REVIEW ARTICLE

Distribution and Evolutionary Impact of Wolbachia on Butterfly **Hosts**

Rahul C. Salunkhe • Ketan P. Narkhede • Yogesh S. Shouche

Received: 22 August 2013 / Accepted: 22 January 2014 / Published online: 9 February 2014 - Association of Microbiologists of India 2014

Abstract Wolbachia are maternally inherited endosymbiotic alpha-proteobacteria found in terrestrial arthropods and filarial nematodes. They are transmitted vertically through host cytoplasm and alter host biology by inducing various reproductive alterations, like feminization, parthenogenesis, male killing (MK) and cytoplasmic incompatibility. In butterflies, some effects especially MK and sperm-egg incompatibility are well established. All these effects skew the sex ratio towards female and subsequently favor the vertical transmission of Wolbachia. Some of the insects are also infected with multiple Wolbachia strains which may results in some complex phenomenon. In the present review the potential of Wolbachia for promoting evolutionary changes in its hosts with emphasis on recent advances in interactions of butterfly–Wolbachia is discussed. In addition to this, strain diversity of Wolbachia and its effects on various butterfly hosts are also highlighted.

Keywords Symbiosis · Wolbachia · Phenotypic effects · Butterfly - Evolutionary impact

R. C. Salunkhe National Centre for Cell Science, University of Pune, Ganeshkhind, Pune 411007, India

K. P. Narkhede (\boxtimes) Department of Microbiology, K. C. Es Moolji Jaitha College, Jalgaon 425002, India e-mail: kpn.mjc@gmail.com

Y. S. Shouche (\boxtimes) Microbial Culture Collection, National Centre for Cell Science, University of Pune, Ganeshkhind, Pune 411007, India e-mail: yogesh@nccs.res.in

Introduction

Symbiosis is the phenomenon in which different types of organisms are living together and called as symbionts. These are either ectosymbiont or endosymbiont [[1,](#page-4-0) [2\]](#page-4-0). The evidences over the years prove that endosymbionts have important role in their hosts for immunity, nutrition and in defense [[1\]](#page-4-0). Mode of transmission of these symbionts from one host to another, generally decides the effect cause by them. Vertical transmission from mother to offspring is commonly observed in mutualistic symbionts and it generally leads to the long term association. Horizontal transfer from one host to another is observed in parasitic symbionts [\[3](#page-4-0)]. In order to maintain their effects, some of the symbionts are capable of manipulating host reproduction and hence also known as reproductive manipulator. Inherited endosymbiont, Wolbachia is one of such master manipulator known to alter biology of their host by various ways [[4\]](#page-4-0). Wolbachia have ability to infect very diverse hosts and showed various long term associations with their hosts (ranging from mutualism to parasitism) making them vital candidate to study.

History, Distribution and Diversity of Wolbachia

Wolbachia was first detected in mosquito host Culex pipiens and later described as Wolbachia pipientis [\[5](#page-4-0)]. This bacterium was found to infect large variety of insects and nematode and hence receiving the great interest of the many ecologists. Meta-analyses by Hilgenboecker et al. [[6\]](#page-4-0) showed that Wolbachia infect at least 66 % of all insect species making them one of the most abundant intracellular bacterial genus. A remarkable genetic diversity of Wolbachia divided them in 11 supergroups (A–K) [\[7](#page-4-0)].

Fig. 1 Illustration showing mode of transmission of Wolbachia from parents to progeny with examples of butterflies

Supergroups A and B are found in arthropods whereas supergroups C and D are found in nematodes [[8\]](#page-4-0). Springtails, Mesaphorura and Collembola are reported to harbor E supergroup [\[9](#page-4-0)]. Supergroup G was reported from spiders but has been suggested for temporary removal [[10\]](#page-4-0). H Supergroup is found in two species of Zootermopsis [\[11](#page-4-0)]. Two fleas Ctenocephalides and Orchopeas harbors I supergroup, while supergroup J is found in *Dipetalonema gracile* [\[7](#page-4-0)]. Bryobia sp. is reported for K supergroup [[7\]](#page-4-0). Supergroup F is highly diverse and found to break renowned barrier by infecting both nematode Mansonella and arthropods like termites, butterflies, cockroaches, etc. [\[12–16](#page-4-0)].

