Generation of tolerogenic dendritic cells via the E-cadherin/ β -catenin-signaling pathway

Chunmei $Fu \cdot Aimin$ Jiang

Published online: 23 September 2009 Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2009

Abstract Besides their well-characterized role as the initiator of adaptive immune responses, dendritic cells (DCs) play a critical role in the induction and maintenance of selftolerance, the failure of which could lead to autoimmune/inflammatory diseases. Although it is clear that tolerance is a property of DCs at the steady state, the molecular mechanisms governing their generation, function and regulation remain elusive. Our recent studies have uncovered the E-cadherin/ β -catenin-signaling pathway as a novel maturation pathway that achieves DC maturation without inflammatory cytokines. As a result, E-cadherin-stimulated DCs elicited an entirely different T cell response in vivo, generating T cells with a regulatory as opposed to an effector phenotype. These DCs induced tolerance in vivo and more importantly, immunization with these DCs provided complete protection against autoimmune diseases in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE). Interestingly, while DCs matured upon disruption of E-cadherin-mediated clusters were functional tolerogenic, upon further TLR ligation, they displayed a strong Th1 cytokine profile and much enhanced antigen presentation capacity consistent with enhanced immunity. Thus, E-cadherin/ β -catenin-signaling might serve as a novel signal that contributes to the elusive steady-state "tolerogenic DCs". Targeting E-cadherin/ β -catenin signaling to either enhance or reduce DC-mediated tolerance might represent an attractive new strategy to achieve antigen-specific immunotherapy for cancers and autoimmune/inflammatory diseases.

Keywords E-Cadherin \cdot β -Catenin \cdot DC maturation \cdot Tolerance \cdot Inflammatory cytokines \cdot IL-10 \cdot Regulatory T cells

Introduction

Dendritic cells (DCs) play a pivotal role in the immune response, being unique among antigen-presenting cells in their ability to present a vast array of antigens with great

C. Fu \cdot A. Jiang (\boxtimes)

Department of Immunology, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Elm and Carlton Streets, Buffalo, NY 14263, USA e-mail: aimin.jiang@roswellpark.org

efficiency and to stimulate naïve T lymphocytes $[1]$ $[1]$ $[1]$. DCs are further distinguished by their ability to stimulate other effector cells such as B lymphocytes and NK cells. In addition to initiating immunity, there is increasing evidence that DCs are charged with maintaining tolerance to ''self'' or innocuous antigens, the failure of which could lead to autoimmune and/or inflammatory diseases [\[2\]](#page-5-0). How DCs accomplish these seemingly contradictory functions in immunity vs. tolerance is unclear. While TLR-mediated signaling has been extensively studied for their role in inducing DC-mediated immunity, little is known about what signals lead to tolerogenic DCs.

DC maturation and function

As the sentinels of the immune system, DCs commence a complex and heterogeneous transformation process termed ''maturation'', which greatly enhances their capacity for antigen processing and presentation upon encountering pathogens or a variety of proinflammatory mediators $[1, 3, 4]$ $[1, 3, 4]$ $[1, 3, 4]$ $[1, 3, 4]$ $[1, 3, 4]$ $[1, 3, 4]$. Maturation may occur prior to, during, or after migration to secondary lymphoid organs where the DCs serve to prime naïve T cells $[1]$ $[1]$ $[1]$. The general features of DC maturation are well understood [[3\]](#page-5-0) and involve the translocation of MHC class II molecules (MHCII) from lysosomal compartments to the plasma membrane, the upregulation of costimulatory molecules such as CD80 and CD86, the activation of lysosomal antigen processing, and the release of a host of immunostimulatory cytokines [[4\]](#page-5-0). There is also a marked increase in the expression of lymphoid chemokine receptors such as CCR7, required for directed migration of DCs to lymph nodes [\[5](#page-5-0)]. Although the phenotypic correlates of DC maturation are clear, their relationship to DC function is complex. For example, depending on the type of microbial stimulus, DCs can prime qualitatively different types of effector T cell responses [\[6\]](#page-5-0). DC maturation and migration to lymph nodes can be independently regulated [\[7,](#page-5-0) [8](#page-5-0)], although the underlying mechanisms have not been elucidated. In DCs lacking the TLR adaptor MyD88, the phenotypic maturation of DCs can occur without inflammatory cytokine production [\[9](#page-5-0)]. Such DCs cannot activate naïve $CD4^+$ T cells in vivo suggesting that this phenotype, should it occur physiologically, might play a role in tolerance [[10](#page-5-0)].

