

## Existence of positive solutions for systems of second order multi-point boundary value problems on time scales

K R PRASAD<sup>1</sup>, N SREEDHAR<sup>2,\*</sup> and M A S SRINIVAS<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, 530 003, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, GITAM University, Visakhapatnam, 530 045, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, JNTU, Hyderabad, 500 085, India

\*Corresponding Author.

E-mail: rajendra92@rediffmail.com; sreedharnamburi@rediffmail.com; massrinivas@gmail.com

MS received 7 February 2014; revised 8 June 2014

**Abstract.** In this paper, we establish the existence of positive solutions for systems of second order multi-point boundary value problems on time scales by applying Guo–Krasnosel’skii fixed point theorem.

**Keywords.** Green’s function; system; boundary value problem; time scale;  $m$ -point; positive solution; cone.

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** 39A10, 34B18, 34A40.

### 1. Introduction

The theory of time scales was introduced by Hilger [14] to unify not only continuous and discrete theory, but also to provide an accurate information of phenomena that manifest themselves partly in continuous time and partly in discrete time. The new methods developed in time scale calculus [1, 8, 9] are significant in the theoretical study of differential equations and difference equations. This theory is applicable to various real life situations like epidemic models, stock markets and mathematical modeling of physical and biological systems.

Multi-point boundary value problems (BVPs) for ordinary differential or difference equations arise in different areas of applied mathematics and physics such as the deflection of a curved beam having a constant or varying cross section, three layer beam, electromagnetic waves or gravity-driven flow and so on. For example, the vibrations of a guy wire of a uniform cross-section and composed of  $N$  parts of different densities can be set up as a multi-point BVP [18] and also many problems in the theory of elastic stability can be handled as multi-point problems [20]. The study of multi-point BVPs for second order differential equations was introduced by Il’in and Moiseev [15, 16]. Since then, such multi-point BVPs (continuous or discrete cases) have been studied by many authors using different methods such as fixed point theorems in cones.

There has been a lot of interest in establishing the existence of positive solutions of the boundary value problems on time scales, often using Guo–Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem. To mention a few papers along these lines are [2–7, 10, 11, 13] and [19].

Till now, in the literature, the authors established results for the existence of positive solutions for the system of dynamic equations on time scales satisfying same type of boundary conditions. We wish to extend these results to system of dynamic equations on time scales satisfying general boundary conditions.

In this paper, we consider the system of nonlinear second order dynamic equations on time scales

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + \lambda p(t)f(u(t), v(t)) &= 0, & t \in [a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ v^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + \mu q(t)g(u(t), v(t)) &= 0, & t \in [a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.1)$$

satisfying the multi-point boundary conditions,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u(a) &= 0, & \alpha_1 u(\sigma(b)) + \beta_1 u^{\Delta}(\sigma(b)) &= \sum_{k=2}^{m-1} u^{\Delta}(\xi_k), & m \geq 3, \\ v(a) &= 0, & \alpha_2 v(\sigma(b)) + \beta_2 v^{\Delta}(\sigma(b)) &= \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} v^{\Delta}(\eta_k), & n \geq 3, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\mathbb{T}$  is the time scale with  $a, \sigma^2(b) \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $0 \leq a < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_{m-1} < \sigma(b)$ ,  $0 \leq a < \eta_2 < \dots < \eta_{n-1} < \sigma(b)$ . We shall give sufficient conditions on  $\lambda, \mu, f$  and  $g$  such that the BVP (1.1)–(1.2) has positive solutions. By a positive solution of the BVP (1.1)–(1.2), we mean a pair  $(u, v) \in C^2([a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}) \times C^2([a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}})$  satisfying (1.1) and (1.2) with  $u(t) \geq 0, v(t) \geq 0$ , for all  $t \in [a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$ .

We assume the following conditions hold throughout the paper:

- (A1) the functions  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  are continuous,
- (A2) the functions  $p, q : [a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  are continuous and  $p, q$  do not vanish identically on any closed subinterval of  $[a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,
- (A3)  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are positive constants such that  $\alpha_1 \geq \frac{\beta_1}{\xi_2 - a}, \alpha_2 \geq \frac{\beta_2}{\eta_2 - a}, \beta_1 > m - 2$  and  $\beta_2 > n - 2$ ,
- (A4) each of these

$$\begin{aligned} f_0^s &= \lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow (0^+, 0^+)} \sup \frac{f(u, v)}{u + v}, & g_0^s &= \lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow (0^+, 0^+)} \sup \frac{g(u, v)}{u + v}, \\ f_0^i &= \lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow (0^+, 0^+)} \inf \frac{f(u, v)}{u + v}, & g_0^i &= \lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow (0^+, 0^+)} \inf \frac{g(u, v)}{u + v}, \\ f_{\infty}^s &= \lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow (\infty, \infty)} \sup \frac{f(u, v)}{u + v}, & g_{\infty}^s &= \lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow (\infty, \infty)} \sup \frac{g(u, v)}{u + v}, \\ f_{\infty}^i &= \lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow (\infty, \infty)} \inf \frac{f(u, v)}{u + v}, & g_{\infty}^i &= \lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow (\infty, \infty)} \inf \frac{g(u, v)}{u + v}, \end{aligned}$$

exist as positive real numbers.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In §2, we construct the Green's functions for the homogeneous problems corresponding to (1.1)–(1.2) and estimate bounds for the Green's functions. In §3, we establish the existence of positive solutions of the BVP (1.1)–

(1.2) by using Guo–Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem for operators on a cone in a Banach space. Finally as an application, we give an example to illustrate our result.

## 2. Green's function and bounds

In this section, we construct the Green's functions for the homogeneous problems corresponding to (1.1)–(1.2) and estimate bounds for the Green's functions.

