RESEARCH ARTICLE



Marker-assisted introgression of *opaque2* allele for rapid conversion of elite hybrids into quality protein maize

FIROZ HOSSAIN¹*, VIGNESH MUTHUSAMY¹, NEHA PANDEY¹, ASHISH K. VISHWAKARMA¹, AANCHAL BAVEJA¹, RAJKUMAR U. ZUNJARE¹, NEPOLEAN THIRUNAVUKKARASU¹, SUPRADIP SAHA¹, KANCHIKERI M. MANJAIAH¹, BODDUPALLI M. PRASANNA^{1,2} and HARI S. GUPTA¹

¹ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi 110 012, India

²Present address: International Maize and Wheat Improvement Centre (CIMMYT), 1041-00621, Nairobi, Kenya *For correspondence. E-mail: fh_gpb@yahoo.com.

Received 29 December 2016; revised 10 July 2017; accepted 23 August 2017; published online 15 March 2018

Abstract. Maize is a valuable source of food and feed worldwide. Maize endosperm protein is, however nutritionally poor due to the reduced levels of two essential amino acids, lysine and tryptophan. In this study, recessive *opaque2* (*o2*) allele that confers enhanced endosperm lysine and tryptophan, was introgressed using marker-assisted backcross breeding into three normal inbred lines (*HK1323, HKI1105* and *HKI1128*). These are the parental lines of three popular medium-maturing single cross hybrids (*HM4, HM8* and *HM9*) in India. Gene-based simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers (*umc1066* and *phi057*) were successfully deployed for introgression of *o2* allele. Background selection using genome-based SSRs helped in recovering > 96% of recurrent parent genome. The newly developed quality protein maize (QPM) inbreds showed modified kernels (25–50% opaqueness) coupled with high degree of phenotypic resemblance to the respective recipient lines, including grain yield. In addition, endosperm protein quality showed increased lysine and tryptophan in the inbreds to the range of 52–95% and 47–118%, respectively. The reconstituted QPM hybrids recorded significant enhancement of endosperm lysine (48–74%) and tryptophan (55–100%) in the endosperm. The QPM hybrids exhibited high phenotypic similarity with the original hybrids for morphological and yield contributing traits along with responses to some major diseases like turcicum leaf blight and maydis leaf blight. The grain yield of QPM hybrids was at par with their original versions under multilocation testing. These elite, high-yielding QPM hybrids with improved protein quality have been released and notified for commercial cultivation, and hold significant promise for improving nutritional security.

Keywords. biofortification; essential amino acids; opaque2; quality protein maize; marker-assisted selection.

Introduction

Protein-energy malnutrition (PEM) has emerged as a major health problem, especially in the developing world (Temba *et al.* 2016). Maize provides a significant amount of total calorie to the human populations worldwide. Energy requirement to the tune of 62% in Mesoamerica, 43% in eastern and southern Africa, 22% in west and central Africa, and 28% in the Andean region, comes from maize (Shiferaw *et al.* 2011). It is also a preferred choice as a food in many of the tribal belts, especially in the north eastern states. A major portion (60-70%) of maize

grains produced worldwide is used for animal consumption. Maize thus serves as an important source of plant protein and total calorie both directly and indirectly. Maize endosperm protein is, however, known to be poor in nutritional value due to low amount of essential amino acids, lysine (2.0% in protein) and tryptophan (0.4% in protein), and their concentration is nearly half of the level recommended for human nutrition (Mertz *et al.* 1964; Prasanna *et al.* 2001). Since, humans and monogastric animals like poultry cannot synthesize these amino acids in their body, healthy diets therefore must include the alternate sources of lysine and tryptophan (Bjarnason and Vasal 1992; Gupta *et al.* 2013). Among various strategies, biofortification

Electronic supplementary material: The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s12041-018-0914-z) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

turns out to be the most sustainable and cost-effective solution to provide micronutrients in natural form (Bouis *et al.* 2011; Gupta *et al.* 2015).

The recessive *opaque2* (*o*2) mutant has been successfully utilized in the breeding programme for enhancement of protein quality (Vasal *et al.* 1980). Initially, maize cultivars with *o*2 mutation was not preferred by farmers and consumers, due to soft and opaque endosperm, increased susceptibility to insect-pest and diseases, and breakage of grains during mechanical processing (Bjarnason and Vasal 1992). Later, endosperm modifier genes that confer hard endosperm in the *o*2 background were introgressed at CIMMYT, Mexico (Villegas *et al.* 1992) and University of Natal, South Africa (Geevers and Lake 1992). This eventually led to the development of nutritionally enriched hard endosperm maize, popularly phrased as 'quality protein maize (QPM)' (Vasal *et al.* 1980).

Globally, a large number of normal maize hybrids have been released and commercialized. In contrast, the germplasm base of QPM is quite narrow, and substantially lesser number of genetically diverse QPM hybrids are available. In India, nearly a dozen QPM hybrids has been released, compared to more than hundred non-QPM/normal maize hybrids (Yadav et al. 2015). It is therefore necessary to develop diverse QPM varieties across different maturity groups and agroecology. The conventional approach takes 10-15 years or more to implement this programme. Conversion of elite normal maize hybrids into QPM requires significantly lesser time, primarily due to tested combining ability, heterosis and adaptability of the already released hybrid (Prasanna et al. 2010). Introgression of a recessive allele through conventional backcross breeding involves 6-7 generations of backcrossing. This time can be significantly reduced to two backcrosses by molecular marker-assisted backcross breeding (MABB) (Babu et al. 2005; Muthusamy et al. 2014). Here, we report rapid conversion of three popular medium-maturity single-cross hybrids released in India, HM4, HM8 and HM9, to QPM using MABB.

