Effects of Administered Ethanol and Methamphetamine on Glial Glutamate Transporters in Rat Striatum and Hippocampus

Fahad S. Alshehri¹ · Yusuf S. Althobaiti¹ · Youssef Sari¹

Received: 10 June 2016 / Accepted: 11 November 2016 / Published online: 25 November 2016 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

Abstract Exposure to ethanol (EtOH) or methamphetamine (MA) can lead to increase in extracellular glutamate concentration in the brain. Although studies from ours showed the effects of EtOH exposure on key glial glutamate transporters, little is known about the effects of sequential exposure to EtOH and MA or MA alone on certain glial glutamate transporters. In this study, we investigated the effects of sequential exposure to EtOH and MA on the expression of the major glutamate transporters, glutamate transporter 1 (GLT-1), as well as cystine/glutamate antiporter (xCT) and glutamate aspartate transporter (GLAST) in striatum and hippocampus. We also tested the effects of ceftriaxone (CEF), known to upregulate GLT-1, in animals administered EtOH and MA. Wistar rats were orally gavaged with EtOH (6 g/kg) or water for 7 days. On the following day (day 8), the rats received four intraperitoneal (i.p.) injections of MA (10 mg/kg) or saline (vehicle) occurring every 2 h. The rats were then treated with CEF (200 mg/kg/day, i.p.) or saline on days 8, 9, and 10. EtOH or MA exposure caused a significant downregulation of GLT-1 expression as compared to control groups in striatum and hippocampus. Furthermore, sequential exposure of EtOH and MA caused a significant downregulation of GLT-1 expression as compared to either drug administered alone in both brain regions. Importantly, GLT-1 expression was restored following CEF treatment. There were no significant differences on xCT and GLAST expression in striatum and hippocampus between all groups. These findings demonstrated that

Youssef Sari youssef.sari@utoledo.edu sequential exposure to EtOH and MA has additive effect in downregulation of GLT-1 and this effect can be attenuated by CEF treatment.

Keywords GLT-1 \cdot Methamphetamine \cdot Ethanol \cdot Glutamate \cdot xCT \cdot GLAST \cdot Gavage

Introduction

Evidence demonstrated increases in ethanol (EtOH) intake with methamphetamine (MA) exposure, which was associated with elevation on the rewarding effects when compared to either drugs administered alone (Kirkpatrick et al. 2012; Bujarski et al. 2014). Concomitant exposure to EtOH and MA increased the reward effects, decreased impairments in sleep, and performance (Kirkpatrick et al. 2012), and stimulated the release of dopamine in striatum (Nishiguchi et al. 2002; Yamauchi et al. 2000). Thus, sequential exposure to EtOH and MA can lead to clinical challenges for diagnosis and treatment because of their interactive effects (Sepehrmanesh et al. 2014).

Several studies focused on the role of glutamate in MA abuse (Abekawa et al. 1994; Nash and Yamamoto 1992; Qi et al. 2009). MA increased the extracellular glutamate concentrations in striatum (Nash and Yamamoto 1992) and hippocampus (Raudensky and Yamamoto 2007). It has been shown that repeated high-dose treatment with MA (10 mg/kg every 2 h \times 4) can lead to damage of dopaminergic terminals and increases of extracellular glutamate concentration (Thomas et al. 2004; Halpin et al. 2013; Bowyer et al. 1994). In addition, studies reported that repeated MA exposure can lead to increase in both extracellular concentrations of dopamine and glutamate in the striatum (Nash and Yamamoto 1992) and hippocampus (Rocher and Gardier 2001). Although, it is well



¹ Department of Pharmacology & Experimental Therapeutics, College of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Toledo, Health Science Campus, 3000 Arlington Avenue, Toledo, OH 43614, USA

known that MA increases the extracellular glutamate in specific brain regions, little is known about its effects on glutamate transporters or when administered sequentially with EtOH.

