REGULAR ARTICLE

Special Issue on 150 years of the Periodic Table

Rhodium-catalyzed synthesis of C4-chalcogenoalkylated oxindoles via Sommelet-Hauser type rearrangement of 3-diazoindolin-2-ones

ANGULA CHANDRA SHEKAR REDDY, BHOJKUMAR NAYAK and PAZHAMALAI ANBARASAN^{[*](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6049-5023)}

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600 036, Tamilnadu, India E-mail: anbarasansp@iitm.ac.in

MS received 30 July 2019; revised 31 October 2019; accepted 4 November 2019; published online 3 December 2019

Abstract. Efficient rhodium-catalyzed Sommelet-Hauser type rearrangement of 3-diazoindolin-2-ones with a-thioesters has been accomplished for the synthesis of C4-thioalkylated oxindoles. The developed reaction offers the selective functionalization of C4-position of oxindole via generation of S-ylide and [2, 3]-sigmatropic rearrangement and allows access to diverse C4-thioalkylated oxindoles in good to excellent yield. Furthermore, the method was successfully extended to the synthesis C4-selenoalkylated oxindoles employing the corresponding α -selenoester.

Keywords. a-Thioesters; a-selenoesters; 3-diazoindolin-2-ones; S-ylide; Se-ylide; oxindoles; Sommelet-Hauser rearrangement.

1. Introduction

Substituted oxindoles have drawn special attention in synthetic organic and medicinal chemistry due to their widespread biological activity.¹ For instance, the drug molecule having oxindoles skeletons exhibits extensive bio-activities, $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ which include anti-HIV, protein kinase inhibitors, 3 anti-bacterial agents, etc. 4 Some of the representative examples of therapeutically important oxindole containing molecules are shown in Figure [1](#page-1-0). In addition, aryl sulfonanilide substituted oxindole hybrid also exhibits enhanced activity and inhibits the growth of cancer cells by partial depletion of intracellular calcium stores and phosphorylation of elF2 α ^{[5](#page-9-0)} Similarly, C4-substituted oxindole derivatives also show diverse biological activi-ties.^{[6](#page-9-0)} Due to their biological importance, during past decades, numerous methods for the synthesis of oxindoles have been developed.⁷ Most of the known methods are limited to the construction of pyrrolidone ring system of oxindole from functionalized arene and selective functionalization of oxindole is rather limited. δ Hence, the development of a practical and general method for the selective synthesis of substituted oxindole, which will minimize the number of steps and increases complexity and diversity, is an attractive subject in organic synthesis.^{[9](#page-9-0)}

On the other hand, diazocarbonyl compounds, a vital coupling partner in organic synthesis for the construction of various complex molecule, $\frac{10}{10}$ $\frac{10}{10}$ $\frac{10}{10}$ in the presence of metal catalyst generates important reactive intermediate, viz., metal carbeniods. This intermediate undergoes various useful transformations including insertion into $C-H^{11}$ and X–H/C bond,¹² ylide generation-*cum*-functionalization, 13 13 13 etc. Among these transformations, ylide generation from diazo compound and Lewis base, in particular, sulfides, ^{[14](#page-9-0)} followed by either [2, 3]/[1, 2]-sigmatrophic rearrangement or Sommelet-Hauser type rearrangement¹⁵ affords an interesting possibility for the construction of diverse sulfur-based building blocks and heterocycles.¹⁶ Although [2, 3]/[1, 2]-sigmatrophic rearrangements of sulfur ylides have been extensively studied, Sommelet-Hauser type rearrangement of sulfur ylides is rather limited. For instance, rhodium-catalyzed Sommlet-Hauser type rearrangement of sulfur ylide was disclosed by Wang and coworkers, 17 wherein the reaction of aryl-substituted diazoacetates with ethyl phenylthioacetate in the presence of $Rh_2(O_2CCF_3)_4$ affords *ortho*-substituted arylacetates

^{*}For correspondence

Electronic supplementary material: The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12039-019-1711-9>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Figure 1. Biologically important oxindole derivatives.

(Scheme 1a). Inspired from this work and our continued interest in functionalization of metal carbenoids, $\frac{18}{8}$ we envisioned the rhodium-catalyzed Sommelet-Hauser type rearrangement of sulfur ylides derived from 3-diazoindolin-2-ones and α -thioester (Scheme 1b). The successful development of the reaction would offer selective rhodium-catalyzed synthesis of C4-thioalkylated oxindole, a biologically important structural motif.

2. Experimental

2.1 General information

All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen using reaction tubes. Dry dichloromethane (DCM) was prepared by distilling over calcium hydride and stored over 4A molecular sieves under $N₂$ atmosphere. All the 3-diazoindolin-2ones were synthesized from isatin and tosylhydrazine employing literature procedure.¹⁹ α -Thioester^{[20](#page-10-0)} and α -selenoester^{[21](#page-10-0)} were prepared using literature protocol. Column chromatography was performed using Rankem Silicagel (100–200 mesh) and the solvent system used unless otherwise specified, was ethyl acetate–hexanes with various percentage of polarity depending on the nature of the substrate. NMR data were recorded on Bruker DPX 400 MHz spectrometers. 13 C and 1 H NMR spectra were referenced to signals of deutero and residual protiated solvents, respectively. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Thermo Nicolet iS10 FT spectrometer. HRMS were

Scheme 1. Rhodium catalyzed Sommelet-Hauser type rearrangement.

recorded by electron spry ionization (ESI) method on a Q-TOF Micro with lock spray source.

