# Wnt Signaling and the Control of Human Stem Cell Fate

J. K. Van Camp · S. Beckers · D. Zegers · W. Van Hul

Published online: 10 December 2013  $\circled{c}$  Springer Science+Business Media New York 2013

Abstract Wnt signaling determines major developmental processes in the embryonic state and regulates maintenance, self-renewal and differentiation of adult mammalian tissue stem cells. Both β-catenin dependent and independent Wnt pathways exist, and both affect stem cell fate in developing and adult tissues. In this review, we debate the response to Wnt signal activation in embryonic stem cells and human, adult stem cells of mesenchymal, hematopoetic, intestinal, gastric, epidermal, mammary and neural lineages, and discuss the need for Wnt signaling in these cell types. Due to the vital actions of Wnt signaling in developmental and maintenance processes, deregulation of the pathway can culminate into a broad spectrum of developmental and genetic diseases, including cancer. The way in which Wnt signals can feed tumors and maintain cancer stem stells is discussed as well. Manipulation of Wnt signals both in vivo and in vitro thus carries potential for therapeutic approaches such as tissue engineering for regenerative medicine and anti-cancer treatment. Although many questions remain regarding the complete Wnt signal cell-type specific response and interplay of Wnt signaling with pathways such as BMP, Hedgehog and Notch, we hereby provide an overview of current knowledge on Wnt signaling and its control over human stem cell fate.

Keywords Wnt . Human . Embryonic stem cells . Adult stem cells . Canonical . Noncanonical

## Introduction

Research on the Wnt pathway started about 30 years ago with the discovery of Int-1, a proto-oncogene that, when activated by insertion of the Mouse Mammary Tumor Virus (MMTV),

leads to malignant transformation of mammary tissues in mouse [[1\]](#page-14-0). Int-1 was shown to be a homolog of *Drosophila* wingless (wg), which controls segment polarity during larval development, and the gene was subsequently named  $Wnt1$ (wingless-type MMTV integration site family member 1).

Currently, the Wnt pathway is known as an important regulatory signaling axis that influences developmental processes in the embryo and regulates maintenance, self-renewal and differentiation of adult mammalian tissue stem cells.

# Wnt Signaling Pathways

The involvement of numerous Wnt ligands and (co-)receptors accounts for a myriad of possible interactions, that are distilled intracellularly into a limited number of established responses. In the past, these were divided into canonical and noncanonical Wnt pathways, defined by their requirement or independence of intracellular β-catenin, respectively. In recent years however, we have been encouraged not to view canonical and noncanonical pathways as independent, linear pathways but to view them as part of a complex and dynamic signaling network. When Wnt ligands bind to receptors and co-receptors on the cell surface, both β-catenin dependent and β-catenin independent answers can be set in motion, which can reinforce or even oppose each other. At this point, the cell will respond to the net result of the intracellular signaling activities, and this will depend on factors intrinsic to both the cell and its environment.

In absence of Wnt ligands, intracellular levels of β-catenin are kept low by ubiquitin-dependent proteasomal degradation, set in motion by a multicomponent degradation complex, consisting of Axin, caseine kinase  $1\alpha$  (CK1 $\alpha$ ), Adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) and glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK3β). When Wnt proteins bind to the seven transmembrane (7-Tm) Frizzled (Fz) receptor and low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein co-receptor 5/6 (LRP5/6), the formation of the degradation complex is inhibited, and βcatenin is stabilized [[2](#page-14-0)–[4](#page-14-0)]. β-catenin then accumulates in the

J. K. Van Camp · S. Beckers · D. Zegers · W. Van Hul ( $\boxtimes$ ) Department of Medical Genetics, University of Antwerp, Prins Boudewijnlaan 43, 2650 Edegem, Antwerp, Belgium e-mail: wim.vanhul@uantwerpen.be

<span id="page-1-0"></span>cytoplasm and migrates to the nucleus where it acts as a transcriptional co-activator for transcription factors of the Tcell factor/lymfoid-enhancing factor (TCF/LEF) family, among others [\[5](#page-14-0)] (Fig. 1b). Additional co-activators, such as B-cell lymphoma 9 (BCL9), cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB)-Binding Protein (CBP)/p300 and brahmarelated gene 1 (BRG1) bind the β-catenin-TCF complex and

ensure cell- and tissue-specific activation or suppression of transcription of numerous Wnt responsive genes [\[6](#page-14-0)–[10](#page-14-0)]. When β-catenin is not present in the nucleus, TCF/LEF binds TLE1, which promotes histone deacetylation and chromatin compaction, thereby repressing transcription [\[11](#page-14-0)] (Fig. 1a).

Through their efforts to find proteins homologous to Wnt1, a protein shown to signal through the Wnt β-catenin dependent



Fig. 1 β-catenin dependent Wnt signal transduction pathway. A graphical representation of the β-catenin dependent Wnt pathway. a In the canonical Wnt pathway, the central co-activator β-catenin is kept at low levels by a degradation complex, consisting of Axin, CK1α, GSK3β and APC. Phosphorylation of β-catenin by this complex leads to polyubiquitination by the E3 ligase  $β$ -TrCP and subsequent degradation by the proteasome. In the inactive state, TCF/LEF transcription factors are bound to TLE1, which recruits HDACs to silence chromatin and thereby repress transcription. The Wnt pathway is regulated by both extracellular and intracellular inhibitors, intruding at every step of the pathway. sFRPs and WIF both work in an autocrine and paracrine manner and bind Wnts, preventing their interaction with Fz. DKK proteins inhibit the canonical pathway by binding the extracellular part of LRP co-receptors and, together with Kremen, mediating the internalisation of LRP. Sclerostin binds LRP co-receptors and impairs the binding to Wnt ligands. b When Wnt proteins bind the 7-Tm Frizzled receptor and LRP5/6 on the cell surface, CK1α and GSK3β are diverted to the plasma membrane and phosphorylate LRP5/6. In addition, DVL molecules gather to the plasma membrane to interact with Fz. Interaction of Axin with phosphorylated

LRP5/6 and DVL leads to disintegration of the destruction complex and accumulation of β-catenin in the cytoplasm. β-catenin can then migrate to the nucleus where it binds transcription factors of the TCF/LEF family, among others. When activated, β-catenin converts TCF/LEF into a transcriptional activator and the complex binds Wnt response elements in the DNA. In addition, several co-activators are recruited (BCL9, CBP/ p300, BRG1) to ensure efficient transcription of target genes. Chibby and ICAT inhibit β-catenin action in the nucleus by preventing the formation of the β-catenin-TCF complex. In addition, Chibby was shown to promote β-catenin export out of the nucleus. CK1α, caseine kinase 1α; GSK3β, glycogen synthase kinase 3β; APC, Adenomatous polyposis coli; β-TrCP, β- transducin repeat-containing protein; HDAC, histone deacetylase; TCF/LEF, T-cell factor/lymfoid-enhancing factor; sFRP, secreted frizzled-related protein; WIF, Wnt-inhibitory factor; DKK, Dickkopf; LRP5/6, low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein coreceptor 5/6; DVL, dishevelled; BCL9, B-cell lymphoma 9; CBP, cAMP response element-binding protein-binding protein; BRG1, brahma-related gene 1; WRE, Wnt response element

pathway, Christian et al. identified XWnt5a which, as opposed to XWnt1, did not lead to duplication of the embryonic axis when injected in Xenopus embryos. Administration of XWnt5a however lead to developmental defects of head and tail and even antagonized the ability of other Wnts to induce an ectopic axis [\[12,](#page-14-0) [13](#page-14-0)]. This implied that some Wnts signal through an alternative pathway, that differs from standard βcatenin signaling [[14](#page-14-0), [15](#page-14-0)]. Current studies have however shown that Wnt ligands elicit a canonical or noncanonical response dependent on cell type, environment and receptor milieu.

Depending on the major intracellular mediators used, the noncanonical signaling cascades are subdivided into several  $Wnt/Ca^{2+}$  pathways and a Dishevelled (DVL)-c-Jun Nterminal kinase (JNK) pathway (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)).

In the noncanonical  $Ca^{2+}$ -mediated pathways (Fig. [2a](#page-3-0)), stimulation of heterotrimeric G-proteins and DVL, associated with Frizzled, leads to the activation of phospholipase C (PLC) [\[14,](#page-14-0) [16](#page-14-0)–[18](#page-14-0)]. The resulting IP3 then diffuses through the cytosol and interacts with the  $Ca^{2+}$  channels present on the membrane of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) resulting in release of  $Ca^{2+}$  ions.  $Ca^{2+}$  ions then function as secondary messengers, leading to the activation of protein kinase C (PKC)- Cdc42 [\[19,](#page-14-0) [20](#page-14-0)],  $Ca^{2+}/c$ almodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII), TGFβ activated kinase (TAK1), Nemo-like kinase (NLK) [[21,](#page-14-0) [22](#page-14-0)] and/or calcineurin (CaCN)-nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT) [\[23,](#page-14-0) [24\]](#page-14-0).

In the DVL-JNK pathway (Fig. [2b](#page-3-0)), after binding of Wnts to the Frizzled receptor, Dishevelled can aid in the activation of JNK through the downstream action of small GTPases Rho and Rac. This pathway is involved in cellular polarity and cytoskeletal modulation as well as the morphology and migration of cells during gastrulation in Xenopus embryos, and has therefore been termed the 'planar cell polarity' or PCP pathway [[25](#page-14-0), [26](#page-14-0)].

## Wnt Ligands

Nineteen Wnt ligands have been identified in vertebrates to date (Table [1\)](#page-4-0) but their classification is based on amino acid sequence rather than on functional properties [\[27\]](#page-14-0). The common structural features of all Wnt proteins include a signal sequence for secretion, several highly charged amino acid residues, and multiple glycosylation sites [\[28](#page-14-0), [29](#page-14-0)]. In addition, Wnt ligands display a characteristic distribution of 22–24 cysteine residues [[29](#page-14-0)–[31](#page-15-0)]. On the first conserved cysteine residue, Wnts carry a lipid modification, in the form of a palmitate group [[32](#page-15-0)]. Palmitoylation of Wnts occurs at the level of the endoplasmatic reticulum of the Wnt secreting cell, and is performed by a porcupine acyl-transferase (PRCN). Further transport and secretion of the Wnt protein in secretory vesicles is controlled by Wntless (Wls)/Evenness interrupted (Evi), a multipass transmembrane protein that is present in the Golgi and/or on the plasma membrane [\[33\]](#page-15-0). The presence of

palmitate on Wnts was shown to be necessary for Wnt signaling and is proposed to aid in the N-linked glycosylation of Wnt ligands [[32](#page-15-0), [34\]](#page-15-0). Glycosylation might support Wnt transport by increasing Wnt interactions with heparin sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs) present on the surface of Wnt responding cells [\[35](#page-15-0), [36](#page-15-0)].

As described previously, some Wnts signal through a "noncanonical" pathway, that differs from standard β-catenin signaling [\[14,](#page-14-0) [15](#page-14-0)]. Although the intracellular response to Wnt activation does not depend solely on the Wnt ligand, Wnt4, Wnt5a, Wnt5b and Wnt11 are known as "noncanonical-Wnts", whereas Wnt1, Wnt3 and Wnt10b are typically "canonical-Wnts". This signaling specificity is achieved in part by the preference for a particular type of Fz receptor (Table [1\)](#page-4-0) in addition to the choice of (a) specific co-receptor(s). However, as mentioned previously, specific Wnt ligands are not restricted to activating the canonical or noncanonical pathway and several Wnts have been shown to activate both, depending on the receptor and co-receptor usage (Table [1](#page-4-0)).

As can be predicted from their important role in embryonic and adult tissue development and maintenance, mutations in Wnt ligands lead to a broad spectrum of developmental, genetic diseases (Table [1](#page-4-0)). In addition, overexpression of Wnt ligands and repression of Wnt pathway inhibitors was shown to cause human cancer (reviewed in [\[37\]](#page-15-0)).

## Wnt Receptors

Wnts bind to the Frizzled (Fz) family of 7-Tm receptors, comprised of 10 members in humans (Table [1](#page-4-0)). Fz proteins are characterized by a large extracellular part containing a conserved cysteine-rich domain (CRD) [[2\]](#page-14-0). The cytoplasmic side of Fz interacts directly with the PDZ domain of Dishevelled. It is at the level of DVL that the Wnt signal branches into the three separate pathways i.e. the canonical,  $Wnt/Ca^{2+}$  and DVL/JNK pathway.

Unique for the canonical Wnt pathway, is the use of LRP5/ 6 as co-receptors. LRP5 and LRP6 are single-pass transmembrane proteins with a relatively small intracellular domain and a large extracellular domain, containing several potential regions for protein interaction [\[38\]](#page-15-0). Intracellularly, LRP5/6 is phosphorylated by the action of  $GSK3\beta$  and  $CK\gamma$ . This results in the interaction of LRP5/6 with Axin, leading to the release of Axin from the destruction complex, and the subsequent stabilization and nuclear localization of β-catenin (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). LRP4 is another Wnt co-receptor that shares structural elements within the extracellular ligand binding domain with LRP5 and LRP6 [[39\]](#page-15-0).

Ror2 (orphan receptor tyrosine kinase) is a single-pass transmembrane tyrosine kinase receptor that contains a CRD motif similar to that of the Frizzleds. This receptor is involved in Wnt/Ca<sup>2+</sup>-signaling and under certain circumstances has

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Fig. 2 β-catenin independent Wnt signal transduction pathways. A graphical representation of the different β-catenin independent Wnt pathways. a In the Wnt/Ca2+ pathway, Wnt ligand binding to Frizzled and Ror co-receptor leads to stimulation of heterotrimeric G-proteins and DVL. This interaction leads to the activation of PLC. This enzyme converts PIP2 into IP3 and DAG. Subsequently, intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$ ions are released from the endoplasmatic reticulum through the action of IP3. These  $Ca^{2+}$  ions will now act as secondary messengers, relaying signaling via downstream pathways. The cytosolic rise of Ca2+ ions, together with ubiquitously expressed calmodulin, activates CamKII. CaMKII induces activation of TAK1 and NLK which can eventually lead to NLK mediated inhibition of β-catenin-TCF-dependent transcription through phosphorylation of TCF.  $Ca^{2+}$  ions, together with calmodulin, activate the phosphatase CaCN, which in turn leads to the dephosphorylation and activation of NFAT. NFAT will then migrate to the nucleus and alter gene expression. DAG, along with released  $Ca^{2+}$  from ER, activates PKC. PKC then

been shown to bind Wnt5a, leading to the inhibition of Wnt/βcatenin/TCF signaling [\[40](#page-15-0)].

Ryk (receptor-like tyrosine kinase) is another single-pass tyrosine kinase receptor, that was shown to activate Wnt signaling by binding Fz, but its function mainly lies within the developing neuron and will therefore be discussed further below (§3.7 [Neural stem cells\)](#page-12-0).

The leucine-rich repeat containing, G-protein–coupled receptor (LGR) family of receptors associate with the Frizzled/ Lrp Wnt receptor complex and are able to enhance both Wnt β-catenin and PCP signaling [[41](#page-15-0), [42](#page-15-0)]. LGRs belong to a 7-Tm evolutionary conserved receptor family, known for their large extracellular ligand binding domain. R-spondins (RSPO1-4)

N-terminal kinase

eton remodeling and cell migration. b In the DVL/JNK pathway, Frizzled and Dishevelled function in concert with G proteins and Daam1 to activate small GTPases RhoA and Rac. Activation of Rho GTPase leads to the activation of the ROCK which leads to modification of the actin cytoskeleton. The activation of Rac is independent of Daam1 and stimulates JNK to set up cellular polarity through its effect on the actin cytoskeleton. Ror, orphan receptor tyrosine kinase; DVL, disheveled; PLC, phospholipase C; PIP2, phosphatidyl inositol 4,5-bisphosphate; IP3, inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate; DAG, 1,2 diacylglycerol; CamKII,  $Ca^{2+}/c$ almodulin-dependent kinase II; TAK1, TGF $\beta$  activated kinase; NLK, nemo-like kinase; CaCN, calcineurin; NFAT, nuclear factor of activated T cells; PKC, protein kinase C; Daam1, Dishevelled associated activator of morphogenesis 1; ROCK, Rho-associated kinase; JNK, c-Jun

are secreted agonists of the Wnt pathway that interact with

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

 $\underline{\textcircled{\tiny 2}}$  Springer



mesenchymal stem cell; ISC intestinal stem cell; GSC gastric stem cell; HSC hematopoetic stem cell; MaSC mammary stem cell; NSC neural stem cell; CSC cancer stem cell; BMD bone mineral density

LGRs on the cell surface. In addition, R-spondins were shown to bind cell-surface transmembrane E3 ubiquitin ligase zinc and ring finger 3 (ZNRF3) and induce the association between ZNRF3 and LGR4, which results in membrane clearance of ZNRF3 [\[43](#page-15-0)]. Because ZNRF3 inhibits Wnt signaling by promoting the turnover of Frizzled and LRP6, this mechanism represents another way in which R-spondins can enhance Wnt signal transduction [\[43\]](#page-15-0).