Moreover, it has been demonstrated that EtOH exposure can decrease glutamate uptake and increase extracellular glutamate concentrations in nucleus accumbens (Das et al. 2015; Melendez et al. 2005). Also, chronic EtOH consumption downregulated the expression of major glutamate transporters such as glutamate transporter 1 (GLT-1, its homolog is excitatory amino acid 2) and cystine/glutamate exchanger (xCT) (Rao and Sari 2014; Sari and Sreemantula 2012; Aal-Aaboda et al. 2015; Alasmari et al. 2015; Alhaddad et al. 2014; Das et al. 2015). In addition, binge EtOH gavage (4 g/kg, three to four times a day) decreases the expression of GLT-1 and produces withdrawal signs upon cessation to EtOH exposure (Abulseoud et al. 2014; Das et al. 2016). Glutamate homeostasis is regulated by a number of transporters, including GLT-1, xCT, and glutamate aspartate transporter (GLAST). The present study was aimed to examine the effects of sequential exposure of EtOH and MA as well as the drug alone on GLT-1, xCT, and GLAST expression. We suggested that hyperglutamatergic state induced by MA or EtOH might be associated with deficit in glutamate transporters. We hypothesized that ceftriaxone (CEF), a known GLT-1 upregulator, would normalize the expression of these glutamate transporters after exposure to sequential EtOH and MA in both striatum and hippocampus.

Materials and Methods

Drugs

(+) MA hydrochloride was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). CEF (Sandoz Inc., Princeton, NJ) was purchased from the University of Toledo Medical Center Pharmacy. Both drugs were dissolved in saline solution (0.9% NaCl). EtOH (190 proof, Decon Labs) was diluted with water for oral gavage.

Animals

Male Wistar rats were used in this study to examine the effects of sequential EtOH and MA exposure on selective glutamate transporters. Wistar rats were chosen due to their higher EtOH threshold effect compared to other rats (Abulseoud et al. 2014). The Wistar rats were received from Harlan Laboratories. The rats were housed in standard plastic tubes with free access to food and water throughout the experiments. The rats were kept at 21 °C with relative humidity of 50% on a regular 12-h light/dark cycle. The experiments and housing procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use committee at the University of Toledo with guidelines followed by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the National Institutes of Health and the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory.

EtOH and MA Exposure As Well As CEF Treatment

Timeline of the experimental design is illustrated in Table 1. Wistar rats were divided randomly into six groups: (a) watergavaged group received saline (i.p.) then saline (i.p.), (b) water-gavaged group received MA (i.p.) and then saline (i.p.), (c) water-gavaged group received MA (i.p.) and then CEF (i.p.), (d) EtOH-gavaged group received saline (i.p.) and then saline (i.p.), (e) EtOH-gavaged group received MA (i.p.) and then saline (i.p.), and (f) EtOH-gavaged group received MA (i.p.) and then CEF (i.p.). The rats received oral gavage of water (control) or EtOH (6 g/kg) for 7 days. At day 8, the rats received total of four injections of saline or MA (10 mg/kg) every 2 h, and saline or CEF (200 mg/kg, i.p.) was administered 30 min following the last MA i.p. injection. At day 9, the rats received saline or CEF 24 h following the last MA i.p. injections. At day 10, the rats received last saline or CEF dose, and then, the rats were euthanized with CO₂ inhalation followed by decapitation.

Brain Tissue Dissection

Dorsal striatum and hippocampus were isolated using a cryostat (Leica CM1950) with guidance of rat brain atlas (Paxinos and Watson 2007). Brain regions were kept at -80 °C for immunoblotting to detect the selected protein targets.

Immunoblotting Procedures

Immunoblotting procedures were done as previously described (Sari et al. 2010, 2009). In brief, striatum and hippocampus samples were lysed in lysis buffer. Quantified proteins were separated through 10% polyacrylamide gels in 1× laemmli buffer. Proteins were then transferred onto PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The membranes were further blocked with 3% blocking buffer (fat free milk, LabScientific) in 1× TBST. The membranes were then incubated with primary antibodies (GLT-1, xCT, or GLAST) overnight at 4 °C. β-Tubulin was used as a loading control. The membranes were incubated with chemiluminescent substrate (Super Signal West Pico, Thermo Scientific) and exposed to autoradiography films (Denville Scientific Inc.). The membranes were then developed using an X-ray film processor (Konica SRX101A tabletop). Images of immunoblots were quantified using the MCID Digital Imaging Software.

Table 1 Timeline of experimental design	Days	Days 1–7	Day 8	Days 9 and 10
	Treatment	EtOH (6 g/kg) or water oral gavage	MA (10 mg/kg, i.p.) or saline every 2 h, total 4 doses CEF (200 mg/kg, i.p.) or saline	CEF (200 mg/kg, i.p.) or saline

Statistical Analysis

Analyses of the effects of MA, EtOH, and CEF on GLT-1, xCT, and GLAST expression were performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Newman-Keuls multiple comparison tests. An independent *t* test was performed for further comparison between individual groups. All statistical analyses were done using a *p* value less than 0.05 (p < 0.05) as level of significance.