Let  $G(t, s)$  be the Green's function for the homogeneous BVP,

$$-u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = 0, \quad t \in [a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$u(a) = 0, \quad \alpha_1 u(\sigma(b)) + \beta_1 u^{\Delta}(\sigma(b)) = \sum_{k=2}^{m-1} u^{\Delta}(\xi_k), \quad m \geq 3. \quad (2.2)$$

*Lemma 2.1.* Let  $d_1 = \alpha_1(\sigma(b) - a) + \beta_1 - m + 2 \neq 0$ . Then the Green's function  $G(t, s)$  for the homogeneous BVP (2.1)–(2.2) is given by

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} G_1(t, s), & a \leq s \leq \sigma(s) \leq \xi_2, \\ G_2(t, s), & \xi_2 \leq s \leq \sigma(s) \leq \xi_3, \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ G_{m-2}(t, s), & \xi_{m-2} \leq s \leq \sigma(s) \leq \xi_{m-1}, \\ G_{m-1}(t, s), & \xi_{m-1} \leq s \leq \sigma(s) \leq \sigma(b), \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$G_j(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d_1} [(\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - t) + \beta_1 - m + j + 1)(\sigma(s) - a) + (j - 1)(t - \sigma(s))], & \sigma(s) \leq t, \\ \frac{1}{d_1} (t - a) [\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - \sigma(s)) + \beta_1 - m + j + 1], & t \leq s, \end{cases}$$

for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that, if  $h(t) \in C([a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ , then the following problem

$$-u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = h(t), \quad t \in [a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}},$$

satisfying the boundary conditions (2.2) has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{d_1} (t - a) \left[ \int_a^{\sigma(b)} (\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - \sigma(s)) + \beta_1) h(s) \Delta s - \sum_{k=2}^{m-1} \int_a^{\xi_k} h(s) \Delta s \right] - \int_a^t (t - \sigma(s)) h(s) \Delta s.$$

Rearranging the terms, it can be written as

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{d_1}(t-a) \left[ \int_a^{\sigma(b)} (\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - \sigma(s)) + \beta_1)h(s)\Delta s - (m-2) \int_a^{\xi_2} h(s)\Delta s \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{j=2}^{m-2} (m-j-1) \int_{\xi_j}^{\xi_{j+1}} h(s)\Delta s \right] + \int_a^t (\sigma(s) - t)h(s)\Delta s.$$

Case 1. Let  $a \leq s \leq \sigma(s) \leq \xi_2$  and  $\sigma(s) \leq t$ . Then we have

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{d_1}(t-a)[\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - \sigma(s)) + \beta_1 - (m-2)] + \sigma(s) - t \\ = \frac{1}{d_1}(\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - t) + \beta_1 - m + 2)(\sigma(s) - a).$$

Case 2. Let  $a \leq s \leq \sigma(s) \leq \xi_2$  and  $t \leq s$ . Then we have

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{d_1}(t-a)[\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - \sigma(s)) + \beta_1 - m + 2].$$

Case 3. Let  $\xi_j \leq s \leq \sigma(s) \leq \xi_{j+1}$ , for  $j = 2, 3, \dots, m-2$  and  $\sigma(s) \leq t$ . Then we have

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{d_1}(t-a)[\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - \sigma(s)) + \beta_1 - (m-j-1)] + \sigma(s) - t \\ = \frac{1}{d_1}[(\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - t) + \beta_1 - m + j + 1)(\sigma(s) - a) + (j-1)(t - \sigma(s))].$$

Case 4. Let  $\xi_j \leq s \leq \sigma(s) \leq \xi_{j+1}$ , for  $j = 2, 3, \dots, m-2$  and  $t \leq s$ . Then we have

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{d_1}(t-a)[\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - \sigma(s)) + \beta_1 - m + j + 1].$$

Case 5. Let  $\xi_{m-1} \leq s \leq \sigma(s) \leq \sigma(b)$  and  $\sigma(s) \leq t$ . Then we have

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{d_1}(t-a)[\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - \sigma(s)) + \beta_1] + \sigma(s) - t \\ = \frac{1}{d_1}[(\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - t) + \beta_1)(\sigma(s) - a) + (m-2)(t - \sigma(s))].$$

Case 6. Let  $\xi_{m-1} \leq s \leq \sigma(s) \leq \sigma(b)$  and  $t \leq s$ . Then we have

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{d_1}(t-a)[\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - \sigma(s)) + \beta_1]. \quad \square$$

*Lemma 2.2. Assume that the condition (A3) is satisfied. Then the Green's function  $G(t, s)$  of (2.1)–(2.2) is positive, for all  $(t, s) \in (a, \sigma(b))_{\mathbb{T}} \times (a, b)_{\mathbb{T}}$ .*

*Proof.* By simple algebraic calculations, we can easily establish the positivity of the Green's function.  $\square$

*Lemma 2.3.* Assume that the condition (A3) is satisfied. Then the Green's function  $G(t, s)$  in (2.3) satisfies the following inequality:

$$g(t)G(\sigma(s), s) \leq G(t, s) \leq G(\sigma(s), s), \text{ for all } (t, s) \in [a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$g(t) = \min \left\{ \frac{\sigma(b) - t}{\sigma(b) - a}, \frac{t - a}{\sigma(b) - a} \right\}. \quad (2.5)$$

*Proof.* The Green's function  $G(t, s)$  is given in (2.3). In each case, we prove the inequality as in (2.4).