DCs in tolerance and tolerogenic DCs

Another poorly understood but essential feature of DC function is tolerance. There is increasing evidence that in addition to initiating immunity, DCs are charged with maintaining tolerance to ''self'' or innocuous antigens, the failure of which could lead to autoimmune and/or inflammatory diseases [\[2](#page-5-0)]. How DCs accomplish these seemingly contradictory functions is unclear. It seems likely that ''tolerogenic'' DCs are those that present antigens captured in the absence of the microbial products or inflammatory mediators that signal the presence of conditions requiring of an immune response [[2](#page-5-0), [11](#page-5-0)]. The maturation state, origin, phenotype, and regulation of these ''tolerogenic DCs'' remain poorly understood, thus a confusing and often contradictory array of descriptions is available from the literature [\[12\]](#page-5-0). Tolerogenic DCs have often been described or predicted to be immature, since early concepts held that antigen presentation in the absence of costimulation leads to T cell anergy or death. However, immature DCs neither present antigen efficiently [[13](#page-5-0), [14](#page-5-0)] nor interact effectively with T cells [\[15,](#page-5-0) [16\]](#page-5-0). When immature DCs leave their site of epithelial or parenchymal residence and enter the lymphatics, one

thing is certain: they must alter their interactions with surrounding cells. Conceivably, this change may itself signal aspects of the maturation program, producing mature DCs capable of producing T cell tolerance: inducing either T cell deletion or the production of Tregs. Indeed, it has been elegantly demonstrated that targeting antigens to steady-state DCs using antibody to DEC-205 in the absence of overt inflammatory or immunostimulatory mediators led to tolerance [[17](#page-5-0)–[19](#page-5-0)]. These steady-state ''tolerogenic'' DCs were phenotypically mature, suggesting tolerance is a property of DCs at the steady state instead of being immature. Thus, DCs matured by non-inflammatory signals with enhanced antigen processing and presentation capacity are more likely to mediate and maintain peripheral tolerance to self-antigens.

The role of E-cadherin/ β -catenin-signaling pathway in the generation of mature tolerogenic DCs

In seeking non-inflammatory signals that might activate DCs to mature, we came across E-cadherin, a component of epithelial cell junctions that was also expressed in langerhans cells (LCs), specialized DCs in the skin $[20, 21]$ $[20, 21]$ $[20, 21]$. LCs form networks anchored to neighboring keratinocytes through homotypic binding mediated by E-cadherin [[20](#page-5-0), [22](#page-5-0)]. Although these networks are quite stable, LCs appear to traffic to lymph nodes, with their rate of emigration being enhanced by UV exposure or mechanical trauma [[23](#page-5-0), [24](#page-5-0)]. How this occurs is unknown, but seems likely to require the disruption of E-cadherin interactions. In epithelial cells, E-cadherin forms a complex with members of the catenin family, which control interactions with the actin cytoskeleton and (after translocation to the nucleus) act as cofactors for T cell factor (TCF; and lymphoid enhancing factor, LEF) transcriptional activators [\[25\]](#page-5-0). Given these functions, the amount of free cytosolic catenins, especially β -catenin, is carefully regulated. Under resting conditions, the bulk of β -catenin is sequestered to the E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain, with the cytosolic pool further attenuated by its phosphorylation by glycogen synthase kinase- 3β (GSK- 3β and sub-sequent proteasomal degradation [[26](#page-5-0), [27\]](#page-6-0). Activation of Wnt signaling activates TCFdependent transcription by increasing free β -catenin due in part to an inhibition of GSK-3 β (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0).