Results

Effects of MA and CEF on GLT-1 Expression in Striatum and Hippocampus in Water or EtOH Oral-Gavaged Rats

We investigated the effects of CEF on GLT-1 expression in striatum and hippocampus in rats that received water (WT) or EtOH through oral gavage procedure and challenged with repeated high dose of MA. One-way ANOVA revealed a significant main effect among water saline-saline (WT-SA-SA), water methamphetamine-saline (WT-MA-SA), and water methamphetamine-ceftriaxone (WT-MA-CEF) groups in striatum [F(2, 15) = 7.587, p = 0.0053] and hippocampus [F(2, 15) = 7.587, p = 0.0053(15) = 9.662, p = 0.002]. Newman-Keuls multiple comparison post hoc test demonstrated a significant increase in the expression of GLT-1 in WT-MA-CEF-treated groups compared to WT-MA-SA-treated groups in striatum and hippocampus (p < 0.01; Fig. 1a, b). Alternatively, quantitative analysis showed a significant downregulation of GLT-1 expression in WT-MA-SA-treated groups as compared to control groups in striatum and hippocampus (p < 0.05; Fig. 1a, b). Statistical analysis revealed no significant effects between WT-SA-SA and WT-MA-CEF-treated groups in striatum and hippocampus. Furthermore, one-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect between ethanol-saline-saline (EtOH-SA-SA), ethanol-methamphetamine-saline (EtOH-MA-SA), and ethanol-methamphetamine-ceftriaxone (EtOH-MA-CEF) in striatum [F(2, 15) = 6.092, p = 0.0116] and hippocampus [F(2, 15) = 5.467, p = 0.0165]. Newman-Keuls multiple comparison post hoc test revealed a significant increase in the expression of GLT-1 in EtOH-MA-CEF-treated groups compared to EtOH-MA-SA in striatum and hippocampus (p < 0.05, Fig. 2a, b). However, no significant effects were found between EtOH-SA-SA and EtOH-MA-CEF-treated groups in striatum and hippocampus (Fig. 2a, b).

Effects of MA and CEF on xCT Expression in Striatum and Hippocampus in Water or EtOH Oral-Gavaged Rats

We examined the effects of CEF on xCT expression in striatum and hippocampus in rats that received water or EtOH through oral gavage and challenged with repeated high dose of MA. One-way ANOVA showed no significant effects between WT-SA-SA, WT-MA-SA, and WT-MA-CEF groups in striatum [F (2, 15) = 0.2770, p = 0.7619, Fig. 1c, d] and hippocampus [F (2, 15) = 1.724, p = 0.2119, Fig. 1c, d]. One-way ANOVA demonstrated no significant changes in the expression of xCT between EtOH-SA-SA, EtOH-MA-SA, and EtOH-MA-CEF in striatum [F (2, 15) = 0.3416, p = 0.3416, Fig. 2c, d] and hippocampus [F (2, 15) = 0.03487, p = 0.9658, Fig. 2c, d].

Effects of MA and CEF on GLAST Expression in Striatum and Hippocampus in Water or EtOH-Gavaged Rats

GLAST expression was investigated to evaluate the effects of CEF in striatum and hippocampus in rats that received water or EtOH through oral gavage and challenged with repeated high dose of MA. One-way ANOVA revealed no significant changes in the expression of GLAST between WT-SA-SA, WT-MA-SA, and WT-MA-CEF in both striatum [F (2, 15) = 0.1772, p = 0.8393, Fig. 1e, f] and hippocampus [F (2, 18) = 0.1153, p = 0.8917, Fig. 1e, f]. One-way ANOVA revealed no significant changes in the expression of GLAST between EtOH-SA-SA, EtOH-MA-SA, and EtOH-MA-CEF [F (2, 15) = 2.151, p = 0.1509, Fig. 2f] and hippocampus [F (2, 15) = 0.08096, p = 0.9226, Fig. 2e, f].

