ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Alteration of splicing factors' expression during liver disease progression: impact on hepatocellular carcinoma outcome

Hualin Wang^{1,2} · Bouchra Lekbaby^{1,2} · Nadim Fares³ · Jeremy Augustin^{1,2} · Tarik Attout^{1,2} · Aurelie Schnuriger^{1,2,4} · Anne-Marie Cassard⁵ · Ganna Panasyuk^{6,7} · Gabriel Perlemuter^{5,8} · Ivan Bieche⁹ · Sophie Vacher⁹ · Janick Selves¹⁰ · Jean-Marie Péron¹⁰ · Brigitte Bancel³ · Philippe Merle³ · Dina Kremsdorf^{1,2} · Janet Hall³ · Isabelle Chemin³ · **Patrick Soussan1,2,[4](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2706-7371)**

Received: 12 December 2018 / Accepted: 29 April 2019 / Published online: 28 May 2019 © Asian Pacifc Association for the Study of the Liver 2019

Abstract

Purpose Trans-acting splicing factors (SF) shape the eukaryotic transcriptome by regulating alternative splicing (AS). This process is recurrently modulated in liver cancer suggesting its direct contribution to the course of liver disease. The aim of our study was to investigate the relationship between the regulation of SFs expression and liver damage.

Methods The expression profle of 10 liver-specifc SF and the AS events of 7 genes associated with liver disorders was assessed by western-blotting in 6 murine models representing diferent stages of liver damage, from infammation to hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Relevant SFs (PSF, SRSF3, and SRSF6) and target genes (*INSR*, *SRSF3,* and *SLK*) modulated in mice were investigated in a cohort of 179 HCC patients.

Results Each murine model of liver disease was characterized by a unique SF expression profle. Changes in the SF profle did not afect AS events of the selected genes despite the presence of corresponding splicing sites. In human HCC expression of SFs, including the tumor-suppressor SRSF3, and AS regulation of genes studied were frequently upregulated in tumor versus non-tumor tissues. Risk of tumor recurrence positively correlated with AS isoform of the *INSR* gene. In contrast, increased levels of SFs expression correlated with an extended overall survival of patients.

Conclusions Dysregulation of SF expression is an early event occurring during liver injury and not just at the stage of HCC. Besides impacting on AS regulation, overexpression of SF may contribute to preserving hepatocyte homeostasis during liver pathogenesis.

Keywords Alternative splicing · Splicing factors · Liver disease · Hepatocellular carcinoma

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article [\(https://doi.org/10.1007/s12072-019-09950-7\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12072-019-09950-7) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

 \boxtimes Patrick Soussan patrick.soussan@inserm.fr

- ¹ INSERM U1135, Centre d'immunologie et de maladie infectieuse, 91 boulevard de l'Hôpital, 75013 Paris, France
- ² Sorbonne Université, Paris, France
- ³ Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Lyon, UMR INSERM 1052, CNRS 5286, Lyon Cedex 03, France
- ⁴ Département de Virologie, Hôpitaux Est Parisien, Paris, France
- ⁵ Faculté de médecine Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Sud, 94270 Kremlin-Bicêtre, France

$\circled{2}$ Springer

- ⁶ Institut Necker-Enfants Malades, Université Paris Descartes, Paris, France
- ⁷ INSERM U1151/CNRS Unité Mixte de Recherche (UMR) 8253, Paris, France
- ⁸ AP-HP, Hôpital Antoine Béclère, Service d'hépato-gastroentérologie, 92140 Clamart, France
- ⁹ Institut Curie-Hôpital, Paris, France
- ¹⁰ Institut Universitaire de Cancérologie de Toulouse Oncopole, Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France

Introduction

In contrast to prokaryotes, splicing regulation is critical for eukaryotic gene expression to accurately excise introns/ non coding sequences and combine exons and thus generate mature mRNAs. In addition, alternative splicing (e.g., exon skipping, intron retention, alternative use of 3′ or 5′ splice sites…) generates multiple mRNA isoforms, which contribute to the diversity of the eukaryotic transcriptome and proteome [[1\]](#page-12-0). Based on next-generation sequencing (NGS), it has been estimated that up to 95% of mammalian genes could be alternatively spliced, thus generating transcripts with diferent exon compositions [\[2](#page-12-1)], and translated into proteins that are not always detectable by western blot or mass spectrometry [[3](#page-12-2), [4\]](#page-12-3). Alternative splicing (AS) is afected in human disease through mutations located either directly in splice sites or within regulatory sequences surrounding exonic/intronic AS domains. In addition, AS mechanisms also rely on ubiquitous transregulatory splicing factors (SFs), consisting of activators or repressors of the spliceosome assembly at splice sites. Transregulatory SFs mainly belong to one of three main families, the serine–arginine-rich (SR), the heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs) and the Drosophila Behavior Human Splicing (DBHS) proteins or are unique. SFs play a critical role during embryonic development, tissue specifcity and throughout the mammalian cell lifespan [[5–](#page-12-4)[7\]](#page-12-5). The investigation of the AS mechanisms remains complex, as there is a plethora of cis-elements and trans-acting SFs implicated in both constitutive and AS events. Nevertheless, variations

in transcript isoforms have been well documented in liver disease, and particularly in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), suggesting a contribution of AS regulation in carcinogenesis [[8,](#page-12-6) [9](#page-12-7)]. The landscape of alternatively spliced variants, recently depicted from RNA-seq libraries generated from more than 400 primary human HCC (including the TCGA LIHC data set) illustrates the frequent and aberrant of AS occurrence in HCC [[10](#page-12-8)].