While it is unclear that whether E-cadherin-mediated cell–cell adhesion is linked to activation of β -catenin signaling, early works have demonstrated that disruption of LC–LC interactions in vitro could trigger phenotypic maturation [\[21,](#page-5-0) [28](#page-6-0), [29](#page-6-0)]. We have found that BMDCs as well as some primary DC subsets, not just epidermal Langerhans cells, express the adhesion protein E-cadherin, and we demonstrated that cluster disruption (CD) of E-cadherin-mediated cell–cell adhesion is linked to the activation of β -catenin signaling [[30](#page-6-0)], suggesting an intriguing possibility that E-cadherin/ β -catenin might serve as a signal for the generation of steady-state tolerogenic DCs. Indeed, we showed that CD of E-cadherin-mediated adhesion efficiently stimulated DC maturation, triggering the dramatic upregulation of MHC class II, costimulatory molecules, and chemokine receptors [[30](#page-6-0)]. Results thus far indicated that activating the β -catenin-TCF/LEF pathway induced maturation. However, in contrast to DCs conventionally matured by microbial products, E-cadherin-stimulated DCs displayed a dramatically different transcriptional profile, and most importantly, they failed to produce immunostimulatory cytokines, suggesting E-cadherin/ β -catenin signaling is a novel mechanism for DC maturation [\[30\]](#page-6-0). DCs emigrating from tissues at the steady state in principle would contain antigens derived only from self-proteins and thus are expected to be responsible for maintaining peripheral

Fig. 1 A simplified model of E-cadherin/ β -catenin-signaling pathway. In resting cells, E-cadherin helps to control the level of the cytosolic β -catenin. β -Catenin normally forms a complex with tumor suppressor proteins APC and Axin and undergoes phosphorylation by GSK-3 and subsequent ubiquitination and degradation. Wnt signaling leads to nuclear accumulation of β -catenin, where it complexes with the TCF family transcription factors, resulting in transactivation of target genes includes myc and cyclin D. SB 216763 is an inhibitor to GSK-3 that also leads to DC maturation

tolerance [\[2,](#page-5-0) [11](#page-5-0)]. Since exit from epithelial tissues might also alter E-cadherin-mediated adhesion, we further examined the functional implications of these observations. Indeed, these CD-matured DCs elicited an entirely different T cell response in vivo, generating T cells with a regulatory as opposed to an effector phenotype. Upon adoptive transfer, these DCs induced tolerance in vivo and could even provide complete protection against subsequent induction of autoimmune diseases in a murine model of experimental auto-immune encephalomyelitis (EAE) [\[30\]](#page-6-0). Taken together, our results suggested an intriguing possibility that E-cadherin/ β -catenin signaling might serve as a signal for the generation of steady-state tolerogenic DCs.

E-cadherin/ β -catenin signaling in regulating DC function in vivo

While our findings strongly suggested a role of E-cadherin/ β -catenin signaling in regulating DC maturation and function in both cultured murine and human DCs, it remains an open question whether E-cadherin/ β -catenin signaling functions similarly under physiological conditions. The embryo lethality of mice deficient in E-cadherin and β -catenin, however, presents a major hurdle to directly address this question. Recognizing this challenge, we have generated a series of CD11c-specific conditional knockout mice that either inactivate β -catenin signaling by deletion (β -catenin^{-/-} and E-cadherin^{-/-}) or activate the pathway by making β -catenin constitutively active (β -catenin Exon3^{-/-}) [[31–34\]](#page-6-0), in collaboration with Bjoern Clausen in Amsterdam. These mice will allow us to directly address the role of E-cadherin/ β -catenin signaling in a variety of settings. Our preliminary results showed a time-dependent increase in percentage of spontaneously mature DCs with constitutively active β -catenin than that of wild-type DCs (Fig. [2a](#page-4-0)), consistent with the activation of β -catenin as a tolerizing signal for DCs. Not surprisingly, DCs with constitutively active β -catenin exhibited diminished IL-12p40 response, with