2.2 Typical procedure for the rhodium-catalyzed synthesis of substituted oxindole 3

 α -Thioester/ α -selenoester 1 (0.4 mmol, 1 equiv), $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ (0.008 mmol, 2 mol%) and dichloromethane (2 mL) were added under nitrogen atmosphere to an oven-dried 10 mL reaction tube equipped with stir bar. The reaction tube was sealed with septa and stirred at room temperature. Subsequently, the solution of 3-diazoindolin-2-one 2 (0.8 mmol, 2 equiv) in dichloromethane (0.3 mL) was introduced slowly through syringe pump (addition rate $= 0.01$ mL/min). After the addition of diazo compound, the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at same temperature. After the TLC analysis, solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Purification of the resultant crude through column chromatography using mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate as an eluent afforded oxindole 3 in high yield and purity

3a: Brick red solid; yield: 81%; M.p.: 98-100 °C; R_f $= 0.55$ in 30:70 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (KBr): 3061, 2931, 2362, 1719, 1606, 1469, 1348, 1301, 1253, 1150, 1095, 1026, 982 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.38–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.15 (m, 5H), 6.75 (d, 1H, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 4.85 (s, 1H), $4.24-4.03$ $(m, 2H), 3.59$ and 3.34 (ABq, 2H, $J = 22.1$ Hz), 3.18 $(s, 3H)$, 1.18 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$ Hz); ¹³C{¹H}NMR (100) MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.6, 169.6, 145.3, 133.2, 133.1, 132.1, 129.1, 128.5, 128.4, 123.7, 122.2, 107.9, 62.0, 54.4, 34.7, 26.3, 14.0; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{19}H_{19}NO_3S$? Na: 364.0978; found: 364.0982.

3b: Red semi solid; yield: 76% ; R_f = 0.39 in 30:70 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (KBr): 3056, 2982, 2850, 2306, 1717, 1605, 1469, 1352, 1262, 1156, 1102, 1030, 900 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ $7.32 - 7.29$ (m, 1H), $7.8 - 7.23$ (m, 2H), 7.16 (d, 1H, $J =$ 7.9 Hz), 6.82–6.77 (m, 1H), 6.77–6.70 (m, 2H), 4.71 (s, 1H), 4.24–4.01 (m, 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.56 and 3.32 $(ABq, 2H, J = 21.8 Hz), 3.18$ (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, 3H, $J =$ 7.0 Hz); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.6, 169.7, 160.4, 145.3, 136.4, 132.3, 128.4, 123.7, 123.2, 122.2, 114.6, 107.8, 61.9, 55.3(9), 55.3(3), 34.7, 26.3, 14.1; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{20}H_{21}NO_4S+H$: 372.1264; found: 372.1279.

3c: Red semi solid; yield: 64% ; R_f = 0.47 in 30:70 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (KBr): 3055, 2980, 2931, 2372, 2307, 1718, 1608, 1468, 1351, 1298, 1262, 1149, 1098, 1031, 738 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.31–7.18 (m, 3H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 6.89 (d,

1H, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 6.74 (d, 1H, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 4.71 (s, 1H), 4.20–4.02 (m, 2H), 3.58 and 3.29 (ABq, 2H, $J = 21.5$ Hz), 3.18 (s, 3H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 1.17 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$ Hz); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.6, 169.8, 145.3, 141.2, 139.0, 134.6, 132.3, 131.4, 128.7, 128.5, 127.4, 123.7, 122.3, 107.8, 61.9, 53.8, 34.7, 26.3, 21.1, 20.6, 14.0; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{21}H_{23}NO_3S + Na: 392.1291$; found: 392.1298.

3d: Red semi solid; yield: 71%; $R_f = 0.34$ in 40:60 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (KBr): 3057, 2982, 2928, 2857, 2686, 2522, 2413, 2372, 2306, 2134, 1715, 1610, 1504, 1463, 1422, 1264, 1179, 1147, 1096, 1033, 740 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ $7.30 - 7.23$ (m, 1H), 7.16 (d, 1H, $J = 7.9$ Hz), $7.04 - 6.96$ $(dd, 1H, J = 1.5, 8.2 Hz$, 6.79 $(d, 1H, J = 1.5 Hz)$, 6.76 (s, 1H), 6.74 (s, 1H), 4.75 (s, 1H), 4.21–4.09 (m, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.58 and 3.32 (ABq, 2H, $J =$ 22.2 Hz), 3.19 (s, 3H), 1.20 (t, 3H, $J = 7.0$ Hz); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.5, 169.7, 150.0, 148.8, 145.3, 132.3, 128.8, 127.8, 123.8, 123.4, 122.3, 117.5, 111.3, 107.8, 62.0, 55.9(6), 55.9(2), 55.3, 34.7, 26.3, 14.1; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{21}H_{23}NO_5S$ + Na: 424.1189; found: 424.1195.

3e: Brick red liquid; yield: 78%; $R_f = 0.49$ in 30:70 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (KBr): 3057, 2982, 2928, 2857, 2686, 2522, 2413, 2372, 2306, 2134, 1715, 1610, 1504, 1463, 1422, 1264, 1179, 1147, 1096, 1033, 740 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.46–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.18 (m, 3H), 7.14 (t, 1H, $J =$ 7.4 Hz), 6.75 (d, 1H, $J = 7.4$ Hz), 5.05 (s, 1H), 4.22–4.04 (m, 2H), 3.67 and 3.49 (ABq, 2H, $J = 22.1$) Hz), 3.19 (s, 3H), 1.16 (t, 3H, $J = 6.9$ Hz); $^{13}C(^{1}H)$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.6, 169.3, 145.5, 137.5, 134.7, 132.2, 131.4, 130.1, 129.7, 128.7, 127.3, 123.9, 122.3, 108.1, 62.2, 52.3, 34.8, 26.4, 14.1; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{19}H_{18}CINO_3S + Na: 398.0588;$ found: 398.0597.