Splicing factors play an essential role in the AS regulation of genes. In the hypothesis of a direct relationship between transcript expression level and AS dysregulation, SFs might be suitable for prognostic biomarkers in HCC. Nevertheless, contrasting pro- and anti-oncogenic properties of the SF members of the SR family were reported in vitro as well as in animal models during liver disease [\[11,](#page-12-9) [12](#page-12-10)]. *Srsf3,* encodes for a SF with auto-catalytic activity (required for its splicing function) that has been involved in liver homeostasis and in the maturation/proliferation of hepatocytes. Deletion of this SF in hepatocytes disturbed lipid and glucose metabolism and predisposes mice to HCC [[12,](#page-12-10) [13\]](#page-12-11). In contrast, the oncogenic properties of SRSF1 have been related to the activation of the mTOR pathway and activation of insulin receptor (InsR) AS event [[11,](#page-12-9) [14\]](#page-12-12).

Almost all studies exploring AS regulation have compared the expression profle of tumor (T) and non-tumor (NT) regions. However, human HCC is frequently associated with chronic liver-injuring processes such as infammation, steatosis and fbrosis related to various etiological factors that could impair the AS machinery. Thus, the alteration of SF expression during the diferent liver disease stages that precede HCC remains to be investigated. We have recently identifed hepatic SFs that are disrupting in vivo the AS regulation of HBV transcripts during liver injury [[15](#page-12-13)]. In the present report, we have expanded this study and investigated the impact of hepatic injury on the expression of a panel of SFs in six diferent prototypical mouse models of liver disease, ranging from non-alcoholic fatty liver disease to HCC. Finally, we compared both profles of SFs characterized in mice and in a cohort of HCC patients.

Materials and methods

Patients and liver tissue specimens

179 HCC patients treated by surgical resection, included between 1996 and 2014 from three French clinical centers (Lyon Croix Rousse, Toulouse Hospitals and the French National Biological Resource Centers) were retrospectively studied (Agreement # DC-2008-235). For 152 patients, tumor (T) and matched non-tumor liver parenchyma (NT) (at least 2 cm distant from the tumor) were obtained from surgically resected HCCs. For the other 27 patients, only tumor samples were available. In addition, 11 normal liver tissues, used as control samples, were collected after surgical liver resection for colorectal adenocarcinoma metastasis (Centre Léon Bérard Resource Biological Centre, ministerial agreements #AC-2013-1871 and DC-2013-1870). Liver histological analysis confrmed the diagnosis and characteristics of HCCs in T. Liver fbrosis was assessed in paired NT samples (METAVIR score). Patient characteristics are summarized in Supplementary Table 1.

Mice and liver pathogenesis models

C57BL/6 J mice (Janvier Labs, France) were maintained under a 12 h light/dark schedule, with food and water ad libitum and treated in accordance with EU regulations on animal care (Directive 86/609/EEC). All procedures were approved by the local animal care and use committee (Agreement A75-14-08; n° 02890 and 02891). Mice were bred in three diferent animal facilities across France, but were all experimented in the same animal facility with corresponding littermate controls. Methods applied to generate disease models were:

Liver infammation: 2-month old male mice were injected intraperitoneally with equal volumes of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) or lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (2.5 mg/kg, Sigma) 3 times a week for 9 months. All mice were sacrificed 48 h after the last injection $[16]$ $[16]$.

Liver fbrosis: 2-month old male mice were injected intraperitoneally with an equal volume of olive oil or carbon tetrachloride $(CCl₄)$ (1 ml/kg in oil, Sigma) twice a week for 7 weeks. All mice were sacrifced 72 h after the last injection.

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): 2-month-old male mice were fed either a normal diet (ND) or a high-fat diet (HFD) in which the energy content of fat was either 12% or 60%, respectively. The HFD diet was made up of lard (34%) and contained saturated fatty acid (8.3%), monounsaturated fatty acid (4.5%) and poly-unsaturated fatty acid (5.8%), (Ssnif, France). Animals had free access to either water or water containing 20% fructose (*w*:*v*). Mice were fed the diets for 16 weeks.

Alcoholic liver disease (ALD): 8-week old female mice were fed a liquid diet adapted from Lieber-DeCarli for 21 days [\[17](#page-12-15), [18\]](#page-12-16) based on the NIAAA model [\[19](#page-12-17)]. Briefy, the ethanol diet was based on adding absolute ethanol to a solution of a Lieber-DeCarli powder (Ssnif, Spezialdiäten GmbH, Soest, Germany) in fltered water. After a 7-day period adaptation to the animal facility and a 7-day adaptation period to the semi-liquid diet, mice were given increasing amounts of ethanol for 7 days (1% increase every 2 days). The fnal concentration of ethanol in this liquid diet was 5% (vol/vol), such as ethanol accounted for 28% of the daily caloric intake. In the control diet, the ethanol was replaced with an isocaloric amount of maltodextrin (Maldex 150, Safe, France). Alcohol-fed groups were allowed free access to the 5% (vol/vol) ethanol diet for 7 days. Control mice were fed the isocaloric control diet throughout the entire feeding period. During the alcoholization protocol, water was added to the semi-liquid Lieber-DeCarli diet and the animals did not have access to drinking water.

PTEN-deficient liver cancer: Pten^{fl/ft} mouse line has been previously described [\[20](#page-12-18)]. Liver-specifc *Pten* deletion mice (C57BL/6J, male) develop liver malignancies by 12 months of age [[21\]](#page-12-19).

DEN-induced live cancer: 15-day-old male mice were injected intraperitoneally with a single dose of diethylnitrosamine (DEN, 5 mg/kg, Sigma) or an equal volume of PBS. These DEN-administrated mice were monitored for tumor development and survival at 10 months of age.

Additional materials and methods are provided as supporting information.

Results

Alteration of splicing factors' expression refects the pathogenesis of mouse liver disease

The expression of 10 liver-specifc SFs implicated in vivo in the regulation of AS event of HBV was previously investigated in the liver [\[15](#page-12-13)]. This panel was studied in six diferent C57Bl6 liver genetically or chemically induced injury prototypes including, chronic infammation, fbrosis, 2 steatosis and 2 cancer models.