Fig. 2 E-cadherin/ β -catenin signaling regulated DC maturation and cytokine production. a BMDCs with active β -catenin showed increased maturation. BMDCs from either wild-type or active β -catenin (CD11c-Cre β -catenin-Exon3^{FI/Fl}) mice were monitored for their expression of CD86 by FACS. **b** Activation of β -catenin in DCs diminished their capacity to produce IL-12p40 upon TLR signaling. BMDCs from either wild-type or active β -catenin mice were matured either with CpG plus LPS or CD. IL-12p40 production was assessed by intracellular staining

only 12.8% became IL-12p40-producing cells compared to 27% for wild-type DCs (Fig. 2b left panels). Further, consistent with our previous results, CD failed to induce IL-12p40 production from wild-type and mutant DCs (Fig. 2b right panels). Current efforts are made to confirm the role of E-cadherin/ β -catenin signaling in mediating DC tolerance, especially under autoimmune disease settings.

Summary and perspectives

While our work strongly suggested that E-cadherin/ β -catenin pathway might serves as a novel signal to induce DC-mediated tolerance, the availability of a series of DC-specific conditional knockout mice with altered β -catenin signaling provide the right tools to further establish the molecular and cellular mechanisms and functional significance of the E -cadherin/ β -catenin-signaling pathway in immune responses and autoimmunity.

Due to their pivotal role in controlling both immune and tolerance responses, DCs have emerged as good candidates to modulate the immune system in an antigen-specific manner with immunotherapy for both cancers and autoimmune/inflammatory diseases [\[35–38](#page-6-0)]. However, the underlying mechanisms for the regulation of DC function, especially tolerance, remained poorly understood, critically hindering successful application of these approaches. Our studies suggested E-cadherin/ β -catenin-signaling pathway as a novel mechanism to generate tolerogenic DCs and showed that these tolerogenic DCs could be converted into highly immunogenic DCs upon certain treatments [[30](#page-6-0)]. Thus, a therapeutic strategy that enhances the tolerance or immunity function of DCs by targeting E-cadherin/ β -catenin-signaling pathway may represent an attractive approach for treatment of autoimmune/allergic diseases and cancer, respectively. We are currently focusing on investigating therapeutic effects of activating the E-cadherin/ β -catenin-signaling pathway in DCs with preclinical animal models for melanoma, multiple sclerosis, and asthma. As E-cadherin/ β -catenin functions similarly in human CD34⁺-derived DCs widely used in immunotherapy trials [\[30,](#page-6-0) [37\]](#page-6-0), and great efforts have already been made to develop specific GSK-3 and β -catenin inhibitors for drug development [[39](#page-6-0), [40\]](#page-6-0), our research could have immediate clinical impact.