Materials and methods

Plant materials

The genetic materials comprised of three elite normal/non QPM maize inbreds, *HKI323*, *HKI1105* and *HKI1128* having low level of lysine (1.76–2.08% in protein) and tryptophan (0.35–0.52% in protein) (table 1). These are the parents of three commercial medium maturing single cross maize hybrids in India (*HM4* (*HKI1105*×*HKI323*), *HM8* (*HKI1105*×*HKI161*) and *HM9* (*HKI1105*×*HKI128*)). *HKI161* possesses o2 allele and is a QPM inbred. *HM4* is adapted to north western plain zone (NWPZ), while *HM8* and *HM9* are for peninsular zone (PZ) and north eastern plain zone (NEPZ) of India (Kaul et al. 2009).

ĺ	Table 1.	Detail	s of	the	recurrent	and	donor
	parents 1	used in	the s	tudy	у.		

Name* Derived from	
Recurrent parents	
HKI323 CIMMYT Pool 28	
HKI1105 Cargil 633	
<i>HKI1128</i> Hybrid from a farmer's fi	eld
Donor parents	
HKI161 CML161	
CML161 G25OC18H520	
HKI193-1 CML193	

*The source of all is CCSHAU, except for CML161 which was obtained from CIM-MYT, Mexico.

CML161 (CIMMYT QPM inbred), *HK1161* and *HK1193-1* (QPM inbreds selected from *CML161* and *CML193*, respectively at Uchani Centre, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University (CCSHAU), India) with high levels of endosperm lysine (3.32–3.80% in protein) and tryptophan (0.74–0.85% in protein), and desirable endosperm modification served as the donors for introgression of *o2* allele.

Target allele for introgression

Two SSRs, *umc1066* and *phi057* are present in first exon and sixth exon, respectively, of *O*2 gene present on chromosome 7 (Yang *et al.* 2004). The primer sequences are: *umc1066* = forward (F): 5'-ATGGAGCACGTCATCTCA ATGG-3'; reverse (R): 5'-AGCAGCAGCAACGTCTAT GACACT-3' and *phi057* = F: 5'-CTCATCAGTGCCGTC GTCCAT-3' and R: 5'-CAGTCGCAAGAAACCGTTGC C-3'. Polymorphic SSRs between respective recurrent and donor parents were used for marker-assisted selection (MAS) of the *o*2 allele.

DNA isolation and polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Genomic DNA was isolated from young seedlings using the standard CTAB procedure (Murray and Thompson 1980). PCR reaction (Bio-Rad, California, USA) was carried out in 10 μ L of a reaction mixture containing 2 μ L of 20 ng/ μ L genomic DNA as the template, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM dNTPs, 2 μ M of the primer pair (F and R), and 1.5 U *Taq* polymerase (GeNei, Mumbai, India). Touch down procedure standardized at Maize Genetics Unit, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi, was used for PCR amplification (Pandey *et al.* 2015). The resulting PCR amplicons were resolved in 4% agarose gel for 4 h. The resolved amplified products were visualized using a gel documentation system (AlphaInnotech, California, USA).



Figure 1. Marker-assisted backcross breeding scheme adopted for conversion of normal maize hybrids into QPM versions.

MABB strategy

For MABB (figure 1), recurrent parents (as females) and donors (as males) were crossed in 2009 rainy season (July to October) at IARI experimental farm, New Delhi. The F₁s were raised at the Maize Winter Nursery Centre (off season nursery), Hyderabad, India, during 2009-2010 winter season (December to April). Heterozygosity of the F₁s was tested using the *o*2-specific marker, and the true F₁s were backcrossed as male parents to their respective recurrent parents. BC_1F_1 progenies were grown at Delhi during the rainy season in 2010, and foreground selection was carried out using the o2-gene-specific marker(s). Desirable plants with high recovery of the recurrent parent genome (RPG) and morphological similarity to recurrent parents were further backcrossed to raise the BC_2F_1 population at Hyderabad during 2010–2011 winter season. The selected plants with high RPG and phenotypic similarity to their recurrent parents were selfed. BC₂F₂ populations were raised at Delhi during 2011 rainy season, and selected plants were selfed to advance progenies. Backcross progenies of HKI323×HKI161 and $HKI1105 \times CML161$ were generated as per the above procedure. However, for $HKI1128 \times HKI193$ -1, BC₁F₁

seeds could not be generated during winter of 2009–2010 owing to the nonsynchrony of flowering; hence, fresh crosses were generated and F_1 s were planted at Delhi during 2010 rainy season, and all backcross progenies were eventually raised one generation later compared to other two inbreds.

Marker-assisted foreground selection

Foreground selection was employed in BC₁F₁, BC₂F₁ and BC₂F₂ generations using the marker specific to *o*2 allele. SSRs, *umc1066* and *phi057* were used for selection of the foreground positive plants. Heterozygous plants (*O*2/*o*2) were selected in the BC₁F₁ and BC₂F₁, and homozygotes (*o*2/*o*2) were selected in BC₂F₂. Chi-square (χ^2) test was performed to test the goodness of fit of the observed segregation pattern at the *o*2 locus in each of the generations.

Marker-assisted background selection

A set of > 350 genomewide SSRs distributed throughout the maize genome was used for identifying polymorphic markers between the respective recurrent and donor parents. The sequences of the SSR primers were adapted from the maize genome database (www.maizegdb.org) and custom synthesized (Sigma Tech., USA). These polymorphic SSR markers were employed in each of the BC_1F_1 and BC_2F_1 generations of the three crosses to recover the RPG. The final recovery of RPG, across genetic backgrounds, was determined in the BC_2F_4 generation.

Endosperm modification

Selfed seeds (BC₂F₃ onwards) from homozygous plants (o2/o2) were selected and analysed for the degree of opaqueness using a standard light box (Vasal *et al.* 1980). For analysis of endosperm modification, the back-lit kernels were rated on a scale of 0 to 100, with each number indicating per cent opaqueness. For instance, '100' stands for 100% opaque while '0' for 100% vitreous/hard (Hossain *et al.* 2008). Grains with minimal degree of opaqueness were selected and used for advancement of homozygous progenies (o2/o2).

