linhart HG, Eder M, et al. The diagnostic value of PET/CT imaging with the (68)Ga-labelled PSMA ligand HBED-CC in the diagnosis of recurrent prostate cancer. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2015;42(2):197–209.
- 25. Perera M, Papa N, Christidis D, Wetherell D, Hofman MS, Murphy DG, et al. Sensitivity, specifcity, and predictors of positive

(68)Ga-prostate-specifc membrane antigen positron emission tomography in advanced prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Urol. 2016;70(6):926–37.

- 26. Meyrick DP, Asokendaran M, Skelly LA, Lenzo NP, Henderson A. The role of 68Ga-PSMA-I&T PET/CT in the pretreatment staging of primary prostate cancer. Nucl Med Commun. 2017;38(11):956–63.
- 27. Herlemann A, Wenter V, Kretschmer A, Thierfelder KM, Bartenstein P, Faber C, et al. (68)Ga-PSMA positron emission tomography/computed tomography provides accurate staging of lymph node regions prior to lymph node dissection in patients with prostate cancer. Eur Urol. 2016;70(4):553–7.
- 28. Heidenreich A, Bastian PJ, Bellmunt J, Bolla M, Joniau S, van der Kwast T, et al. EAU guidelines on prostate cancer. Part 1: screening, diagnosis, and local treatment with curative intent-update 2013. Eur Urol. 2014;65(1):124–37.
- 29. Siddiqui MM, Rais-Bahrami S, Truong H, Stamatakis L, Vourganti S, Nix J, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging/ultrasoundfusion biopsy significantly upgrades prostate cancer versus systematic 12-core transrectal ultrasound biopsy. Eur Urol. 2013;64(5):713–9.
- 30. Arrayeh E, Westphalen AC, Kurhanewicz J, Roach M 3rd, Jung AJ, Carroll PR, et al. Does local recurrence of prostate cancer

after radiation therapy occur at the site of primary tumor? Results of a longitudinal MRI and MRSI study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2012;82(5):e787–793.

- 31. Bott SR, Ahmed HU, Hindley RG, Abdul-Rahman A, Freeman A, Emberton M. The index lesion and focal therapy: an analysis of the pathological characteristics of prostate cancer. BJU Int. 2010;106(11):1607–11.
- 32. Onal C, Sonmez S, Erbay G, Guler OC, Arslan G. Simultaneous integrated boost to intraprostatic lesions using diferent energy levels of intensity-modulated radiotherapy and volumetric-arc therapy. Br J Radiol. 2014;87(1034):20130617.
- 33. Zamboglou C, Wieser G, Hennies S, Rempel I, Kirste S, Soschynski M, et al. MRI versus (6)(8)Ga-PSMA PET/CT for gross tumour volume delineation in radiation treatment planning of primary prostate cancer. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2016;43(5):889–97.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.