References

- 1. Banchereau J, Steinman RM. Dendritic cells and the control of immunity. Nature. 1998;392:245–52.
- 2. Steinman RM, Hawiger D, Nussenzweig MC. Tolerogenic dendritic cells. Annu Rev Immunol. 2003;21:685–711.
- 3. Mellman I, Steinman RM. Dendritic cells: specialized and regulated antigen processing machines. Cell. 2001;106:255–8.
- 4. Trombetta ES, Mellman I. Cell biology of antigen processing in vitro and in vivo. Annu Rev Immunol. 2005;23:975–1028.
- 5. Randolph GJ, Sanchez-Schmitz G, Angeli V. Factors and signals that govern the migration of dendritic cells via lymphatics: recent advances. Springer Semin Immunopathol. 2005;26:273–87.
- 6. Lanzavecchia A, Sallusto F. Antigen decoding by T lymphocytes: from synapses to fate determination. Nat Immunol. 2001;2:487–92.
- 7. Geissmann F, Dieu-Nosjean MC, Dezutter C, Valladeau J, Kayal S, Leborgne M, et al. Accumulation of immature Langerhans cells in human lymph nodes draining chronically inflamed skin. J Exp Med. 2002;196:417–30.
- 8. Verbovetski I, Bychkov H, Trahtemberg U, Shapira I, Hareuveni M, Ben-Tal O, et al. Opsonization of apoptotic cells by autologous iC3b facilitates clearance by immature dendritic cells, down-regulates DR and CD86, and up-regulates CC chemokine receptor 7. J Exp Med. 2002;196:1553–61.
- 9. Kaisho T, Takeuchi O, Kawai T, Hoshino K, Akira S. Endotoxin-induced maturation of MyD88 deficient dendritic cells. J Immunol. 2001;166:5688–94.
- 10. Pasare C, Medzhitov R. Toll-dependent control mechanisms of CD4 T cell activation. Immunity. 2004;21:733–41.
- 11. Steinman RM, Turley S, Mellman I, Inaba K. The induction of tolerance by dendritic cells that have captured apoptotic cells. J Exp Med. 2000;191:411–6.
- 12. Reis e Sousa C. Dendritic cells in a mature age. Nat Rev Immunol. 2006;6:476–83.
- 13. Turley SJ, Inaba K, Garrett WS, Ebersold M, Unternaehrer J, Steinman RM, et al. Transport of peptide-MHC class II complexes in developing dendritic cells. Science. 2000;288:522–7.
- 14. Inaba K, Turley S, Iyoda T, Yamaide F, Shimoyama S, Reis e Sousa C, et al. The formation of immunogenic major histocompatibility complex class II- peptide ligands in lysosomal compartments of dendritic cells is regulated by inflammatory stimuli. J Exp Med. 2000;191:927–36.
- 15. Benvenuti F, Hugues S, Walmsley M, Ruf S, Fetler L, Popoff M, et al. Requirement of Rac1 and Rac2 expression by mature dendritic cells for T cell priming. Science. 2004;305:1150–3.
- 16. Benvenuti F, Lagaudriere-Gesbert C, Grandjean I, Jancic C, Hivroz C, Trautmann A, et al. Dendritic cell maturation controls adhesion, synapse formation, and the duration of the interactions with naive T lymphocytes. J Immunol. 2004;172:292–301.
- 17. Hawiger D, Inaba K, Dorsett Y, Guo M, Mahnke K, Rivera M, et al. Dendritic cells induce peripheral T cell unresponsiveness under steady state conditions in vivo. J Exp Med. 2001;194:769–79.
- 18. Bonifaz L, Bonnyay D, Mahnke K, Rivera M, Nussenzweig MC, Steinman RM. Efficient targeting of protein antigen to the dendritic cell receptor DEC-205 in the steady state leads to antigen presentation on major histocompatibility complex class I products and peripheral CD8+ T cell tolerance. J Exp Med. 2002;196:1627–38.
- 19. Hawiger D, Masilamani RF, Bettelli E, Kuchroo VK, Nussenzweig MC. Immunological unresponsiveness characterized by increased expression of CD5 on peripheral T cells induced by dendritic cells in vivo. Immunity. 2004;20:695–705.
- 20. Tang A, Amagai M, Granger LG, Stanley JR, Udey MC. Adhesion of epidermal Langerhans cells to keratinocytes mediated by E- cadherin. Nature. 1993;361:82–5.