Phenotypic characterization of MAS-derived inbreds

The MAS-derived inbreds along with the original inbreds were evaluated at Delhi, during 2013 and 2014 rainy season in two replications each having two-rows/entry. Inbreds were characterized for 31 morphological characters (PPVFRA 2007) and 12 grain yield-related traits. Plants were raised in 3 m length row with a plant to plant distance of 20 cm, and row to row distance of 75 cm. Standard agronomic practices like application of 10–15 t of farmyard manure, 150: 80: 60 kg of N: P: K, and 20–25 kg ZnSO₄ per ha in soil, and 6–8 irrigations depending upon the requirement were given, to raise the crop.

Evaluation of MAS-derived hybrids

Since the hybrids targeted for improvement are adaptable for cultivation in rainy season, crosses were generated in winter at off season nursery, and reconstituted hybrids were evaluated in the following rainy season. In rainy season, seeds of selected MAS-derived QPM inbreds were increased, and progenies (BC2F5 for HKI323 and HKI1105, and BC₂F₄ for HKI1128) were crossed to reconstitute the QPM version of original hybrid at Hyderabad, during 2012-2013 winter season. HKI161 being a QPM inbred, was directly used as a parent for generating QPM version of HM8. The crosses along with original hybrids were evaluated in two replications, each having two-rows/entry at Delhi during 2013 rainy season. Standard agronomic practices used for raising inbreds were also followed to raise the hybrids. The hybrids were characterized for 31 morphological characters (PPVFRA 2007) and 12 grain yield-related traits.

Estimation of lysine and tryptophan in endosperm protein

Inbred trials conducted for assessing the grain yield and component traits at Delhi during 2013 and 2014 were used for estimation of lysine and tryptophan in endosperm protein. For hybrids, the yield trial conducted in Delhi during 2013 was used for quality analyses. In addition, a separate hybrid trial was constituted at Delhi in 2014 only for quality analyses. Two to three plants in each entry of the trials were self-pollinated, and selfed grains were used for estimation of grain quality. Total endosperm protein was estimated using the micro-Kjeldahl procedure (AOAC 1965). The concentration of lysine and tryptophan in endosperm flour was measured using UPLC (Dionex Ultimate 3000, Thermo Scientific) at Maize Genetics Unit, IARI, New Delhi (Sarika et al. 2016). Degermed endosperm flour per sample with three replications was used. Acid hydrolysis was done for lysine, while for tryptophan alkaline hydrolysis was performed. Samples were eluted through Acclaim 120 C_{18} column (5 μ m, 120 Å, 4.6 \times 150 mm, Thermo Scientific) and detected with RS photodiode array detector (PDA) with absorbance at 265 and 280 nm wavelength respectively. Concentration of amino acids in each sample was estimated by standard regression using external standards (AAS-18, Sigma Aldrich, USA).

Evaluation of MAS-derived hybrids in multilocation-based national trials

The MAS-derived experimental crosses evaluated at Delhi during 2013 rainy season were further nominated as 'essentially derived variety' (EDV) and tested under the AICRP-Maize, coordinated by the ICAR-Indian Institute of Maize Research (IIMR), New Delhi. Under this system, each entry was coded and the trial for each of the hybrids was undertaken at 3-12 designated locations of their respective zone of adaptation. The entries were evaluated in complete randomized block design (three replications and having four rows/entry/replications) for the two consecutive years (2014 and 2015 rainy seasons) (Annual Progress Report, Kharif Maize 2015, 2016). Various agronomic traits including grain yield were recorded. Hybrids were also evaluated for their responses to diseases like, maydis leaf blight, turcicum leaf blight, banded leaf and sheath blight, polysora rust, common rust, charcoal rot, fusarium stalk rot, sorghum downy mildew, Rajasthan downy mildew and bacterial stalk rot.

Results

Marker polymorphism

The *umc1066* was polymorphic between recurrent parents (*HKI323* and *HKI1128*) and donor QPM inbreds (*HKI161* and *HKI193-1*), respectively. For *HKI1105* and *CML161*,

phi057 was used as a polymorphic marker. Genome-based SSR markers, 351–463, distributed among 10 chromosomes were screened between the recipient and donor parents and 30–42% was polymorphic across three crosses (table 2).

Marker-assisted introgression of o2 allele

 BC_1F_1 generation: Foreground selection in BC₁F₁ resulted in the identification of 21 heterozygous plants in $HKI323 \times$ HKI161, 32 in $HKI1105 \times CML161$ and 50 in $HKI1128 \times$ HKI193-1 populations (table 3). Segregation of o2 allele in all the three populations deviated from the expected Mendelian ratio of 1:1 (table 3), while recovery of RPG varied from 63.26 to 91.9% (table 4). Two plants each in HKI323-based (73.6 and 74.3% RPG), HKI1105based (76.3 and 77.0% RPG) and HKI1128-based (85.5 and 90.0% RPG) populations were selected for further advancement (table 4).

 BC_2F_1 generation: A total of 53 heterozygous plants (O2/o2) in $HKI323 \times HKI161$, while 57 in $HKI1105 \times CML161$ and 68 in $HKI1128 \times HKI193-1$ were identified (table 3). Significant segregation distortion of o2 allele was observed in the first two crosses, while in third ($HKI1128 \times HKI193-1$) it was 1:1 (table 3). Background selection in the heterozygous plants using polymorphic SSRs led to the recovery of 84.9-93.9% RPG in $HKI323 \times HKI161$, 82.1-93.8% in $HKI1105 \times CML161$ and 89.6-94.0% in $HKI1128 \times HKI193-1$. Two plants each in HKI323- (93.2 and 93.9% RPG) and HKI1128- (93.3 and 94.0% RPG), while three in HKI1105derived progenies were advanced (82.1%, 91.0% and 91.1%RPG) (table 4).

 BC_2F_2 generation: Foreground selection identified 58 homozygous plants (o2/o2) in $HKI323 \times HKI161$, while the same was 20 and 60 in $HKI1105 \times CML161$ and $HKI1128 \times$ HKI193-1, respectively (table 3). All the three crosses deviated from the expected segregation pattern of 1:2:1 (table 3). Homozygous plants (o2/o2) with similarity to their respective recurrent parents were selected for advancement.