*Case 1.* Let  $s \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $\sigma(s) \leq t$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G(t, s)}{G(\sigma(s), s)} &= \frac{(\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - t) + \beta_1 - m + j + 1)(\sigma(s) - a) + (j - 1)(t - \sigma(s))}{(\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - \sigma(s)) + \beta_1 - m + j + 1)(\sigma(s) - a)} \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - t) + \beta_1 - m + j + 1 + \alpha_1(t - \sigma(s))}{\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - \sigma(s)) + \beta_1 - m + j + 1} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G(t, s)}{G(\sigma(s), s)} &= \frac{(\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - t) + \beta_1 - m + j + 1)(\sigma(s) - a) + (j - 1)(t - \sigma(s))}{(\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - \sigma(s)) + \beta_1 - m + j + 1)(\sigma(s) - a)} \\ &\geq \frac{\sigma(b) - t}{\sigma(b) - a}. \end{aligned}$$

*Case 2.* Let  $s \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $t \leq s$ . Then

$$\frac{G(t, s)}{G(\sigma(s), s)} = \frac{t - a}{\sigma(s) - a} \leq 1$$

and also

$$\frac{G(t, s)}{G(\sigma(s), s)} = \frac{t - a}{\sigma(s) - a} \geq \frac{t - a}{\sigma(b) - a}.$$

Hence the result.  $\square$

*Lemma 2.4.* Assume that the condition (A3) is satisfied and  $s \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Then the Green's function  $G(t, s)$  in (2.3) satisfies

$$\min_{t \in [\xi_{m-1}, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}} G(t, s) \geq k_1 G(\sigma(s), s),$$

where

$$k_1 = \frac{\beta_1 - m + 2}{\alpha_1(\sigma(b) - a) + \beta_1 - m + 2} < 1. \quad (2.6)$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.3, we can easily establish the result.  $\square$

We can also formulate the same results as Lemmas 2.1–2.4 above for the following BVP,

$$-v^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = 0, \quad t \in [a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$v(a) = 0, \quad \alpha_2 v(\sigma(b)) + \beta_2 v^{\Delta}(\sigma(b)) = \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} v^{\Delta}(\eta_k), \quad n \geq 3, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $0 \leq a < \eta_2 < \dots < \eta_{n-1} < \sigma(b)$ .

If  $d_2 = \alpha_2(\sigma(b) - a) + \beta_2 - n + 2 \neq 0$ , we denote by  $H(t, s)$ , the Green's function for the homogeneous BVP (2.7)–(2.8) and define in a similar manner as  $G(t, s)$ .

Under similar assumptions as those from Lemmas 2.2–2.4, we have

- (B1) the Green's function  $H(t, s)$  is positive, for all  $(t, s) \in (a, \sigma(b))_{\mathbb{T}} \times (a, b)_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  
 (B2)  $g(t)H(\sigma(s), s) \leq H(t, s) \leq H(\sigma(s), s)$ , for all  $(t, s) \in [a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  
 where  $g(t)$  is given in (2.5),  
 (B3)

$$\min_{t \in [\eta_{n-1}, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}} H(t, s) \geq k_2 H(\sigma(s), s), \quad s \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}},$$

where

$$k_2 = \frac{\beta_2 - n + 2}{\alpha_2(\sigma(b) - a) + \beta_2 - n + 2} < 1. \quad (2.9)$$

To establish criteria for the existence of positive solutions for the BVP (1.1)–(1.2), we will employ the following Guo–Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem [12, 17].

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $\kappa \subseteq X$  be a cone and suppose that  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  are open subsets of  $X$  with  $0 \in \Omega_1$  and  $\bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$ . Suppose further that  $T : \kappa \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow \kappa$  is a completely continuous operator such that either*

- (i)  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in \kappa \cap \partial\Omega_1$  and  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in \kappa \cap \partial\Omega_2$ , or  
 (ii)  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in \kappa \cap \partial\Omega_1$  and  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in \kappa \cap \partial\Omega_2$  holds.

Then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $\kappa \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ .

### 3. Existence of positive solutions

In this section, we shall give sufficient conditions on  $\lambda, \mu, f$  and  $g$  such that the BVP (1.1)–(1.2) has positive solutions in a cone.

We consider the Banach space  $X = \{x \mid x \in C[a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}\}$  with the supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , and the Banach space  $Y = X \times X$  with the norm  $\|(u, v)\|_Y = \|u\| + \|v\|$ , where

$$\|u\| = \sup_{t \in [a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}} |u(t)|.$$

Define a cone  $P \subset Y$  by

$$P = \left\{ (u, v) \in Y \mid \begin{array}{l} u(t) \geq 0, v(t) \geq 0 \text{ on } [a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}} \text{ and} \\ \min_{t \in [r, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}} (u(t) + v(t)) \geq k\|(u, v)\|_Y \end{array} \right\},$$

where  $r = \max\{\xi_{m-1}, \eta_{n-1}\}$ ,  $k = \min\{k_1, k_2\}$  and  $k_1, k_2$  are defined in (2.6) and (2.9) respectively.

We shall present some existence results for the positive solutions of the BVP (1.1)–(1.2) under various assumptions on  $f_0^s, g_0^s, f_0^i, g_0^i, f_\infty^s, g_\infty^s, f_\infty^i$  and  $g_\infty^i$ .