3f: Orange liquid; yield: 75%; $R_f = 0.50$ in 30:70 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (KBr): 3061, 2977, 2930, 2373, 2181, 2129, 1717, 1656, 1610, 1470, 1354, 1301, 1262, 1225, 1155, 1092, 1029, 817 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.36 (t, 1H, J = 7.4 Hz), $7.33 - 7.24$ (m, 2H), 7.18 (d, 1H, $J = 7.7$ Hz), $7.09 - 7.00$ (m, 2H), 6.78 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 4.99 (s, 1H), 4.23–4.01 (m, 2H), 3.65 and 3.48 (ABq, 2H, $J = 22.3$) Hz), 3.19 (s, 3H), 1.15 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$ Hz); $^{13}C(^{1}H)$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.6, 169.5, 145.5, 136.0, 131.7, 131.4 (d, J = 51.2 Hz), 131.1, 128.6, 124.6 (d, $J = 3.9$ Hz), 123.9, 122.2, 116.1 (d, $J = 22.2$ Hz), 108.1, 62.1, 52.6, 34.7, 26.4, 14.1; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{19}H_{18}FNO_3S + Na$: 382.0884; found: 382.0888.

3g: Red solid; yield: 80%; M.p.: 110–112 °C; R_f = 0.49 in 30:70 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (KBr): 3057, 2978, 2937, 2364, 2314, 1719, 1607, 1470, 1350, 1300, 1261, 1152, 1096, 1023, 983 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.31–7.18 (m, 5H), 7.13 (d, 1H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 6.76 (d, 1H, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 4.83 (s, 1H), 4.22–4.05 (m, 2H), 3.63 and 3.44 (ABq, 2H, $J = 22.5$ Hz), 3.19 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$ Hz); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.4, 169.3, 145.5, 134.7, 134.5, 131.6(6), 131.6(0), 129.2, 128.6, 123.6, 122.2, 108.1, 62.1, 54.4, 34.7, 26.3, 14.0; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{19}H_{18}CINO_3S + Na: 398.0588$; found: 398.0589.

3h: Orange solid; yield: 81%; M.p.: 154–156 °C; R_f $= 0.45$ in 30:70 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (KBr): 3057, 2983, 2833, 2359, 2309, 1720, 1602, 1463, 1346, 1266, 1153, 1101, 1026, 944, 896 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.10–7.03 (m, 3H), 6.98 (d, 1H, $J = 7.9$ Hz), 6.59–6.49 (m, 3H), 4.56 (s, 1H), 4.07–3.83 (m, 2H), 3.40 and 3.16 (ABq, 2H, $J = 21.6$) Hz), 3.01 (s, 3H), 1.01 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 0.78 (s, 9H), 0.01 (s, 6H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.5, 169.7, 156.7, 145.3, 136.3, 132.3, 128.4, 124.1, 123.8, 122.3, 120.8, 107.7, 61.9, 55.1, 34.7, 26.3, 25.6, 18.2, 14.1, -4.4; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{25}H_{33}NO_4SSi +$ Na: 494.1792; found: 494.1789.

3i: Red solid; yield: 88%; M.p.: 122–124 °C; $R_f =$ 0.34 in 40:60 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (KBr): 3061, 2971, 2931, 2367, 1717, 1655, 1608, 1464, 1415, 1348, 1298, 1253, 1160, 1128, 1032 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: δ 8.39 (d, 1H, J = 4.7 Hz), 7.47 (t, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 7.27 (t, 1H, $J = 7.3$ Hz), 7.22 (d, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 7.16 (d, 1H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.03–6.95 (m, 1H), 6.75 (d, 1H, $J = 7.4$ Hz), 5.76 (s, 1H), 4.29–4.09 $(m, 2H), 3.73$ (s, 2H), 3.19 (s, 3H), 1.22 (t, 3H, $J = 7.0$ Hz); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.8, 170.0, 156.8, 149.3, 145.4, 136.2, 132.1, 128.6, 124.2, 122.1, 121.9, 120.1, 107.9, 62.1, 48.8, 35.0, 26.3, 14.1; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{18}H_{18}N_2O_3S + Na: 343.1111;$ found: 343.1123.

3m: Red semi solid; yield: 73%; $R_f = 0.44$ in 30:70 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (KBr): 3057, 2989, 2412, 2359, 2308, 1728, 1608, 1467, 1424, 1354, 1265, 1213, 1159, 1025, 967 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.42–7.31 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.19 (m, 5H), 6.64 (d, 1H, $J = 7.0$ Hz), 4.84 (s, 1H), 4.46 and 4.41 (AB q, 2H, $J = 17.6$ Hz), 4.26-4.09 (m, 4H), 3.66 and 3.39 $(ABq, 2H, J = 22.2 Hz), 1.27 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.19$ $(t, 3H, J = 7.1 \text{ Hz})$; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): d 174.5, 169.6, 167.6, 144.0, 133.4, 133.2, 132.4, 129.2, 128.6, 123.6, 122.7, 108.0, 62.1, 61.9, 54.5, 41.5, 34.5, 14.2, 14.1; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{22}H_{23}NO_5$ S+ H: 414.1370; found: 414.1379.

3n: Red solid; yield: 82%; M.p.: 112–114 °C; R_f = 0.48 in 30:70 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (KBr): 3063, 2977, 2927, 2861, 2360, 1729, 1607, 1468, 1353, 1310, 1207, 1160, 1025, 969 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.37 (d, 2H, $J = 6.8$ Hz), 7.31–7.20 $(m, 4H), 6.67$ (d, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 5.49 (s, 1H), 4.31–4.07 (m, 2H), 3.77 and 3.29 (ABq, 2H, $J = 22.3$) Hz), 3.17 (s, 3H), 1.23 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$ Hz); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.4, 168.8, 144.4, 134.0, 132.9, 131.3, 129.2, 129.1, 128.7, 127.7, 126.0, 108.7, 62.5, 52.9, 35.7, 26.4, 14.1; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{19}H_{18}CINO_3S + Na: 398.0588$; found: 398.0599.