Chemically induced liver infammation was confrmed by the increase level of the cytokines *TGF*-*β* and *IL*-*1β* in liver extracts (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)a, b). Liver fibrosis ($CCl₄$ treatment) was confirmed by collagen quantification (Fig. [1c](#page-4-0), d). The expression level of SFs was measured by western blot from whole-liver extracts of LPS- and $CCL₄$ -treated and control (treated with PBS or oil, respectively) mice. Among the SFs evaluated by western blot, PSF antibodies revealed a supplementary 47 kDa (p47) band corresponding to the C-terminal cleavage product of PSF (not detected with the antibody directed against the C-terminal domain of PSF, data not shown) [\[22](#page-12-20)]. Both complete and cleaved (p47) PSF forms were separately considered in our further analyses. A statistically signifcant upregulation of p47, SRSF3, SRSF6 and La in LPS-induced infammation and of SRSF6, 7 and $SF1$ $SF1$ in CCl_4 -induced fibrosis (Fig. 1e left and right panels, respectively) was detected.

Micro- and macro-steatosis, induced by HFD and alcohol intoxication, respectively, were characterized by elevated levels of serum ALT and intra-hepatic triglycerides (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)a, b). Signifcant increase of NONO, SRSF6, hnRNPA2B1 and hnRNPH and decrease of SRSF3 level were found in

Fig. 1 The expression of splicing factors in murine models of liver ◂infammation and fbrosis. **a** Upper: representative (upper) H&E staining and lower: immunohistochemical (lower) detection of cell apoptosis by TUNEL assay in histological liver section (bar 100 μm) of male mice treated with PBS/LPS for 9 months. **b** Cytokines' mRNA expression in the liver of mice treated with PBS (*n*=12) or LPS (*n*=12) for 9 months. (C) Representative (upper) H&E and (lower) PicroSirius-stained histological liver section (bar 100 μm) of male mice treated with oil/CCl4 for 7 weeks. **d** Quantifcation of collagen deposition in histological liver sections of mice treated with oil $(n=5)$ or CCl4 $(n=9)$ for 7 weeks, each dot represents a mouse. (E) Left upper panel: representative expression of SFs in the livers of mice treated with PBS/LPS for 9 months. Left lower panel: quantifcation of the intensity of bands using imageJ, normalized to GAPDH and compared to littermate controls. Right upper panel: representative expression of SFs in the livers of mice treated with oil/CCl4 for 7 weeks. Right lower panel: quantifcation of the intensity of bands using imageJ, normalized to GAPDH and compared to littermate controls. Data shown are the mean \pm SD. Unpaired *t* test:* p < 0.05, ***p*<0.01 and ****p*<0.001

HFD-fed mice. In alcohol-fed mice, an overexpression of PSF, p47, SRSF7 and La was reported (Fig. [2c](#page-5-0)).

Both liver cancers were confrmed by macroscopic and microscopic data (HE staining) (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)a, b). Low levels of alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) suggested a predominant cholangiocarcinoma incidence in Pten-HKO mice while a higher level of AFP was associated with HCC in the DEN-treated mice (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)c). Despite the advanced stage of liver cancer in both models, only 2 SFs were signifcantly modulated, p47 and SRSF6 appeared upregulated in the Pten-HKO model, while $p47$ and hnRNPA2B1 were downregulated in DENinduced HCC (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)d).

Overall our data showed that a specifc signature of SFs' expression characterized each liver disease model studied. Among the SFs assessed, PSF/p47 and SRSF6 were more frequently deregulated while SRSF1, reported with oncogenic abilities, was never modifed in liver injury models [\[11](#page-12-9), [12](#page-12-10)]. The modulation of SFs' expression in murine liver disease models (Fig. [3e](#page-6-0)), ranging from 50% in the NAFLD model (5/10) to 20% in the two liver cancer models (2/10), was preferentially associated with an upregulation (85%) in the pathological conditions.

Impact of the splicing factor signature associated with mouse liver disease on gene expression

Next, we investigated the impact of SFs modulation on the AS regulation. Considering the auto-catalytic splicing activity of SRSF3 (exon 4 skipping) and taking in account the down- or upregulation of SRSF3 protein level in HFD and LPS treated mice, we frst studied AS afecting SRSF3 [[12,](#page-12-10) [13\]](#page-12-11). In this purpose, both spliced (overlapping primers) and unspliced (encompassing primers) RNA isoforms of *Srsf3* were quantifed and the ratio of the spliced (S)/ unspliced (L) forms was calculated (Fig. [4](#page-8-0), upper left panel). Our data showed no modifcation of the AS regulation of *Srsf3* despite the modulation of expression of transcript as well as protein level (encoded by the spliced isoform) in both HFD and inflammatory liver disease (Fig. [4](#page-8-0) and S1). We next investigated the AS regulation of 6 supplementary genes reported from the EASANA RNA splicing website and implicated in liver disease [\[7,](#page-12-5) [23](#page-12-21)]. We explored the AS regulation of *Slk* and *Dgkd* that are inversely regulated by the ESRP2 factor and associated with hepatocyte diferentiation and proliferation [\[24\]](#page-13-0); *Fas* exon 3, leading to the excision of one dead domain related to apoptosis; *Collagen 18a1*, for which the frizzled domain excised in the spliced isoform negatively correlates with the β-catenin and adipogenesis activity [[25\]](#page-13-1); *Usp4*, a suppressor of metabolic disorders related to NAFLD [[26](#page-13-2)–[28\]](#page-13-3) and; *Insr* encoding for Insr-A (S) preferentially expressed in embryonic tissues [[29](#page-13-4), [30](#page-13-5)] or Insr-B (L) detected in adult liver [[31](#page-13-6)]. Both of latter isoforms promote the metabolic effects of insulin in the liver (suppression of gluconeogenesis, promotion of lipogenesis) and, an additional mitogenic effect was reported for InsR-A [\[31\]](#page-13-6).