The multi locus sequence typing (MLST) system provides a standardized and rigorous framework to study Wolbachia strains diversity [[17](#page-4-0)]. Studies of Wolbachia MLST systems have demonstrated power of these approaches in accurately characterizing and identifying various Wolbachia strains. Extensive sampling and MLST approach has been successfully applied to Wolbachia strains from butterflies, termites, etc. [\[13](#page-4-0), [15\]](#page-4-0).

Phenotypic Effects of Wolbachia Induce in Their Hosts

Wolbachia are known for manipulating cellular and reproductive processes in host, still capable to live within the host cell which suggest ancient history of their evolution. Along with Wolbachia several endosymbionts of arthropods like Cardinium, Spiroplasma, Flavobacteria,

Arsenophonus are known as reproductive parasites [\[18](#page-4-0)]. These endosymbiont employs some effects like cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI), male killing (MK), feminization and parthenogenesis. Wolbachia is unique among them as it is able to show all the four effects in their diverse host. In the present review, we summarized some of the effects cause by Wolbachia in their hosts (Fig. 1).

CI is a phenomenon, which modulate host and it became ineffectual to produce viable offspring. CI is the most widespread and, perhaps, the most comprehensively studied Wolbachia-induced phenotype [[19\]](#page-4-0). Wolbachia gain attention when Yen and Barr [\[20](#page-4-0)] confirmed Wolbachia as a causative agent behind CI occurs in mosquito C. pipiens. Later on CI has been reported in many insect orders like Lepidoptera, Coleoptera, Diptera, Hymenoptera, etc. [\[21](#page-4-0)]. CI is either unidirectional or bidirectional. In unidirectional CI, fertilization ceases when infected males mate with uninfected female. However, the crosses between both, male and female which are either infected or uninfected lead to the normal reproduction. This phenomenon gives reproductive advantages to infected females over uninfected and favors the vertical transmission of the Wolbachia. Bidirectional CI is incompatibility which occurs because of different Wolbachia variants in mating partners [[22\]](#page-4-0).

Feminization is a phenomenon in which Wolbachia infected males develop as females or infertile pseudofemales. Wolbachia induced feminization is a strategy to produce more females since males are dead ends for their vertical transmission. This phenomenon was first observed in terrestrial crustaceans [[23\]](#page-4-0) in which they showed that infected female produce twice as many daughters as their uninfected counterpart. Later Wolbachia induced feminization was also demonstrated in two different insect species Eurema hecabe (Lepidoptera) and Zyginidia pullula (Hemiptera) [[24,](#page-4-0) [25](#page-4-0)].

Thelytokous parthenogenesis is a type of parthenogenesis in which unfertilized eggs produces females. Wolbachia is reported to induce this phenomenon in haplodiploid species like Hymenoptera, Thysanoptera and Acari [\[26](#page-4-0)]. It allows females to produce female progeny without mating and favors transmission of Wolbachia. Since this phenomenon is recorded only for haplodiploid species, it cannot occur in butterflies.

MK is a phenomenon in which Wolbachia selectively kills the male progeny of the infected female before hatching [\[4](#page-4-0)]. This phenomenon has been reported in Lepidoptera [[27\]](#page-4-0), Coleoptera, Diptera and Pseudoscorpiones [\[4](#page-4-0)]. This is undisruptive to the bacterium, because its transmission is favor by female and helps in its spreading.

Interaction of Wolbachia and Butterfly Hosts

Butterflies are among the most beautiful and fascinating animals in nature. These are mainly day-flying insects with large scaly wings. They belong to order Lepidoptera, comprising the true butterflies, skippers and moth. The phenomenon like genetic polymorphisms, mimicry and aposematism are observed in butterflies. Some butterflies are known to develop parasitic as well as symbiotic relationships with social insects, such as ants [\[28](#page-4-0)]. Butterflies serve as important plant pollinators and known to be involve in pollination of more than 50 economically important crop plants [[29\]](#page-4-0). Some species in their larval stages are pests and can damage domestic leaf of crops or trees [\[30](#page-4-0)]. Lots of study has been done on butterfly–Wolbachia interaction. In the present review, we highlighted about current investigation about the impact of this interaction and various phenotypic effects of Wolbachia found in butterfly hosts.