## Intracellular and Extracellular Regulators of Wnt Pathways

The Wnt pathway can be spatially and temporally regulated by both extracellular and intracellular inhibitors, intruding at every step of the signal transduction (Figs. [1](#page-1-0) and [2\)](#page-3-0).

The secreted frizzled-related protein (sFRP) protein family consists of 5 members in humans (sFRP1-5) and all are known modulators of the Wnt pathway that bind Wnt ligands extracellularly [[44\]](#page-15-0). Wnt-inhibitory factor 1 (WIF1) binds Wnt ligands through a unique WIF domain that does not exhibit homology to the cysteine-rich Wnt-binding regions of sFRP or Frizzled proteins, but similarly prevents Wnts from binding their receptors [[45](#page-15-0)]. Another secreted Wnt inhibitor is Dickkopf (Dkk), which works together with Kremen to mediate LRP co-receptor internalization, thereby making it unavailable for Wnt reception [\[46\]](#page-15-0). In a similar way, secreted Sclerostin protein can bind to LRP co-receptors and cause the inhibition of the Wnt pathway [[47](#page-15-0)–[50\]](#page-15-0).

Chibby (Cby) is an intracellular modulator of the canonical Wnt pathway and has a dual role in inhibiting the pathway. On the one hand, Cby competes with TCF/LEF transcription factors in the nucleus for binding to β-catenin and on the other hand, Cby promotes removal of β-catenin out of the nucleus through interaction with 14-3-3 proteins [[51](#page-15-0)–[53](#page-15-0)]. ICAT (inhibitor of β-catenin and TCF-4) is another intracellular regulator that interferes with the formation of the βcatenin-TCF4 complex by binding to β-catenin [[54](#page-15-0)].

Above mentioned mechanisms are just a fraction of possible ways to dynamically regulate Wnt signaling and it is clear that this pathway contains an enormous potential to control developmental and homeostatic processes.

As mentioned above, Wnt signaling is involved in the upkeep of both embryonic (ESC) and adult (ASC) stem cells. Embryonic stem cells are pluripotent, meaning that they have the ability to differentiate to each of the three germ layers. It is however a transient cell state in the development of all vertebrates. Adult stem cells have a lower ability to differentiate (multi-, oligo- or unipotent) and typically reside within regenerative tissues throughout adult life, playing an important role in replenishment and repairing of these tissues. In the first part of this review, we will discuss the involvement of Wnt signaling in embryonic stem cell proliferation and differentiation. In the second part, we will discuss the majority of human regenerative tissues in which stem cells, that are maintained by Wnt signaling, reside. Lastly, we will focus on cancer stem cells, a small population of stem cells in tumor tissues, which maintain tumor growth and possess properties of both ESC, ASC and cancer cells.

# Role of Wnt Signaling in Stem Cell Proliferation and Differentiation

# Embryonic Stem Cells

The decision of an embryonic stem cell to either proliferate, and maintain its pluripotent character, or commit to the differentiation process (to mesoderm, endoderm or ectoderm; Fig. [3](#page-7-0)) and lose a level of potency depends on an enormous amount of extracellular and intracellular signals, that have to be interpreted by the cell and funneled into a final choice. Whereas in vitro adding of murine leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) to murine ESCs is sufficient for self-renewal and maintenance of the pluripotent state of these cells, human LIF is not able to maintain a human ESC culture in an undifferentiated state [[55\]](#page-15-0). The pluripotent state of human embryonic stem cells (hESC) is characterized and maintained by the expression of key transcription factors Oct4, Nanog and Sox2 [[56\]](#page-15-0). RNA expression profiling in H7 hESCs showed that these cells express RNA for each of the 19 Wnt genes and in addition, express all 10 Fz receptors and both LRP5 and LRP6 co-receptors [[57\]](#page-15-0).

There has been some debate on the role of the canonical and noncanonical Wnt signaling cascades in the decision of the hESC to either proliferate or differentiate. Although it is clear that Wnt signaling is widely utilized during early development to regulate body axis formation [\[58](#page-15-0)–[60\]](#page-15-0), organogenesis [\[61,](#page-15-0) [62](#page-15-0)] and cell migration [\[63](#page-15-0)–[65\]](#page-15-0) in vertebrates, its role in the maintenance of pluripotent stem cell character is thus not clear.

While many reports indicated that Wnt pathway activation leads to loss of pluripotency and differentiation toward mesoderm and endoderm lineages [\[66](#page-15-0)–[69](#page-16-0)], a number of other reports identified the Wnt pathway as an essential factor for the establishment and maintenance of ESC self-renewal [\[70](#page-16-0)–[73\]](#page-16-0).

Sato et al. stated that the activation of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway by 6-bromoindirubin-3′-oxime (BIO), a specific pharmacological inhibitor of GSK3β, was sufficient for maintaining the undifferentiated phenotype in both murine and human ESC. Oct3/4, Rex1 and Nanog were expressed in BIO-treated mESC cells, which led the authors to believe that the observed pluripotent state was mediated by Wnt-activation of these transcription factors [\[70](#page-16-0)].

Singh et al. however postulated that different GSK3 complexes are present in the cell, which perform separate biochemical functions. They indicated that low concentrations of

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Fig. 3 Stem cell lineages and the effect of Wnt signaling on differentiation. Different stem cell lineages are depicted, starting from the blastocyst and ending with the main differentiated cells of the human body. The effect of canonical (white circles) and noncanonical (black circles) Wnt signaling on adult stem cells is illustrated, whereby 'plus sign' indicates stimulation and '*minus sign*' indicates inhibition. In some stem cell types,

GSK3β inhibitors such as BIO will lead to proliferation of ESC but not by activation of Wnt pathway target genes, as hypothesized by Sato et al., but by stabilization of Myc, acting through PI3K/Akt signaling [\[74](#page-16-0)]. Only at high concentrations of GSK3 inhibitor will the Wnt pathway become active, leading to loss of pluripotency markers and increased levels of mesendoderm markers [[75](#page-16-0)].

Another reason for the discrepancy between studies is the applied time frame to study the effect of Wnt ligands on ESC differentiation. While the addition of Wnt3a-conditioned medium to ESC culture for <1 week maintained their undifferentiated cell morphology [\[70](#page-16-0)], culturing these cells for longer periods in the presence of Wnt pathway activating conditions did significantly reduce their self-renewing capacity [[67,](#page-15-0) [68](#page-15-0)].

depending on the Wnt ligand, (co-)receptor usage, activation state of the cell, environmental factors, ect. Wnt signaling can either stimulate or inhibit differentiation. ESC, Embryonic stem cell; ASC, Adult stem cell; MSC, mesenchymal stem cell; HSC, hematopoetic stem cell; ISC, intestinal stem cell; GSC, gastric stem cell; HFSC, hair follicle stem cell; MaSC, mammary stem cell; NSC, neural stem cell

It appears that only two signaling pathways, dynamically interacting with each other, are required to maintain pluripotency. First, the transforming growth factor β (TGFβ)/Activin A pathway leads to activation of Smad2,3 and its downstream targets, including Nanog [[76](#page-16-0)–[78\]](#page-16-0). However, this pathway switches to a pro-differentiation mode in absence of the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway, which is the second pathway required for maintaining pluripotency. When PI3K/Akt signaling is inactive, Smad2,3 cooperates with β-catenin to upregulate proteins required for early differentiation, such as the mesendodermal marker MixL1 [\[75,](#page-16-0) [79](#page-16-0)]. Another target of TGFβ signaling is BAMBI (BMP and activin membranebound inhibitor), a single-pass transmembrane decoy receptor that was shown to inhibit TGFβ and Activin signaling, but

enhance Wnt signal transduction [[80](#page-16-0)]. In the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway, PI3K and subsequent Akt activation inhibits MAPK, whereby MAPK can no longer prevent GSK3β kinase action in the Wnt pathway, resulting in the downregulation of Wnt pro-differentiation target genes [[79](#page-16-0)]. Taken together, these data indicate that suppression of Wnt signaling stabilizes pluripotent cells [[75](#page-16-0)]. Consistent with this theory, Davidson et al. reported that Wnt/β-catenin signaling is not required for the self-renewal of pluripotent ESC and that Oct4, a key pluripotency factor in hESC, functionally represses Wnt signaling in self-renewing hESC [\[67](#page-15-0)].

Teo et al. stated that Wnt signaling can maintain pluripotency of ESC when β-catenin uses cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB)-Binding Protein (CBP) as a cofactor for transcription of target genes (e.g. Oct4, survivin) in the nucleus. However, when switching to the use of p300, a closely related homolog of CBP, differentiation is induced and cellular potency is decreased [\[9](#page-14-0), [81\]](#page-16-0). Both CBP and p300 are protein acetyltransferases, able to acetylate histones and thereby convert chromatin in an open, activated state, promoting pluripotency [[82\]](#page-16-0). The co-factor switch from CPB to p300 is suggested to be induced by noncanonical Wnt signaling, whereby activation of PKC leads to phosphorylation of p300, thereby increasing the affinity of β-catenin for p300. PKC also activates CDC42, leading to the cytoskeletal rearrangements necessary for differentiation [[9\]](#page-14-0).

In a search for cancer therapeutics, ICG-001 was identified as a highly selective inhibitor of the CPB-β-catenin interaction by binding to CPB, but not p300. Confirming the use and success of these type of agents, it has been shown that CBP/ catenin antagonism is able to target and eliminate drugresistant leukemic stem cells both in vitro and in vivo [\[83](#page-16-0), [84\]](#page-16-0).

# Adult Stem Cells

One differentiation step further down the line are the multipotent stem cells, no longer able to develop into cells of all three germ lines, but still able to proliferate and maintain the possibility of differentiating into a spectrum of specialized tissues (Fig. [3\)](#page-7-0). ASC are unique in their ability to self-renew while simultaneously generating specialized cells. The balance between self-renewal and differentiation of these cells is determined by factors coming from the stem cell microenvironment, also called the stem cell niche. Below, we will discuss the presence and function of Wnt ligands in the stem cell niches of bone, adipose tissue, muscle, intestine, hair follicles, blood, mammary gland and neurons.

#### Mesenchymal Stem Cells

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), otherwise termed as mesenchymal progenitor cells or marrow stromal cells, were first identified within the bone marrow stromal cell compartment,

and researchers at that time observed that different stromal cell lines could be promoted under different culture conditions [\[85](#page-16-0), [86](#page-16-0)]. Later studies identified synovial tissue, periosteum, blood, adipose tissue, muscle and dermis as alternative tissue sources of MSCs [\[87](#page-16-0), [88](#page-16-0)]. When supplied with appropriate media and growth factors, MSCs are capable of differentiating into osteoblasts, chondrocytes, adipocytes, and myoblasts [\[89](#page-16-0)] (Fig. [3\)](#page-7-0).

Human MSCs (hMSCs) were shown to express a number of Wnt ligands, receptors and regulators, and both β-catenin dependent and independent Wnt pathways are believed to play a crucial role in their capacities of self-renewal, proliferation and differentiation [\[90,](#page-16-0) [91\]](#page-16-0). Below, we will discuss the role of the Wnt pathway in each of the established MSC derived cell types.

## a. Adipose tissue

The origination of a new adipocyte, starting from a mesenchymal stem cell, involves a temporally regulated cascade of transcription factor activities and gene expression events. During determination, multipotent MSCs become pre-adipocytes and hereby commit to the adipogenic lineage. A mature fat cell is formed when pre-adipocytes differentiate further. Early in the differentiation process, expression of CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP) β and δ is induced and subsequently, these transcription factors bind to the promoters of peroxisome proliferator activated receptor  $\gamma$  (PPAR $\gamma$ ) and C/EBP $\alpha$  [\[92](#page-16-0)]. When activated, PPAR $\gamma$  and C/EBP $\alpha$ induce expression of genes essential for the adipogenic phenotype [\[93\]](#page-16-0).

The observation that in vitro induction of Wnt1 expression in pre-adipocytes led to inhibition of adipogenesis in the presence of lipogenic medium was the first link between the Wnt pathway and MSC fate [\[94](#page-16-0)]. Inhibition of the Wnt pathway, on the other hand, led to adipogenesis and the ligand responsible was shown to be Wnt10b [[95\]](#page-16-0). A FABP4-Wnt10b mouse model was later developed, in which *Wnt10b* is expressed after the fatty acid-binding protein-4 (FABP4) promoter, and presented with a reduced amount of both white and brown adipose tissue [[96\]](#page-16-0). In mouse myoblasts, overexpression of *Wnt10b* similarly resulted in inhibition of adipogenic gene expression [[97](#page-16-0)].

In humans, we previously reported a genetic association between WNT10B polymorphisms and BMI and weight, further indicating the importance of WNT10B in the formation of fat tissue [[98](#page-16-0)].

It has been shown that in hMSCs, transferred to a lipogenic medium, expression of WNT2, WNT10B, WNT13 and WNT14 decreases, whereas expression of WNT/β-catenin independent WNT4 and WNT11 increases [\[99](#page-16-0)]. On the contrary, when activating the WNT/

β-catenin pathway by addition of SB-216763, a highly selective small molecule inhibitor of GSK-3β, induction of adipocyte signature genes LPL, adipsin and PPARγ were blocked and expression of *WNT4* and *WNT11* decreased. This led the authors to conclude that the canonical WNT/β-catenin pathway, activated by  $WNT2$ , WNT10B, WNT13 and WNT14 inhibits adipogenesis, whereas  $WNT/\beta$ -catenin independent  $WNT4$  and WNT11 promote adipogenesis [\[99\]](#page-16-0).

Not only Wnt ligands, but also secreted regulators of the Wnt pathway, such as DKK and sFRP5, have been linked to human obesity.

DKK gene expression is transiently induced during differentiation of human adipocytes. In vitro studies showed that activating LRP5 mutations, resulting in a decreased affinity for DKK, inhibit adipogenic differentiation of hMSCs [\[100](#page-16-0), [101](#page-16-0)]. This indicates that DKK promotes human adipogenesis by inhibiting LRP5/6 mediated Wnt signaling [\[102](#page-16-0)].

sFRP5 is another extracellular regulator of the Wnt pathway, that has been shown to be expressed in the cytosol of mature adipocytes and to promote preadipocyte differentiation [\[103\]](#page-16-0). Plasma sFRP5 levels shown to be differentially expressed in obese compared to lean subjects [\[104,](#page-16-0) [105](#page-16-0)]. sFRP4, a related secreted Wnt inhibitor, was up-regulated with adipogenesis of hMSCs [[106](#page-16-0)]

As discussed previously, in the canonical Wnt pathway cytosolic β-catenin accumulation leads to activation of a TCF/LEF transcription complex. It has been shown that this β-catenin-TCF/LEF complex acts as a direct regulator of chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter-transcription factor II (COUP-TFII). COUP-TFII then recruits the silencing mediator for retinoic acid receptor and thyroid hormone receptor (SMRT) corepressor complex, which is able to maintain the PPARγ-containing chromatin in an hypoacetylated and repressed state, thereby inhibiting differentiation of pre-adipocytes to mature fat cells [[94](#page-16-0), [95,](#page-16-0) [107](#page-16-0)]. However, activation of the β-catenin independent CaMKII-TAK1-NLK pathway through Wnt5a action similarly results in repression of PPAR $\gamma$  and therefore impediment of adipogenesis. Wnt5a<sup>+/−</sup> mice present with a significant increase of adipocyte numbers in the bone marrow [\[108](#page-17-0)]. This shows that noncanonical Wnt signaling can also cooperate with β-catenin-TCF/LEF to block adipogenesis.