Our data showed that infammation and fbrosis injuries were associated with an increase of *Slk* and a decrease of *Dgkd-*spliced isoforms (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)). In addition, the AS event of *Fas* was reduced upon infammation (apoptosis) and activated in the hepatic fbrosis model (necrosis). In the other models, AS regulation of these three genes remained unchanged. Despite a reduction of the *Col18a1* and *USP4* transcript levels in the 2 models of steatosis (Fig. S1), AS of these two genes remained unaltered across the diferent prototypes of liver disease. One exception was observed for *Col18a1* in HFD (Fig. [4\)](#page-8-0). Finally, with the exception of HFD, all types of liver damage resulted in an increase of *Insr* AS event, suggesting an impact on hepatic regeneration and not only, as previously reported, in liver carcinogenesis (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)) [\[29\]](#page-13-4). In addition and in line with the acquisition of insulin resistance upon steatosis, transcription level of both *Insr* isoforms was decreased in HFD and in ALD-treated mice (Fig. S1).

Taken together, our data provided evidence for a differential regulation of AS in pathological liver conditions. Nevertheless, a direct relationship between the expression profle of SFs and the regulation of AS remains challenging to establish. This is well illustrated by *InsR* AS, which appeared activated upon all kinds of liver injury despite contrasting levels of SFs expression. In contrast, AS of *SRSF3* was not modifed despite numerous splice-recognition sites surrounding the exon 4 of the transcript and the dysregulation of its protein expression in LPS- and HFD-treated mice (Fig. S2) [\[32\]](#page-13-7).

Fig. 2 Splicing factors' expression in liver steatosis. **a** Representative H&E-stained histological liver section (bar 100 μm) of male mice fed with normal (ND)/high-fat diet (HFD) (left) and female mice fed with normal/ethanol (EtOH) diet (right). **b** Quantifcation of serum ALT and liver triglycerides. Experiments were performed on ND $(n=6)$, HFD ($n=5$), pair-fed ($n=6$) and EtOH-fed ($n=6$) mice. **c** Represent-

ative expression of SFs in livers of mice fed with ND/HFD (left) or normal/EtOH diet (right) (upper panel). The intensity of bands was quantifed using imageJ, normalized to β-actin and compared to littermate controls (lower panel). Data shown are the mean \pm SD. Unpaired *t* test:**p*<0.05 and ***p*<0.01

Fig. 3 Splicing factors' expression in liver cancers. **a** Representative images of livers of 12-month-old WT/Pten-HKO null (left) or 10-months old PBS/DEN treated male mice (right). Scale bar: 1 cm. **b** Representative H&E-stained histological liver section (bar 400 μm) and \times 10 magnification box from 12-month-old WT/ Pten-null mice (left) or PBS/ DEN-treated mice at 10-months old (right). **c** Quantifcation of *Afp* mRNA expression level in liver tissues. Each dot repre sents a mouse; Mann–Whitney *U* tests: ***p*<0.01. **d** Representative SF expression in the liver of 12-month-old WT/Ptennull mice (left upper panel) or PBS/DEN-treated mice at 10-months old (right upper panel). Bands were quantifed using imageJ, normalized to GAPDH and compared to their own littermate controls (lower panel). Data are represented as mean ±SD. Unpaired *t* test:**p* <0.05, ***p* <0.01 and ****p* <0.001. **e** Summary of the splicing factors' expression signature (compared with con trol littermate mice) observed according to liver disease models studied. Arrows indicate statistically signifcant increase or decrease level of protein expression (unpaired *t* test)

PAS 199

Fig. 4 Alternative splicing regulation of target genes in liver dis-◂eases. The ratio of spliced isoform (S) to unspliced isoform (L) was quantifed for selected target genes in murine liver disease models. The schema on the top of each bar graph shows the exon-skipping event studied for each gene. Green: alternatively spliced cassette exon; black: constitutive exons. Data in box plots are normalized to GAPDH. The *Y* axis represents the ratio between isoforms (S/L). The number of mice in each group: PBS1 $(n=6)$, LPS $(n=6)$, oil $(n=5)$, CCl4 (*n*=5), ND (*n*=6), HFD (*n*=6), pair (*n*=6), EtOH (*n*=6), WT (*n*=5), Pten-HKO (*n*=5), PBS2 (*n*=6), DEN (*n*=6). Unpaired *t* test:**p*<0.05, ***p*<0.01 and ****p*<0.001

Expression of splicing factors and alternative splicing of target genes in human HCC

The frequent alteration of the SFs PSF/p47 and SRSF6 expression in murine liver disease models led us to investigate their profle in a cohort of human HCC. In addition, because of its tumor-suppressor activity and its HCC predisposition seen in SRSF3-depleted mice, SRSF3 expression was also studied [[12](#page-12-10), [13](#page-12-11)]. Clinical status and etiological risk factors for the 179 HCC patients are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. For 152 patients, T and matched NT liver parenchyma were compared. In addition, 11 surgically resected normal liver tissues from colorectal adenocarcinoma metastasis patients were used as control tissue for comparison purposes.