Wolbachia Diversity in the Butterflies

Wolbachia have been detected in five butterfly families (Nymphalidae, Papilionidae, Pieridae, Lycaenidae and Hesperiidae), while the family Riodinidea is not reported for infection so far. Wolbachia in butterflies have been concerned in basic biological evolutions such as sex ratio distortion, sperm-egg incompatibility and speciation [[31,](#page-4-0) [32\]](#page-4-0). Molecular data and phenotypic effects of Wolbachia from some butterfly species are reported, which showed presence of supergroup A and B Wolbachia [\[15](#page-4-0), [33](#page-4-0)[–38](#page-5-0)]. Supergroup A was observed in Hypolimnas bolina, Ornipholidotos peucetia, Jamides alecto and Iraota rochana. While supergroup B was observed in a wide range of the butterfly hosts.

Currently, the MLST database has a record for 24 Wolbachia strains types (STs) for butterfly hosts. Among these, ST41 is extremely diverse and found in different hosts of all three families [\[15](#page-4-0)]. ST125 and ST146 were found in Nymphalidae and Lycaenidae while ST40 was shared between Pieridae and Lycaenidae. Apart from this, family Lycaenidae was reported to harbor ten unique STs, while Nymphalidae and Pieridae are reported for six and four unique STs, respectively. Recently Salunke et al. [[15\]](#page-4-0) extensively surveyed the samples of butterflies $(n = 118)$ representing 56 species belonging to five families. Out of which 28 species under study are found to be infected with Wolbachia. In this paper, they also characterized 13 STs which were new to the MLST database [\(http://pubmlst.org/](http://pubmlst.org/Wolbachia/) [Wolbachia/](http://pubmlst.org/Wolbachia/)).

Blue Moon Butterfly, H. bolina (Nymphalididae) have been extensively studied and is excellent model to discuss about Wolbachia–butterfly relationships. This species is distributed in the tropical parts of Madagascar, South and South-East Asia, Australia, Saudi Arabia, New Zealand, South Pacific islands like French Polynesia and Samoa. In twentieth century, entomologists extensively collected and reared the *H. bolina* species because of attractive polymorphism of wing pattern found in females. Surprisingly, they found all female progeny from this species in the Fiji Islands during decade of 1920s [[39\]](#page-5-0). Though this phenomenon was transfer from mother to daughter, it was not because of parthenogenesis [[39\]](#page-5-0). Clarke et al. [[40\]](#page-5-0) had proven the persistent of this phenomenon in Fiji even after 150 generation by re-survey and breeding experiments. However, the precise mechanism was unknown till 2002, when Dyson et al. [[34\]](#page-4-0) proven Wolbachia strain wBol1 as a driving force behind this alter sex ratio.

In 2004, Dyson and Hurst [\[41](#page-5-0)] had shown that this extreme sex bias is persistent at least 400 generation after its first record in Independent (Western) Samoa. They further concluded that, sex bias is because of high prevalence of MK Wolbachia and not because of parthenogenesis. Here an interesting question arises. How this interaction has persisted without the extinction of host population or the host evolving arrangement to compete with the sex-ratio alteration? To answer this question, they compare their results with other island with lower Wolbachia infection in H. bolina. They interpret that low male frequency leads to 57 % loss of reproductive output [\[41](#page-5-0)]. They further found that male spermatophores of H. bolina from Independent Samoa were almost half the diameter of spermatophores produce by H. bolina from neighboring

island. They also hypothesis that, this small size spermatophores might be due to tiredness of the males due to multiple mating and this might be the revised mechanism to overcome the effect of fever males due to this phe-nomenon. Charlat et al. [\[42](#page-5-0)] studied the *H. bolina* population from several locations and they were expecting that female mating frequency should be lower because of a reduced number of available male partners. In contrast, their experiments suggest that females were mating more repeatedly and male were investing less per copulation. Hence they suggest that bias sex ratio increase female proficiency to mate multiple males, at the same time it decreases male productivity.