Brown adipose tissue (BAT) is present in very small quantities in humans compared to the lipid-storing white adipose tissue (WAT). Although brown adipocytes express most of the same genes as white adipocytes, they differ in the expression of uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1), which is exclusive for BAT. UCP1 allows dissipation of the electrochemical proton gradient generated by respiration in the form of heat [\[109\]](#page-17-0). In a therapeutic view, increasing the ratio of BAT vs. WAT could potentially increase fatty acid oxidation at the expense of the amount of fat stored.

In the past, it was presumed that BAT is of the same adipogenic lineage as WAT. However, recently it was discovered that BAT develops from a myogenic lineage [[110\]](#page-17-0). Still, the main adipogenic transcription factors PPAR $\gamma$  and C/EBP $\alpha$  are induced during brown adipogenesis in an analogous fashion to white adipogenesis. As shown by the FAB4-*Wnt10b* mouse model, in which the development of BAT is completely blocked, Wnt signaling also represses the differentiation to brown adipocytes [[93,](#page-16-0) [96](#page-16-0)].

## b. Bone

Following the observation that Wnt10b is able to block the differentiation of MSCs to adipose tissue, researchers asked what would be the effect of this Wnt ligand on the differentiation to other mesenchymal lineages. To address this question, Bennett et al. analysed the bone characteristics of the FABP4-Wnt10b mouse model. Overexpression of Wnt10b in these mice results in skeletons with a higher bone mass, bone strength and bone mineral density (BMD) [\[111](#page-17-0)]. However, this was not the first indication that Wnt signaling affects bone formation. In 2001, inactivating mutations in the gene coding for the Wnt coreceptor LRP5 were shown to be causative for osteoporosis-pseudoglioma in human patients [\[112](#page-17-0)]. Correspondingly, gain-of-function mutations in LRP5 impair the action of normal antagonists of the Wnt pathway such as Dkk1 and increase Wnt signaling, which results in a high bone mass phenotype [[113](#page-17-0)–[115](#page-17-0)]. Similarly, loss of function mutations in the SOST gene, coding for Sclerostin, lead to sclerosteosis characterized by high bone mass [\[116](#page-17-0)–[118](#page-17-0)]. SOST is expressed almost exclusively in osteocytes, and the resulting Sclerostin protein is a circulating inhibitor of the Wnt pathway which acts to inhibit LRP co-receptor function [[48](#page-15-0)]. A SOST neutralizing antibody is currently being tested in phase 3 clinical trials and shows great promise as a therapeutic agent for conditions such as osteoporosis, by enhancing bone formation [\[119\]](#page-17-0). Finally, loss of Wnt antagonists such as sfrp1, Dkk, Apc or Axin2 results in increased bone mass [[120](#page-17-0)–[123\]](#page-17-0).

WNTs are expressed in marrow and appear to be endogeneous regulators of bone formation [[90](#page-16-0), [124](#page-17-0)]. In a previously performed genetic association study, we confirmed the importance of WNT10B in bone formation in humans by showing an association between WNT10B polymorphisms and BMD in a population of Danish males [\[125\]](#page-17-0). The mechanisms whereby WNT10B induces osteoblastogenesis include  $C/EBP\alpha$  and PPAR $\gamma$  suppression in addition to induction of the expression of osteoblastogenic transcription factors such as Runx2, Dlx5 and Osterix [[111,](#page-17-0) [126](#page-17-0)–[128\]](#page-17-0). Runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2) is the major transcription factor regulating the differentiation of MSCs to osteoblasts, as indicated by mouse studies [\[129\]](#page-17-0). Expression of Runx2, Dlx5 and Osterix may in fact be regulated through enhancer regions consisting of adjacent Smad and TCF/LEF1 DNA-binding sites, indicating a cooperative action of both the canonical Wnt and Bone Morphogenic Protein (BMP) pathways [\[130\]](#page-17-0).

In addition, noncanonical Wnt signaling appears to enhance bone formation of hMSCs. Wnt5a binding to the cell surface leads to activation and homodimerization of Ror2 receptors, which leads to osteogenic differentiation by stimulation of Runx and Osterix expression [\[131\]](#page-17-0). Furthermore, CaMKII-TAK1-NLK signaling was shown to repress PPARγ transactivation and induce Runx expression in bone marrow MSCs [\[132\]](#page-17-0).

In contrast, other studies indicated that high Wnt pathway activation in Wnt1-expressing hMSCs led to reduction of Runx2, Dlx5 and Osterix expression thereby inhibiting osteogenic differentiation. These two seemingly opposite roles of Wnt signaling in osteogenesis can however be combined in a 'Wnt gradient'-theory, as postulated by Liu and coworkers [\[91](#page-16-0)]. This theory suggests the presence of a Wnt activity gradient, resulting from the asymmetrical localization of Wnts and antagonists, between hMSC stem/progenitor and osteoblasts/osteocyt compartments. A high level of Wnt activity would permit the expansion of hMSCs, whereas lower Wnt signaling results in the differentiation to bone forming osteoblasts [\[91\]](#page-16-0).

In contrast, results refuting proliferative and differentiation effects of Wnts in hMSCs may be attributed to the specific Wnt ligands, mode of overexpression, method to measure bone density and cell types used in different studies. In addition, increasing evidence suggests that Wnt signaling effects depend on the stage of differentiation of the cells used. For example, proper osteoblast terminal differentiation appears to require canonical Wnt pathway inhibition by Dkk2, sfrp2 and/or Wif1 [[133,](#page-17-0) [134\]](#page-17-0), instead of Wnt pathway activation.

As shown in human as well as murine in vitro osteoblast cell models, R-spondin1 synergizes with Wnt3a to promote osteoblast differentiation [\[135,](#page-17-0) [136](#page-17-0)]. All four members (RSpo1-4) of the R-spondin family antagonize Dkk and thereby amplify Wnt signaling. R-spondins require Wnt ligands and LRP6 for activity and interfere with DKK1 mediated LRP6 internalisation [\[137,](#page-17-0) [138](#page-17-0)]. In human osteoblasts, isolated from osteoarthritis patients, Rspo2 can counteract the high Dkk activity (and therefore low Wnt signaling) that is seen in osteoarthritic cells. Rspo2 was shown to increase Wnt signaling in the presence, but not in the absence of Wnt3a [\[139\]](#page-17-0). R-spondins therefore provide another link between Wnt signaling and bone formation.

c. Cartilage

Chondrogenesis of human MSCs proceeds in different stages. The first stage is characterized by cell condensation (i.e., increased cell density due to cell aggregation), the second stage by proliferation followed by chondrogenic differentiation (i.e., synthesis of cartilage-specific extracellular matrix proteins, such as type II collagen), and the final stage by hypertrophy and acquisition of a chondrocyte morphology. SOX9 is a high-mobilitygroup (HMG) domain transcription factor that is expressed in chondrocytes and is required at sequential steps in this pathway [\[140\]](#page-17-0).

Adding Wnt1 to hMSCs in vitro culture led to sustained Wnt/β-catenin signaling and suppressed expression of SOX9. Not only does Wnt/β-catenin signaling favor osteoblastogenesis of MSCs, it appears that inactivation of the pathway is necessary for chondrogenesis and the maturation and maintenance of chondroid cells [\[141\]](#page-17-0). d. Muscle

In addition to regulating the bone-fat interplay, Wnt signaling was also shown to be important for muscle development and maintenance of skeletal muscle homeostasis in the adult. Also, a role for the Wnt signaling pathway in muscle regeneration after injury by enhancing satellite cell proliferation and differentiation, was found.

Both canonical and noncanonical pathways perform a role, whereby canonical signaling appears to induce differentiation of both satellite stem cells and muscle cells, and noncanonical signaling serves to prevent depletion of the satellite stem cell pool (reviewed in [[142](#page-17-0)]). β-catenin induced Wnt signaling in rat MSCs resulted in the induction of several pro-myogenic factors like Pax 7, MyoD and myogenin, and the simultaneous inhibition of C/ EBP $\alpha$  and PPAR $\gamma$  expression [[143\]](#page-17-0). Exogenous induction of canonical Wnt signaling through Wnt3a during the early phase of regeneration resulted in premature differentiation of progenitor cells, thereby leading to depletion of the satellite cell pool [[144](#page-17-0)]. In mouse myoblasts, overexpression of canonical Wnt10b suppressed  $C/EBP\alpha$  and PPAR $\gamma$  expression. Consistent with these results,  $Wnt10b^{-/-}$  mice show lipid accumulation in regenerating muscle but in addition, muscle and isolated myoblasts from these mice undergo myogenic differentiation more efficiently. The authors suggested that Wnt7b, a more myogenic Wnt ligand, may be compensating for Wnt10b deficiency [[97](#page-16-0)].

As for the β-catenin independent pathways, Wnt7a was shown to bind Fz7 and promote expansion of satellite stem cells, by activating the Wnt PCP pathway [[145](#page-18-0)]. Additionally, in differentiated muscle cells, Wnt7a can activate PI3K and subsequently the Akt/mTor growth

pathway. This way, Wnt7a appears to couple the expansion of the satellite cell pool to the mass of the muscle tissue [[146](#page-18-0)].

## Hematopoetic Stem Cells

Hematopoetic stem cell (HSC) give rise to all lineages of blood cells, including red blood cells, platelets, lymphocytes, monocytes and macrophages. Wnt signaling has emerged as an important facilitator of HSC fate decisions during differentiation in the bone marrow. HSCs themselves as well as the bone marrow environment can provide Wnt ligands. Conditioned medium containing WNTs promotes the multiplication of human HSCs and inhibits their differentiation, implying that Wnt ligands function as hematopoietic growth factors [\[147](#page-18-0)]. In addition, overexpression of activated βcatenin was shown to expand the HSCs in long-term cultures and triggered increased self-renewal [\[148\]](#page-18-0).

Later studies however indicated that both canonical and noncanonical Wnt signaling in the HSC niche can limit proliferation and are vital for HSC quiescence, which is a critical feature of their reconstituting function [\[149,](#page-18-0) [150](#page-18-0)]. Also, noncanonical Wnt4 ligand regulates HSCs and was shown to activate genes required for cell maintenance in mice [\[151](#page-18-0)].

# Intestinal Stem Cells

The observation that the deletion of β-catenin or ectopic expression of Dkk in mice resulted in the complete ablation of intestinal crypts, indicated that Wnt signaling was also important for the maintenance of adult intestine [\[152,](#page-18-0) [153\]](#page-18-0). Furthermore, it is known that deregulation of the Wnt pathway, by mutations in APC, Axin or β-catenin, occurs in over 90 % of human colorectal cancers [\[154](#page-18-0)].

The epithelium of the small intestine is folded into large numbers of villi and crypts, thus maximizing the surface to allow nutrient uptake. The colon epithelium is also folded into crypts, but the surface does not carry villi. Intestinal stem cells (ISCs) are located at the crypt base and produce progenitor cells that are capable of differentiating towards all epithelial lineages. The proliferating crypt precursors and differentiated villus cells form an adjoining sheet that is in permanent upward motion. These cells keep migrating to the tops of the villi, where they eventually undergo apoptosis and are shed into the lumen [\[155\]](#page-18-0). Evidence indicates that the Wnt cascade is the dominant force in controlling cell fate along the cryptvillus axis [\[154\]](#page-18-0). AWnt gradient is established along this axis, whereby β-catenin signaling is highest in stem cells at the base of the crypt and lowest at the villi [\[156,](#page-18-0) [157\]](#page-18-0). In the crypts of the colon, loss of transcription factor TCF4 leads to depletion of stem cells [\[158\]](#page-18-0). The Wnt gradient is inversely proportional with a BMP gradient, whereby high Wnt and low

BMP signaling results in proliferation of progenitor cells and low Wnt and high BMP signaling allows cells to differentiate [\[157\]](#page-18-0).

Marker expression studies revealed that ISCs can be identified through their expression of leucine-rich repeat containing, G-protein–coupled receptor 5 (Lgr5) [\[159\]](#page-18-0). However, the ligands for Lgr receptors 4, 5 and 6, which represent a subfamily of Lgr receptors, were unknown for a long time. Conditional deletion of Lgr4 and 5 in mice led to the disappearance of intestinal crypts and microarray analysis indicated differential expression of Wnt pathway target genes [\[41](#page-15-0)]. Lgr 4, 5 and 6 appeared to be the receptors for R-spondins, molecules that had previously been identified as Wnt pathway agonists [\[138,](#page-17-0) [160\]](#page-18-0). Lgr5 receptors thus are ISC-markers and interact with R-spondins to amplify Wnt β-catenin signaling, allowing proliferation and preservation of stem cell properties.

Paneth cells are located at the base of the crypt, intermingled with intestinal stem cells and thus reside in a high Wnt signalzone. Rather than proliferating, these post mitotic cells are the only ones in the crypt that use Wnt signals for their terminal differentiation [\[161,](#page-18-0) [162\]](#page-18-0). In vitro culture experiments showed that maintenance of the intestinal stem cell phenotype is dependent on Paneth cells presence. In fact, Paneth cells constitute part of the ISC niche and produce essential stem cell growth factors EGF and Wnt3. ICSs that lose contact with Paneth cells lose multipotency and start differentiation and migration towards the villi [\[163\]](#page-18-0).

# Gastric Stem Cells

Lineage tracing in neonatal mice revealed that cells from all parts of the stomach epithelium originate from Lgr5 expressing cells, as do epithelium cells in the small intestine [\[164\]](#page-18-0). However, in adults, Lgr5 cells only occur in the pylorus, the most distal segment of the stomach. Similar to the crypt in the small intestine, the regenerative unit of the stomach resides in an invagination, which is divided in pit, isthmus and gland from top to bottom. It was postulated that each of these gastric units contains more than one multipotent adult stem cell [[165\]](#page-18-0). Gastric stem cells (GSCs) seem to reside at the gland bottom, and push their differentiating progeny up toward the gland. GSCs likewise depend on the presence of a Wnt ligand, and this ligand appears to be Wnt3a [\[164\]](#page-18-0).

# Hair Follicle Stem Cells

Canonical Wnt signals do not appear to regulate epidermal differentiation, but are essential in the normal skin to instruct bulge stem cells toward the hair cell fate [\[82](#page-16-0), [166](#page-18-0)]. Living human bulge stem cells (or hair follicle stem cells, HFSC) have been isolated in the past, starting from hair follicle

<span id="page-12-0"></span>suspensions [[167](#page-18-0)]. These stem cells were shown to reside in a niche, were they respond to a variety of signaling ligands that regulate hair follicle development, maintenance, and the regeneration of hair follicles after wounding. In the mature skin, hair follicles undergo repeated cycles of growth (anagen), death/regression (catagen), and rest (telogen), and in each of these phases, Wnt/β-catenin was shown to play a role. Wnt signaling is up-regulated at the end of telogen and hereby promotes transition to anagen. Indeed, Wnt signaling provides one of the mitogenic stimuli that are necessary for hair growth [\[168\]](#page-18-0). During the telogen phase, Wnt upregulation, and subsequent anagen, is repressed by BMP signaling [[166\]](#page-18-0). In addition, telogen follicular bulge cells express secreted Wnt inhibitors such as Sfrp1, Wif1 and Dkk3, both in mice and humans [\[166,](#page-18-0) [167,](#page-18-0) [169](#page-18-0)].

At different stages of the hair cycle, Lgr5 expression was observed in several distinct locations in the hair follicle. Here, Lgr5+ progeny repopulate stem cell compartments, supporting the existence of a stem or progenitor cell hierarchy [\[170\]](#page-18-0). Like the intestine and the stomach, the hair follicle contains rapidly proliferating and unidirectionally migrating epithelial cells, and it was therefore no surprise that hair follicle stem cells could be identified by the presence of Lgr5 on their membrane as well.

Melanocyte stem cells reside adjacent to the bulge stem cells and are activated to differentiate to melanocytes by Wnt10b, expressed by nearby hair germ keratinocytes [[166\]](#page-18-0). Again, as in other adult tissues containing stem cell niches, multiple signaling pathways work together to regulate differentiation, proliferation and normal maintenance of tissue stem cells and in this dynamic network, the Wnt pathway plays a prominent role.

