

# **Generators for finite depth subfactor planar algebras**

# VIJAY KODIYALAM and SRIKANTH TUPURANI

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, CIT Campus, Taramani, Chennai 600 113, India E-mail: vijay@imsc.res.in; stupurani@gmail.com

MS received 19 June 2014; revised 14 August 2014

**Abstract.** We show that a subfactor planar algebra of finite depth *k* is generated by a single *s*-box, for  $s \leq \min\{k+4, 2k\}.$ 

**Keywords.** Subfactor planar algebra, presentation.

**1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.** Primary: 46L37; Secondary: 57M99.

The main result of Kodiyalam and Tupurani [\[3\]](#page-5-0) shows that a subfactor planar algebra of finite depth is singly generated with a finite presentation. If *P* is a subfactor planar algebra of depth *k*, it is shown there that a single 2*k*-box generates *P*. It is natural to ask what the smallest *s* is such that a single *s*-box generates *P*. While we do not resolve this question completely, we show in this note that  $s \leq \min\{k+4, 2k\}$  and that *k* does not suffice in general. All terminology and unexplained notation will be as in [\[3\]](#page-5-0).

For the rest of the paper fix a subfactor planar algebra *P* of finite depth *k*. Let 2*t* be such that it is the even number of  $k + 3$  and  $k + 4$ . We will show that some *s*-box generates P as a planar algebra, where  $s = \min\{2k, 2t\}$ . The main observation is the following result about involutive algebra anti-automorphisms of finite-dimensional complex semisimple algebras. We mention as a matter of terminology that we always deal with C-algebra antiautomorphisms and automorphisms (as opposed to those that might induce a non-identity involution on the base field  $\mathbb{C}$ ). Also, as is common in Hopf algebra literature, we will use *Sa* instead of *S(a)* to demote the image of *a* under a map *S* of algebras.

**Theorem 1.** Let A be a finite-dimensional complex semisimple algebra and let  $S: A \rightarrow$ *A be an involutive algebra anti-automorphism. Suppose that A has no* 2 × 2 *matrix summand. Then, there exists*  $a \in A$  *such that*  $a$  *and*  $Sa$  *generate*  $A$  *as an algebra.* 

Before beginning the proof of this theorem, we observe that the somewhat peculiar restriction on *A* not having an  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  summand is really necessary.

*Remark* 2. The map  $S : M_2(\mathbb{C}) \to M_2(\mathbb{C})$  defined by  $Sa = adj(a)$  is easily verified to be an involutive algebra anti-automorphism, while there exists no  $a \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$  that together with *Sa* generates  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  since these generate only a commutative subalgebra.

We pave the way for a proof of Theorem 1 by studying the two special cases when  $A = M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $A = M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . In these, *n* is a fixed positive integer. We will need the following lemmas that specify a 'standard form' for each of these two special cases.

*Lemma* 3. Let *S* be an involutive algebra anti-automorphism of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . There is an alge*bra automorphism of Mn(*C*) under which S is identified with either (i) the transpose map or (ii) the transpose map followed by conjugation by the matrix*

$$
J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_k \\ -I_k & 0 \end{bmatrix} (= -J^T = -J^{-1}).
$$

*The second case may arise only when*  $n = 2k$  *is even* (*and*  $I_k$  *denotes, of course, the identity matrix of size k*)*.*

*Proof.* Let *T* denote the transpose map on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . The composite map *T S* is then an algebra automorphism of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and is consequently given by conjugation with an invertible matrix, say *u*. Thus  $Sx = (uxu^{-1})^T$ . Involutivity of *S* implies that *u* is either symmetric or skew-symmetric. By Takagi's factorization (see p. 204 and p. 217 of [\[1\]](#page-5-1)), *u* is of the form  $v^T v$  if it is symmetric and of the form  $v^T J v$  if it is skew-symmetric, for some invertible *v*. For the algebra automorphism of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  given by conjugation with *v*, *S* gets identified in the symmetric case with the transpose map and in the skew-symmetric case with the transpose map followed by conjugation by  $J$ .