Beside all these, H. bolina from Japan and South East Asia, have evolved a mechanism, which is able to suppress MK effect of wBol1 [\[37](#page-5-0)]. Mitsuhashi et al. [\[43](#page-5-0)] showed that this MK suppression trend was observed in natural population of H. bolina. This evolution might be the outcome of host response to suppressed destructive effects of the parasite and represented the evolutionary elasticity in host–Wolbachia interactions. When MK phenomenon is suppressed by the host response, Wolbachia strain reaches towards fixation. However it is not the case with wBol1. Hornett et al. [[44\]](#page-5-0) showed that when MK is suppressed in H. bolina, then wBol1 strain immediately expresses CI phenotype. They also suggest that CI worked as a backup policy when MK is suppressed and it helps the parasite by avoiding their fixation. This was the first report for two different phenotypes induced by the same Wolbachia strain in same host. In 2006, Charlat et al. [[45](#page-5-0)] discovered new Wolbachia strain wBol2, which exhibit equal occurrence of male and female. Their results further confirmed that the strain wBol2 causes male induce CI in host and is not the sex ratio distorter. Two more Wolbachia strains are reported so far from the host H. bolina. Out of these Hbol_B_wBol is reported from Western Ghats, India [[15\]](#page-4-0) while wBol_B_wBol3 is reported from Japan $[43]$ $[43]$ however phenotypes induce by these Wolbachia were not recorded.

These findings lead to another question. Is this MK phenotype useful to butterfly host and is it responsible to cause any behavioral changes in them? In 1972, Chanter and Owen [\[46](#page-5-0)] observed that breeding of nymphalid butterfly Acraea encedon in laboratory yielded all female siblings. Jiggins et al. [\[47](#page-5-0)] demonstrated that this effect is maternally inherited and mediated by Wolbachia. They also point out that MK Wolbachia favors sister by reallocation of resources from dead brothers either through sexual cannibalism or through a reduction in competition for food. It also decreases the possibility of inbreeding. In many animals, male are remaining as a group and female select the mating partner. But Jiggins et al. [\[33](#page-4-0)] showed that Wolbachia infection is responsible to rework the phenomenon in A. encedon in which lekking behavior was observed in female while male selected the partner among them, which prevent the population from extinction. Phylogenetically distinct strains of Wolbachia where observed in A. encedon population from Uganda and Tanzania which are also showing the MK phenomenon [[48](#page-5-0)].

The well-established MK phenotypes in butterfly host gained attention of many ecologist and they were looking for other effects of this bacterium in butterflies. Hiroki et al. [\[24](#page-4-0), [36](#page-5-0)] have extensively studied the E. hecabe butterfly from Okinawa-jima Island, Japan for Wolbachia infection. They had detected two different strains of Wolbachia which were having ability to induce Feminization and CI independently. They also found that CI strain was similar with MK strain reported earlier in Hypolimnas and Acraea [\[34,](#page-4-0) [48](#page-5-0)] which suggested possible horizontal transfer among host. Specific interactions among host and symbiont might be responsible for this alter phenotypic effect.

Narita et al. [\[31](#page-4-0)] further studied this E. hecabe population. They showed that these butterflies are in fact infected with two different strains out of which one is feminization causing while other is CI causing strain. Their experiments also suggest that feminizing Wolbachia continuously acts during larval development on the host for suppression of male phenotype in genetically males [\[31](#page-4-0)]. Further studies showed that CI inducing Wolbachia infection frequency is 100 % in pierid butterfly Colias erate and these Wolbachia increase the survival rate of the host if compared to their antibiotic cured counterpart in laboratory condition [[49\]](#page-5-0). Recently Kodandaramaiah et al. [[50\]](#page-5-0) observed Wolbachia infection in an entire population of Polygonia c-album (comma butterfly) suggesting existence of strong CI. Ankola et al. [\[51](#page-5-0)] reported B supergroup Wolbachia in Talicada nyseus and Papilio polymnestor. They further found that Wolbachia infected T. nyseus showing female biased sex ratio and they suggest the possibility of Wolbachia driven MK or feminization as a cause behind this distortion.