Now, we define the positive numbers  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  and  $L_4$  by

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \alpha \left[ kk_1 f_\infty^i \int_r^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s) p(s) \Delta s \right]^{-1}, \\ L_2 &= \alpha \left[ f_0^s \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s) p(s) \Delta s \right]^{-1}, \\ L_3 &= \beta \left[ kk_2 g_\infty^i \int_r^{\sigma(b)} H(\sigma(s), s) q(s) \Delta s \right]^{-1}, \\ L_4 &= \beta \left[ g_0^s \int_a^{\sigma(b)} H(\sigma(s), s) q(s) \Delta s \right]^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  are two positive real numbers such that  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that the conditions (A1)–(A4) hold.*

- (i) *If  $f_0^s, g_0^s, f_\infty^i, g_\infty^i \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $L_1 < L_2$  and  $L_3 < L_4$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (L_1, L_2)$  and  $\mu \in (L_3, L_4)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$  on  $[a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$  for (1.1)–(1.2).*
- (ii) *If  $f_0^s = g_0^s = 0$ ,  $f_\infty^i, g_\infty^i \in (0, \infty)$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (L_1, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (L_3, \infty)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$  on  $[a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$  for (1.1)–(1.2).*
- (iii) *If  $f_0^s, g_0^s \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $f_\infty^i = g_\infty^i = \infty$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (0, L_2)$  and  $\mu \in (0, L_4)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$  on  $[a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$  for (1.1)–(1.2).*
- (iv) *If  $f_0^s = g_0^s = 0$ ,  $f_\infty^i = g_\infty^i = \infty$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$  on  $[a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$  for (1.1)–(1.2).*

*Proof.*

(i) Let  $T_1, T_2 : P \rightarrow X$  and  $T : P \rightarrow Y$  be the operators defined by

$$T_1(u, v)(t) = \lambda \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(t, s) p(s) f(u(s), v(s)) \Delta s,$$

$$T_2(u, v)(t) = \mu \int_a^{\sigma(b)} H(t, s)q(s)g(u(s), v(s))\Delta s$$

and

$$T(u, v)(t) = (T_1(u, v)(t), T_2(u, v)(t)), \quad \text{for } (u, v) \in Y,$$

where  $G(t, s)$  and  $H(t, s)$  are the Green's functions for the homogeneous BVPs (2.1)–(2.2) and (2.7)–(2.8) respectively. It is obvious that a fixed point of  $T$  is a solution of the BVP (1.1)–(1.2). We now show that  $T : P \rightarrow P$ . Let  $(u, v) \in P$ . From Lemma 2.2 and (B1),  $T_1(u, v)(t) \geq 0$  and  $T_2(u, v)(t) \geq 0$  on  $[a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Also, for  $(u, v) \in P$ , by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(u, v)(t) &= \lambda \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(t, s)p(s)f(u(s), v(s))\Delta s \\ &\leq \lambda \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s)p(s)f(u(s), v(s))\Delta s \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\|T_1(u, v)\| \leq \lambda \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s)p(s)f(u(s), v(s))\Delta s.$$

Next, if  $(u, v) \in P$ , then by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{t \in [r, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}} T_1(u, v)(t) &\geq \min_{t \in [\xi_{m-1}, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}} T_1(u, v)(t) \\ &= \min_{t \in [\xi_{m-1}, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}} \lambda \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(t, s)p(s)f(u(s), v(s))\Delta s \\ &\geq \lambda k_1 \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s)p(s)f(u(s), v(s))\Delta s \\ &\geq k_1 \|T_1(u, v)\|. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar manner, we conclude that

$$\min_{t \in [r, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}} T_2(u, v)(t) \geq k_2 \|T_2(u, v)\|.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{t \in [r, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}} (T_1(u, v)(t) + T_2(u, v)(t)) &\geq \min_{t \in [r, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}} T_1(u, v)(t) \\ &\quad + \min_{t \in [r, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}} T_2(u, v)(t) \\ &\geq k_1 \|T_1(u, v)\| + k_2 \|T_2(u, v)\| \\ &\geq k \|(T_1(u, v), T_2(u, v))\|_Y \\ &= k \|T(u, v)\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $T(u, v) \in P$  and so  $T : P \rightarrow P$ . By standard arguments, we can easily show that  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are completely continuous and so,  $T$  is a completely continuous operator.

Now, let  $\lambda \in (L_1, L_2)$ ,  $\mu \in (L_3, L_4)$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be a positive number such that  $\epsilon < f_\infty^i, \epsilon < g_\infty^i$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \left[ kk_1(f_\infty^i - \epsilon) \int_r^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s)p(s)\Delta s \right]^{-1} &\leq \lambda, \\ \alpha \left[ (f_0^s + \epsilon) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s)p(s)\Delta s \right]^{-1} &\geq \lambda, \\ \beta \left[ kk_2(g_\infty^i - \epsilon) \int_r^{\sigma(b)} H(\sigma(s), s)q(s)\Delta s \right]^{-1} &\leq \mu, \\ \beta \left[ (g_0^s + \epsilon) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} H(\sigma(s), s)q(s)\Delta s \right]^{-1} &\geq \mu. \end{aligned}$$

By the definitions of  $f_0^s$  and  $g_0^s$ , there exists  $J_1 > 0$  such that

$$f(u, v) \leq (f_0^s + \epsilon)(u+v) \quad \text{and} \quad g(u, v) \leq (g_0^s + \epsilon)(u+v), \quad 0 < u+v \leq J_1.$$

By (A1), the above inequalities are also valid for  $u = v = 0$ .

Let  $(u, v) \in P$  with  $\|(u, v)\|_Y = J_1$ . i.e.,  $\|u\| + \|v\| = J_1$ . Then, from Lemma 2.3, for  $a \leq t \leq \sigma(b)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(u, v)(t) &= \lambda \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(t, s)p(s)f(u(s), v(s))\Delta s \\ &\leq \lambda \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s)p(s)f(u(s), v(s))\Delta s \\ &\leq \lambda \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s)p(s)(f_0^s + \epsilon)(u(s) + v(s))\Delta s \\ &\leq \lambda(f_0^s + \epsilon) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s)p(s)(\|u\| + \|v\|)\Delta s \\ &\leq \alpha(\|u\| + \|v\|) \\ &= \alpha\|(u, v)\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|T_1(u, v)\| \leq \alpha\|(u, v)\|_Y.$$