3o: Orange liquid; yield: 81% ; $R_f = 0.50$ in 30:70 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (KBr): 3063, 2974, 2929, 1721, 1601, 1465, 1342, 1291, 1236, 1151, 1100, 1026, 809 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.46 $(d, 1H, J = 8.5 Hz)$, 7.38 $(d, 2H, J = 7.9 Hz)$, 7.30–7.20 $(m, 3H), 6.62$ (d, 1H, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 5.54 (s, 1H), 4.27–4.12 (m, 2H), 3.83 and 3.36 (ABq, 2H, $J = 22.4$) Hz), 3.16 (s, 3H), 1.22 (t, 3H, $J = 7.2$ Hz); $^{13}C(^{1}H)$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.2, 168.8, 145.0, 133.9, 133.2, 132.8, 132.4, 129.0, 128.6, 125.9, 117.6, 109.1, 62.4, 55.4, 35.7, 26.3, 14.1; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{19}H_{18}BrNO_3S + Na$: 442.0083; found: 442.0085.

3p: Red semi solid; yield: 74%; $R_f = 0.47$ in 30:70 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (KBr): 3057, 2985, 2936, 2306, 1716, 1619, 1472, 1425, 1361, 1265, 1163, 740 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.35 (d, 2H, J = 7.7 Hz), $7.28 - 7.22$ (m, 3H), 6.95 (t, 1H, $J = 9.4$ Hz), 6.66 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.8$, 8.5 Hz), 5.24 (s, 1H), 4.26–4.14 $(m, 2H), 3.72$ and 3.31 (ABq, 2H, $J = 22.9$ Hz), 3.17 $(s, 3H)$, 1.22 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$ Hz); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100) MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.6, 168.8, 156.5 (d, $J = 242.5$ Hz), 141.5, 133.7, 132.8, 129.1, 128.7, 125.7 (d, J = 3.4 Hz), 121.7 (d, $J = 16.6$ Hz), 114.4 (d, $J = 24.9$ Hz), 108.2 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 62.5, 49.0, 35.6, 26.4, 14.1; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{19}H_{18}FNO_3S + Na$: 382.0884; found: 382.0893.

3q: Orange solid; yield: 67%; M.p.: 132–134 °C; R_f $= 0.42$ in 40:60 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (KBr): 3368, 3302, 2926, 2855, 2360, 1713, 1610, 1473, 1358, 1286, 1251, 1160, 1073, 1028, 747 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.17 (d, 1H, J = 8.3 Hz); 7.39 (d, 2H, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 7.33–7.21 (m, 3H), 6.82 (d, 1H, $J = 8.6$ Hz), 5.26 (s, 1H), 4.25 (g, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz), 3.58 and 2.97 (ABq, 2H, $J = 21.8$ Hz), 3.23 (s, 3H), 1.26 (t, 3H, $J = 7.0$ Hz); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): d 174.0, 168.3, 149.7, 142.3, 134.1, 133.8, 130.8, 129.4, 129.1, 127.8, 125.9, 107.1, 62.7, 54.0, 35.0, 26.6, 14.1; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{19}H_{18}N_2O_5S$ + Na: 409.0829; found: 409.0835.

3r: Orange semi solid; yield: 70%; $R_f = 0.41$ in 40:60 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (KBr): 3057, 2986, 2308, 1711, 1611, 1472, 1431, 1358, 1266, 1163, 1076, 1029, 897 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ

7.34 (d, 2H), 7.28–7.17 (m, 3H), 6.75 (d, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 6.66 (d, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 5.33 (s, 1H), 4.18 (q, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.61 and 3.12 (ABq, 2H, $J =$ 22.0 Hz), 3.15 (s, 3H), 1.21 (t, 3H, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.5, 169.6, 152.2, 138.1, 133.8, 133.7, 128.8, 128.3, 125.5, 123.3, 110.1, 107.7, 62.0, 56.4, 49.9, 35.4, 26.2, 14.2; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{20}H_{21}NO_4S + H: 372.1264$; found: 372.1278.

5a: Red semi solid; yield: 71% ; R_f = 0.51 in 40:60 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (Neat): 3426, 3064, 2984, 2252, 1709, 1608, 1470, 1349, 1301, 1251, 1184, 1131, 1027, 984, 912 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.50–7.38 (m, 2H), 7.31 (t, 1H, $J = 7.3$ Hz), 7.27 (m, 4H), 6.76–6.66 (m, 1H), 4.83 (s, 1H), 4.22–4.05 (m, 2H), 3.47 and 3.18 (ABq, 2H, $J = 22.1$) Hz), 3.18 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$ Hz); $^{13}C(^{1}H)$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.5, 170.3, 145.2, 136.0, 132.7, 129.1, 129.0, 128.4, 128.2, 123.5, 122.6, 107.6, 61.9, 45.9, 34.6, 26.4, 14.1; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{19}H_{19}NO_3Se+H: 390.0603$; found: 390.0608.

5b: Red semi liquid; yield: 76% ; R_f = 0.55 in 30:70 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (Neat): 3431, 3061, 2935, 1718, 1618, 1469, 1228, 1125, 1025, 956, 811 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.48–7.40 (m, 2H), 7.32 (t, 1H, $J = 7.4$ Hz), 7.28–7.16 (m, 5H), 6.71 (d, 1H, $J = 7.4$ Hz), 4.85 (s, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.47 and 3.15 (ABq, 2H, $J = 22.2$ Hz), 3.18 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.5, 170.8, 145.2, 136.1, 132.7, 131.6, 129.2, 129.1, 128.5, 123.5, 122.7, 107.6, 52.9, 45.8, 34.6, 26.4; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{18}H_{16}NO_3Se + H: 376.0446$; found: 376.0448.