Conclusion

Exceptionally diverse associations of Wolbachia and their host are ranging from extreme sex manipulation to mutualism. Mechanism behind this association tells the success of its wide spread distribution making it most dominant symbiont. There is rapid development in the Wolbachia research, particularly in the areas of cell biology, genomics and molecular biology. Other methods like, transfer of Wolbachia strains from one host to other, genome sequencing, MLST and cell culturing are currently adding more information to the existing knowledge of the research community. Now day's occurrence and characterization of

Wolbachia strains from different butterfly species is underway. However, there is massive scope for further exploring and understanding the fascinating interactions between Wolbachia and butterfly. Since many of the butterfly species either are reported or supposed to be infected with Wolbachia, the butterfly–Wolbachia is emerging as a good model to study host–parasite interactions.

Acknowledgments We are grateful to Dr. Dhiraj P. Dhotre, MCC-NCCS Pune, for his comments and critical review on an earlier version of this article. This study was financially supported by the Department of Biotechnology, Government of India.

References

- 1. Moran NA (2006) Symbiosis. Curr Biol 16:866–871
- 2. Bourtzis K, Miller TA (2008) Insect symbiosis. CRC Press, Boca Raton
- 3. Ewald PW (1987) Transmission modes and evolution of the parasitism–mutualism continuum. Ann NY Acad Sci 503:295–306
- 4. Werren JH, Baldo L, Clark ME (2008) Wolbachia: master manipulators of invertebrate biology. Nat Rev Microbiol 10:741–751
- 5. Hertig M (1936) The rickettsia Wolbachia pipientis (gen. et sp. n.) and associated inclusions of the mosquito Culex pipiens. Parasitology 28:453–486
- 6. Hilgenboecker K, Hammerstein P, Schlattmann P, Telschow A, Werren JH (2008) How many species are infected with Wolbachia? A statistical analysis of current data. FEMS Microbiol Lett 281:215–220
- 7. Ros VID, Fleming VM, Feil EJ, Breeuwer JAJ (2009) How diverse is Wolbachia? Multiple gene sequencing reveals a putatively new Wolbachia supergroup recovered from spider mites (Acari: Tetranychidae). Appl Environ Microbiol 75:1036–1043
- 8. Bandi C, Anderson TJC, Genchi C, Blaxter ML (1998) Phylogeny of Wolbachia in filarial nematodes. Proc Biol Sci 265:2407–2413
- 9. Czarnetzki AB, Tebbe CC (2004) Diversity of bacteria associated with *Collembola*—a cultivation-independent survey based on PCRamplified 16S rRNA genes. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 49:217–227
- 10. Baldo L, Werren JH (2007) Revisiting Wolbachia supergroup typing based on WSP: spurious lineages and discordance with MLST. Curr Microbiol 55:81–87
- 11. Bordenstein S, Rosengaus R (2005) Discovery of a novel Wolbachia supergroup in Isoptera. Curr Microbiol 51:393–398
- 12. Casiraghi M, Bordenstein SR, Baldo L, Lo N, Beninati T, Wernegreen JJ, Werren JH, Bandi C (2005) Phylogeny of Wolbachia pipientis based on gltA, groEL and ftsZ gene sequences: clustering of arthropod and nematode symbionts in the F supergroup, and evidence for further diversity in the Wolbachia tree. Microbiology 151:4015–4022
- 13. Salunke BK, Salunkhe RC, Dhotre DP, Khandagale AB, Walujkar SA, Kirwale GS, Ghate HV, Patole MS, Shouche YS (2010) Diversity of Wolbachia in Odontotermes spp. (Termitidae) and Coptotermes heimi (Rhinotermitidae) using the multigene approach. FEMS Microbiol Lett 307:55–64
- 14. Salunke BK, Salunkhe RC, Patole MS, Shouche YS (2010) Wolbachia and termite association: present status and future implications. J Biosci 35:171–175