The transcription level of the cell proliferation marker MKi67 was first compared in control, NT and T. As expected, proliferation was higher in T versus NT samples (Fig. [5a](#page-10-0)). In addition, a signifcant increase in the relative expression for PSF, SRSF6, and SRSF3 was observed in T compared to NT (although SRSF6 was not detected in 50% of both T and NT human samples) (Fig. [5b](#page-10-0)). These changes contrast with the downregulation observed in mouse DEN-induced HCC model. However, in patients, liver cirrhosis-associated HCC (71% viral hepatitis, 65% alcohol, 43% NASH) could account for this diference. We thus, compared the regulation of SFs expression according to the cirrhotic status. In cirrhotic patients, a signifcant increase of SRSF6 was detected associated with an unchanged level of PSF and SRSF3 (Fig. [5c](#page-10-0)). Notably, this profile is comparable in mice with CCI_4 -induced cirrhosis. To examine the infuence of the liver microenvironment on SFs expression, we assessed their expression with the other etiological risk factors of HCC. In line with the ALD/HFD mouse models, PSF and SRSF3 were signifcantly highly expressed in alcohol- compared to NASHassociated HCC (Figs. [3](#page-6-0)e, [5](#page-10-0)d, Supplementary Table 4A).

Taken together, these data suggest that the SFs' modulation in human HCC is related to the liver microenvironment. Nevertheless, the role of the SFs' upregulation in HCC remains to investigate.

Alternative splicing regulation and clinical signifcance of SFs overexpression in HCC

We frst investigated, in human HCC, the impact of the SFs on the AS regulation of the SLK, SRSF3, and INSR genes, previously explored in mice. Splicing regulation of these genes was signifcantly activated in T compared to NT samples (Figs. [5](#page-10-0)e and S3). In contrast to the data obtained from mouse models, impact of SRSF3 on its own AS regulation was detected in the human HCC tissues (Fig. S4). An overall signifcant correlation was also detected between SFs' expression and AS activation of SLK and INSR (Fig. S4). However, these correlations remained very weak (r_{pearson}) ranging from 0.29 to 0.47), even for SRSF3. In addition, the distribution of HCC according to etiological risk factors showed that activation of SRSF3 AS in T was restricted to the HBV-related HCC (Supplementary Table 4B). Moreover, the overexpression of PSF and SRSF3 in alcohol compared to NASH-related HCC (Fig. [5](#page-10-0)d) had no impact on the AS regulation of the selected targets (Fig. S5). Taken together, our data in human HCC confrmed the contribution of SFs on the AS mechanism but also highlighted the fragile relationship, already observed in mice. Beside AS regulation, we wondered whether overexpression of SFs in mice as well as in human liver disease might contribute to the severity of HCC.

We thus, compared the expression level of SFs with the clinical parameters of HCC patients. We frst, defned a cutoff expression value (mean $+2SD$, dotted line in Fig. [5b](#page-10-0), e) using 11 control liver samples. The risk of tumor recurrence was assessed from 0 to at least 60 months post-surgical resection (Fig. S6). As previously reported, we confrmed that liver cirrhosis is a strong risk factor of HCC recurrence (Fig. S6A). Whilst the modulation of SFs expression was not a predictive marker for tumor recurrence, an elevated risk was observed in patients with higher level of the INSR and SLK but not SRSF3 spliced isoforms (Fig. S6). In contrary to the results obtained for SLK, multivariate cox analysis validated the independence between the AS activation of **INSR** and liver cirrhosis $(p=0.01)$ for the risk of tumor recurrence.

The relationship between SFs/AS regulation and overall survival (OS) of HCC patients was considered from 6 (to exclude post-surgical related adverse events) to 60 months post-HCC resection. As previously reported and in line with the tumor recurrence, occurrence of liver cirrhosis (and tumor size $>$ 5 cm) at baseline significantly reduced the OS of patients (Figs. [6a](#page-11-0) and S7). Unexpectedly, upregulation of PSF and to a lesser extent, SRSF3 and SRSF6, was associated with a longer OS $(p=0.01$ for PSF and a trend for both SRSF3 and SRSF6) (Fig. [6b](#page-11-0)). As observed for patients without cirrhosis, the improvement of OS associated with PSF overexpression was mainly observed 24 months

Fig. 5 Relative mRNA expression of splicing factors and target genes ◂in Control, Non-Tumor and Tumor liver samples from HCC patients. Expression level of transcripts in HCC tumors (T), non-tumorous liver parenchyma (NT) and normal liver tissues (Cont) were quantifed by RT-qPCR analysis. Expression level of **a** MKI67, **b** splicing factors in liver specimens from HCC patients. (C) Comparison of SFs expression in non cirrhotic $(n=82)/$ cirrhotic patients $(n=162)$ from T+NT samples. **d** PSF and SRSF3 increased in HCC-related to alcohol ($n=38$) compared to NASH ($n=28$) a profile similar to the corresponding mouse models of liver steatosis. Data are normalized to the GUSB house-keeping gene and represented as mean±SD. **e** Ratio of splicing isoforms [Spliced (S)/Unspliced (L)] of SRSF3, SLK and INSR selected genes in liver specimens from HCC tumors (T), nontumorous liver parenchyma (NT) and normal liver tissues (Cont). This ratio was obtained after quantifcation by RT-qPCR analysis of spliced (S) and long unspliced (L) isoforms. The dashed lines represent cutoff values for the overexpression of each parameter defined using the expression level in the control liver samples (mean+2SD). Each dot represents a liver biopsy; T vs NT: MannWhitney *U* tests. **p*<0.05, ****p*<0.001

post-surgery. In contrary, OS was not impacted by the regulation of AS of the INSR, SLK or SRSF3 genes (Fig. [6](#page-11-0)b). Multivariate cox analysis for extended OS showed an independent relationship between the level of PSF expression and liver cirrhosis status $(p=0.02)$.

Altogether, our data highlight the regulation of SFs and AS regulation in liver cancers. However, and as observed in mice, the direct relationship between the regulation of expression of SFs and the impact on AS events remains challenging to establish. Unexpectedly, the overexpression of SFs and more particularly PSF was strongly associated with a better survival after HCC resection.