## Mammary Stem Cells

Transplantation assays in mice have demonstrated the existence of a rare population of mammary stem cells (MaSCs) that are able to re-form a functional mammary gland [\[171,](#page-18-0) [172\]](#page-18-0). As shown by in vitro and in vivo experiments, Wnt signals serve as important self-renewing signals for MaSCs. In the mammary stem cell niche, Wnt signaling supposedly acts to inhibit differentiation by suppression of Gata-3, a transcription factor that promotes differentiation of mammary stem cells into luminal cells [\[173](#page-18-0)]. For a normal mammary gland to develop, both canonical Wnt signaling co-receptors Lrp5 and Lrp6 are required [\[174,](#page-18-0) [175\]](#page-18-0). In addition, loss of Lrp5 in MMTV-Wnt1 transgenic mice reduces both the early proliferation of the progenitor cell population and the subsequent formation of mammary tumors [\[174\]](#page-18-0). Together, these results demonstrate that Wnt signaling (through Lrp5/6) is an important component of normal mammary stem cell function.

## Neural Stem Cells

When looking at mouse models in which Wnt ligands (Wnt1, Wnt3a) are mutated, it is clear that Wnt signaling has an important role to play in the proper development of telencephalon, metencephalon and hippocampus [[61](#page-15-0), [176](#page-18-0)–[178\]](#page-18-0). Also in the adult neuronal tissue, it appears that sustained Wnt signaling is necessary to regulate neural stem cell (NSC) activity and maintenance [[177](#page-18-0), [179](#page-18-0)].

Several components of the canonical Wnt pathway (Wnt ligands, Fz receptors and Wnt pathway inhibitors) have been described in the adult mice neurogenic niche, consisting of the subventricular zone (SVZ) of the lateral ventricle in the cortex and subgranular zone (SGZ) in the hippocampus [[177](#page-18-0), [180](#page-18-0)].

When Wnt/β-catenin signaling is inhibited in adult neural progenitor cells (aNPCs) co-cultured with hippocampal astrocytes, by the WNT inhibitor sFRP2/3 or ectopic expression of dominant negative Lef1, the percentage of neuronal lineage cells decreases, indicating that β-catenin-dependent Wnt signaling is a principal positive regulator of neurogenesis in the adult hippocampus [\[177](#page-18-0)]. Investigations on the role of  $\beta$ catenin signaling in SVZ neurogenesis demonstrated a mitogenic effect of Wnt signaling in mice aNPCs. Activation of β-catenin by Wnt3a, Wnt5a, or Wnt7a [\[181,](#page-19-0) [182\]](#page-19-0) or the ectopic expression of a stable β-catenin form increased the proliferation of neural progenitors in vitro, whereas blockade of the canonical Wnt pathway by a Wnt antagonist or ectopic expression of Axin had an opposite effect [[181](#page-19-0)].

It has been shown that Wnt3a signals through the Ryk receptor-like tyrosine kinase in developing neurons. The Ryk receptor is another single-pass Wnt receptor, characterized by an unusually short intracellular region and unique substitutions in its catalytic tyrosine kinase domain. However, Ryk is still able to activate downstream pathways such as the Wnt pathway and has been shown to form a ternary complex with Fz and Wnt1 [[183\]](#page-19-0). Extracellularly, Ryk contains a binding domain similar to the Wnt-inhibitory factor (WIF) proteins, a group of secreted Wnt binding factors [\[184\]](#page-19-0). Transgenic mice expressing anti-Ryk siRNA have defects in axon guidance and in addition, Ryk allows Wnt3a to induce neurite outgrowth in dorsal root ganglia explants, suggesting that Ryk is a functional and key receptor for Wnt in the nervous system [[183\]](#page-19-0).

Although most studies indicate that Wnt/β-catenin signaling promotes the expansion of the neural progenitor pool [\[181](#page-19-0), [182](#page-19-0), [185\]](#page-19-0) and determination of neuronal fate [[177,](#page-18-0) [182\]](#page-19-0), conflicting results have been obtained as well [\[186\]](#page-19-0). This may be due to the context-dependent signaling ability of the Wnt pathway, whereby β-catenin can activate different and sometimes opposing genetic programs depending on cellular signaling history, availability of signaling partners, ect. [\[187](#page-19-0)].

In humans, polymorphisms in several genes from the pathway (WNT2 [\[188,](#page-19-0) [189](#page-19-0)], FZ3 [[190](#page-19-0), [191\]](#page-19-0), TCF7L2 [[192](#page-19-0), [193\]](#page-19-0), DKK4 [\[194](#page-19-0)] and APC [[195\]](#page-19-0)) have been associated with psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia and autism confirming the important role of Wnt signaling in adult neuron activity and maintenance. In addition, recent studies indicate a role of Wnt signaling in synapse maintenance and plasticity [\[196\]](#page-19-0), and link the increased expression of the Wnt antagonist Dkk1 in brains of Alzheimer patients and in Alzheimer transgenic mouse models, to the pathology of Alzheimer's disease [\[197,](#page-19-0) [198](#page-19-0)]. It is not clear whether loss-of-function of Wnt genes would affect proper neuronal maintenance and development in the human brain, and whether this could lead to a neuronal disorder, but it is definitely an interesting topic to investigate further.

# Cancer Stem Cells

Emerging evidence has indicated a subpopulation of multipotent cells, termed cancer stem cells (CSC) within cancer tissue. CSCs behave as both stem cells and cancer cells, being able to self-renew and differentiate to cell types present in the tumor [\[199](#page-19-0), [200\]](#page-19-0). Indeed, there is an overlap between the expression patterns of transcriptional regulators in human embryonic and cancer SCs. The shared transcriptome, including genes regulating stemness and pluripotency such as NANOG and OCT3/4, determines in part the differentiation status of a tumor and, in addition, tumor aggressiveness. Among the genes expressed both in ESCs and CSCs is the Fz7 receptor, an important Wnt receptor, known to activate both canonical and noncanonical Wnt signaling [[201](#page-19-0), [202\]](#page-19-0).

Several of the signaling pathways that are active in normal adult stem cells (such as Wnt, Hedgehog, Notch) appear to be involved in regulation of CSC as well, and aberrant regulation of these same pathways leads to neoplastic proliferation and tumor formation [\[202](#page-19-0), [203](#page-19-0)]. Many of the genes activated by the β-catenin/TCF transcription complex, including cyclin D1 [\[204\]](#page-19-0) and c-myc [\[205\]](#page-19-0), which play critical roles in cell growth, proliferation, and differentiation, are inappropriately activated in colon cancer. In addition, up-regulation and overexpression of DVL proteins has been reported in many cancers, including those of prostate, mesothelioma and lung (non-small cell) [\[206](#page-19-0)–[208](#page-19-0)].

LaBarge and co-authors present a model whereby a malignant cell overtakes a normal adult stem cell niche, becoming the initial CSC that nurtures a tumor. The tumor microenvironment may then evolve, and impose CSC-like functions onto other cells. As in normal tissue, this pool of undifferentiated stem cells gives rise to less potent progenitors, which then produce the most specialized cells of a given tissue [[203\]](#page-19-0). In this hierarchical model, only the small, self-renewing population of CSCs in the tumor is responsible for tumor initiation and growth maintenance.

CSC present a challenge for cancer therapeutic treatment, seeing that eradication of bulk tumor usually does not include the population of CSCs, leaving them at liberty to re-establish the complete heterogeneity of cancer tissue. This may be due in part by the fact that CSCs, like normal adult stem cells, express multidrug resistance genes such as MDR-1 and BRCP1, making them resistant to chemotherapy [\[209](#page-19-0)]. In addition, CSCs are often highly resistant to radiation therapy, owing to their ability to rapidly induce DNA repair mechanisms [[209](#page-19-0), [210\]](#page-19-0). Furthermore, CSCs appear to be particularly adept in stimulating angiogenesis, nurturing tumor development [[209](#page-19-0)]. To circumvent these problems, alternative therapeutic methods of selectively targeting CSCs are currently in development, including antagonists of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway.

#### Future Perspectives

It is clear that Wnt signaling is a conserved, ubiquitously functioning pathway that acts in both embryonal and adult stages. In this review we discussed the specifics of the pathway in stem cells residing in several main tissues and we tried to focus on the knowledge in humans. Because of the fact that this pathway exerts great control over stem cell behavior, it is inevitable that deregulation results in grave cell and tissue malfunctioning such as cancer. Further knowledge on the pathway and on control points herein have definite therapeutic potential, not in the least in the field of regenerative medicine where the ex vivo fabrication of tissues, containing multiple cell types, or even complete organs, will possibly revolutionize medicine.

Autologous pluripotent stem cells themselves can be used for the ex vivo engineering of tissues, which can then be applied for regenerative purposes [\[88\]](#page-16-0). There is a clear immunological advantage of using autologous stem cells, and in addition, these cells are not burdened with ethical considerations.

A historically important source of adult stem cells is the bone marrow, but pluripotent bone marrow cells may be as rare as 1 in  $10^7$  to  $10^8$  [\[211](#page-19-0)]. A second possible source of multipotent cells is processed lipoaspirate cells from adipose tissue [\[212\]](#page-19-0). However, a common restriction for tissue engineering is the necessity to grow and expand stem cells in a culture. Protocols have been developed to allow long-term culture of human adult stem cells, and these include coculturing with other human or mammalian cell lines [\[213,](#page-19-0) [214\]](#page-19-0), coating culture dishes with matrix components [\[215\]](#page-19-0), reducing oxygen tension [[216](#page-19-0)] and the use of exogenous scaffolds to guide the 3D organization of cells [[217](#page-19-0), [218\]](#page-19-0), among others. However, because stem cells reside within their own, carefully organized niche in vivo, they will self-renew and proliferate most efficiently when grown in a similar

<span id="page-14-0"></span>environment. Recently, Sato et al., developed self-organizing 3D intestinal epithelial organoids or "mini-guts" from a single  $Lgr5$ <sup>+</sup> ISC. The minimal, essential stem cell maintenance factor cocktail for these mini-guts consisted out of R-Spondin, epidermal growth factor (EGF) and Noggin [[219\]](#page-20-0). Colon crypt culture requires an additional Wnt ligand for the maintenance of cells, once again showing the importance of this pathway in stem cell preservation. Epithelial colonderived mini-guts were reintroduced into the colons of mice with chemical-induced mucosal lesions and regenerated epithelial patches indiscernible from surrounding recipient epithelium. These patches lasted for several months without changing histological appearance [\[219\]](#page-20-0).

For the ex vivo development of such single or multiple cellderived tissues, it is crucial to have knowledge about signaling molecules that are involved within the stem cell niche in vivo. As discussed in this review, Wnt ligands have an important role to play in the stem cell environment of multiple tissues and an increased understanding of the Wnt pathways will possibly lead to the development of therapeutic options for a range of diseases manifesting in both embryonic and adult stages.

Conflict of Interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Nusse, R., & Varmus, H. E. (1982). Many tumors induced by the mouse mammary tumor virus contain a provirus integrated in the same region of the host genome. Cell, 31, 99–109.
- 2. Bhanot, P., Brink, M., Samos, C. H., et al. (1996). A new member of the frizzled family from Drosophila functions as a Wingless receptor. Nature, 382, 225–230.
- 3. Bejsovec, A. (2000). Wnt signaling: an embarrassment of receptors. Current Biology, 10, R919–R922.
- 4. Mao, J., Wang, J., Liu, B., et al. (2001). Low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein-5 binds to Axin and regulates the canonical Wnt signaling pathway. Molecular Cell, 7, 801–809.
- 5. Hagen, T., Sethi, J. K., Foxwell, N., & Vidal-Puig, A. (2004). Signalling activity of beta-catenin targeted to different subcellular compartments. Biochemical Journal, 379, 471–477.
- 6. Papkoff, J., Rubinfeld, B., Schryver, B., & Polakis, P. (1996). Wnt-1 regulates free pools of catenins and stabilizes APC-catenin complexes. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 16, 2128–2134.
- 7. Papkoff, J., & Aikawa, M. (1998). WNT-1 and HGF regulate GSK3 beta activity and beta-catenin signaling in mammary epithelial cells. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 247, 851–858.
- 8. Archbold, H. C., Yang, Y. X., Chen, L., & Cadigan, K. M. (2011). How do they do Wnt they do?: regulation of transcription by the Wnt/beta-catenin pathway. Acta Physiologica (Oxford, England), 204, 74–109.
- 9. Teo, J. L., & Kahn, M. (2010). The Wnt signaling pathway in cellular proliferation and differentiation: a tale of two coactivators. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 62, 1149–1155.
- 10. Sustmann, C., Flach, H., Ebert, H., Eastman, Q., & Grosschedl, R. (2008). Cell-type-specific function of BCL9 involves a

transcriptional activation domain that synergizes with beta-catenin. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 28, 3526–3537.

- 11. Brantjes, H., Roose, J., van De Wetering, M., & Clevers, H. (2001). All Tcf HMG box transcription factors interact with Grouchorelated co-repressors. Nucleic Acids Research, 29, 1410–1419.
- 12. Christian, J. L., Gavin, B. J., McMahon, A. P., & Moon, R. T. (1991). Isolation of cDNAs partially encoding four Xenopus Wnt-1/int-1-related proteins and characterization of their transient expression during embryonic development. Developmental Biology, 143, 230–234.
- 13. Moon, R. T., Campbell, R. M., Christian, J. L., McGrew, L. L., Shih, J., & Fraser, S. (1993). Xwnt-5A: a maternal Wnt that affects morphogenetic movements after overexpression in embryos of Xenopus laevis. Development, 119, 97–111.
- 14. Kohn, A. D., & Moon, R. T. (2005). Wnt and calcium signaling: beta-catenin-independent pathways. Cell Calcium, 38, 439–446.
- 15. Torres, M. A., Yang-Snyder, J. A., Purcell, S. M., DeMarais, A. A., McGrew, L. L., & Moon, R. T. (1996). Activities of the Wnt-1 class of secreted signaling factors are antagonized by the Wnt-5A class and by a dominant negative cadherin in early Xenopus development. Journal of Cell Biology, 133, 1123–1137.
- 16. Ahumada, A., Slusarski, D. C., Liu, X., Moon, R. T., Malbon, C. C., & Wang, H. Y. (2002). Signaling of rat Frizzled-2 through phosphodiesterase and cyclic GMP. Science, 298, 2006–2010.
- 17. Ma, L., & Wang, H. Y. (2007). Mitogen-activated protein kinase p38 regulates the Wnt/cyclic GMP/Ca2+ non-canonical pathway. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 282, 28980–28990.
- 18. Sheldahl, L. C., Slusarski, D. C., Pandur, P., Miller, J. R., Kuhl, M., & Moon, R. T. (2003). Dishevelled activates Ca2+ flux, PKC, and CamKII in vertebrate embryos. Journal of Cell Biology, 161, 769– 777.
- 19. Schlessinger, K., McManus, E. J., & Hall, A. (2007). Cdc42 and noncanonical Wnt signal transduction pathways cooperate to promote cell polarity. Journal of Cell Biology, 178, 355–361.
- 20. Sheldahl, L. C., Park, M., Malbon, C. C., & Moon, R. T. (1999). Protein kinase C is differentially stimulated by Wnt and Frizzled homologs in a G-protein-dependent manner. Current Biology, 9, 695–698.
- 21. Ishitani, T., Kishida, S., Hyodo-Miura, J., et al. (2003). The TAK1- NLK mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade functions in the Wnt-5a/Ca(2+) pathway to antagonize Wnt/beta-catenin signaling. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 23, 131–139.
- 22. Kuhl, M., Sheldahl, L. C., Malbon, C. C., & Moon, R. T. (2000). Ca(2+)/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II is stimulated by Wnt and Frizzled homologs and promotes ventral cell fates in Xenopus. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 275, 12701–12711.
- 23. Saneyoshi, T., Kume, S., Amasaki, Y., & Mikoshiba, K. (2002). The Wnt/calcium pathway activates NF-AT and promotes ventral cell fate in Xenopus embryos. Nature, 417, 295–299.
- 24. Hogan, P. G., Chen, L., Nardone, J., & Rao, A. (2003). Transcriptional regulation by calcium, calcineurin, and NFAT. Genes & Development, 17, 2205–2232.
- 25. Wang, Y., & Nathans, J. (2007). Tissue/planar cell polarity in vertebrates: new insights and new questions. Development, 134, 647–658.
- 26. Lee, H. K., & Deneen, B. (2012). Daam2 is required for dorsal patterning via modulation of canonical Wnt signaling in the developing spinal cord. Developmental Cell, 22, 183–196.
- 27. Nusse, R., & Varmus, H. E. (1992). Wnt genes. Cell, 69, 1073– 1087.
- 28. Smolich, B. D., McMahon, J. A., McMahon, A. P., & Papkoff, J. (1993). Wnt family proteins are secreted and associated with the cell surface. Molecular Biology of the Cell, 4, 1267–1275.
- 29. Fung, Y. K., Shackleford, G. M., Brown, A. M., Sanders, G. S., & Varmus, H. E. (1985). Nucleotide sequence and expression in vitro of cDNA derived from mRNA of int-1, a provirally activated mouse

<span id="page-15-0"></span>mammary oncogene. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 5, 3337– 3344.