- 15. Salunke BK, Salunkhe RC, Dhotre DP, Walujkar SA, Khandagale AB, Chaudhari R, Chandode RK, Ghate HV, Patole MS, Werren JH, Shouche YS (2012) Determination of Wolbachia diversity in butterflies from Western Ghats, India, by a multigene approach. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:4458–4467
- 16. Vaishampayan PA, Dhotre DP, Gupta RP, Lalwani P, Ghate H, Patole MS, Shouche YS (2007) Molecular evidence and phylogenetic affiliations of Wolbachia in cockroaches. Mol Phylogenet Evol 44:1346–1351
- 17. Baldo L, Dunning Hotopp JC, Jolley KA, Bordenstein SR, Biber SA, Choudhury RR, Hayashi C, Maiden MC, Tettelin H, Werren JH (2006) Multilocus sequence typing system for the endosymbiont Wolbachia pipientis. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:7098–7110
- 18. Engelstadter J, Hurst GD (2009) The ecology and evolution of microbes that manipulate host reproduction. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 40:127–149
- 19. Werren JH (1997) Biology of Wolbachia. Annu Rev Entomol 42:587–609
- 20. Yen JH, Barr AR (1971) New hypothesis of the cause of cytoplasmic incompatibility in Culex pipiens. Nature 232:657–658
- 21. Reed KM, Werren JH (1995) Induction of paternal genome loss by the paternal-sex-ratio chromosome and cytoplasmic incompatibility bacteria (Wolbachia): a comparative study of early embryonic events. Mol Reprod Dev 40:408–418
- 22. Dobson SL (2003) Reversing Wolbachia-based population replacement. Trends Parasitol 19:128–133
- 23. Juchault P, Frelon M, Bouchon D, Rigaud T (1994) New evidence for feminizing bacteria in terrestrial isopods. C R Acad Sci Paris III 317:225–230
- 24. Hiroki M, Kato Y, Kamito T, Miura K (2002) Feminization of genetic males by a symbiotic bacterium in a butterfly, Eurema hecabe (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). Naturwissenschaften 89:167–170
- 25. Negri I, Pellecchia M, Mazzoglio PJ, Patetta A, Alma A (2008) Feminizing Wolbachia in Zyginidia pullula (Insecta, Hemiptera), a leafhopper with an XX/XO sex determination system. Proc Biol Sci 273:2409–2416
- 26. Weeks AR, Breeuwer JAJ (2001) Wolbachia-induced parthenogenesis in a genus of phytophagous mites. Proc Biol Sci 268:2245–2251
- 27. Jiggins FM, Hurst GD, Dolman CE, Majerus ME (2000) High prevalence male-killing Wolbachia in the butterfly Acraea encedana. J Evol Biol 13:495–501
- 28. Pierce NE, Braby MF, Heath A, Lohman DJ, Mathew J, Rand DB, Travassos MA (2002) The ecology and evolution of ant association in the Lycaenidae (Lepidoptera). Annu Rev Entomol 47:733–771
- 29. Borges RM, Gowda V, Zacharias M (2003) Butterfly pollination and high contrast visual signals in a low-density distylous plant. Oecologia 136:571–573
- 30. Cano JM, Gurrea P, Montalban B, Urena L, Iglesias J (2009) Is the butterfly Tomares ballus (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae) a potential pest of Lens culinaris (Leguminosae)? Rev Biol Trop 57: 623–634
- 31. Narita S, Kageyama D, Nomura M, Fukatsu T (2007) Unexpected mechanism of symbiont-induced reversal of insect sex: feminizing Wolbachia continuously acts on the butterfly Eurema hecabe during larval development. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:4332–4341
- 32. Sugimoto TN, Fujii T, Kayukawa T, Sakamoto H, Ishikawa Y (2010) Expression of a doublesex homologue is altered in sexual mosaics of Ostrinia scapulalis moths infected with Wolbachia. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 40:847–854
- 33. Jiggins FM, Hurst GD, Majerus ME (2000) Sex-ratio-distorting Wolbachia causes sex-role reversal in its butterfly host. Proc Biol Sci 267:69–73