Discussion

More than 800 000 people die each year following primary liver cancer of which HCC covers around 85% of cases [\[33\]](#page-13-8). HCC usually arises after years of inflammation, fibrosis or, as more recently emerged, steatosis associated with chronic hepatitis, alcohol consumption or obesity. Aberrant AS events in liver cancer have been frequently described in numerous genes including *INSR, TP53* [\[8–](#page-12-6)[10](#page-12-8)]. Almost all of these AS events in HCC have been associated with cell transformation [[34\]](#page-13-9). However, and as illustrated in our data, deciphering the regulation of the spliceosome machinery during liver disease progression remains complex. To address the impact of SF expression on AS events during liver injury, we compared the profles of a panel of SF- and AS-regulated genes in 6 murine liver disease models. We showed that each liver injury provided a unique signature of SFs modulation compared to their respective control littermates. However, this signature was not associated with a specifc pattern of AS regulation of genes, as illustrated for INSR or SRSF3, suggesting further impact of SF during liver disease. This hypothesis was reinforced by the weak strength of correlation between SFs and AS regulation in HCC patients.

Nevertheless, alteration of AS regulation of genes may contribute to the progression of liver disease. Indeed, previous data reported that upregulation of INSR-A splicing (S) isoform promotes liver cancer by mitogenic activity related to IGF-II binding [\[29](#page-13-4), [35,](#page-13-10) [36\]](#page-13-11). Our data in mice confrm that activation of the AS of INSR, leading to INSR-A expression, was efective in liver cancer. However, in our mouse models, the AS activation of INSR was already observed in the infammatory as well as in the fbrosis liver stages, suggesting that related overexpression of the INSR-A may also contribute to the compensatory regeneration efect post-liver injury and not only in the carcinogenic process. In contrary, elevated activity of INSR-B in insulin-associated to metabolic pathway accounted for the reduction of the INSR splicing ratio in the pancreas of type 2 diabetes patients [\[37](#page-13-12)]. In HFD-treated mice, a model for obesity, the INSR splicing ratio remained constant despite a signifcant reduction of both spliced and unspliced RNA isoforms. This result suggests that decreased expression of both receptors in the liver participates in the insulin resistance observed in NAFLD, independent of splicing regulation.

The decrease of SLK AS regulation, linked to the increase of DGKD and both under the dependence of ESRP2 SF expression, is implicated in post-natal liver maturation [[24](#page-13-0)]. Little is known about the functional activity of the SLK and DGKD isoforms in liver disease. Our data obtained in murine liver infammation and fbrosis models showed an increase of AS of SLK. Thus, this AS activation of SLK could contribute to the progression to HCC. Indeed, comparable regulation was previously reported in SRSF3 KO mice leading to HCC [[12\]](#page-12-10). Furthermore, AS activation of SLK is considered as an indicator of the epithelial–mesenchymal transition [[38\]](#page-13-13), through the control of ESPR2 [[39\]](#page-13-14). However, despite this upregulation in HCC, no association with the grade of cancer was observed in our studied patients (data not shown). The contribution of the SLK AS regulation in the early events of the carcinogenic process clearly requires further studies.

Considering the reported oncogenic properties of SRSF1 [[11](#page-12-9), [14\]](#page-12-12), its expression level remained unexpectedly unchanged regardless of liver-injury models. Despite tumor-suppressor properties related to SRSF3 expression in the liver [[12](#page-12-10), [40](#page-13-15)], this SF was never downregulated in mouse or in human liver cancers. On the contrary, SRSF3 was upregulated in HCC patients. This intriguing upregulation, mainly observed in HBV-associated HCC (Supplementary Table 4B) as well as in patients with higher AFP levels (data not shown), suggests that SRSF3 overexpression may attempt to maintain hepatocyte metabolic function and differentiation despite HCC context [[12,](#page-12-10) [13\]](#page-12-11).

Fig. 6 Overall survival observed in HCC patients in relation to SF levels. Cumulative overall survival rates (%) of HCC patients according to **a** the presence/absence of liver cirrhosis, **b** the intratumoral expression level of SFs (upper panel) and ratio (S/L) of selected splicing target isoforms (lower panel) sorted as high (blue) > cut-

off value > low (red); the cutoff value defined as the mean + 2 SD of control values. Follow-up was studied from 6 to 60 months post-HCC resection. Survival curves were analysed by the Kaplan–Meier method and *p* values were calculated using the log-rank test

In mouse models, PSF and SRSF6 were more frequently observed among the modulated SFs (preferentially upregulated). This dual upregulation was confrmed in human HCC despite lack of frequent detection of SRSF6, refecting species specifcity in its expression profle. Overexpression of PSF and SRSF6 in tumor tissues was corroborated in data generated from 371 HCC and 50 non-tumor liver samples extracted from the TCGA Research Network. In addition, higher expression of PSF was already reported in human prostate cancer leading to the aberrant regulation of the

spliceosome machinery [[41\]](#page-13-16). Indeed, PSF can regulate AS through the recruitment of the polypyrimidine tract-binding proteins, or small nuclear ribonucleoproteins using a mechanism not yet clearly defned. Unexpectedly, in our HCC cohort, PSF overexpression was correlated with an extended survival post-tumor resection, independent of liver cirrhosis. In addition, a trend towards improved survival was also depicted for SRSF6 and SRSF3. Finally, modulation of SFs expression in liver infammation, fbrosis and steatosis, stability of SRSF1, upregulation of SRSF3 in HCC and, the

association between PSF and OS suggests a positive role of these deregulations during liver disease development. This hypothesis regarding the protective role of SFs in liver is reinforced by the additional properties of SFs in DNA repair or in oncogenic gene repression [[42,](#page-13-17) [43\]](#page-13-18).