- 30. Mason, J. O., Kitajewski, J., & Varmus, H. E. (1992). Mutational analysis of mouse Wnt-1 identifies two temperature-sensitive alleles and attributes of Wnt-1 protein essential for transformation of a mammary cell line. Molecular Biology of the Cell, 3, 521–533.
- 31. Miller, J. R. (2002). The Wnts. Genome Biology,  $3$ , REVIEWS3001.
- 32. Willert, K., Brown, J. D., Danenberg, E., et al. (2003). Wnt proteins are lipid-modified and can act as stem cell growth factors. Nature, 423, 448–452.
- 33. Bartscherer, K., Pelte, N., Ingelfinger, D., & Boutros, M. (2006). Secretion of Wnt ligands requires Evi, a conserved transmembrane protein. Cell, 125, 523–533.
- 34. Tanaka, K., Kitagawa, Y., & Kadowaki, T. (2002). Drosophila segment polarity gene product porcupine stimulates the posttranslational N-glycosylation of wingless in the endoplasmic reticulum. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 277, 12816–12823.
- 35. Reichsman, F., Smith, L., & Cumberledge, S. (1996). Glycosaminoglycans can modulate extracellular localization of the wingless protein and promote signal transduction. Journal of Cell Biology, 135, 819–827.
- 36. Nusse, R. (2008). Wnt signaling and stem cell control. Cell Research, 18, 523–527.
- 37. Polakis P. (2012). Wnt signaling in cancer. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology;4.
- 38. He, X., Semenov, M., Tamai, K., & Zeng, X. (2004). LDL receptorrelated proteins 5 and 6 in Wnt/beta-catenin signaling: arrows point the way. Development, 131, 1663–1677.
- 39. Herz, J., Chen, Y., Masiulis, I., & Zhou, L. (2009). Expanding functions of lipoprotein receptors. Journal of Lipid Research, 50(Suppl), S287–S292.
- 40. Mikels, A. J., & Nusse, R. (2006). Purified Wnt5a protein activates or inhibits beta-catenin-TCF signaling depending on receptor context. PLoS Biology, 4, e115.
- 41. de Lau, W., Barker, N., Low, T. Y., et al. (2011). Lgr5 homologues associate with Wnt receptors and mediate R-spondin signalling. Nature, 476, 293–297.
- 42. Glinka, A., Dolde, C., Kirsch, N., et al. (2011). LGR4 and LGR5 are R-spondin receptors mediating Wnt/beta-catenin and Wnt/PCP signalling. EMBO Reports, 12, 1055–1061.
- 43. Hao, H. X., Xie, Y., Zhang, Y., et al. (2012). ZNRF3 promotes Wnt receptor turnover in an R-spondin-sensitive manner. Nature, 485, 195–200.
- 44. Finch, P. W., He, X., Kelley, M. J., et al. (1997). Purification and molecular cloning of a secreted, Frizzled-related antagonist of Wnt action. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 94, 6770–6775.
- 45. Hsieh, J. C., Kodjabachian, L., Rebbert, M. L., et al. (1999). A new secreted protein that binds to Wnt proteins and inhibits their activities. Nature, 398, 431–436.
- 46. Mao, B., & Niehrs, C. (2003). Kremen2 modulates Dickkopf2 activity during Wnt/LRP6 signaling. Gene, 302, 179–183.
- 47. Choi, H. Y., Dieckmann, M., Herz, J., & Niemeier, A. (2009). Lrp4, a novel receptor for Dickkopf 1 and sclerostin, is expressed by osteoblasts and regulates bone growth and turnover in vivo. PLoS One, 4, e7930.
- 48. Li, X., Zhang, Y., Kang, H., et al. (2005). Sclerostin binds to LRP5/6 and antagonizes canonical Wnt signaling. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 280, 19883–19887.
- 49. Balemans, W., Piters, E., Cleiren, E., et al. (2008). The binding between sclerostin and LRP5 is altered by DKK1 and by high-bone mass LRP5 mutations. Calcified Tissue International, 82, 445–453.
- 50. Leupin, O., Piters, E., Halleux, C., et al. (2011). Bone overgrowthassociated mutations in the LRP4 gene impair sclerostin facilitator function. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 286, 19489–19500.
- 51. Mokhtarzada, S., Yu, C., Brickenden, A., & Choy, W. Y. (2010). Structural characterization of partially disordered human Chibby: insights into its function in the Wnt-signaling pathway. Biochemistry, 50, 715–726.
- 52. Takemaru, K., Yamaguchi, S., Lee, Y. S., Zhang, Y., Carthew, R. W., & Moon, R. T. (2003). Chibby, a nuclear beta-catenin-associated antagonist of the Wnt/Wingless pathway. Nature, 422, 905–909.
- 53. Li, F. Q., Mofunanya, A., Harris, K., & Takemaru, K. (2008). Chibby cooperates with 14-3-3 to regulate beta-catenin subcellular distribution and signaling activity. Journal of Cell Biology, 181, 1141–1154.
- 54. Tago, K., Nakamura, T., Nishita, M., et al. (2000). Inhibition of Wnt signaling by ICAT, a novel beta-catenin-interacting protein. Genes & Development, 14, 1741–1749.
- 55. Daheron, L., Opitz, S. L., Zaehres, H., et al. (2004). LIF/STAT3 signaling fails to maintain self-renewal of human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells, 22, 770–778.
- 56. Boyer, L. A., Lee, T. I., Cole, M. F., et al. (2005). Core transcriptional regulatory circuitry in human embryonic stem cells. Cell, 122, 947–956.
- 57. Okoye, U. C., Malbon, C. C., & Wang, H. Y. (2008). Wnt and Frizzled RNA expression in human mesenchymal and embryonic (H7) stem cells. Journal of Molecular Signaling, 3, 16.
- 58. Huelsken, J., Vogel, R., Brinkmann, V., Erdmann, B., Birchmeier, C., & Birchmeier, W. (2000). Requirement for beta-catenin in anterior-posterior axis formation in mice. Journal of Cell Biology, 148, 567–578.
- 59. Liu, P., Wakamiya, M., Shea, M. J., Albrecht, U., Behringer, R. R., & Bradley, A. (1999). Requirement for Wnt3 in vertebrate axis formation. Nature Genetics, 22, 361–365.
- 60. Parr, B. A., & McMahon, A. P. (1995). Dorsalizing signal Wnt-7a required for normal polarity of D-V and A-P axes of mouse limb. Nature, 374, 350–353.
- 61. McMahon, A. P., Joyner, A. L., Bradley, A., & McMahon, J. A. (1992). The midbrain-hindbrain phenotype of Wnt-1-/Wnt-1- mice results from stepwise deletion of engrailed-expressing cells by 9.5 days postcoitum. Cell, 69, 581–595.
- 62. Stark, K., Vainio, S., Vassileva, G., & McMahon, A. P. (1994). Epithelial transformation of metanephric mesenchyme in the developing kidney regulated by Wnt-4. Nature, 372, 679–683.
- 63. Bradley, R. S., Cowin, P., & Brown, A. M. (1993). Expression of Wnt-1 in PC12 cells results in modulation of plakoglobin and Ecadherin and increased cellular adhesion. Journal of Cell Biology, 123, 1857–1865.
- 64. Christian, J. L., & Moon, R. T. (1993). Interactions between Xwnt-8 and Spemann organizer signaling pathways generate dorsoventral pattern in the embryonic mesoderm of Xenopus. Genes & Development, 7, 13–28.
- 65. Dierick, H. A., & Bejsovec, A. (1998). Functional analysis of Wingless reveals a link between intercellular ligand transport and dorsal-cell-specific signaling. Development, 125, 4729– 4738.
- 66. Bone, H. K., Nelson, A. S., Goldring, C. E., Tosh, D., & Welham, M. J. (2011). A novel chemically directed route for the generation of definitive endoderm from human embryonic stem cells based on inhibition of GSK-3. Journal of Cell Science, 124, 1992–2000.
- 67. Davidson, K. C., Adams, A. M., Goodson, J. M., et al. (2012). Wnt/ beta-catenin signaling promotes differentiation, not self-renewal, of human embryonic stem cells and is repressed by Oct4. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109, 4485–4490.
- 68. Sumi, T., Tsuneyoshi, N., Nakatsuji, N., & Suemori, H. (2008). Defining early lineage specification of human embryonic stem cells by the orchestrated balance of canonical Wnt/beta-catenin, Activin/ Nodal and BMP signaling. Development, 135, 2969–2979.
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>69. Martin, B. L., & Kimelman, D. (2012). Canonical Wnt signaling dynamically controls multiple stem cell fate decisions during vertebrate body formation. Developmental Cell, 22, 223–232.
- 70. Sato, N., Meijer, L., Skaltsounis, L., Greengard, P., & Brivanlou, A. H. (2004). Maintenance of pluripotency in human and mouse embryonic stem cells through activation of Wnt signaling by a pharmacological GSK-3-specific inhibitor. Nature Medicine, 10, 55–63.
- 71. Anton, R., Kestler, H. A., & Kuhl, M. (2007). Beta-catenin signaling contributes to stemness and regulates early differentiation in murine embryonic stem cells. FEBS Letters, 581, 5247–5254.
- 72. Ogawa, K., Nishinakamura, R., Iwamatsu, Y., Shimosato, D., & Niwa, H. (2006). Synergistic action of Wnt and LIF in maintaining pluripotency of mouse ES cells. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 343, 159–166.
- 73. Sokol, S. Y. (2011). Maintaining embryonic stem cell pluripotency with Wnt signaling. Development, 138, 4341–4350.
- 74. Voskas, D., Ling, L. S., Woodgett, J. R. (2010). Does GSK-3 provide a shortcut for PI3K activation of Wnt signalling? F1000 biology reports;2:82.
- 75. Singh, A. M., Reynolds, D., Cliff, T., et al. (2012). Signaling network crosstalk in human pluripotent cells: a Smad2/3-regulated switch that controls the balance between self-renewal and differentiation. Cell Stem Cell, 10, 312–326.
- 76. Vallier, L., Mendjan, S., Brown, S., et al. (2009). Activin/Nodal signalling maintains pluripotency by controlling Nanog expression. Development, 136, 1339–1349.
- 77. Xu, R. H., Sampsell-Barron, T. L., Gu, F., et al. (2008). NANOG is a direct target of TGFbeta/activin-mediated SMAD signaling in human ESCs. Cell Stem Cell, 3, 196–206.
- 78. Beattie, G. M., Lopez, A. D., Bucay, N., et al. (2005). Activin A maintains pluripotency of human embryonic stem cells in the absence of feeder layers. Stem Cells, 23, 489–495.
- 79. Dalton, S. (2013). Signaling networks in human pluripotent stem cells. Current Opinion in Cell Biology, 25, 241–246.
- 80. Lin, Z., Gao, C., Ning, Y., He, X., Wu, W., & Chen, Y. G. (2008). The pseudoreceptor BMP and activin membrane-bound inhibitor positively modulates Wnt/beta-catenin signaling. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 283, 33053–33058.
- 81. Hasegawa, K., Yasuda, S. Y., Teo, J. L., et al. (2012). Wnt signaling orchestration with a small molecule DYRK inhibitor provides longterm xeno-free human pluripotent cell expansion. Stem Cells Translational Medicine, 1, 18–28.
- 82. Wend, P., Holland, J. D., Ziebold, U., & Birchmeier, W. (2010). Wnt signaling in stem and cancer stem cells. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology, 21, 855–863.
- 83. Emami, K. H., Nguyen, C., Ma, H., et al. (2004). A small molecule inhibitor of beta-catenin/CREB-binding protein transcription [corrected]. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 101, 12682–12687.
- 84. Gang, E. J., Hsieh, Y. T., Pham, J., et al. (2013). Small-molecule inhibition of CBP/catenin interactions eliminates drug-resistant clones in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Oncogene.
- 85. Owen, M., & Friedenstein, A. J. (1988). Stromal stem cells: marrow-derived osteogenic precursors. Ciba Foundation Symposium, 136, 42–60.
- 86. Wakitani, S., Saito, T., & Caplan, A. I. (1995). Myogenic cells derived from rat bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells exposed to 5-azacytidine. Muscle & Nerve, 18, 1417–1426.
- 87. Caplan, A. I., & Bruder, S. P. (2001). Mesenchymal stem cells: building blocks for molecular medicine in the 21st century. Trends in Molecular Medicine, 7, 259–264.
- 88. Barrilleaux, B., Phinney, D. G., Prockop, D. J., & O'Connor, K. C. (2006). Review: ex vivo engineering of living tissues with adult stem cells. Tissue Engineering, 12, 3007–3019.
- 89. Prockop, D. J. (1997). Marrow stromal cells as stem cells for nonhematopoietic tissues. Science, 276, 71–74.
- 90. Etheridge, S. L., Spencer, G. J., Heath, D. J., & Genever, P. G. (2004). Expression profiling and functional analysis of wnt signaling mechanisms in mesenchymal stem cells. Stem Cells, 22, 849– 860.
- 91. Liu, G., Vijayakumar, S., Grumolato, L., et al. (2009). Canonical Wnts function as potent regulators of osteogenesis by human mesenchymal stem cells. Journal of Cell Biology, 185, 67–75.
- 92. Rosen, E. D., & MacDougald, O. A. (2006). Adipocyte differentiation from the inside out. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 7, 885–896.
- 93. Rosen, E. D., Walkey, C. J., Puigserver, P., & Spiegelman, B. M. (2000). Transcriptional regulation of adipogenesis. Genes & Development, 14, 1293–1307.
- 94. Ross, S. E., Hemati, N., Longo, K. A., et al. (2000). Inhibition of adipogenesis by Wnt signaling. Science, 289, 950–953.
- 95. Bennett, C. N., Ross, S. E., Longo, K. A., et al. (2002). Regulation of Wnt signaling during adipogenesis. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 277, 30998–31004.
- 96. Longo, K. A., Wright, W. S., Kang, S., et al. (2004). Wnt10b inhibits development of white and brown adipose tissues. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 279, 35503–35509.
- 97. Vertino, A. M., Taylor-Jones, J. M., Longo, K. A., et al. (2005). Wnt10b deficiency promotes coexpression of myogenic and adipogenic programs in myoblasts. Molecular Biology of the Cell, 16, 2039–2048.
- 98. Van Camp JK, B. S., Zegers, D., Verrijken, A., Van Gaal, L. F., & Van Hul, W. (2012). Genetic association between WNT10B polymorphisms and obesity in a Belgian case–control population is restricted to males. Molecular Genetics and Metabolism, 105, 489–493.
- 99. Shen, L., Glowacki, J., & Zhou, S. (2011). Inhibition of adipocytogenesis by canonical WNT signaling in human mesenchymal stem cells. Experimental Cell Research, 317, 1796–1803.
- 100. Ai, M., Holmen, S. L., Van Hul, W., Williams, B. O., & Warman, M. L. (2005). Reduced affinity to and inhibition by DKK1 form a common mechanism by which high bone mass-associated missense mutations in LRP5 affect canonical Wnt signaling. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 25, 4946–4955.
- 101. Qiu, W., Andersen, T. E., Bollerslev, J., Mandrup, S., Abdallah, B. M., & Kassem, M. (2007). Patients with high bone mass phenotype exhibit enhanced osteoblast differentiation and inhibition of adipogenesis of human mesenchymal stem cells. Journal of Bone and Mineral Research, 22, 1720–1731.
- 102. Christodoulides, C., Laudes, M., Cawthorn, W. P., et al. (2006). The Wnt antagonist Dickkopf-1 and its receptors are coordinately regulated during early human adipogenesis. Journal of Cell Science, 119, 2613–2620.
- 103. Schulte, D. M., Muller, N., Neumann, K., et al. (2012). Proinflammatory wnt5a and anti-inflammatory sFRP5 are differentially regulated by nutritional factors in obese human subjects. PLoS One, 7, e32437.
- 104. Hu, W., Li, L., Yang, M., et al. (2013). Circulating Sfrp5 is a signature of obesity-related metabolic disorders and is regulated by glucose and liraglutide in humans. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, 98, 290–298.
- 105. Hu, Z., Deng, H., Qu, H. (2013). Plasma SFRP5 levels are decreased in Chinese subjects with obesity and type 2 diabetes and negatively correlated with parameters of insulin resistance. Diabetes research and clinical practice.
- 106. Park, J. R., Jung, J. W., Lee, Y. S., & Kang, K. S. (2008). The roles of Wnt antagonists Dkk1 and sFRP4 during adipogenesis of human adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells. Cell Proliferation, 41, 859–874.
- 107. Okamura, M., Kudo, H., Wakabayashi, K., et al. (2009). COUP-TFII acts downstream of Wnt/beta-catenin signal to silence PPARgamma gene expression and repress adipogenesis.