 BC_2F_4 generation: The highest recovery of RPG observed was 98.0% in $HKI323 \times HKI161$, 96.6% in $HKI1105 \times CML$ 161 and 98.3% in $HKI1128 \times HKI193-1$ (table 4). Based on higher recovery of RPG, phenotypic similarity to the recurrent parent and desirable degree of grain modification, HKI323-44-68-16, HKI1105-22-99-3 and HKI1128-48-1-14 were finally selected and used for advancement.

Evaluation of introgressed inbreds for grain quality and yield attributes

Endosperm lysine and tryptophan in MAS-derived inbreds: Across years, 58.5–70.0% increase in lysine, and 46.0–96.0%

Chromosome	HKI323×.	HKI161	HKI1105×	CML161	HKII128×1	HKI193-1
	No. of markers screened	Polymorphic markers	No. of markers screened	Polymorphic markers	No. of markers screened	Polymorphic markers
	43	16 (11)	43	18 (8)	44	14 (11)
	37	15(8)	37	18(9)	49	20(13)
	40	24 (12)	40	24 (13)	46	29 (12)
	36	12(8)	36	15(8)	49	19(8)
	32	20(7)	32	11 (4)	33	16(10)
	27	19(7)	27	11(7)	38	22 (10)
	42	14(6)	42	19(6)	40	21(5)
	30	9 (4)	30	8 (6)	45	13 (8)
	38	9 (<u>7</u>)	38	20(9)	50	25(10)
0	26	8 (4)	26	10(3)	39	7 (5)
otal	463	140(74)	351	144 (73)	433	186 (92)
ercentage (%)	I	30.2	Ι	41.0	Ι	42.9

Fable 2. Per cent polymorphism and distribution of SSRs used for background selection in the study.

Table 3. Segregation of c	2 allele in each of 1	the backcross and selfed progeni	ies across the three crosses.					
Cross	Generation	No. of plants genotyped	SSR used for genotyping	6 02/02	jenotypic class 02/02	02/02	x ²	P value
HKI323×HKI161	BC_1F_1 BC_2F_1	154 152	umc1066	133 99	21 53		81.46 13.92	< 0.0001 0.0002
	BC_{F_2}	153	230:1-	41	54	58	17.01	0.0002
HALIUUX CMLLIOI	BC1F1 BC2F1 BC2F1	101 161 221	/ couud	104	57 57 56		13.72	0.0002
<i>HK11128×HK1193-1</i>	BC1F1 BC1F1	130	umc1066	60 08 0 0 0 0	20 20 3	07 -	04.40 6.92 2.5	< 0.0085
	BC_2F_1 BC_2F_2	154 220		86 91	80 69	- 09	39.30	0.1469 < 0.0001

enhancement in tryptophan was observed in the selected progenies over their respective recurrent parents (table 5). The concentration of protein in endosperm remained almost same in both original and introgressed inbreds. However, the protein quality was significantly improved in MAS-derived inbreds (table 5).

Endosperm modification in introgressed progenies: For *HKI323*based progenies, degree of opaqueness varied from 25– 75%, while for *HKI1105* and *HKI1128*, it was 25–50% and 50–100%, respectively. However, the MAS-derived inbred that was selected for generating the hybrid combinations possessed 25% opaqueness for *HKI323-44-68-16* and 50% for each of *HKI1105-22-99-3* and *HKI1128-HKI1128-48-1-14* (table 4).

Morphological characteristics of MAS-derived inbreds: The MAS-derived inbreds showed high degree of resemblance with their respective recurrent parents (see table 1 in electronic supplementary material at http://www.ias.ac.in/ jgenet/). However, the introgressed inbreds differed from their original inbreds for very few characters. For example, anthocyanin colouration in brace root and base of glume is present in *HKI1105*, while absent in QPM of *HKI1105 (HKI1105-22-99-3)*. The MAS-derived inbreds however possessed similar grain yield as achieved in original inbreds (table 6).

Evaluation of reconstituted hybrids for grain quality attributes, yield attributes and responses to diseases

Endosperm lysine and tryptophan in MAS-derived hybrids: MAS-derived QPM version of HM4 (HKI1105-22-99-3×HKI323-44-68-16), HM8 (HKI1105-22-99-3×HKI 161) and HM9 (HKI1105-22-99-3×HKI1128-48-1-14), were designated as HM4-Q, HM8-Q and HM9-Q, respectively. The concentration of lysine and tryptophan in endosperm of reconstituted hybrids also recorded significant improvement over their respective original hybrids. Based on both the years, 50-78% enhancement in lysine was recorded, while tryptophan showed 51–100% increase across hybrids (table 7). Protein content remained almost same in both MAS-derived and original hybrids, but the protein quality showed significant enhancement. The increase in lysine in protein was in the range of 49.5 to 77.0%, while, the same for tryptophan in protein was 61.0to 97.5% (table 7).

Evaluation of MAS-derived hybrids for morphological characteristics: The reconstituted hybrids resembled their respective original hybrids with high degree of similarity except a few (see table 2 in electronic supplementary material). The selfed F_2 seeds of HM4-Q, HM8-Q and HM9-Q showed desirable degree of endosperm modifications. Grain yield