In conclusion, in murine models, modulation of SFs expression depicts the diferent stages of liver disease, from infammation to cancer. In patients and in mouse models, upregulation of SFs seems not preferentially related to AS regulation but provides a new insight into their accurate contribution during liver injury. Intricate relationship between the expression of SFs and the regulation of AS highlights the requirement of new tools to further decipher the impact of SFs regulation in the spliceosome machinery and the signifcance in liver disease progression leading to HCC.

Acknowledgements We thank Drs J. Pol, P. De La Grange, G. Wang and M. Dutertre for helpful scientifc discussion. We thank animal facilities platform from Pitié and Broussais Universities. We thank P3S platform of Pitié University. We also thank the TCGA Research Network [\(http://cancergenome.nih.gov/\)](http://cancergenome.nih.gov/) for HCC data.

Author contributions Experimental conception and design of these experiments HW, JH, IC, and PS. Conduction the experiments: HW, BL, NF, ACS, GP, JA, IB, SV, and PS. Analysis of the data: HW, NF, TA, AS, GP, DK, JH, IC and PS. Provide biological samples: JS, JMP, BB, PM. Wrote the manuscript: HW, JH, DK and PS.

Funding This work was supported by grants from INSERM, Sorbonne Université, Fondation ARC (Grant number M17JRAS009) and ANRS (Grant number ECTZ22204). HW was supported by the China Scholarship Council.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflicts of interest Hualin Wang, Bouchra Lekbaby, Nadim Fares, Jeremy Augustin, Tarik Attout, Aurelie Schnuriger, Anne-Marie Cassard, Ganna Panasyuk, Gabriel Perlemute, Ivan Bieche, Sophie Vacher, Janick Selves, Jean-Marie Péron, Brigitte Bancel, Philippe, Dina Kremsdorf, Janet Hall, Isabelle Chemin, Patrick Soussan have no confict of interest to declare.

Informed consent Signed informed patient consent was obtained before surgery.

References

- 1. Pohl M, Bortfeldt RH, Grutzmann K, Schuster S. Alternative splicing of mutually exclusive exons—a review. Biosystems 2013;114:31–38
- 2. Pan Q, Shai O, Lee LJ, Frey BJ, Blencowe BJ. Deep surveying of alternative splicing complexity in the human transcriptome by high-throughput sequencing. Nat Genet 2008;40:1413–1415
- 3. Tress ML, Abascal F, Valencia A. Most alternative isoforms are not functionally important. Trends Biochem Sci 2017;42:408–410
- 4. Tress ML, Abascal F, Valencia A. Alternative splicing may not be the key to proteome complexity. Trends Biochem Sci 2017;42:98–110
- 5. Grosso AR, Gomes AQ, Barbosa-Morais NL, Caldeira S, Thorne NP, Grech G, et al. Tissue-specifc splicing factor gene expression signatures. Nucleic Acids Res 2008;36:4823–4832
- 6. Lee BP, Pilling LC, Emond F, Flurkey K, Harrison DE, Yuan R, et al. Changes in the expression of splicing factor transcripts and variations in alternative splicing are associated with lifespan in mice and humans. Aging Cell 2016;15:903–913
- 7. de la Grange P, Gratadou L, Delord M, Dutertre M, Auboeuf D. Splicing factor and exon profling across human tissues. Nucleic Acids Res 2010;38:2825–2838
- 8. Berasain C, Goni S, Castillo J, Latasa MU, Prieto J, Avila MA. Impairment of pre-mRNA splicing in liver disease: mechanisms and consequences. World J Gastroenterol 2010;16:3091–3102
- 9. Venables JP. Aberrant and alternative splicing in cancer. Cancer Res 2004;64:7647–7654
- 10. Li S, Hu Z, Zhao Y, Huang S, He X. Transcriptome-wide analysis reveals the landscape of aberrant alternative splicing events in liver cancer. Hepatology 2019;69:359–375
- 11. Karni R, de Stanchina E, Lowe SW, Sinha R, Mu D, Krainer AR. The gene encoding the splicing factor SF2/ASF is a protooncogene. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2007;14:185–193
- 12. Sen S, Langiewicz M, Jumaa H, Webster NJ. Deletion of serine/arginine-rich splicing factor 3 in hepatocytes predisposes to hepatocellular carcinoma in mice. Hepatology 2015;61:171–183
- 13. Sen S, Jumaa H, Webster NJ. Splicing factor SRSF3 is crucial for hepatocyte diferentiation and metabolic function. Nat Commun 2013;4:1336
- 14. Karni R, Hippo Y, Lowe SW, Krainer AR. The splicing-factor oncoprotein SF2/ASF activates mTORC1. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008;105:15323–15327
- 15. Duriez M, Mandouri Y, Lekbaby B, Wang H, Redelsperger F, Guerrera C, et al. Alternative splicing of Hepatitis B virus: a novel virus/host interaction regulating liver immunity. J Hepatol 2017;67:687–699
- 16. Pol JG, Lekbaby B, Redelsperger F, Klamer S, Mandouri Y, Ahodantin J, et al. Alternative splicing-regulated protein of hepatitis B virus hacks the TNF-alpha-stimulated signaling pathways and limits the extent of liver infammation. FASEB J 2015;29:1879–1889
- 17. Gustot T, Lemmers A, Moreno C, Nagy N, Quertinmont E, Nicaise C, et al. Diferential liver sensitization to toll-like receptor pathways in mice with alcoholic fatty liver. Hepatology 2006;43:989–1000
- 18. Ki SH, Park O, Zheng M, Morales-Ibanez O, Kolls JK, Bataller R, et al.. Interleukin-22 treatment ameliorates alcoholic liver injury in a murine model of chronic-binge ethanol feeding: role of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3. Hepatology 2010;52:1291–1300
- 19. Bertola A, Mathews S, Ki SH, Wang H, Gao B. Mouse model of chronic and binge ethanol feeding (the NIAAA model). Nat Protoc 2013;8:627–637
- 20. Horie Y, Suzuki A, Kataoka E, Sasaki T, Hamada K, Sasaki J, et al. Hepatocyte-specifc Pten defciency results in steatohepatitis and hepatocellular carcinomas. J Clin Invest 2004;113:1774–1783
- 21. Patitucci C, Couchy G, Bagattin A, Caneque T, de Reynies A, Scoazec JY, et al. Hepatocyte nuclear factor 1alpha suppresses steatosis-associated liver cancer by inhibiting PPARgamma transcription. J Clin Invest 2017;127:1873–1888
- 22. Shav-Tal Y, Lee B, Bar-Haim S, Vandekerckhove J, Zipori D. Enhanced proteolysis of pre-mRNA splicing factors in myeloid cells. Exp Hematol 2000;28:1029–1038
- 23. de la Grange P, Dutertre M, Correa M, Auboeuf D. A new advance in alternative splicing databases: from catalogue to detailed analysis of regulation of expression and function of human alternative splicing variants. BMC Bioinform 2007;8:180
- 24. Bhate A, Parker DJ, Bebee TW, Ahn J, Arif W, Rashan EH, et al. ESRP2 controls an adult splicing programme in hepatocytes to support postnatal liver maturation. Nat Commun 2015;6:8768
- 25. Huang CK, Yu T, de la Monte SM, Wands JR, Derdak Z, Kim M. Restoration of Wnt/beta-catenin signaling attenuates alcoholic liver disease progression in a rat model. J Hepatol 2015;63:191–198
- 26. Zhao Y, Gao L, Xu L, Tong R, Lin N, Su Y, et al. Ubiquitin-specifc protease 4 is an endogenous negative regulator of metabolic dysfunctions in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.29889>
- 27. Heljasvaara R, Aikio M, Ruotsalainen H, Pihlajaniemi T. Collagen XVIII in tissue homeostasis and dysregulation—lessons learned from model organisms and human patients. Matrix Biol 2017;57–58:55–75
- 28. Aikio M, Elamaa H, Vicente D, Izzi V, Kaur I, Seppinen L, et al. Specifc collagen XVIII isoforms promote adipose tissue accrual via mechanisms determining adipocyte number and afect fat deposition. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2014;111:3043–3052
- 29. Chettouh H, Fartoux L, Aoudjehane L, Wendum D, Claperon A, Chretien Y, et al. Mitogenic insulin receptor-A is overexpressed in human hepatocellular carcinoma due to EGFR-mediated dysregulation of RNA splicing factors. Cancer Res 2013;73:3974–3986
- 30. Sakurai Y, Kubota N, Takamoto I, Obata A, Iwamoto M, Hayashi T, et al. Role of insulin receptor substrates in the progression of hepatocellular carcinoma. Sci Rep 2017;7:5387
- 31. Kubota T, Kubota N, Kadowaki T. Imbalanced insulin actions in obesity and type 2 diabetes: key mouse models of insulin signaling pathway. Cell Metab 2017;25:797–810
- 32. Paz I, Akerman M, Dror I, Kosti I, Mandel-Gutfreund Y. SFmap: a web server for motif analysis and prediction of splicing factor binding sites. Nucleic Acids Res 2010;38:281–285
- 33. Llovet JM, Zucman-Rossi J, Pikarsky E, Sangro B, Schwartz M, Sherman M, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2016;2:16018
- 34. Kozlovski I, Siegfried Z, Amar-Schwartz A, Karni R. The role of RNA alternative splicing in regulating cancer metabolism. Hum Genet 2017;26:1113–1127
- 35. Belfore A, Frasca F, Pandini G, Sciacca L, Vigneri R. Insulin receptor isoforms and insulin receptor/insulin-like growth