5c: Red semi liquid; yield: 63% ; R_f = 0.40 in 40:60 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (Neat): 3433, 3057, 2978, 2932, 2333, 2091, 1730, 1606, 1466, 1355, 1207, 1025, 932, 871 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.49–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.29 (m, 1H), 7.28–7.18 (m, 4H), 6.60 (d, 1H, $J = 7.3$ Hz), 4.82 (s, 1H), 4.43 (s, 2H), 4.22 (q, 2H, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 4.18–4.08 (m, 2H), 3.53 and 3.19 (ABq, 2H, $J = 22.2$ Hz), 1.27 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 1.19 (t, $3H$, $J = 7.0$ Hz); $^{13}C(^{1}H)$ NMR (100) MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.4, 170.3, 167.5, 143.8, 136.1, 133.0, 131.5, 129.1 (8), 129.1 (1), 128.4, 123.2, 123.0, 107.6, 61.9, 61.8, 45.8, 41.4, 34.3, 14.2, 14.0; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{22}H_{24}NO_5Se$ +H: 462.0814; found: 462.0814.

5d: Red semi liquid; yield: 69%; $R_f = 0.51$ in 40:60 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (Neat): 3419, 3055, 2980, 2930, 1955, 1717, 1605, 1462, 1340, 1229, 1162, 1026, 965 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.47–7.37 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.23 (m, 6H), 7.23–7.15 (m, 3H), 7.11 (t, 1H, $J = 7.9$ Hz), 6.60 (d, 1H, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 4.90 and 4.84 (ABq, 2H, $J = 15.6$ Hz), 4.84 (s, 1H), 4.23–4.03 (m, 2H), 3.53 and 3.20 (ABq, 2H, $J = 22.2$) Hz), 1.19 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$ Hz); $^{13}C(^{1}H)$ NMR (100) MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.5, 170.3, 144.1, 136.2, 135.8, 132.8, 129.1, 128.8, 128.3, 128.1, 127.7, 127.4, 123.5, 122.6, 108.5, 61.9, 45.7, 43.8, 34.5, 14.1; HRMS: calcd. for C_2 ₅H₂₃NO₃Se +H: 466.0916; found: 466.0918.

5e: Red semi liquid; yield: 66% ; R_f = 0.51 in 40:60 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (Neat): 3407, 3059, 2926, 2858, 1720, 1602, 1465, 1345, 1302, 1224, 1134, 1027, 806 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.48–7.38 (m, 3H), 7.34–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.24–7.15 (m, 2H), 6.57 (d, 1H, $J = 8.3$ Hz), 5.58 (s, 1H), 4.28–4.09 $(m, 2H)$, 3.69 and 3.17 (ABq, 2H, $J = 21.9$ Hz), 3.19 $(s, 2H)$, 1.24 $(s, 3H, J = 7.1 \text{ Hz})$; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100) MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.2, 169.3, 144.8, 136.5, 133.1, 132.4, 129.1, 129.0, 127.8, 126.1, 117.7, 108.8, 62.5, 47.0, 35.8, 26.3, 14.1; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{19}H_{18}$ BrNO₃Se +H: 467.9708; found: 467.9704.

5f: Red semi liquid; yield: 71% ; R_f = 0.51 in 40:60 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (Neat): 3454, 3061, 2934, 1718, 1618, 1472, 1347, 1289, 1236, 1125, 1026, 957 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.41(d, 2H, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.33–7.24 (m, 1H), 7.18 (t, 2H, $J = 7.3$ Hz), 6.90 (t, 1H, $J = 9.6$ Hz), 6.62 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.4$, 3.7 Hz), 5.27 (s, 1H), 4.20 (q, 2H, $J = 6.9$ Hz), 3.58 and 3.13 $(ABq, 2H, J = 22.2 Hz), 3.16$ (s, 3H), 1.23 (t, 3H, $J =$ 7.0 Hz); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.5, 169.3, 156.7 (d, J = 242.7 Hz) 141.3, 136.3, 129.1, 127.9, 125.7, 122.3, 114.3 (d, $J = 25.2$ Hz), 107.8 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz), 62.4, 40.5, 35.5, 26.4, 14.1; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{19}H_{18}FNO_3Se + H: 407.0436$; found: 407.0439.

Synthesis of sulfone 6: *m*-CPBA (121 mg, 0.7036) mmol) was added to a solution of oxindole 3 (80 g, 0.2345 mmol) in $CH₂Cl₂$ (10 mL) in 25 mL round bottom flask. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and analyzed by TLC. After completion, the reaction mixture was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) and neutralized by aq. NaHCO₃. The organic layer was separated and evaporated under the reduced pressure. The resultant residue was purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane as eluent to give sulfone 6 as green semi-liquid in 78% yield. $R_f = 0.38$ in 40:70 ethyl acetate/hexane; FTIR (Neat): 3426, 3064, 2984, 2252, 1709, 1608, 1470, 1349, 1301, 1251, 1184, 1131, 1027, 984, 912 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.73–7.59 (m, 3H), 7.47 (d, 2H, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 7.22 (m, $1H, J = 7.8$ Hz), 7.15 (d, $1H, J = 8.0$ Hz), 6.83 (d, 1H, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 5.09 (s, 1H), 4.32–4.06 (m, 2H), 3.46 and 3.37 (ABq, 2H, $J = 22.0$ Hz), 3.19 (s, 3H), 1.21 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$ Hz); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.0, 164.3, 145.5, 136.6, 134.5, 130.0, 128.8, 128.4, 126.3, 124.3, 123.2, 109.2, 72.3, 62.8, 34.8, 26.4, 13.9; HRMS: calcd. for $C_{18}H_{18}NO_5S + H: 360.0900$; found: 360.0890.

3. Results and Discussion

Initially, α -thioester 1a derived from thiophenol and 3-diazoindolin-2-one 2a derived from isatins, were chosen as model substrates to study the rhodium-catalyzed Sommelet-Hauser type rearrangement (Table 1). Thus, one equivalent of α -thioester **1a** was treated with one equivalent of 3-diazoindolin-2-one 2a in the presence of 2 mol% of rhodium acetate in toluene at 120 $^{\circ}$ C. To our delight, the formation of expected C4-thioalkylated oxindole product 3a was observed in 76% yield (Table 1, entry 1). The formation and structure of oxindole 3a was unambigu-ously confirmed by X-ray analysis (Figure 2).^{[22](#page-10-0)} After the successful confirmation of the product, attempts were devoted to optimize the reaction. The use of DCM as a solvent at 40 $^{\circ}$ C with equimolar amount of 1a and 2a also afforded the expected product 3a in comparable yield within 4 h (Table 1, entry 2). Next, the reaction temperature was decreased from 40 $^{\circ}$ C to room temperature in DCM, which gave the product 3a in decreased yield (Table 1, entry 3). Interestingly, increasing the amount of 2a in DCM at room temperature showed significant improvement in the reaction (Table 1, entry 4 and 5). The best yield of 81% of 3a was observed with two equivalents of 2a.