<span id="page-17-0"></span>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106, 5819–5824.

- 108. Takada, I., Suzawa, M., Matsumoto, K., & Kato, S. (2007). Suppression of PPAR transactivation switches cell fate of bone marrow stem cells from adipocytes into osteoblasts. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1116, 182–195.
- 109. Garlid, K. D., Jaburek, M., & Jezek, P. (1998). The mechanism of proton transport mediated by mitochondrial uncoupling proteins. FEBS Letters, 438, 10–14.
- 110. Timmons, J. A., Wennmalm, K., Larsson, O., et al. (2007). Myogenic gene expression signature establishes that brown and white adipocytes originate from distinct cell lineages. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 104, 4401–4406.
- 111. Bennett, C. N., Longo, K. A., Wright, W. S., et al. (2005). Regulation of osteoblastogenesis and bone mass by Wnt10b. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 102, 3324–3329.
- 112. Gong, Y., Slee, R. B., Fukai, N., et al. (2001). LDL receptor-related protein 5 (LRP5) affects bone accrual and eye development. Cell, 107, 513–523.
- 113. Boyden, L. M., Mao, J., Belsky, J., et al. (2002). High bone density due to a mutation in LDL-receptor-related protein 5. The New England Journal of Medicine, 346, 1513–1521.
- 114. Little, R. D., Carulli, J. P., Del Mastro, R. G., et al. (2002). A mutation in the LDL receptor-related protein 5 gene results in the autosomal dominant high-bone-mass trait. American Journal of Human Genetics, 70, 11–19.
- 115. Van Wesenbeeck, L., Cleiren, E., Gram, J., et al. (2003). Six novel missense mutations in the LDL receptor-related protein 5 (LRP5) gene in different conditions with an increased bone density. American Journal of Human Genetics, 72, 763–771.
- 116. Piters, E., Culha, C., Moester, M., et al. (2010). First missense mutation in the SOST gene causing sclerosteosis by loss of sclerostin function. Human Mutation, 31, E1526–E1543.
- 117. Balemans, W., Ebeling, M., Patel, N., et al. (2001). Increased bone density in sclerosteosis is due to the deficiency of a novel secreted protein (SOST). Human Molecular Genetics, 10, 537–543.
- 118. Brunkow, M. E., Gardner, J. C., Van Ness, J., et al. (2001). Bone dysplasia sclerosteosis results from loss of the SOST gene product, a novel cystine knot-containing protein. American Journal of Human Genetics, 68, 577–589.
- 119. Costa, A. G., & Bilezikian, J. P. (2012). Sclerostin: therapeutic horizons based upon its actions. Current Osteoporosis Reports, 10, 64–72.
- 120. Bodine, P. V., Zhao, W., Kharode, Y. P., et al. (2004). The Wnt antagonist secreted frizzled-related protein-1 is a negative regulator of trabecular bone formation in adult mice. Molecular Endocrinology, 18, 1222–1237.
- 121. Morvan, F., Boulukos, K., Clement-Lacroix, P., et al. (2006). Deletion of a single allele of the Dkk1 gene leads to an increase in bone formation and bone mass. Journal of Bone and Mineral Research, 21, 934–945.
- 122. Holmen, S. L., Zylstra, C. R., Mukherjee, A., et al. (2005). Essential role of beta-catenin in postnatal bone acquisition. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 280, 21162–21168.
- 123. Yan, Y., Tang, D., Chen, M., et al. (2009). Axin2 controls bone remodeling through the beta-catenin-BMP signaling pathway in adult mice. Journal of Cell Science, 122, 3566–3578.
- 124. Baksh, D., & Tuan, R. S. (2007). Canonical and non-canonical Wnts differentially affect the development potential of primary isolate of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. Journal of Cellular Physiology, 212, 817–826.
- 125. Van Camp, J. K., Beckers, S., Zegers, D., et al. (2013). Genetic association study of WNT10B polymorphisms with BMD and adiposity parameters in Danish and Belgian males. Endocrine.
- 127. Gaur, T., Lengner, C. J., Hovhannisyan, H., et al. (2005). Canonical WNT signaling promotes osteogenesis by directly stimulating Runx2 gene expression. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 280, 33132–33140.
- 128. Kang, S., Bennett, C. N., Gerin, I., Rapp, L. A., Hankenson, K. D., & Macdougald, O. A. (2007). Wnt signaling stimulates osteoblastogenesis of mesenchymal precursors by suppressing CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein alpha and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 282, 14515–14524.
- 129. Ducy, P., Zhang, R., Geoffroy, V., Ridall, A. L., & Karsenty, G. (1997). Osf2/Cbfa1: a transcriptional activator of osteoblast differentiation. Cell, 89, 747–754.
- 130. Rodriguez-Carballo, E., Ulsamer, A., Susperregui, A. R., et al. (2011). Conserved regulatory motifs in osteogenic gene promoters integrate cooperative effects of canonical Wnt and BMP pathways. Journal of Bone and Mineral Research, 26, 718–729.
- 131. Liu, Y., Rubin, B., Bodine, P. V., & Billiard, J. (2008). Wnt5a induces homodimerization and activation of Ror2 receptor tyrosine kinase. Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, 105, 497–502.
- 132. Takada, I., Mihara, M., Suzawa, M., et al. (2007). A histone lysine methyltransferase activated by non-canonical Wnt signalling suppresses PPAR-gamma transactivation. Nature Cell Biology, 9, 1273–1285.
- 133. Li, X., Liu, P., Liu, W., et al. (2005). Dkk2 has a role in terminal osteoblast differentiation and mineralized matrix formation. Nature Genetics, 37, 945–952.
- 134. Vaes, B. L., Dechering, K. J., van Someren, E. P., et al. (2005). Microarray analysis reveals expression regulation of Wnt antagonists in differentiating osteoblasts. Bone, 36, 803–811.
- 135. Sharma, A. R., Choi, B. S., Park, J. M., et al. (2013). Rspo 1 promotes osteoblast differentiation via Wnt signaling pathway. Indian Journal of Biochemistry & Biophysics, 50, 19–25.
- 136. Nam, J. S., Turcotte, T. J., Smith, P. F., Choi, S., & Yoon, J. K. (2006). Mouse cristin/R-spondin family proteins are novel ligands for the Frizzled 8 and LRP6 receptors and activate beta-catenindependent gene expression. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 281, 13247–13257.
- 137. Kim, K. A., Wagle, M., Tran, K., et al. (2008). R-Spondin family members regulate the Wnt pathway by a common mechanism. Molecular Biology of the Cell, 19, 2588–2596.
- 138. Binnerts, M. E., Kim, K. A., Bright, J. M., et al. (2007). R-Spondin1 regulates Wnt signaling by inhibiting internalization of LRP6. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 104, 14700–14705.
- 139. Abed, E., Chan, T. F., Delalandre, A., Martel-Pelletier, J., Pelletier, J. P., & Lajeunesse, D. (2011). R-spondins are newly recognized players in osteoarthritis that regulate Wnt signaling in osteoblasts. Arthritis and Rheumatism, 63, 3865–3875.
- 140. Bi, W., Deng, J. M., Zhang, Z., Behringer, R. R., & de Crombrugghe, B. (1999). Sox9 is required for cartilage formation. Nature Genetics, 22, 85–89.
- 141. Luo, S., Shi, Q., Zha, Z., et al. (2013). Inactivation of Wnt/betacatenin signaling in human adipose-derived stem cells is necessary for chondrogenic differentiation and maintenance. Biomedicine &  $pharmacotherapy = Biomedecine & pharmacotherapie.$
- 142. von Maltzahn, J., Chang, N. C., Bentzinger, C. F., & Rudnicki, M. A. (2012). Wnt signaling in myogenesis. Trends in Cell Biology, 22, 602–609.
- 143. Shang, Y., Zhang, C., Wang, S., et al. (2007). Activated beta-catenin induces myogenesis and inhibits adipogenesis in BM-derived mesenchymal stromal cells. Cytotherapy, 9, 667–681.
- 144. Brack, A. S., Conboy, I. M., Conboy, M. J., Shen, J., & Rando, T. A. (2008). A temporal switch from notch to Wnt signaling in muscle

<span id="page-18-0"></span>stem cells is necessary for normal adult myogenesis. Cell Stem Cell, 2, 50–59.