- 21. Jakob T, Udey MC. Regulation of E-cadherin-mediated adhesion in Langerhans cell-like dendritic cells by inflammatory mediators that mobilize Langerhans cells in vivo. J Immunol. 1998;160:4067–73.
- 22. Jakob T, Brown MJ, Udey MC. Characterization of E-cadherin-containing junctions involving skinderived dendritic cells. J Invest Dermatol. 1999;112:102–8.
- 23. Jakob T, Ring J, Udey MC. Multistep navigation of Langerhans/dendritic cells in and out of the skin. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2001;108:688–96.
- 24. Merad M, Manz MG, Karsunky H, Wagers A, Peters W, Charo I, et al. Langerhans cells renew in the skin throughout life under steady-state conditions. Nat Immunol. 2002;3:1135–41.
- 25. Vasioukhin V, Fuchs E. Actin dynamics and cell–cell adhesion in epithelia. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2001;13:76–84.
- 26. Nelson WJ, Nusse R. Convergence of Wnt, beta-catenin, and cadherin pathways. Science. 2004;303: 1483–7.
- 27. Staal FJ, Clevers HC. WNT signalling and haematopoiesis: a WNT-WNT situation. Nat Rev Immunol. 2005;5:21–30.
- 28. Riedl E, Stockl J, Majdic O, Scheinecker C, Rappersberger K, Knapp W, et al. Functional involvement of E-cadherin in TGF-beta 1-induced cell cluster formation of in vitro developing human Langerhanstype dendritic cells. J Immunol. 2000;165:1381–6.
- 29. Riedl E, Stockl J, Majdic O, Scheinecker C, Knapp W, Strobl H. Ligation of E-cadherin on in vitrogenerated immature Langerhans-type dendritic cells inhibits their maturation. Blood. 2000;96:4276–84.
- 30. Jiang A, Bloom O, Ono S, Cui W, Unternaehrer J, Jiang S, et al. Disruption of E-cadherin-mediated adhesion induces a functionally distinct pathway of dendritic cell maturation. Immunity. 2007;27:610–24.
- 31. Boussadia O, Kutsch S, Hierholzer A, Delmas V, Kemler R. E-cadherin is a survival factor for the lactating mouse mammary gland. Mech Dev. 2002;115:53–62.
- 32. Brault V, Moore R, Kutsch S, Ishibashi M, Rowitch DH, McMahon AP, et al. Inactivation of the betacatenin gene by Wnt1-Cre-mediated deletion results in dramatic brain malformation and failure of craniofacial development. Development. 2001;128:1253–64.
- 33. Harada N, Tamai Y, Ishikawa T, Sauer B, Takaku K, Oshima M, et al. Intestinal polyposis in mice with a dominant stable mutation of the beta-catenin gene. EMBO J. 1999;18:5931–42.
- 34. Travis MA, Reizis B, Melton AC, Masteller E, Tang Q, Proctor JM, et al. Loss of integrin alpha(v)beta8 on dendritic cells causes autoimmunity and colitis in mice. Nature. 2007;449:361–5.
- 35. Tarner IH, Slavin AJ, McBride J, Levicnik A, Smith R, Nolan GP, et al. Treatment of autoimmune disease by adoptive cellular gene therapy. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2003;998:512–9.
- 36. van Duivenvoorde LM, van Mierlo GJ, Boonman ZF, Toes RE. Dendritic cells: vehicles for tolerance induction and prevention of autoimmune diseases. Immunobiology. 2006;211:627–32.
- 37. Palucka AK, Ueno H, Fay JW, Banchereau J. Taming cancer by inducing immunity via dendritic cells. Immunol Rev. 2007;220:129–50.
- 38. Steinman RM, Banchereau J. Taking dendritic cells into medicine. Nature. 2007;449:419–26.
- 39. Meijer L, Flajolet M, Greengard P. Pharmacological inhibitors of glycogen synthase kinase 3. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2004;25:471–80.
- 40. Takemaru KI, Ohmitsu M, Li FQ. An oncogenic hub: beta-catenin as a molecular target for cancer therapeutics. Handb Exp Pharmacol. 2008;26:1–284.