Figure 2. ORTEP diagram of oxindole 3a.

On the other hand, the reaction of two equivalents of 1a and one equivalent of 2a gave only 59% of 3a (Table 1, entry 6). Keeping the equivalents of reagents, DCM and room temperature as a constant, decrease the catalyst loading from 2 mol% to 1 mol% gave the product 3a in diminished yield (Table 1, entry 7). Furthermore, replacing the catalyst $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ with $Rh_2(Oct)_4$ also led to the formation of product 3a in comparable yield (Table 1, entry 8). Similarly, changing the solvent from DCM to chloroform also gave the product in 78% yield (Table 1, entry 9). From all the above optimization studies, following conditions were chosen for studying the scope and generality of the present Sommelet-Hauser type rearrangement: α -thioester 1a (1 equiv), 3-diazoindolin-2-one 2a (2 equiv), $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ (2 mol%), dichloromethane, rt, 2 h.

Table 1. Rhodium-catalyzed Sommelet-Hauser type rearrangemen: optimization studies^a

^a Reaction conditions: α -thioester 1a (X equiv), 3-diazoindolin-2-one 2a (Y equiv), Rh₂(OAc)₄ (2 mol%), solvent, temp, time

b Isolated yields

 $\rm\thinspace c\thinspace$ Rh₂(OAc)₄ (1 mol%) was used

 d Rh₂(Oct)₄ (2 mol%) was used.

Having found the best-optimized conditions, next, the generality of the developed transformation was examined with respect to various α -thioester 1. At first, scope of various arene substitutions in α -thioester was investigated. As can be seen in Scheme 2, all the substituted α -thioester 1 afforded the corresponding oxindoles 3 in good yield. For instance, replacing of phenyl with electron-rich arene moieties such as 4-methoxy and 2,3-dimethoxyphenyl substituted a-thioesters afforded corresponding C4-thioalkylated oxindoles 3b and 3d in \sim 70% yields under the optimized conditions. Similarly, the present method was successfully applied to the haloarene-substituted a-thioesters for the synthesis of synthetically useful halo substituted oxindoles $3e-3g$ in excellent yields.

Importantly, sterically hindered ortho-substituted 2,4-dimethylphenyl substituted α -thioesters underwent smooth reaction with 2a to provide the corresponding oxindole 3c in 64% yield. Interestingly, acid-sensitive, silyloxyarene substituted a-thioester was also welltolerated under the optimized conditions to give oxindole 3h in 81% yield. Furthermore, α -thioester derived from pyridine-2-thiol also worked well under the optimized conditions to furnish oxindole 3i in 88% yield. On the other hand, the replacement of ester moiety with other electron-withdrawing groups, such as methyl ketone, carboxamide and nitro group, failed to afford the expected C4-substituted product 3j–3l. This is possibly due to the less acidity of C-H bond in carboxamide and high stability of the anion generated from nitro derivatives.

Successively, the scope of 3-diazoindolin-2-one 2 was also examined under the present optimized conditions (Scheme [3\)](#page-7-0). N-substituted diazo derivatives such as methyl and functionalized alkyl group were subjected under rhodium-catalyzed reaction with 1a, which led to the formation of oxindole products $3a$ and $3m$ in 81% and 73% yields, respectively. C4-Functionalized chloro, bromo and fluoro substituted oxindole 3n–3p were achieved in good yield from corresponding substituted diazo compounds. It is important to note that both electron-withdrawing, 5-nitro-substituted and electron-donating, 5-methoxy-substituted diazo derivatives underwent smooth reaction to afford the oxindole products 3q and 3r in good yields. Unfortunately, the replacement of 3-diazoindolin-2-one 2 with cyclic diazoketone 2s under the optimized conditions failed to afford the corresponding product 3s.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of C4-thioalkylated oxindoles 3: Scope and limitation of α -thioester 1.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of C4-thioalkylated oxindoles 3: Scope and limitation of diazo compound 2.

After the successful development of Sommelet-Hauser type rearrangement of 3-diazoindolin-2-one with α -thioester, next, the extension of the present reaction to a-selenoesters was envisaged for the synthesis of C4-selenoalkylated oxindole derivatives. Thus, one equivalent of α -selenoester 4a was subjected to two equivalents of diazo compound 2a in the presence of 2 mol% of $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ in dichloromethane at room temperature (Scheme 4). As expected, C4 selenoalkylated oxindole 5a was observed in 71% yield. This implies that the developed reaction works equally well for selenium-ylide derived the α -selenoesters. Further, the scope of α -selenoesters with various N-substituted diazo derivatives as examined. Replacement of ethyl ester with methyl ester in α selenoesters gave the oxindole 5b in comparable yield. The reaction of functionalized alkyl and benzyl group containing diazo compound under standard conditions led to the formation of oxindole products 5c and 5d in 63% and 69% yields, respectively. Similarly, halo substituted oxindoles 5e–5f were also synthesized in good yield from corresponding diazo compounds. Unfortunately, α -selenoesters derived from methyl 2-bromopropionate did not afford the expected oxindole 5g, possibly due to the steric hindrance.