- 145. Le Grand, F., Jones, A. E., Seale, V., Scime, A., & Rudnicki, M. A. (2009). Wnt7a activates the planar cell polarity pathway to drive the symmetric expansion of satellite stem cells. Cell Stem Cell, 4, 535– 547.
- 146. von Maltzahn, J., Bentzinger, C. F., & Rudnicki, M. A. (2012). Wnt7a-Fzd7 signalling directly activates the Akt/mTOR anabolic growth pathway in skeletal muscle. Nature Cell Biology, 14, 186– 191.
- 147. Van Den Berg, D. J., Sharma, A. K., Bruno, E., & Hoffman, R. (1998). Role of members of the Wnt gene family in human hematopoiesis. Blood, 92, 3189–3202.
- 148. Reya, T., Duncan, A. W., Ailles, L., et al. (2003). A role for Wnt signalling in self-renewal of haematopoietic stem cells. Nature, 423, 409–414.
- 149. Fleming, H. E., Janzen, V., Lo Celso, C., et al. (2008). Wnt signaling in the niche enforces hematopoietic stem cell quiescence and is necessary to preserve self-renewal in vivo. Cell Stem Cell, 2, 274– 283.
- 150. Nemeth, M. J., Topol, L., Anderson, S. M., Yang, Y., & Bodine, D. M. (2007). Wnt5a inhibits canonical Wnt signaling in hematopoietic stem cells and enhances repopulation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 104, 15436– 15441.
- 151. Louis, I., Heinonen, K. M., Chagraoui, J., Vainio, S., Sauvageau, G., & Perreault, C. (2008). The signaling protein Wnt4 enhances thymopoiesis and expands multipotent hematopoietic progenitors through beta-catenin-independent signaling. Immunity, 29, 57–67.
- 152. Fevr, T., Robine, S., Louvard, D., & Huelsken, J. (2007). Wnt/betacatenin is essential for intestinal homeostasis and maintenance of intestinal stem cells. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 27, 7551– 7559.
- 153. Pinto, D., Gregorieff, A., Begthel, H., & Clevers, H. (2003). Canonical Wnt signals are essential for homeostasis of the intestinal epithelium. Genes & Development, 17, 1709–1713.
- 154. Clevers, H. (2006). Wnt/beta-catenin signaling in development and disease. Cell, 127, 469–480.
- 155. Schepers, A., & Clevers, H. (2012). Wnt signaling, stem cells, and cancer of the gastrointestinal tract. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, 4, a007989.
- 156. Kongkanuntn, R., Bubb, V. J., Sansom, O. J., Wyllie, A. H., Harrison, D. J., & Clarke, A. R. (1999). Dysregulated expression of beta-catenin marks early neoplastic change in Apc mutant mice, but not all lesions arising in Msh2 deficient mice. Oncogene, 18, 7219–7225.
- 157. Kosinski, C., Li, V. S., Chan, A. S., et al. (2007). Gene expression patterns of human colon tops and basal crypts and BMP antagonists as intestinal stem cell niche factors. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 104, 15418– 15423.
- 158. Korinek, V., Barker, N., Moerer, P., et al. (1998). Depletion of epithelial stem-cell compartments in the small intestine of mice lacking Tcf-4. Nature Genetics, 19, 379–383.
- 159. Hsu, S. Y., Liang, S. G., & Hsueh, A. J. (1998). Characterization of two LGR genes homologous to gonadotropin and thyrotropin receptors with extracellular leucine-rich repeats and a G proteincoupled, seven-transmembrane region. Molecular Endocrinology, 12, 1830–1845.
- 160. Kim, K. A., Kakitani, M., Zhao, J., et al. (2005). Mitogenic influence of human R-spondin1 on the intestinal epithelium. Science, 309, 1256–1259.
- 161. Andreu, P., Colnot, S., Godard, C., et al. (2005). Crypt-restricted proliferation and commitment to the Paneth cell lineage following Apc loss in the mouse intestine. Development, 132, 1443–1451.
- 162. Andreu, P., Peignon, G., Slomianny, C., et al. (2008). A genetic study of the role of the Wnt/beta-catenin signalling in Paneth cell differentiation. Developmental Biology, 324, 288–296.
- 163. Sato, T., van Es, J. H., Snippert, H. J., et al. (2011). Paneth cells constitute the niche for Lgr5 stem cells in intestinal crypts. Nature, 469, 415–418.
- 164. Barker, N., Huch, M., Kujala, P., et al. (2010). Lgr5(+ve) stem cells drive self-renewal in the stomach and build long-lived gastric units in vitro. Cell Stem Cell, 6, 25–36.
- 165. McDonald, S. A., Greaves, L. C., Gutierrez-Gonzalez, L., et al. (2008). Mechanisms of field cancerization in the human stomach: the expansion and spread of mutated gastric stem cells. Gastroenterology, 134, 500–510.
- 166. Lim, X., Nusse, R. (2013). Wnt signaling in skin development, homeostasis, and disease. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology;5.
- 167. Ohyama, M., Terunuma, A., Tock, C. L., et al. (2006). Characterization and isolation of stem cell-enriched human hair follicle bulge cells. Journal of Clinical Investigation, 116, 249– 260.
- 168. Gat, U., DasGupta, R., Degenstein, L., & Fuchs, E. (1998). De Novo hair follicle morphogenesis and hair tumors in mice expressing a truncated beta-catenin in skin. Cell, 95, 605–614.
- 169. Tumbar, T., Guasch, G., Greco, V., et al. (2004). Defining the epithelial stem cell niche in skin. Science, 303, 359–363.
- 170. Jaks, V., Barker, N., Kasper, M., et al. (2008). Lgr5 marks cycling, yet long-lived, hair follicle stem cells. Nature Genetics, 40, 1291– 1299.
- 171. Deome, K. B., Faulkin, L. J., Jr., Bern, H. A., & Blair, P. B. (1959). Development of mammary tumors from hyperplastic alveolar nodules transplanted into gland-free mammary fat pads of female C3H mice. Cancer Research, 19, 515–520.
- 172. Young, L. J., Medina, D., DeOme, K. B., & Daniel, C. W. (1971). The influence of host and tissue age on life span and growth rate of serially transplanted mouse mammary gland. Experimental Gerontology, 6, 49–56.
- 173. Zeng, Y. A., & Nusse, R. (2010). Wnt proteins are self-renewal factors for mammary stem cells and promote their long-term expansion in culture. Cell Stem Cell, 6, 568–577.
- 174. Lindvall, C., Evans, N. C., Zylstra, C. R., Li, Y., Alexander, C. M., & Williams, B. O. (2006). The Wnt signaling receptor Lrp5 is required for mammary ductal stem cell activity and Wnt1-induced tumorigenesis. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 281, 35081– 35087.
- 175. Lindvall, C., Zylstra, C. R., Evans, N., et al. (2009). The Wnt coreceptor Lrp6 is required for normal mouse mammary gland development. PLoS One, 4, e5813.
- 176. Lee, S. M., Tole, S., Grove, E., & McMahon, A. P. (2000). A local Wnt-3a signal is required for development of the mammalian hippocampus. Development, 127, 457–467.
- 177. Lie, D. C., Colamarino, S. A., Song, H. J., et al. (2005). Wnt signalling regulates adult hippocampal neurogenesis. Nature, 437, 1370–1375.
- 178. Gunhaga, L., Marklund, M., Sjodal, M., Hsieh, J. C., Jessell, T. M., & Edlund, T. (2003). Specification of dorsal telencephalic character by sequential Wnt and FGF signaling. Nature Neuroscience, 6, 701–707.
- 179. Wisniewska, M. B., Misztal, K., Michowski, W., et al. (2010). LEF1/beta-catenin complex regulates transcription of the Cav3.1 calcium channel gene (Cacna1g) in thalamic neurons of the adult brain. The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 30, 4957–4969.
- 180. Shimogori, T., VanSant, J., Paik, E., & Grove, E. A. (2004). Members of the Wnt, Fz, and Frp gene families expressed in postnatal mouse cerebral cortex. The Journal of Comparative Neurology, 473, 496–510.
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>181. Qu, Q., Sun, G., Li, W., et al. (2010). Orphan nuclear receptor TLX activates Wnt/beta-catenin signalling to stimulate neural stem cell proliferation and self-renewal. Nature Cell Biology, 12, 31–40. sup pp 1-9.
- 182. Yu, J. M., Kim, J. H., Song, G. S., & Jung, J. S. (2006). Increase in proliferation and differentiation of neural progenitor cells isolated from postnatal and adult mice brain by Wnt-3a and Wnt-5a. Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry, 288, 17–28.
- 183. Lu, W., Yamamoto, V., Ortega, B., & Baltimore, D. (2004). Mammalian Ryk is a Wnt coreceptor required for stimulation of neurite outgrowth. Cell, 119, 97–108.
- 184. Patthy, L. (2000). The WIF, module. Trends in Biochemical Sciences, 25, 12–13.
- 185. Adachi, K., Mirzadeh, Z., Sakaguchi, M., et al. (2007). Beta-catenin signaling promotes proliferation of progenitor cells in the adult mouse subventricular zone. Stem Cells, 25, 2827–2836.
- 186. Marinaro, C., Pannese, M., Weinandy, F., et al. (2012). Wnt signaling has opposing roles in the developing and the adult brain that are modulated by Hipk1. Cerebral Cortex, 22, 2415–2427.
- 187. Wisniewska, M. B. (2013). Physiological role of beta-catenin/TCF signaling in neurons of the adult brain. Neurochemical Research, 38, 1144–1155.
- 188. Marui, T., Funatogawa, I., Koishi, S., et al. (2010). Association between autism and variants in the wingless-type MMTV integration site family member 2 (WNT2) gene. International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology, 13, 443–449.
- 189. Wassink, T. H., Piven, J., Vieland, V. J., et al. (2001). Evidence supporting WNT2 as an autism susceptibility gene. American Journal of Medical Genetics, 105, 406–413.
- 190. Kishimoto, M., Ujike, H., Okahisa, Y., et al. (2008). The Frizzled 3 gene is associated with methamphetamine psychosis in the Japanese population. Behavioral and Brain Functions: BBF, 4, 37.
- 191. Yang, J., Si, T., Ling, Y., et al. (2003). Association study of the human FZD3 locus with schizophrenia. Biological Psychiatry, 54, 1298–1301.
- 192. Alkelai, A., Greenbaum, L., Lupoli, S., et al. (2012). Association of the type 2 diabetes mellitus susceptibility gene, TCF7L2, with schizophrenia in an Arab-Israeli family sample. PLoS One, 7, e29228.
- 193. Hansen, T., Ingason, A., Djurovic, S., et al. (2011). At-risk variant in TCF7L2 for type II diabetes increases risk of schizophrenia. Biological Psychiatry, 70, 59–63.
- 194. Proitsi, P., Li, T., Hamilton, G., et al. (2008). Positional pathway screen of wnt signaling genes in schizophrenia: association with DKK4. Biological Psychiatry, 63, 13–16.
- 195. Cui, D. H., Jiang, K. D., Jiang, S. D., Xu, Y. F., & Yao, H. (2005). The tumor suppressor adenomatous polyposis coli gene is associated with susceptibility to schizophrenia. Molecular Psychiatry, 10, 669–677.
- 196. Dickins, E. M., & Salinas, P. C. (2013). Wnts in action: from synapse formation to synaptic maintenance. Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience, 7, 162.
- 197. Caricasole, A., Copani, A., Caraci, F., et al. (2004). Induction of Dickkopf-1, a negative modulator of the Wnt pathway, is associated with neuronal degeneration in Alzheimer's brain. The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 24, 6021–6027.
- 198. Purro, S. A., Dickins, E. M., & Salinas, P. C. (2012). The secreted Wnt antagonist Dickkopf-1 is required for amyloid beta-mediated synaptic loss. The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 32, 3492–3498.
- 199. Lathia, J. D., Gallagher, J., Myers, J. T., et al. (2011). Direct in vivo evidence for tumor propagation by glioblastoma cancer stem cells. PLoS One, 6, e24807.
- 200. Clarke, M. F., Dick, J. E., Dirks, P. B., et al. (2006). Cancer stem cells–perspectives on current status and future directions: AACR Workshop on cancer stem cells. Cancer Research, 66, 9339–9344.
- 201. Assou, S., Le Carrour, T., Tondeur, S., et al. (2007). A meta-analysis of human embryonic stem cells transcriptome integrated into a webbased expression atlas. Stem Cells, 25, 961–973.
- 202. Ben-Porath, I., Thomson, M. W., Carey, V. J., et al. (2008). An embryonic stem cell-like gene expression signature in poorly differentiated aggressive human tumors. Nature Genetics, 40, 499– 507.
- 203. LaBarge, M. A. (2010). The difficulty of targeting cancer stem cell niches. Clinical Cancer Research: An Official Journal of the American Association for Cancer Research, 16, 3121–3129.
- 204. Shtutman, M., Zhurinsky, J., Simcha, I., et al. (1999). The cyclin D1 gene is a target of the beta-catenin/LEF-1 pathway. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 96, 5522–5527.
- 205. He, T. C., Sparks, A. B., Rago, C., et al. (1998). Identification of c-MYC as a target of the APC pathway. Science, 281, 1509–1512.
- 206. Mizutani, K., Miyamoto, S., Nagahata, T., Konishi, N., Emi, M., & Onda, M. (2005). Upregulation and overexpression of DVL1, the human counterpart of the Drosophila dishevelled gene, in prostate cancer. Tumori, 91, 546–551.
- 207. Uematsu, K., He, B., You, L., Xu, Z., McCormick, F., & Jablons, D. M. (2003). Activation of the Wnt pathway in non small cell lung cancer: evidence of dishevelled overexpression. Oncogene, 22, 7218–7221.
- 208. Uematsu, K., Kanazawa, S., You, L., et al. (2003). Wnt pathway activation in mesothelioma: evidence of Dishevelled overexpression and transcriptional activity of beta-catenin. Cancer Research, 63, 4547–4551.
- 209. Eyler, C. E., & Rich, J. N. (2008). Survival of the fittest: cancer stem cells in therapeutic resistance and angiogenesis. Journal of Clinical Oncology: Official Journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology, 26, 2839–2845.
- 210. Koch, U., Krause, M., & Baumann, M. (2010). Cancer stem cells at the crossroads of current cancer therapy failures–radiation oncology perspective. Seminars in Cancer Biology, 20, 116–124.
- 211. Reyes, M., & Verfaillie, C. M. (2001). Characterization of multipotent adult progenitor cells, a subpopulation of mesenchymal stem cells. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 938, 231– 233. discussion 3-5.
- 212. Zuk, P. A., Zhu, M., Ashjian, P., et al. (2002). Human adipose tissue is a source of multipotent stem cells. Molecular Biology of the Cell, 13, 4279–4295.
- 213. Kohler, T., Plettig, R., Wetzstein, W., et al. (1999). Defining optimum conditions for the ex vivo expansion of human umbilical cord blood cells. Influences of progenitor enrichment, interference with feeder layers, early-acting cytokines and agitation of culture vessels. Stem Cells, 17, 19–24.
- 214. Nolta, J. A., Thiemann, F. T., Arakawa-Hoyt, J., et al. (2002). The AFT024 stromal cell line supports long-term ex vivo maintenance of engrafting multipotent human hematopoietic progenitors. Leukemia, 16, 352–361.
- 215. O'Connor, K. C., Song, H., Rosenzweig, N., & Jansen, D. A. (2003). Extracellular matrix substrata alter adipocyte yield and lipogenesis in primary cultures of stromal-vascular cells from human adipose. Biotechnology Letters, 25, 1967–1972.
- 216. Koller, M. R., Bender, J. G., Papoutsakis, E. T., & Miller, W. M. (1992). Beneficial effects of reduced oxygen tension and perfusion in long-term hematopoietic cultures. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 665, 105–116.
- 217. Green, D., Howard, D., Yang, X., Kelly, M., & Oreffo, R. O. (2003). Natural marine sponge fiber skeleton: a biomimetic scaffold for human osteoprogenitor cell attachment, growth, and differentiation. Tissue Engineering, 9, 1159–1166.
- 218. Hong, L., Peptan, I., Clark, P., & Mao, J. J. (2005). Ex vivo adipose tissue engineering by human marrow stromal cell seeded gelatin sponge. Annals of Biomedical Engineering, 33, 511–517.
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>219. Sato, T., & Clevers, H. (2013). Growing self-organizing mini-guts from a single intestinal stem cell: mechanism and applications. Science, 340, 1190–1194.
- 220. Gazit, A., Yaniv, A., Bafico, A., et al. (1999). Human frizzled 1 interacts with transforming Wnts to transduce a TCF dependent transcriptional response. Oncogene, 18, 5959–5966.
- 221. Spinsanti, P., De Vita, T., Caruso, A., et al. (2008). Differential activation of the calcium/protein kinase C and the canonical betacatenin pathway by Wnt1 and Wnt7a produces opposite effects on cell proliferation in PC12 cells. Journal of Neurochemistry, 104, 1588–1598.
- 222. Castelo-Branco, G., Wagner, J., Rodriguez, F. J., et al. (2003). Differential regulation of midbrain dopaminergic neuron development by Wnt-1, Wnt-3a, and Wnt-5a. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 100, 12747–12752.
- 223. Rebhan, M., Chalifa-Caspi, V., Prilusky, J., & Lancet, D. (1997). GeneCards: integrating information about genes, proteins and diseases. Trends in Genetics: TIG, 13, 163.
- 224. Yanai, I., Benjamin, H., Shmoish, M., et al. (2005). Genome-wide midrange transcription profiles reveal expression level relationships in human tissue specification. Bioinformatics, 21, 650–659.
- 225. Gene Cards. (Accessed 31 August, 2013, at [www.genecards.org](http://www.genecards.org/).)
- 226. McMahon, A. P., & Bradley, A. (1990). The Wnt-1 (int-1) protooncogene is required for development of a large region of the mouse brain. Cell, 62, 1073–1085.
- 227. Thomas, K. R., & Capecchi, M. R. (1990). Targeted disruption of the murine int-1 proto-oncogene resulting in severe abnormalities in midbrain and cerebellar development. Nature, 346, 847–850.
- 228. Laine, C. M., Joeng, K. S., Campeau, P. M., et al. (2013). WNT1 mutations in early-onset osteoporosis and osteogenesis imperfecta. The New England Journal of Medicine, 368, 1809–1816.
- 229. Karasawa, T., Yokokura, H., Kitajewski, J., & Lombroso, P. J. (2002). Frizzled-9 is activated by Wnt-2 and functions in Wnt/ beta -catenin signaling. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 277, 37479–37486.
- 230. Sousa, K. M., Villaescusa, J. C., Cajanek, L., et al. (2010). Wnt2 regulates progenitor proliferation in the developing ventral midbrain. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 285, 7246–7253.
- 231. Wainwright, B. J., Scambler, P. J., Stanier, P., et al. (1988). Isolation of a human gene with protein sequence similarity to human and murine int-1 and the Drosophila segment polarity mutant wingless. The EMBO Journal, 7, 1743–1748.
- 232. Huguet, E. L., McMahon, J. A., McMahon, A. P., Bicknell, R., & Harris, A. L. (1994). Differential expression of human Wnt genes 2, 3, 4, and 7B in human breast cell lines and normal and disease states of human breast tissue. Cancer Research, 54, 2615–2621.
- 233. Goss, A. M., Tian, Y., Tsukiyama, T., et al. (2009). Wnt2/2b and beta-catenin signaling are necessary and sufficient to specify lung progenitors in the foregut. Developmental Cell, 17, 290–298.
- 234. Monkley, S. J., Delaney, S. J., Pennisi, D. J., Christiansen, J. H., & Wainwright, B. J. (1996). Targeted disruption of the Wnt2 gene results in placentation defects. Development, 122, 3343–3353.
- 235. Katoh, M., Kirikoshi, H., Terasaki, H., & Shiokawa, K. (2001). WNT2B2 mRNA, up-regulated in primary gastric cancer, is a positive regulator of the WNT- beta-catenin-TCF signaling pathway. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 289, 1093–1098.
- 236. Bergstein, I., Eisenberg, L. M., Bhalerao, J., et al. (1997). Isolation of two novel WNT genes, WNT14 and WNT15, one of which (WNT15) is closely linked to WNT3 on human chromosome 17q21. Genomics, 46, 450–458.
- 237. Katoh, M., Hirai, M., Sugimura, T., & Terada, M. (1996). Cloning, expression and chromosomal localization of Wnt-13, a novel member of the Wnt gene family. Oncogene, 13, 873–876.
- 238. Kim, M., Lee, H. C., Tsedensodnom, O., et al. (2008). Functional interaction between Wnt3 and Frizzled-7 leads to activation of the

239. Kobune, M., Chiba, H., Kato, J., et al. (2007). Wnt3/RhoA/ROCK signaling pathway is involved in adhesion-mediated drug resistance of multiple myeloma in an autocrine mechanism. Molecular Cancer Therapeutics, 6, 1774–1784.

cells. Journal of Hepatology, 48, 780–791.