In a similar manner, we conclude that

$$\|T_2(u, v)\| \leq \beta\|(u, v)\|_Y.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(u, v)\|_Y &= \|(T_1(u, v), T_2(u, v))\|_Y \\ &= \|T_1(u, v)\| + \|T_2(u, v)\| \\ &\leq \alpha\|(u, v)\|_Y + \beta\|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)\|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &= \|(u, v)\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\|T(u, v)\|_Y \leq \|(u, v)\|_Y$ . If we set

$$\Omega_1 = \{(u, v) \in Y \mid \|(u, v)\|_Y < J_1\},$$

then

$$\|T(u, v)\|_Y \leq \|(u, v)\|_Y, \quad \text{for } (u, v) \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1. \quad (3.1)$$

By the definitions of  $f_\infty^i$  and  $g_\infty^i$ , there exists  $\bar{J}_2 > 0$  such that

$$f(u, v) \geq (f_\infty^i - \epsilon)(u + v) \quad \text{and} \quad g(u, v) \geq (g_\infty^i - \epsilon)(u + v), \quad u + v \geq \bar{J}_2.$$

Let

$$J_2 = \max \left\{ 2J_1, \frac{\bar{J}_2}{k} \right\}.$$

Choose  $(u, v) \in P$  with  $\|(u, v)\|_Y = J_2$ . Then

$$\min_{t \in [r, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}} (u(t) + v(t)) \geq k\|(u, v)\|_Y \geq \bar{J}_2.$$

From Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(u, v)(t) &= \lambda \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(t, s) p(s) f(u(s), v(s)) \Delta s \\ &\geq \lambda k_1 \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s) p(s) f(u(s), v(s)) \Delta s \\ &\geq \lambda k_1 \int_r^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s) p(s) f(u(s), v(s)) \Delta s \\ &\geq \lambda k_1 \int_r^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s) p(s) (f_\infty^i - \epsilon)(u(s) + v(s)) \Delta s \\ &\geq \lambda k_1 (f_\infty^i - \epsilon) \int_r^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s) p(s) k \|(u, v)\|_Y \Delta s \\ &\geq \alpha \|(u, v)\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|T_1(u, v)\| \geq \alpha \|(u, v)\|_Y.$$

In a similar manner, we conclude that

$$\|T_2(u, v)\| \geq \beta \|(u, v)\|_Y.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(u, v)\|_Y &= \|(T_1(u, v), T_2(u, v))\|_Y \\ &= \|T_1(u, v)\| + \|T_2(u, v)\| \\ &\geq \alpha \|(u, v)\|_Y + \beta \|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &= (\alpha + \beta) \|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &= \|(u, v)\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\|T(u, v)\|_Y \geq \|(u, v)\|_Y$ . If we set

$$\Omega_2 = \{(u, v) \in Y \mid \|(u, v)\|_Y < J_2\},$$

then

$$\|T(u, v)\|_Y \geq \|(u, v)\|_Y, \text{ for } (u, v) \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2. \quad (3.2)$$

Applying Theorem 2.5 to (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain that  $T$  has a fixed point  $(u, v)$  in  $P \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$  and hence the BVP (1.1)–(1.2) has a positive solution such that  $J_1 \leq \|u\| + \|v\| \leq J_2$ .

(ii) Let  $\lambda \in (L_1, \infty)$ ,  $\mu \in (L_3, \infty)$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be a positive number such that  $\epsilon < f_\infty^i$ ,  $\epsilon < g_\infty^i$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \left[ kk_1(f_\infty^i - \epsilon) \int_r^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s)p(s)\Delta s \right]^{-1} &\leq \lambda, \\ \beta \left[ kk_2(g_\infty^i - \epsilon) \int_r^{\sigma(b)} H(\sigma(s), s)q(s)\Delta s \right]^{-1} &\leq \mu, \\ \epsilon &\leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} \left[ \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s)p(s)\Delta s \right]^{-1}, \\ \epsilon &\leq \frac{\beta}{\mu} \left[ \int_a^{\sigma(b)} H(\sigma(s), s)q(s)\Delta s \right]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definitions of  $f_0^s = 0$  and  $g_0^s = 0$ , there exists  $J_1 > 0$  such that

$$f(u, v) \leq \epsilon(u + v) \quad \text{and} \quad g(u, v) \leq \epsilon(u + v), \quad 0 \leq u + v \leq J_1.$$

Let  $(u, v) \in P$  with  $\|(u, v)\|_Y = J_1$ . i.e.,  $\|u\| + \|v\| = J_1$ . Then, from Lemma 2.3, for  $a \leq t \leq \sigma(b)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(u, v)(t) &= \lambda \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(t, s)p(s)f(u(s), v(s))\Delta s \\ &\leq \lambda \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s)p(s)f(u(s), v(s))\Delta s \\ &\leq \lambda \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s)p(s)\epsilon(u(s) + v(s))\Delta s \\ &\leq \lambda\epsilon \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s)p(s)(\|u\| + \|v\|)\Delta s \\ &\leq \alpha(\|u\| + \|v\|) \\ &= \alpha\|(u, v)\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|T_1(u, v)\| \leq \alpha\|(u, v)\|_Y.$$

In a similar manner, we conclude that

$$\|T_2(u, v)\| \leq \beta\|(u, v)\|_Y.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\|T(u, v)\|_Y &= \|(T_1(u, v), T_2(u, v))\|_Y \\ &= \|T_1(u, v)\| + \|T_2(u, v)\| \\ &\leq \alpha\|(u, v)\|_Y + \beta\|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)\|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &= \|(u, v)\|_Y.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\|T(u, v)\|_Y \leq \|(u, v)\|_Y$ . Define the set

$$\Omega_1 = \{(u, v) \in Y \mid \|(u, v)\|_Y < J_1\},$$

then

$$\|T(u, v)\|_Y \leq \|(u, v)\|_Y, \quad \text{for } (u, v) \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1. \quad (3.3)$$