*Lemma* 4*. Let S be an involutive algebra anti-automorphism of*  $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C})$  *that interchanges the two minimal central projections. There is an algebra automorphism of*  $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C})$  *fixing the minimal central projections under which S is identified with the map*  $x \oplus y \mapsto y^T \oplus x^T$ .

*Proof.* The map  $x \oplus y \mapsto S(y^T \oplus x^T)$  is an algebra automorphism of  $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C})$ fixing the minimal central projections and is therefore given by  $x \oplus y \mapsto u x u^{-1} \oplus v y v^{-1}$ for invertible *u*, *v*. Hence  $S(x \oplus y) = uy^T u^{-1} \oplus vx^T v^{-1}$ .

Thus,  $S^2(x \oplus y) = u(v^{-1})^T x v^T u^{-1} \oplus v(u^{-1})^T y u^T v^{-1}$ . Involutivity of *S* now implies that  $v^T u^{-1}$  and  $u^T v^{-1}$  are both scalar matrices, or equivalently,  $v^T = \lambda u$  and  $u^T = \mu v$ for non-zero scalars  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ . Taking transposes shows that  $\lambda \mu = 1$  and finally, by replacing  $u$  by  $\lambda u$ , we may assume that  $v = u^T$ .

The commutativity of the following diagram:

$$
M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{x \oplus y \mapsto u^{-1}xu \oplus y} M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C})
$$
  

$$
\downarrow s \qquad \qquad \downarrow x \oplus y \mapsto y^T \oplus x^T
$$
  

$$
M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{x \oplus y \mapsto u^{-1}xu \oplus y} M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C})
$$

now implies that under the algebra automorphism of  $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C})$  given by  $x \oplus y \mapsto$ <br> $u^{-1}xu \oplus y$ . S is identified with  $x \oplus y \mapsto y^T \oplus x^T$ .  $u^{-1}xu \oplus y$ , *S* is identified with  $x \oplus y \mapsto y^T \oplus x^T$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 in the case  $A = M_n(\mathbb{C})$  (for  $n \neq 2$ ) needs some preparation. For a subset  $S \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{C})$  we use the notation  $S'$ , as usual, to denote its commutant in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

*Lemma* 5*. If*  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{2N}$  *is non-empty and Zariski open, then* 

$$
U \cap \{(z_1,\ldots,z_N,\overline{z_1},\ldots,\overline{z_N}) : z_i \in \mathbb{C}\} \neq \emptyset.
$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $S = \{(z_1, \ldots, z_N, \overline{z_1}, \ldots, \overline{z_N}) : z_i \in \mathbb{C}\}\)$  is Zariski dense in  $\mathbb{C}^{2N}$ . If a polynomial *f* in 2*N* variables vanishes on *S*, then the polynomial  $p(u_1, \ldots, u_N, v_1, \ldots, v_N) = f(u_1 + iv_1, \ldots, u_N + iv_N, u_1 - iv_1, \ldots, u_N - iv_N)$  vanishes on  $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$ . It is then easily seen by induction on the number of variables that *p* identically vanishes and then, so does  $f$ .

# PROPOSITION 6

*For*  $n > 1$ *, the set* 

$$
U = \left\{ (P, Q) \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \times M_n(\mathbb{C}) : P, Q \text{ invertible and} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & P \\ Q & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & P^T \\ Q^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}' = \mathbb{C} I_{2n} \right\}.
$$

*is a non-empty Zariski open subset of*  $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \times M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

*Proof.* For an arbitrary matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & W \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ , the condition that it commute with both  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & P \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ *Q* 0  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & P^T \\ 0 & P^T \end{bmatrix}$  $Q^T$  0 is given by a set of  $8n^2$  homogeneous linear equations in the  $4n^2$  entries of *X*, *Y*, *Z*, *W* with coefficient (linear) polynomials in the entries of *P* and *Q*.