- 240. Niemann, S., Zhao, C., Pascu, F., et al. (2004). Homozygous WNT3 mutation causes tetra-amelia in a large consanguineous family. American Journal of Human Genetics, 74, 558–563.
- 241. Verkaar, F., van Rosmalen, J. W., Smits, J. F., Blankesteijn, W. M., & Zaman, G. J. (2009). Stably overexpressed human Frizzled-2 signals through the beta-catenin pathway and does not activate Ca2+ -mobilization in Human Embryonic Kidney 293 cells. Cellular Signalling, 21, 22–33.
- 242. Carmon, K. S., & Loose, D. S. (2010). Development of a bioassay for detection of Wnt-binding affinities for individual frizzled receptors. Analytical Biochemistry, 401, 288–294.
- 243. Qiu, W., Chen, L., & Kassem, M. (2011). Activation of noncanonical Wnt/JNK pathway by Wnt3a is associated with differentiation fate determination of human bone marrow stromal (mesenchymal) stem cells. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 413, 98–104.
- 244. Qu, F., Wang, J., Xu, N., et al. (2013). WNT3A modulates chondrogenesis via canonical and non-canonical Wnt pathways in MSCs. Front Bioscience (Landmark Ed), 18, 493–503.
- 245. Steele, B. M., Harper, M. T., Smolenski, A. P., et al. (2012). WNT-3a modulates platelet function by regulating small GTPase activity. FEBS Letters, 586, 2267–2272.
- 246. Hubner, R., Schmole, A. C., Liedmann, A., Frech, M. J., Rolfs, A., & Luo, J. (2010). Differentiation of human neural progenitor cells regulated by Wnt-3a. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 400, 358–362.
- 247. Hay, D. C., Fletcher, J., Payne, C., et al. (2008). Highly efficient differentiation of hESCs to functional hepatic endoderm requires ActivinA and Wnt3a signaling. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 105, 12301–12306.
- 248. Tran, T. H., Wang, X., Browne, C., et al. (2009). Wnt3a-induced mesoderm formation and cardiomyogenesis in human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells, 27, 1869–1878.
- 249. Saitoh, T., Hirai, M., & Katoh, M. (2001). Molecular cloning and characterization of WNT3A and WNT14 clustered in human chromosome 1q42 region. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 284, 1168–1175.
- 250. Takada, S., Stark, K. L., Shea, M. J., Vassileva, G., McMahon, J. A., & McMahon, A. P. (1994). Wnt-3a regulates somite and tailbud formation in the mouse embryo. Genes & Development, 8, 174–189.
- 251. Biason-Lauber, A., Konrad, D., Navratil, F., & Schoenle, E. J. (2004). A WNT4 mutation associated with Mullerian-duct regression and virilization in a 46, XX woman. The New England Journal Of Medicine, 351, 792–798.
- 252. Vainio, S., Heikkila, M., Kispert, A., Chin, N., & McMahon, A. P. (1999). Female development in mammals is regulated by Wnt-4 signalling. Nature, 397, 405–409.
- 253. Jeays-Ward, K., Dandonneau, M., & Swain, A. (2004). Wnt4 is required for proper male as well as female sexual development. Developmental Biology, 276, 431–440.
- 254. Shan, J., Jokela, T., Peltoketo, H., & Vainio, S. (2009). Generation of an allele to inactivate Wnt4 gene function conditionally in the mouse. Genesis, 47, 782–788.
- 255. Mandel, H., Shemer, R., Borochowitz, Z. U., et al. (2008). SERKAL syndrome: an autosomal-recessive disorder caused by a loss-of-function mutation in WNT4. American Journal of Human Genetics, 82, 39–47.
- 256. Vivante, A., Mark-Danieli, M., Davidovits, M., et al. (2013). Renal hypodysplasia associates with a WNT4 variant that causes aberrant

<span id="page-21-0"></span>canonical WNT signaling. Journal of the American Society of Nephrology : JASN, 24, 550–558.

- 257. Bazhin, A. V., Tambor, V., Dikov, B., Philippov, P. P., Schadendorf, D., & Eichmuller, S. B. (2010). cGMP-phosphodiesterase 6, transducin and Wnt5a/Frizzled-2-signaling control cGMP and Ca(2+) homeostasis in melanoma cells. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences: CMLS, 67, 817–828.
- 258. Kawasaki, A., Torii, K., Yamashita, Y., et al. (2007). Wnt5a promotes adhesion of human dermal fibroblasts by triggering a phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase/Akt signal. Cellular Signalling, 19, 2498–2506.
- 259. He, X., Saint-Jeannet, J. P., Wang, Y., Nathans, J., Dawid, I., & Varmus, H. (1997). A member of the Frizzled protein family mediating axis induction by Wnt-5A. Science, 275, 1652–1654.
- 260. Oishi, I., Suzuki, H., Onishi, N., et al. (2003). The receptor tyrosine kinase Ror2 is involved in non-canonical Wnt5a/JNK signalling pathway. Genes to Cells: Devoted to Molecular & Cellular Mechanisms, 8, 645–654.
- 261. Weeraratna, A. T., Jiang, Y., Hostetter, G., et al. (2002). Wnt5a signaling directly affects cell motility and invasion of metastatic melanoma. Cancer Cell, 1, 279–288.
- 262. Schulte, G., Bryja, V., Rawal, N., Castelo-Branco, G., Sousa, K. M., & Arenas, E. (2005). Purified Wnt-5a increases differentiation of midbrain dopaminergic cells and dishevelled phosphorylation. Journal of Neurochemistry, 92, 1550–1553.
- 263. Santos, A., Bakker, A. D., de Blieck-Hogervorst, J. M., & Klein-Nulend, J. (2010). WNT5A induces osteogenic differentiation of human adipose stem cells via rho-associated kinase ROCK. Cytotherapy, 12, 924–932.
- 264. Roarty, K., & Serra, R. (2007). Wnt5a is required for proper mammary gland development and TGF-beta-mediated inhibition of ductal growth. Development, 134, 3929–3939.
- 265. Hwang, Y. S., Chung, B. G., Ortmann, D., Hattori, N., Moeller, H. C., & Khademhosseini, A. (2009). Microwell-mediated control of embryoid body size regulates embryonic stem cell fate via differential expression of WNT5a and WNT11. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106, 16978– 16983.
- 266. Clark, C. C., Cohen, I., Eichstetter, I., et al. (1993). Molecular cloning of the human proto-oncogene Wnt-5A and mapping of the gene (WNT5A) to chromosome 3p14-p21. Genomics, 18, 249– 260.
- 267. Yamaguchi, T. P., Bradley, A., McMahon, A. P., & Jones, S. (1999). A Wnt5a pathway underlies outgrowth of multiple structures in the vertebrate embryo. Development, 126, 1211–1223.
- 268. Person, A. D., Beiraghi, S., Sieben, C. M., et al. (2010). WNT5A mutations in patients with autosomal dominant Robinow syndrome. Developmental Dynamics: An Official Publication of the American Association of Anatomists, 239, 327–337.
- 269. Morioka, K., Tanikawa, C., Ochi, K., et al. (2009). Orphan receptor tyrosine kinase ROR2 as a potential therapeutic target for osteosarcoma. Cancer Science, 100, 1227–1233.
- 270. Bradley, E. W., & Drissi, M. H. (2011). Wnt5b regulates mesenchymal cell aggregation and chondrocyte differentiation through the planar cell polarity pathway. Journal of Cellular Physiology, 226, 1683–1693.
- 271. Kanazawa, A., Tsukada, S., Kamiyama, M., Yanagimoto, T., Nakajima, M., & Maeda, S. (2005). Wnt5b partially inhibits canonical Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway and promotes adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 preadipocytes. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 330, 505–510.
- 272. van Tienen, F. H., Laeremans, H., van der Kallen, C. J., & Smeets, H. J. (2009). Wnt5b stimulates adipogenesis by activating PPARgamma, and inhibiting the beta-catenin dependent Wnt signaling pathway together with Wnt5a. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 387, 207–211.
- 273. Saitoh, T., & Katoh, M. (2001). Molecular cloning and characterization of human WNT5B on chromosome 12p13.3 region. International Journal of Oncology, 19, 347–351.
- 274. Agalliu, D., Takada, S., Agalliu, I., McMahon, A. P., & Jessell, T. M. (2009). Motor neurons with axial muscle projections specified by Wnt4/5 signaling. Neuron, 61, 708–720.
- 275. Cawthorn, W. P., Bree, A. J., Yao, Y., et al. (2012). Wnt6, Wnt10a and Wnt10b inhibit adipogenesis and stimulate osteoblastogenesis through a beta-catenin-dependent mechanism. Bone, 50, 477–489.
- 276. Kirikoshi, H., Sekihara, H., & Katoh, M. (2001). WNT10A and WNT6, clustered in human chromosome 2q35 region with head-totail manner, are strongly coexpressed in SW480 cells. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 283, 798–805.
- 277. Wang, Q., Lu, J., Zhang, S., et al. (2013). Wnt6 is essential for stromal cell proliferation during decidualization in mice. Biology of Reproduction, 88, 5.
- 278. Carmon, K. S., & Loose, D. S. (2008). Wnt7a interaction with Fzd5 and detection of signaling activation using a split eGFP. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 368, 285–291.
- 279. Yoshioka, S., King, M. L., Ran, S., et al. (2012). WNT7A regulates tumor growth and progression in ovarian cancer through the WNT/ beta-catenin pathway. Molecular Cancer Research: MCR, 10, 469– 482.
- 280. Carmon, K. S., & Loose, D. S. (2008). Secreted frizzled-related protein 4 regulates two Wnt7a signaling pathways and inhibits proliferation in endometrial cancer cells. Molecular Cancer Research: MCR, 6, 1017–1028.
- 281. Qu, Q., Sun, G., Murai, K., et al. (2013). Wnt7a regulates multiple steps of neurogenesis. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 33, 2551– 2559.
- 282. Ikegawa, S., Kumano, Y., Okui, K., Fujiwara, T., Takahashi, E., & Nakamura, Y. (1996). Isolation, characterization and chromosomal assignment of the human WNT7A gene. Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics, 74, 149–152.
- 283. Miller, C., & Sassoon, D. A. (1998). Wnt-7a maintains appropriate uterine patterning during the development of the mouse female reproductive tract. Development, 125, 3201–3211.
- 284. Parr, B. A., & McMahon, A. P. (1998). Sexually dimorphic development of the mammalian reproductive tract requires Wnt-7a. Nature, 395, 707–710.
- 285. Hall, A. C., Lucas, F. R., & Salinas, P. C. (2000). Axonal remodeling and synaptic differentiation in the cerebellum is regulated by WNT-7a signaling. Cell, 100, 525–535.
- 286. Dunlap, K. A., Filant, J., Hayashi, K., et al. (2011). Postnatal deletion of Wnt7a inhibits uterine gland morphogenesis and compromises adult fertility in mice. Biology of Reproduction, 85, 386– 396.
- 287. Woods, C. G., Stricker, S., Seemann, P., et al. (2006). Mutations in WNT7A cause a range of limb malformations, including Fuhrmann syndrome and Al-Awadi/Raas-Rothschild/Schinzel phocomelia syndrome. American Journal of Human Genetics, 79, 402–408.
- 288. Wang, Z., Shu, W., Lu, M. M., & Morrisey, E. E. (2005). Wnt7b activates canonical signaling in epithelial and vascular smooth muscle cells through interactions with Fzd1, Fzd10, and LRP5. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 25, 5022–5030.
- 289. Brynczka, C., & Merrick, B. A. (2008). The p53 transcriptional target gene wnt7b contributes to NGF-inducible neurite outgrowth in neuronal PC12 cells. Differentiation; Research in Biological Diversity, 76, 795–808.
- 290. Kirikoshi, H., Sekihara, H., & Katoh, M. (2001). Molecular cloning and characterization of human WNT7B. International Journal of Oncology, 19, 779–783.
- 291. Shu, W., Jiang, Y. Q., Lu, M. M., & Morrisey, E. E. (2002). Wnt7b regulates mesenchymal proliferation and vascular development in the lung. Development, 129, 4831–4842.
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>292. Parr, B. A., Cornish, V. A., Cybulsky, M. I., & McMahon, A. P. (2001). Wnt7b regulates placental development in mice. Developmental Biology, 237, 324–332.
- 293. Lako, M., Lindsay, S., Bullen, P., Wilson, D. I., Robson, S. C., & Strachan, T. (1998). A novel mammalian wnt gene, WNT8B, shows brain-restricted expression in early development, with sharply delimited expression boundaries in the developing forebrain. Human Molecular Genetics, 7, 813–822.
- 294. Fotaki, V., Larralde, O., Zeng, S., et al. (2010). Loss of Wnt8b has no overt effect on hippocampus development but leads to altered Wnt gene expression levels in dorsomedial telencephalon. Developmental Dynamics: An Official Publication of the American Association of Anatomists, 239, 284–296.
- 295. Spater, D., Hill, T. P., O'Sullivan, R. J., Gruber, M., Conner, D. A., & Hartmann, C. (2006). Wnt9a signaling is required for joint integrity and regulation of Ihh during chondrogenesis. Development, 133, 3039–3049.
- 296. Park, J. S., Valerius, M. T., & McMahon, A. P. (2007). Wnt/betacatenin signaling regulates nephron induction during mouse kidney development. Development, 134, 2533–2539.
- 297. Karner, C. M., Chirumamilla, R., Aoki, S., Igarashi, P., Wallingford, J. B., & Carroll, T. J. (2009). Wnt9b signaling regulates planar cell polarity and kidney tubule morphogenesis. Nature Genetics, 41, 793–799.
- 298. Carroll, T. J., Park, J. S., Hayashi, S., Majumdar, A., & McMahon, A. P. (2005). Wnt9b plays a central role in the regulation of mesenchymal to epithelial transitions underlying organogenesis of the mammalian urogenital system. Developmental Cell, 9, 283–292.
- 299. Adaimy, L., Chouery, E., Megarbane, H., et al. (2007). Mutation in WNT10A is associated with an autosomal recessive ectodermal dysplasia: the odonto-onycho-dermal dysplasia. American Journal of Human Genetics, 81, 821–828.
- 300. Li, Y. H., Zhang, K., Ye, J. X., Lian, X. H., & Yang, T. (2011). Wnt10b promotes growth of hair follicles via a canonical Wnt signalling pathway. Clinical and Experimental Dermatology, 36, 534–540.
- 301. Hardiman, G., Kastelein, R. A., & Bazan, J. F. (1997). Isolation, characterization and chromosomal localization of human WNT10B. Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics, 77, 278–282.
- 302. Christodoulides, C., Scarda, A., Granzotto, M., et al. (2006). WNT10B mutations in human obesity. Diabetologia, 49, 678–684.
- 303. Van Camp, J. K., Zegers, D., Verhulst, S. L., et al. (2012). Mutation analysis of WNT10B in obese children, adolescents and adults. Endocrine.
- 304. Blattner, A., Huber, A. R., & Rothlisberger, B. (2010). Homozygous nonsense mutation in WNT10B and sporadic split-hand/foot

malformation (SHFM) with autosomal recessive inheritance. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A, 152A, 2053–2056.

- 305. Ugur, S. A., & Tolun, A. (2008). Homozygous WNT10b mutation and complex inheritance in Split-Hand/Foot Malformation. Human Molecular Genetics, 17, 2644–2653.
- 306. Ye, X., Wang, Y., Rattner, A., & Nathans, J. (2011). Genetic mosaic analysis reveals a major role for frizzled 4 and frizzled 8 in controlling ureteric growth in the developing kidney. Development, 138, 1161–1172.
- 307. Vijayaragavan, K., Szabo, E., Bosse, M., Ramos-Mejia, V., Moon, R. T., & Bhatia, M. (2009). Noncanonical Wnt signaling orchestrates early developmental events toward hematopoietic cell fate from human embryonic stem cells. Cell Stem Cell, 4, 248–262.
- 308. Friedman, M. S., Oyserman, S. M., & Hankenson, K. D. (2009). Wnt11 promotes osteoblast maturation and mineralization through R-spondin 2. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 284, 14117–14125.
- 309. Ouko, L., Ziegler, T. R., Gu, L. H., Eisenberg, L. M., & Yang, V. W. (2004). Wnt11 signaling promotes proliferation, transformation, and migration of IEC6 intestinal epithelial cells. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 279, 26707–26715.
- 310. Zhang, P., Cai, Y., Soofi, A., & Dressler, G. R. (2012). Activation of Wnt11 by transforming growth factor-beta drives mesenchymal gene expression through non-canonical Wnt protein signaling in renal epithelial cells. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 287, 21290– 21302.
- 311. Lako, M., Strachan, T., Bullen, P., Wilson, D. I., Robson, S. C., & Lindsay, S. (1998). Isolation, characterisation and embryonic expression of WNT11, a gene which maps to 11q13.5 and has possible roles in the development of skeleton, kidney and lung. Gene, 219, 101–110.
- 312. Majumdar, A., Vainio, S., Kispert, A., McMahon, J., & McMahon, A. P. (2003). Wnt11 and Ret/Gdnf pathways cooperate in regulating ureteric branching during metanephric kidney development. Development, 130, 3175–3185.
- 313. Teh, M. T., Blaydon, D., Ghali, L. R., et al. (2007). Role for WNT16B in human epidermal keratinocyte proliferation and differentiation. Journal of Cell Science, 120, 330–339.
- 314. Fear, M. W., Kelsell, D. P., Spurr, N. K., & Barnes, M. R. (2000). Wnt-16a, a novel Wnt-16 isoform, which shows differential expression in adult human tissues. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 278, 814–820.
- 315. Medina-Gomez, C., Kemp, J. P., Estrada, K., et al. (2012). Metaanalysis of genome-wide scans for total body BMD in children and adults reveals allelic heterogeneity and age-specific effects at the WNT16 locus. PLoS Genetics, 8, e1002718.