			<i></i>		
Cross	Generation	Genotype advanced	% RPG recovery	Range of RPG % in selected foreground positive plants	Opaqueness (%)
HKI323×HKI161	BC_1F_1	HKI323-31	73.6	63.3–74.3	I
		HKI323-44	74.3		I
	BC_2F_1	HKI323-31-6	93.2	84.9–93.9	I
		HKI323-44-68	93.9		Ι
	$BC_{2}F_{4}$	HKI323-44-68-16	98.0*	91.9–98.7	25
	- 1	HKI323-44-68-1	94.6		25-75
		HKI323-44-68-7	96.0		25-75
HKI1105×CMLI61	BC_1F_1	HKI1105-22	76.3	65.5-77.0	Ι
	4	HKI1105-24	77.0		Ι
	BC_2F_1	HKI1105-22-14	82.1	82.1–93.8	Ι
	4	HKI1105-22-99	91.0		Ι
		HKI1105-24-89	91.1		Ι
	$\mathrm{BC}_{2}\mathrm{F}_{4}$	HKI1105-22-14-15	92.0	82.6–96.6	25-50
	- 1	HKI1105-22-99-3	96.6*		50
		HKI1105-24-89-31	94.3		25 - 50
HKI1128×HKI193-1	BC_1F_1	HKI1128-48	90.0	80.0–91.9	I
	4	HKI1128-44	85.5		I
	BC_2F_1	HKI1128-48-1	94.0	89.6–94.0	I
	1	HKI1128-44-11	93.3		Ι
	$\mathrm{BC}_{2}\mathrm{F}_{4}$	HKI1128-48-1-3	96.2	91.9 - 98.4	75
		HKI1128-48-1-14	95.1*		50
		HKI1128-48-1-16	98.4		75
*Used for generating hybr:	id combination contrib	uted to national testing.			

Table 4. Recurrent parent genome (RPG) recovery and degree of opaqueness in different backcross generations.

Table 5. Perfo	rmance of	original a	and impro	ved inbreds	for lysine and	tryptophan i	n endospen	n.					
Trait	Year	HKI323 (RP)	HKI161 (DP)	НКІЗ23Q	% Increase over <i>HK132</i> 5	HKI1105 3 (RP)	CML161 (DP)	HK11105Q	% Increase over <i>HKI1105</i>	HK11128	HKI193-1 (DP)	НКП128Q	% Increase over <i>HKI1128</i>
% Lysine in	2013	0.170	0.336	0.277	63	0.195	0.373	0.341	75	0.194	0.321	0.317	63
sampre % Tryptophan	2014 2013	$0.181 \\ 0.039$	$0.311 \\ 0.071$	$0.304 \\ 0.067$	68 72	$0.226 \\ 0.052$	$0.398 \\ 0.079$	$0.373 \\ 0.076$	65 46	$0.223 \\ 0.044$	$0.330 \\ 0.077$	$0.344 \\ 0.080$	54 82
in sample	2014	0.035	0.073	0.067	91	0.049	0.082	0.079	46	0.039	0.080	0.082	110
% Protein	2013 2014	8.40 8.50	9.70 9.80	9.00 8.80		9.90 9.70	10.20	9.80 9.70		12.00 11.70	9.3 0.7	11.70 11.40	
% Lysine in	2013	2.02	3.46	3.07	52	1.97	3.66	3.48	95	1.62	3.45	2.71	67
% Tryptophan	2014 2013	2.13 0.46	$3.17\\0.73$	3.45 0.74	62 61	$2.33 \\ 0.53$	3.94 0.77	3.85 0.78	69 47	$1.90 \\ 0.37$	$3.59 \\ 0.83$	$3.02 \\ 0.68$	59 84
in protein	2014	0.41	0.75	0.76	86	0.51	0.81	0.81	59	0.33	0.87	0.72	118
Genotvne	Vear of tes	ting M	F (A) F	TE (d) PH	I (cm) EH (cm) TL (c	im) L.BW	(cm) CL	(cm) CG (cn	NR	NKR	100 K W (o)	VLD (kø/Ha)
ad from a			- (n) -	11 (m) 1									(milßu) ant
HKI323	2013 2014	44	49.0 48.0	51.0 11 50.0 13	37.5 72. 39.2 71.	2 30.0 7 36.0	6 8 7	.1 12	2.7 3.2 3.3 3.3	11.7 12.0	19.2 20.0	24.8 26.5	2370 3015
HKI323Q	2013	4) .	51.5	53.5 1	30.0 64.	2 32.2		4	3.3 3.5	13.3	18.7	24.4	2335
HKI1105	2014 2013	4 V	48.5 52.5	50.0 1 ² 52.5 1(40.0 69. 17.5 65.	2 34.8 7 25.4	10 8	.0	2.4 3.3 1.3 3.5	12.0	18.8 20.8	26.6 26.6	3389 2096
	2014	, v)	51.0	51.5 11	14.2 75.	0 31.3	10	.2	1.3 3.4	13.0	21.0	25.2	2765
HKI1105Q	2013 2014	4 v	49.5 51.0	51.0 1(51.5 11	00.0 50. 11.7 66	8 25.4 7 28.3		.8 9 12	3.8 3.3 1 1 3.3	12.0 13.7	19.8 20.8	24.5 24.3	1920 2795
HKI1128	2013	1 47 1	53.5	55.5 1:	55.8 86.	31.5		0.14	1.4	13.0	21.3	21.3	1595
HKII128Q	2014 2013	0.00	51.5 51.5	53.5 1(55.0 84 84	2 33.4 233.4		4. 8. 15. 15.	4.8 3.3 5.3 3.1	12.7	22.2 21.3	23.1 22.4	3048 1599
)	2014	(V)	50.5	51.0 1(50.0 84.	2 37.5	7	.8 14	1.9 3.3	13.0	22.0	22.4	2959
SE	2013		0.72	0.72	10.72 5. 0.03 3.	56 1.4 50 1.4	15 18 0	.55 0 40 0	0.07 0.07	0.26	0.46	0.78	142.97 03.63
	±107		10.0	0.20	.u cn.c	L'I 60	2	.+.	1.40	17.0	<i>cc.</i> 0	00.00	00.02

Firoz Hossain et al.

HKI323-Q, HKI323-44-68-16; HKI1105-Q, HKI1105-22-99-3; HKI1128-Q, HKI1128-48-1-14; MF, days to 50% male flowering; FF, days to 50% female flowering; PH, plant height; EH, ear height; TL, tassel length; LBW, width of leaf blade; CL, cob length; CG, cob girth; NR, number of rows; NKR, number of kernel per row; 100 KW, 100 kernel weight; YLD, grain yield; d, days.