- 34. Dyson EA, Kamath MK, Hurst GD (2002) Wolbachia infection associated with all female broods in Hypolimnas bolina (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae): evidence for horizontal transmission of a butterfly male killer. Heredity 88:166–171
- 35. Tagami Y, Miura K (2004) Distribution and prevalence of Wolbachia in Japanese populations of Lepidoptera. Insect Mol Biol 13:359–364
- 36. Hiroki M, Tagami Y, Miura K, Kato Y (2004) Multiple infection with Wolbachia inducing different reproductive manipulations in the butterfly Eurema hecabe. Proc Biol Sci 271:1751–1755
- 37. Charlat S, Hornett EA, Dyson EA, Ho PP, Loc NT, Schilthuizen M, Davies N, Roderick GK, Hurst GD (2005) Prevalence and penetrance variation of male killing Wolbachia across Indo-Pacific populations of the butterfly Hypolimnas bolina. Mol Ecol 14:3525–3530
- 38. Russell JA, Goldman-Huertas B, Moreau CS, Baldo L, Stahlhut JK, Werren JH, Pierce NE (2009) Specialization and geographic isolation among Wolbachia symbionts from ants and lycaenid butterflies. Evolution 63:624–640
- 39. Simmonds HW (1926) Sex ratio of Hypolimnas bolina L., in Viti Levu, Fiji. Proc R Entomol Soc Lond 1:29–32
- 40. Clarke C, Johnston G, Johnston B (1983) All-female broods in Hypolimnas bolina (L)—a re-survey of west Fiji after 60 years. Biol J Linn Soc 19:221–235
- 41. Dyson EA, Hurst GD (2004) Persistence of an extreme sex-ratio bias in a natural population. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:6520–6523
- 42. Charlat S, Reuter M, Dyson EA, Hornett EA, Duplouy A, Davies N, Roderick GK, Wedell N, Hurst GD (2007) Male-Killing bacteria trigger a cycle of increasing male fatigue and female promiscuity. Curr Biol 17:273–277
- 43. Mitsuhashi W, Ikeda H, Muraji M (2011) Fifty-year trend towards suppression of Wolbachia-induced male-killing by its butterfly host, Hypolimnas bolina. J Insect Sci 11:92. doi:[10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1673/031.011.9201) [1673/031.011.9201](http://dx.doi.org/10.1673/031.011.9201)
- 44. Hornett EA, Duplouy AM, Davies N, Roderick GK, Wedell N, Hurst GD, Charlat S (2008) You can't keep a good parasite down: evolution of a male-killer suppressor uncovers cytoplasmic incompatibility. Evolution 62:1258–1263
- 45. Charlat S, Engelstädter J, Dyson EA, Hornett EA, Duplouy A, Tortosa P, Davies N, Roderick GK, Wedell N, Hurst GD (2006) Competing selfish genetic elements in the butterfly Hypolimnas bolina. Curr Biol 16:2453–2458
- 46. Chanter DO, Owen DF (1972) The inheritance and population genetics of sex ratio in the butterfly Acraea encedon. J Zool 166:363–383
- 47. Jiggins FM, Hurst GD, Majerus ME (1998) Sex ratio distortion in Acraea encedon (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) is caused by a male-killing bacterium. Heredity 81:87–91
- 48. Jiggins FM, Hurst GD, Schulenburg JH, Majerus ME (2001) Two male-killing Wolbachia strains coexist within a population of the butterfly Acraea encedon. Heredity 86:161–166
- 49. Narita S, Shimajiri Y, Nomura M (2009) Strong cytoplasmic incompatibility and high vertical transmission rate can explain the high frequencies of Wolbachia infection in Japanese populations of Colias erate poliographus (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). Bull Entomol Res 99:385–391
- 50. Kodandaramaiah U, Weingartner E, Janz N, Dalen L, Nylin S (2011) Population structure in relation to host-plant ecology and Wolbachia infestation in the comma butterfly. J Evol Biol 24:2173–2185
- 51. Ankola K, Brueckner D, Puttaraju HP (2011) Wolbachia endosymbiont infection in two Indian butterflies and female-biased sex ratio in the Red Pierrot, Talicada nyseus. J Biosci 36:845-850