After the successful demonstration of generality of the developed method, chemoselectivity of Sommelet-Hauser type rearrangement was examined with α -thioesters and α -selenoesters (Scheme [5\)](#page-8-0). The

Scheme 4. Synthesis of C4-selenoalkylated oxindoles 5.

reaction of equimolar mixture of 1a and 4b with diazo compound 2a in the presence of 2 mol% of rhodium acetate in DCM afforded the mixture of oxindoles 3a and 5e in 59% overall yield with 1:1.2 ratio (Scheme [5](#page-8-0)a). This observation suggests that reactivity of a-selenoester towards Sommelet-Hauser type rearrangement under the developed conditions is significantly higher compared to α -thioesters. Next, the possible synthetic conversion of oxindole 3a was also investigated (Scheme [5b](#page-8-0)). The sulfur moiety in the synthesized oxindole 3a was readily oxidized in the presence of *m*-CPBA at room temperature in CH_2Cl_2 to corresponding sulfone 6 in 78% yield, which could be readily applied for subsequent synthetic manipulation.

Scheme 5. Chemoselectivity and synthetic utility.

Having demonstrated the efficient synthesis of C4 chalcogenoalkylated oxindoles, based on the literature precedence, the plausible mechanism for the rhodiumcatalyzed transformation was postulated (Scheme 6). The reaction starts with the generation of reactive rhodium carbenoid A from diazo compound 2 and $Rh_2(OAc)_4$. Subsequent trapping of formed rhodium carbenoid A with α -thioester/ α -selenoester 1/4 via nucleophilic attached would generate the metal-bound S/Se-ylide B. Regeneration of rhodium catalyst from metal-bound ylide \bf{B} would form the S/Se-ylide \bf{B}' . Intramolecular proton transfer in B' would provide rearranged ylide C. Formation of enone intermediate D could be readily realized through the [2, 3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of resultant ylide C. Finally, the expected oxindole product 3/5 could be achieved via the aromatization of intermediate D.

In conclusion, a general and efficient synthesis of C4-thioalkylated oxindoles has been accomplished

through rhodium-catalyzed Sommelet-Hauser type rearrangement of a-thioester with 3-diazoindolin-2 one. The developed reaction tolerates various reactive functional groups and allowed the selective synthesis of various C4-thioalkylated oxindoles in good yield to excellent yield. Furthermore, the developed reaction was successfully extended to a-selenoester for the synthesis of C4-selenoalkylated oxindoles. Importantly, the chemoselective experiment suggested the significant higher reactivity of α -selenoesters towards metal carbenoids compared to a-thioesters.

Supplementary Information (SI)

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of isolated compounds and CIF file containing crystallographic information of compound 3a are provided in the supporting information. Supplementary information is available at www.ias.ac.in/chemsci.

Scheme 6. A plausible mechanism.

Acknowledgements

We thank DST-SERB (EMR/2016/003677) for the financial support and DST-FIST for ESI-MS facility. ACSR thanks the Council of Scientific & Industrial Research (CSIR) for fellowship.

References

- 1. (a) Silva B V 2013 Isatin, a versatile molecule: studies in Brazil J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 24 707; (b) Manzoli L, Flacco M E, Boccia S, D'Andrea E, Panic N, Marzuillo C, Siliquini R, Ricciardi W, Villari P and Ioannidis J P A 2016 Generic versus brand-name drugs used in cardiovascular diseases Eur. J. Epidemiol. 31 351
- 2. Bazoui H, Zahouily M, Boulajaaj S, Sebti S and Zakarya D 2002 QSAR for anti-HIV activity of HEPT derivatives SAR OSAR Environ. Res. 13 567
- 3. (a) Tanaka S, Amling M, Neff L, Peyman A, Uhlmann E, Levy J B and Baron R 1996 c-Cbl is downstream of c-Src in a signalling pathway necessary for bone resorption Nature 383 528; (b) Hoffman R, Dennis I F and Donaldson J 1995 Protein binding modulates inhibition of the epidermal growth factor receptor kinase and DNA synthesis by tyrphostins Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 36 316
- 4. Renslo A R, Jaishankar P, Venkatachalam R, Hackbarth C, Lopez S, Patel D V and Gordeev M F 2005 Conformational Constraint in Oxazolidinone Antibacterials. Synthesis and Structure-Activity Studies of (Azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexylphenyl)oxazolidinones J. Med. Chem. 48 5009
- 5. Natarajan A, Guo Y, Harbinski F, Fan Y-H, Chen H, Luus L, Diercks J, Aktas H, Chorev M and Halperin J A 2004 Novel Arylsulfoanilide-Oxindole Hybrid as an Anticancer Agent That Inhibits Translation Initiation J. Med. Chem. 47 4979
- 6. (a) Gallagher G, Lavanchy P G, Wilson J W, Hieble J P and DeMarinis R M 1985 4-[2-(Di-n-propylamino)ethyl]-2(3H)-indolone: a prejunctional dopamine receptor agonist J. Med. Chem. 28 1533; (b) Kikuchi C, Hiranuma T and Koyama M 2002 Tetrahydrothienopyridylbutyl-tetrahydrobenzindoles: New Selective Ligands of the 5-HT7 Receptor Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 12 2549; (c) Tokunaga T, Hume W E, Umezome T, Okazaki K, Ueki Y, Kumagai K, Hourai S, Nagamine J, Seki H, Taiji M, Noguchi H and Nagata R 2001 Oxindole Derivatives as Orally Active Potent Growth Hormone Secretagogues J. Med. Chem. 44 4641
- 7. (a) Dalpozzo R 2017 Catalytic asymmetric synthesis of hetero-substituted oxindoles Org. Chem. Front. 4 2063; (b) Dalpozzo R, Bartoli G and Bencivenni G 2012 Recent advances in organocatalytic methods for the synthesis of disubstituted 2- and 3-indolinones Chem. Soc. Rev. 41 7247
- 8. (a) Yang B H and Buchwald S L 1999 The Development of Efficient Protocols for the Palladium-Catalyzed Cyclization Reactions of Secondary Amides and Carbamates Org. Lett. 1 35; (b) van den Hoogenband A,