Effects of Exposure of EtOH Alone or with MA on GLT-1 Expression in Striatum and Hippocampus

The effects of sequential exposure to EtOH and MA on the expression of GLT-1 in rats were examined in both striatum and hippocampus. An independent *t* test showed a significant decrease in the expression of GLT-1 in EtOH-SA-SA groups compared to WT-SA-SA groups in striatum (p = 0.0285,



Fig. 1 Effects of MA (10 mg/kg i.p. every 2 h × 4) and CEF (200 mg/kg) on GLT-1, xCT, and GLAST expression in water groups in both striatum and hippocampus. **a**, **c**, **e** Immunoblots for GLT-1, xCT, and GLAST, respectively. **b** Quantitative analysis showed a significant increase in the ratio of GLT-1/ β -tubulin in WT-MA-CEF groups compared to WT-MA-SA groups in striatum and hippocampus. Significant downregulation of GLT-1 expression was observed in WT-MA-SA groups compared to control WT-SA-SA groups in striatum and hippocampus. No significant

difference in GLT-1 expression was found in WT-MA-CEF groups compared to WT-SA-SA group in both brain regions. **d** Quantitative analysis showed no significant difference in the ratio of xCT/ β -tubulin between all tested groups in striatum and hippocampus. **f** Quantitative analysis showed no significant difference in the ratio of GLAST/ β tubulin between all tested groups in striatum and hippocampus. Values shown as means \pm SEM (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01) (n = 6 for each group)

Fig. 3a, b) and hippocampus (p = 0.0432, Fig. 3a, b). The effects of EtOH and MA on the expression of GLT-1 were examined in both striatum and hippocampus. An independent *t* test showed a significant decrease of GLT-1 expression in EtOH-MA-SA groups compared to WT-MA-SA groups in striatum (p < 0.0255, Fig. 3c, d) and hippocampus (p < 0.0399, Fig. 3c, d).

Discussion

Several studies have reported the negative effects of MA and EtOH exposure in the brain (Kirkpatrick et al. 2012; Kim et al. 2006). Sequential exposure to EtOH and MA might be lethal, as MA can produce stimulating effects that counteract the

depressing effects of EtOH and consequently increase EtOH consumption (Bujarski et al. 2014). The reward effects have been reported to be greater when MA is consumed with EtOH (Kirkpatrick et al. 2012). Studies from our laboratory reported that chronic EtOH consumption disturbs glutamate homeostasis via downregulation of GLT-1 and xCT (Aal-Aaboda et al. 2015; Rao and Sari 2014; Sari and Sreemantula 2012; Sari et al. 2013; Das et al. 2015). However, little is known about GLT-1 and the other glutamate transporters (xCT and GLAST) following MA exposure. Importantly, the present study revealed for the first time that repeated high dose of MA (10 mg/kg every 2 h \times 4 i.p.) downregulated GLT-1 expression in both the striatum and hippocampus, which might be associated with increases in extracellular glutamate levels as reported in previous studies (Nash and Yamamoto 1992; Halpin



347



Fig. 2 Effects of MA (10 mg/kg i.p. every 2 h × 4), EtOH (6 g/kg), and CEF (200 mg/kg) on GLT-1, xCT, and GLAST in EtOH groups in both striatum and hippocampus. **a**, **c**, **e** Immunoblots for GLT-1, xCT, and GLAST, respectively. **b** Quantitative analysis showed a significant increase in the ratio of GLT-1/ β -tubulin in EtOH-MA-CEF groups compared to EtOH-MA-SA group in striatum and hippocampus. A significant downregulation of GLT-1 expression was found in EtOH-MA-SA groups compared to control EtOH-SA-SA groups in both brain

regions. No significant difference in GLT-1 expression was found in EtOH-MA-CEF group compared to EtOH-SA control group. **d** Quantitative analysis did not reveal any significant difference in the ratio of xCT/ β -tubulin between all tested groups in both brain regions. **f** Quantitative analysis did not reveal any significant difference in the ratio of GLAST/ β -tubulin between all tested groups in both brain regions. Values shown as means ± SEM (*p < 0.05) (n = 6-7 for each group)

et al. 2013; Bowyer et al. 1994). However, it has been reported that MA at lower dose (2.0 mg/kg) can increase GLT-1 expression in prefrontal cortex in mice, but not in hippocampus (Qi et al. 2012). We suggest that downregulation of GLT-1 expression may occur only when repeated high dose of MA is applied.