By the definitions of  $f_\infty^i, g_\infty^i \in (0, \infty)$ , there exists  $\bar{J}_2 > 0$  such that

$$f(u, v) \geq (f_\infty^i - \epsilon)(u + v) \quad \text{and} \quad g(u, v) \geq (g_\infty^i - \epsilon)(u + v), \quad u + v \geq \bar{J}_2.$$

Define the set

$$\Omega_2 = \{(u, v) \in Y \mid \|(u, v)\|_Y < J_2\}$$

and proceeding in a similar manner of proof (i), we get

$$\|T(u, v)\|_Y \geq \|(u, v)\|_Y, \quad \text{for } (u, v) \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2. \quad (3.4)$$

Applying Theorem 2.5 to (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain that  $T$  has a fixed point  $(u, v)$  in  $P \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$  and hence the BVP (1.1)–(1.2) has a positive solution such that  $J_1 \leq \|u\| + \|v\| \leq J_2$ . Similarly, we can prove the remaining.  $\square$

Prior to our next result, we define the positive numbers  $M_1, M_2, M_3$  and  $M_4$  by

$$\begin{aligned}M_1 &= \gamma \left[ k k_1 f_0^i \int_r^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s) p(s) \Delta s \right]^{-1}, \\ M_2 &= \gamma \left[ f_\infty^s \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s) p(s) \Delta s \right]^{-1}, \\ M_3 &= \delta \left[ k k_2 g_0^i \int_r^{\sigma(b)} H(\sigma(s), s) q(s) \Delta s \right]^{-1}, \\ M_4 &= \delta \left[ g_\infty^s \int_a^{\sigma(b)} H(\sigma(s), s) q(s) \Delta s \right]^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  are two positive real numbers such that  $\gamma + \delta = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that the conditions (A1)–(A4) hold.

- (i) If  $f_0^i, g_0^i, f_\infty^s, g_\infty^s \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $M_1 < M_2$  and  $M_3 < M_4$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (M_1, M_2)$  and  $\mu \in (M_3, M_4)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$  on  $[a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$  for (1.1)–(1.2).
- (ii) If  $f_\infty^s = g_\infty^s = 0$ ,  $f_0^i, g_0^i \in (0, \infty)$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (M_1, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (M_3, \infty)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$  on  $[a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$  for (1.1)–(1.2).
- (iii) If  $f_\infty^s, g_\infty^s \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $f_0^i = g_0^i = \infty$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (0, M_2)$  and  $\mu \in (0, M_4)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$  on  $[a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$  for (1.1)–(1.2).
- (iv) If  $f_\infty^s = g_\infty^s = 0$ ,  $f_0^i = g_0^i = \infty$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$  on  $[a, \sigma(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$  for (1.1)–(1.2).

*Proof.*

(i) let  $\lambda \in (M_1, M_2)$ ,  $\mu \in (M_3, M_4)$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be a positive number such that  $\epsilon < f_0^i$ ,  $\epsilon < g_0^i$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \left[ kk_1(f_0^i - \epsilon) \int_r^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s)p(s)\Delta s \right]^{-1} &\leq \lambda, \\ \gamma \left[ (f_\infty^s + \epsilon) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s)p(s)\Delta s \right]^{-1} &\geq \lambda, \\ \delta \left[ kk_2(g_0^i - \epsilon) \int_r^{\sigma(b)} H(\sigma(s), s)q(s)\Delta s \right]^{-1} &\leq \mu, \\ \delta \left[ (g_\infty^s + \epsilon) \int_a^{\sigma(b)} H(\sigma(s), s)q(s)\Delta s \right]^{-1} &\geq \mu. \end{aligned}$$

By the definitions of  $f_0^i, g_0^i \in (0, \infty)$ , there exists  $J_3 > 0$  such that

$$f(u, v) \geq (f_0^i - \epsilon)(u + v) \quad \text{and} \quad g(u, v) \geq (g_0^i - \epsilon)(u + v), \quad 0 < u + v \leq J_3.$$

By (A1), the above inequalities are also valid for  $u = v = 0$ .

Let  $(u, v) \in P$  with  $\|(u, v)\|_Y = J_3$ . i.e.,  $\|u\| + \|v\| = J_3$ . Then, from Lemma 2.4, for  $a \leq t \leq \sigma(b)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(u, v)(t) &= \lambda \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(t, s)p(s)f(u(s), v(s))\Delta s \\ &\geq \lambda k_1 \int_r^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s)p(s)f(u(s), v(s))\Delta s \\ &\geq \lambda k_1 \int_r^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s)p(s)(f_0^i - \epsilon)(u(s) + v(s))\Delta s \\ &\geq \lambda k_1 (f_0^i - \epsilon) \int_r^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s)p(s)k\|(u, v)\|_Y \Delta s \\ &\geq \gamma \|(u, v)\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|T_1(u, v)\| \geq \gamma \|(u, v)\|_Y.$$

In a similar manner, we conclude that

$$\|T_2(u, v)\| \geq \delta\|(u, v)\|_Y.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(u, v)\|_Y &= \|(T_1(u, v), T_2(u, v))\|_Y \\ &= \|T_1(u, v)\| + \|T_2(u, v)\| \\ &\geq \gamma\|(u, v)\|_Y + \delta\|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &= (\gamma + \delta)\|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &= \|(u, v)\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\|T(u, v)\|_Y \geq \|(u, v)\|_Y$ . If we set

$$\Omega_3 = \{(u, v) \in Y \mid \|(u, v)\| < J_3\},$$

then

$$\|T(u, v)\|_Y \geq \|(u, v)\|_Y, \text{ for } (u, v) \in P \cap \partial\Omega_3. \quad (3.5)$$