Quality attributes	Year	HM4	HM4-Q	% Increase over $HM4$	HM8	HM8-Q	% Increase over $HM8$	6 MH	∂ -6 WH	% Increase over $HM9$
% Lysine in sample	2013	0.155	0.232	50	0.194	0.340	75	0.202	0.340	68
•	2014	0.162	0.245	51	0.191	0.321	68	0.197	0.351	78
% Tryptophan in sample	2013	0.032	0.064	100	0.046	0.081	76	0.045	0.068	51
4	2014	0.036	0.070	94	0.044	0.085	93	0.043	0.071	65
% Protein	2013	8.60	8.70	Ι	8.60	8.80	Ι	8.90	8.60	Ι
	2014	8.70	8.70	Ι	8.80	8090	Ι	9.0	8.90	1
% Lysine in protein	2013	1.80	2.67	48	2.26	3.86	71	2.27	3.95	74
•	2014	1.86	2.81	51	2.17	3.61	66	2.19	3.94	80
% Tryptophan in protein	2013	0.37	0.74	100	0.53	0.92	74	0.51	0.79	55
4	2014	0.41	0.80	95	0.50	0.96	92	0.48	0.80	67

Table 7. Performance of original and improved hybrids for lysine and tryptophan in endosperm.

Genotype	MF (d)	FF (d)	PH (cm)	EH (cm)	TL (cm)	LBW (cm)	CL (cm)	CG (cm)	NR	NKR	100KW (g)	YLD (kg/Ha)
HM4	44.0	45.0	181.7	40.3	107.5	11.6	17.6	3.9	13.3	34.7	30.8	7160
HM4-Q	44.5	45.5	175.0	38.3	106.7	11.5	17.3	4.0	13.3	37.0	29.0	7042
HM8	45.0	45.0	158.3	36.7	93.3	11.0	19.1	4.6	14.0	34.8	32.2	6649
HM8-O	46.0	46.5	167.5	36.2	93.3	10.9	18.9	4.6	14.3	37.5	30.3	6954
MM9	44.5	45.5	171.7	38.6	101.7	9.1	20.5	4.3	14.3	36.0	28.2	6347
O-6WH	44.5	45.5	178.3	42.1	105.8	8.3	21.2	4.4	13.3	40.7	28.0	6084
SE	0.29	0.23	3.49	0.92	2.74	0.57	0.64	0.12	0.21	0.93	0.68	176.73

u, coo girun; 5 Icingui, ĵ ć 5 Mr, days to 20% mate nowering. Fr, days to 20% temate nowering; Fr, plant neight, Er, ear neight, 1.L, tasset lengur, LDW, Mut NR, number of rows; NKR, number of kernel per row; 100 KW, 100 kernel weight; YLD, grain yield; d, days; SE, standard error.

MAS for development of QPM hybrids

and other contributing traits were also similar among the original and MAS-derived hybrids (table 8).

The data generated during 2014 and 2015 under the multilocation trials of AICRP-Maize, clearly suggested that QPM version of reconstituted hybrids showed high degree of resemblance to their original hybrids for grain yield and yield traits (see table 3 in electronic supplementary material). The flowering behaviour and maturity of the reconstituted hybrids were similar to the original hybrids as well (see table 3 in electronic supplementary material).

Further, the reconstituted and original hybrids also showed high degree of resemblance for resistance to important diseases of maize in the country (see table 4 in electronic supplementary material). For example, *HM4* during 2014 had the disease score of 3.0 against maydis leaf blight, while the same was 2.4 for *HM4-Q*. *HM8* and *HM9* recorded score of 3.0 and 2.8, while their QPM versions had 2.7 and 2.3, respectively. Similarly, all the hybrids recorded moderate resistance against turcicum leaf blight during 2015 (see table 4 in electronic supplementary material).

Discussion

MABB has been employed to introgress low phytic acid (lpa) (Naidoo et al. 2012), o2 (Gupta et al. 2013) and crtRB1 (Muthusamy et al. 2014) alleles to improve nutritional quality traits in maize. In the present investigation, distinct marker polymorphism between the respective recurrent and donor parents facilitated the introgression of recessive o2 allele into the elite inbreds. Since we used o2specific SSRs (located within the target gene), segregants could be selected with 100% efficacy (Babu et al. 2005). We also found severe segregation distortion (SD) for the o2 as reported by Jompuk et al. (2011). The reason for the SD could be the presence of many segregation distortion regions (SDRs) throughout the maize genome (Lu et al. 2002). Frequent occurrence of SD thus necessitates generation of large populations for obtaining sufficient numbers of foreground-positive plants (Muthusamy et al. 2014).

Introgression of *o*2 allele resulted in significant increase in protein quality by enhancing the concentration of lysine and tryptophan in the endosperm of both MASderived inbreds and hybrids. Lysine in protein recorded 48–95% enhancement, while tryptophan in protein showed 47–118% increase across inbreds/hybrids over their respective genotypes. The mechanism of enhancement of lysine and tryptophan in *o*2 genotypes are of diverse type. The enhancement of nutritional quality in *o*2 mutant is mainly due to (i) reduction of lysine deficient zein proteins followed by enhanced synthesis of lysine-rich non-zein proteins (Habben *et al.* 1993), (ii) reduced transcription of lysine catabolizing enzyme, lysine keto-reductase, (Kemper *et al.* 1999), and (iii) enhanced synthesis of various lysine-rich proteins and enzymes (Jia *et al.* 2013).

Though introgression of o2 allele alone has a major effect on accumulation of lysine and tryptophan in higher concentration, the levels of the same varied substantially across genetic background. For example, lysine ranged from 0.277 to 0.373%, while tryptophan varied from 0.067 to 0.082% across three inbreds. The variation is due to amino acid modifier loci that influence the accumulation lysine and tryptophan in o2 genetic background (Pandey et al. 2015). The levels of lysine and tryptophan in MAS-derived hybrids also showed large variation, and interactions of amino acid modifiers contributed by both the parents possibly determined the final level of the targeted amino acids. Further, the lysine and tryptophan levels were lesser in HKI323-44-68-16 and HKI1105-22-99-3, compared to their respective donor parents (HKI161 and HKI193-1, respectively). It is possibly due to the fact that favourable modifier loci present in the QPM donor was lost owing to repeated backcrossing to recurrent parent. QTLs for these modifier loci in QPM background have been identified recently, and can be used along with o^2 allele in future breeding programme (Babu et al. 2015).