Oral gavage of EtOH alone was found to downregulate GLT-1 expression in striatum and hippocampus. In contrast, the use of low EtOH dose (1 g/kg) for 7 days has been reported to decrease glutamate uptake but did not show any changes in the expression of GLT-1 or GLAST (Melendez et al. 2005). In the present study, however, the rats were gavaged with EtOH (6 g/kg) for 7 days, which represents the repeated binge dosing paradigm of EtOH (Faingold 2008). In addition, it has been

shown that the rats administered EtOH using a binge gavage protocol (4 g/kg, three to four times a day) reduced GLT-1 expression in the nucleus accumbens, medial prefrontal cortex, and striatum (Das et al. 2016; Abulseoud et al. 2014). Interestingly, MA and EtOH exposure has an additive effect in the downregulation of GLT-1 expression as compared to either drug administered alone. GLT-1 is responsible for the majority of glutamate uptake in the brain (Danbolt 2001). Thus, inhibition of GLT-1 synthesis or blockade of GLT-1 can lead to increased glutamate extracellular concentration (Rothstein et al. 1996; Das et al. 2015). In fact, GLT-1 has been linked to many neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's disease, Huntington's disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), and epilepsy (Tanaka et al. 1997; Lievens



Fig. 3 Effects of MA (10 mg/kg i.p. every 2 h \times 4) and EtOH (6 g/kg) on GLT-1 expression in striatum and hippocampus. **a**, **c** Immunoblots of GLT-1 in WT-SA-SA and EtOH-SA-SA groups as well as in WT-MA-SA and EtOH-MA-SA groups, respectively. **b** Quantitative analysis revealed a significant downregulation of GLT-1 expression in EtOH-SA-SA groups compared to WT-SA-SA groups in striatum and

hippocampus, respectively. **d** Quantitative analysis revealed a significant downregulation of GLT-1 expression in EtOH-MA-SA groups compared to WT-MA-SA groups in striatum and hippocampus, respectively. Values shown as means \pm SEM (*p < 0.05) (n = 6 for each group)

et al. 2001; Lauderback et al. 2001; Rothstein et al. 1995). The elevation in glutamate extracellular concentration also activates postsynaptic receptors such as *N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDA), α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor (AMPA), and kainate receptors (KA) (Choi 1992; Meldrum 2000; Sattler and Tymianski 2001). Excessive extracellular glutamate concentrations can lead to overstimulation of postsynaptic receptors, which is pivotal in neurodegenerative diseases and neural death (Maragos et al. 1987; Scott et al. 2002; Howland et al. 2002; Gao et al. 2000). This suggests that MA and EtOH may exacerbate glutamatergic excitotoxicity as compared to either drug administered alone.

CEF is known to upregulate GLT-1 expression in different brain regions, including both striatum (Sari et al. 2010) and hippocampus (Aal-Aaboda et al. 2015). It is important to note that several β -lactam antibiotics have been reported to upregulate GLT-1 (Rothstein et al. 2005), and CEF, in particular, was able to attenuate cocaine seeking through normalizing GLT-1 and restoring glutamate homeostasis (Knackstedt et al. 2010). In addition, it has also been found that CEF reduced cocaine seeking in animal model through upregulation of GLT-1 in nucleus accumbens and prefrontal cortex (Sari et al. 2009). In addition, CEF attenuated the hyperactivity and behavioral changes associated with amphetamine (Rasmussen et al. 2011). Furthermore, studies from our laboratory have demonstrated the ability of CEF to decrease EtOH consumption and normalize GLT-1 expression (Sari et al. 2013; Rao and Sari 2014). Therefore, CEF was one of the best medications in attenuating glutamatergic excitotoxicity that might be associated with EtOH and MA co-abuse. Therefore, we examined the ability of CEF on restoring GLT-1 expression following MA and EtOH. Remarkably, CEF successfully restored GLT-1 expression following MA exposure in all tested water and EtOH groups in both striatum and hippocampus.

The expression of xCT and GLAST following METH and EtOH was also investigated; however, no significant changes were found in either striatum or hippocampus. It is well known that xCT plays an important role in regulating extracellular glutamate concentration in striatum (Baker et al. 2002) and drug seeking (for review, see Reissner and Kalivas 2010). It is noteworthy that we did not find any significant changes in xCT expression in EtOH compared with water group, which is consistent with our previous findings that xCT was not downregulated in binge EtOH drinking (Das et al. 2016). There is a possibility that ETOH or MA may induce dysfunction of xCT without affecting its expression. Studies are warranted to investigate the effects of MA and EtOH in the function of xCT in specific areas of the brain. Furthermore, GLAST is also responsible for clearing extracellular glutamate concentration; however, this transporter is mainly expressed in other brain regions such as the cerebellum and inner ear (Lehre and Danbolt 1998). Studies have shown that GLAST was not altered in chronic EtOH exposure (Alhaddad et al. 2014) and ALS neurodegenerative disease (Rothstein et al. 1995). However, these studies demonstrated that GLT-1 was downregulated, but GLAST was not affected. Together, we suggest that GLT-1 was more vulnerable to the insults of EtOH and MA exposure as compared to xCT and GLAST.