Now, we define the functions  $f^*, g^* : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by

$$f^*(x) = \max_{0 \leq u+v \leq x} f(u, v) \quad \text{and} \quad g^*(x) = \max_{0 \leq u+v \leq x} g(u, v), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Then

$$f(u, v) \leq f^*(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g(u, v) \leq g^*(x), \quad u + v \leq x.$$

It follows that the functions  $f^*, g^*$  are nondecreasing and satisfy the conditions

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f^*(x)}{x} = f_\infty^s, \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g^*(x)}{x} = g_\infty^s.$$

Next, by the definitions of  $f_\infty^s, g_\infty^s \in (0, \infty)$ , there exists  $\bar{J}_4 > 0$  such that

$$f^*(x) \leq (f_\infty^s + \epsilon)x \quad \text{and} \quad g^*(x) \leq (g_\infty^s + \epsilon)x, \quad x \geq \bar{J}_4.$$

Let

$$J_4 = \max \{2J_3, \bar{J}_4\}.$$

Choose  $(u, v) \in P$  with  $\|(u, v)\|_Y = J_4$ . Then, by the definitions of  $f^*$  and  $g^*$ , we have

$$f(u(t), v(t)) \leq f^*(u(t) + v(t)) \leq f^*(\|u\| + \|v\|) = f^*(\|(u, v)\|_Y)$$

and

$$g(u(t), v(t)) \leq g^*(u(t) + v(t)) \leq g^*(\|u\| + \|v\|) = g^*(\|(u, v)\|_Y).$$

From Lemma 2.3, for  $a \leq t \leq \sigma(b)$ , we have

$$T_1(u, v)(t) = \lambda \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(t, s) p(s) f(u(s), v(s)) \Delta s$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \lambda \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s) p(s) f(u(s), v(s)) \Delta s \\
&\leq \lambda \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s) p(s) f^*(\|(u, v)\|_Y) \Delta s \\
&\leq \lambda \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(\sigma(s), s) p(s) (f_\infty^s + \epsilon) \|(u, v)\|_Y \Delta s \\
&\leq \gamma \|(u, v)\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|T_1(u, v)\| \leq \gamma \|(u, v)\|_Y.$$

In a similar manner, we conclude that

$$\|T_2(u, v)\| \leq \delta \|(u, v)\|_Y.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T(u, v)\|_Y &= \|(T_1(u, v), T_2(u, v))\|_Y \\
&= \|T_1(u, v)\| + \|T_2(u, v)\| \\
&\leq \gamma \|(u, v)\|_Y + \delta \|(u, v)\|_Y \\
&= (\gamma + \delta) \|(u, v)\|_Y \\
&= \|(u, v)\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\|T(u, v)\| \leq \|(u, v)\|_Y$ . If we set

$$\Omega_4 = \{(u, v) \in Y \mid \|(u, v)\| < J_4\},$$

then

$$\|T(u, v)\|_Y \leq \|(u, v)\|_Y, \text{ for } (u, v) \in P \cap \partial\Omega_4. \quad (3.6)$$

Applying Theorem 2.5 to (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain that  $T$  has a fixed point  $(u, v)$  in  $P \cap (\bar{\Omega}_4 \setminus \Omega_3)$  and hence the BVP (1.1)–(1.2) has a positive solution such that  $J_3 \leq \|(u, v)\|_Y \leq J_4$ .

The proofs of the remaining cases (ii)–(iv) are similar that of (i) and we shall omit them.  $\square$

#### 4. Example

Let us consider an example to illustrate the above result.

Let  $\mathbb{T} = \{(\frac{1}{2})^p : p \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \cup [1, 2]$ . Take  $m = 3, n = 4, a = \frac{1}{2}, b = 2, \xi_2 = \frac{3}{2}, \eta_2 = 1, \eta_3 = \frac{3}{2}, \alpha_1 = 4, \alpha_2 = 7, \beta_1 = 2, \beta_2 = 3$ . Now, consider the BVP,

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + \lambda p(t) f(u(t), v(t)) &= 0, & t \in \left[ \frac{1}{2}, \sigma(2) \right]_{\mathbb{T}}, \\
v^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + \mu q(t) g(u(t), v(t)) &= 0, & t \in \left[ \frac{1}{2}, \sigma(2) \right]_{\mathbb{T}},
\end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4.1)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &= 0, & 4u(\sigma(2)) + 2u^\Delta(\sigma(2)) &= u^\Delta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right), \\ v\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &= 0, & 7v(\sigma(2)) + 3v^\Delta(\sigma(2)) &= v^\Delta(1) + v^\Delta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$f(u, v) = \frac{(u+v)[1600(u+v)+1](5+\sin v)}{u+v+1},$$

$$g(u, v) = \frac{(u+v)[700(u+v)+1](10+\cos u)}{u+v+1},$$

and  $p(t) = q(t) = 1$ . The Green's function  $G(t, s)$  is

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} G_1(t, s), & \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq \sigma(s) \leq \frac{3}{2}, \\ G_2(t, s), & \frac{3}{2} \leq s \leq \sigma(s) \leq \sigma(2), \end{cases}$$

where

$$G_1(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{7}[4(\sigma(2)-t)+1]\left(\sigma(s)-\frac{1}{2}\right), & \sigma(s) \leq t, \\ \frac{1}{7}\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)[4(\sigma(2)-\sigma(s))+1], & t \leq s, \end{cases}$$

and

$$G_2(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{7}\left[(4(\sigma(2)-t)+2)\left(\sigma(s)-\frac{1}{2}\right)+t-\sigma(s)\right], & \sigma(s) \leq t, \\ \frac{1}{7}\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)[4(\sigma(2)-\sigma(s))+2], & t \leq s. \end{cases}$$