factor receptor hybrids in physiology and disease. Endocr Rev 2009;30:586–623

- 36. Chen YW, Boyartchuk V, Lewis BC. Diferential roles of insulinlike growth factor receptor- and insulin receptor-mediated signaling in the phenotypes of hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Neoplasia 2009;11:835–845
- 37. Besic V, Shi H, Stubbs RS, Hayes MT. Aberrant liver insulin receptor isoform a expression normalises with remission of type 2 diabetes after gastric bypass surgery. PLoS One 2015;10:e0119270
- 38. Braeutigam C, Rago L, Rolke A, Waldmeier L, Christofori G, Winter J. The RNA-binding protein Rbfox2: an essential regulator of EMT-driven alternative splicing and a mediator of cellular invasion. Oncogene 2014;33:1082–1092
- 39. Warzecha CC, Jiang P, Amirikian K, Dittmar KA, Lu H, Shen S, et al. An ESRP-regulated splicing programme is abrogated during the epithelial-mesenchymal transition. EMBO J 2010;29:3286–3300
- 40. Jumaa H, Nielsen PJ. The splicing factor SRp20 modifes splicing of its own mRNA and ASF/SF2 antagonizes this regulation. EMBO J 1997;16:5077–5085.
- 41. Takayama KI, Suzuki T, Fujimura T, Yamada Y, Takahashi S, Homma Y, et al. Dysregulation of spliceosome gene expression in advanced prostate cancer by RNA-binding protein PSF. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2017;114:10461–10466
- Jaafar L, Li Z, Li S, Dynan WS. SFPQ*NONO and XLF function separately and together to promote DNA double-strand break repair via canonical nonhomologous end joining. Nucleic Acids Res 2017;45:1848–1859
- 43. Morozumi Y, Takizawa Y, Takaku M, Kurumizaka H. Human PSF binds to RAD51 and modulates its homologous-pairing and strand-exchange activities. Nucleic Acids Res 2009;37:4296–4307

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.