In conclusion, this study revealed for the first time that repeated high dose of MA downregulated GLT-1 expression in striatum and hippocampus, which may provide a possible pharmacological mechanism in the increase of extracellular glutamate concentrations in specific brain regions. This study also revealed for the first time the effects of sequential EtOH and MA administration on downregulation of GLT-1 but not xCT and GLAST expression in striatum and hippocampus. In addition, there was an additive effect of EtOH and MA exposure in downregulation of GLT-1 in striatum and hippocampus as compared to either drug administered alone. Importantly, CEF was able to restore GLT-1 expression in MA administered alone or sequentially administered with EtOH. These findings suggest that GLT-1 might be a potential target in EtOH and MA co-abuse, and CEF might alleviate EtOHand MA-induced hyperglutamatergic state through the upregulation of GLT-1 expression.

Acknowledgements This work was supported in part by award number R01AA019458 (Y.S.) from the National Institutes on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism and also by start-up funds from the University of Toledo. The authors would like to thank Alqassem Hakami, Atiah Almalki, Fawaz Alasmari, and Sujan Das for their help in the experiments.

Author Contributions FSA participated in study design and conceptualization, drafted and revised the manuscript, and collected and analyzed the data. YSA collected the data and helped with the editing of manuscript. YS conceptualized and designed the study, critically revised the manuscript for intellectual content, and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Compliance with Ethical Standards The experiments and housing procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use committee at the University of Toledo with guidelines followed by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the National Institutes of Health and the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory.

Conflict of Interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Aal-Aaboda M, Alhaddad H, Osowik F, Nauli SM and Sari Y (2015) Effects of (R)-(-)-5-methyl-1-nicotinoyl-2-pyrazoline on glutamate transporter 1 and cysteine/glutamate exchanger as well as ethanol drinking behavior in male, alcohol-preferring rats. J Neurosci Res 93(6):930–937