The solution space for this system is at least one dimensional (since it certainly contains the identity matrix) and thus the coefficient matrix has rank at most  $4n^2-1$ . The condition that the solution space is exactly one dimensional is hence equivalent to the condition that the coefficient matrix has rank at least  $4n^2 - 1$ , which is clearly Zariski open condition in the entries of *P* and *Q*. It follows that *U* is Zariski open.

To show non-emptiness of *U*, choose an invertible  $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $Q$  and  $Q^T$ generate  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  as an algebra. For instance, Q could be  $I_n + N_n$ , where  $N_n$  is the  $n \times n$ nilpotent matrix with super-diagonal entries, all 1 and 0 entries elsewhere. The condition that  $\begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & W \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$  commutes with both  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ Q & C \end{bmatrix}$ *Q* 0  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$  $Q^T$  0 is equivalent to the set of equations:

$$
YQ = QY = Z = YQT = QTY,
$$
  
 
$$
WQ = QX, X = W, WQT = QTX.
$$

Since *Y* commutes with *Q* and  $Q^T$  (which generate  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ),  $Y = \lambda I_n$  for a scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus  $Z = \lambda Q = \lambda Q^T$ . Now (and this is the crucial point where  $n > 1$  is needed), since *Q* and  $Q^T$  generate  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  which is not commutative, they cannot be equal and so  $\lambda = 0$ . Since  $X = W$  and hence commutes with both *Q* and  $Q^T$ ,  $X = W = \mu I$  for some scalar  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus  $(I, O) \in U$ .  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus  $(I, Q) \in U$ .

#### PROPOSITION 7

*Let S be an involutive algebra anti-automorphism of*  $M_m(\mathbb{C})$  *with*  $m \neq 2$ *. There exists invertible*  $x \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$  *which, together with*  $Sx$ *, generates*  $M_m(\mathbb{C})$  *as an algebra.* 

*Proof.* First, we may assume by Lemma 3 that *S* is either (i) the transpose map or (ii) the transpose map followed by conjugation by *J* . In Case (i), as in the proof of Proposition 6,  $x = I_m + N_m$  is invertible and such that *x* and *Sx* generate  $M_m(\mathbb{C})$  as an algebra.

In Case (ii),  $m = 2n$  is necessarily even. It then follows from Proposition 6 and Lemma 5 that there is an invertible  $P \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$
\left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & P \\ \bar{P} & 0 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & P^T \\ \bar{P}^T & 0 \end{array} \right] \right\}' = \mathbb{C}I_{2n}
$$

The commutant of these two matrices is the same as that of the algebra they generate which is a ∗-subalgebra of  $M_m(\mathbb{C})$  since they are adjoints of each other. By the double commutant theorem, it follows that the algebra generated by these is the whole of  $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ . Now take  $x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & P \\ \bar{P} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ *P*<sup> $0$ </sup>  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ . The contract of the contract of the contract of  $\Box$ 

In proving Theorem 1 for  $A = M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , we will need the following lemma.

*Lemma* 8*. Let A and B be finite dimensional complex unital algebras and let*  $a \in A$  *and*  $b \in B$  *be invertible. Then, for all but finitely many*  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ *, the algebra generated by*  $a \oplus \lambda b \in A \oplus B$  *contains both*  $a (= a \oplus 0)$  *and*  $b (= 0 \oplus b)$ *.* 

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\lambda \neq 0$  and then it suffices to see that *a* is expressible as a polynomial in  $a \oplus \lambda b$ . Note that since  $a \oplus \lambda b$  is invertible and  $A \oplus B$  is finite dimensional, the algebra generated by  $a \oplus \lambda b$  is actually unital. In particular, it makes sense to evaluate any complex univariate polynomial on *a* ⊕ *λb*.