Lange J H M, Iwema-Bakker W I, den Hartog J A J, van Schaik J, Feenstra R W and Terpstra J W 2006 An efficient one-pot synthesis of novel 4-aryl-1-methyloxindoles Tetrahedron Lett. 47 4361; (c) Poondra R R and Turner N J 2005 Microwave-Assisted Sequential Amide Bond Formation and Intramolecular Amidation: A Rapid Entry to Functionalized Oxindoles Org. Lett. 7 863; (d) Dounay A B, Hatanaka K, Kodanko J J, Oestreich M, Overman L E, Pfeifer L A and Weiss M M 2003 Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis of Quaternary Carbons Bearing Two Aryl Substituents. Enantioselective Synthesis of 3-Alkyl-3-Aryl Oxindoles by Catalytic Asymmetric Intramolecular Heck Reactions J. Am. Chem. Soc. 125 6261; (e) Correia V G, Abreu J C, Barata C A E and Andrade L H 2017 Iron-Catalyzed Synthesis of Oxindoles: Application to the Preparation of Pyrroloindolines Org . Lett. 19 1060; (f) Pang Y, Guan M, Zeng R and Zhao Y 2017 A practical approach for the synthesis of oxindole and isatin derivatives by Pd-catalyzed intramolecular amination Org. Chem. Front. 4 2408

- 9. Abe T, Kosaka Y, Asano M, Harasawa N, Mishina A, Nagasue M, Sugimoto Y, Katakawa K, Sueki S, Anada M and Yamada K 2019 Direct C4-Benzylation of Indoles via Tandem Benzyl Claisen/Cope Rearrangements Org. Lett. 21 826
- 10. Ye T and McKervey M A 1994 Organic Synthesis with a-Diazo Carbonyl Compounds Chem. Rev. 94 1091
- 11. Davies H M L and Beckwith R E J 2003 Catalytic Enantioselective C-H Activation by Means of Metal-Carbenoid-Induced C-H Insertion Chem. Rev. 103 2861
- 12. (a) Gillingham D and Fei N 2013 Catalytic X–H insertion reactions based on carbenoids Chem. Soc. Rev. 42 4918; (b) Guttenberger N and Breinbauer R 2017 C-H and C-C bond insertion reactions of diazo compounds into aldehydes Tetrahedron 73 6815
- 13. Ford A, Miel H, Ring A, Slattery C N, Maguire A R and McKervey M A 2015 Modern Organic Synthesis with α -Diazocarbonyl Compounds Chem. Rev. 115 9981
- 14. (a) Aggarwal V K and Winn C L 2004 Catalytic, Asymmetric Sulfur Ylide-Mediated Epoxidation of Carbonyl Compounds: Scope, Selectivity, and Applications in Synthesis Acc. Chem. Res. 37 611; (b) Neuhaus J D, Oost R, Merad J and Maulide N 2018 Sulfur-Based Ylides in Transition-Metal-Catalysed Processes Top. Curr. Chem. 376 15
- 15. (a) Tayama E 2016 Ring-Substitution, Enlargement, and Contraction by Base-Induced Rearrangements of N-Heterocyclic Ammonium Salts Heterocycles 92 793; (b) Tayama E 2015 Recent Advances in the Base-Induced Sommelet–Hauser Rearrangement of Amino Acid Derived Ammonium Ylides Chem. Rec. 15 789; (c) Roy T, Gaykar R N, Bhattacharjee S and Biju A T 2019 The aryne Sommelet–Hauser rearrangement Chem. Commun. 55 3004
- 16. (a) Vedejs E and West F G 1986 Ylides by the desilylation of α -silyl onium salts *Chem. Rev.* 86 941; (b) Dormann K L and Brückner R 2007 Variable Synthesis of the Optically Active Thiotetronic Acid Antibiotics Thiolactomycin, Thiotetromycin, and 834-B1 Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 46 1160
- 17. Liao M, Peng L and Wang J 2008 Rh(II)-Catalyzed Sommelet-Hauser Rearrangement Org. Lett. 10 693
- 18. (a) Reddy A C S, Choutipalli V S K, Ghorai J, Subramanian V and Anbarasan P 2017 Stereoselective Palladium-Catalyzed Synthesis of Indolines via Intramolecular Trapping of N-Ylides with Alkenes ACS Catal. 7 6283; (b) Yadagiri D and Anbarasan P 2015 Tandem 1, 2-sulfur migration and (aza)-Diels– Alder reaction of β -thio- α -diazoimines: rhodium catalyzed synthesis of (fused)-polyhydropyridines, and cyclohexenes Chem. Sci. 6 5847; (c) Yadagiri D, Reddy A C S and Anbarasan P 2016 Rhodium catalyzed diastereoselective synthesis of 2,2,3,3-tetrasubstituted indolines from N-sulfonyl-1,2,3-triazoles and ortho-vinylanilines Chem. Sci. 7 5934; (d) Yadagiri D and Anbarasan P 2013 Rhodium-catalyzed denitrogenative [2,3] sigmatropic rearrangement: an efficient

entry to sulfur-containing quaternary centers *Chem.* – Eur. J. 19 15115

- 19. Muthusamy S, Gunanathan C, Babu S A, Suresh E and Dastidar P 2002 First example of regiospecific intermolecular C–H insertion reactions of cyclic rhodium carbenoids: novel synthesis of 3-indol-3'-yloxindoles Chem. Commun. 824
- 20. Venkat Ragavan R, Vijayakumar V and Suchetha Kumari N 2009 Synthesis of some novel bioactive 4-oxy/thio substituted-1H-pyrazol-5(4H)-ones via efficient cross-Claisen condensation Eur. J. Med. Chem. 44 3852
- 21. Bhalla A, Sharma S, Bhasin K K and Bari S S 2007 Convenient Preparation of Benzylseleno- and Phenylselenoalkanoic Acids: Reagents for Synthesis of Organoselenium Compounds Synth. Commun. 37 783
- 22. CCDC 1944163