- Abekawa T, Ohmori T, Koyama T (1994) Effects of repeated administration of a high dose of methamphetamine on dopamine and glutamate release in rat striatum and nucleus accumbens. Brain Res 643:276– 281
- Abulseoud OA, Camsari UM, Ruby CL, Kasasbeh A, Choi S, Choi D-S (2014) Attenuation of ethanol withdrawal by ceftriaxone-induced upregulation of glutamate transporter EAAT2. Neuropsychopharmacology 39:1674–1684
- Alasmari F, Abuhamdah S, Sari Y (2015) Effects of ampicillin on cystine/ glutamate antiporter and glutamate transporter 1 isoforms as well as ethanol drinking in male P rats. Neurosci Lett 600:148–152
- Alhaddad H, Das SC, Sari Y (2014) Effects of ceftriaxone on ethanol intake: a possible role for xCT and GLT-1 isoforms modulation of glutamate levels in P rats. Psychopharmacology 231:4049–4057
- Baker DA, Xi Z-X, Shen H, Swanson CJ, Kalivas PW (2002) The origin and neuronal function of in vivo nonsynaptic glutamate. J Neurosci 22:9134–9141
- Bowyer JF, Davies DL, Schmued L, Broening HW, Newport GD, Slikker W, Holson RR (1994) Further studies of the role of hyperthermia in methamphetamine neurotoxicity. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 268:1571– 1580
- Bujarski S, Roche DJ, Lunny K, Moallem NR, Courtney KE, Allen V, Hartwell E, Leventhal A, Rohrbaugh T, Ray LA (2014) The relationship between methamphetamine and alcohol use in a community sample of methamphetamine users. Drug Alcohol Depend 142:127– 132
- Choi DW (1992) Excitotoxic cell death. J Neurobiol 23:1261-1276
- Danbolt NC (2001) Glutamate uptake. Prog Neurobiol 65:1-105
- Das SC, Althobaiti YS, Alshehri FS and Sari Y (2016) Binge ethanol withdrawal: effects on post-withdrawal ethanol intake, glutamateglutamine cycle and monoamine tissue content in P rat model. Behav Brain Res 303:120–125
- Das SC, Yamamoto BK, Hristov AM and Sari Y (2015) Ceftriaxone attenuates ethanol drinking and restores extracellular glutamate concentration through normalization of GLT-1 in nucleus accumbens of male alcohol-preferring rats. Neuropharmacology 97:67–74
- Faingold CL (2008) The Majchrowicz binge alcohol protocol: an intubation technique to study alcohol dependence in rats. Current Protocols in Neuroscience: 9.28. 21–29.28. 12
- Gao X-M, Sakai K, Roberts RC, Conley RR, Dean B, Tamminga CA (2000) Ionotropic glutamate receptors and expression of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor subunits in subregions of human hippocampus: effects of schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatr 157:1141–1149
- Halpin LE, Northrop NA, Yamamoto BK (2013) Ammonia mediates methamphetamine-induced increases in glutamate and excitotoxicity. Neuropsychopharmacology 39:1031–1038
- Howland DS, Liu J, She Y, Goad B, Maragakis NJ, Kim B, Erickson J, Kulik J, DeVito L, Psaltis G (2002) Focal loss of the glutamate transporter EAAT2 in a transgenic rat model of SOD1 mutantmediated amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). Proc Natl Acad Sci 99:1604–1609
- Kim SJ, Lyoo IK, Hwang J, Chung A, Hoon Sung Y, Kim J, Kwon D-H, Chang KH, Renshaw PF (2006) Prefrontal grey-matter changes in short-term and long-term abstinent methamphetamine abusers. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 9:221–228
- Kirkpatrick MG, Gunderson EW, Levin FR, Foltin RW, Hart CL (2012) Acute and residual interactive effects of repeated administrations of oral methamphetamine and alcohol in humans. Psychopharmacology 219:191–204
- Knackstedt LA, Melendez RI, Kalivas PW (2010) Ceftriaxone restores glutamate homeostasis and prevents relapse to cocaine seeking. Biol Psychiatry 67:81–84
- Lauderback CM, Hackett JM, Huang FF, Keller JN, Szweda LI, Markesbery WR, Butterfield DA (2001) The glial glutamate

transporter, GLT-1, is oxidatively modified by 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal in the Alzheimer's disease brain: the role of A β 1–42. J Neurochem 78:413–416

- Lehre KP, Danbolt NC (1998) The number of glutamate transporter subtype molecules at glutamatergic synapses: chemical and stereological quantification in young adult rat brain. J Neurosci 18:8751–8757
- Lievens J-C, Woodman B, Mahal A, Spasic-Boscovic O, Samuel D, Kerkerian-Le Goff L, Bates G (2001) Impaired glutamate uptake in the R6 Huntington's disease transgenic mice. Neurobiol Dis 8: 807–821
- Maragos WF, Greenamyre JT, Penney JB Jr, Young AB (1987) Glutamate dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease: an hypothesis. Trends Neurosci 10:65–68
- Meldrum BS (2000) Glutamate as a neurotransmitter in the brain: review of physiology and pathology. J Nutr 130:1007s–1015s
- Melendez RI, Hicks MP, Cagle SS, Kalivas PW (2005) Ethanol exposure decreases glutamate uptake in the nucleus accumbens. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 29:326–333
- Nash JF, Yamamoto BK (1992) Methamphetamine neurotoxicity and striatal glutamate release: comparison to 3, 4methylenedioxymethamphetamine. Brain Res 581:237–243
- Nishiguchi M, Kinoshita H, Taniguchi T, Utsumi T, Ouchi H, Minami T, Hishida S (2002) Effects of chronic alcohol administration on changes of extracellular dopamine and serotonin concentration induced by methamphetamine–comparison of two different alcohol preference rat lines. Nihon Arukoru Yakubutsu Igakkai zasshi=Japanese journal of alcohol studies & drug dependence 37: 555–576
- Paxinos G, Watson C (2007) TheRat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates. New York, NY Academic Press.
- Qi J, Han WY, Yang JY, Wang LH, Dong YX, Wang F, Song M, Wu CF (2012) Oxytocin regulates changes of extracellular glutamate and GABA levels induced by methamphetamine in the mouse brain. Addict Biol 17:758–769
- Qi J, Yang J-Y, Wang F, Zhao Y-N, Song M, Wu C-F (2009) Effects of oxytocin on methamphetamine-induced conditioned place preference and the possible role of glutamatergic neurotransmission in the medial prefrontal cortex of mice in reinstatement. Neuropharmacology 56:856–865
- Rao PS, Sari Y (2014) Effects of ceftriaxone on chronic ethanol consumption: a potential role for xCT and GLT1 modulation of glutamate levels in male P rats. J Mol Neurosci 54:71–77
- Rasmussen B, Unterwald EM, Rawls SM (2011) Glutamate transporter subtype 1 (GLT-1) activator ceftriaxone attenuates amphetamineinduced hyperactivity and behavioral sensitization in rats. Drug Alcohol Depend 118:484–488
- Raudensky J, Yamamoto BK (2007) Effects of chronic unpredictable stress and methamphetamine on hippocampal glutamate function. Brain Res 1135:129–135
- Reissner KJ, Kalivas PW (2010) Using glutamate homeostasis as a target for treating addictive disorders. Behav Pharmacol 21:514–522