Let  $p(X)$  and  $q(X)$  be the minimal polynomials of *a* and *b* respectively. By invertibility of *a* and *b*, neither *p* nor *q* has 0 as a root. The minimal polynomial of  $\lambda b$  is  $q(\frac{X}{\lambda})$ . Unless *λ* is the quotient of a root of *p* by a root of *q*, *p*(*X*) and *q*( $\frac{X}{\lambda}$ ) will have no common roots and therefore be coprime. So there will exist a polynomial  $r(X)$  that is divisible by  $q(\frac{X}{\lambda})$ but is *X* modulo  $p(X)$ . Thus  $r(a \oplus \lambda b) = a$ , as desired.

#### PROPOSITION 9

*Let S be an involutive algebra anti-automorphism of*  $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C})$  *that interchanges the two minimal central projections. There exists invertible*  $x \oplus y \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C})$ *which together with*  $S(x \oplus y)$  *generates*  $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C})$  *as an algebra.* 

*Proof.* First, by Lemma 4, we may assume that *S* is the map  $x \oplus y \mapsto y^T \oplus x^T$ . Now, as in the proof of Proposition 7, there is an invertible  $x \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that *x* and  $x^T$ generate  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . By Lemma 8, for all but finitely many  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , the algebra generated by  $x \oplus \lambda x$  contains  $x \oplus 0$  and  $0 \oplus x$  and similarly the algebra generated by  $\lambda x^T \oplus x^T$  contains  $x^T \oplus 0$  and  $0 \oplus x^T$ . Thus the algebra generated by  $x \oplus \lambda x$  and  $\lambda x^T \oplus x^T$  is the whole of  $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .  $\Box$ 

*Proof of Theorem* 1. Let  $\hat{A}$  denote the (finite) set of all inequivalent irreducible representations of *A* and for  $\pi \in \hat{A}$ , let  $d_{\pi}$  denote its dimension. Since *S* is an involutive anti-automorphism, it acts as an involution on the set of minimal central projections of *A*. It is then easy to see that there exist subsets  $\hat{A}_1$  and  $\hat{A}_2$  of  $\hat{A}$  and an identification

$$
A \to \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{A}_1} M_{d_{\pi}}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{A}_2} (M_{d_{\pi}}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_{d_{\pi}}(\mathbb{C}))
$$

such that each summand is *S*-stable.

Now, by Propositions 7 and 9, in each summand of the above decomposition, either  $M_{d_{\pi}}(\mathbb{C})$  or  $M_{d_{\pi}}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_{d_{\pi}}(\mathbb{C})$ , there is an invertible element which together with its image under *S* generates that summand.

Finally, an inductive application of Lemma 8 shows that if *a* is a general linear combination of these generators, then *a* and *Sa* generate *A* as an algebra.

Before we prove our main result, we will need a result about connected pointed bipartite graphs. Recall that a bipartite graph has its vertex set partitioned into 'even' and 'odd' vertices and all its edges connect an even and an odd vertex. It is pointed if a certain even vertex, normally denoted by ∗, is distinguished. Its depth is the largest distance of a vertex from ∗.

# PROPOSITION 10

*Let*  $\Gamma$  *be a connected pointed bipartite graph of depth*  $k \geq 3$ *. For any vertex v of*  $\Gamma$ *, let t be the one of k* + 3*, k* + 4 *with the same parity as v. The number of paths of length t from* ∗ *to v is at least 3.*

*Proof.* We analyse three cases depending on the distance of *v* from ∗.

*Case I*: If  $v = *$ , note that  $t > 6$  is even. To show that there are at least 3 paths of length t from ∗ to ∗, it suffices to show that there are at least 3 paths of length 6 from ∗ to ∗. Since  $k \geq 3$ , choose any vertex at distance 2 from  $*$  and a path from  $*$  to the chosen vertex. It is easy to see that there are at least 3 paths of length 6 from ∗ to ∗ supported on the edges of this path.

*Case II*: If *v* is at distance 1 from \*, then  $t \ge 7$  is odd. As observed in Case I, there are at least 3 paths of length 6 from ∗ to ∗ and consequently at least 3 paths of length 7 from ∗ to *v*.