SSRs covering all 10 chromosomes were used for recovering the major proportion of the RPG within two backcross generations. The highest RPG recovery among the selected progenies was 96.58% in HKI1105×CML161, while it was 97.97% and 98.35% in HKI323×HKI161 and HKI1128×HKI193-1, respectively. To achieve comparable results, conventional breeding would take five backcrosses since o2 is recessive in nature. In conventional method, BC₅F₃ progeny would be crossed with its other parent to reconstitute the hybrid. Thus, it would require 14 seasons from crossing the recipient and donor to the evaluation of hybrids. In contrast, MABB approach used here took 8-9 seasons since BC₂F₄ (HKI323- and HKI1105-based)/ BC₂F₅ (HKI1128-based) progenies were crossed to reconstitute the hybrids. The MABB approach thus clearly saved time of raising 5-6 additional seasons, and therefore accelerated the pace of breeding (Gupta et al. 2013; Muthusamy et al. 2014).

Phenotypic features such as plant, ear and grain characteristics used in combination with MAS helped to recover the RPG even rapidly (Manna *et al.* 2005; Muthusamy *et al.* 2014). Although, introgressed inbreds and reconstituted hybrids resembled their respective recurrent parents or original hybrids for majority of characters, they also differed for a few characters. In fact, morphological characteristics that show sharp contrast are highly useful for registration of genotypes (Gunjaca *et al.* 2008). Besides they also act as morphological marker to unambiguously differentiate the QPM-versions from the original inbreds during seed production and certification. The contrasting features are possibly due to the effects of minor proportion of donor genome (2.03–4.89%) present in the introgressed progenies (Choudhary *et al.* 2014).

The selected MAS-derived o2 inbreds across three genetic backgrounds showed desirable degree (25–50%)

opaqueness) of endosperm modification. *CML161, HKI* 161 and *HKI193-1* are the popular QPM inbreds and possess hard endosperm (50% opaqueness) due to the presence of favourable endosperm modifier loci (Paez 1973; Pandey *et al.* 2015). Although no marker system was used for the selection of endosperm modifiers in the backcross generations, the screening of kernels from *o2/o2* plants on light box could successfully select the desirable progenies that possessed higher modification (Gupta *et al.* 2013).

The grain yield potential of the reconstituted hybrids was at par with the original hybrids across multiple locations. Selection of SSR alleles specific to recurrent parents indirectly led to the selection of unknown loci associated with yield, agronomic traits and heterosis (Gupta et al. 2013; Muthusamy et al. 2014). Further, a similar response recorded in reconstituted and original hybrids against various diseases is also due to similar genomic constitution achieved through high recovery of RPG. Here also, the loci involved in responses to various diseases were not selected in the backcross progenies, but the background selection helped in retaining those unknown loci. However, in some cases the responses in OPM versions were slightly different from the original hybrids. These minor changes in response to diseases are due to the presence of minor fragments of the donor parent genome. QPM versions of HM4. HM8 and HM9 have now been released and notified for commercial cultivation in their respective zones. The newly developed QPM hybrids with better protein quality, high grain yield and diverse adaptation offer promise in reducing nutritional insecurity.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Department of Biotechnology, Government of India, through the project entitled 'Rapid conversion of normal maize inbreds to quality protein maize and further enhancement of limiting amino acids in elite inbreds through marker-assisted selection' (BT/PR11708/AGR/02/649/2008) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Dr Sain Dass, former Director, ICAR-IIMR, New Delhi for his valuable suggestions. We also thank ICAR-IIMR, New Delhi; CCSHAU, Uchani; and CIM-MYT, Mexico, for sharing the inbred lines, used in this study. The support of AICRP-Maize in conducting the multilocation trials is duly acknowledged.

References

- AOAC 1965 Official methods of analysis of the association of official agricultural chemists, 10th edition. pp. 744–745.
- Annual Progress Report Kharif Maize 2014. 2015 All India coordinated research project on maize. Indian Institute of Maize Research, pp. 1067. Pusa Campus, New Delhi.
- Annual Progress Report Kharif Maize 2015. 2016 All India coordinated research project on maize. Indian Institute of Maize Research, pp. 999. Pusa Campus, New Delhi.
- Babu B. K., Agrawal P. K., Saha S. and Gupta H. S. 2015 Mapping QTLs for *opaque2* modifiers influencing the tryptophan content in quality protein maize using genomic and candidate

gene-based SSRs of lysine and tryptophan metabolic pathway. *Plant Cell Rep.* **34**, 37–45.