- Rocher C, Gardier AM (2001) Effects of repeated systemic administration of d-Fenfluramine on serotonin and glutamate release in rat ventral hippocampus: comparison with methamphetamine using in vivo microdialysis. Naunyn Schmiedeburgs Archives of Pharmacology 363:422–428
- Rothstein JD, Dykes-Hoberg M, Pardo CA, Bristol LA, Jin L, Kuncl RW, Kanai Y, Hediger MA, Wang Y, Schielke JP (1996) Knockout of glutamate transporters reveals a major role for astroglial transport in excitotoxicity and clearance of glutamate. Neuron 16:675–686
- Rothstein JD, Patel S, Regan MR, Haenggeli C, Huang YH, Bergles DE, Jin L, Hoberg MD, Vidensky S, Chung DS (2005) β-Lactam antibiotics offer neuroprotection by increasing glutamate transporter expression. Nature 433:73–77
- Rothstein JD, Van Kammen M, Levey AI, Martin LJ, Kuncl RW (1995) Selective loss of glial glutamate transporter GLT-1 in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Ann Neurol 38:73–84
- Sari Y, Sreemantula SN (2012) Neuroimmunophilin GPI-1046 reduces ethanol consumption in part through activation of GLT1 in alcoholpreferring rats. Neuroscience 227:327–335
- Sari Y, Prieto AL, Barton SJ, Miller BR, Rebec GV (2010) Ceftriaxoneinduced up-regulation of cortical and striatal GLT1 in the R6/2 model of Huntington's disease. J Biomed Sci 17:2426–2435
- Sari Y, Smith KD, Ali PK, Rebec GV (2009) Upregulation of GLT1 attenuates cue-induced reinstatement of cocaine-seeking behavior in rats. J Neurosci 29:9239–9243
- Sari Y, Sreemantula SN, Lee MR, Choi DS (2013) Ceftriaxone treatment affects the levels of GLT1 and ENT1 as well as ethanol intake in alcohol-preferring rats. J Mol Neurosci 51:779–787
- Sattler R, Tymianski M (2001) Molecular mechanisms of glutamate receptor-mediated excitotoxic neuronal cell death. Mol Neurobiol 24:107–129
- Scott HL, Pow DV, Tannenberg A, Dodd PR (2002) Aberrant expression of the glutamate transporter excitatory amino acid transporter 1 (EAAT1) in Alzheimer's disease. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci 22:RC206–RC206
- Sepehrmanesh Z, Ahmadvand A and Moraveji A (2014) Comorbidity and pattern of substance use in hospitalized psychiatric patients. Iranian Red Crescent medical journal 16(8):e19282.
- Tanaka K, Watase K, Manabe T, Yamada K, Watanabe M, Takahashi K, Iwama H, Nishikawa T, Ichihara N, Kikuchi T (1997) Epilepsy and exacerbation of brain injury in mice lacking the glutamate transporter GLT-1. Science 276:1699–1702
- Thomas DM, Walker PD, Benjamins JA, Geddes TJ, Kuhn DM (2004) Methamphetamine neurotoxicity in dopamine nerve endings of the striatum is associated with microglial activation. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 311:1–7
- Yamauchi J, Marukawa S, Hishida S (2000) Simultaneous administration of ethanol emphasizes the effect of methamphetamine on central nervous system in rat with high alcohol preference. Nihon Arukoru Yakubutsu Igakkai zasshi=Japanese journal of alcohol studies & drug dependence 35:28–47