The Green's function  $H(t, s)$  is

$$H(t, s) = \begin{cases} H_1(t, s), & \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq \sigma(s) \leq 1, \\ H_2(t, s), & 1 \leq s \leq \sigma(s) \leq \frac{3}{2}, \\ H_3(t, s), & \frac{3}{2} \leq s \leq \sigma(s) \leq \sigma(2), \end{cases}$$

where

$$H_1(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{23}[7(\sigma(2)-t)+1]\left(\sigma(s)-\frac{1}{2}\right), & \sigma(s) \leq t, \\ \frac{2}{23}\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)[7(\sigma(2)-\sigma(s))+1], & t \leq s, \end{cases}$$

$$H_2(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{23}\left[(7(\sigma(2)-t)+2)\left(\sigma(s)-\frac{1}{2}\right)+t-\sigma(s)\right], & \sigma(s) \leq t, \\ \frac{2}{23}\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)[7(\sigma(2)-\sigma(s))+2], & t \leq s, \end{cases}$$

and

$$H_3(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{23} \left[ (7(\sigma(2) - t) + 3) \left( \sigma(s) - \frac{1}{2} \right) + 2(t - \sigma(s)) \right], & \sigma(s) \leq t, \\ \frac{2}{23} \left( t - \frac{1}{2} \right) [7(\sigma(2) - \sigma(s)) + 3], & t \leq s. \end{cases}$$

After simple calculations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= \frac{1}{7}, \quad k_2 = \frac{2}{23}, \quad k = \frac{2}{23}, \quad f_0^s = 5, \quad f_0^i = 5, \quad f_\infty^s = 9600, \\ f_\infty^i &= 6400, \quad g_0^s = 11, \quad g_0^i = 11, \quad g_\infty^s = 7700, \quad g_\infty^i = 6300, \\ L_1 &= 0.04802556818\alpha, \quad L_2 = 0.1162629758\alpha, \\ L_3 &= 0.08336416581\beta, \quad L_4 = 0.1095672886\beta, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$  are two positive real numbers such that  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ .

Employing Theorem 3.1 of (i), for each  $\lambda \in (L_1, L_2)$  and  $\mu \in (L_3, L_4)$ , there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$  of the BVP (4.1)–(4.2).

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments.

## References

- [1] Agarwal R P and Bohner M, Basic calculus on time scales and some of its applications, *Results Math.* **35** (1999) 3–22
- [2] Agarwal R P, Bohner M and Wong P, Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problems on time scales, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **99** (1999) 153–166
- [3] Anderson D R, Eigenvalue intervals for a second-order mixed-conditions problem on time scale, *Int. J. Nonlinear Diff. Eqns.* **7** (2002) 97–104
- [4] Anderson D R, Eigenvalue intervals for a two-point boundary value problem on a measure chain, *J. Comp. Appl. Math.* **141** (2002) 57–64
- [5] Anderson D R, Eigenvalue intervals for even order Sturm-Liouville dynamic equations, *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **12** (2005) 1–13
- [6] Benchohra M, Berhoun F, Hamani S, Henderson J, Ntouyas S K, Ouahab A and Purnaras I K, Eigenvalues for iterative of systems of nonlinear boundary value problems on time scales, *Nonlinear Dyn. Sys. Theory* **9** (2009) 11–22
- [7] Benchohra M, Henderson J and Ntouyas S K, Eigenvalue problems for systems of nonlinear boundary value problems on time scales, *Adv. Difference Eqns.* **2007** (2007) 1–10 Article ID: 31640
- [8] Bohner M and Peterson A C, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales, An Introduction with Applications* (2001) (Boston, MA: Birkhäuser)
- [9] Bohner M and Peterson A C, *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales* (2003) (Boston: Birkhauser)
- [10] Chyan C J, Davis J M, Henderson J and Yin W K C, Eigenvalue comparisons for nonlinear differential equations on a measure chain, *Elec. J. Diff. Eqns.* **1998** (1998) 1–7
- [11] Chyan C J and Henderson J, Eigenvalue problems for nonlinear differential equations on a measure chain, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **245** (2000) 547–559

- [12] Guo D and Lakshmikantham V, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones* (1988) (Orlando: Academic Press)
- [13] Henderson J and Prasad K R, Comparison of eigenvalues for Lidstone boundary value problems on measure chain, *Comp. Math. Appl.* **38** (1999) 55–62
- [14] Hilger S, Analysis on measure chains – A unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus, *Results Math.* **18** (1990) 18–56
- [15] Il'in V A and Moiseev E I, Nonlocal boundary value problem of the first kind for a Sturm-Liouville operator in its differential and finite difference aspects, *Diff. Eqns.* **23** (1987) 803–810
- [16] Il'in V A and Moiseev E I, Nonlocal boundary value problem of the second kind for a Sturm-Liouville operator, *Diff. Eqns.* **23** (1987) 979–987
- [17] Krasnosel'skii M A, *Positive Solutions of Operator Equations* (1964) (Groningen, The Netherlands: P. Noordhoff Ltd)
- [18] Moshinsky M, Sobre los problemas de condiciones a la frontera en una dimension de caracteristicas discontinuas, *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana* **7** (1950) 10–25
- [19] Prasad K R, Sreedhar N and Narasimhulu Y, Eigenvalue intervals for iterative systems of nonlinear  $m$ -point boundary value problems on time scales, *Diff. Eqns. Dyn. Sys.* **22** (2014) 353–368
- [20] Timoshenko S, *Theory of Elastic Stability* (1961) (New York: McGraw-Hill)

COMMUNICATING EDITOR: B V Rajarama Bhat