*Case III*: Suppose *v* is at a distance *n* from \*, where  $n > 1$ . Observe that if *n* and *k* have the same parity, then  $n \leq k$  while in the other case,  $n \leq k - 1$ . Choose a path *ξ*1*ξ*2*ξ*<sup>3</sup> ··· *ξn* from ∗ to *v*. Then *ξ*<sup>2</sup> = *ξ*1*.* Then we have three paths *ξ*1*ξ*1*ξ*1*ξ*1*ξ*1*ξ*<sup>2</sup> ··· *ξn*, *ξ*1*ξ*2*ξ*2*ξ*2*ξ*2*ξ*<sup>2</sup> ··· *ξn*, and *ξ*1*ξ*1*ξ*1*ξ*2*ξ*2*ξ*<sup>2</sup> ··· *ξn* of length *n* + 4 from ∗ to *v*. Thus if *n* and *k* have the same parity, so that  $t = k + 4$ , then there exist at least 3 paths of length *t* from  $*$ to *v*. If *n* and *k* have opposite parity then  $t = k + 3$  and since  $n \leq k - 1$  in this case, since there exist at least 3 distinct paths of length  $n + 4$  from  $*$  to *v*, there also exist 3 distinct paths of length t from  $*$  to *v*. paths of length *t* from ∗ to *v*. -

We now prove the main result.

**Theorem 11.** *Let P be a subfactor planar algebra of finite depth k. Let* 2*t be the even number in*  $\{k+3, k+4\}$ *. Let*  $s = \min\{2k, 2t\}$ *. Then P* is generated by a single s-box.

*Proof.*

*Case I*: If  $k < 3$ ,  $s = 2k$ . Then by Proposition 5.1 of [\[3\]](#page-5-0), P is generated by a single *s* box.

*Case II*: If  $k > 3$ , so that  $s = 2t$ , let  $\Gamma$  be the principal graph of the subfactor planar algebra *P*. Then from Proposition 10, the number of paths of length *s* from the ∗-vertex to any even vertex *v* in  $\Gamma$  is at least 3. So  $P_s$  does not have an  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  summand. Consider the *t*-th power, say *X*, of the *s*-rotation tangle. This tangle changes the position of  $*$  on an *s*-box from the top left to the bottom right position. Clearly  $Z_X^P : P_s \to P_s$  is an involutive algebra anti-automorphism. From Theorem 1, there exists an element  $a \in P_s$  such that *a* and  $Z_X^P(a)$  generate  $P_s$  as an algebra. Since  $s \ge k$ , the planar algebra generated by  $P_s$ contains  $P_k$  and thus is the whole of  $P$ . Hence the single  $s$ -box containing  $a$  generates the planar algebra  $P$ . planar algebra  $P$ .

We finish by showing that  $k + 1$  might actually be needed.

*Example* 12. Let  $P = P(V)$  be the tensor planar algebra (see [\[2\]](#page-5-2)) for details) of a vector space *V* of dimension greater than 1. It is easy to see that depth $(P) = 1$ . However, given any  $a \in P_1 = \text{End}(V)$ , if Q is the planar subalgebra of P generated by a, a little thought shows that  $Q_1$  is just the algebra generated by *a* and is hence abelian while  $P_1$  is not.

# **Acknowledgement**

The authors are grateful to Prof. T Y Lam for his remarks.

## **References**

- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[1] Horn R A and Johnson C R, Matrix analysis (Cambridge University Press) (1990)
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[2] Jones V F R, Planar algebras I, *New Zealand J. Math.*, to appear, e-print arXiv: [math.QA/9909027](http://arxiv.org/abs/math.QA/9909027)
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[3] Kodiyalam V and Tupurani S, Universal skein theory for finite depth subfactor planar algebras, (English) Zbl 1252.46064, *Quantum Topol.* **2(2)** (2011) 157–172

COMMUNICATING EDITOR: B V Rajarama Bhat