- Babu R., Nair S. K., Kumar A., Venkatesh S., Sekhar J. C., Singh N. N. *et al.* 2005 Two generation marker aided backcrossing for rapid conversion of normal maize lines to quality protein maize (QPM). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **111**, 888–897.
- Bjarnason M. and Vasal S. K. 1992 Breeding of quality protein maize (QPM). *Plant Breed. Rev.* 9, 181–216.
- Bouis H. E., Hotz C., McClafferty B., Meenakshi J. V. and Pfeiffer W. H. 2011 Biofortification: a new tool to reduce micronutrient malnutrition. *Food Nutr. Bull.* 32, S31–S40.
- Choudhary M., Muthusamy V., Hossain F., Thirunavukkarasu N., Pandey N., Jha S. K. and Gupta H. S. 2014 Characterization of β -carotene rich MAS-derived maize inbreds possessing rare genetic variation in β -carotene hydroxylase gene. Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed. 74, 620–623.
- Geevers H. O. and Lake J. K. 1992 Development of modified opaque2 maize in South Africa. In *Quality protein maize* (ed. E. T. Mertz), American Society of Cereal Chemists, pp. 49–78. St Paul, Minnesota.
- Gunjaca J., Buhinicek I., Jukic M., Sarcevic H., Vragolovic A., Kozic Z. et al. 2008 Discriminating maize inbred lines using molecular and DUS data. *Euphytica* 161, 165–172.
- Gupta H. S., Babu R., Agrawal P. K., Mahajan V., Hossain F. and Nepolean T. 2013 Accelerated development of quality protein maize hybrid through marker-assisted introgression of *opaque-*2 allele. *Plant Breed.* **132**, 77–82.
- Gupta H. S., Hossain F. and Muthusamy V. 2015 Biofortification of maize: an Indian perspective. *Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed.* 75, 1–22.
- Habben I. E., Kirleis A. W. and Larkins B. A. 1993 The origin of lysine-containing proteins in *opaque-2* maize endosperm. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **23**, 825–838.
- Hossain F., Prasanna B. M., Kumar R. and Singh B. B. 2008 Genetic analysis of kernel modification in Quality Protein Maize (QPM) genotypes. *Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed.* 68, 1–9.
- Jia M., Wu H., Clay K. L., Jung R., Larkins B. A. and Gibbon B. C. 2013 Identification and characterization of lysine-rich proteins and starch biosynthesis genes in the *opaque2* mutant by transcriptional and proteomic analysis. *BMC Plant Biol.* 13, 60.
- Jompuk C., Cheuchart P., Jompuk P. and Apisitwanich S. 2011 Improved tryptophan content in maize with *opaque-2* gene using marker assisted selection (MAS) in backcross and selfing generations. *Kasetsart J. (Nat. Sci.)* **45**, 666–674.
- Kaul J., Dass S., Sekhar J. C. and Bhardwaj J. C. 2009 Maize hybrid and composite varieties released in India. DMR Technical Bulletin 2009/8. Directorate of Maize Research, vol. 2, pp. 40. Pusa Campus, New Delhi.
- Kemper E. L., Neto C. G., Papes F., Moraes M. K. C. and Leite A. 1999 The role of *opaque2* in the control of lysine-degrading activities in developing maize endosperm. *Plant Cell* 11, 1981– 1993.
- Lu H., Romero-Severson J. and Bernardo R. 2002 Chromosomal regions associated with segregation distortion in maize. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **105**, 622–628.
- Manna R., Okello D. K., Imanywoha J., Pixley K. and Edema R. 2005 Enhancing introgression of the *opaque-2* trait into elite maize lines using simple sequence repeats. *Af. Crop Sci. J.* 13, 215–226.
- Mertz E. T., Bates L. S. and Nelson O. E. 1964 Mutant genes that change protein composition and increase lysine content of maize endosperm. *Science* **145**, 279–280.
- Murray M. G. and Thompson W. F. 1980 Rapid isolation of high molecular weight plant DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **8**, 4321–4325.
- Muthusamy V., Hossain F., Thirunavukkarasu N., Choudhary M., Saha S., Bhat J. *et al.* 2014 Development of β-carotene

rich maize hybrids through marker assisted introgression of β -carotene hydroxylase allele. *PLoS One* **9**, e113583.

- Naidoo R., Watson G. M. F., Derera J., Tongoona P. and Laing M. D. 2012 Marker-assisted selection for low phytic acid (*lpa1-1*) with single nucleotide polymorphism marker and amplified fragment length polymorphisms for background selection in a maize backcross breeding programme. *Mol. Breed.* **30**, 1207– 1217.
- Paez A. V. 1973 Protein quality and kernel properties of modified *opaque-2* endosperm corn involving a recessive allele at the *sugary-2* locus. *Crop Sci.* **13**, 633–636.
- Pandey N., Hossain F., Kumar K., Vishwakarma A. K., Muthusamy V., Saha S. *et al.* 2015 Molecular characterization of endosperm- and amino acids- modifications among quality protein maize inbreds. *Plant Breed.* https://doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12328.
- PPVFRA. 2007 Guidelines for the conduct of test for distinctiveness, uniformity and stability on maize (*Zea mays* L.) 13.
- Prasanna B. M., Pixley K. V., Warburton M. and Xie C. 2010 Molecular marker-assisted breeding for maize improvement in Asia. *Mol. Breed.* 26, 339–356.
- Prasanna B. M., Vasal S. K., Kassahun B. and Singh N. N. 2001 Quality protein Maize. *Curr. Sci.* 81, 1308–1319.
- Sarika K., Hossain F., Muthusamy V., Baveja A., Zunjare R., Goswami R. et al. 2016 Exploration of novel opaquel6

Corresponding editor: ARUN JOSHI

mutation as a source for high -lysine and -tryptophan in maize endosperm. *Indian J. Genet.* 77, 59–64.

- Shiferaw B., Prasanna B. M., Hellin J. and Banziger M. 2011 Crops that feed the world 6. Past successes and future challenges to the role played by maize in global food security. *Food Secur.* 3, 307–327.
- Temba M. C., Njobeh P. B., Adebo O. A., Olugbile A. O. and Kayitesi E. 2016 The role of compositing cereals with legumes to alleviate protein energy malnutrition in Africa. J. Food Sci. Tech. https://doi.org/10.1111/jifs.1303.
- Vasal S. K., Villegas E., Bajarnason M., Gelaw B. and Geirtz P. 1980 Genetic modifiers and breeding strategies in developing hard endosperm *opaque-2* materials. In *Improvement of quality traits for silage use* (ed. W. G. Pollmer and R. H. Philips), pp. 37–71. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Hague.
- Villegas E., Vasal S. K. and Bjarnason M. 1992 Quality protein maize - what is it and how was it developed. *In: Quality protein maize* (ed. E. T. Mertz), American Association of Cereal Chemists, pp. 27–48. St Paul, Minnesota.
- Yadav O. P., Hossain F., Karjagi C. G., Kumar B., Zaidi P. H., Jat S. L. *et al.* 2015 Genetic improvement of maize in India: retrospect and prospects. *Agri. Res.* 4, 325–338.
- Yang W., Zheng Y., Ni S. and Wu J. 2004 Recessive allelic variations of three microsatellite sites within the O2 gene in maize. *Plant Mol. Bio. Rep.* 22, 361–374.